

Comparison of different treatments for isoniazid resistant tuberculosis: an individual patient data meta-analysis

Authors

Fregonese Federica¹; Ahuja Shama D.²; Akkerman Onno W³; Arakaki-Sanchez Denise⁴; Ayakaka Irene⁵; Baghaei Parvaneh⁶; Bang Didi⁷; Banurekha Velayutham V⁸.; Bastos Mayara⁹; Benedetti Andrea¹; Bonnet Maryline^{10,11}; Cattamanchi Adithya¹²; Cegielski Peter¹³; Chien Jung-Yien¹⁴; Cox Helen¹⁵; Dedicoat Martin¹⁶; Erkens Connie¹⁷; Escalante Patricio¹⁸; Falzon Dennis¹⁹; Galliez Rafael²⁰; Garcia-Prats Anthony J.²¹; Gegia Medea¹⁹; Gillespie Stephen H.²³; Glynn Judith R.²⁴; Goldberg Stefan⁴⁵; Griffith David²⁵; Jacobson Karen R.²²; Johnston James^{26,27}; Jones-Lopez Edward C.²²; Khan Awal⁴⁵; Koh Won-Jung²⁸; Kritski Afranio²⁹; Lan Zhi Yi¹; Lee Jae Ho³⁰; Li Pei Zhi¹; Maciel Ethel L.³¹; Merle Corinne S.C.^{24,32}; Munang Melinda¹⁶; Narendran Gopalan³³; Nunn Andrew³⁴; Ohkado Akihiro A.³⁵; Park Jong Sun³⁰; Phillips Patrick PJ³⁶; Ponnuraja Chinnaiyan³⁷; Reves Randall³⁸; Romanowski Kamila²⁷; Seung Kwonjune.³⁹; Schaaf H. Simon²¹; Skrahina Alena⁴⁰; van Soolingen Dick⁴¹; Tabarsi Payam⁶; Trajman Anete^{1,9}; Trieu Lisa²; Viiklepp Piret⁴²; Nguyen Viet Nhung⁴³; Wang Jann-Yuan¹⁴; Yoshiyama Takashi⁴⁴; Menzies Dick¹.

Affiliations:

1. McGill University Health Center Research Institute, Montreal, Canada
2. Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Queens, NY, USA.
3. University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Groningen, The Netherlands
4. National Tuberculosis Control Program, Brasilia, Brazil
5. Mulago Hospital Tuberculosis Clinic, Kampala, Uganda
6. Clinical Tuberculosis and Epidemiology Research Center NRITLD, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
7. Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
8. Department of Clinical Research, National Institute for Research in TB, Indian Council of Medical Research, India.
9. Social Medicine Institute, Epidemiology Department, University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
10. Epicentre MSF, Paris, France
11. Institut de Recherche pour le Développement UM233, INSERM U1175, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France
12. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine and Curry International Tuberculosis Center, University of California San Francisco
13. Division of Global HIV and TB, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.
14. Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan
15. Division of Medical Microbiology and Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine University of Cape Town, Cape town, South Africa
16. Heart of England Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

- 1 17. KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation Team, The Netherlands
- 2 18. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, and
- 3 Mayo Clinic Center for Tuberculosis, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA
- 4 19. World Health Organization, Global Tuberculosis Program, Geneva, Switzerland
- 5 20. State Institute of Infectology São Sebastião, Center for Research and Tuberculosis
- 6 of the Faculty of Medicine of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de
- 7 Janeiro, Brazil
- 8 21. Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of
- 9 Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
- 10 22. Section of Infectious Diseases, Boston University School of Medicine,
- 11 Massachusetts, USA
- 12 23. School of Medicine, University of St Andrews North Haugh, St Andrews, UK
- 13 24. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene &
- 14 Tropical Medicine, London UK
- 15 25. The University of Texas Health Science Center, Tyler, Texas
- 16 26. Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
- 17 Columbia, Canada
- 18 27. British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
- 19 28. Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul,
- 20 Korea
- 21 29. Academic Tuberculosis Program, School of Medicine, Federal University of Rio de
- 22 Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- 23 30. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal
- 24 Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul, Korea
- 25 31. Laboratory of Epidemiology, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil
- 26 32. Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health
- 27 Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- 28 33. Department of Clinical Research, The National Institute for Research in
- 29 Tuberculosis, Chennai, India
- 30 34. Medical Research Council UK Clinical Trials Unit, University College London,
- 31 London, UK
- 32 35. Department of Epidemiology and Clinical Research, the Research Institute of
- 33 Tuberculosis, Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Tokyo, Japan; Graduate School
- 34 of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan
- 35 36. Division of Pulmonary & Critical Medicine, University of California, San Francisco
- 36 37. The national Institute for research in Tuberculosis, Chetpet, India
- 37 38. Denver Public Health Department, Denver, Colorado, USA
- 38 39. Partners In Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- 39 40. Republican Scientific and Practical Centre of Pulmonology and Tuberculosis,
- 40 Ministry of Health, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 41 41. National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, National Institute for Public Health
- 42 and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands
- 43 42. Estonian Tuberculosis Registry, National Institute for Health Development, Tallinn,
- 44 Estonia
- 45 43. National Lung Hospital, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Vietnam; Hanoi Medical University,
- 46 Hanoi, Vietnam
- 47 44. Fukujuji hospital and Research Institute of Tuberculosis, Tokyo, Japan.

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 45. Division of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis,
2 STD, and TB Prevention, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA.
3
4

5 **Address correspondence to:**

6 Dr Dick Menzies
7 Respiratory Epidemiology & Clinical Research Unit (RECRU)
8 Montreal Chest Institute, McGill University
9 Room 3D.58,
10 5252 de Maisonneuve.
11 Montreal, Qc, Canada, H4A 3S5
12 Tel: 514-934-1934 – ext 32128
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

1 **Abstract**

3 **Background:**

4 Isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible tuberculosis (INH-R TB) is the most common
5 form of drug resistance, and is associated with significant rates of failure, relapse, and
6 acquired rifampin resistance if treated with first-line anti-TB drugs.

7 The aim of the study was to compare success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance
8 with: 1) different durations of rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ); 2)
9 fluoroquinolone plus 6 months or more of REZ; 3) streptomycin plus a core regimen of
10 REZ, in INH-R pulmonary TB.

12 **Methods:**

13 Individual patient data was obtained from authors of studies included in a published
14 systematic review on pulmonary INH-R TB, additional studies identified in an updated
15 search up to February 2016, personal communications from the same authors, and from
16 authors responding to an invitation at a WHO European regional Resistant TB surveillance
17 meeting. Studies with regimens and outcomes known for INH-R TB individual patients
18 were eligible; regardless of the number of patients if randomized trials (RCT); or at least 20
19 subjects if a cohort study. Bias was assessed based on eight items. Authors supplied de-
20 identified clinical, treatment and outcome information. The individual patient data meta-
21 analysis was performed with propensity score matched logistic regression to estimate
22 adjusted odds ratios and risk differences of treatment success, death during treatment and
23 acquired rifampin resistance.

