

Perspective

Solar versus thermal-driven catalysis for energy conversion

Yufei Zhao,^{1†} Wa Gao,^{2,3†} Siwei Li,² Gareth R. Williams,⁴ Abdul Hanif Mahadi,⁵ and Ding Ma,^{2,6*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China

²Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, and BIC-ESAT, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

³Key Laboratory of Urban Agriculture (North China), Ministry of Agriculture, College of Biological Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 102206, China

⁴UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, 29–39 Brunswick Square, London, WC1N 1AX, UK

⁵Center for Advanced Material and Energy Sciences, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, BE1410, Brunei Darussalam

⁶Lead Contact

*Correspondence: dma@pku.edu.cn (D. M.)

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Context & Scale

Thermal catalytic technologies currently available for today's chemical industry (e. g. Haber-Bosch ammonia synthesis) require high temperatures (> 400 °C) and pressures (many atmospheres) to achieve viable N₂ conversions. Various green alternative technologies are now being pursued for N₂ and other small molecules (such as CH₄, CO₂) activation/conversion. Solar-driven catalysis is particularly attractive due to the abundance of solar energy on Earth as well as its high selectivity under mild reaction conditions, potentially providing an alternative, green and sustainable route for energy conversion/storage. This perspective focuses on recent advances in small molecules (CH₄, benzene, CO, CO₂, N₂) and fine chemicals synthesis either by solar or thermal catalysis, and presents a critical assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of solar-driven catalysis, as compared with the traditional thermal catalysis, in terms of catalytic activity, selectivity and stability. A classification of various mechanisms between solar-driven and thermal catalysis will be clearly summarized to provide a systematic understanding on the chemical bonding activation and reaction, and resulting in a new vista for future research on catalytic energy conversion/storage.

SUMMARY

Today's chemical industry is a pillar of our modern society, but it heavily relies on the consumption of non-renewable fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and natural gas. The reaction conditions required to drive most of the chemical processes require high energy input, resulting in consumption of significant amounts of dwindling reserves of fossil fuels and generate extensive amount of CO₂ emissions. Therefore, more sustainable pathways are much sought after to reduce the dependence on fossil fuels and ameliorate the effects of climate change. Inspired by photosynthesis and its ability to convert CO₂ and H₂O to hydrocarbons, this perspective paper focuses on recent advances in catalytic small molecule activation and conversion. It will consider reactions of C-H (CH₄, benzene), C=O (CO, CO₂) and N≡N bonds as well as other fine chemicals syntheses (e.g. C-C/S-S bond coupling), driven by either solar or thermal

energy. The paper also discusses on the future opportunities and challenges by highlighting some strategies for the development of new and more efficient solar/thermal catalysis processes.

INTRODUCTION

Since the first industrial revolution in the 18th century, when manual production began to be mechanized, coal and oil-based fossil fuels have been crucial for the development of new technologies in numerous industries (the chemical industry, automotive industry, electrical industry etc). However, over the past two centuries, the consumption of fossil fuels has created numerous problems, including climate change (CO₂ emissions), environmental pollution (NO_x, SO_x, volatile organic compounds (VOC) and particulate matter (PM)).^[1] Furthermore, the global supply of fossil fuels is dwindling. New sustainable and green solutions to address the increasing global energy demands and the need to protect the environment are highly desirable for both the economy and society. Since fossil fuels originate from photosynthesis, in which CO₂ and H₂O are used as raw materials for the synthesis of hydrocarbons, the direct use of solar energy could potentially resolve the above issues.

Photocatalysis utilizes solar energy to accelerate key reactions such as water splitting for hydrogen production and the photoreduction of CO₂ into hydrocarbons. Very recently, the advances on photocatalysis has enabled exciting development in CO/CO₂/N₂ hydrogenation to generate high-value hydrocarbons (olefins, alcohols)/NH₃ under flow conditions.^[2] By rational design of the reactor and the assistance of the external field (thermal, solar or electronic field), some solar-driven catalytic process such as photo, photo-electro/thermal, and plasmonic catalysis have emerged as promising approaches for energy conversion, where solar-to-fuel conversion efficiency has increased rapidly from less than 1% to ~14% in the past few years.^[3] Moreover, solar-driven catalysis has shown a significant progress, where their activities have increased from μmol/g_{cat}h to mmol/g_{cat}h or mol/g_{cat}h in the past 5 years.^[4] This rapid improvement demonstrates a strong confidence to the scientific/industrial area, that solar routes are now competitive with traditional thermal catalysts, especially in C-H, C=O and N-N activation processes such as CH₄ conversion, benzene activation, CO₂/CO reduction, and N₂ fixation.

In this article, we will compare and contrast traditional thermal catalytic processes with the emerging solar-driven approaches, with specific attention to the reaction mechanisms of the above processes. The ability of the catalysts to give efficient energy conversion in reactions of small molecules (CH₄, benzene, CO, CO₂, N₂) and fine chemicals synthesis will be discussed in terms of catalytic conversion, efficiency and selectivity. The authors hope that this perspective will focus on the potential of solar-driven catalysis, and encourage the industrial/scientific researchers in this exciting area.

A brief overview of solar-driven catalysis

Photo(electro)-catalysis

A photocatalyst with a suitable band gap can absorb solar energy to generate photo-induced charge carriers (electron/hole pairs). These carriers need to be separated and transferred to the catalyst surface to be active. Inevitably, there will be some combination of the pairs during the transportation process which hampers the efficiency. Surface adsorbed reactants can react with the charge carriers, and the valence and conductive band energies provide a driving force for oxidation and reduction reactions, respectively. The relative band structure (as determined by the atomic make-up of the catalyst) further affects their oxidation/reduction ability. Due to its ability to exploit unlimited solar energy, and the potential to drive thermodynamically unfavorable chemical reactions forward (Figure 1), photocatalysis can comprise a low-emission route to H₂O splitting,^[5] CO₂ reduction,^[6] N₂ fixation,^[7] and fine chemical synthesis,^[8] as well as for photocatalytic dye degradation in solid-liquid, solid-gas, or solid-liquid-gas systems.^[9] To ensure an effective catalytic process, often co-catalysts or external fields are further required to increase the migration efficiency of electron and holes.

