

1 **Biological Invasions**

2 **The red-vented bulbul (*Pycnonotus cafer*): serious pest or understudied invader?**

3 <sup>1,3</sup>**Martin Thibault\***, <sup>2</sup>**Eric Vidal**, <sup>3</sup>**Murray A. Potter**, <sup>4</sup>**Ellie Dyer**, <sup>1</sup>**Fabrice Brescia**

4 <sup>1</sup>Institut Agronomique néo-Calédonien (IAC), Equipe ARBOREAL (Agriculture  
5 BiODiversité Et vAlorisation) 73, 98890 Païta, New Caledonia

6

7 <sup>2</sup>Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Aix  
8 Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, Avignon Université Centre IRD Nouméa - BP A5, 98848  
9 Nouméa Cedex, Nouvelle-Calédonie

10

11 <sup>3</sup>Ecology Group, Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, Palmerston  
12 North 4442, New Zealand

13

14 <sup>4</sup>Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, UK, NW1 4RY

15

16 \*Corresponding author: [thibault@iac.nc](mailto:thibault@iac.nc)

17 **Abstract**

18 Recently, debate has flourished about inadequacies in the simplistic “worst invasive species”  
19 approach and its global scale. Here we investigate the status of the red-vented bulbul  
20 (*Pycnonotus cafer*), an Asian passerine bird. This species has been introduced widely across  
21 Pacific islands and is commonly blamed for its impacts on agriculture and biodiversity via  
22 dispersal of invasive plant seeds and competition with native fauna. This case study evaluates  
23 all available data on the impacts and management of this invasive species and identifies  
24 priorities for future research. We reviewed the scientific literature and information from three  
25 databases (ABBA, GAVIA, eBird) and highlight that the attention paid to this species by  
26 scientists and managers varied considerably between islands and contexts and was globally  
27 lower than the attention paid to other species on the IUCN-ISSG list. The red-vented bulbul  
28 has now established on 37 islands and in seven continental locations outside its native range.  
29 We show that three categories of effects are associated with this species: plant damage, seed  
30 dispersal and disturbance of fauna. We compiled lists of 110 plant species consumed, 33 plant  
31 species dispersed, and 15 species of bird that this bulbul interacts with. However, these lists  
32 were mainly made of opportunistic observations rather than specific assessments. Research  
33 outputs that focus on better ways to prevent or quantify the impacts of the red-vented bulbul  
34 remain scarce. We found very few references exploring potential positive impacts of this  
35 species, and only two examples of management actions undertaken against it. The latter are  
36 required to inform management actions, especially on sensitive tropical islands where  
37 invasions and dispersal of the red-vented bulbul are ongoing. Our analysis of the literature  
38 found no clear support for considering this species to be one of the “world’s worst” invasive  
39 alien species.

40 Keywords: invasive alien bird, islands, impact, biodiversity, conservation

## 42 **Introduction**

43 Invasive alien species (IAS) are one of the main causes of biodiversity loss (Sala et al. 2000;  
44 Keane and Crawley 2002; Pereira et al. 2012, Gren et al. 2016), with associated economic  
45 impacts (Bergman et al. 2000; Pimentel 2005; Pimentel et al. 2011) and degradation of  
46 ecosystem services (Walsh et al. 2016). The highly ambitious goal of the 2010 Convention for  
47 Biological Diversity, Nagoya, Japan, was to ensure that “By 2020, IAS and pathways are  
48 identified and prioritized, priority species are controlled or eradicated, and measures are in  
49 place to manage pathways to prevent their introduction and establishment” (Secretariat CBD  
50 2011). Concerns about the impacts of IAS have led to the production of several lists of high  
51 priority alien species including the “100 of the World’s Worst” from the IUCN Invasive  
52 Species Specialist Group (IUCN-ISSG) (Brochier et al. 2010; Burgiel and Perrault 2011;  
53 Lowe et al. 2000). Such prioritization attempts have incited intense debate among the  
54 scientific community on the definition of an invasive species (e.g. Russell and Blackburn  
55 2017). Some considered invasion as a natural phenomenon and compared the prevention of  
56 species dispersal as a kind of racism (Valery et al. 2013). Others saw the observed impacts of  
57 alien species as an important challenge for our developing societies (Richardson and Ricciardi  
58 2013; Simberloff and Vitule. 2014; Blondel et al. 2014; Pereyra 2016). Such debate also  
59 applied to species classification methods, as prioritization attempts based on expert  
60 assessments is opposed to different classification frameworks based on data analysis and  
61 statistics (Donlan and Wilcox 2008; Kumschick et al. 2012; Blackburn et al. 2014;  
62 Kumschick et al. 2015). In this study, we consider that an alien species expanding its range in  
63 a sensitive territory deserves particular attention from both scientists and managers. For their  
64 part, scientists must consider the possibility that a species could be harmless in an alien  
65 territory and should produce a local assessment of potential issues associated with that  
66 species.

67 Of the terrestrial vertebrates in the IUCN-ISSG list, 14 are mammals, three are birds and only  
68 two are reptiles (Lowe et al. 2000). Unsurprisingly, 10 years after the publication of this  
69 “World’s Worst” list, authors have commented on the imbalance in attention paid by  
70 scientists and managers to mammals in contrast to alien birds (Pysek et al. 2008; Kumschick  
71 and Nentwig 2010). Several studies have called for improvements in the way in which impact  
72 values of IAS are assessed beyond experts’ “worst” lists, particularly for bird species (Strubbe

73 et al. 2011; Ricciardi et al. 2013; Kumschick et al. 2015; Saxena 2015) and they have stressed  
74 that this is vital to better inform management decisions.

75 The three bird species classified as the world's worst invasive species in the IUCN-ISSG  
76 list are the common starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*), the common myna (*Acridotheres tristis*), and  
77 the red-vented bulbul (*Pycnonotus cafer*). A recent review of the impact of alien birds on  
78 native ecosystems globally (Martin-Albarracin et al. 2015) identified the three species with  
79 the highest global impact as being the mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*, score=16), the common  
80 myna (score=13), and the red-whiskered bulbul (*Pycnonotus jocosus*, score=10) whereas the  
81 global impact score of the red-vented bulbul in this study should be only 4. Recently,  
82 Kumschick et al. (2015) identified important overlaps in the impacts associated with the  
83 common myna and the red-vented bulbul. This raises the question as to whether the red-  
84 vented bulbul should be considered as one of the three worst invasive bird species on the  
85 planet. Local farmers and environment managers need management frameworks in order to  
86 deal with the dispersal/impacts of IAS (Blackburn et al. 2011). Biosecurity protocols at  
87 frontiers were demonstrated to be the most useful techniques to prevent biological invasions  
88 (Edelaar and Tella 2012). However, controlling a newly established invasive species in a  
89 territory depends on economic, ecological and social factors and therefore on specific  
90 assessment of these factors at local scales (Mack et al. 2000). A synthesis of management  
91 programs toward an alien species offers concrete baselines for managers, and this knowledge  
92 also helps understanding how the impacts associated with an invasive species give rise to  
93 management operations. It is urgent that we review existing assessments of impacts and  
94 management programs implemented against the red-vented bulbul.

95 We review invasion data to determine the nature and severity of the impacts of this species,  
96 whether its impacts are consistent throughout its alien range, whether its status as a major  
97 invasive species has led to more research and management programs at local scales, and to  
98 assess if its current acknowledged pest status is deserved. We present an updated assessment  
99 of an invasive species nearly 110 years after it was first record outside of its native range (Fiji  
100 in 1903, Watling 1978) and identify priorities for future research.

## 101 **Methods**

### 102 Species description

103 The red-vented bulbul (*Pycnonotus cafer* Linnaeus, 1766) is a passerine belonging to the  
104 family Pycnonotidae. Earlier names include *Molpastes haemorrhous* J.F. Gmelin, 1789 and

105 *Molpastes cafer* Baker, 1930. The genus *Pycnonotus* comprises 47 species (Delacour 1943,  
106 Dickinson and Dekker 2002), among which the red-vented bulbul is represented by eight  
107 different subspecies (Dickinson et al. 2002). The Pacific sub-species is *P. c. bengalensis*,  
108 Blyth 1845 (Watling 1978).