24 **Findings:**

25 Individual patient data was requested from authors of 57 cohort studies and 17 randomized
26 trials with 8089 patients with INH-R TB. We received 33 data sets with 6424 patients (27
27 cohorts and 6 RCT), of which 3923 patients in 23 studies (21 cohorts and 2 RCT) received
28 regimens related to the study objectives. When compared to a daily regimen of 6 months of
29 rifampin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol, with or without isoniazid (6(H)REZ), extending
30 the duration to 8-9 months had similar outcomes, hence $\geq 6(H)REZ$ was used for
31 subsequent comparisons. Addition of a fluoroquinolone to $\geq 6(H)REZ$ was associated with
32 significantly greater treatment success (aOR: 2.8, 95% CI: 1.1, 7.3), and non-significantly
33 lower mortality (aOR: 0.7, 95% CI: 0.4, 1.1) and acquired rifampin resistance (aOR: 0.1,
34 95% CI: 0.0, 1.2). When compared to $\geq 6(H)REZ$, the standardized retreatment regimen (2
35 months streptomycin, 3 months pyrazinamide and 8 months isoniazid, rifampin plus
36 ethambutol) was associated with significantly worse treatment success (aOR: 0.4 95% CI:
37 0.2, 0.7).

38 **Interpretation:**

39 In patients with INH-R TB, compared to treatment with at least 6 months of daily REZ,
40 addition of a fluoroquinolone was associated with better treatment success, while addition
41 of streptomycin was associated with less treatment success. Although this study utilised a

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 large number of patients with isoniazid-mono or poly resistance, with known individual
2 characteristics, the quality of the evidence is very low, given the observational nature of
3 most of the data, the diverse settings and the imprecision of estimates. These results
4 support the conduct of randomized trials to identify the optimal regimen for this important
5 and common form of drug-resistant TB.

6

7 **Funding:**

8 World Health Organization and Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

9

10

1 **Introduction**

2

3 One of several major challenges impeding global tuberculosis (TB) control is the steady
4 increase in the prevalence and severity of drug resistance.¹ The World Health Organization
5 (WHO) has estimated that 17% of isolates from patients newly diagnosed with TB have
6 some form of drug resistance.² Globally, the most common form of drug-resistant TB is
7 isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible TB (INH-R TB) – estimated to account for 8% of
8 all new cases.³ In most low and middle-income countries, access to drug susceptibility
9 testing (DST) is very limited, so both new and previously treated patients receive
10 standardized regimens with first-line TB drugs. The expanded access to Xpert® MTB/Rif,
11 means that INH-R TB will continue to be missed as this test does not identify the mutations
12 (in KatG and INHa)⁴ associated with INH-R TB. A recent systematic review estimated that
13 treatment of patients with unrecognized INH-R TB with the standard regimen
14 recommended for new cases⁵ would result in combined failure and relapse rates of 12-13%
15 and 8% rate of acquired rifampin resistance.⁶

16

17 Despite the frequent occurrence, and major impact on outcomes, there has been remarkably
18 little research on therapy for INH-R TB. The last randomized trial specifically of INH-R
19 TB was published more than 20 years ago; in that trial the best regimen, of three tested, had
20 a combined failure and relapse rate exceeding 11%.⁷ The previously recommended
21 “retreatment” regimen, designed to manage INH-R TB, was never tested in a randomized
22 trial.⁸ Hence, the optimal regimen composition, particularly use of fluoroquinolones, and
23 duration of treatment remains controversial.^{3,5,9-11}

24

25 We conducted an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of the treatment of patients
26 with INH-R TB, to address three main questions: 1. Optimal duration of daily regimen of
27 rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ); 2. Benefit of adding a fluoroquinolone (FQ)
28 to 6 months or more of REZ (sub-question of the benefit of adding a FQ to a regimen with
29 6 months or more of RE but only 1-3 months Z); 3. Benefit of adding streptomycin (SM) to
30 a core regimen of 6 or more months of RE but only 1-3 months Z (essentially the regimen
31 formerly recommended by WHO for retreatment). The benefit of including isoniazid in
32 each of these regimens was also addressed. We assessed treatment success (cure or
33 completion), death (from any cause) during treatment, failure or recurrence of disease after
34 success, and acquired rifampin resistance.

35

36 **Methods:**

37 The study protocol is available from the authors upon request.

38 **Data sources**

39 All studies on INH-R TB, included in a systematic review completed in May 2016 and
40 published in 2017⁶ were considered eligible. We re-reviewed the 49 excluded studies, and

1 identified 20 which had been excluded because regimens were individualized, multiple
2 regimens had been used without stratifying results by regimen, some extra-pulmonary TB
3 cases were included, or outcomes for INH-R were mixed with other resistance patterns. We
4 considered these studies might have suitable individual data, so wrote to these authors as
5 well. Studies included in a review on INH-R TB in children¹² were also eligible. We
6 restricted this IPD to studies published after 1990 because it was unlikely that any study
7 would have used fluoroquinolones – one of our main objectives. We identified seven
8 additional studies published after May 2015 through an updated search up to February 10th
9 2016, using the same search terms and databases as the original review.⁶ Five of the
10 contacted authors provided additional unpublished datasets; three have since been
11 published.¹³⁻¹⁵ Three regional or national surveillance datasets were provided by those
12 responding to an invitation to all participants at a WHO European regional Resistant TB
13 surveillance meeting.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

14
15 Specific criteria for participation in this IPD were: the study authors agreed to share their
16 data, regimens and outcomes were known for individual patients, and at least 20 subjects
17 were treated for INH-R TB if a cohort study. Randomized trials that included patients with
18 INH-R TB were eligible regardless of the number of patients. Authors that agreed to share
19 data signed formal data-sharing agreements. We excluded patients who did not receive any
20 of the regimens specified by the study objectives.

21
22 De-identified patient level information was obtained from an on-line data-sharing platform
23 (Platform for Aggregations of Clinical TB Studies initiative¹⁹) for two studies, and directly
24 from the authors for the remainder. This included: demographic data, clinical
25 characteristics (comorbidities including HIV, site and extent of TB disease, results of chest
26 radiography, and smear microscopy), and pre-treatment DST. Treatment information
27 included drugs given, duration, end of treatment outcomes, and adverse events.

28 Individualized regimens were tailored to individual patients' characteristics, and DST
29 results. Center-level information included: diagnostic laboratory methods, usual treatment
30 doses and supervision, and treatment outcome definitions. Relapse was defined as any
31 recurrence of disease within two years after successful treatment. In studies which
32 distinguished re-infection from relapse using molecular methods, re-infections were
33 excluded.²⁰

34
35 Variables from each dataset were mapped to a common set of variables for all patients, and
36 to verify, the clinical characteristics of each study population were compared with
37 description of these characteristics in the published papers.

38 39 **Quality assessment**

40 As the studies in the IPD were mainly observational, we assessed bias and quality using
41 eight items. Two were critical (sampling method and outcome definition) and six were

1 important criteria (participation rate, attrition rate and completeness of information for age,
2 HIV status, cavity at chest-x ray and smear).

