

**Impact of paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan and paternal post-traumatic stress disorder on the children of military fathers**

**Nicola T Fear (DPhil(OXON)), Ruth V Reed (MRCPsych), Sarah Rowe (PhD), Howard Burdett (PhD), David Pernet (BA), Alyson Mahar (PhD), Amy C Iversen (PhD), Paul Ramchandani (MRCPsych), Alan Stein (FRCPsych)\*, Simon Wessely (FMedSci)\***

**\*Joint last authors**

**Corresponding author:**

**Professor NT Fear, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, London, SE5 9RJ**

**Email: [Nicola.t.fear@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:Nicola.t.fear@kcl.ac.uk)**

**Affiliations:**

**King's College London, Weston Education Centre, London, SE5 9RJ (NTF, SR, HB, DP, ACI, SW)**

**University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT (SR)**

**University of Oxford Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7JX (RVR, AS)**

**Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada (AM)**

**Imperial College, London (PR)**

**Word Count:**

**Abstract: 178**

**Main text: 4109**

**Number of references: 43**

## Abstract

**Background:** Little is known about the social and emotional wellbeing of children whose fathers have been deployed to the conflicts in Iraq/Afghanistan or who have post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

**Aims:** To examine the emotional and behavioural wellbeing of children whose fathers are or have been in the UK Armed Forces, in particular the effects of paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq or Afghanistan and paternal PTSD.

**Methods:** Fathers who had taken part in a large tri-Service cohort and had children aged 3-16 years were asked about the emotional and behavioural wellbeing of their child(ren) and assessed for symptoms of PTSD via online questionnaires and telephone interview.

**Results:** 621 (67%) fathers participated, providing data on 1044 children. Paternal deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan was not associated with childhood emotional and behavioural wellbeing. Paternal probable PTSD were associated with child hyperactivity. **This finding was limited to boys and those age under 11 years of age.**

**Conclusions:** This study showed that adverse childhood emotional and behavioural wellbeing was not associated with paternal deployment but was associated with paternal probable PTSD.

## Funding

US Department of Defense.

## Introduction

There is increasing recognition that the impact of military service, separation including deployment and PTSD extends beyond the individual and can also affect the mental health and wellbeing of partners and children<sup>1-10</sup>. However, several mediating factors have been identified, such as family demographics, with parental psychopathology the most consistently identified risk factor<sup>3,5,8</sup>. Interest in the possible impact of Service personnel's PTSD on their children arose from the post-Vietnam research but most of the empirical studies looked at the impact on adult aged children, rather than during childhood itself<sup>9,10</sup>. Little is known about the social and emotional wellbeing of children whose fathers have PTSD.

This study examines 1) the emotional and behavioural wellbeing of children whose fathers are or were in the UK Armed Forces during the recent conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan (between 2003 and 2009), 2) the effect of paternal deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan on children from military families, 3) the effect of paternal post-traumatic stress disorder on children from military families and 4) the specific impact of paternal avoidance and numbing symptoms on childhood emotional and behavioural wellbeing because these symptoms are particularly pervasive and socially impairing.

## Methods

### Participants

This study recruited participants who were already part of a large (N=9990) two-phase tri-service cohort (the KCMHR cohort study)<sup>11,12</sup>. This cohort study initially included a representative random sample of UK service personnel who deployed to the 2003 Iraq conflict, and a non-deployed

comparison group; a second phase was conducted which included those who had deployed to Afghanistan, as well as a “replenishment” sample. The replenishment sample, was added to include those who had joined the military since the cohort was first recruited in 2003, and thus would have had the opportunity to deploy to either military operation during the study period. The underlying cohort study being comprised of regulars (full time members of the UK Armed Forces) and reserves (voluntary and part time members of the UK Armed Forces who would usually have alternative employment) from all service branches (Navy, Marines, Army and Air Force).

For the current study, a sample of fathers was drawn from those who completed the second phase of the KCMHR cohort study and gave consent for follow-up. Two groups of fathers were selected. Group 1 consisted of male participants with one or more children aged 3-16 years, who scored 40+ on the Post Traumatic Disorder Checklist (PCL)<sup>13</sup>, or qualified as a case on at least two domains of the PCL. Group 2 comprised male participants with one or more children aged 3-16 years who scored <40 on the PCL.

Overall, 1030 military fathers from phase 2 of the KCMHR cohort study, who met the eligibility criteria of the current study were invited to participate. These 1030 fathers were representative of all services, engagement types and included service personnel who had subsequently left the military. If the fathers gave consent, we contacted the mother(s) of their children and invited them to participate in an online survey. The study did not differentiate between biological, looked after and step-children.

The study received ethical approval from the UK Ministry of Defence (MoD) research ethics committee (MODREC), the King’s College Hospital local research ethics committee and the US Human Protection Research Office.

### **Study Protocol and Procedures**

Data collection began in July 2010 and ended in October 2012. Invitation packs consisted of an invite letter informing the father about the study, an information sheet, consent form, and response sheet. Data were collected from fathers using standardised self-report online questionnaires and clinical telephone interviews. Instructions given prior to the online questionnaire stipulated that participation in the study was voluntary, participants were free to withdraw at any time, and the study was funded by the United States Department of Defense (US DoD).

The online questionnaire was divided into seven sections: 1) consent; 2) service information (including information on most recent deployment, engagement type, and reasons for leaving the Armed Forces (if they have left)); 3) current employment; 4) mental health; 5) relationship adjustment; 6) details on each of their children (e.g. name, age, name of mother); 7) questions on the health and wellbeing of each of their children.