109 The red-vented bulbul is native to the Indian Subcontinent, Southeast Asia, and Malay  
110 Peninsula (Long 1981). It occurs naturally from Eastern Pakistan to southern China and  
111 Vietnam, and from Northern India to Sri Lanka. The species also has an historic presence in  
112 Bangladesh, Bhutan, Myanmar and Nepal.

### 113 Data collection and analysis

114 We searched for “*Pycnonotus cafer*” and “red-vented bulbul” keywords on Google Scholar,  
115 Web of Science, ScienceDirect and SpringerLink search engines. We looked for information  
116 on impacts primarily, and collected references on pathways of invasion, establishment  
117 success, and management. We also visited the websites of the Governments, Environment  
118 Ministry, Associations and NGOs for each country where the red-vented bulbul was signaled  
119 as present. When our searches failed to find the information we sought, we made direct  
120 contact with people who had reported the presence of this species in each country. Most of the  
121 documents obtained concerned the red-vented bulbul in its alien range. Those that related to  
122 this species in its native range were used to extract information on its biology and ecology in  
123 countries of origin. We also compared the number of references obtained by searching for  
124 each of the species names listed in the IUCN “World’s Worst” list in Google Scholar.

125 In order to update distribution maps, we included records from three international  
126 databases. We used the 252 quotations with references from the Global AVian Invasion Atlas  
127 Project (GAVIA, Dyer et al. 2017), 150 sightings from the Atlas of Breeding Birds of Arabia  
128 (ABBA, Ornithological Society of the Middle East, Jennings 2010) and 40,152 sightings from  
129 the participative eBird database (eBird, Sullivan et al. 2009). Maps were designed using the  
130 following R software packages: maps (Becker et al. 2015a), mapdata (Becker et al. 2015b),  
131 and mapproj (McIlroy et al. 2015) and maptools (Bivand and Lewin-Koh 2016).

132 We classified the reported impacts of the red-vented bulbul into three categories: 1) plant  
133 damage; 2) seed dispersal; and 3) disturbance and impact on fauna. We treated each mention  
134 of a species-specific plant or animal impact as one "report". One published article thus often  
135 contained several "reports" when listing, for example, species of plants consumed, and the full  
136 set of documents potentially contained several reports of impacts on the same species,  
137 sometimes at the same location. We chose this index because it facilitates across-taxa

138 comparisons and it is simple to calculate from the large number of references obtained. In  
139 addition, the ratio of the number of reports by the number of impacted species provides an  
140 informative insight into the attention paid to each impact-category.

## 141 **Results**

### 142 Sources of the information

143 We identified 112 published documents on the red-vented bulbul, and obtained comments  
144 from seven ornithologists and environment managers about the bulbul from its alien range.  
145 The publications comprised 78 academic articles, 15 books, five conference proceedings, five  
146 newsletters and nine professional reports. Details about the information obtained are  
147 presented in **Fig.1**. Among the collected references, 83 addressed the red-vented bulbul in  
148 their alien range:74 from islands and nine from continental areas. Three locations (Fiji,  
149 Hawaii and French Polynesia) were the focus of 42 documents. We used 12 references that  
150 focused on red-vented bulbul in their native range. We also used information from 17  
151 documents dealing with biological invasions at a larger scale. These documents cover a period  
152 from 1926 to today, but we focus here on documents from 1975 onwards. The cumulative  
153 numbers of publications through time are shown in **Fig.2**. A full list of the 112 documents is  
154 given in **Online Resource 1**.

155 Searching for “*Pycnonotus cafer*” in Google Scholar produced 1,370 references. Thus,  
156 among the 100 species listed by the IUCN, the red-vented bulbul ranked 11<sup>th</sup>. In comparison,  
157 we found 4,880 references for “*Acridotheres tristis*”, and 36,500 for “*Sturnus vulgaris*”, the  
158 two other bird species from the list. Searches for “*Pycnonotus jocosus*” and “*Anas*  
159 *platyrhynchos*” resulted 1,300 and 24,300 references respectively.

### 160 Pathways of transport and introduction

161 The red-vented bulbul was first reported in Fiji in ~1903 (Parham 1955), corresponding to the  
162 transportation of Indian immigrants from Calcutta harbor to Fiji in the early 1900s (Watling,  
163 1978). This species was widely used in bird fights in India (Ali and Ripley 1971) because of  
164 its aggressive behavior. Over the following century, the red-vented bulbul was introduced into  
165 19 countries and established in 17 of them (**Fig.3**). It is now present in at least 37 islands and  
166 seven continental locations, and is anticipated to continue its range expansion in several  
167 archipelagos. The first recorded year of observation per country is presented in **Table 1**. Most  
168 introductions of the red-vented bulbul have been in the Pacific and in the Middle East, but the

169 species was recently recorded in southern Europe (Malaga, Spain) and in North America  
170 (Houston, Texas, USA) (**Fig.3**). The exact reason for introduction is known for only three  
171 locations. The red-vented bulbul was deliberately introduced (1940s) to Tongatapu (Tonga) to  
172 control unwanted insects (Watling 1978). An American troopship re-routed to Apia took  
173 caged birds to Samoa in 1943 instead of New Caledonia that was the intended destination  
174 (Watling 1978), and the species was intentionally released in Nouméa (New Caledonia) in  
175 1983 by bird dealers to avoid prosecution (Gill

176 et al. 1995). For 10 other locations, bird trade is most often the suspected reason for  
177 introduction. Birds were kept in cages and transported by boat or airplane, with accidental or  
178 intentional release occurring commonly around harbors, airports and markets. For the Pacific  
179 locations, transportation of caged birds and accidental transport of free birds by boat have  
180 been the main introduction pathways, with a few records indicating that some introductions  
181 have occurred near airports. The red-vented bulbul remains abundant in Tahiti (French  
182 Polynesia) and is expanding its range in the Polynesian archipelago (T. Ghestemme  
183 *pers.comm.*). In the Middle East, land and air transport of cage birds between markets is  
184 implicated. It is not known how the species got to Houston (Texas, USA), Malaga or  
185 Corralejo (Spain).

#### 186 Establishment success

187 The red vented bulbul is currently considered established in 36 of the 46 locations where it  
188 has been historically recorded. Up-to-date information is lacking for three small Pacific  
189 islands ('Eua, Savai'i and Ailinglaplap). We found mentions of red-vented bulbuls in  
190 Melbourne in 1918 and 1942 (Lendon 1952, Watling 1978), but the species has not been  
191 reported there since and it was recorded as "Eradicated" in Australia in the global invasive  
192 species database (<http://www.issg.org/database>). It was observed on five islands in the Hawaii  
193 archipelago between 1982 and 1989, but it seems that it failed to establish beyond Oahu  
194 (Walker 2008). It was eradicated from Auckland, New Zealand, in 1955 (Watling 1978), 3  
195 years after the first observation in 1952 (Turbott 1956).

#### 196 Recorded Impacts

197 The red-vented bulbul is commonly blamed for three categories of negative impacts, mostly  
198 related to its diverse diet that comprises fruits and berries (Islam and Williams 2000, Brooks  
199 2013), and flowers, buds, insects and small reptiles (Vander velde 2002). We found 165

200 reports (110 species) of plants that are eaten by the red-vented bulbul. Among these, 50%  
201 concerned the degradation of cultivated plants and 35% related to seed dispersal. The  
202 remaining 17% (26 species from 17 families) were reports of consumption without  
203 consideration of the impacts. In comparison, we found 22 reports of impacts on local fauna in  
204 the bulbul's alien range.

205 Damage to cultivated plants is the most frequently reported impact of the red-vented bulbul  
206 in its alien range (**Fig.4**), but these studies were conducted in just four locations. In contrast,  
207 the publications reporting the red-vented bulbul to be a problematic seed disperser come from  
208 eight locations (six countries), and faunal impacts are reported for 17 species from 11  
209 locations.

#### 210 Plant damage

211 The red-vented bulbul has been reported to cause damage to at least 52 plant species (**Table**  
212 **2**) belonging to 25 families with 67% (35 species) being food plants and 33% (17 species)  
213 being ornamental plant species. The full list of damaged and dispersed plant species by family  
214 and species is given in **Online Resource 2**.

