AAC Accepted Manuscript Posted Online 18 June 2018 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. doi:10.1128/AAC.00669-18 Copyright © 2018 American Society for Microbiology, All Rights Reserved.

- External Evaluation of a Gentamicin Infant Population Pharmacokinetic 1
- Model Using Data from a National Electronic Health Record Database 2
- Shufan Ge<sup>1</sup>, Ryan J. Beechinor<sup>1</sup>, Christoph P. Hornik<sup>2,3</sup>, Joseph F. Standing<sup>4</sup>, Kanecia 3
- Zimmerman<sup>2,3</sup>, Michael Cohen-Wolkowiez<sup>2,3</sup>, Matthew M. Laughon<sup>5</sup>, Reese Clark<sup>6</sup>, and Daniel 4
- Gonzalez<sup>1</sup>\* 5
- <sup>1</sup>Division of Pharmacotherapy and Experimental Therapeutics, UNC Eshelman School of 6
- Pharmacy, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>Duke 7
- Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA; 8
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA; 9
- <sup>4</sup> Inflammation, Infection and Rheumatology Section, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child 10
- Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup> Department of Pediatrics, The 11
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>6</sup> Pediatrix Medical Group, 12
- 13 Inc., Sunrise, Florida

14

18

\*Corresponding author: Daniel Gonzalez, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, The University 15

- 16 of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, CB #7569, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7569, USA. Tel:+1-919-
- 17 966-9984; Fax: 919-962-0644; E-mail: daniel.gonzalez@unc.edu.
- 19 Running title: Gentamicin Infant Population Pharmacokinetics
- Key words: EHR, external evaluation, gentamicin, infant, and pharmacokinetics 20
- 21 Manuscript word count: 1528
- Abstract word count: 74 22
- 23 Number of figures/tables: 2/1
- 24 Number of references: 19

## ABSTRACT

25

26	Gentamicin is a common antibiotic used in neonates and infants. A recently published population
27	pharmacokinetic (PK) model was developed using data from multiple studies, and the objective
28	of our analyses is to evaluate the feasibility of using a national electronic health record (EHR)
29	database to further externally evaluate this model. Our results suggest that with proper data
30	capture procedures, EHR data can serve as a potential data source for external evaluation of PK
31	models.

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on July 17, 2018 by UC London Library Services

Gentamicin is one of the most commonly used antibiotics prescribed for treatment or prophylaxis of Gram-negative infections in infants (1-3). Nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity are major adverse reactions that are associated with supratherapeutic gentamicin concentrations (4). Due to its narrow therapeutic index and wide pharmacokinetic (PK) variability, therapeutic drug monitoring of gentamicin is required (5, 6). Target peak concentrations of gentamicin should range from 5 to 10 mg/L, and trough levels should be <2 mg/L (7).

Gentamicin population PK models have been developed for infants in previous studies. Both 2- and 3-compartment models were used to characterize gentamicin's disposition in infants (8–12). Since gentamicin is almost entirely renally eliminated, age, weight, and serum creatinine (SCR) concentration were commonly identified as important covariates on gentamicin clearance. These publications either did not perform an external evaluation or performed an evaluation using an external dataset consisting of 70 to ~160 subjects (7-11).

Unlike the traditional clinical trials that are challenging to perform in children due to the ethical, logistical and financial factors, electronic health record (EHR) data allow researchers to access large volumes of clinical data easily and efficiently (13). The large sample size and widely distributed profiles in EHR data make it an ideal data source for evaluation of PK models. In previous studies, EHR data had been used to develop PK models or assess the relationship between drug exposure and safety (14, 15). However, to date we are not aware of any studies that have used a national EHR database data to externally evaluate a population PK model. The objective of this paper is to use gentamicin as a case study to explore the potential use of EHR data in the evaluation of population PK models.

In this study, EHR data from 348 Pediatrix Medical Group neonatal intensive care units from 1997 to 2014 was used to evaluate a previously reported gentamicin population PK model.