Once fathers had completed the online survey, they were contacted by the research team and booked in for a telephone interview (conducted by trained research assistants)<sup>14, 15</sup>.

If fathers gave consent, mothers(s) of their children were invited to take part in the study. They were sent an invitation pack containing a letter informing them about the study, an information sheet, a consent form and a response sheet. Mothers were requested to fill in an online questionnaire, which was divided into four sections: 1) consent; 2) current employment; 3) mental health; 4) the health and wellbeing of each of their children.

All participants were sent a cheque for £30 as a thank you for their time and a signposting sheet with a list of agencies that offer services and support for military personnel and their families.

PTSD symptoms were assessed using the 17-item PTSD checklist (PCL)<sup>13</sup>; a cut-off score of 50 or greater was used to determine cases of probable PTSD, a cut-off of 40 was used for sub-threshold PTSD<sup>13,16</sup>. During the interview, all fathers (whether part of the PTSD group or not) were administered the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS)<sup>14,17</sup>. Each symptom is rated on intensity and frequency using a five-point scale ranging from 0 to 4 (never to daily or almost every day). Standard diagnostic scoring uses a F1/I2 rule whereby a symptom is counted as 'present' if the frequency scores 1 or higher (i.e. it is present at least once in the past month), and the intensity scores 2 or higher (i.e. experiencing moderate distress or impairment). The frequency and intensity scores can be added to calculate a continuous score of PTSD severity ranging from 0 to 136. To meet diagnosis for PTSD on the CAPS, participants had to have at least one B (re-experiencing) symptom, three C (avoidance and numbing) symptoms, and two D (hyperarousal) symptoms. Sub-threshold PTSD was established using the definition employed by Cukor et al<sup>18</sup> which requires that Cluster B and either Cluster C or Cluster D criteria are met for at least one month and functional impairment is reported.

Fulfilling the CAPS criteria (full or sub-threshold) was the definition used in the subsequent analysis.

### Primary Outcome Measure

Screening for emotional and behavioural difficulties in children was assessed using the 25-item Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)<sup>19</sup>. Total scores were calculated for five subscales: Emotional Symptoms (i.e. worries a lot, often unhappy), Conduct Problems (i.e. temper tantrums, fights with other children), Hyperactivity (i.e. restless, easily distracted), Peer Problems (i.e. solitary, picked on/bullied), and Prosocial difficulties (i.e. inconsiderate, unkind to others). Caseness for "total difficulties" is determined by combining four of the five individual SDQ subscales (excluding prosocial difficulties). Caseness for total difficulties and for each subscale, is categorised as normal, borderline or abnormal using defined cut-offs<sup>19</sup>. Mothers' and fathers' scores have been previously shown to be similar<sup>20</sup> and this was reflected in the SDQ data collected here. While fathers and mothers did not show perfect concordance when assessing their children, inter-rater comparisons using Cohen's kappa showed that there were no statistically significant differences on any SDQ question (data available from authors). Consequently, this study utilised mothers' SDQ scores where available, substituting the father's score where the mother's was not provided.

### Responders

Of the 1030 fathers invited to participate, 86 could not be followed up due to death (n=3) or insufficient contact details (n=83). A further 12 individuals were ineligible due to their children being outside the 3-16 years age range. The final sample size was 931 eligible fathers. We obtained a response from 689 fathers (74.0%), with 621 (66.7%) fathers completing all aspects of the study (Figure 1). Of the 621 fathers who responded in full, 511 gave us details to contact the mother(s) of their child or children. Of the 522 mothers contacted as a result, seven were ineligible due to their child being outside the 3-16 years age range by the time they were invited to participate, or because the father withdrew his participation from the study. The final sample size of mothers was 515; a response was obtained from 397 (77.1%) of mothers (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Summary of sampling and response for fathers





Figure 2: Summary of sampling and response for mothers



Being a responder was associated with age (responders being older), being a reserve, being in the follow-up sample vs. HERRICK or replenishment sample (i.e. those with a longer term commitment to the KCMHR military cohort study were more likely to respond), have a PCL score of <50 or a score of <16 on the AUDIT at the time of sampling and being an officer or NCO (vs. holding a lower rank). Response weights were defined as the inverse probability of responding once sampled and driven by factors shown empirically to predict response (rank, age, sample, engagement type, PCL status and alcohol misuse). Response weights were taken into account in all analyses to adjust for non-response. The weighted analyses provide valid results under the assumption that the data are missing at random and that the observed variables modelled to drive non-response were correctly identified.

## Statistical analyses

All analyses were undertaken using the statistical software package STATA (version 11.0), and statistical significance was defined as a  $p$  value  $< 0.05$ . All analyses take account of the response weights by using the survey commands and the clustering by father by using the clustering commands. Weighted percentages and multinomial odds ratios are presented, together with unweighted cell counts. We describe the socio-demographic and military experiences of our sample, before presenting multinomial odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and  $p$  values, which were calculated to estimate associations between paternal deployment status, paternal PTSD status (as measured by CAPS), paternal reporting of symptoms of avoidance and numbing (as these symptoms are considered to be particularly socially impairing and to interfere with parenting) and childhood SDQ outcomes. SDQ scores used in these analyses were those given by the mother where available, and from the father where a maternal score was not available. Sub-analyses were also performed involving stratification by age of the child ( $<11$  years and  $11+$  years), and by sex of the child. Univariable and multivariable multinomial and binary logistic regression analyses were undertaken, socio-demographic (child age, paternal age, paternal relationship status, sex of child) and military (rank, service, engagement type [regular or reservist status], deployment status (not deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan or deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan, for the paternal PTSD analyses) factors were included in the multivariable analyses.