215 The impact of the red-vented bulbul appears to be particularly serious on Oahu (Hawaii),  
216 where Walker (2008) reported them consuming several species of fruits, vegetables and  
217 flowers, leading to considerable economic losses. The estimated value of the damage to  
218 Oahu's Orchid industry in one year (1989) was \$300,000 (Fox, 2011) when the red-vented  
219 bulbul together with the Japanese white-eye (*Zosterops japonicus*) reportedly destroying up to  
220 75% of Hawaiian orchid and anthurium plantations (Cummings et al. 1994). In New  
221 Caledonia, significant impacts have been recorded for some crops and plant nurseries  
222 (Metzdorf and Brescia 2008) with up to 35% losses (Caplong and Barjon 2010). Conversely,  
223 the red-vented bulbul is not considered an agricultural pest in Fiji (Watling 1979), nor in  
224 Houston (Texas, USA) where it was found to consume mainly introduced tropical plant  
225 species (Brooks 2013).

#### 226 Seed dispersal

227 We found 56 mentions of problematic seed dispersal by the red-vented bulbul (**Table 2**) from  
228 six countries inside its alien range. The red-vented bulbul is able to spread the seeds of at least  
229 33 plant species from 25 families. Among these species, 30% are considered alien (10  
230 species) and 42% invasive (14 species) in the alien locations. We found records of only one



231 endemic (*Coprosma taitensis*, Tahiti) and eightFdeso native species that are spread by this  
232 bird (Spotswood et al. 2012).

233 The red-vented bulbul is considered a major vector of the invasive tree *Miconia calvescens*  
234 in Tahiti (Meyer 1996) and can potentially disperse seven other alien plant species in French  
235 Polynesia including *Lantana camara* (Spotswood et al. 2012; 2013). Its ability to disperse  
236 *Miconia* and *Lantana* is not unique to the red-vented bulbul, and many other species, both  
237 alien and native, also disperse seeds of these plants, and the propensity of the red-vented  
238 bulbul to disperse seeds of these plants varies from island to island. For example, the  
239 introduced silvereye (*Zosterops lateralis*) also disperses these seeds in Tahiti, but in Moorea  
240 the endemic fruit dove (*Ptilinopus purpuralis*) disperses seeds of these alien plants. In Fiji, the  
241 red-vented bulbul contributes to the spread of primary colonist weeds (Watling 1979). In New  
242 Caledonia, the red-vented bulbul is suspected of spreading seeds of another invasive species:  
243 *Schinus terebinthifolius*, as it is often observed feeding on fruits (Spotswood et al. 2012;  
244 Thouzeau-Fonseca 2013).

#### 245 Disturbance and impact on fauna

246 The list of animal species reported to be impacted by the red-vented bulbul is presented in  
247 **Table 3**. The list comprises 15 species of bird, one reptile and one insect. Only one study  
248 addressed the issue of how the aggressive behavior of the red-vented bulbul affected the other  
249 bird species (Pernetta and Watling 1978).

250 On Oahu (Hawaii), direct predation of the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) by the  
251 red-vented bulbul led to an induced color selection against the orange morph in the monarch  
252 (Stimson and Berman 1990). After 10 years, the same authors reported a predation transfer to  
253 the larvae, leading to an overall decline in abundance of the butterfly (Stimson and Kasuya  
254 2000). In Tahiti, red-vented bulbuls are considered a threat to the Tahiti monarch (*Pomarea*  
255 *nigra*), an endemic and critically endangered passerine, through competition for nest sites and  
256 territory (Blanvillain et al. 2003).

257 In Fiji, several authors have reported red-vented bulbuls displaying aggressive behavior  
258 and competition for food resources towards other passerine species (Clunie 1976, Pernetta and  
259 Watling 1978, Williams 2011). However, Watling (1979) suspected that the observed  
260 confinement of native bird species to forest was mainly due to habitat loss rather than the  
261 aggressive behavior of the red-vented bulbul in Fiji. On Tutuila (American Samoa), Sherman  
262 and Fall (2010) observed that bulbuls competed for access to food resources with two  
263 passerine species. Finally, insect and skink predation by red-vented bulbuls is mentioned in

264 several studies (Vander Velde 2002, Walker 2008, Brooks 2013). In the Middle East, cross-  
265 breeding between the exotic red-vented bulbul and the three closely related native species  
266 (white-cheeked bulbul, *P. leucogenys*; the white-eared bulbul (*P. leucotis*) and the yellow-  
267 vented bulbul, *P. xanthopygos*) is often reported as a potential threat for native bulbuls (Khan  
268 1993, Nation et al. 1997, Gregory 2005, Azin et al. 2008, Khamis 2010).

269 Dispersal of neither endo- nor ecto-parasites by red-vented bulbul is well documented in its  
270 alien range (**Table 4**). In its native range, the red-vented bulbul is known to host *Isospora* spp.  
271 (Boughton et al. 1938), *Menacanthus eurysternus* (Price, 1975), *Bruelia guldum* and  
272 *Sturnidoecus guldum* (Ansari 1957) and *Pteroherpus pycnonoti* (Constantinescu et al.,  
273 unpublished).

274 In 1996, Jarvi et al. (2003) detected no avian malaria (*Plasmodium* spp.) in blood smears,  
275 and Atkinson et al. (2006) found no evidence of *Plasmodium*, *Trypanosoma*, *Atoxoplasma* or  
276 microfilaria. Red-vented bulbuls in Tahiti, however, have been found to carry the zoonotic  
277 disease *Chlamydia* sp. (Blanvillain et al. 2013).

278

#### 279 Positive impacts

280 Red vented bulbuls feed on a variety of native plant species (Trail 1994; Sherman and Fall  
281 2010), and dispersal of native seeds is the only service that has been explored in the bulbul's  
282 alien range (Spotswood et al. 2012). Interestingly, in a village-scale survey led by Daigneault  
283 and Brown (2013) in Viti Levu (Fiji), 47% of the respondents reported that the red-vented  
284 bulbul was good for their community and highlighted three main reasons. First, the bulbul  
285 was effective at insect control. Second, the bulbul reduced mongoose attacks on chickens.  
286 Third, village focus groups responded that red-vented bulbuls were occasionally eaten by  
287 villagers.

#### 288 Management

289 The red-vented bulbul is considered an invasive species and environmental pest under the law  
290 in Australia (Tasmanian government 2010), Fiji (Minister of Primary Industries 1985), French  
291 Polynesia (Direction de l'environnement de la Polynésie Française 2016), Hawaii (Division of  
292 Forestry and Wildlife 2013), New Caledonia (Direction du Développement Economique et de  
293 l'Environnement 2008, Direction de l'ENVironnement de la Province Sud 2016), New  
294 Zealand (Ministry of Primary Industries 2017), South Africa (Department of Environmental  
295 Affairs 2016) and Spain (Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentacion y Medio Ambiente, 2013).  
296 In these countries, transportation, trade or possession of this species is forbidden, and hunting

297 is authorized. We found no mention of this species as a pest or invasive species in other  
298 countries.

299 We found only three examples of management action taken against the red-vented bulbul  
300 in its alien range. The first one is the successful eradication program implemented in New  
301 Zealand between 1952 and 1955 (Turbott 1956). This program allowed the early detection  
302 and shooting of bulbuls thanks to a reward associated with a call for information and led to an  
303 announcement of eradication in 1955 (Watling 1978). This management strategy remains in  
304 place in New Zealand and it helped prevent establishment following two more recent  
305 introduction events (September 2006 and February 2013).

306 Second, a cage test conducted in Hawaii on bird repellent showed that Ziram, Methiocarb  
307 and Methyl anthranilate reduced the consumption of treated papaya mash by red-vented  
308 bulbuls (Cummings et al. 1994). In an open-field test, the same authors showed that  
309 Methiocarb significantly reduced damages on orchids.

310 The third location where management actions have been implemented against the red-  
311 vented bulbul is the island of Tahiti in French Polynesia. In Tahiti, a management program that  
312 was not focused on red-vented bulbul management specifically, but rather on Tahiti monarch  
313 conservation, aimed to control alien birds. Pilot control campaigns were implemented twice,  
314 in 2012 and 2013 (Saavedra 2012, 2013), against the red vented-bulbul and the common  
315 myna. These actions resulted in 1,035 red-vented bulbuls being trapped in 2012, and 849 in  
316 2013 and led to an increase in the breeding success of the Tahiti monarch (Saavedra 2013).  
317 Elsewhere in the French Polynesia archipelago, bulbul removal programs are in progress in  
318 Bora-Bora, Makatea and Nuku Hiva, three islands where the species is still rare but that are  
319 located near uninhabited parts of the archipelago.

