

Genetic and environmental factors affecting birth size variation: a pooled individual-based analysis of secular trends and global geographical differences using 26 twin cohorts

Yoshie Yokoyama (1), Aline Jelenkovic (2) (3), Yoon-Mi Hur (4), Reijo Sund (2) (5), Corrado Fagnani (6), Maria A Stazi (6), Sonia Brescianini (6), Fuling Ji (7), Feng Ning (7), Zengchang Pang (7), Ariel Knafo-Noam (8), David Mankuta (9), Lior Abramson (8), Esther Rebato (3), John L Hopper (10) (11), Tessa L Cutler (10), Kimberly J Saudino (12), Tracy L Nelson (13), Keith E Whitfield (14), Robin P Corley (15), Brooke M Huibregtse (15), Catherine A Derom (16) (17), Robert F Vlietinck (16), Ruth JF Loos (18), Clare H Llewellyn (19), Abigail Fisher (19), Morten Bjerregaard-Andersen (20) (21) (22), Henning Beck-Nielsen (22), Morten Sodemann (23), S Alexandra Burt (24), Kelly L Klump (24), Robert F Krueger (25), Matt McGue (25), Shandell Pahlen (25), Meike Bartels (26), Catharina EM van Beijsterveldt (26), Gonneke Willemsen (26), Jennifer R Harris (27), Ingunn Brandt (27), Thomas S Nilsen (27), Jeffrey M Craig (28) (29), Richard Saffery (28) (29), Lise Dubois (30), Michel Boivin (31) (32), Mara Brendgen (33), Ginette Dionne (31), Frank Vitaro (34), Claire MA Haworth (35), Robert Plomin (36), Gombojav Bayasgalan (37), Danshiitsoodol Narandalai (38) (37), Finn Rasmussen (39) (40), Per Tynelius (39), Adam D Tarnoki (41) (42), David L Tarnoki (41) (42), Syuichi Ooki (43), Richard J Rose (44), Kirsi H Pietiläinen (45) (46), Thorkild IA Sørensen (47), Dorret I Boomsma (26), Jaakko Kaprio (48) (49), Karri Silventoinen (2) (50)

1. Department of Public Health Nursing, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan.
2. Department of Social Research, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
3. Department of Genetics, Physical Anthropology and Animal Physiology, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Leioa, Spain.
4. Department of Education, Mokpo National University, Jeonnam, South Korea.
5. Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland.
6. Istituto Superiore di Sanità - Centre for Behavioural Sciences and Mental Health, Rome, Italy.
7. Department of Noncommunicable Diseases Prevention, Qingdao Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Qingdao, China.
8. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel.
9. Hadassah Hospital Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Hebrew University Medical School, Jerusalem, Israel.
10. The Australian Twin Registry, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
11. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea.
12. Boston University, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston, MA, USA.

13. Department of Health and Exercise Sciences and Colorado School of Public Health, Colorado State University, USA.
14. Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.
15. Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.
16. Centre of Human Genetics, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
17. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ghent University Hospitals, Ghent, Belgium.
18. The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
19. Health Behaviour Research Centre, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, London, UK.
20. Bandim Health Project, INDEPTH Network, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau.
21. Research Center for Vitamins and Vaccines, Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark.
22. Department of Endocrinology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark.
23. Department of Infectious Diseases, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark.
24. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.
25. Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.
26. Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
27. Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway.
28. Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia .
29. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
30. School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
31. École de psychologie, Université Laval, Québec, Canada.
32. Institute of Genetic, Neurobiological, and Social Foundations of Child Development, Tomsk State University, Russian Federation.
33. Département de psychologie, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.
34. École de psychoéducation, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.
35. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, U.K.
36. King's College London, MRC Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, London, UK.
37. Healthy Twin Association of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.
38. Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan.
39. Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
40. Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
41. Department of Radiology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary.
42. Hungarian Twin Registry, Budapest, Hungary.

43. Department of Health Science, Ishikawa Prefectural Nursing University, Kahoku, Ishikawa, Japan.
44. Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA.
45. Obesity Research Unit, Research Programs Unit, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
46. Endocrinology, Abdominal Center, Helsinki University Central Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
47. Novo Nordisk Foundation Centre for Basic Metabolic Research (Section on Metabolic Genetics), and Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
48. Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
49. Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, Helsinki, Finland.
50. Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.

Corresponding: Yoshie Yokoyama, Department of Public Health Nursing, Osaka City University, Osaka, 545-005 Japan

Abstract

Background: The genetic architecture of birth size may differ geographically and over time. We examined differences in the genetic and environmental contributions to birth weight, length, and ponderal index (PI) across geographic-cultural regions (Europe, North-America and Australia, and East-Asia) and across birth cohorts and how gestational age modifies these effects.

Methods: Data from 26 twin cohorts in 16 countries including 57613 monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs were pooled. Genetic and environmental variations of birth size were estimated using genetic structural equation modeling.

Results: The variance of birth weight and length was predominantly explained by shared environmental factors, whereas the variance of PI was explained both by shared and unique environmental factors. Genetic variance contributing to birth size was small. Adjusting for gestational age decreased the proportions of shared environmental variance and increased the proportions of unique environmental variance. Genetic variance was similar in the geographic-cultural regions, but shared environmental variance was smaller in East-Asia than in Europe and North-America and Australia. The total variance and shared environmental variance of birth length and PI were greater from the birth cohort 1990-1999 onwards compared with the birth cohorts from 1970-1979 to 1980-1989.