Our sample size was devised to allow us at least an 85% power to detect a difference in the prevalence of SDQ caseness from 10% to 20% between the paternal PTSD case group and the paternal non-PTSD case group.

## Results

Most study participants served in the Army (68.3%), and were non-commissioned officers (67.2%) (Table 1 online). Although all were serving at the time of initial sampling in the underlying cohort study, over a third (36.7%) had left by the time they participated in this study. These 621 fathers have 1044 children, 87.9% have 1 or 2 children with 2.5% reporting 4 or more.

Table 1 describes the emotional and behavioural difficulties (as measured by SDQ) of the 1044 children included in this study. Of the 1044 children, 13.3% were cases for “total difficulties” and a further 9.2% were borderline. The prevalence of difficulties ranged from 6.7% (for prosocial difficulties) to 16.6% for hyperactivity. The distribution by age and gender showed that there were approximately equal numbers of girls and boys (520 vs 524 respectively) but more  $<11$  year olds than those aged  $11+$  years (607 vs 437 respectively). Approximately a fifth of boys and those aged  $<11$  years reported hyperactivity, with emotional problems the most prevalent difficulties among girls and  $11+$  year olds (16.2% and 19.5% respectively).

<b>SDQ caseness</b>	<b>Overall</b>	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>&lt;11 years of age</b>	<b>11+ years of age</b>
	<b>Number (%)</b>	<b>Number (%) (n = 524)</b>	<b>Number (%) (n = 520)</b>	<b>Number (%) (n = 607)</b>	<b>Number (%) (n = 437)</b>
<b><i>Hyperactivity</i></b>					
- Non-case	788 (76.8)	369 (71.5)	419 (82.2)	436 (72.4)	352 (83.4)
- Borderline case	65 (6.6)	34 (7.0)	31 (6.2)	42 (7.2)	23 (5.7)
- Full case	166 (16.6)	109 (21.6)	57 (11.5)	120 (20.3)	46 (10.9)
<b><i>Emotional problems</i></b>					
- Non-case	778 (76.3)	396 (77.2)	382 (75.5)	479 (79.9)	299 (71.0)
- Borderline case	85 (8.4)	43 (8.6)	42 (8.3)	45 (7.8)	40 (9.5)
- Full case	156 (15.2)	73 (14.2)	83 (16.2)	74 (12.3)	82 (19.5)
<b><i>Conduct problems</i></b>					
- Non-case	753 (73.2)	365 (70.3)	388 (76.0)	424 (70.1)	329 (77.6)
- Borderline case	119 (11.9)	60 (12.0)	59 (11.8)	82 (13.9)	37 (9.0)
- Full case	147 (14.9)	87 (17.6)	60 (12.2)	92 (16.0)	55 (13.4)
<b><i>Peer problems</i></b>					
- Non-case	780 (76.4)	384 (74.8)	396 (78.1)	475 (79.1)	305 (72.4)
- Borderline case	95 (9.1)	46 (8.8)	49 (9.5)	57 (9.4)	38 (8.8)
- Full case	144 (14.5)	82 (16.4)	62 (12.5)	66 (11.5)	78 (18.9)
<b><i>Prosocial difficulties</i></b>					
- Non-case	886 (86.8)	428 (83.0)	458 (90.5)	520 (86.6)	366 (87.0)
- Borderline case	66 (6.6)	40 (8.1)	26 (5.0)	38 (6.6)	28 (6.6)
- Full case	67 (6.7)	44 (8.9)	23 (4.5)	40 (6.8)	27 (6.4)
<b><i>Total difficulties</i></b>					
- Non-case	793 (77.5)	384 (74.5)	409 (80.5)	464 (77.1)	329 (78.0)
- Borderline case	92 (9.2)	46 (9.3)	46 (9.1)	57 (9.8)	35 (8.3)
- Full case	134 (13.3)	82 (16.2)	52 (10.4)	77 (13.1)	57 (13.7)

**Table 1: Characteristics of children in the study (n=1044), unweighted numbers and weighted percentages are reported.**

### **Impact of paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan**

Paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan was not associated with emotional and behavioural difficulties for children of military fathers (as measured by SDQ) (Table 2). Analyses by child age and gender did not reveal any notable associations (data available from the authors).



SDQ domains	Number (%)		OR (95% CI)		p-value
	Non-deployed	Deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan	Non-deployed	Deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan	
<b>Hyperactivity</b>					
- Non-case	460 (77.4)	328 (76.1)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	36 (6.2)	29 (7.2)	1.0	1.18 (0.69-1.99)	0.545
- Full case	95 (16.4)	71 (16.8)	1.0	1.04 (0.72-1.50)	0.829
<b>Emotional symptoms</b>					
- Non-case	452 (76.5)	326 (76.2)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	50 (8.8)	35 (8.0)	1.0	0.92 (0.58-1.47)	0.735
- Full case	89 (14.8)	67 (15.8)	1.0	1.07 (0.73-1.58)	0.718
<b>Conduct problems</b>					
- Non-case	441 (73.9)	312 (72.1)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	66 (11.4)	53 (12.5)	1.0	1.12 (0.76-1.66)	0.560
- Full case	84 (14.7)	63 (15.3)	1.0	1.07 (0.72-1.60)	0.739
<b>Peer problems</b>					
- Non-case	454 (76.8)	326 (75.9)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	54 (9.0)	41 (9.3)	1.0	1.05 (0.68-1.63)	0.825
- Full case	83 (14.3)	61 (14.7)	1.0	1.05 (0.71-1.55)	0.822
<b>Prosocial difficulties</b>					
- Non-case	517 (87.5)	369 (85.7)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	38 (6.5)	28 (6.7)	1.0	1.06 (0.63-1.80)	0.818
- Full case	36 (6.0)	31 (7.5)	1.0	1.28 (0.74-2.20)	0.377
<b>Total difficulties</b>					
- Non-case	460 (77.6)	333 (77.3)	1.0	1.0	
- Borderline case	51 (8.8)	41 (9.8)	1.0	1.12 (0.71-1.76)	0.635
- Full case	80 (13.6)	54 (12.9)	1.0	0.95 (0.63-1.44)	0.812