320 In Fiji, a recent cost-benefit analysis of controlling the red-vented bulbul recommended  
321 “taking no action against the bulbul until such time as other benefits and or means of control  
322 have been field tested” (Daigneault and Brown 2013).

## 323 **Discussion**

324 The red-vented bulbul is still expanding its range into islands and continental areas across a  
325 wide geographic range between latitudes 22°N and 36°S. The number of references associated  
326 with this species outside its native range is also growing, but remains low compared to other  
327 species listed in the IUCN “100 world’s worst list”. As an example, searching for  
328 “*Acridotheres tristis*” in Google Scholar results in a four times larger output than the  
329 keywords “*Pycnonotus cafer*”. Based on this metric, the mallard and the red-whiskered bulbul

330 could have been included in the IUCN list in the same way as suggested in Martin-Albarracin  
331 et al. (2015). This reflects the heterogeneity in the attention paid to this “world’s worst  
332 invasive species”. In fact, more than half of the information we obtained came from just three  
333 island locations: Fiji, where the species was first transported; Hawaii, where it was  
334 responsible for huge economic losses; and French Polynesia, where it was considered to  
335 contribute to pressures on endemic biodiversity. Dispersal of the red-vented bulbul is strongly  
336 linked to human activities, as is the case for other bird species (Cassey et al. 2015). In Assam  
337 in the north-east of India, bulbul fights were part of a traditional and religious annual  
338 celebration until this was banned in January 2016. Wild bulbuls were trapped, kept in cages  
339 and prepared for the fights, and finally released if they won (Shalet 2016). The long and close  
340 relationship with humans led to the transportation of caged birds across the Pacific Ocean by  
341 Indian migrants from the early 20th century, first by boat, and then by airplane from the  
342 1950s, certainly fostered the bulbul expansion (Hulme 2009). This was also a key period for  
343 invasion biology, with the publication of the Elton’s book (1958) marking the start of an  
344 increasing scientific interest in this field. While we found just eight references to this species  
345 between 1926 and 1966, 15 were published between 1967 and 1978. This species is still sold  
346 in local markets in several countries of the Arabic Peninsula (J. Babbington *pers.com.*), and  
347 bird trade remains the suspected principal vector of red-vented bulbul in this region.

348       Precise historical data are lacking regarding the propagule pressure, exact pathways of  
349 introduction, and dates associated with each introduction event, and we found very few  
350 records of this species being introduced but failing to establish. Globally, the establishment  
351 success recorded from Pacific islands to the USA or Europe suggests a better latitudinal  
352 plasticity of this species toward climate than expected when looking at the native distribution  
353 only. Moreover, its populations are considered to be self-sustaining or increasing in most of  
354 the tropical islands to which it has been introduced. Conversely, in most of the alien  
355 continental areas, population trends are considered steady or decreasing (ABBA database,  
356 Jennings 2004). This global pattern is consistent with the finding of Cassey et al. (2004) who  
357 showed that without consideration of the propagule pressure, islands are significantly  
358 associated with introduction success and increased geographical range in birds.

359       Interest in introduced red-vented bulbuls grew rapidly in response to the considerable  
360 damage it caused on orchid production on Oahu, Hawaii, following its arrival in 1966.  
361 However, except for a few mentions of the cost associated with this issue (Cummings et al.  
362 2014, Fox et al. 2011), all references that reported damage to plant production referred only to  
363 species lists, inducing a lack in quantitative data on this impact category (Martin-Albarracin et

364 al. 2015). Impact scores attributed to the red-vented bulbul in the study of Martin-Albarracin et  
365 al. (2015) were based on the two other impact categories. Seeds dispersal was demonstrated in  
366 three studies that explored the dispersal pattern of invasive plants such as *M. calvescence*.  
367 But these studies were all conducted in French Polynesia, and concluded that seed dispersal  
368 networks are complex and the interactions between native and alien plants and birds depend  
369 on both the frugivore community and on the relative abundance of available fruit (Spotswood  
370 et al. 2012). Negative impacts through competition also gain mention in three studies.  
371 Particularly, the aggressive behavior of red-vented bulbul was reported in Fiji and French  
372 Polynesia. In Tahiti, its aggressiveness toward adults of Tahiti monarchs (*P. nigra*) combined  
373 with predation by black rats (*Rattus rattus*) has contributed substantially to the decline in  
374 abundance of the critically endangered monarch species (Thibault et al. 2002). However, the  
375 same author reported that the main cause of the Tahiti monarch decline was predation by the  
376 black rat. The red-vented bulbul was blamed as a strong competitor because of its aggressive  
377 behavior, but rats, cats, and other bird species such as the common myna are also recognized  
378 as chick predators or nest competitors (Blanvillain et al., 2003; Ghestemme 2011). According  
379 to Saavedra (2012), the combined effects of the myna and red-vented bulbul were responsible  
380 for 35% of the nest failing of the Tahiti Monarch in 2012. Except for observed hybridization  
381 with its native cousins from the Pycnonotidae family in the Middle-East (Kahn 1993, Nation  
382 et al. 1997), there are no reported impacts of red-vented bulbuls in continental areas (Khamis  
383 2010, Brooks 2013). However, we reported some other potential impacts of the red-vented  
384 bulbul such as predation, hybridization, and dispersal of ecto- and endoparasites that were not  
385 included in any previous impact scoring attempts. This highlights a large knowledge gap  
386 about how the inter-specific behavior of the red-vented bulbul impacts other species.  
387 Therefore, we believe that the role of the red-vented bulbul in the decline of plant or animal  
388 species is still to be demonstrated, or at least quantified, as has been done for other major  
389 invasive bird species such as the common myna (Lowe et al. 2011).

390 Moreover, positive effects or ecosystem services brought by introduced red-vented bulbuls  
391 have been poorly studied in its alien range, but may compensate to some degree for noxious  
392 impacts at the local scale (Daigneault and Brown 2013). Studies conducted in the bulbul  
393 native range confirmed part of this assessment. For example, it was shown that the bulbul was  
394 effective at insect control, including eating the widespread and highly polyphagous  
395 agricultural pest *Helicoverpa armigera* (Rana et al. 2014, 2016). By doing so, they improved  
396 curd and seed yields of cauliflower. The bulbul was also found to be an efficient pollinator of  
397 *Erythrina variegata* in India (Raju et al. 2004). Finally, an anti-predator response strategy that

398 relies on eavesdropping of the bulbul's alarm call may also benefit other species such as  
399 *Emoia cyanurea*, a species of skink that is widespread throughout Pacific islands (Fuong et al.  
400 2014). These few examples suggest that positive impacts may partly counterbalance the three  
401 categories of negative impacts attributed to the red-vented bulbul depending on the  
402 environment where the species occur.

403 For this reason, local-scale surveys led by Daigneault and Brown (2013) are crucial to  
404 inform local farmers and environment managers. We found few published studies dealing  
405 with the local management of the red-vented bulbul in its alien range. One is the biosecurity  
406 protocol currently in place in New Zealand (Watling 1978) that illustrates the efficiency of  
407 locally preventing alien species introductions on reducing their dispersal (Edelaar and Tella  
408 2012). A test of bird repellents on Hawaiian orchids and papaya production demonstrated the  
409 efficiency of three chemicals (Cummings et al. 2014). In their study exploring the efficiency  
410 of bird repellent methods in the bulbul native range, Patyal and Rana (2005) highlighted nets  
411 as the most efficient methods although it can be costly to implement on large orchards. In  
412 their overview of birds impacts on Indian agriculture, Kale et al. (2012) reviewed the existing  
413 repellent techniques used against birds including the red-vented bulbul, and underlined two  
414 main limits to their use being i) social and ecological issues associated with killing birds and  
415 ii) danger of most chemical repellants for the biodiversity. This suggest that preventing  
416 damages of the red-vented bulbul on plants is feasible and that the investment intensity and  
417 the method used mostly depends on local communities. On the other hand, preventing impacts  
418 on seed dispersal and native fauna will rely on bird control programs and we found no  
419 feedbacks of such operations from the red-vented bulbul alien range yet. Results of the control  
420 programs currently in course in French Polynesia will certainly contribute to fill this gap  
421 (Saavedra 2013). In comparison, 13 eradication programs were conducted on islands against  
422 the common Myna and two against the red-whiskered bulbul that were mostly successful  
423 (DIISE 2015). Thus, more research is needed in the countries were the bulbul was introduced  
424 to evaluate threats associated with this species and guide adapted management strategies.  
425 Priority should be given to captive and field assessments of its diet and foraging ecology in its  
426 alien range. This would allow more accurate determination of the range of resources it uses  
427 and its prey (Bhatt and Kumar 2001), its role in seed dispersal (Spotswood et al. 2012), and its  
428 interspecific relationships (Bates 2014).