3 Studies of high quality met both critical criteria and at least four of the six important
4 criteria (see supplement table S1E – for quality criteria and assessments). Studies of
5 moderate quality met one of the two critical parameters and at least four of the important
6 criteria, or two critical parameters and at least three of the important criteria. Remaining
7 studies were considered of low quality. We assessed overall quality of the evidence from
8 this IPD following GRADE criteria.²²

9
10 **Data analysis:**

11 Isoniazid resistant TB (INH-R TB) was defined as TB due to isolates with phenotypic
12 resistance to isoniazid, and susceptibility to rifampin, with or without additional resistance
13 to pyrazinamide, ethambutol or streptomycin.

14
15 We analyzed three outcomes: (i) treatment success (cure or treatment completion ²⁰)
16 compared to treatment failure or relapse combined; (ii) acquired rifampin resistance among
17 patients with failure or relapse; and, (iii) death from any cause during TB treatment –
18 compared to success or failure/relapse. All analyses excluded patients who failed to
19 complete treatment because of patient decision, or their outcomes were unknown (lost
20 contact with patient, transfer out or other). The outcome of adverse events from anti-TB
21 drugs could not be analyzed, as this was either not reported, or reported with very different
22 definitions.

23
24 For individualized regimens, the actual duration was estimated from dates when drugs were
25 started and stopped. For standardized regimens, or randomized trials, if actual treatment
26 duration was not available, the planned duration was used. For the outcome of death, which
27 could occur at any time during treatment, duration could not be analyzed, since the duration
28 of therapy was determined by the outcome. However, the analysis of mortality was
29 restricted to the same data sets used for the analysis of treatment success – ie studies in
30 which the regimens used and durations of regimens corresponded to the study questions.

31
32 We used propensity score matching ²³ (Caliper method with difference of 0.02 allowed, 1:1
33 matching with replacement) based on age, gender, HIV co-infection, AFB smear, past
34 history of TB treatment and resistance to other first line drugs, if the drug was used.

35 We used a random effects (random intercept and random slope for matched pairs) model
36 (using Proc GLIMMIX in SAS) to estimate adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and 95% confidence
37 intervals (CI) of the three outcomes. Risk differences were calculated with fixed effects
38 generalized linear models with identity link, adjusted for the propensity score. To test for
39 heterogeneity of effect across studies, we used a generalized linear mixed model with an
40 simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis, to
41 calculate the I2 statistic.²⁴

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 For all outcomes and all questions, we performed the following sensitivity analyses: (i)
2 restricting to the sub-group of patients who had not received isoniazid; (ii) restricting to the
3 sub-group with cavitation on chest radiography; (iii) stratified by country income level
4 (high, or low-middle); and, for the fluoroquinolone questions: (iv) restricting to patients
5 who received levofloxacin or moxifloxacin. All analysis was performed using SAS, version
6 9.4 (SAS Institute, Carey, N.C.).

8 **Ethical considerations:**

9 This project was approved by an ethics committee of the MUHC Research Institute (14274-
10 BMB) and by local ethical review boards when necessary.

12 **Role of the funding sources:**

13 Funding was received from the World Health Organization, as part of support from
14 USAID. Funding was also received from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research
15 (Foundation grant 143350). The funding sources had no role in the preparation of the
16 manuscript, nor decision to publish.

18 **Results**

20 **Study selection and description:**

21 As seen in figure 1, 74 studies (57 observational studies and 17 RCT) were identified as
22 potentially eligible, with an expected population of 8089 patients with INH-R TB. We
23 received 33 datasets (27 from observational studies and 6 from RCT) with adequate
24 treatment and outcome information for 5502 patients with pulmonary INH-R TB. In 10
25 datasets, with 762 patients, no patients received any of the regimens of interest;^{13,25-33} in the
26 remaining 23 datasets, 3923 patients^{14-18,34-52} received one of the regimens of the study
27 questions, and 817 patients received other regimens. The characteristics of the patients
28 from the 23 centers are summarized in table S1a. 15 studies contributed data for the
29 question of duration of (H)REZ,^{14,16-18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50} 15 studies for the question of
30 addition of a fluoroquinolone to (H)REZ,^{14,17,18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50,52} 15 studies to the related
31 question of a FQ plus only 1-3 months of pyrazinamide,^{14,17,18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50,52} and all
32 23 studies for the question of addition of streptomycin.^{14-18,34-52} The characteristics of the
33 populations compared in each of the main analyses are summarized in appendix tables S3-
34 S6 (see also below). The regimens received by the 817 excluded patients from these centres
35 are listed in table S1b. This included 139 patients who received high-dose isoniazid
36 (450mg per day or more) – who could not be analyzed as they received several
37 accompanying regimens. The characteristics of the 762 patients in the 10 studies where all
38 patients were excluded are summarized in table S2a, and their treatment regimens in table
39 S2b.

1 To define isoniazid resistance, a critical concentration of 0.1 or 0.2 mcg/ml was used by 21
2 centers, and 0.25mcg/ml, or either 0.2 or 1.0 mcg/ml in single studies. The outcome
3 definitions and drug dosages given were in accordance with WHO guidelines (tables S1c
4 and S1d). Daily regimens were used in all but one study.⁵⁰ Therapy was directly supervised
5 throughout treatment for 2018 patients in 14 centres. Actual duration of therapy was known
6 in 16 studies (2422 participants, of whom duration was not known in 15), and planned
7 duration in the remaining 7 studies (1513 persons). Overall, 345 of all 3923 patients (9%)
8 were lost, or transferred out without known outcome, or stopped therapy for patient
9 decision. In 19 of 23 studies recurrence/relapse was measured, during follow-up that
10 exceeded one year in about two-thirds of patients; only two of these centers^{34,39} used
11 molecular methods to identify reinfection. Quality assessments are summarized in appendix
12 table S1E; based on the criteria selected, the quality was judged low in one study, moderate
13 in four, and high in the remainder.

14 Results of testing for heterogeneity (i.e. estimated I squared, using a generalized linear
15 mixed model adjusted for the same confounding factors used in the propensity score
16 matching) are presented for each analysis in tables 1-4. In general, for analyses in which I
17 squared was estimable, the heterogeneity was low (<50%).

18 The analyzed population included only 37 children, 119 patients with diabetes mellitus, and
19 249 with HIV infection with or without antiretroviral treatment; these small numbers
20 precluded separate analyses, for any study questions, within these sub-groups.

21 22 **Question 1: Duration of (H)REZ**

23
24 As seen in table S3, patients receiving 6 months (H)REZ were older, more likely treated in
25 high income countries and less likely to be acid fast bacilli (AFB) smear-positive than
26 patients receiving more than 6 months (H)REZ.

27
28 As seen in table 1, odds of success were non-significantly higher with the six month
29 regimen (aOR 2.4; 95%CI 1.0; 5.5), and acquired resistance was non-significantly lower
30 (aOR 0.2; 95%CI 0.0; 1.7). When patients taking isoniazid (at usual doses) for at least one
31 month were excluded (table 1) outcomes were similar. Hence, we combined all individuals
32 who received 6 or more months of REZ, with or without isoniazid (usual doses) as the
33 comparator group for all analyses.