Conclusion: The contribution of genetic factors to birth size is smaller than that of shared environmental factors, which is partly explained by gestational age. Shared environmental variances of birth length and PI were greater in the latest birth cohorts and differed also across geographic-cultural regions. Shared environmental factors are important when explaining differences in the variation of birth size globally and over time.

Keywords

birth weight, birth length, ponderal index, twins, genetics, pooling studies

Key messages

Additive genetic factors contributing to birth size have a small but consistent effect across geographic-cultural regions (Europe, North-America and Australia, and East-Asia) and across birth cohorts.

Environmental factors shared by co-twins importantly contribute to the inter-individual variation in birth weight, length and ponderal index, which is partly explained by gestational age.

Shared environmental influences were smaller in East-Asia than in Europe and North-America and Australia.

Introduction

Birth size is an indicator of infant health and is associated with health related traits in later life such as hypertension¹⁻³, obesity^{4, 5}, and psychosocial distress⁶. Moreover, low birth weight is associated with an increased risk of metabolic diseases including type 2 diabetes⁷ and cardiovascular diseases in adulthood^{8, 9}. Both genetic and environmental factors influence birth size^{10, 11}. Associations between fetal genotype and birth weight can in part reflect the indirect effects of the maternal genotype influencing birth weight via the intrauterine environment¹². Studying monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs is a widely-used method to decompose total variance into fractions explained by genetic and environmental differences between individuals. The environmental factors shared by co-twins include gestational age, total placental weight, and maternal factors, such as maternal body size and smoking. Individual placental characteristics, such as placental function including nutrient capacity, anatomy, and perinatal injuries can lead to differences in birth size between co-twins and are thus part of the environment unique for each twin individual. A previous Dutch study found that the genetic factors explained almost an identical share of the total variation of birth weight and length when estimated by parent-offspring trios of singletons (26% and 26%, respectively) and MZ and DZ twins (29% and 27%, respectively), supporting the value of the twin design when studying birth size¹³. Gestational age affects birth weight and, because it is shared by co-twins, may lead to the overestimation of shared environment, if not accounted for¹⁴.

Genetic and environmental variation of fetal growth may differ between populations because of differences in maternal dietary habits, other environmental exposures and the gene pool of population. A multinational twin study reported that genetic factors explained 17% of the variation of birth weight. This contribution was similar in Western and East-Asian

populations, but there were differences in the proportions of environmental factors both shared and unshared by co-twins¹⁵.

It is well known that maternal nutrition and other maternal factors affect birth size and the determinants of birth size may have changed across birth cohorts over the 20th century^{16, 17}.

However, there are no previous studies which would have analyzed how the role of genetic and environmental factors on birth size has changed over time. Further, the only international comparison was based only on seven twin cohorts¹⁵; larger studies would be warranted to get more precise estimates. Finally, it would be important to analyze also other indicators of birth size than birth weight, and gestational age should be adjusted for because otherwise the role of shared environment will be inflated. To address these questions, we used birth weight and length data available in the largest pooled database of twin cohorts in the world. We aimed to examine differences in genetic and environmental contributions to birth weight, length, and ponderal index (PI) ($PI = \text{weight (kg)} / \text{height (m}^3\text{)}$) across geographic-cultural regions (Europe, North-America and Australia, and East-Asia) and across birth cohorts from 1915 through 2013 and how gestational age modifies these effects.

Material and methods

Sample

The data were derived from the COllaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures in Twins (CODATwins) database¹⁸. Information on birth weight was available in 26 cohorts from 16 countries, and birth length and gestational age were available in 14 and 17 of these cohorts, respectively. In the majority of cohorts, the birth-related measures were parentally reported (79% for birth weight, 87% for birth length, and 83% for gestational age) or self-reported (14%, 2%, and 8%, respectively) and only in a few cohorts, they were based on records from nurses or clinicians (7%, 11% and 9%, respectively). However, birth weights

from maternal recall and medical records were found to be highly correlated¹⁹. The participating twin cohorts are listed in Table 1 (footnote) and were previously described in detail¹⁸. The prevalence of obesity and overweight is lowest in East-Asia, thus representing a less obesogenic environment, and highest in North-America and Australia, thus representing a more obesogenic environment²⁰. Obesogenic environment can affect maternal dietary habits and maternal size, which indirectly reflect birth size²¹⁻²³. Therefore, we divided these cohorts into three geographic-cultural regions: Europe, North-America and Australia, and East-Asia²⁰.

There were 121,997 twin individuals with data on birth weight. We excluded individuals with birth weight <0.5 or >5 kg (n=79) or without data on their co-twins (n= 6,606) as well as those with intra-pair difference in birth weight >2kg (22 pairs) or contrasting information on birth year between co-twins (21 pairs) leading to 57,613 twin pairs (38% MZ, 34% SSDZ and 28% OSDZ twins). For the analyses on birth length and PI, individuals without data on birth length (n= 64,626), those with birth length <25 or >60 cm (n=33), PI <12 or >38 kg/m³ (n=675) or born before 1970 (n=261), and co-twins with intra-pair difference in birth length >12 cm (3 pairs) or PI >15 kg/ m³ (9 pairs) were removed leading to 27,084 twin pairs (38% MZ, 33% SSDZ and 29% OSDZ twins).