The first work on photoelectrochemical (PEC) catalytic water splitting was reported by Boddy in 1968 over semiconducting TiO₂ using a mercury arc lamp.^[10] Later in 1972, Fujishima and Honda proposed the visible light splitting of water into O₂ and H₂ without any external voltage over a semiconductor.^[11] Under the assistance of electron field, light photons stimulate the generation of electron-hole pairs, with a highly efficient separation and migration of charge carriers to the electrode interface. The anode/cathode electrode surface catalyzes water oxidation/reduction with the carriers. Over the past 45 years or so, a number of key developments in the design of efficient PEC-based cells have been reported.^[12] In addition to photovoltaic (PV) cell based on silicon solar panels, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC),^[13] perovskite solar cells,^[14] and various other types have been developed. All of these can directly absorb solar energy and convert the photons into free charge carriers for the generation of electricity (Figure 1). Due to their low cost and efficient energy production efficiency, PV based cells are now competitive with fossil fuels. Recently, Gratzel and co-workers have reported a tandem PV system based on perovskite solar cell-bifunctional water splitting layered double hydroxide electrocatalysts for water splitting, with a solar-to-H₂ efficiency of 12.3%.^[15] This exciting advance in the solar-to-fuel energy conversion efficiency is closing the gap to the industry requirements, providing an exciting pathway for the solar energy conversion.

Photothermal catalysis

Recently, photothermal catalysis processes have shown to provide a potential alternative to traditional thermal catalysis. Through light irradiation, especially of black powder samples, visible and near-infrared light energy can generally dissipate into heat (temperature), providing nearly the same amount of energy as conventional catalytic reactions.^[16] Due to the high energy efficiency of this photothermal effect, the surface temperature can reach 300 – 500 °C or even higher,^[17] providing enough

energy to drive thermodynamically unfavourable reactions such as CO₂ reduction^[18] and N₂ hydrogenation^[19] processes (Figure 1). It should be noted that however the real temperature of the photo-induced local hot spots may be much higher than that tested by the thermal sensors, particularly at a nanoscale level, thus, it is still a challenge to study the real thermodynamics and kinetics of photothermal catalysis for further understanding of the catalytic mechanism. Besides the traditional photothermal catalysis (with only photo-induced thermal effect), some photocatalytic effect (with the formation of photoinduced carriers) can simultaneously exist with thermal effect (heat effect), therefore the synergy between the photocatalytic and thermal effects in the photothermal catalysis under the solar spectrum irradiation still needs to be explored in detail.^[4b] This synergistic effect has been observed on photocatalysis of TiO₂ and thermal-catalysis of CeO₂ when using TiO₂/CeO₂ nanocomposites in the gas-phase oxidation of benzene under light irradiation. The CO₂ formation rate of the TiO₂/CeO₂ nanocomposite is 36.4 times higher than the conventional photocatalytic process (at room temperature).^[20] The novel strategy not only makes up the disadvantaged of TiO₂ photocatalysis when on its own is unable to completely oxidize the benzene (only under light irradiation), but also overcome the energy-consuming problem of single thermal-catalysis that must operate at a higher temperature by using an additional heater, exploring the synergetic effect between the individual photoinduced carriers and the photoinduced heat in the photocatalytic-thermal catalysis.

Plasmon-mediated catalysis

Plasmonic metal (Au, Ag, Cu etc.) nanocrystals with wide light absorption ranges can photogenerate high-energy electrons (so-called "hot electrons"), when oscillations of the conduction electrons are excited under light.^[21] Compared with the direct photoexcitation process, the hot electrons produced by nonradiative decay of localized surface plasmons is much more energetic than the common intensity of traditional photocatalysis.^[22] When the metal is in contact with an n-type TiO₂ semiconductor, a Schottky barrier around the interface promotes electron transfer and suppresses the combination of charge carriers. These are different to traditional cocatalyst-loaded semiconductor systems, because plasmonic metal-semiconductors like Au-TiO₂ can reach theoretical incident photo-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) of around 26%, much higher than the traditional photocatalytic IPCE of around 1%, serving as a highly promising candidate for solar energy exploitation.^[23] Both electrocatalytic water oxidation^[24] and plasmonic – catalytic styrene hydrogenation into ethylbenzene^[25] have been reported by dint of the plasmonic effect. In addition to the above-mentioned photoexcited plasmonic effects, a thermal catalytic effect cannot be ignored, since these plasmonic metals have been widely used as supported noble metal thermal catalysts in thermal catalysis. When considering plasmonic metal-semiconductors systems, the dual-functional roles of metals (photoexcited plasmonic and surface catalytic effect) should be separately discussed. For example, Zheng and co-workers prepared a series of Au modified CeO₂ samples with various Au loading

amounts (0.25 – 1 wt %) and particle sizes (3 – 20 nm) to explore the surface plasmon resonance photoabsorption, charge separation, resonant energy transfer, and surface catalysis in the aerobic oxidation of propylene reaction.^[26] It was found that photoexcitation and surface catalysis presented opposite correlation on Au nanoparticle size and co-determined the final photocatalytic performance. Hence finding an optimal Au particle sizes (6 – 12 nm) that maximises the balance between the plasmonic and catalytic roles over metallic nanostructures would be ideal for the further rational design of efficient plasmonic metal-semiconductor systems. It is very likely that plasmon assisted catalysis will lead to further breakthroughs in the future.

A short overview on thermal-driven catalysis

The modern chemical industry is founded on the basis of using thermal heterogeneous catalysis, powered by coal, oil and natural gas, for the large-scale synthesis of NH_3 , olefins, hydrocarbons, and fine chemicals (Figure 2).^[27] Considering the highly successful NH_3 synthesis process as an example, pressures and temperatures of around 20 - 40 MPa and 400-600 °C are required. This consumes 2% of global energy output, with vast amounts of CO_2 released during the reaction. Such high energy is required to overcome the activation barrier for the rate-determining step of the reaction, fission of the $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$ bond (945 kJ mol^{-1}). During the thermal catalytic process, N_2 molecules accept electron density from the valence orbitals of the transition metals (Fe, Ru) used for catalysis into their antibonding π -orbitals. This weakens the $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$ bond, resulting in further hydrogenation to $^*\text{NNH}$, and ultimately the formation of NH_3 . The very significant energy input required to drive the process, and the high cost of the H_2 feedstock demands the development of more sustainable photo/electro-driven N_2 fixation pathways or the adaption of enzymatic process from the nitrogen cycle.^[28]

During the NH_3 generation and coal-to-oil (Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, FTS) industrial process, H_2 has been widely considered as industrial blood in the hydrogenation reaction. Due to the most abundant resource of hydrogen, H_2O is the ideal source of H_2 . However, thermal water splitting method into H_2 is impossible since it requires temperatures of above 1000 °C. Until now, the most successful H_2 generation process from traditional catalytic routes is the water gas shift ($\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2$, WGS) reaction by using CO, forming CO_2 as a byproduct. By using a noble metal (Au) or Cu as the main catalyst, the dissociation of H_2O occurs on the metal catalyst surface to form H and OH species, with the OH reacting with CO to yield CO_2 and H, followed by the coupling of H-H for the desorption/formation of H_2 .^[29] This process produces H_2 but with the inevitable evolution of an equal mole of the greenhouse gas CO_2 . Alternatively, by virtue of photo/electro-catalysis, H_2O can be directly splitting into H_2 and O_2 from cathode and anode under mild conditions and the two products can be easily separated using earth-abundant containing semiconductor/electrocatalyst materials.