**Table 2: Associations between paternal deployment status and childhood social and emotional problems (as measured by the SDQ) overall, multinominal odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported.**

**Effect of paternal PTSD**

SDQ domains	Number (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	AOR (95% CI)
-------------	------------	-------------	---------	--------------

	Non-case	Subthreshold/full case	Non-case	Subthreshold/full case		Non-case
<b>Hyperactivity</b>						
- Non-case	726 (77.8)	62 (67.7)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	60 (6.7)	5 (5.3)	1.0	0.90 (0.34-2.34)	0.827	1.0
- Full case	141 (15.5)	25 (27.0)	1.0	2.01 (1.20-3.36)	0.008	1.0
<b>Emotional symptoms</b>						
- Non-case	709 (76.4)	69 (75.8)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	79 (8.7)	6 (6.2)	1.0	0.72 (0.28-1.89)	0.508	1.0
- Full case	139 (14.9)	17 (17.9)	1.0	1.21 (0.68-2.16)	0.516	1.0
<b>Conduct problems</b>						
- Non-case	692 (73.8)	61 (66.7)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	105 (11.7)	14 (14.3)	1.0	1.35 (0.74-2.48)	0.329	1.0
- Full case	130 (14.5)	17 (19.1)	1.0	1.45 (0.77-2.74)	0.245	1.0
<b>Peer problems</b>						
- Non-case	717 (77.3)	63 (68.4)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	82 (8.6)	13 (13.8)	1.0	1.81 (0.96-3.38)	0.065	1.0
- Full case	128 (14.1)	16 (17.8)	1.0	1.42 (0.73-2.76)	0.298	1.0
<b>Prosocial difficulties</b>						
- Non-case	815 (87.6)	71 (78.6)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	57 (6.3)	9 (9.2)	1.0	1.62 (0.79-3.31)	0.189	1.0
- Full case	55 (6.1)	12 (12.2)	1.0	2.24 (1.04-4.81)	0.038	1.0
<b>Total difficulties</b>						
- Non-case	733 (78.8)	60 (65.2)	1.0	1.0		1.0
- Borderline case	79 (8.6)	13 (14.7)	1.0	2.05 (1.06-3.97)	0.033	1.0
- Full case	115 (12.6)	19 (20.1)	1.0	1.93 (1.06-3.51)	0.030	1.0

**Table 3** reports the association between paternal PTSD status (having subthreshold or full PTSD based on the CAPS) and the SDQ domains. Unadjusted analyses show that paternal PTSD is associated with childhood hyperactivity (2.01, 1.20-3.36), prosocial difficulties (2.24, 1.04-4.81), and total difficulties (1.93, 1.06-3.51). Following adjustment for child and father demographics and father's military factors, only the association with childhood hyperactivity remained statistically significant (1.86, 1.06-3.28).

Online Tables 2 and 3 show the results by age of the child, adjusted for socio-demographic and father's military factors. Among children under 11 years of age, father's PTSD was associated with hyperactivity, prosocial difficulties and total difficulties. The association with hyperactivity remained following adjustment. For children aged 11+ years, paternal PTSD was not associated with any SDQ domain.

The analysis was repeated separately for girls and boys (Online Tables 4 and 5). For boys, unadjusted analyses revealed associations between paternal PTSD and childhood hyperactivity, conduct problems, prosocial difficulties and total difficulties. There is also a borderline association between paternal PTSD and childhood peer problems. Following adjustment, associations remained statistically significant for childhood hyperactivity. Unlike boys, there were no associations between paternal PTSD and any emotional and behavioural difficulties for girls.

SDQ domains	Number (%)		OR (95% CI)		p-value	AOR (95% CI)		p-value
	Non-case	Subthreshold/full case	Non-case	Subthreshold/full case		Non-case	Subthreshold/full case	
<b><i>Hyperactivity</i></b>								
- Non-case	726 (77.8)	62 (67.7)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	60 (6.7)	5 (5.3)	1.0	0.90 (0.34-2.34)	0.827	1.0	0.83 (0.29-2.36)	0.74
- Full case	141 (15.5)	25 (27.0)	1.0	2.01 (1.20-3.36)	0.008	1.0	1.86 (1.06-3.28)	0.03
<b><i>Emotional symptoms</i></b>								
- Non-case	709 (76.4)	69 (75.8)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	79 (8.7)	6 (6.2)	1.0	0.72 (0.28-1.89)	0.508	1.0	0.59 (0.20-1.68)	0.32
- Full case	139 (14.9)	17 (17.9)	1.0	1.21 (0.68-2.16)	0.516	1.0	1.10 (0.58-2.11)	0.77
<b><i>Conduct problems</i></b>								
- Non-case	692 (73.8)	61 (66.7)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	105 (11.7)	14 (14.3)	1.0	1.35 (0.74-2.48)	0.329	1.0	1.28 (0.66-2.46)	0.47
- Full case	130 (14.5)	17 (19.1)	1.0	1.45 (0.77-2.74)	0.245	1.0	1.08 (0.52-2.24)	0.84
<b><i>Peer problems</i></b>								
- Non-case	717 (77.3)	63 (68.4)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	82 (8.6)	13 (13.8)	1.0	1.81 (0.96-3.38)	0.065	1.0	1.55 (0.76-3.15)	0.22