We further standardized birth weight, length and PI for gestational age separately by sex and within the individuals included in each group of analyses. These three measures of birth size were expressed as SD scores of the respective means/weeks of gestation (z-scores; i.e., mean = 0 and SD = 1) to estimate their relative value for a given gestational age. Individuals with gestational age <25 or >45 weeks were excluded. Outlying values for birth weight, length and PI values for a given gestational age were checked by visual inspection of histograms for each gestational week and removed (0.2% for birth weight and 0.4% for birth length and PI)

resulting in 38,806 (birth weight) and 23,742 twin pairs (birth length and PI) for analyses.

All participants were volunteers and gave their informed consent when participating in their original studies. A limited set of observational variables and anonymized data were delivered to the data management center at University of Helsinki. The pooled analysis was approved by the ethical committee of Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki.

Statistical analyses

The data were analyzed using genetic structural equations modeling²⁴. MZ twins share virtually the same genomic sequence, whereas DZ twins share, on average, 50% of their genes identical-by-descent. On this basis, the total variance was decomposed into variance due to additive genetic factors (A: correlated 1.0 for MZ and 0.5 for DZ pairs), shared (common) environmental factors (C: by definition, correlated 1.0 for MZ and DZ pairs) and unique (non-shared) environmental factors (E: by definition, uncorrelated for MZ and DZ pairs). All genetic models were fitted by the OpenMx package (version 2.0.1) in the R statistical platform²⁵.

A full model with A, C, and E factors was fit to the data. We allowed a shared environmental correlation to be less than 1 for OSDZ pairs, as compared to 1 expected for SSDZ and MZ pairs; this would suggest the presence of sex-specific shared environmental factors affecting size at birth. Since boys and DZ twins showed greater birth size than girls and MZ twins, different means for sex and zygosity groups were allowed. We then conducted the analyses in the three geographic-cultural regions and across the birth cohorts from 1915 through 2013 per decade. Moreover, the genetic and environmental variances of birth weight were analyzed for each twin cohort. Birth weight, length and PI values (both unstandardized and standardized

for gestational age) were first adjusted for twin cohort within each sex and geographic-cultural region/birth year groups using linear regressions, and the resulting residuals were used in the analyses.

Results

Birth weight was greater in European and North-American and Australian than in East-Asian newborns (Table 1). The variance of birth weight was greatest in North-America and Australia and lowest in East-Asia. Mean birth weight did not show any clear pattern across the birth cohorts until 1980-1989 but started to decrease from 1990-1999 onwards. Mean birth length in European and North-American and Australian boys and girls was greater than in East-Asians (Table 2). The variance showed a less clear pattern, but was greatest in European and lowest in East-Asian boys and girls. In MZ and DZ twins, the means of PI in boys were similar to those in girls in all geographic-cultural regions, except for East-Asia where MZ girls had the greatest PI. The mean PI of boys was similar between the geographic-cultural regions, whereas the mean PI of girls was greater in East-Asia than in Europe and North-America and Australia. The variances of PI were greatest in Europe and lowest in East-Asia in both sexes.

Figure 1 presents the additive genetic, shared environmental and unique environmental variances of birth weight, birth length and PI by the cultural-geographic region; the exact point estimates and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) are available in Supplemental table 1 and 2. Shared environmental factors explained the major part of the variation of birth weight and length whereas shared and unique environmental factors explained roughly equal shares of the variation of PI. When comparing the cultural-geographic regions, the differences in the variances were mainly explained by shared environmental variances. For birth weight, the

shared environmental variance was lower in East-Asian boys ($c^2=0.11$, 95% CI 0.09-0.14) and girls ($c^2=0.11$, 95% CI 0.09-0.13) than found in Europe ($c^2=0.19$, 95% CI 0.18-0.20 and 0.18, 95% CI 0.17-0.18, respectively) or North-America and Australia ($c^2=0.23$, 95% CI 0.22-0.24 and 0.22, 95% CI 0.21-0.23, respectively). Similar differences in the shared environmental variances were also found for birth length and PI. When the results were adjusted for gestational age, especially the relative contribution of shared environmental variation to birth weight decreased. However, also in these analyses, the shared environmental variation was lower in East-Asia than in the other regions. For birth length and PI, the relative decrease in shared environmental variance after the adjustment of gestational age was smaller than for birth weight.

Figure 2 presents the corresponding results by birth cohorts (the exact point estimates and their 95% CIs are available in Supplemental table 1 and 2). For birth length and PI, the total variances were greater in the birth cohorts 1990-1999 onwards as compared with the birth cohorts from 1970-1979 to 1980-1989. Adjusting the results for gestational age decreased especially the proportions of shared environmental variance. After the adjustment for gestational age, systematic decrease in the shared environmental variance was found from the cohorts born in 1940-1949 ($c^2=0.55$, 95% CI 0.32-0.78 in boys and $c^2=0.68$, 95% CI 0.46-0.87 in girls) until 2000-2013 ($c^2=0.17$, 95% CI 0.10-0.26 and $c^2=0.18$, 95% CI 0.11-0.27, respectively).

Figure 3 presents the variances of birth weight in each twin cohort according to the cohort mean birth weight (the exact point estimates with their 95% CIs are available in Supplemental table 3). Some heterogeneity between the cohorts, especially in additive genetic variation, was found. However, this did not show any clear pattern according to the mean birth weight of cohort.