34 35 **Question 2: Use of a Fluoroquinolone.**

36
37 In total, 251 patients received a FQ for at least one month and at least 6 months of REZ, of
38 whom 165 received a later generation FQ. Compared to those who received ≥ 6 (H)REZ,
39 clinical characteristics were very similar, except that 98% of those who received a FQ were
40 treated in high income countries (table S4).

41

1 The 251 who received a FQ had significantly higher odds of treatment success than those
2 who did not, and non-significantly lower odds of acquired resistance to rifampin and of
3 mortality (table 2). Estimates of effect were similar, and non-significant, when restricting
4 the analysis to the subgroup of patients who did not receive isoniazid, or patients who
5 received only later generation FQ.

6
7 Only 118 patients received a FQ together with 6 or more months of rifampin and
8 ethambutol, and 1-3 months of pyrazinamide, of whom 105 received a later generation FQ.
9 As seen in table S5, they were substantially older, and less likely to have cavitation or AFB
10 positive smears than the comparison group. In these patients, use of a FQ was associated
11 with non-significantly higher success, with similar results when restricting the analysis to
12 use of a later generation FQ (table 3). Due to the small number of patients who received
13 this regimen, the estimates of effect were very imprecise.

14 15 **Question 3: Use of Streptomycin.**

16
17 The 325 individuals who received the standardized retreatment regimen were more likely
18 to have cavitary disease, poly-drug resistance, or previous TB treatment (reflecting the
19 usual indication for this regimen), compared to the 1350 who received $\geq 6(H)REZ$ (table
20 S6).

21
22 As seen in table 4, the streptomycin-containing regimens were associated with significantly
23 lower odds of success when all patients were considered, and non-significantly lower
24 success when the analysis was restricted to patients who did not receive isoniazid. On the
25 other hand, mortality was virtually identical in patients who did, or did not receive
26 streptomycin, in analyses with, and without, patients receiving isoniazid. There were
27 insufficient numbers to analyse acquired rifampin resistance.

28 29 **Sensitivity analyses:**

30 There were very few studies from low-middle income countries for the question of REZ
31 duration, fluoroquinolones were predominantly used in centres in high income countries,
32 while Streptomycin was used almost exclusively in low-middle income countries – limiting
33 these stratified analyses. As seen in appendix table S7, in analyses restricted to studies in
34 high income countries, six months of REZ was associated with very similar outcomes as
35 the longer duration of REZ, and addition of a FQ was associated with non-significantly
36 better success. When analyses were restricted to low income countries (appendix table S8),
37 streptomycin containing regimens had non-significantly lower success and higher
38 mortality.

39
40 When analyses were restricted to patients with cavitation on chest radiography (appendix
41 table S9) there was no evidence that addition of FQ or SM was more or less beneficial than

1 in the primary analyses. Finally, the duration of FQ did not appear to be a determinant of
2 success (appendix table S10).

3
4 **Overall quality of evidence:**

5 Even though most studies were considered high quality, we considered that the risk of bias
6 was high, given that all but two were observational, and most provided individualized
7 treatment. Because relatively small numbers of patients received the regimens of interest,
8 estimates of effect were generally imprecise with wide confidence intervals. There were
9 also concerns over directness – for the findings of FQ to low-middle income settings, and
10 for the SM analyses to high income settings. Hence, overall the evidence from this IPD
11 should be considered of very low quality.

12
13 **Discussion**

14 We assembled a large set of individual data of patients with INH-R TB, mostly from
15 observational studies. This study fills an important knowledge gap on the relative efficacy
16 of different regimens to treat INH-R-TB. Compared to a ‘core’ regimen containing REZ,
17 addition of a FQ was associated with significantly higher odds of success, while a treatment
18 regimen with SM added in the first months of treatment and shorter Z (the ‘retreatment
19 regimen’) was associated with worse results.

20
21 This study had a number of important strengths. Individual data for a large number of
22 patients with isoniazid-mono or poly resistance was assembled. Treatment outcomes were
23 defined according to published recommendations.²⁰ Data was contributed from 23 centers
24 in 18 countries from a wide range of resource levels, enhancing generalizability of results.
25 Having individual patient data meant we could adjust for measured confounding patient
26 characteristics such as age, prior treatment, HIV, sputum smear and additional resistance.

27
28 Nevertheless, the study had also important limitations. Despite extensive efforts to
29 assemble the largest possible number of patients treated for INH-R TB, the numbers of
30 patients who received certain regimens of interest, such as the FQ with only 1 to 3 months
31 pyrazinamide, were very small, providing limited power, or, as was the case with high dose
32 INH, simply too few to perform any analyses. Lab methods were not standardized across
33 centres, and while most centres used the same critical concentration, other differences in
34 lab methods may have contributed to between centre differences in outcomes, resulting in
35 reduced precision. All studies used phenotypic methods to perform DST – which may
36 underestimate rifampin resistance, and could have affected results.⁵³ Relapse may have
37 been over-estimated, as this was distinguished from re-infection using molecular methods
38 in only two of the 19 studies that reported recurrence. We did not include those lost to
39 follow-up (during treatment) in any analysis due to their uncertain outcomes; fortunately,
40 this accounted for less than 9% of all patients in the 23 studies (table S1a).

1 All but two^{50,51} of the 23 studies included in the analyses were observational. Ten used
2 individualized regimens, which may lead to confounding by indication as sicker patients
3 may have been more, or less likely to receive certain drugs or durations. The most
4 important limitation is that the regimens used, particularly use of SM or FQ, may have been
5 confounded with differences in patient or centre characteristics – such as country income
6 level. Despite adjusting for individual-level characteristics, residual confounding may have
7 occurred due to unmeasured differences in patient characteristics such as nutritional status.
8 As well treatment given at different centres may have been confounded with differences
9 between centres, such as resources available for patient support. To account for this, we
10 performed sensitivity analyses restricted to studies from high-income or low-middle
11 income countries only. For most of these analyses, estimates of effect were similar, but less
12 precise, due to fewer studies and patients included (tables S7 and S8).