case								
- Full case	128 (14.1)	16 (17.8)	1.0	1.42 (0.73-2.76)	0.298	1.0	1.20 (0.57-2.51)	0.64
<b>Prosocial difficulties</b>								
- Non-case	815 (87.6)	71 (78.6)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	57 (6.3)	9 (9.2)	1.0	1.62 (0.79-3.31)	0.189	1.0	1.34 (0.63-2.85)	0.44
- Full case	55 (6.1)	12 (12.2)	1.0	2.24 (1.04-4.81)	0.038	1.0	1.74 (0.71-4.27)	0.23
<b>Total difficulties</b>								
- Non-case	733 (78.8)	60 (65.2)	1.0	1.0		1.0		
- Borderline case	79 (8.6)	13 (14.7)	1.0	2.05 (1.06-3.97)	0.033	1.0	1.93 (0.97-3.81)	0.06
- Full case	115 (12.6)	19 (20.1)	1.0	1.93 (1.06-3.51)	0.030	1.0	1.59 (0.81-3.11)	0.17

**Table 3: Associations between paternal PTSD and childhood social and emotional problems (as measured by the SDQ), unweighted numbers, weighted percentages, multinomial odds ratios (OR), adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported. Odds ratios adjusted for child's age, gender, father's age, relationship status, deployment status, engagement type, rank and Service**

**Effect of paternal avoidance/numbing symptoms**

Statistically significant associations were found between paternal symptoms of avoidance and numbing and childhood prosocial difficulties, this was removed following adjustment (Table 4). Additional associations were seen with peer problems for those <11 years of age and boys and conduct problems among boys (data available from authors).

SDQ domains	Number (%)		OR (95% CI)		p-value	AOR (95% CI)		p-value
	No avoidance and numbing symptoms	Symptoms of avoidance and numbing	No avoidance and numbing symptoms	Symptoms of avoidance and numbing		No avoidance and numbing symptoms	Symptoms of avoidance and numbing	
<b>Hyperactivity</b>								
Non-case	714 (77.5)	74 (71.5)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	58 (6.6)	7 (7.0)	1.0	1.16 (0.51-2.64)	0.722	1.0	1.05 (0.45-2.46)	0.91
Full case	144 (16.0)	22 (21.5)	1.0	1.46 (0.87-2.46)	0.155	1.0	1.35 (0.77-2.39)	0.30
<b>Emotional symptoms</b>								
Non-case	702 (76.7)	76 (73.8)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	75 (8.3)	10 (9.5)	1.0	1.18 (0.56-2.49)	0.655	1.0	0.98 (0.44-2.21)	0.96
Full case	139 (15.0)	17 (16.7)	1.0	1.16 (0.63-2.11)	0.635	1.0	1.09 (0.57-2.09)	0.78
<b>Conduct problems</b>								
Non-case	681 (73.6)	72 (69.2)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	110 (12.3)	9 (8.4)	1.0	0.73 (0.35-1.49)	0.384	1.0	0.69 (0.33-1.45)	0.32
Full case	125 (14.1)	22 (22.4)	1.0	1.69 (0.94-3.04)	0.080	1.0	1.40 (0.72-2.72)	0.33
<b>Peer problems</b>								
Non-case	708 (77.2)	72 (69.4)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	83 (8.9)	12 (11.4)	1.0	1.43 (0.75-2.75)	0.279	1.0	1.25 (0.59-2.65)	0.57
Full case	125 (13.9)	19 (19.2)	1.0	1.54 (0.85-2.79)	0.152	1.0	1.37 (0.72-2.62)	0.34
<b>Prosocial difficulties</b>								
Non-case	805 (87.7)	81 (78.8)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	56 (6.3)	10 (9.3)	1.0	1.65 (0.82-3.32)	0.156	1.0	1.42 (0.71-2.85)	0.31
Full case	55 (6.0)	12 (12.0)	1.0	2.20 (1.07-4.51)	0.031	1.0	1.93 (0.87-4.25)	0.11
<b>Total difficulties</b>								
Non-case	721 (78.4)	72 (69.5)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Borderline case	80 (8.9)	12 (11.9)	1.0	1.51 (0.75-3.02)	0.248	1.0	1.40 (0.67-2.92)	0.37
Full case	115 (12.7)	19 (18.7)	1.0	1.66 (0.93-2.98)	0.088	1.0	1.49 (0.77-2.88)	0.23

**Table 4: Associations between paternal symptoms of numbing and avoidance and childhood social and emotional problems (as measured by the SDQ), unweighted numbers, weighted percentages, multinominal odds ratios (OR), adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported. Odds ratios adjusted for child's age, gender, father's age, father's relationship status, deployment status, engagement type, rank and Service**



## Discussion

The aim of the study was to examine the emotional and behavioural wellbeing of children whose fathers were in the UK Armed Forces during the recent conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan, particularly the effects of deployment to these conflicts and paternal PTSD. This large representative study of the children of military fathers, using validated measures has shown that the majority of children with fathers in the UK Armed Forces do not report adverse emotional or behavioural difficulties. Approximately a fifth had an emotional or social difficulty as reported on the SDQ, with the most common difficulty being hyperactivity. None of the childhood outcomes examined were associated with paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq or Afghanistan. **On the other hand, childhood hyperactivity was associated with paternal PTSD, and this association was most notable among boys and those children under 11 years of age. No associations were observed between childhood difficulties and paternal PTSD among girls or those children aged 11+ years.** Analyses were repeated focusing on paternal symptoms of avoidance and numbing, these paternal symptoms were associated with childhood prosocial difficulties.