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

Information in the EHR included age, weight, sex, dose records, SCR concentrations, and peak/trough plasma concentrations of gentamicin. The population PK model developed by Germovsek et al. is a 3-compartment model with weight, postmenstrual and postnatal age, and SCR concentration as covariates for clearance. This model was developed based on 1325 gentamicin serum concentrations from 205 infants, and evaluated using 483 gentamicin serum measurements from 163 infants (8).

The following assumptions and criteria were used to extract relevant and reliable EHR data: (1) only infants receiving intravenous (IV) injections were included; (2) the infusion time was assumed to be 30 min; (3) only concentrations ranging from 4 to 20 mg/L (peaks) and 0.3 to 10 mg/L (trough) were included; (4) peak samples were assumed to be collected 1 hour after dosing and trough samples 2 min before dosing; (5) observations collected from infants with a SCR concentration >10 mg/dL were excluded; (6) infants with postnatal age (PNA) >60 days and gestational age (GA) <23 weeks were excluded; (7) observations with doses >6 mg/kg/day were excluded; (8) to avoid model misspecification caused by data entry error when there is a regimen switch, only observations taken during the first dosing regimen were included; and (9) an occasion was defined as a dose with subsequent gentamicin samples taken. These assumptions and criteria were made based on common clinical practice and infant demographics in the modelbuilding dataset. A summary of demographics and dosing for the model-building dataset and filtered EHR data is shown in **Table 1** (8).

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on July 17, 2018 by UC London Library Services

To assess the predictive performance of the model, population predicted concentrations versus observations plots for peak and trough concentrations were generated. Parameters were fixed to the final estimates reported in the original publication. The relationship between relevant covariates (body weight [WT, kg], measured serum creatinine concentration [MSCr, µmol/liter],

79

96

97

98

99

100

are described as follows: CL (L/h) =  $6.2 \times PMA^{3.33} / (PMA^{3.33} + 55.4^{3.33}) \times (WT / 70)^{0.632} \times (PMA^{3.33} + 55.4^{3.33}) \times$ 80  $(MSCr / TSCr)^{-0.13} \times (PNA / (1.70 + PNA)); V_1(L) = 26.5 \times (WT / 70); V_2(L) = 21.2 \times (WT / 70);$ 81 70);  $V_3(L) = 147.9 \times (WT / 70)$ ;  $Q_1(L/h) = 2.2 \times (WT / 70)^{0.75}$ ; and  $Q_2(L/h) = 0.3 \times (WT / 70)^{0.75}$ 82 70)<sup>0.75</sup> (CL: clearance; V: volume of distribution; Q: intercompartmental clearance). Analyses 83

typical value of serum creatinine concentration [TSCr (µmol/liter) = - 2.849 \* PMA (weeks) +

166.48], postmenstrual age [PMA, weeks] and postnatal age [PNA, days]) and PK parameters

were performed using the NONMEM (version 7.3, Icon Development Solutions, Ellicott City, 84

MD, USA). The first-order conditional estimation method with interaction was used. Data 85

manipulation was performed in the software R (version 3.3.2) and RStudio (version 1.0.136). 86

The packages xpose4 and lattice packages in R and RStudio were used for data visualization 87

88 (16-18). Visual predictive checks (VPC) were performed based on 1000 simulations using Perl-

89 speaks-NONMEM (version 4.6.0). The bias and precision of the model was evaluated by

90 calculating the *j*th prediction error (PE<sub>i</sub>) and relative prediction error (RPE<sub>i</sub>), mean prediction

error (MPE), and mean absolute predicted error (MAPE) (Equations 1-4). 91

92 
$$PE_i = (PRED_i - OBSERVATION_i)$$
 Eq. 1

93 
$$RPE_j = \frac{PE_j \times 100}{OBSERVATION_j}$$
 Eq. 2

94 MPE = Mean 
$$\left(\frac{PE_j \times 100}{OBSERVATION_j}\right)$$
 Eq. 3

95 MAPE = Mean 
$$\left(\frac{|PE_j| \times 100}{OBSERVATION_j}\right)$$
 Eq. 4

Filtered EHR data contained 6753 measurements with 2580 peak concentrations and 4173 trough concentrations from 4519 infants. The EHR population has similar age range compared to the model-building dataset (Table 1). Figure 1 shows box plot of prediction error and relative prediction error for peak and trough concentrations. In the VPC (Figure 2), 27.7% of observations were below and 8.2% were above the 80% prediction interval. There was a trend