Discussion

Using data from 57,613 complete twin pairs from 16 countries, the present study revealed that environmental factors shared by co-twins importantly contribute to the inter-individual variation in birth weight, birth length, and PI. These factors also explained an important share of regional differences in the birth weight variation as found also in previous studies^{11, 15, 26}. In the classical twin design, maternal effects shared by co-twins, including gestational age, would show up as a shared environmental variance. A previous international study of seven twin cohorts reported that from 50% to 70% of the total variance in birth weight was associated with maternal effects,¹⁵ which is close to the relative contribution of shared environmental variance found in our study before standardizing the results for gestational age. The standardization for gestational age decreased especially the shared environmental variances for birth weight relative to the variances of birth length and PI suggesting that birth weight is more influenced by the length of gestation than birth length and PI²⁷.

The mean and total variance of birth weight and length were lower in East-Asia than in the other regions, which corresponds with previous studies^{28, 29}. The differences in the total variances were especially contributed by differences in shared environmental variance. It has been suggested that part of these maternal effects is due to maternal genes which regulate fetal growth, possibly through intra uterine environment^{30, 31}. Heritability estimates for the length of gestation were found over 30 %^{31, 32}, indicating that it is a heritable trait in European ancestry populations. Heritability of the length of gestation for East-Asian populations is presently unknown, but if these differ from European ancestry estimates, this may partly explain these regional differences in shared environmental variances.

Various maternal genes have been shown to influence fetal growth, either directly or indirectly. A study examining genome-wide DNA methylation patterns in term human placentas showed that the patterns of DNA methylation were significantly associated with infant growth³³. Moreover, a multi-ancestry genome-wide association study indicated that two loci (INS-IGF2 and RB1) of the 60 genome-wide significant loci from maternal sources fall within (or near) imprinted genes in fetal growth¹². If the frequency of DNA methylation of gene and/or two loci among Asians differ from those among European ancestry³⁴, the genetic variability in maternal characteristics may explain some of the difference in shared environmental variance of birth weight between European ancestry and East-Asians detected in the present study.

Mean PI was similar among boys across the geographic-cultural regions. However, mean PI was greater in East-Asian than in European and North-American and Australian girls. Gilson et al. (2015)²⁷ indicated that PI varied between ethnicities. Moreover, in the present study, shared environmental variance differed between these regions. The smaller shared environmental variance observed in East-Asia than in the other regions may reflect differences in maternal nutrition, smoking, and other environmental factors.

The means and variances of birth weight and length were lower in the cohorts born after than before 1990. In the recent decades, the prevalence of preterm births among singletons and twins has increased in most industrialized countries, while at the same time perinatal mortality has decreased, mainly because of medically indicated preterm births³⁵⁻⁴⁴. Gielen et al. (2010) reported that the frequency of infertility treatment and caesarean sections as well as advanced maternal age have increased over the years, but none of these factors influenced the secular trends in birth weight⁴⁴. The decrease in birth weight and length found in the present study may reflect the decrease in mean length of gestation up to 32 weeks as suggested by Gielen et

al. (2010)⁴⁴. Another factor with respect to time trends is the increasing survival of twin births. The survivors represent different proportions of the twin pregnancies⁴⁵, and these proportions might be represented differentially in the distributions of birth weight and birth length. We found evidence for these explanations since the results adjusted for gestational age did not show differences in the total variance of birth weight. This suggests that the increasing total variation over the birth cohorts is affected by increasing survival of babies with early gestational age. In the analyses adjusted for gestational age, shared environmental variance decreased over the birth cohorts. This may suggest that the variation in maternal factors has decreased at the same time when general standard of living has increased.

When considering how well our results can be generalized, the assumptions made by the twin design need to be considered. MZ twins can either share one chorion and one amnion, each fetus can have its own amnion, or they can each have their own chorion and amnion such for virtually all DZ twins. Previous Dutch and Belgian studies^{46, 47} have reported somewhat lower correlations for mono-chorionic than di-chorionic MZ twins, which can lead to under estimation of additive genetic variance and over estimation of shared environmental variance. However, if there would be extra variation because of more dissimilar intrauterine environment of MZ twins, it should have been seen as the higher trait variance in MZ twins which was not the case in our study. One explanation is that very discordant pairs are not part of our study because of higher neonatal mortality or other reasons. It would be important to estimate the contributions of genetic and environmental factors also by using other methods available for singleton pregnancies to confirm how well our twin study results can be generalized to the whole population.

The main strength of our study is the very large sample size allowing the investigation of differences on the genetic and environmental contributions to individual differences in birth

size in much more detailed than in previous studies. Pooling data from a large number of twin cohorts also permits the analyses by geographic-cultural regions and birth cohorts born over 100 years. Further, were able to analyze also birth length and PI and adjust the results for gestational age. Especially the lack of information of gestational age is a major limitation in previous studies since it inflates shared environmental variation as demonstrated in our study. However, countries and/or geographic-cultural regions are not equally represented, and the database is heavily weighted towards populations following the Westernized lifestyle. There are few data available from Middle-East and Africa and no data from South-Asia or South-America. It is also noteworthy that all countries have different historical development, and thus the same birth cohorts can have been exposed to different environmental exposures. This may well have diluted the differences between the birth cohorts in this study which reflects the average variances of different countries.