429 Management strategies often rely on rigorous expert assessment and are mostly “restricted  
430 only to species for which there is already some suspicion of a threat, often an agricultural  
431 one” (Simberloff 2003). Even for suspected pests, risk assessment is often based on

432 “anecdotal observations relating to small areas only” rather than direct scientific research  
433 (Strubbe et al. 2011). The alien range of the red-vented bulbul, mostly consisting of tropical  
434 islands, could have also contributed to the negative reputation of the bird as island ecosystems  
435 are especially sensitive to the arrival of alien species (Sax and Gaines 2008, Tershy et al.  
436 2015). The high endemicity and naivety of insular species accentuates their vulnerability  
437 (Gerard et al. 2016, Walsh et al. 2012). This sensitivity of tropical islands towards alien  
438 species may also be reinforced with the risk that a newly established population becomes a  
439 stepping stone for further introduction events through short-distance colonization (Gillespie et  
440 al. 2012). The information we present here supports this claim, with most reported impacts of  
441 red-vented bulbul on biodiversity and plant production being from tropical islands, but even  
442 here the bulbul’s reported impacts are heterogeneous and typically non-specific. This work  
443 reveal that the red-vented bulbul remains highly understudied considering its invasive and  
444 pest status. The species’ long and close associations with people in its native range and  
445 subsequent transportation around the world as a cage-bird, coupled with its competitive  
446 foraging behavior (Sherman and Fall 2010), have surely contributed to its presence among the  
447 IUCN-ISSG list of the world’s worst invasive species, but this may well be overstated.  
448 Detailed and specific knowledge of this bulbul’s impacts and the threats it poses is essential,  
449 and Kumschick et al. (2015) recently insisted on the need for such information to inform the  
450 construction of global prioritization lists. In comparison, the red-whiskered bulbul or the  
451 mallard, for example, apparently attracted a more attention from both scientists and managers.

452 In conclusion, we found few references on the red-vented bulbul, reflecting a less attention  
453 paid by scientists to this species compared to the other world’s worst invasive species. The  
454 consideration of its negative impacts is largely influenced by few island locations whereas it  
455 is considered elsewhere as harmless, which prevent us from considering the bulbul as an  
456 absolute pest. Negative impacts led to the implementation of management programs in only  
457 one country and crop protection methods exist but are not necessarily used by local  
458 communities. Therefore, we suggest that the red-vented may not always be a dangerous pest.

#### 459 **Acknowledgements**

460 We thank the Global Avian Invasions Atlas program, the eBird community and the  
461 Ornithological Society of the Middle East for giving access to parts of their databases. Thanks  
462 to T. Ghestemme, J. Babbington, J. Eriksen, M. Pope, N. Morris, J. Buchan and M. Jennings  
463 for their assistance while summarizing information from the Middle East.

#### 464 **Compliance with ethical standards**

465 **Conflict of interest** The authors state that they have no conflict of interest.



466 **References**

- 467 Ali S, Ripley SD (1996) Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan Together with those of  
468 Bangladesh Nepal, Bhutan And Sri Lanka. Oxford University Press, USA
- 469 Ansari MAR (1957) Studies on ischnoceran Mallophaga infesting birds in the Panjab. Indian  
470 Journal of Entomologie 20:46–62.
- 471 Atkinson CT, Utzurrum RC, Seamon JO, et al (2006) Hematozoa of forest birds in American  
472 Samoa - Evidence for a diverse, indigenous parasite fauna from the South Pacific. Pacific  
473 Conserv Biol 12:229–237
- 474 Azin F, Nosrati SM, Amini H (2008) Occurrence of the Red-vented Bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer*  
475 on Kish Island, Northeastern Persian Gulf, Iran. Podocees 3:105–107
- 476 Bates JH, Spotswood EN, Russell JC (2014) Foraging behaviour and habitat partitioning in  
477 sympatric invasive birds in French Polynesia. Notornis 61:35–42
- 478 Becker RA, Wilks AR, Brownrigg R, Minka TP, Deckmyn A (2015a) maps: Draw  
479 Geographical Maps. R package version 3.0.0-2. [http://CRAN.R-](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maps)  
480 [project.org/package=maps](http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maps).
- 481 Becker RA, Wilks AR, Brownrigg R (2015b) mapdata: Extra Map Databases. R package  
482 version 2.2-5. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mapdata>
- 483 Berger AJ (1972) Hawaiian birdlife. University Press of Hawai'i, Hawaii
- 484 Berger AJ (1975) Red-whiskered and Red-vented bulbuls on Oahu. Elepaio, 36:16-19
- 485 Bergman DL, Chandler MD, Locklear A (2000) The economic impact of invasive species to  
486 wildlife services'cooperators. In: Clark L, Hone J, Shivik JA, et al. (eds) Human  
487 Conflicts with Wildlife: Economic Considerations. Proceedings of the Third NWRC  
488 Special Symposium, August 1-3, 2000, Fort Collins, CO, pp 169–178
- 489 Bhatt D, Kumar A (2001) Foraging ecology of Red-vented Bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* in  
490 Haridwar, India. Forktail 17:109–110
- 491 Bivand R, Lewin-Koh N (2016) maptools: Tools for Reading Hling Spatial Objects. R  
492 package version 0.8-37. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maptools>
- 493 Blanvillain C, Salducci JM, Tutururai G, Maeura M (2003) Impact of introduced birds on the  
494 recovery of the Tahiti Flycatcher (*Pomarea nigra*), a critically endangered forest bird of  
495 Tahiti. Biol Conserv 109:197–205. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(02)00147-7
- 496 Blanvillain C, Saavedra S, Withers T (2013) Mission d'enquête sur la contamination de  
497 l'avifaune par salmonella enteritidis et d'autre pathogènes des volailles. Rapport  
498 SOP/DIREN, Tahiti, French Polynesia
- 499 Blackburn TM, Pyšek P, Bacher S, et al (2011) A proposed unified framework for biological  
500 invasions. Trends Ecol Evol 26:333–339. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.023