Solar and thermally catalysed small molecule conversion

C-H activation CH₄, a symmetrical molecule without any reactive functional groups, contains a very strong C-H bond of 434 kJ mol⁻¹, and thus has low chemical reactivity.^[30] Only a deep vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) light below 140 nm in wavelength can excite CH₄ *via* electronic transitions. Thermodynamically, the direct non-oxidative coupling of methane (NOCM) is much more unfavourable than methane activation with oxidation (O₂, CO₂ etc.). Therefore, direct coupling of CH₄ requires a very high temperature to overcome the activation energy barrier.^[31] A recent breakthrough in thermal CH₄ activation was reported by Bao and co-workers.^[32] By using a single-Fe site/silica matrix as the catalyst at 1090 °C, CH₄ conversion of 48.1% was obtained at a CH₄ space velocity of 21400 mL/g_{cat}h, with ethylene selectivity of 48.4%. Other byproducts comprising aromatics and H₂ were also obtained through this direct non-oxidative process. The Fe site embedded within the silica support activated the C-H bond and generated methyl radicals for further C-C coupling.

Compared with the harsh conditions required for thermal CH₄ activation, photocatalytic CH₄ conversion requires much milder conditions. The first photocatalytic NOCM was reported in 1998 by Yoshida and co-workers.^[33] After 18 h treatment with UV light over silica-alumina and alumina in a closed quartz reaction vessel, CH₄ (100 μmol) was converted to an extent of 5%, with high selectivity for ethane formation (60%) and avoiding the generation of CO₂ and CO. This was achieved at room temperature. The activation of CH₄ has further been investigated by Chen and co-workers using Zn⁺ modified ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts under mercury or sunlight irradiation at room temperature.^[34] Up to 24% CH₄ conversion could be obtained after 8 h, at a rate of 10 mmol/gh and with > 99% selectivity for ethane and hydrogen products. The active 4s electron of Zn⁺ can be photoexcited into empty C-H antibonding orbitals on CH₄, driving coupling reactions. Chen's sunlight-driven CH₄ conversion was the first example of solar-catalysed CH₄ conversion under environmental conditions. Further, Ga³⁺-modified zeolites^[35] and GaN-based UV-responsive photocatalysts^[36] also permit the direct conversion of CH₄ to hydrocarbons and benzene at room temperature. Very recently, a new photoelectrochemical approach for CH₄ oxidation to CO over Ti³⁺ doped atomic-layer-deposition-grown TiO₂ has been reported for the CO production with over 80% selectivity in all products (CO, O₂ and carbonate etc.).^[37] Details of typical activities can be found in Table 1. It is extremely promising that using sunlight without any further energy, CH₄ can be directly converted into high-value products, although the reaction rate is still several order of magnitude lower than thermally catalyzed processes.

It has also been found that using other oxidation agents (H₂O₂, H₂O, CO₂), CH₄ can be efficiently oxidized into methanol and long-chain hydrocarbons under combined thermal/solar-driven catalytic process.^[30] Recent developments in solar-driven photothermal CH₄ oxidation have allowed the generation of CO₂ and H₂ using composite materials (Ni/SiO₂, Rh/TiO₂).^[38] Under solar irradiation, the surface temperature of the catalysts can reach up to 400 – 500 °C. An H₂O₂ strategy based on

single-atom catalysts permitted CH_4 conversion to CH_3OH under mild conditions (room temperature and 2 MPa), providing an exciting route to CH_4 conversion.^[39] Furthermore, photocatalytic (one sun) conversion of CH_4 to CH_3OH with a high selectivity of over 90% in alcohols has been reported over $\text{FeO}_x/\text{TiO}_2$ using H_2O_2 at room temperature and pressure. This photocatalysis technology that utilizes photons instead of thermal energy to drive molecular activation/conversion at much milder conditions than was previously possible.^[40] However, efficient conversion of CH_4 into more high-value products remains a challenge. With the development of nanoscience and technology for developing efficient nanoscale catalysts, conditions for thermal CH_4 oxidation could become milder and economical, while solar-driven catalytic CH_4 oxidation becomes more efficient with retaining advantages in selectivity under mild conditions.

Derivatives of benzene (e.g. phenol, aniline, nitrobenzol etc.) are important organic intermediates widely used as precursors of dyes, polymers, plastics, pharmaceuticals, and agrochemicals. For the synthesis of phenol, the multi-step cumene process is widely used in industry; this operates at 3 MPa and 250 °C,^[41] uses stoichiometric reducing agents, and creates large amounts of environmentally toxic waste. In attempts to resolve these issues, the one-step amination of benzene with ammonia to generate aniline and one-step oxygenation of benzene to phenol have been extensively studied.^[42] However, these processes are typically carried out under extreme conditions and produce very low yields and selectivities for aniline and phenol formation. This is due to the inherent inertness of the aromatic ring $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ bonds. Recent developments have suggested that it is possible to operate at milder conditions. Wang et al. achieved H_2O_2 -mediated ring hydroxylation of various arenes into the corresponding phenols using a heterogeneous catalyst comprising V/ZSM-22.^[43] The reaction was at 80 °C with the assistance of CH_3CN and H_2SO_4 . For benzene hydroxylation, the phenol yield is 30.8% (selectivity >99%). Such high activity is associated with a unique non-radical hydroxylation mechanism arising from the diperoxo V(IV) state created *in situ*. This provides a promising approach toward robust heterogeneous catalysis for selective H_2O_2 -based hydroxylation of $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ bonds. However, a greener route for the above reaction is still to be desired if H_2O can be used as oxidation.

Solar-driven photocatalysis using environmentally benign reactants such as water or molecular oxygen is within reach. Sano and co-workers described a new methodology which can be used to attain high photocatalytic activity for partial oxidations using TiO_2 . This approach used layered silicate clay minerals with different interlayer ions (protons intercalated in $\text{H}_2\text{Si}_{14}\text{O}_{29}$ clay or Na intercalated in $\text{Na}_2\text{Si}_{14}\text{O}_{29}$ clay), and O_2 saturated water as the only oxidant. They achieved a phenol production of 119 and 13 μmol at ca. 80% benzene conversion, respectively.^[44] The phenol-philic adsorbent derived from the layered protons intercalated silicate ($\text{H}_2\text{Si}_{14}\text{O}_{29}$) can selectively adsorb phenol from an aqueous benzene solution, preventing overoxidation on TiO_2 . The

combination of layered silicates with TiO₂ thus opened a door to green fine-chemical synthesis.