In conclusion, as contrast to the small contribution of genetic factors, environmental factors shared by co-twins importantly contribute to the inter-individual variation in birth size even after the standardization for gestational age. The contributions of genetic effects on birth size were similar in the geographic-cultural regions, but unique environmental influences were slightly larger and shared environmental influences smaller in East-Asia than in the other regions. This suggests that in the westernized social context there are features increasing variation in maternal nutrition and other maternal factors affecting birth size. Our results thus indicate that maternal factors importantly contribute to birth size and can then be a target for public health interventions to improve infant health.

Competing Interests statement

Reimbursement of travel expenses by Novonordic in 2015 and honorarium plus travel expenses from GSK in 2010 for Henning Beck-Nielsen.

Funding

This study was conducted within the CODATwins project (Academy of Finland #266592). The Australian Twin Registry is supported by a Centre of Research Excellence (grant ID 1079102) from the National Health and Medical Research Council administered by the University of Melbourne. The Boston University Twin Project is funded by grants (#R01 HD068435 #R01 MH062375) from the National Institutes of Health to K. Saudino. The Carolina African American Twin Study of Aging (CAATSA) was funded by a grant from the National Institute on Aging (grant 1R01-AG13662-01A2) to K. E. Whitfield. Colorado Twin Registry is funded by NIDA funded center grant DA011015, & Longitudinal Twin Study HD10333; Author Huibregtse is supported by 5T32DA017637-11. Since its origin the East Flanders Prospective Survey has been partly supported by grants from the Fund of Scientific Research, Flanders and Twins, a non-profit Association for Scientific Research in Multiple Births (Belgium). Data collection and analyses in Finnish twin cohorts have been supported by ENGAGE – European Network for Genetic and Genomic Epidemiology, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007, grant agreement number 201413, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (grants AA-12502, AA-00145, and AA-09203 to R J Rose, the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Complex Disease Genetics (grant numbers: 213506, 129680), and the Academy of Finland (grants 100499, 205585, 118555, 141054, 265240, 263278 and 264146 to J Kaprio). Gemini was supported by a grant from Cancer Research UK (C1418/A7974). Anthropometric measurements of the Hungarian twins were supported by Medexpert Ltd., Budapest, Hungary. The Italian Twin Registry was partially supported by the Chiesi Foundation onlus. Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins was funded by the Starting Grant no. 240994 from the European Research Council (ERC) to Ariel Knafo. The Michigan State University Twin Registry has been supported by Michigan State University, as well as grants R01-MH081813, R01-MH0820-54, R01-MH092377-02, R21-MH070542-01, R03-MH63851-01 from the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), R01-HD066040 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), and 11-SPG-2518 from the MSU Foundation. The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIMH, the NICHD, or the National Institutes of Health. Data collection and research stemming from the Norwegian Twin Registry is supported, in part, from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programmes ENGAGE Consortium (grant agreement HEALTH-F4-2007-201413, and BioSHaRE EU (grant agreement HEALTH-F4-2010-261433). Netherlands Twin Register acknowledges the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and MagW/ZonMW grants 904-61-090, 985-10-002, 912-10-020, 904-61-193,480-04-004, 463-06-001, 451-04-034, 400-05-717, Addiction-31160008, Middelgroot-911-09-032, Spinozapremie 56-464-14192; VU University's Institute for Health and Care

Research (EMGO+); the European Research Council (ERC - 230374), the Avera Institute, Sioux Falls, South Dakota (USA). PETS was supported by grants from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (grant numbers 437015 and 607358 to JC, and RS), the Bonnie Babes Foundation (grant number BBF20704 to JMC), the Financial Markets Foundation for Children (grant no. 032-2007 to JMC), and by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. The Quebec Newborn Twin Study acknowledges financial support from the Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Société et la Culture, the Fonds de la Recherche en Santé du Québec, the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada, the National Health Research Development Program, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, Sainte-Justine Hospital's Research Center, and the Canada Research Chair Program (Michel Boivin). The Twins Early Development Study (TEDS) is supported by a program grant (G0901245) from the UK Medical Research Council and the work on obesity in TEDS is supported in part by a grant from the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (31/D19086). Currently TEDS is supported by MRC grant 'MR/M021475/1'. The West Japan Twins and Higher Order Multiple Births Registry was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (grant number 15H05105) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