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

towards gentamicin concentrations plateauing after 24 h (Figure 2), which may be related to large variation in gentamicin trough concentrations due to timing of sample collection and varying degrees of renal dysfunction in these infants. The median (2.5<sup>th</sup> to 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile) prediction errors were 3.43 (-6.20, 12.95) mg/L and 0.35 (-2.03, 1.78) mg/L for peak and trough, respectively. The median (2.5<sup>th</sup> to 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile) relative prediction errors (%) were 40.82 (-49.72, 213.55) and 47.14 (-73.22, 344.92) for peak and trough concentrations, respectively (negative values indicate under-prediction of concentrations). The mean prediction errors from predictions of peak and trough concentrations were 51.0% and 71.0%, respectively. The precision (measured by mean absolute predicted error) for peak and trough concentrations were 62.9% and 92.3%, respectively.

Our results demonstrate that the model developed by Germovsek et al. successfully captured the central tendency of the gentamicin concentrations in the EHR database (Figure 2), with some notable overprediction (i.e., the distribution of relative prediction errors was skewed to the right) of peak and trough concentrations (Figure 1). Peak concentrations were predicted with greater accuracy and precision compared to trough concentrations, which is consistent with the findings from the original analysis. Overall, the model appears to have less accuracy and precision when evaluated with the EHR data compared to the initial external database (8). This may be explained by assumptions we made in modeling the EHR data, particularly the lack of exact sampling times which may lead to misspecification. There are variations in clinical practice for when peak concentrations are obtained, and if a significant number of samples were drawn at 1 hour after dosing rather than 30 minutes, this may lead to overprediction in gentamicin concentrations. Additionally, differences in the gentamicin assay used across centers may introduce measurement error, especially for trough concentrations falling near the lower limit of

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

quantification. Since therapeutic hypothermia is associated with alterations in gentamicin PK and we cannot capture this from the current dataset, this may also explain some of the observed misspecification (19). Therefore, it is likely that model misspecification we observed in our analyses is related to the assumptions we made in developing our gentamicin EHR database for external evaluation. Given that this model has performed well in previous external evaluation (8), further study focused on clinical implementation and evaluation of this model's use in facilitating dose individualization is justified.

While the use of EHR databases can significantly enhance the quantity of clinical data, ensuring that data is of high quality is still crucially important. The major challenge we encountered in performing population PK modeling of EHR data was the lack of accurate documentation of sampling times and appropriate format of clinical data. This required us to apply reasonable assumptions to estimate missing information as well as significant effort to prepare analysis-ready datasets. As a result, the misspecification we identified may result from either model error or data inaccuracy, which makes the evaluation of PK models more challenging. To maximize the use of EHR in building and evaluating population PK models, more studies are needed to identify efficient procedures for extracting high volumes of accurate clinical data from EHR databases. In addition, the widespread use of EHR databases in model evaluation could benefit from improvements to protocols for clinical data collection, particularly timing of dosing and PK measurements.

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on July 17, 2018 by UC London Library Services

In conclusion, a national EHR database was used to externally evaluate a published population PK model for gentamicin in infants. Despite notable misspecifications, the model captured the central tendency of the gentamicin concentrations in the EHR database.