Tung and co-worker developed an alternative strategy termed hydrogen-evolution cross-coupling reactions (HCCR) for benzene amination and hydroxylation. This was achieved via a combination of photocatalysis and cobalt-complex catalysts.^[45] Without any sacrificial oxidant, the dual catalyst system produced aniline directly from benzene and ammonia, and phenol from benzene and water. In both cases, H₂ was evolved, and the reactions could be performed at room temperature while still giving excellent yields and selectivities.

The above examples of solar-driven C-H activation allow high yields, high selectivity and catalyst reusability in the synthesis of hydrocarbons and fine-chemicals. They are thus highly attractive for industrial applications, given that thermal catalysis usually requires harsh and expensive reaction conditions. The forming of active species in situ and new reaction mechanisms play a key role in such high performance.

C=O activation Achieving C=O activation of CO₂ and CO is a crucial requirement for halting and reversing the progress of climate change.^[46] Using nanoparticles of 3d transition metals (Fe, Co, Ni, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ir, Pt) supported on SiO₂ or Al₂O₃ as solar-driven catalysts, CO₂ can be hydrogenated into CH₄/CO.^[46a, 47] Compared with a photosynthesis process using H₂O as a reductive agent, the activity of photothermal CO₂ hydrogenation (~ several mol/gh) is 5-10 orders of magnitude higher than that of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with H₂O as the proton source (~ 10⁻⁹ mol/gh). The main reason underlying this difference is the much higher solar energy utilization efficiency with the photothermal effect. Since catalyst surface temperatures reach up to 300 – 500 °C,^[17] H₂ dissociation can be achieved. Comparably, the rate-determining step for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by H₂O is the formation of H atoms from water splitting. The direct use of H₂ as the reductive source gives solar-driven CO₂ hydrogenation nearly the same product yield as traditional thermal catalysis, which provides an efficient pathway for the solar-driven catalysis.^[18] However, the product from photocatalytic CO₂ hydrogenation is mainly CH₄, and routes to more high-value hydrocarbons are still required.

Inspired by the use of traditional thermal catalysts for the valuable hydrocarbons synthesis through C-C coupling over Fe/Co-containing FT catalysts, solar-driven CO₂ hydrogenation processes have been reported with products varying from CO to CH₄, and also valuable hydrocarbons (with > 35% selectivity for C₂₊ hydrocarbons).^[48] This is achieved by controlling the reduction temperature of the CoFeAl-layered double hydroxides (LDH) catalyst precursor from 300-700 °C.^[48] X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) revealed that FeO_x was the first phase to migrate to the surface of the nanosheets with a reduction temperature of below 400 °C. Increasing the reduction temperature to 600 °C resulted in the co-existence of FeO_x/CoO_x nanoparticles supported on the nanosheets. If the reduction temperature is increased above 600 °C, a CoFe-alloy phase is formed which has a surface photothermal effect at 320 °C under

light irradiation that enables C-C coupling for the CO₂ hydrogenation to hydrocarbons. This strategy provides a new route to solar-driven hydrocarbon synthesis from a CO₂ feedstock. Without using solar energy, the CO₂ conversion profiles are the same with the temperature provided only from heat, indicating this photothermal CO₂ hydrogenation catalysis is nearly the same as thermal catalysis, with the only difference being that the energy is sustainable and clean in the latter case. However, to generate alkenes instead of alkanes, further work is needed to control the electronic structure of the catalysts.

Recently, CO hydrogenation to hydrocarbons (FT synthesis) has attracted extensive attention, especially in China.^[49] Ma and co-workers developed a solar-driven FT to olefins (FTO) process over a Fe₅C₂ catalyst, leading to an olefin/paraffin (o/p) ratio of 10.9 and low CO₂ selectivity (18.9%) with CO conversion of ~49% (Figure 3).^[50] Interestingly, under traditional thermal reaction (the temperatures reached the photo-driven catalysts temperature around 500 °C (Figure 3B)), the CO conversion reached 80.5%, but the dominant product was CH₄ with high selectivity of 95.1% in hydrocarbons and CO₂ (36.0% of all products) and a negligible o/p ratio (0.1). It is interesting to note that there are different products distributions under thermal and photo-driven reactions (even at the same temperature range). This indicates that solar-driven FTS is mechanistically different from traditional photothermal catalysis (with only heating effects) or thermal catalysis. Under solar irradiation, the surface of the Fe₅C₂ catalyst was spontaneously partly decorated by oxygen atoms formed *in-situ* (Figure 3C), which can modify the local electronic structure and the optical band gap of the surface, leading to easier C₂H₄ desorption and avoiding further over-hydrogenation to C₂H₆, resulting in a high selectivity to olefins. Compared to the traditional thermal reaction, the Fe₅C₂ surface was heavily oxidized to oxides with a significant presence of Fe²⁺ species (Figure 3D), resulting in over-hydrogenation performance of olefins. The excited-state energy of C₂H₆ (-1.31 eV, photo-driven reaction) is also suppressed on the O-decorated Fe₅C₂ surface over ground-state energy of C₂H₆ (-1.71 eV, thermal reaction) as calculated from excited-DFT, explaining the preferred products of olefins on excited state (photo-driven FTS) over the formation of alkane on ground state (thermal FTS). The enhanced photothermal catalysis arises for several reasons: 1) the presence of Fe₅C₂ in the oxygen-decorated catalyst, which is responsible for light-heat transformation; and 2) the existence of small amount of FeO_x species on Fe₅C₂, which under light absorption becomes stimulated and interacted with the reactants, resulting in a different reaction path and different products distribution. Thus, solar-driven reactions provide a new suite of catalytic routes to change reagent reactivity or product selectivity and provide a new view on the traditional photothermal or thermal catalysis.

Although great achievements have been made in using solar energy instead of heat, the following issues remain to be addressed: 1) Even though similar activity/selectivity can be obtained by using solar instead of thermal energy, more work is needed to

understand and control the reaction mechanisms of solar-driven processes under this photo-driven excited state; 2) Since some photothermal catalysts use semiconductor supports, the contribution of photothermal and photocatalytic (photoinduced electro/holes) effects to the overall catalytic process is still not clear and needs to be explored in more detail.