1. Gamborg M, Byberg L, Rasmussen F, et al. Birth weight and systolic blood pressure in adolescence and adulthood: meta-regression analysis of sex- and age-specific results from 20 Nordic studies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007; 166: 634-45.
2. RG IJ, Stehouwer CD and Boomsma DI. Evidence for genetic factors explaining the birth weight-blood pressure relation. Analysis in twins. *Hypertension.* 2000; 36: 1008-12.
3. Law CM and Shiell AW. Is blood pressure inversely related to birth weight? The strength of evidence from a systematic review of the literature. *J Hypertens.* 1996; 14: 935-41.
4. Sorensen HT, Sabroe S, Rothman KJ, Gillman M, Fischer P and Sorensen TI. Relation between weight and length at birth and body mass index in young adulthood: cohort study. *BMJ (Clinical research ed).* 1997; 315: 1137.
5. Johansson M and Rasmussen F. Birthweight and body mass index in young adulthood: the Swedish young male twins study. *Twin research : the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies.* 2001; 4: 400-5.
6. Cheung YB, Ma S, Machin D and Karlberg J. Birthweight and psychological distress in adult twins: a longitudinal study. *Acta paediatrica (Oslo, Norway : 1992).* 2004; 93: 965-8.
7. Forsen T, Eriksson J, Tuomilehto J, Reunanen A, Osmond C and Barker D. The fetal and childhood growth of persons who develop type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000; 133: 176-82.
8. Eriksson M, Wallander MA, Krakau I, Wedel H and Svardsudd K. Birth weight and cardiovascular risk factors in a cohort followed until 80 years of age: the study of men born in 1913. *J Intern Med.* 2004; 255: 236-46.
9. Wang SF, Shu L, Sheng J, et al. Birth weight and risk of coronary heart disease in adults: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Journal of developmental origins of health and disease.* 2014; 5: 408-19.
10. Pietilainen KH, Kaprio J, Rasanen M, Winter T, Rissanen A and Rose RJ. Tracking of body size from birth to late adolescence: contributions of birth length, birth weight, duration of gestation, parents' body size, and twinship. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001; 154: 21-9.
11. Whitfield JB, Treloar SA, Zhu G and Martin NG. Genetic and non-genetic factors affecting birth-weight and adult Body Mass Index. *Twin research : the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies.* 2001; 4: 365-70.
12. Horikoshi M, Beaumont RN, Day FR, et al. Genome-wide associations for birth weight and correlations with adult disease. *Nature.* 2016; 538: 248-52.
13. Mook-Kanamori DO, van Beijsterveldt CE, Steegers EA, et al. Heritability estimates of body size in fetal life and early childhood. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7: e39901.
14. Salas AA, Carlo WA, Ambalavanan N, et al. Gestational age and birthweight for risk assessment of neurodevelopmental impairment or death in extremely preterm infants. *Archives of disease in childhood Fetal and neonatal edition.* 2016.
15. Hur YM, Luciano M, Martin NG, et al. A comparison of twin birthweight data from Australia, the Netherlands, the United States, Japan, and South Korea: are genetic and

- environmental variations in birthweight similar in Caucasians and East Asians? *Twin research and human genetics : the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies*. 2005; 8: 638-48.
16. Rugholm S, Baker JL, Olsen LW, Schack-Nielsen L, Bua J and Sorensen TI. Stability of the association between birth weight and childhood overweight during the development of the obesity epidemic. *Obesity research*. 2005; 13: 2187-94.
 17. Schack-Nielsen L, Molgaard C, Sorensen TI, Greisen G and Michaelsen KF. Secular change in size at birth from 1973 to 2003: national data from Denmark. *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md)*. 2006; 14: 1257-63.
 18. Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, et al. The CODATwins Project: The Cohort Description of Collaborative Project of Development of Anthropometrical Measures in Twins to Study Macro-Environmental Variation in Genetic and Environmental Effects on Anthropometric Traits. *Twin research and human genetics : the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies*. 2015; 18: 348-60.
 19. Jensen CB, Gamborg M, Heitmann B, Sorensen TI and Baker JL. Comparison of birth weight between school health records and medical birth records in Denmark: determinants of discrepancies. *BMJ open*. 2015; 5: e008628.
 20. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2014; 384: 766-81.
 21. Hjertholm KG, Iversen PO, Holmboe-Ottesen G, et al. Maternal dietary intake during pregnancy and its association to birth size in rural Malawi: A cross-sectional study. *Maternal & child nutrition*. 2017.
 22. Crume TL, Brinton JT, Shapiro A, et al. Maternal dietary intake during pregnancy and offspring body composition: The Healthy Start Study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016; 215: 609.e1-.e8.
 23. Chia AR, de Seymour JV, Colega M, et al. A vegetable, fruit, and white rice dietary pattern during pregnancy is associated with a lower risk of preterm birth and larger birth size in a multiethnic Asian cohort: the Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes (GUSTO) cohort study. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2016; 104: 1416-23.
 24. Posthuma D, Beem AL, de Geus EJ, et al. Theory and practice in quantitative genetics. *Twin research : the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies*. 2003; 6: 361-76.
 25. Neale MC, Hunter MD, Pritikin JN, et al. OpenMx 2.0: Extended Structural Equation and Statistical Modeling. *Psychometrika*. 2016; 81: 535-49.
 26. Boomsma DI, Orlebeke JF and van Baal GC. The Dutch Twin Register: growth data on weight and height. *Behav Genet*. 1992; 22: 247-51.
 27. Gibson KS, Waters TP, Gunzler DD and Catalano PM. A retrospective cohort study of factors relating to the longitudinal change in birth weight. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2015; 15: 344.
 28. Urquia ML, Qiao Y, Ray JG, Liu C and Hjern A. Birth outcomes of foreign-born, native-