- 501 Blackburn TM, Essl F, Evans T, et al (2014) A Unified Classification of Alien Species Based  
502 on the Magnitude of their Environmental Impacts. PLoS Biol 12:e1001850. doi:  
503 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001850
- 504 Blondel J, Hoffmann B, Courchamp F (2014) The end of Invasion Biology: Intellectual  
505 debate does not equate to nonsensical science. Biol Invasions 16:977–979. doi:  
506 10.1007/s10530-013-0560-6
- 507 Boughton DC, Boughton RB, Volk J (1938) Avian hosts of the genus *Isospora* (Coccidiida).  
508 Ohio J Sci 38:149–163
- 509 Brochier B, Vangeluwe D, van den Berg T (2010) Alien invasive birds. Rev Sci Tech OIE  
510 29:217–225
- 511 Brooks DM (2013) Ecology, behavior, and reproduction of an introduced population of Red-  
512 vented Bulbuls (*Pycnonotus cafer*) in Houston, Texas. Wilson J Ornithol 125:800–808.  
513 doi: 10.1676/13-037.1
- 514 Burgiel SW, Perrault AM (2011) Black, white gray lists. In: Simberloff D, Rejmánek M (eds)  
515 Encyclopedia of Biological Invasions. University of California Press, London, pp 75–77
- 516 Caplong P, Barjon F (2010) Le Bulbul à ventre rouge, une star méconnue en Nouvelle  
517 Calédonie. La Calédonie Agricole. 123:22–25
- 518 Carlson EA (1974) The avifauna of Tonga. Peace Corps, Tonga
- 519 Cassey P, Blackburn TM, Sol D, et al (2004) Global patterns of introduction effort and  
520 establishment success in birds. P Roy Soc Lond B Bio Sci 271:S405–S408. doi:  
521 10.1098/rsbl.2004.0199
- 522 Cassey P, Vall-Llosera Camps M, Dyer E, Blackburn TM (2015) The Biogeography of Avian  
523 Invasions: history, accident and market trade. In: Canning-Clode J (ed) Biological  
524 Invasions in Changing Ecosystems: Vectors, Ecological Impacts, Management and  
525 Predictions. De Gruyter, Berlin, pp 37–54.
- 526 Clapp RB, Sibley FC (1966) Notes on the birds of Tutuila, American Samoa. Notornis  
527 13:157–164
- 528 Clunie F (1976) Red-vented Bulbul uses Vanikoro Broadbill nest. Notornis 23:263
- 529 Cummings JL, Mason JR, Otis DL, et al (1994) Evaluation of methiocarb, ziram, and methyl  
530 anthranilate as bird repellents applied to dendrobium orchids. Wildlife Soc B 22:633–  
531 638
- 532 Daigneault A, Brown P (2013) Invasive species management in the Pacific using survey data  
533 and benefit-cost analysis. In: 57th Australian Agriculture and Resource Economics  
534 Society Annual Conference. Australian Agriculture and Resource Economics Society,  
535 Sydney, Australia, pp 1–28.

- 536 Department of environmental affairs (2016). Biodiversity (NEMBA) Act 10 of 2004, List 4:  
 537 National List of Invasive Bird Species. Pretoria, South Africa.  
 538 <http://invasives.org.za/legislation/what-does-the-law-say> accessed on 10 april 2017
- 539 Delacour J (1943) A revision of the genera and species of the family Pyconotidae (Bulbuls).  
 540 *Zoologica* 28(1): 17-28
- 541 Dhondt A (1976) Bird observations in Western Samoa. *Notornis* 23:29–43
- 542 Dickinson E, Dekker R (2002) Systematic notes on Asian birds. 25. A preliminary review of  
 543 the Pycnonotidae. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* 340:93–114
- 544 DIISE (2015) The database of island invasive species eradications, developed by Island  
 545 Conservation, Coastal Conservation Action Laboratory UCSC, IUCN SSC Invasive  
 546 Species Specialist Group, University of Auckland and Landcare Research New Zealand.  
 547 <http://diise.islandconservation.org/>. Accessed 11 April 2017
- 548 Direction du développement économique et de l'environnement (2008) Code de  
 549 l'environnement de la Province Nord, Livre II, Titre VI, Chap 1, Annexe à l'article 261-  
 550 1: Liste des espèces envahissantes en province Nord., Koné, Nouvelle-Calédonie.  
 551 [http://www.province-](http://www.province-nord.nc/documents/deliberations/DDEE/Code%20Environnement.pdf)  
 552 [nord.nc/documents/deliberations/DDEE/Code%20Environnement.pdf](http://www.province-nord.nc/documents/deliberations/DDEE/Code%20Environnement.pdf) accessed on 15  
 553 april 2017
- 554 Direction de l'environnement de la Polynésie Française (2016) Code de l'environnement de la  
 555 Polynésie Française, Section 2 : Désignation des espèces menaçant la biodiversité.  
 556 Papeete-Tahiti, Polynésie Française.  
 557 <http://www.2dattitude.org/ressources/k2d/pdf/1/1D/1D05/1D05-01/1D05-01-01.pdf>  
 558 accessed on 15 april 2017
- 559 Direction de l'environnement de la Province Sud (2016) Code de l'environnement de la  
 560 Province Sud, Livre II, Titre V, Article 250-2, IV : Liste des espèces animales exotiques  
 561 envahissantes., Nouméa, Nouvelle-Calédonie. [https://eprovince-](https://eprovince-sud.nc/sites/default/files/2016-04-Code%20environnement%20province%20Sud%20Avril%202016.pdf)  
 562 [sud.nc/sites/default/files/2016-04-Code environnement province Sud Avril 2016.pdf](https://eprovince-sud.nc/sites/default/files/2016-04-Code%20environnement%20province%20Sud%20Avril%202016.pdf)  
 563 accessed on 15 april 2017
- 564 Division of Forestry and wildlife (2014) Hawai'i administrative rules, Chap 124-Exhibit 4:  
 565 Introduced wild birds other than game birds which have become established in the wild.  
 566 Honolulu, Hawaii. <http://dlnr.hawaii.gov/dofaw/files/2013/09/Chap124a-Ex.pdf>  
 567 accessed on 15 april 2017
- 568 Donlan CJ, Wilcox C (2008) Assessing ecological responses to environmental change using  
 569 statistical models. *J Appl Ecol* 45:1114–1123. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.0
- 570 Dyer EE, Redding DW, Blackburn TM (2017). The Global Avian Invasions Atlas-A database  
 571 of alien bird distributions worldwide. *Sci Data* 4,0170041
- 572 Elton CS (1958) The ecology of invasions by plants and animals. Methuen, London

- 573 Edelaar PIM, Tella JL (2012). Managing non-native species: don't wait until their impacts are  
574 proven. *Ibis*, 154:635-637
- 575 Fox L (2011) Red-vented bulbul can alter ecosystems. *Maui News* 6.
- 576 Fuong H, Keeley KN, Bulut Y, Blumstein DT (2014) Heterospecific alarm call eavesdropping  
577 in nonvocal, white-bellied copper-striped skinks, *Emoia cyanura*. *Anim Behav* 95:129–  
578 135. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.07.005
- 579 Gerard A, Jourdan H, Millon A, Vidal E (2016) Knocking on Heaven's door: Are novel  
580 invaders necessarily facing naïve native species on islands? *PLoS ONE* 11:1–14. doi:  
581 10.1371/journal.pone.0151545
- 582 Ghestemme T (2011) Impact of introduced birds on Tahiti Monarch. *PII Newsletter* 12-2011
- 583 Gill BJ, Hunt GR, Sirgouant S (1995) Red-vented Bulbuls (*Pycnonotus cafer*) in New  
584 Caledonia. *Notornis* 42:214–215
- 585 Gillespie RG, Baldwin BG, Waters JM, et al (2012) Long-distance dispersal: a framework for  
586 hypothesis testing. *Trends Ecol Evol* 27:47-56
- 587 Gregory G (2005) The birds of the State of Kuwait. Cadeby Books, Grimsby, United  
588 Kingdom
- 589 Gren IM, Campos M, Gustafsson L (2016) Economic development, institutions, and  
590 biodiversity loss at the global scale. *Reg Environ Change* 16:445–457. doi:  
591 10.1007/s10113-015-0754-9
- 592 Hannecart F, Letocart Y (1980) Oiseaux de Nouvelle Calédonie et des Loyautés. *Cardinalis*,  
593 Nouméa
- 594 Hulme PE (2009) Trade, transport and trouble: Managing invasive species pathways in an era  
595 of globalization. *J Appl Ecol* 46:10–18. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01600.x
- 596 Islam K, Williams RN (2000) Red-vented Bulbul (*Pycnonotus cafer*) Red-whiskered Bulbul  
597 (*Pycnonotus jocosus*). *The Birds of North America* number 520
- 598 Jarvi SI, Farias MEM, Baker H, et al (2003) Detection of avian malaria (*Plasmodium* spp.) in  
599 native land birds of American Samoa. *Conserv Genet* 4:629–637. doi:  
600 10.1023/A:1025626529806
- 601 Jennings MC (2004) Breeding birds in Central Arabia 1978-2003. *Sandgrouse*, 26:35-47
- 602 Jennings MC (2010) Atlas of the breeding birds of Arabia. *Fauna of Arabia*, 25:1-751
- 603 Kale M, Balfors B, Mörtberg U, Bhattacharya P, Chakane S (2012). Damage to agricultural  
604 yield due to farmland birds, present repelling techniques and its impacts: an insight from  
605 the Indian perspective. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 8 :49-62