N≡N activation Since the Fe-based Haber-Bosch ammonia synthesis requires H₂ and very high energy input, there is a need for technologies with milder reaction conditions (below 400 °C, and < 2 MPa). The possibility of using solar energy to drive this process is very attractive.^[51] Recently, the exploration of alternatives of the traditional Fe-based catalysts has been developed by tuning the interaction between a support and N₂ molecules. Hosono and co-workers reported using electride ([CaAlO]-e) as a support, as a result of its high electron-donating power and chemical stability, Ru/electride worked as an efficient NH₃ synthesis under 400 °C and 1 MPa.^[52] Furthermore, intermetallic LaCoSi was also reported to be potent here, showing a superior activity for NH₃ synthesis with a rate of 1250 μmol/gh at 400 °C and 0.1 MPa.^[53] Several new catalysts, such as transition metals or its nitride-LiH composites,^[54] metal imides^[55] have also been reported recently by Chen and co-workers, giving promising performances in the temperature range of 100-320 °C and at atmospheric pressure. Besides thermal catalysis, Zhang reported photothermal N₂ hydrogenation over Ru/K/TiO₂ at atmospheric pressure using the surface plasmon resonance effects of Ru nanoparticles.^[19] The catalyst surface temperature was found to reach 360 °C in 13 min, and a NH₃ yield of 112.6 μmol/gh was obtained with a gas flow of 6 mL/min. This is 6.7 times greater than the yield obtained with Ru/K/MgO under the same thermal conditions.^[19] This purely solar-driven NH₃ synthesis approach offers a renewable way for N₂ hydrogenation.

The H₂ used in standard NH₃ synthesis is expensive, and thus the use of H₂O as an alternative proton source has been explored as an alternative. Many ultrathin 2D materials (BiOBr,^[7a, 56] Mo-doped W₁₈O₄₉^[57]) and TiO₂ catalysts^[58] with photocatalytic activity have been reported to be potent in N₂ fixation. These species have surface defects which provide coordinated unsaturated metal sites for efficient reactant adsorption. Ultrathin LDH nanosheets have attracted particular attention in this context mainly due to the abundant of surface defects.^[59] Their synthesis can be easily scaled up, and their flexibility of chemical composition allows them to be efficient in N₂ fixation under visible light (even at 500 nm). This has been reported to give an NH₃ yield of ~74 μmol/gh using H₂O as a proton source.

The nitrogenase enzyme comprises an activating MoFe-protein to provide an active site for the initial binding and activation of a N₂ molecule, while the other catalytic Fe-protein provides a site for the decomposition of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), thereby releasing an electron for N₂ reduction (Figure 4A). Such a “work-in-tandem” system between the two proteins provides a talent for N₂ fixation process. Artificial systems working on the same principles can also be prepared.^[60] One example of this is

plasmonic Au loaded on O-deficient ultrathin TiO₂ nanosheets, which gave an excellent N₂ fixation activity under visible light by using methanol as hole sacrificial agent (Figure 4B-D).^[60] NH₃ formation over this catalyst can be divided into four steps. First, the oxygen-vacancies provide sites for N₂ adsorption. Under visible light irradiation, hot-electrons formed from Au particles are transferred to the conduction band of the TiO₂ support. These hot-electrons are then trapped in the defect sites and enter the anti-bonding orbitals of N₂, resulting in the formation of NH*, and NH₃. The “hot holes” generated from Au are consumed by the methanol. The quantum efficiency was determined to be 0.82% at 550 nm, and the photocatalytic NH₃ synthesis activity can even extend to 700 nm with the assistance of the sacrificial agent (Figure 4C). However, use of methanol to aid the process is not economical, and finding a more efficient method for photocatalysis is still a challenge.

In order to further increase the charge separation efficiency of the catalyst, a TiO₂/Au/amorphous TiO₂ sandwich structure was used to generate photoelectrode arrays.^[61] It exhibits efficient activity in solar-driven photoelectrochemical N₂ reduction, without any sacrificial agent. The PEC system exhibited an NH₃ generation rate of 13.4 nmol cm⁻² h⁻¹, 2.6 times greater than the bare TiO₂ array. This enhanced activity arises from the electric field intensity of TiO₂/Au, which is 10 times stronger than bare TiO₂. With the assistance of the plasmonic effect, other small molecules such as CH₄,^[62] CO₂^[63] can also be activated and transferred into ethane and CH₄, respectively, under solar light irradiation.

It should be noted that the N₂ fixation products (NH₃ or NH₄⁺) are generally detected by Nessler method or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra, however there is a possibility of misinterpretation of product detection due to using some N-containing catalysts (like CoMoN, CN, VN or NO₃-containing catalysts or some N-containing surfactants during the synthesis process). Xu and co-workers explored the active phases in N₂ electroreduction over vanadium oxides, oxynitride and nitride species using ex-situ photoelectron spectroscopy, operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy, isotopic labelling experiments with ¹⁵N labelled N₂ and DFT which provides the N₂ reduction mechanism clearly.^[64] We believe with the benefit of in-situ and operando characterization, the structure-property-performance of N₂ fixation can be understood more clearly to guide the further rational design of efficient catalysts.

Although solar-driven N₂ fixation activity has shown rapid development, from activities less than 100 to several thousand μmol/gh, it is believed that the gap between photo(electro)catalysis and Haber-Bosch thermal catalysis (several hundred mmol/gh)^[65] is still huge, and the latter has been well-known to produce huge amounts of NH₃ globally, which sustains the global food supply chain. We believe that solar-driven N₂ fixation route might provide a new vista to fix N₂ and H₂O to NH₃ through less-energy demanding and more green processes, and also allow scientists to mimic/understand nitrogenase enzyme structure.

C-C and S-S coupling Coupling reactions (such as C – C coupling and S – S coupling) are highly useful in the synthesis of new molecules, as well as for various medical, biological, materials, and nanotechnological applications. For instance, ethylene glycol derived from C – C coupling reactions is an important chemical with the production yield of over 25 million metric tons per annual. It is produced either from oil (oxidation of ethylene) or coal (hydrogenation of dimethyl oxalate) route. Traditionally, conversions of methanol involving C – C bond formation are restricted to dehydrative oligomerizations such as methanol-to-olefin and methanol-to-gasoline processes.^[66] The preferential activation of inert sp^3 α -C–H bonds in alcohol without affecting the hydroxyl group is highly challenging. Wang and Deng's team presented the first visible-light-driven dehydrogenative coupling of methanol to ethylene glycol using MoS_2 foam/CdS photocatalysts (Figure 5A and B).^[67] An ethylene glycol selectivity of 90% was obtained, with a yield of 16% and a quantum yield of above 5.0%. Mechanistic studies reveal that the preferential activation of the C–H bond in methanol is driven by photoexcited holes via a concerted proton-electron transfer mechanism on the CdS surfaces, forming $\cdot CH_2OH$ radical as an intermediate for C – C coupling and ethylene glycol formation. The DFT calculations for methanol transformations on CdS indicates the weak adsorption of CH_3OH and $\cdot CH_2OH$ intermediate on CdS, which decreases the possibility of O – H bond activation and enables the facile desorption of $\cdot CH_2OH$ from catalyst surfaces for subsequent C–C coupling. However, in traditional thermal-catalysis, a system that preferentially activates C–H instead of O–H bond in methanol is very difficult to achieve. This exciting work reports a unique visible-light-driven catalytic C – H activation process, with the hydroxyl group in the molecule remaining intact.