- born, and mixed couples in Sweden. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2015; 29: 123-30.
29. Lagiou P, Hsieh CC, Trichopoulos D, et al. Birthweight differences between USA and China and their relevance to breast cancer aetiology. *International journal of epidemiology.* 2003; 32: 193-8.
 30. Nance WE, Kramer AA, Corey LA, Winter PM and Eaves LJ. A causal analysis of birth weight in the offspring of monozygotic twins. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1983; 35: 1211-23.
 31. Van Den Oord EJ and Rowe DC. A step in another direction: looking for maternal genetic and environmental effects on racial differences in birth weight. *Demography.* 2001; 38: 573-6.
 32. Clausson B, Lichtenstein P and Cnattingius S. Genetic influence on birthweight and gestational length determined by studies in offspring of twins. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology.* 2000; 107: 375-81.
 33. Banister CE, Koestler DC, Maccani MA, Padbury JF, Houseman EA and Marsit CJ. Infant growth restriction is associated with distinct patterns of DNA methylation in human placentas. *Epigenetics.* 2011; 6: 920-7.
 34. Siffert W, Forster P, Jockel KH, et al. Worldwide ethnic distribution of the G protein beta3 subunit 825T allele and its association with obesity in Caucasian, Chinese, and Black African individuals. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN.* 1999; 10: 1921-30.
 35. Joseph KS, Kramer MS, Marcoux S, et al. Determinants of preterm birth rates in Canada from 1981 through 1983 and from 1992 through 1994. *N Engl J Med.* 1998; 339: 1434-9.
 36. Joseph KS, Allen AC, Dodds L, Vincer MJ and Armson BA. Causes and consequences of recent increases in preterm birth among twins. *Obstetrics and gynecology.* 2001; 98: 57-64.
 37. Kogan MD, Alexander GR, Kotelchuck M, et al. Trends in twin birth outcomes and prenatal care utilization in the United States, 1981-1997. *Jama.* 2000; 284: 335-41.
 38. Ananth CV, Joseph Ks K and Smulian JC. Trends in twin neonatal mortality rates in the United States, 1989 through 1999: influence of birth registration and obstetric intervention. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2004; 190: 1313-21.
 39. Ananth CV, Joseph KS and Kinzler WL. The influence of obstetric intervention on trends in twin stillbirths: United States, 1989-99. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2004; 15: 380-7.
 40. Ananth CV, Joseph KS, Oyelese Y, Demissie K and Vintzileos AM. Trends in preterm birth and perinatal mortality among singletons: United States, 1989 through 2000. *Obstetrics and gynecology.* 2005; 105: 1084-91.
 41. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD and Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet (London, England).* 2008; 371: 75-84.
 42. Keirse MJ, Hanssens M and Devlieger H. Trends in preterm births in Flanders, Belgium, from 1991 to 2002. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2009; 23: 522-32.
 43. Hartley RS and Hitti J. Increasing rates of preterm twin births coincide with improving twin pair survival. *J Perinat Med.* 2010; 38: 297-303.
 44. Gielen M, van Beijsterveldt CE, Derom C, et al. Secular trends in gestational age and birthweight in twins. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England).* 2010; 25: 2346-53.

45. Vedel C, Oldenburg A, Worda K, et al. Short- and long-term perinatal outcome in twin pregnancies affected by weight discordance. 2017; 96: 233-42.
46. van Beijsterveldt CE, Overbeek LI, Rozendaal L, et al. Chorionicity and Heritability Estimates from Twin Studies: The Prenatal Environment of Twins and Their Resemblance Across a Large Number of Traits. *Behav Genet.* 2016; 46: 304-14.
47. Vlietinck R, Derom R, Neale MC, et al. Genetic and environmental variation in the birth weight of twins. *Behav Genet.* 1989; 19: 151-61.

Table 1. Sample sizes, means and standard deviations of birth weight (kg) by sex, region, birth year, and zygosity

	Zygosity	Boys			Girls		
		N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
All cohorts ¹⁾	MZ	20596	2.52	0.55	22806	2412.5	529.1
	DZ	36212	2.60	0.57	35612	2502.0	545.7
Region							
Europe ²⁾	MZ	13318	2.53	0.56	13974	2.42	0.53
Europe ²⁾	DZ	24616	2.63	0.56	23598	2.52	0.54
NA and Aus ³⁾	MZ	5258	2.52	0.56	6592	2.40	0.54
NA and Aus ³⁾	DZ	9765	2.57	0.59	10223	2.47	0.57
East Asia ⁴⁾	MZ	1910	2.48	0.51	2132	2.39	0.47
East Asia ⁴⁾	DZ	1421	2.49	0.51	1403	2.41	0.47
Birth year							
1915-1939	MZ	174	2.49	0.68	374	2.44	0.65
1915-1939	DZ	133	2.85	0.84	353	2.64	0.66
1940-1949	MZ	758	2.60	0.56	1280	2.47	0.52
1940-1949	DZ	1092	2.77	0.57	1558	2.61	0.51
1950-1959	MZ	1166	2.62	0.56	1952	2.46	0.54
1950-1959	DZ	1384	2.79	0.58	1900	2.66	0.56
1960-1969	MZ	286	2.63	0.58	480	2.40	0.55
1960-1969	DZ	176	2.72	0.64	284	2.53	0.59
1970-1979	MZ	3068	2.62	0.52	1826	2.48	0.48
1970-1979	DZ	3274	2.74	0.53	2048	2.63	0.51
1980-1989	MZ	2734	2.56	0.52	3072	2.49	0.52
1980-1989	DZ	3698	2.71	0.53	3722	2.61	0.52
1990-1999	MZ	8338	2.48	0.57	9474	2.38	0.53
1990-1999	DZ	16932	2.56	0.56	16634	2.47	0.54
2000-2013	MZ	4072	2.46	0.55	4348	2.36	0.52
2000-2013	DZ	9523	2.53	0.58	9113	2.43	0.55