- 606 Keane RM, Crawley MJ (2002) Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis.  
607 Trends Ecol Evol 17:164–170. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02499-0
- 608 Khamis A (2010) Alien species in Bahrain the overseen threats. Bahrain Natural History  
609 Society Newsletter number 25
- 610 Khan MAR (1993) The introduced but naturalized avifauna of the United Arab Emirates.  
611 Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society 90:437–445
- 612 Kumschick S, Nentwig W (2010) Some alien birds have as severe an impact as the most  
613 effectual alien mammals in Europe. Biol Conserv 143:2757–2762. doi:  
614 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.023
- 615 Kumschick S, Bacher S, Dawson W, et al (2012) A conceptual framework for prioritization of  
616 invasive alien species for management according to their impact. NeoBiota 15:69–100.  
617 doi: 10.3897/neobiota.15.3323
- 618 Kumschick S, Blackburn TM, Richardson DM (2015) Managing alien bird species: Time to  
619 move beyond ‘100 of the worst’ lists? Bird Conserv Int 26:1–10. doi:  
620 10.1017/S0959270915000167
- 621 Lendon A (1952) Bulbuls in Melbourne. Emu 52:67–68
- 622 Long JL (1981) Introduced birds of the world: The worldwide history, distribution and  
623 influence of birds introduced to new environments. Universe Books, New York
- 624 Lowe S, Browne M, Boudjelas S, De Poorter M (2000) 100 of the World’s Worst Invasive  
625 Alien Species A selection from the Global Invasive Species Database. The Invasive  
626 Species Specialist Group (ISSG), Auckland
- 627 Lowe, K., C. Taylor, and R. Major. 2011. Do common mynas significantly compete with  
628 native birds in urban environments? J Ornithol 152:1-11  
629
- 630 Mack RN, Simberloff D, Lonsdale, MW, Evans H, Clout M, Bazzaz FA (2000). Biotic  
631 invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecological  
632 applications, 10:689-710
- 633 Martin-Albarracin VL, Amico GC, Simberloff D, Nuñez MA (2015) Impact of Non-Native  
634 Birds on Native Ecosystems: A Global Analysis. PLoS ONE 10:e0143070. doi:  
635 10.1371/journal.pone.0143070
- 636 McIlroy D, Brownrigg R, Minka TP, Biv R (2015) mapproj: Map Projections. R package  
637 version 1.2-4.<http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mapproj>
- 638 Metzdorf N, Brescia F (2008) Impact de l’avifaune et des roussettes sur les productions  
639 fruitières en Nouvelle-Calédonie, Etude préliminaire – Etat des lieux et  
640 recommandations. IAC/Axe2, Paita Unpublished report

- 641 Meyer J-Y (1993) Dispersion de *Miconia calvescens* par les oiseaux dans les îles de la  
642 société. Séminaire Manu (Connaissance et Protection des Oiseaux en Polynésie  
643 orientale). Société d'Ornithologie de Polynésie, Papeete
- 644 Meyer J-Y (1996) Status of *Miconia calvescens* (Melastomaceae), a Dominant Invasive Tree  
645 in the Society Islands (French Polynesia). *Pac Sci* 50:66–79
- 646 Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente (2013) *Pycnonotus cafer*.  
647 Catálogo español de especies exóticas invasoras. Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación  
648 y Medio Ambiente, Madrid  
649
- 650 Ministry of primary industries (2017) Biosecurity Act 1993. Wellington, New Zealand.  
651 <http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1993/0095/latest/whole.html#DLM315361>  
652 accessed on 15 April 2017  
653
- 654 Minister of primary industries (1985). Laws of Fiji, Chapter 170: Birds and game protection.  
655 Viti-Levu, Fiji. [http://www.paclii.org/fj/legis/consol\\_act\\_OK/bagpa231/](http://www.paclii.org/fj/legis/consol_act_OK/bagpa231/) accessed on 15 April  
656 2017
- 657 Nation B, Nation H, Hooper A (1997) Birds New to Qatar. *Sandgrouse* 19:56–62
- 658 Parham BEV (1955) Birds as pests in Fiji. *Journal of Fijian Agriculture* 25:9-14
- 659 Patyal SK, Rana RS (2003) Damage potential and abundance of avian fauna associated with  
660 grapes in Indian Mid Hills of Himachal Pradesh. In: VII International Symposium on  
661 Temperate Zone Fruits in the Tropics. ISHS Acta Horticulturae, Nauni, Solan, India
- 662 Pedersen, T. and Aspinall, S. J. (compilers) (2015) EBRC Annotated Checklist of the birds of  
663 the United Arab Emirates. Ornithological Society of the Middle East, the Caucasus and  
664 Central Asia. Sandy, Bedfordshire, UK
- 665 Pereira HM, Navarro LM, Martins IS (2012) Global biodiversity change: the bad, the good,  
666 and the unknown. *Annu Rev Env Resour* 37:25–50. doi: 10.1146/annurev-environ-  
667 042911-093511
- 668 Pereyra PJ (2016) Revisiting the use of the invasive species concept: An empirical approach.  
669 *Austral Ecol* 41:519–528. doi: 10.1111/aec.12340
- 670 Pernetta JC, Watling D (1978) The Introduced and Native Terrestrial Vertebrates of Fiji. *Pac*  
671 *Sci* 32:223–244
- 672 Pimentel D (2011) Biological invasions: economic and environmental costs of alien plant,  
673 animal, microbe species. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA
- 674 Pimentel D, Zuniga R, Morrison D (2005) Update on the environmental and economic costs  
675 associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecol Econ* 52:273–288. doi:  
676 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.10.002
- 677 Price RD (1975) The *Menacanthus eurysternus* Complex (Mallophaga: Menoponidae) of the  
678 Passeriformes and Piciformes (Aves). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 68:617–622

- 679 Pyšek P, Richardson DM, Pergl J, et al (2008) Geographical and taxonomic biases in invasion  
680 ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 23:237–244. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2008.02.002
- 681 Raju AJS, Rao SP, Zafar R, Roopkalpana P (2004) Passerine bird-pollination and fruiting  
682 behavior in *Erythrina variegata* L. (Fabaceae) in the Eastern Ghats, India. *Beitr Biol*  
683 *Pflanzen* 73:321-330
- 684 Rana RS, Narang ML, Patyal SK (2005) Depredatory Birds and their Ecofriendly  
685 Management in Apple Orchards of Himachal Pradesh, India. *Acta Hort* 696:449–453
- 686 Rana RS, Chand J, Patyal SK (2014) Evaluation of Birds as Predators of Insect Pests Infesting  
687 Cauliflower. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development* 9:18–22
- 688 Rana R, Chand J, Patyal S, Sharma K (2017). Studies on the role of insectivorous birds in  
689 managing insect pests of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var *capitata* L). *International*  
690 *Journal of Farm Sciences* 6:245-253
- 691 Ricciardi A, Hoopes MF, Marchetti MP, Lockwood JL (2013) Progress toward understanding  
692 the ecological impacts of nonnative species. *Ecol Monogr* 83:263–282. doi: 10.1890/13-  
693 0183.1
- 694 Richardson DM, Ricciardi A (2013) Misleading criticisms of invasion science: A field guide.  
695 *Divers Distrib* 19:1461–1467. doi: 10.1111/ddi.12150
- 696 Saavedra S (2012) First control campaign for Common myna and Red vented bulbul on  
697 Tahiti. Internal Report, SOP Manu, Tahiti
- 698 Saavedra S (2013) Second control campaign for Common myna and Red vented bulbul on  
699 Tahiti. Internal Report, SOP Manu, Tahiti
- 700 Sala OE, Stuart Chapin F, et al (2000) Global Biodiversity Scenarios for the Year 2100.  
701 *Science* 287:1770-1774
- 702 Sax DF, Gaines SD (2008) Species invasions and extinction: the future of native biodiversity  
703 on islands. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:11490–11497. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802290105
- 704 Saxena A (2015) Growing Concern and Threat of Invasive Alien Species on Natural  
705 Ecosystem and Native Species. *International Journal of Medicine and Pharmaceutical*  
706 *Research* 3:1246–1248
- 707 Secretariat CBD (2010) The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi  
708 Biodiversity Targets. In: Document UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/X/2. Secretariat of the  
709 Convention on Biological Diversity, Nagoya, Japan
- 710 Shalet J (2016) India: Guwahati High Court stays bulbul fight, protests erupt in Assam.  
711 *International Business Time* 14-01-2016. [http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/india-guwahati-](http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/india-guwahati-high-court-stays-bulbul-fight-protests-erupt-assam-1537937)  
712 [high-court-stays-bulbul-fight-protests-erupt-assam-1537937](http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/india-guwahati-high-court-stays-bulbul-fight-protests-erupt-assam-1537937) accessed on 25 January  
713 2016