- 146 Improvements to EHR data collection are still required to maximize the robustness of EHR
- databases in population PK model evaluation. 147
- **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 148
- The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. Jaimit Parikh for his contribution towards dataset 149
- 150 preparation.
- DISCLOSURE OF FUNDING SOURCES 151
- 152 R.J.B. is supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) of the
- National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award T32GM086330. C.P.H. receives salary support 153
- for research from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) 154
- 155 (K23HD090239), the U.S. government for his work in pediatric and neonatal clinical
- pharmacology (Government Contract HHSN267200700051C, PI: Benjamin, under the Best 156
- 157 Pharmaceuticals for Children Act), and industry for drug development in children. K.Z. receives

- support for research from NICHD (HHSN275201000003I and K23HD091398) and the Duke 158
- Clinical and Translational Science Awards (KL2TR001115). M.C-W. receives support for 159
- research from the NIH (1R01-HD076676-01A1), the National Center for Advancing 160
- 161 Translational Sciences of the NIH (UL1TR001117), the National Institute of Allergy and
- 162 Infectious Disease (NIAID) (HHSN272201500006I and HHSN272201300017I), NICHD
- (HHSN275201000003I), the Food and Drug Administration (1U01FD004858-01), the 163
- Biomedical Advanced Research Authority 164 and Development (BARDA)
- 165 (HHSO100201300009C), the nonprofit organization Thrasher Research Fund
- 166 (www.thrasherresearch.org), and from industry (CardioDx and Durata Therapeutics) for drug
- 167 development in adults and children (www.dcri.duke.edu/research/coi.jsp). M.M.L. receives
- 168 support from the US government for work in pediatric pharmacology and trials (FDA

170

171

172

173

R01FD005101, PI: Laughon; NHLBI 1R34HL124038, PI: Laughon; NICHD Pediatric Trials Network Government Contract HHSN267200700051C, PI: Benjamin). D.G. receives support for research from NICHD (K23HD083465). The remaining authors have no funding to disclose. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

- 174 References
- 175 1. Chattopadhyay B. 2002. Newborns and gentamicin—how much and how often? J 176 Antimicrob Chemother 49:13–16.
- 177 2. Rocha MJ, Almeida a M, Afonso E, Martins V, Santos J, Leitão F, Falcão a C. 2000. The kinetic profile of gentamicin in premature neonates. J Pharm Pharmacol 52:1091–7. 178
- 179 3. Glover ML, Shaffer CL, Rubino CM, Cuthrell C, Schoening S, Cole E, Potter D, Ransom 180 JL, Gal P. 2001. A multicenter evaluation of gentamic in therapy in the neonatal intensive 181 care unit. Pharmacotherapy 21:7–10.
- Begg EJ, Barclay ML. 1995. Aminoglycosides--50 years on. Br J Clin Pharmacol 39:597-182 4. 603. 183
- 184 5. Touw DJ, Westerman EM, Sprij AJ. 2009. Therapeutic drug monitoring of aminoglycosides in neonates. Clin Pharmacokinet 48:71-88. 185
- Van Lent-Evers NAEM, Mathôt RAA, Geus WP, Van Hout BA, Vinks AATMM. 1999. 186 Impact of goal-oriented and model-based clinical pharmacokinetic dosing of 187 aminoglycosides on clinical outcome: A cost-effectiveness analysis. Ther Drug Monit 188
- 21:63-73. 189
- 190 7. Chambers H. 2006. The aminoglycosides, p. 1155–1171. In Goodman & Gilman's the Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 11th ed. 191
- 192 8. Germovsek E, Kent A, Metsvaht T, Lutsar I, Klein N, Turner MA, Sharland M, Nielsen 193 EI, Heath PT, Standing JF. 2016. Development and evaluation of a gentamicin 194 pharmacokinetic model that facilitates opportunistic gentamicin therapeutic drug monitoring in neonates and infants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:4869–4877. 195
- 196 9. Nielsen EI, Sandström M, Honore PH, Ewald U, Friberg LE. 2009. Developmental pharmacokinetics of gentamicin in preterm and term neonates: Population modelling of a 197 prospective study. Clin Pharmacokinet 48:253–263. 198
- 10. García B, Barcia E, Pérez F, Molina IT. 2006. Population pharmacokinetics of gentamicin 199 in premature newborns. J Antimicrob Chemother 58:372–379. 200
- 201 11. Fuchs A, Guidi M, Giannoni E, Werner D, Buclin T, Widmer N, Csajka C. 2014. 202 Population pharmacokinetic study of gentamicin in a large cohort of premature and term neonates. Br J Clin Pharmacol 78:1090-1101. 203
- 204 12. Medellín-Garibay SE, Rueda-Naharro A, Peña-Cabia S, Garcí B, Romano-Moreno S, 205 Barcia E. 2015. Population pharmacokinetics of gentamicin and dosing optimization for 206 infants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:482-489.
- 207 13. The Necessity and Challenges of Clinical Research Involving Children, p. 58-92. In Field, MJ, Behrman, RE (eds.), Ethical Conduct of Clinical Research Involving Children. 208
- 209 Zimmerman KO, Wu H, Greenberg R, Guptill JT, Hill K, Patel UD, Ku L, Gonzalez D, 14. 210 Hornik C, Jiang W, Zheng N, Melloni C, Cohen-Wolkowiez M. 2016. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, Electronic Health Records, and Pharmacokinetic Modeling to Evaluate 211