1) Includes all cohorts in the footnotes 2-4 and Africa (one cohort, 108 twin pairs, Guinea-Bissau Twin Study) and Middle-East (one cohort, 400 pairs, Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins)

2) Europe (11 cohorts, 37,753 twin pairs): East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey, Finntwin12, Finntwin16, Gemini Study, Hungarian Twin Registry, Italian Twin Registry, Norwegian Twin Registry, Swedish Young Male Twins Study of Adults, Swedish Young Male Twins Study of Children, Twins Early Developmental Study and Young Netherlands Twin Registry

3) North America and Australia (9 cohorts, 15,919 twin pairs): includes the following twin cohorts: Australian Twin Registry, Boston University Twin Project, Carolina African American Twin Study of Aging, Colorado Twin Registry, Michigan Twins Study, Minnesota Twin Family Study, Minnesota Twin Registry, Peri/Postnatal Epigenetic Twins Study and Quebec Newborn Twin Study

4) East-Asia (4 cohorts, 3433 twin pairs): Japanese Twin Cohort, Mongolian Twin Registry, Qingdao Twin Registry of Children and

Table 2. Sample sizes, means and standard deviations of birth length (cm) and ponderal index (kg/m³) by sex, region, birth year, and zygosity

	Zygosity	Birth Length						Ponderal Index					
		Boys			Girls			Boys			Girls		
		N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
All cohort	MZ	10394	47.0	3.2	10054	46.4	3.3	10394	24.4	3.0	10054	24.3	3.3
	DZ	17758	47.5	3.3	15962	46.9	3.2	17758	24.4	3.1	15962	24.4	3.2
Region													
Europe ¹⁾	MZ	8614	47.1	3.3	8062	46.5	3.3	8614	24.4	3.1	8062	24.3	3.4
Europe ¹⁾	DZ	16040	47.6	3.3	14276	47.0	3.3	16040	24.4	3.2	14276	24.4	3.3
NA and Aus ²⁾													
NA and Aus ²⁾	MZ	350	47.0	3.3	348	46.6	2.8	350	24.3	2.8	348	23.9	2.8
NA and Aus ²⁾	DZ	540	47.9	3.1	506	46.9	3.1	540	24.0	2.9	506	24.1	3.1
East-Asia ³⁾													
East-Asia ³⁾	MZ	1418	46.4	2.8	1624	45.7	2.8	1418	24.2	2.5	1624	24.6	2.7
East-Asia ³⁾	DZ	1096	46.2	2.9	1090	45.7	2.7	1096	24.5	2.6	1090	24.6	2.6
B i r t h Y e a r													
1970-1979	MZ	2650	47.2	2.7	1300	46.5	2.5	2650	24.8	2.5	1300	25.0	2.7
1970-1979	DZ	2997	47.7	2.7	1785	47.1	2.5	2997	25.1	2.6	1785	25.2	2.7
1980-1989	MZ	1802	47.1	2.7	1936	46.5	2.9	1802	24.5	2.8	1936	24.8	2.9
1980-1989	DZ	2916	47.7	2.7	2862	47.0	2.7	2916	25.0	2.6	2862	25.1	2.8
1990-1999	MZ	4486	46.9	3.6	5160	46.3	3.5	4486	24.0	3.3	5160	24.0	3.4
1990-1999	DZ	8790	47.5	3.5	8422	46.9	3.4	8790	24.0	3.3	8422	24.0	3.4
2000-2013	MZ	1456	46.8	3.5	1658	46.1	3.5	1456	24.3	3.3	1658	24.1	3.4
2000-2013	DZ	3055	47.2	3.6	2893	46.5	3.4	3055	24.3	3.1	2893	24.3	3.3

1) Europe (11 cohorts, 23,496 twin pairs)

2) North America and Australia (9 cohorts, 872 twin pairs)

3) East-Asia (4 cohorts, 2614 twin pairs)

Figure legends

Figure 1. Additive genetic (grey), shared environmental (black) and unique environmental (white) variances of birth size measures before and after standardization for gestational age (GA) by geographic-cultural region.

Figure 2. Additive genetic (grey), shared environmental (black) and unique environmental (white) variances of birth size measures before and after standardization for gestational age (GA) by birth cohort.

Figure 3. Total, additive genetic, shared environmental and unique environmental variances of birth weight by twin cohort. Au, Australian Twin Registry; Bo, Boston University Twin Project; Ca, Carolina African American Twin Study of Aging; Co, Colorado Twin Registry; EF, East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey; F12, Finntwin12; F16, Finntwin16; Ge, Gemini Study; GB, Guinea-Bissau Twin Study; Hu, Hungarian Twin Registry; It, Italian Twin Registry; Ja, Japanese Twin Cohort; Is, Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins; Mi, Michigan Twins Study; MinC, Minnesota Twin Family Study; MinA, Minnesota Twin Registry; Mo, Mongolian Twin Registry; No, Norwegian Twin Registry; PETS, Peri/Postnatal Epigenetic Twins Study; Qi, Qingdao Twin Registry of Children; Qu, Quebec Newborn Twin Study; SwA, Swedish Young Male Twins Study of Adults; SwC, Swedish Young Male Twins Study of Children; TEDS, Twins Early Developmental Study; WJ, West Japan Twins and Higher Order Multiple Births Registry; Ne, Young Netherlands Twin Registry.