13
14 Additional limitations were the small number of children, HIV-infected patients, and
15 patients with diabetes– limiting generalizability to these important groups of patients. Less
16 than half the studies reported acquired rifampin resistance during treatment; the resulting
17 smaller numbers limit our inferences for this outcome. The impact of treatment duration on
18 mortality could not be assessed as duration of therapy was truncated by death. A final
19 limitation was that adverse drug reactions could not be analyzed, as planned, because these
20 were not reported, or reported using widely varying definitions, methods of investigation,
21 and management. Non-standardized reporting of adverse events in the treatment of drug-
22 resistant TB has been noted in other reviews of drug-resistant TB treatment.^{54,55}

23
24 The study has several important implications for treatment of INH-R TB or of patients in
25 whom INH cannot be used. First, these findings emphasize the importance of detecting this
26 form of drug resistance. Secondly, the regimen of 6 months REZ provides good results in
27 patients with INH-R TB; more than 6 months of this regimen was not associated with
28 improved outcomes, except in participants with cavitation, in whom there was a non-
29 significant trend to better outcomes with the longer duration. This study provides evidence
30 of benefit from adding a FQ to a core regimen that includes REZ, although the optimal
31 duration and specific type of FQ have not been clarified. Given that pyrazinamide is the
32 most toxic of the current first-line drugs,⁵⁶ the major advantage of adding a FQ would be if
33 pyrazinamide could be reduced to the initial two months. Because of the small number of
34 patients who received this regimen the imprecision of results precludes firm conclusions,
35 but the promising results motivate further evaluation. An additional implication of this
36 study is that the standardized retreatment regimen⁸ appears to be of limited benefit in
37 patients with confirmed INH-R TB. A final treatment implication is that isoniazid at
38 normal doses is of minimal benefit in patients with INH-R TB, even when the low critical
39 concentration of 0.1-0.25 mcg/ml was used to define resistance with DST. Response to
40 treatment may vary according to genotypic forms of isoniazid-resistance;⁵⁷ hence complete
41 genotypic information would be informative in future studies.

1 We conclude that, for the treatment of INH-R TB, addition of a FQ to a core regimen of 6
2 months of daily REZ provides optimal outcomes, although we could not define the best
3 FQ, nor the optimal duration of FQ nor pyrazinamide. Addition of isoniazid, and
4 prolongation of daily REZ beyond 6 months appear to provide no benefits. Addition of
5 streptomycin, and in particular the streptomycin-containing previously recommended
6 retreatment regimen, was associated with significantly worse treatment success. These
7 results, based on observational data, must be considered very low-quality evidence, and so
8 are insufficient to support strong treatment recommendations. However, they do strongly
9 support the conduct of randomized trials to identify the optimal regimen for this important
10 and common form of drug-resistant TB.

14 **Acknowledgements**

15 Funding was received from the World Health Organization, as part of support from
16 USAID. Funding was also received from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research
17 (Foundation grant 143350). The funding sources had no role in the preparation of the
18 manuscript, nor decision to publish.

19 Part of the data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Platform for
20 Aggregations of Clinical TB Studies (C-Path). As such, C-Path and the investigators within
21 the organizations that contributed data to the platform assisted with the design and
22 implementation of the data platform and provided data, but did not participate in the
23 analysis of the data or the writing of this report (apart from the listed authors). We thank
24 Mei Xin Ly, Alison Elliott, Frank Cobelens, Henrieke Schimmel, and Anneke Hesselning
25 for assistance

27 **Contributions by authors:**

28 Study design and protocol: DM, FF, DF

29 Contributed data to the IPD: All authors (except DM, PL, AB, FF, ZL, DF)

30 Data analysis: FF, DM, PL, AB, ZL

31 Wrote initial draft of manuscript: DM, FF

32 Provided critical input and revisions to draft manuscripts, and approved final manuscript:

33 All authors

35 **Conflict of interest statement:**

36 None of the authors have any conflict of interest with the material in this manuscript.

38 **CDC disclaimer** for PC, AK and SG: The findings and conclusions in this report are those
39 of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for
40 Disease Control and Prevention.

44 **Panel: Research in Context**

46 **Evidence before this study:**

1 Drug resistant tuberculosis is one of the major challenges impeding global tuberculosis
2 (TB) control. Isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible TB (INH-R TB) is the most common
3 form of drug-resistant TB. In settings where drug susceptibility testing is not accessible or
4 there is access only to Xpert® MTB/Rif, INH-R TB will be missed, and treated with
5 standard regimens. Despite the frequent occurrence of INH-R TB and its major impact on
6 outcomes, there has been remarkably little research on therapy for INH-R TB. Hence, the
7 optimal regimen for INH-R TB, including use of fluoroquinolones and duration of
8 treatment remains controversial.

9 This IPD meta-analysis was built upon a recent systematic review and aggregate data meta-
10 analysis (Gegia et al., Lancet Infect Dis. 2017; 17(2):223-34), in which four electronic
11 databases (Cochrane database of systematic reviews and randomized trials, PubMed,
12 Embase and HealthStar) were searched with the terms “Tuberculosis” AND “treatment”
13 OR “therapy” AND “INH” OR “isoniazid resistance” up to March 2015. This review
14 found that treatment of patients with unrecognized INH-R TB with the standard regimen
15 recommended for newly diagnosed patients would result in combined failure and relapse
16 rates of 12%, and 8% would acquire rifampin resistance. All studies included in this
17 review were considered eligible for the IPD meta-analysis. We added previously excluded
18 studies that might have been suitable for individual data analysis, plus studies included in a
19 review on INH-R TB in children, and seven additional studies published after May 2015
20 identified from an updated search finalized on February 10th 2016, using the same search
21 terms and databases as the original review. In addition, five of the contacted authors
22 provided other unpublished datasets (three now published) and three regional or national
23 surveillance datasets were provided by authors responding to an invitation to all
24 participants at a WHO European regional Resistant TB surveillance meeting.

26 **Added value of this study:**

27 Subject-level data were compiled from 33 studies and a total of 3923 patients from 23 of
28 these studies (21 cohorts and 2 randomized clinical trials), received one of the regimens of
29 interest. Bias was assessed by using an eight items scale: sampling method and outcome
30 definition were critical and six were important criteria (participation rate, attrition rate and
31 completeness of information for age, HIV status, cavity at chest-x ray and smear). Based on
32 these criteria, the quality was judged low in one study, moderate in four, and high in the
33 remainder.

34 Compared to 6 months of rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ), longer duration
35 of REZ did not result in significantly improved treatment success or less acquired drug
36 resistance. Addition of a fluoroquinolone to a core regimen of at least 6 months of REZ,
37 was associated with improved success, and less acquired drug resistance, but no difference
38 in mortality. Adding an FQ to a regimen with 2-3 months Z, and 6 or more months of R&E,
39 resulted in somewhat, but not significantly, better odds of success. The retreatment regimen
40 (SM added to 6 months of RE and 1-3 months of Z) was associated with significantly
41 worse success, compared to at least 6 months REZ.