- 714 Sherman J, Fall P (2010) Observations on feeding frequencies among native and exotic birds  
715 and fruit bats at *Erythrina variegata* and *Dysoxylum* trees on American Samoa.  
716 Australian National University Press, Canberra, Australia
- 717 Simberloff D (2003) Confronting introduced species: A form of xenophobia? *Biol Invasions*  
718 5:179–192. doi: 10.1023/A:1026164419010
- 719 Simberloff D, Vitule JRS (2014) A call for an end to calls for the end of invasion biology.  
720 *Oikos* 123:408–413. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.01228.x
- 721 Spotswood EN, Meyer J-Y, Bartolome JW (2012) An invasive tree alters the structure of seed  
722 dispersal networks between birds and plants in French Polynesia. *J Biogeogr* 39:2007–  
723 2020. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2012.02688.x
- 724 Spotswood EN, Meyer J-Y, Bartolome JW (2013) Preference for an invasive fruit trumps fruit  
725 abundance in selection by an introduced bird in the Society Islands, French Polynesia.  
726 *Biol Invasions* 15:2147–2156. doi: 10.1007/s10530-013-0441-z
- 727 Stimson J, Kasuya M (2000) Decline in the frequency of the white morph of the monarch  
728 butterfly (*Danaus plexippus plexippus* L., Nymphalidae) on Oahu, Hawaii. *J Lepid Soc*  
729 54:29–32
- 730 Stimson J, Berman M (1990) Predator Induced Color Polymorphism in *Danaus plexippus* L  
731 (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae) in Hawaii. *Heredity* 65:401–406
- 732 Strubbe D, Shwartz A, Chiron F (2011) Concerns regarding the scientific evidence informing  
733 impact risk assessment and management recommendations for invasive birds. *Biol*  
734 *Cons*, 144: 2112-2118
- 735 Sullivan BL, Wood CL, Iliff MJ, Bonney RE, Fink D, Kelling S (2009) eBird: a citizen-based  
736 bird observation network in the biological sciences. *Biol Conserv* 142: 2282-2292
- 737 Tasmanian government (2010). Wildlife (General) Regulations, S.R. 2010, NO. 113 –  
738 Schedule 5: Restricted animals., Hobart, Tasmania.  
739 [http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/tas/num\\_reg/wr20102010n113319/sch5.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/tas/num_reg/wr20102010n113319/sch5.html)  
740 accessed on 15 april 2017
- 741 Tershy BR, Shen KW, Newton KM, et al (2015) The importance of islands for the protection  
742 of biological and linguistic diversity. *Bioscience* 65:592–597. doi: 10.1093/biosci/biv031
- 743 Thouzeau-Fonseca C (2013) Contribution à la gestion d’un oiseau introduit envahissant en  
744 Nouvelle-Calédonie, le bulbul à ventre rouge (*Pycnonotus cafer* L.) : étude des  
745 modalités de piégeage et du comportement alimentaire en milieu naturel. IAC  
746 Production, Paita, Nouvelle-Calédonie
- 747 Thibault JC, Martin JL, Penloup A, Meyer JY (2002) Understanding the decline and  
748 extinction of monarchs (Aves) in Polynesian Islands. *Biol Conserv* 108:161–174. doi:  
749 10.1016/S0006-3207(02)00102-7



- 750 Trail PW (1994) The Phenology of Rainforest plants in Tutuila, American Samoa.  
751 Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources Biological Report Series, Pago Pago,  
752 American Samoa
- 753 Turbott EG (1956) Bulbuls in AucklanDd. *Notornis* 6:185–192
- 754 Valéry L, Fritz H, Lefeuvre JC (2013) Another call for the end of invasion biology. *Oikos*  
755 122:1143–1146. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.00445.x
- 756 VanderVelde N (2002) The Red-vented bulbul has come to Micronesia. *Aliens* 16:13–14
- 757 Walker R (2008) The Red-vented bulbul, Superbird ? *Elepaio* 68:71–78.
- 758 Walsh JR, Carpenter SR, Vander Zanden MJ (2016) Invasive species triggers a massive loss  
759 of ecosystem services through a trophic cascade. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:201600366.  
760 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1600366113
- 761 Walsh JC, Venter O, Watson JEM, et al (2012) Exotic species richness and native species  
762 endemism increase the impact of exotic species on islands. *Global Ecol Biogeogr*  
763 21:841–850. doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00724.x
- 764 Watling D (1978) Observation on the naturalised distribution of the red-vented bulbul in the  
765 Pacific, with special reference to the Fiji islands. *Notornis* 25:109–117
- 766 Watling D (1979) The Bulbul gets a clean bill. *New Sci* 81:963–965
- 767 Watling D (1983) The breeding biology of the red vented Bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* in Fiji.  
768 *Emu* 83:173–180
- 769 Williams G (2011) 100 Alien Invaders. Bradt Travel Guides, Buckinghamshire, United  
770 Kingdom
- 771 Wood CA, Wetmore A (1926) A collection of birds from the Fiji Islands. *Ibis*, 68:91-136
- 772 Zia U, Ansari MS, Akhter S, Rakha BA (2014) Breeding biology of red vented bulbul  
773 (*Pycnonotus cafer*) in the area of Rawalpindi/Islamabad. *J Anim Plant Sci* 24:656–659

774 **Tables**

775 **Table 1** The current alien distribution of the red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer*, year of first  
776 observation (Y.F.O), number of colonized islands, current introduction success, status, range  
777 trend, and associated references. (+) Scarce (++) Common (+++) Very common

778 **Table 2** Numbers of plant species reported as damaged, dispersed or just consumed by the  
779 red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* in the literature and corresponding number of reports. A  
780 report corresponds to one mention in one reference. Endemic plants occurred at one location  
781 only, native plants are indigenous to the location but also present elsewhere, alien species  
782 were introduced in the corresponding location and invasive plants are alien species with  
783 negative impacts at the current location.

784 **Table 3** List of animal species reported as being impacted by the red-vented bulbul  
785 *Pycnonotus cafer*, with associated locations, inter-specific relationship, reported impact,  
786 method and references. **H**=Hawaii; **PF**=French Polynesia; **FJ**=Fiji; **AS**=American Samoa; **AE**=United Arab  
787 Emirates; **BH**=Bahrain; **KW**=Kuwait; **QA**=Qatar; **IR**=Iran; **NC**=New Caledonia

788 **Table 4** Parasite load of the red-vented bulbul *Pycnonotus cafer* in the literature. Ecto-  
789 (Ectoparasites) corresponds to parasites living outside of the animal body. Conversely Endo-  
790 (Endoparasites) corresponds to parasites living inside the animal body.

791 **Figures**

792 **Fig.1** Sources of the collected information. The “General information” scope refers to  
793 documents dealing with invasion biology at a global scale

794 **Fig.2** Number of alien locations and published references for red-vented bulbul for the period  
795 1903-2013

796 **Fig.3** Native and alien range of the red vented bulbul

797 **Fig.4** Representation of the three impact categories associated with the red-vented bulbul  
798 *Pycnonotus cafer*. Each axis corresponds to one category and represents the number of  
799 reports, species and location. ( ) *Plant damage* ( ) *Seed dispersal* ( ) *Disturbance and*  
800 *impact on fauna*

801 **Online Resources**

802 **Online Resource 1** List of the 112 documents relative to the red-vented bulbul that were used  
803 in this study

804 **Online Resource 2** List of plant species reported as being impacted by the red-vented bulbul  
805 *Pycnonotus cafer*, with associated country, location, status, associated impact and references.  
806 *H*=Hawaii; *PF*=French Polynesia; *FJ*=Fiji; *AS*=American Samoa; *NC*=New Caledonia; *US*=United-States of  
807 America