### *Comparisons with the literature – paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan*

Prior to this study, there had been indications from US studies that paternal deployment had negative impact on children. US studies have reported children of a deployed parent showing more emotional difficulties (as measured by the SDQ) compared with national (US) samples and longer deployment was associated with higher levels of emotional difficulties. Parental deployment predicted school-, family- and peer-related difficulties in girls (who had particular problems during post-operation reintegration) and older youths<sup>21</sup>. Among children of US returnees from deployment to Afghanistan or Iraq, parental distress and cumulative duration of deployment predicted depression and externalizing symptoms<sup>3,6</sup>.

Comparisons between the children aged 5-12 years of recently-deployed US military personnel and population norms from other studies, did not show significant differences in internalizing or externalizing symptoms or attentional difficulties, despite finding higher overall psychosocial morbidity in the deployed sample<sup>22</sup>. This study focused on younger children compared to our broader spread of ages, was a much smaller sample at a quarter the size of our study, and included military mothers as well as fathers. There was also no direct comparison cohort of military children whose parents had not been deployed, and the parents were all recently returned from deployment. Another US study concluded that otherwise healthy children were rarely impacted by parental deployment, but did identify elevated depressive symptoms but not anxiety or behavioural difficulties in children; the authors identified boys and younger children as being more sensitive to adverse consequences of deployment<sup>23</sup>.

In contrast to the US findings, this study in a UK military population did not find any associations between childhood emotional and behavioural symptoms and parental deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. However, the US studies were often based on small non-representative samples. Furthermore, there are differences in deployment practice between the US and UK context, including the length of deployment being typically 6 months in the UK, and some differences in the degree of exposure to combat related traumatic events<sup>24</sup>. **Thus longer and more frequent deployments experienced by US Service personnel may account for the differences observed between the two**

nations. It should also be noted that in our study responses were collected after fathers had returned from deployment; collecting data from parents during the deployment cycle may give rise to different findings.

Our analyses (and many of those previously conducted) have focused on separation due to deployment. We are aware that families will experience other forms of separation, for example, due to training exercises. Deployment to a conflict zone is potentially a stressful time for families and why it has been the focus of this (and other work).

#### *Comparisons with the literature – paternal PTSD*

Previous studies that have investigated the role of paternal PTSD on childhood wellbeing have yielded inconclusive and mixed results<sup>25</sup>, with common methodological issues being non-triangulated data or data being obtained from adult offspring. The few studies that have been conducted in the military context have shown paternal PTSD being associated with childhood low self-esteem, poorer family functioning and cohesion, poorer attitudes towards school, negative attitudes towards father and increased emotional, behavioural and psychiatric disturbances<sup>26</sup>.

There is understandable concern about the combined stressors of military life and parental mental ill-health upon families and child development; in a previous study 75% of veterans referred for mental health treatment reported family readjustment difficulties, 58% reported intimate partner conflicts including 'shouting, pushing or shoving' and 28% acknowledged that their partner was afraid of them<sup>27</sup>. In this current study, paternal PTSD was associated with childhood hyperactivity, and this association was more apparent in boys and younger children, while parental symptoms of avoidance and numbing were associated with childhood prosocial difficulties.

There is already some evidence from the literature that the numbing and avoidance symptoms of PTSD are the primary reason that PTSD leads to family dysfunction, possibly as the emotional inaccessibility that this creates acts as a barrier to communication within the family<sup>28,29</sup>. Numbing also diminishes a father's ability to seek out, engage in and enjoy interactions with his children, leading to a poorer father-child relationship<sup>30</sup>. These factors may explain the association found in this study between paternal avoidance/numbing symptoms and child prosocial difficulties.

The social domain of the SDQ refers to the ability of the child to be sensitive, reciprocal and friendly during their interactions with peers and family. Children with fathers who have PTSD are likely to miss out on positive role modelling and at the same time are exposed to negative role modelling. With a father who may be experiencing numbing and withdrawal, it is likely that children may miss out on crucial opportunities to model appropriate social behaviour – and this is especially important for male children who may seek to emulate the behaviour of their fathers.

#### *The influence of children's gender and age*

We report an association of paternal PTSD with emotional and behavioural problems in boys alone. There may be several explanations for this. It may be that boys are more susceptible to the influence of paternal psychopathology than girls, fathers may engage differentially with boys<sup>31</sup> and boys may be

more susceptible overall to a lack of engagement from fathers<sup>32</sup>. Literature on paternal depression suggests an association with behavioural problems in boys, but not girls in the younger age group<sup>33,34</sup>.

### *Comparisons with the general population*

Children of military fathers were more likely to demonstrate a higher frequency of emotional and behavioural problems than children in the general UK population. These differences were statistically significant for emotional problems (15.2% vs 11.4%), conduct problems (14.9% vs 12.7%), peer problems (14.5% vs 11.7%), prosocial difficulties (6.7% vs 2.3%) and total difficulties (13.3% vs 9.8%). Although statistically significant, the differences observed are relatively small with the exception of the least frequent outcome, prosocial difficulties. It should be noted that, being part of a military family, is likely to have a number of positive impacts<sup>35</sup> (at least one parent in stable employment) and certain facets of military family life may act as protective factors for children.