43 **Implications of all the available evidence:**

44 Findings of this study emphasize the importance of detecting INH resistance and support
45 the use of FQ in addition to a core regimen of 6 months of REZ. The addition of isoniazid,
46 and prolongation of REZ beyond 6 months appear to provide no benefits for this condition.
47 Our results support a move away from use of the streptomycin-containing previously
48 recommended retreatment regimen. Because of the observational nature of the data, these

1 results are graded very low quality evidence; hence these results are insufficient to support
2 strong treatment recommendations. But they do support the conduct of randomized trials to
3 define the optimal treatment of this common and overlooked condition – particularly to
4 assess the optimal type and duration of FQ and optimal duration of pyrazinamide.
5
6

7 References

- 8
- 9 1 WHO. Drug-resistant TB: global situation. 2018. <http://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/drug-resistant-tb/global-situation/en/> (accessed January 20 2018).
 - 10 2 WHO, IUATLD. Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance in the world (Report no. 4),
11 2008.
 - 12 3 WHO. Global tuberculosis report 2017. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.
 - 13 4 WHO. Molecular Line Probe Assays for rapid screening of patients at risk of multi-
14 drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health
15 Organization.
 - 16 5 WHO. Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis,
17 2011 update. *World Health Organization* 2011; **WHO/HTM/TB/2011.6**.
 - 18 6 Gegia M, Winters N, Benedetti A, van Soolingen D, Menzies D. Treatment of
19 isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis with first-line drugs: a systematic review and meta-
20 analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 223-34.
 - 21 7 Centre TR, Research ICoM. A controlled clinical trial of oral short-course regimens
22 in the treatment of sputum-positive pulmonary tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*
23 1997; **1**: 509-17.
 - 24 8 Menzies D, Benedetti A, Paydar A, et al. Standardized treatment of active
25 Tuberculosis in patients with previous pretreatment and/or with mono-resistance to
26 Isoniazid: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS Med* 2009; **6**: e1000150.
 - 27 9 American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America, Centres for
28 Disease Control. Treatment of Tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; **167**:
29 603-62.
 - 30 10 Menzies D, (editor). Canadian Tuberculosis Standards. Ottawa: Canadian Lung
31 Association, Public Health Agency of Canada; 2014.
 - 32 11 WHO. WHO treatment guidelines for drug-resistant tuberculosis, 2016 update.
33 October 2016 revision. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2016.
 - 34 12 Yuen C, Tolman A, Cohen T, Parr J, Keshavjee S, Becerra M. Isoniazid-resistant
35 Tuberculosis in Children: A Systematic Review. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease*
36 *Journal* 2013; **32**: e217-e26.
 - 37 13 Garcia-Prats AJ, du Plessis L, Draper HR, et al. Outcome of culture-confirmed
38 isoniazid-resistant rifampicin-susceptible tuberculosis in children. *Int J Tuberc*
39 *Lung Dis* 2016; **20**: 1469-76.
 - 40 14 Romanowski K, Chiang LY, Roth DZ, et al. Treatment outcomes for isoniazid-
41 resistant tuberculosis under program conditions in British Columbia, Canada. *BMC*
42 *Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 604.
 - 43 15 Trajman A, Lisboa Bastos M, Dockhorn Costa F, Barbosa Codenotti S, Pelissari D,
44 Menzies D. Factors associated with treatment outcomes in Brazilian isoniazid-
45 mono-resistant tuberculosis cohort. 47th World Conference on Lung Health of the
46 International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (The Union).
47 Liverpool, UK.; 2016.
 - 48

- 1 16 Viiklepp P. Unpublished data (Estonia).
 2 17 Skrahina A. Unpublished data (Belarus).
 3 18 The Netherlands National TB Surveillance. Unpublished data.
 4 19 TB-PACTS, <https://c-path.org/programs/tb-pacts/> a.
 5 20 WHO. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision.
 6 Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2013.
 7 21 Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of
 8 bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* 2016; **355**.
 9 22 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating
 10 quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 924-6.
 11 23 Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects
 12 of Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2011; **46**: 399-
 13 424.
 14 24 Chen B, Benedetti A. Quantifying Heterogeneity In Individual Participant Data
 15 Meta-Analysis With Binary Outcome. . *Systematic Reviews* In press 2017.
 16 25 Banu Rekha VV, Rajaram K, Kripasankar AS, et al. Efficacy of the 6-month thrice-
 17 weekly regimen in the treatment of new sputum smear-positive pulmonary
 18 tuberculosis under clinical trial conditions. *Natl Med J India* 2012; **25**: 196-200.
 19 26 Bonnet M, Pardini M, Meacci F, et al. Treatment of tuberculosis in a region with
 20 high drug resistance: outcomes, drug resistance amplification and re-infection. *Plos*
 21 *ONE* 2011; **6**: e23081.
 22 27 Cegielski P, Griffith D (Personal communication). Unpublished data (Texas, USA).
 23 28 Escalante PG, Edward A; Griffith, David E; Musser, James M; Awe, Robert J.
 24 Treatment of isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis in southeastern Texas. *CHEST Journal*
 25 2001; **119**: 1730-6.
 26 29 Gillespie SH, Crook A, McHugh T, et al. Four-Month Moxifloxacin-Based
 27 Regimens for Drug-Sensitive Tuberculosis. *N Eng J Med* 2014; **371**: 1577-87.
 28 30 Guerra-Assuncao JA, Houben RM, Crampin AC, et al. Recurrence due to relapse or
 29 reinfection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis: a whole-genome sequencing
 30 approach in a large, population-based cohort with a high HIV infection prevalence
 31 and active follow-up. *J Infect Dis* 2015; **211**: 1154-63.
 32 31 Merle C, Fielding K, Sow OB, et al. A Four-Month Gatifloxacin-Containing
 33 Regimen for Treating Tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 1588-98.
 34 32 Swaminathan S, Padmapriyadarsini C, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy and safety of
 35 once-daily nevirapine- or efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-associated
 36 tuberculosis: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53**: 716-24.
 37 33 Tabarsi P, Baghaei P, Hemmati N, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of 2
 38 treatment regimens in patients with isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis. 2009.
 39 34 Bang D, Andersen PH, Andersen ÅB, Thomsen VØ. Isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis
 40 in Denmark: mutations, transmission and treatment outcome. *Journal of Infection*
 41 2010; **60**: 452-7.
 42 35 Cattamanchi A, Dantes RB, Metcalfe JZ, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment
 43 outcomes of patients with isoniazid-monoresistant tuberculosis. *Clinical Infectious*
 44 *Diseases* 2009; **48**: 179-85.
 45 36 Chien JY, Chen YT, Wu SG, Lee JJ, Wang JY, Yu CJ. Treatment outcome of
 46 patients with isoniazid mono-resistant tuberculosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015; **21**:
 47 59-68.