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220 221

222

223

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

Monit 38:600-606.

Programs Biomed 58:51-64.

224

Sirolimus Drug Exposure-Response Relationships in Renal Transplant Patients. Ther Drug

Gonzalez D. 2017. Use of Population Pharmacokinetics and Electronic Health Records to Assess Piperacillin-Tazobactam Safety in Infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 36:855-859.

pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model building aid for NONMEM. Comput Methods

Mark LF, Solomon A, Northington FJ, Lee CKK. 2013. Gentamicin pharmacokinetics in

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on July 17, 2018 by UC London Library Services

Salerno S, Hornik CP, Cohen-Wolkowiez M, Smith PB, Ku LC, Kelly MS, Clark R,

Sarkar D, Andrews F. 2016. latticeExtra: extra graphical utilities based on lattice.

Jonsson EN, Karlsson MO. 1998. Xpose - An S-PLUS based population

neonates undergoing therapeutic hypothermia. Ther Drug Monit 35:217–222.

Sarkar D. 2008. Lattice multivariate data visualization with R. Springer, New York.

- **Figure Legends**
- Figure 1. Box plot of (A) prediction error (mg/L) and (B) relative prediction error (%) for peak 226
- and trough concentrations. The bottom and top of the box are the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and the 227
- band in the middle of the box is the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. The length of the box is the interquartile 228
- range (IQR). Upper whisker = 75<sup>th</sup> percentile + 1.5\*IQR; Lower whisker = 25<sup>th</sup> percentile -229
- 1.5\*IQR. 230

- Figure 2. Visual predictive check plot of gentamicin concentrations versus time after last dose. 231
- The shaded regions denote the 95% prediction interval around the 10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles 232
- of simulated concentrations. The dashed lines represent the 10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles for the 233
- observed data. The solid lines represent the 10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles for the predicted data. 234
  - 235 Open circles are the observed values.

Table 1. Population demographics for model-building and EHR data. 236

	Participants (N)	Number of measurements	GA (weeks)*	PNA (days)*	WT (kg)*	Dose
Model- building dataset**	205	1325	34 (23.3 – 42.1)	5.4 (1 – 66)	2.12 (0.53 – 5.05)	Initial dose of 2-3 mg/kg (twice daily) or 4 mg/kg (every 24 hours)
EHR	4519	6753	29 (23 - 42)	1 (1 - 59)	1.26 (0.31 - 4.79)	3.50 (0.49 - 6.00) mg/kg/day

<sup>237</sup> \* Data were presented as median (range). GA: gestational age; PNA: postnatal age; WT: body weight.

<sup>238</sup> \*\* Reference (8)