Disulfides are also of interest as protecting groups in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, bioactive compounds, or vulcanizing agents for rubbers.^[68] Most of the methods to form S-S bonds involve metal-catalyzed or metal-free oxidative coupling of thiols. In addition to conventional oxidants such as manganese dioxide, dichromates and chlorochromates, a number of new thermal methods employing cobalt, manganese, copper, vanadium, cerium, and nickel-based catalysts have been reported for the aerobic oxidation of thiols into disulfides.^[68] However, these methods are sub-optimal because of the toxicity and high cost of some of the metals. Thiols can also be easily over-oxidized to give undesired products such as sulfoxides and sulfones (Figure 5C path I). Thus, there is still great interest in developing clean, rapid, inexpensive, environmentally benign oxidative methods that can produce disulfides in high yields.

Wu and co-workers reported a simple, clean and efficient photocatalytic (> 400 nm) method for virtually quantitative and selective conversion of a variety of thiols into disulfides and molecular hydrogen.^[69] This can be undertaken at room temperature using visible-light irradiation of CdSe-quantum dot (QD)/thiolate conjugates (Path II in Figure 5C). When the water-soluble CdSe QDs are treated with acid, 'vacant sites' are created on the surface of the QDs. The CdSe QDs bind the deprotonated thiols

through cadmium-sulfur bonds to form QD/thiolate conjugates, and visible-light-induced electrons reduce the bound thiolates. This generates sulfur-centered radicals or radical-like species, which couple to form disulfides on the surface of the QDs (Figure 5D). Given that no sacrificial agent or oxidant is necessary and that the catalyst is reusable, this method may be attractive for the formation of disulfide bonds in other systems sensitive to the presence of oxidants. This is a rapidly developing field and more visible-light-driven coupling processes are expected to emerge in the coming years.

Exploiting natural photosystems

Despite the many exciting developments in photocatalysis has achieved in the past 40 years, much can still be learnt from naturally-occurring catalytic processes, for instance, the photosynthetic splitting of water into oxygen and hydrogen. Plants capture energy from the sun and store it in stable chemical bonds of organic molecules such as sugars and starch. Water oxidation is required for dioxygen evolution in both natural and artificial photosynthesis, and this process is catalyzed by the oxygen-evolving center (OEC). The core of the OEC in the natural photo-system II (PSII) consists of asymmetric MnCa-O cluster bound to protein groups.^[70] The natural phot0-system may promote an insight into the structural and chemical determinants of biological water oxidation, which can lead to the development of superior catalysts for artificial photosynthesis (MnCaO-sites), whether mononuclear Mn can also efficiently catalyze water oxidation has been a long-standing question.

Zhang and co-worker synthesized a Mn₄Ca-cluster similar to the native OEC, both in terms of the metal-oxygen core and the binding protein groups. Like the native OEC, the synthetic cluster can undergo four redox transitions and shows two magnetic resonance signals assignable to redox and structural isomerism (Figure 6 A-D).^[71] Comparison with previously synthesized Mn₃CaO₄-cubane clusters suggests that the fourth Mn ion determines the redox potential and magnetic properties of the native OEC. Recently Li et al. found that a heterogeneous catalyst with mononuclear manganese embedded in nitrogen-doped graphene (MnN-G) shows a turnover frequency as high as 214 s⁻¹ for chemical water oxidation and had an electrochemical overpotential as low as 337 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² (Figure 6E).^[72] These values are close to the CaMn₄O₅ catalyst in photosystem II, and two orders of magnitude higher than those of other Mn-based water oxidation catalysts.^[72] Structural characterization and density functional theory calculations reveal that the high activity of Mn-NG can be attributed to the mononuclear manganese ion coordinated with four nitrogen atoms embedded in the graphene matrix.

Perspective and challenge

The above sections show that energy from the sun is the most viable and economical solution to replace fossil fuels. Today's chemical industry is heavily reliant on fossil fuels and thus leads to abundant greenhouse gas (CO₂) emissions, as well as the production of contaminants such as NO_x, SO_x, VOC and PM. Harnessing solar energy

could potentially reduce the production of such pollutants. There have been major developments in solar-driven catalysis in the past few years, especially in C/N cycles for the synthesis of fuels and chemical feedstocks, and these hold the promise of producing such materials sustainably. However, such solar-driven technologies mainly hinge on the exploration of new photo(electro)/photovoltaic/photothermal catalysts. Compared with traditional thermal catalysts with a history of more than one century, there are still many issues to address before solar-driven catalysts can be widely adopted:

1. The catalytic mechanism must be understood to allow the reactions to be controlled and produce desired products. The transient species involved are hard to detect, and there is a significant lack of understanding of the nature of the reaction intermediates. The exploration of the related transient absorption spectroscopy and excited-state DFT calculations may shed some light on the mechanisms by which solar-driven systems function.
2. While recent reports of solar-driven CO/N₂ hydrogenation to olefins/ammonia in flow systems can give similar activity/selectivity to traditional thermal catalysis,^[4b, 19] reactor design is still a major challenge. The lab irradiation sources used are usually Xe light with intensity 20-50 times greater than solar energy; thus, direct use of solar energy is still not possible. The use of a convex lens to focus solar energy restricts the size of the reactor, and natural day/night cycles and temperature variation pose challenges for industrial application. That said, the idea of using this approach in the synthesis of higher-value fine chemicals (such as ethylene glycol,^[67] or asymmetric molecules^[8]) in one step via photocatalysis warrants further investigation.
3. Most photo(electro)catalysts materials show light absorption around 300-500 nm, with weak visible light absorption above 600 nm. Unfortunately, the latter makes up most of the energy of solar light, thus catalysts that have the ability to absorb the lower energy region of the electromagnetic spectrum need to be developed. To this end, photothermal catalysts with a black colour have been found to provide a potential pathway for the direct conversion of UV-VIS-IR light to heat for application in hydrogenation.
4. The scale-up and the cost of photo(electro/thermal) catalysts are critical for translation to pilot plants and use on the industrial scale, since the solar source is restricted by the irradiation area and day/night cycle etc. The use of high loadings amount of noble metals on a semiconductor is far from ideal, and the mild synthesis condition is advantageous from the industrial and economical point of view. Amongst the nanomaterials, layered double hydroxides represent one of the most promising materials for the further photo(electro/thermal)catalysis due to the easily to be scale up and the tunable band structure.