- 1 37 Cox H, Kebede Y, Allamuratova S, et al. Tuberculosis recurrence and mortality
2 after successful treatment: impact of drug resistance. *PLOS Med* 2006; **3**: e384.
- 3 38 Gegia M, Cohen T, Kalandadze I, Vashakidze L, Furin J. Outcomes among
4 tuberculosis patients with isoniazid resistance in Georgia, 2007–2009. *The*
5 *international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease: the official journal of the*
6 *International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2012; **16**: 812.
- 7 39 Huyen MN, Cobelens FG, Buu TN, et al. Epidemiology of isoniazid resistance
8 mutations and their effect on tuberculosis treatment outcomes. *Antimicrob Agents*
9 *Chemother* 2013; **57**: 3620-7.
- 10 40 Jacobson KR, Theron D, Victor TC, Streicher EM, Warren RM, Murray MB.
11 Treatment outcomes of isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis patients, Western Cape
12 Province, South Africa. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2011; **53**: 369-72.
- 13 41 Jones-Lopez EC, Ayakaka I, Levin J, et al. Effectiveness of the standard WHO
14 recommended retreatment regimen (category II) for tuberculosis in Kampala,
15 Uganda: a prospective cohort study. *PLOS Med* 2011; **8**: e1000427.
- 16 42 Kim YH, Suh GY, Chung MP, et al. Treatment of isoniazid-resistant pulmonary
17 tuberculosis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2008; **8**: 6.
- 18 43 Lee H, Jeong BH, Park HY, et al. Treatment Outcomes with Fluoroquinolone-
19 Containing Regimens for Isoniazid-Resistant Pulmonary Tuberculosis. *Antimicrob*
20 *Agents Chemother* 2015; **60**: 471-7.
- 21 44 Munang ML, Kariuki M, Dedicoat M. Isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis in
22 Birmingham, United Kingdom, 1999-2010. *QJM* 2015; **108**: 19-25.
- 23 45 New York Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. New York City TB
24 Surveillance - Unpublished data.
- 25 46 Ohkado A, Aguiman L, Adlawan S, et al. Tuberculosis drug resistance and
26 treatment outcomes under DOTS settings in large cities in the Philippines. *The*
27 *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2006; **10**: 283-9.
- 28 47 Park JS, Lee JY, Lee YJ, et al. Serum Levels of Antituberculosis Drugs and Their
29 Effect on Tuberculosis Treatment Outcome. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;
30 **60**: 92-8.
- 31 48 Quy H, Cobelens F, Lan N, Buu T, Lambregts C, Borgdorff M. Treatment
32 outcomes by drug resistance and HIV status among tuberculosis patients in Ho Chi
33 Minh City, Vietnam. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*
34 2006; **10**: 45-51.
- 35 49 Quy H, Lan N, Borgdorff M, et al. Drug resistance among failure and relapse cases
36 of tuberculosis: is the standard re-treatment regimen adequate? *The International*
37 *Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2003; **7**: 631-6.
- 38 50 Reves R, Heilig C, Tapy J, et al. Intermittent tuberculosis treatment for patients
39 with isoniazid intolerance or drug resistance. *The International Journal of*
40 *Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2014; **18**: 571-80.
- 41 51 Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy of a 6-month versus 9-
42 month intermittent treatment regimen in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis: a
43 randomized clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; **181**: 743-51.
- 44 52 Yoshiyama T, Shrestha B, Maharjan B. Risk of relapse and failure after retreatment
45 with the Category II regimen in Nepal. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis*
46 *and Lung Disease* 2010; **14**: 1418-23.

- 1 53 Andres S, Hillemann D, Rüsç-Gerdes S, Richter E. Occurrence of rpoB Mutations
2 in Isoniazid-Resistant but Rifampin-Susceptible Mycobacterium tuberculosis
3 Isolates from Germany. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2014; **58**: 590-2.
4 54 Bastos ML, Lan Z, Menzies D. An updated systematic review and meta-analysis for
5 treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J* 2017; **49**.
6 55 Winters N, Butler-Laporte G, Menzies D. Efficacy and safety of World Health
7 Organization group 5 drugs for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment.
8 *European Respiratory Journal* 2015; **46**: 1461-70.
9 56 Yee D, Valiquette C, Pelletier M, Parisien I, Rocher I, Menzies D. Incidence of
10 Serious Side Effects from First-line Antituberculosis Drugs among Patients Treated
11 for Active Tuberculosis. *Am J Crit Care Med* 2003; **167**.
12 57 Escalante P, McKean-Cowdin R, Ramaswamy SV, et al. Can mycobacterial katG
13 genetic changes in isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis influence human disease
14 features? *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2013; **17**:
15 644-51.
16
17
18
19

1 Tables

2
3 **Table 1: Comparison of 6(H)REZ vs >6(H)REZ: Treatment success and acquired rifampin resistance.**

| Outcome | Regimens: | N datasets included | N events/ N on treatment | I squared ^d | N pairs used ^a | from Propensity Score matched Analysis ^b | |
|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | aOR (95% CI) | Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI) |
| Analyses in all patients (with or without isoniazid) | | | | | | | |
| Success | 6(H)REZ | 15 | 254/262 | NC ^e | 262 | 2.4 (1.0; 5.5) | 40 more per 1,000 (from 0 difference to 80 more) (reference) |
| | >6(H)REZ | | 999/1088 | | | 1 (reference) | |
| Acquired rifampin resistance | 6(H)REZ | 10 | 1/168 ^c | NC ^e | 168 | 0.2 (0.0; 1.7) | 10 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 40 more) (reference) |
| | >6(H)REZ | | 43/992 ^c | | | 1 (reference) | |
| Patients who received isoniazid excluded | | | | | | | |
| Success | 6REZ | 13 | 136/142 | 36% | 140 | 2.5 (0.9; 7.5) | 50 more per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 100 more) (reference) |
| | >6REZ | | 701/785 | | | 1 (reference) | |
| Acquired rifampin resistance | 6REZ | 8 | 0/84 | NC ^e | 84 | not estimable | not estimable (reference) |
| | >6REZ | | 43/729 | | | 1 (reference) | |

5

6 **Notes:**

7 ^aNumber of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 262 persons who received **6(H)REZ** and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the
8 outcome of success;

9 ^bEstimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides isoniazid, if used. Percentage of
10 patents missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 2%; HIV 8%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV status was missing, but assumed to be negative
11 in 3 studies (n =720 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV co-infection rate in patients with active TB was <5% based on WHO surveillance data.

12 ^cN treated is less than in success analysis because patients with fail/relapse but no acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from this analysis.

13 ^dI squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis.²⁴

14 ^eNC: the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.

15 ^bAbbreviations: **aOR**: adjusted odds ratio; **CI** Confidence interval **E**: ethambutol; **(H)**= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens **SM**: streptomycin; **R**: rifampin; **Z**: pyrazinamide.

16

17

18

1 **Table 2. Association of use of fluoroquinolones with treatment success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance.**

| Outcome | Regimens: FQ Comparator | N datasets included | N events/ N on treatment | I squared ^e | N pairs used ^c | from Propensity Score matched Analysis ^d | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | aOR (95% CI) | Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI) |
| Analyses in all patients (with or without isoniazid) | | | | | | | |
| Mortality (all durations) | (H)REZ FQ (H)REZ | 15 | 25/524 97/2174 | 12% | 522 | 0.7 (0.4; 1.1) 1.0 (reference) | 20 fewer per 1,000 (from 50 fewer to 0 difference) (reference) |
| Success | ≥6(H)REZ FQ ≥6(H)REZ | 15 | 245/251 1253/1350 | 36% | 248 | 2.8 (1.1 to 7.3) 1.0 (reference) | 50 more per 1,000 (from 0 difference to 90 more) (reference) |
| Success (restricted to later generation FQ- Moxi/Levo/Gati) | ≥6(H)REZ FQ ≥6(H)REZ | 15 | 161/165 ^a 1253/1350 | 44% | 164 | 2.9 (0.9 to 9.3) 1.0 (reference) | 60 more per 1,000 (from 20 fewer to 140 more) (reference) |
| Acquired rifampin resistance | ≥6(H)REZ FQ ≥6(H)REZ | 10 | 1/221 ^b 44/1160 ^b | 2% ^f | 220 | 0.1 (0.0 to 1.2) 1.0 (reference) | 30 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 0 difference) (reference) |
| Patients who received isoniazid excluded | | | | | | | |
| Mortality | REZ FQ REZ | 14 | 8/219 41/1054 | 0 | 205 | 0.4 (0.2 to 1.1) 1.0 (reference) | 20 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 20 more) (reference) |
| Success | ≥6REZ FQ ≥6REZ | 14 | 131/135 837/927 | 33% | 127 | 5.4 (1.8 to 16.6) 1.0 (reference) | 130 more per 1,000 (from 40 fewer to 230 more) (reference) |
| Acquired rifampin resistance | ≥6REZ FQ ≥6REZ | 9 | 1/111 43/813 | NC ^e | 107 | 0.1 (0.0 to 1.0) 1.0 (reference) | 70 fewer (140 fewer to 0 difference) (reference) |