### *Strengths and limitations*

The cohort from which this study sample is drawn is representative of the deployable UK military population and we intentionally sampled Servicemen with PTSD symptoms as UK rates of PTSD among Service personnel are relatively low at around 4%<sup>11,12</sup>. The sample of those who did not score highly for PTSD was representative of the total cohort<sup>12</sup>. Based on data from the UK Ministry of Defence, approximately a third of male regular service personnel have children, with those with children similarly distributed by service as studied here<sup>36</sup>.

Previous work has identified some differences in soldier-perceived and spouse-perceived ratings of children's behaviour and wellbeing<sup>37</sup>. The strengths of this study involved the use of a validated and internationally used measure of child wellbeing and the ability to triangulate the ratings of children's wellbeing by use of both maternal and paternal reports; some studies have focused on single parental reports regarding their children's behavioural and relational difficulties. Triangulation is recognised as important in differentiating between objectively recognised difficulties, and behaviours perceived as concerning or challenging by a parent with their own psychological difficulties<sup>37, 38,39</sup>. Although we primarily used maternal reports of the child's emotional and behavioural wellbeing, our data showed similar reporting patterns amongst mothers and fathers. Use of maternal reports in this way also enabled us to minimise single-reporter bias, which is a problem in much of the literature on effects of parental mental health problems on children.

The SDQ was chosen to explore childhood difficulties as it has been widely used in both national and international studies, clinically and in population studies. Parental completed questionnaires tend to underestimate emotional problems. However, the questionnaire measures used are well-validated and used widely in clinical practice and research. The use of structured clinical assessments would be advantageous in future studies, however, it was not logistically possible to clinically assess 1044 children.

The primary aim of this study was to examine the impact of paternal PTSD on child wellbeing within military families, something that has not been previously examined in a UK context. Although we did collect data on maternal mental health from a sub-set of our families, we were dependent on the father providing us with the contact details of the mother (or mothers) of their children. Permission to contact the mothers was not always provided by the fathers, and analyses determined that paternal mental

health status influenced the provision of these details (data available from authors). Hence it would be inappropriate to adjust for maternal mental health on this subset of children. Further, there is a known interplay between maternal and paternal mental health, meaning that when looking at paternal mental health on child wellbeing, maternal health is not a true confounder.

The mental health of military mothers and interplay with their children's psychological wellbeing is an important topic not explored in this study, owing to the small number of military mothers in the cohort. In the UK context, women are rarely deployed in front-line roles. There is little published evidence on the impact of having a mother in the military on the wellbeing of children. Now that women are starting to be deployed on the front line, quantitative research on the impact upon children will be both feasible and important.

#### *Future directions*

Evidence supports the role for preventive intervention in reducing the incidence of psychological disorder in the children of parents with mental health difficulties in the general population<sup>40</sup>. Further, there is encouraging emergent work on interventions to improve parenting in military families impacted by PTSD, for example, the Families OverComing Under Stress (FOCUS) Program<sup>41,42</sup>. The use of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy combined with parenting psychoeducation which showed benefit on positive parenting behaviour, parenting satisfaction and psychological flexibility<sup>43</sup>.

#### *Conclusion*

This large representative study showed that, in general, paternal deployment to the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan was not associated with any adverse childhood outcomes. However, this study did find that paternal PTSD was associated with hyperactivity among children overall and specifically among younger children and boys. These results highlight the importance of ensuring appropriate evidence based interventions for military families.

Funding/support: The US Department of Defense funded this work.

Role of funder: The US Department of Defense had no influence over the work plan, data analysis or data interpretation.

Conflict of interest disclosures: NT Fear is a trustee of the Warrior Programme, a charity supporting ex-service personnel and their families. NT Fear is also a member of the Independent Group Advising on the Release of Data (IGARD). S Wessely is a trustee of Combat Stress, a charity supporting ex-service personnel and their families, is President of the Royal College of Psychiatrists and President Elect for the Royal Society of Medicine.

#### References

1. Diehle J, Brooks SK, Greenberg N. Veterans are not the only ones suffering from posttraumatic stress symptoms: what do we know about dependents' secondary traumatic stress? *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2017;52(1):35-44.