In summary, the use of solar-energy powered catalysis to facilitate bond breaking/formation on the surface of catalysts provides an exciting and sustainable pathway for future chemicals synthesis. Ultimately, this may allow us to replace the

standard thermal catalytic pathway in the selective activation of a range of species, ideally providing high catalytic efficacy and selectivity under mild conditions. The combination of photo/photoelectron/photothermal catalysts with thermal catalysis is expected to contribute to sustainable system for solar-driven catalysis, and will make major contributions to global energy and environmental needs in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFB0602200, 2017YFB0307303), Natural Science Foundation of China (21725301, 21821004, 91645115, 21473003, 21878008, U1707603, 21625101, 21521005, U1507102), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (2182047) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (XK1802-6, XK1902, BUCTR201807). We thank Zhifeng Hu (BUCT), Xingyi Qi (BUCT) and Xinglong Hu for their assistance in hand-drawing/designing some Figures.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.M. conceived this perspective. Y.Z and W.G investigated the literature. Y.Z, W.G and G.W wrote the manuscript. Y.Z, W.G., G.W, A. H. M, S.L. and D.M discussed and revised the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- C. F. Shih, T. Zhang, J. Li, C. Bai, *Joule* **2018**, *2*, 1925.
- Z. Li, J. Liu, Y. Zhao, G. I. N. Waterhouse, G. Chen, R. Shi, X. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Wei, X.-D. Wen, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung, T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1800527.
- M. Schreier, F. Herogue, L. Steier, S. Ahmad, J. S. Luterbacher, M. T. Mayer, J. S. Luo, M. Gratzel, *Nature Energy* **2017**, *2*, 17087.
- a) Y. Zhao, B. Li, Q. Wang, W. Gao, C. J. Wang, M. Wei, D. G. Evans, X. Duan, D. O'Hare, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 951; b) Y. Zhao, Z. Li, M. Li, J. Liu, X. Liu, G. I. N. Waterhouse, Y. Wang, J. Zhao, W. Gao, Z. Zhang, R. Long, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, X. Liu, X. Wen, D. Ma, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung, T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1803127.
- F. Lei, Y. Sun, K. Liu, S. Gao, L. Liang, B. Pan, Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6826.
- X. Jiao, Z. Chen, X. Li, Y. Sun, S. Gao, W. Yan, C. Wang, Q. Zhang, Y. Lin, Y. Luo, Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 7586.
- a) H. Li, J. Shang, Z. H. Ai, L. Z. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6393; b) J. Li, H. Li, G. Zhan, L. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *50*, 112.
- Q. Liu, L.-Z. Wu, *Nat. Sci. Rev.* **2017**, *4*, 359.
- C. Chen, W. Ma, J. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 4206.
- P. J. Boddy, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1968**, *115*, 199.
- A. Fujishima, K. Honda, *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37.
- M. Gratzel, *Nature* **2001**, *414*, 338.
- X. Wu, G. Q. Lu, L. Wang, *Chem. Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 3565.
- M. Gratzel, *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 838.
- J. Luo, J.-H. Im, M. T. Mayer, M. Schreier, M. K. Nazeeruddin, N.-G. Park, S. D. Tilley, H. J. Fan, M. Gratzel, *Science* **2014**, *345*, 1593.
- X. Meng, L. Liu, S. Ouyang, H. Xu, D. Wang, N. Zhao, J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 6781.
- G. Liu, X. Meng, H. Zhang, G. Zhao, H. Pang, T. Wang, P. Li, T. Kako, J. Ye, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5570.
- J. Ren, S. Ouyang, H. Xu, X. Meng, T. Wang, D. Wang, J. Ye, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1601657.
- C. L. Mao, L. H. Yu, J. Li, J. C. Zhao, L. Z. Zhang, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2018**, *224*, 612.
- M. Zeng, Y. Li, M. Mao, J. Bai, L. Ren, X. Zhao, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 3278.
- Z. Yin, Y. Wang, C. Song, L. Zheng, N. Ma, X. Liu, S. Li, L. Lin, M. Li, Y. Xu, W. Li, G. Hu, Z. Fang, D. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 864.
- L. A. Zhou, D. F. Swearer, C. Zhang, H. Robotjazi, H. Q. Zhao, L. Henderson, L. L. Dong, P. Christopher, E. A. Carter, P. Nordlander, N. J. Halas, *Science* **2018**, *362*, 69.
- Y. Tian, T. Tatsuma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7632.
- G. G. Liu, P. Li, G. X. Zhao, X. Wang, J. T. Kong, H. M. Liu, H. B. Zhang, K. Chang, X. G. Meng, T. Kako, J. H. Ye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 9128.
- H. Huang, L. Zhang, Z. H. Lv, R. Long, C. Zhang, Y. Ling, K. C. Wei, C. M. Wang, L. Chen, Z. Y. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, Y. J. Xiong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 6822.
- D. Jiang, W. Wang, S. Sun, L. Zhang, Y. Zheng, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 613.
- R. Schloegl, *ChemSusChem* **2010**, *3*, 209.
- J. G. Chen, R. M. Crooks, L. C. Seefeldt, K. L. Bren, R. M. Bullock, M. Y. Darensbourg, P. L. Holland, B. Hoffman, M. J. Janik, A. K. Jones, M. G. Kanatzidis, P. King, K. M. Lancaster, S. V. Lymar, P. Pfomm, W. F. Schneider, R. R. Schrock, *Science* **2018**, *360*, 873.
- S. Yao, X. Zhang, W. Zhou, R. Gao, W. Xu, Y. Ye, L. Lin, X. Wen, P. Liu, B. Chen, E. Crumlin, J. Guo, Z. Zuo, W. Li, J. Xie, L. Lu, C. J. Kiely, L. Gu, C. Shi, J. A. Rodriguez, D. Ma, *Science* **2017**, *357*, 389.
- P. Tang, Q. J. Zhu, Z. X. Wu, D. Ma, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 2580.
- L. Yuliaty, H. Yoshida, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 1592.
- X. G. Guo, G. Z. Fang, G. Li, H. Ma, H. J. Fan, L. Yu, C. Ma, X. Wu, D. H. Deng, M. M. Wei, D. L. Tan, R. Si, S. Zhang, J. Q. Li, L. T. Sun, Z. C. Tang, X. L. Pan, X. H. Bao, *Science* **2014**, *344*, 616.
- Y. Kato, H. Yoshida, T. Hattori, *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 2389.
- L. Li, G. D. Li, C. Yan, X. Y. Mu, X. L. Pan, X. X. Zou, K. X. Wang, J. S. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8299.
- L. Li, Y. Y. Cai, G. D. Li, X. Y. Mu, K. X. Wang, J. S. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 4702.
- L. Li, S. Z. Fan, X. Y. Mu, Z. T. Mi, C. J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7793.
- W. Li, D. He, G. Hu, X. Li, G. Banerjee, J. Li, S. H. Lee, Q. Dong, T. Gao, G. W. Brudvig, M. M. Waegle, D.-e. Jiang, D. Wang, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 631.
- a) H. Huang, M. Y. Mao, Q. Zhang, Y. Z. Li, J. L. Bai, Y. Yang, M. Zeng, X. J. Zhao, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *8*, 1702472; b) H. Song, X. Meng, Z.-j. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Chen, Y. Weng, F. Ichihara, M. Oshikiri, T. Kako, J. Ye, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 7556.
- X. J. Cui, H. B. Li, Y. Wang, Y. L. Hu, L. Hua, H. Y. Li, X. W. Han, Q. F. Liu, F. Yang, L. M. He, X. Q. Chen, Q. Y. Li, J. P. Xiao, D. H. Deng, X. H. Bao, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 1902.
- J. Xie, R. Jin, A. Li, Y. Bi, Q. Ruan, Y. Deng, Y. Zhang, S. Yao, G. Sankar, D. Ma, J. Tang, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 889.
- R. J. Schmidt, *Appl. Catal. A* **2005**, *280*, 89.
- S. Niwa, M. Eswaramoorthy, J. Nair, A. Raj, N. Itoh, H. Shoji, T. Namba, F. Mizukami, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 105.
- Y. Zhou, Z. P. Ma, J. J. Tang, N. Yan, Y. H. Du, S. B. Xi, K. Wang, W. Zhang, H. M. Wen, J. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2931.
- Y. Ide, M. Torii, T. Sano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 11784.
- Y.-W. Zheng, B. Chen, P. Ye, K. Feng, W. Wang, Q.-Y. Meng, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10080.
- a) F. Sastre, A. V. Puga, L. Liu, A. Corma, H. Garcia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6798; b) F. Sastre, A. Corma, H. Garcia, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12983.
- X. Meng, T. Wang, L. Liu, S. Ouyang, P. Li, H. Hu, T. Kako, H. Iwai, A. Tanaka, J. Ye, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11478.

