Additional file 2: Figure S3. Additional information on outcome measures

Source of	Domain	Instrument	Data collection time points
data			
Patient	Cognition	MMSE-2: SV [1]	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
			(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
Patient	Quality of Life	DEMQOL [2]	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
			(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
Patient	Quality of Life	EQ-5D-5L self-	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
		complete [3]	(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
Patient	Patient perspective	howRwe [4]	Baseline, T1* (1 month, ± 5 days)
	on care		
Patient	Cognition	Clinical Dementia	Baseline, T3 (6 months, ± 5 days)
		Rating (CDR) [5]	
Patient	Case note review	Patient care profile	T1* (1 month, ± 5 days)
	tool, not yet in		
	public domain		
Patient	Mobility	Timed Up & Go [6]	T2 (3 months, ± 5 days)
Suitable	Physical function	Bristol Activities of Daily	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
Informant	for activities for	Living Scale (BADLS)	(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
	daily living	[7]	± 5 days)
Suitable	Quality of Life	DEMQOL-Proxy [8]	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
Informant			(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
Suitable	Quality of Life	EQ-5D-5L Carer self-	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
Informant		report [3]	(3 months, \pm 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
	1		

Source of	Domain	Instrument	Data collection time points
data			
Suitable	Service use	Client Service Receipt	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
Informant	Service use	Inventory CSRI** [9]	$(3 \text{ months}, \pm 5 \text{ days}), T3 (6 \text{ months}, \pm 5 \text{ days}), T3 (6 \text{ months}, -1)$
mormani			$\pm 5 \text{ days}$)
Suitable	Service use	Number of days in	T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2 (3
	Service use	institutional care	
Informant		Institutional care	months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months, ± 5
			days)
Suitable	Carer perspective	howRthey [10]	Baseline, T1* (1 month, \pm 5 days),
Informant	on patient care		T2 (3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6
			months, ± 5 days)
Suitable	Service use	Patient's place of	Baseline***, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days),
Informant		residence question	T2 (3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6
			months, ± 5 days)
Suitable	Cognition	Clinical Dementia	Baseline, T3 (6 months, ± 5 days)
Informant		Rating (CDR) [5]	
Suitable	Cognition	Informant	Baseline only
Informant		Questionnaire on	
		Cognitive Decline in the	
		Elderly (IQCODE) [11]	
Patient	Service use	Length of stay in index	T1**** (1 month, ± 5 days), T2 (3
hospital		hospitalisation question	months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months, ± 5
records			days)
Patient	Service use	Discharge destination	T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2 (3
hospital		from index	months, \pm 5 days), T3 (6 months, \pm 5
records		hospitalisation question	days)
Patient	Hospital re-	Hospital re-admission	T3 (6 months, ± 5 days)
records	admission rates	rates question	
Patient	Hospital service	Hospital service use	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
records	use****	question	(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
	1		

Source of	Domain	Instrument	Data collection time points
data			
Patient	Delirium	4AT [12]	Baseline, T1* (1 month, ± 5 days)
Patient	Comorbidity	Charlson Co-morbidity	Baseline, T1 (1 month, ± 5 days), T2
records	marker	Index (CCI) [13]	(3 months, ± 5 days), T3 (6 months,
			± 5 days)
Patient	Service delivery	National Hip Fracture	T3****** (6 months, ± 5 days)
records	comparator	Database (NHFD)	
		(England only) [14]	

* Patients may be discharged from study ward before or after T1. Measure to be collected whenever discharge occurs from study ward. This point maybe ± 5 days;

***duration of retrospective period covered varies by assessment point;

***pre-baseline ordinary residence;

- **** If patient is still in acute hospital at 30 days this will be recorded;
- *****from hospital patient records, of service use within site of index hospitalisation
- ******extracted from National Hip Fracture Database post recruitment window closing

References

- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, White T, Messer MA: Mini-Mental State Examination, 2nd edition.
 2010. Psychological Assessment Resources, Florida.
- Smith SC, Lamping DL, Banerjee S, Harwood R, Foley B, Smith P, et al: Measurement of health-related quality of life for people with dementia: development of a new instrument (DEMQOL) and an evaluation of current methodology. Health Technol Assess 2005, 9(10).
- Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, Janssen M, Kind P, Parkin D, Bonsel G, Badia X. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). *Qual Life Res*. 2011, 10:1727-36.
- 4. Benson T, Potts HWW: A short generic patient experience questionnaire: howRwe development and validation. *BMC Health Services Research 2014*, **14**:499.
- Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): Current version and scoring rules. Neurology 1993, 43:2412-2414.
- 6. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S: **The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail** elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991, **2:** 142-8.
- Bucks RS, Ashworth DL, Wilcock GK, Siegfried K: Assessment of activities of daily living in dementia: development of the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale 1996. Age and Ageing, 25:113–120.
- Smith SC, Murray J, Banerjee S, Foley B, Cook JC, Lamping DL, et al: What constitutes healthrelated quality of life in dementia? Development of a conceptual framework for people with dementia and their carers. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005, 20:889–895.
- 9. Beecham J, Knapp M: Costing Psychiatric Interventions, in Measuring Mental Health Needs, Eds.Thornicroft G, Brewin C & Wing JK. 1992. Gaskell: London.

10. howRthey?

[http://r-outcomes.com/measures/carer-measures/]

- 11. Jorm AF: A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. *Psychol Med* 1994, **24**: 145–153.
- 12. Shenkin SD, Russ TC, Ryan TM, MacLullich AM: Screening for dementia and other causes of cognitive impairment in general hospital in-patients. *Age & Ageing* 2014, **43**:166-8.
- 13. de Groot V, Beckerman H, Lankhorst GJ, et al. **How to measure comorbidity: a critical** review of available methods. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2003, **3:**221–229.
- Royal College of Physicians. National Hip Fracture Database annual report 2017. London: RCP, 2017.