2. De Burgh T, White C, Fear NT, Iversen AC. The impact of deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan on partners and wives of military personnel. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2011;23:192-200.
3. White CJ, de Burgh HT, Fear NT, Iversen AC. The impact of deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan on military children: A review of the literature. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2011;23:210-217.
4. Cederbaum JA, Gilreath TD, Benbenishty R, et al. Well-Being and Suicidal Ideation of Secondary School Students From Military Families. *J Adolescent Health*. 2014;54:672-677.
5. Acion L, Ramirez MR, Jorge RE, Arndt S. Increased risk of alcohol and drug use among children from deployed military families. *Addiction*. 2013;108:1418-1425.
6. Lester P, Peterson K, Reeves J, et al. The Long War and Parental Combat Deployment: Effects on Military Children and At-Home Spouses. *J Am Acad Child Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2010;49:310-320.
7. Clever M, Segel D. The Demographics of Military Children and Families. *Future Mil Children*. 2013;23(2):13-40.
8. Barker LH, Berry KD. Developmental Issues Impacting Military Families With Young Children During Single and Multiple Deployments. *Mil Med*. 2009;174(10):1033-1040.
9. Jordan BK, Marmar CR, Fairbank JA, et al. Problems in families of male Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Consult Clin Psych*. 1992;60(6): 916.
10. Beckham JC, Braxton LE, Kudler HS, Feldman ME, Lytle BL, Palmer S. Minnesota Multiphasic personality inventory profiles of Vietnam combat veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder and their children. *J Clin Psych*. 1997;53(8):847-852.
11. Hotopf M, Hull L, Fear NT, et al. The health of UK military personnel who deployed to the 2003 Iraq war: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9524):1731-1741.
12. Fear NT, Jones M, Murphy D, et al. What are the consequences of deployment to Iraq and Afghanistan on the mental health of the UK armed forces? A cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9728):1783-1797.
13. Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther*. 1996;34(8):669-673.
14. Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy L:M, et al. The Development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. *J Trauma Stress*. 1995;8(1):75-90.
15. Stockwell T, Hodgson R, Edwards G, Taylor C, Rankin H. The Development of a Questionnaire to Measure Severity of Alcohol Dependence. *Addiction*. 1979;DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.1979.tb02415.x
16. Mylle J, Maes M. Partial posttraumatic stress disorder revisited. *J Affect Disord*. 2004;78:37-48.
17. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-IV*. Amer Psychiatric Pub Inc: 1994.
18. Cukor J, Wyka K, Jayasinghe N, Difede J. The nature and course of subthreshold PTSD. *J Anx Dis*. 2010;24(8):918-923.
19. Goodman R. The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A research note. *J Child Psychol Psych*. 1997;38(5):581-586.
20. Davé S, Nazareth I, Senior R, Sherr L. A comparison of father and mother report of child behaviour on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire. *Child Psych Human Develop*. 2008;39(4):399-413.

21. Chandra A, Lara-Cinisomo S, Jaycox LH, et al. Children on the homefront: the experience of children from military families. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(1):16-25. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-1180.
22. Flake EM, Davis BE, Johnson PL, Middleton LS. The psychosocial effects of deployment on military children. *J Develop Behav Pediatrics*. 2009;30:271–278.
23. Jensen PS, Martin D, Watanabe H. Children’s response to parental separation during Operation Desert Storm. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996;35(4):433-441.
24. Sundin J, Herrell RK, Hoge CW, et al. Mental health outcomes in US and UK military personnel returning from Iraq. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2014;204(3): 200-207.
25. Fisher SD. Paternal Mental Health: Why Is It Relevant? *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2016;doi: 10.1177/1559827616629895.
26. Parsons J, Kehle TJ, Owen SV. Incidence of behavior problems among children of Vietnam War veterans. *School Psychology Int*. 1990;11:253-259.
27. Sayers SL, Farrow VA, Ross J, Oslin DW. Family problems among recently returned military veterans referred for a mental health evaluation. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;70(2):163-170.
28. Harkness LL. Transgenerational transmission of war-related trauma. In *International handbook of traumatic stress symptoms*, Wilson, J. P.; Raphael, B., Eds. Plenum: New York, 1993;635-643.
29. Davidson AC, Mellor DJ. The adjustment of children of Australian Vietnam veterans: is there evidence for the transgenerational transmission of the effects of war-related trauma? *Aust New Zeal J Psychiatry* 2001;35(3):345-351.
30. Ruscio AM, Weathers FW, King LA, King DW. Male war-zone veterans' perceived relationships with their children: The importance of emotional numbing. *J Trauma Stress* 2002;15(5):351-357.
31. Lewis C, Lamb M. Fathers’ influences on children’s development: The evidence from two-parent families. *Euro J Psychol Educat*. 2003;XVIII:211–228.
32. Sarkadi A, Kristiansson R, Oberklaid F, Bremberg S. Fathers’ involvement and children’s developmental outcomes: A systematic review of longitudinal studies. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2008;97:153–158.
33. Ramchandani P, Psychogiou L. Paternal Psychiatric Disorders and Child Development. *The Lancet* 2009;374(9690):646-653.
34. Ramchandani P, Stein A, Evans J, O’Connor TG, the ALSPAC study team. Paternal Depression in the postnatal period and child development: a prospective population study. *The Lancet* 2005;365:3201-3205.
35. Jain V, Stevelink SAM, Fear NT. What are the best and worst things about having a father in UK Armed Forces? Analysis of free text responses. *J R Army Med Corps*. 2016;doi:10.1136/jramc-2015-000487.
36. UK Ministry of Defence. Freedom of Information Request. FOI 2015 05385. July 2015.
37. Herzog JR, Everson RB, Whitworth JD. Do secondary trauma symptoms in spouses of combat-exposed national guard soldiers mediate impacts of soldiers' trauma exposure on their children? *Child Adoles Soc Work J*. 2011;28(6):459-473.
38. Caselli LT, Motta RW. The effect of PTSD and combat level on Vietnam veterans' perceptions of child behavior and marital adjustment. *J Clin Psychol*. 1995;51:4–12.
39. Dansby VS, Marinelli RP. Adolescent children of Vietnam combat veteran fathers: a population at risk. *J Adolesc*. 1999;22(3):329-340.

40. Saxena S, Jane-Llopis E, Hosman C. Prevention of mental and behavioural disorders: implications for policy and practice. *World Psychiatry*. 2006;5(1):5–14.
41. Lester P, Saltzman WR, Woodward K, et al. Evaluation of a family-centered prevention intervention for military children and families facing wartime deployments. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(S1):S48-S54.
42. Lester P, Stein JA, Saltzman W, et al. Psychological health of military children: Longitudinal evaluation of a family-centered prevention program to enhance family resilience. *Mil Med*. 2013;178(8):838-845.
43. Casselman RB, Pemberton JR. ACT-Based Parenting Group for Veterans With PTSD: Development and Preliminary Outcomes. *Am J Fam Therapy*. 2014;43(1):57-66.