2
3 **Notes:**
4 **a)** Of the 165 treated, 67 received isoniazid for one month or more and 98 did not receive any Isoniazid; **b)** Number treated is less than in success analysis because patients with
5 fail/relapse but no acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from this analysis. **c)** Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis.
6 For example 248 persons who received **(H)REZFQ** and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the outcome of success; **d)** Estimates based on pairs matched
7 for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear(positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides ISONIAZID, if used. Percentage of patents missing
8 information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 8%; HIV 8%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be negative in 3 studies (n=1164)

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV coinfection rate in patients with active TB was <5%, based on WHO surveillance data. **e)** I squared estimated for the adjusted odds
2 ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis²⁴ **NC:**the I squared could not be calculated
3 because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS. **f)** this is an unadjusted I squared value, adjusted could not be calculated
4

5 **Abbreviations:** **aOR:** adjusted odds ratio; **CI** Confidence interval **E:** ethambutol; **(H)=** isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens **SM:** streptomycin; **R:** rifampin; **Z:** pyrazinamide;
6 **FQ:** Fluoroquinolone.

Table 3. Association of use of Fluoroquinolone with 1-3 months Pyrazinamide - with treatment success, and acquired rifampin resistance (i.e. Six months or more of RE plus 1-3 months of Z plus fluoroquinolone compared to 6 months or more of REZ - with or without isoniazid. Analyses not performed in patients who did not receive isoniazid because too few patients).

| Outcome | Regimen | N datasets included | N events/N on treatment | I squared ^f | N pairs used in matching ^c | aOR (95% CI) from Propensity Score matched Analysis ^d | Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI) |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Success (all FQ) | ≥(H)6RE 1-3Z FQ | 15 | 117/118 ^a | NC ^f | 108 | 5.2 (0.6 to 46.7) | 40 more per 1,000 (from 20 fewer to 90 more) |
| | ≥6(H)REZ | | 1253/1350 ^b | | | 1.0 (reference) | (reference) |
| Success - Restricted to later generation FQ (Moxi/Levo/Gati) | ≥6(H)RE 1-3Z FQ | 15 | 104/105 | NC ^f | 97 | 5.2 (0.6 to 47.2) | 50 more per 1,000 (from 30 less to 120 more) |
| | ≥6R(H)EZ | | 1253/1350 | | | 1.0 (reference) | (reference) |
| Acquired RIF resistance | ≥6(H)RE 1-3Z FQ | 10 | 0/113 ^e | NC ^f | -- | not estimable | not estimable |
| | ≥6(H)REZ | | 44/1160 ^e | | | 1.0 (reference) | (reference) |

Notes:

a) Of the 118 treated, 82 received isoniazid for one month or more and 36 did not receive isoniazid;

b) Of the 1350 treated, 423 had isoniazid for one month or more and 927 did not;

c) Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 108 persons who received ≥(H)6RE(1-3)ZFQ and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the outcome of success;

d) Estimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides ISONIAZID, if used. Percentage of patents missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 3%; HIV 10%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be negative in 3 studies (n=738 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV coinfection rate in active TB patients was <5% based on WHO surveillance data.

e) Number treated is less than in previous table because patients with fail/relapse but who did not acquired drug resistance or who acquired non-rifampin resistances were excluded from this analysis.

f) I squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis²⁴.

NC:the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.

Abbreviations: aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI Confidence interval E: ethambutol; (H)= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens SM: streptomycin; R: rifampin; Z: pyrazinamide; FQ: Fluoroquinolone; .

1
2 **Table 4. Association of use of streptomycin with treatment success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance.** (Note: analysis of acquired rifampin resistance
3 not performed in patients who did not receive isoniazid because too few patients).

| Outcome | Regimens SM containing Comparator | N datasets included | N events/N on treatment | I squared ^e | N pairs used ^a | from Propensity Score matched Analysis ^b | |
|--|---|------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | aOR (95% CI) | Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI) |
| Analyses done in all patients (with or without isoniazid) | | | | | | | |
| Mortality (all durations) | 6(H)REZ + SM 6(H)REZ | 23 | 40/763 103/2263 | 14% | 756 | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.3) 1.0 (reference) | 10 fewer per 1,000 (from 30 fewer to 20 more) (reference) |
| Success | ≥6(H)RE 1-3Z 2SM ≥6(H)REZ | 23 | 271/325 1253/1350 | 0 | 296 | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.7) 1.0 (reference) | 120 fewer per 1,000 (from 190 fewer to 60 fewer) (reference) |
| Acquired RIF resistance | ≥6(H)RE 1-3Z 2SM ≥6(H)REZ | 14 | 6/58 ^c 44/1160 ^c | NC ^E | -- | not estimable ^d 1.0 (reference) | -- (reference) |
| Patients who received isoniazid excluded | | | | | | | |
| Mortality | REZ + SM REZ | 14 | 6/136 41/1054 | NC ^E | 133 | 1.2 (0.4 to 4.1) 1.0 (reference) | 0 difference per 1,000 (from 50 fewer to 60 more) |
| Success | ≥6RE 1-3Z 2SM ≥6REZ | 14 | 89/107 837/927 | NC ^E | 105 | 0.5 (0.2 to 1.2) 1.0 (reference) | 80 fewer per 1,000 (from 170 fewer to 10 more) (reference) |

4
5 **Notes:**

6 **a)** Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 296 persons who received **6(H)REZ + SM** and an equal number who received the comparator were
7 analyzed for the outcome of success;

8 **b)** Estimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides isoniazid, if used. Percentage
9 of patents missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 12%; AFB smear: 7%; HIV 7%, polyresistance: 2%, age: 1%, sex: 1%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be
10 negative in 6 studies (n=1389 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV co-infection rate in active TB patients was <5% based on WHO surveillance data

11 **c)** Number treated is less than in success analysis because patients with fail/relapse but without acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from
12 this analysis;

13 **d)** Propensity score matching models did not converge.

14 **e)** I squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis²⁴.

15 NC: the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.

16 **Abbreviations:** **aOR:** adjusted odds ratio; **CI** Confidence interval **E:** ethambutol; **(H)**= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens **SM:** streptomycin; **R:** rifampin; **Z:** pyrazinamide