- [48] G. Chen, R. Gao, Y. Zhao, Z. Li, G. I. N. Waterhouse, R. Shi, J. Zhao, M. Zhang, L. Shang, G. Sheng, X. Zhang, X. Wen, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung, T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1704663.
- [49] a) P. Zhai, C. Xu, R. Gao, X. Liu, M. Li, W. Li, X. Fu, C. Jia, J. Xie, M. Zhao, X. Wang, Y.-W. Li, Q. Zhang, X.-D. Wen, D. Ma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9902; b) B. Zhao, P. Zhai, P. Wang, J. Li, T. Li, M. Peng, M. Zhao, G. Hu, Y. Yang, Y.-W. Li, Q. Zhang, W. Fan, D. Ma, *Chem* **2017**, *3*, 323; c) Y. Zhao, B. Zhao, J. Liu, G. Chen, R. Gao, S. Yao, M. Li, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, J. Xie, X. Wen, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung, D. Ma, T. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4215.
- [50] W. Gao, R. Gao, Y. Zhao, M. Peng, C. Song, M. Li, S. Li, J. Liu, W. Li, Y. Deng, M. Zhang, J. Xie, G. Hu, Z. Zhang, R. Long, X.-D. Wen, D. Ma, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 2917.
- [51] L. Wang, M. K. Xia, H. Wang, K. F. Huang, C. X. Qian, C. T. Maravelias, G. A. Ozin, *Joule* **2018**, *2*, 1055.
- [52] M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, Y. Yamazaki, F. Hayashi, S. Kanbara, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, S. W. Kim, M. Hara, H. Hosono, *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 934.
- [53] Y. Gong, J. Wu, M. Kitano, J. Wang, T.-N. Ye, J. Li, Y. Kobayashi, K. Kishida, H. Abe, Y. Niwa, H. Yang, T. Tada, H. Hosono, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 178.
- [54] P. K. Wang, F. Chang, W. B. Gao, J. P. Guo, G. T. Wu, T. He, P. Chen, *Nat. Chem.* **2017**, *9*, 64.
- [55] W. B. Gao, J. P. Guo, P. K. Wang, Q. R. Wang, F. Chang, Q. J. Pei, W. J. Zhang, L. Liu, P. Chen, *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3*, 1067.
- [56] S. Wang, X. Hai, X. Ding, K. Chang, Y. Xiang, X. Meng, Z. Yang, H. Chen, J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1701774.
- [57] N. Zhang, A. Jalil, D. X. Wu, S. M. Chen, Y. F. Liu, C. Gao, W. Ye, Z. M. Qi, H. X. Ju, C. M. Wang, X. J. Wu, L. Song, J. F. Zhu, Y. J. Xiong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 9434.
- [58] H. Hirakawa, M. Hashimoto, Y. Shiraishi, T. Hirai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**.
- [59] Y. Zhao, Y. Zhao, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L. Zheng, X. Cao, F. Teng, L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung, D. O'Hare, T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1703828.
- [60] J. H. Yang, Y. Z. Guo, R. B. Jiang, F. Qin, H. Zhang, W. Z. Lu, J. F. Wang, J. C. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 8497.
- [61] C. C. Li, T. Wang, Z. J. Zhao, W. M. Yang, J. F. Li, A. Li, Z. L. Yang, G. A. Ozin, J. L. Gong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5278.
- [62] L. S. Meng, Z. Y. Chen, Z. Y. Ma, S. He, Y. D. Hou, H. H. Li, R. S. Yuan, X. H. Huang, X. X. Wang, X. C. Wang, J. L. Long, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *11*, 294.
- [63] X. Zhang, X. Li, D. Zhang, N. Q. Su, W. Yang, H. O. Everitt, J. Liu, *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 1.
- [64] X. Yang, J. Nash, J. Anibal, M. Dunwell, S. Kattel, E. Stavitski, K. Attenkofer, J. G. Chen, Y. Yan, B. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 13387.
- [65] M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, H. Ishikawa, K. Yamagata, T. Nakao, T. Tada, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara, H. Hosono, *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 4036.
- [66] a) P. M. Maitlis, A. Haynes, G. J. Sunley, M. J. Howard, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1996**, *11*, 2187; b) U. Olsbye, S. Svelle, M. Bjorgen, P. Beato, T. V. W. Janssens, F. Joensen, S. Bordiga, K. P. Lillerud, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 5810.
- [67] S. Xie, Z. Shen, J. Deng, P. Guo, Q. Zhang, H. Zhang, C. Ma, Z. Jiang, J. Cheng, D. Deng, Y. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1181.
- [68] a) J. L. García Ruano, A. Parra, J. Alemán, *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 706; b) Y. Dou, X. Huang, H. Wang, L. Yang, H. Li, B. Yuan, G. Yang, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 2491.
- [69] X. B. Li, Z. J. Li, Y. J. Gao, Q. Y. Meng, S. Yu, R. G. Weiss, C. H. Tung, L. Z. Wu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2085.
- [70] a) J. Yano, V. Yachandra, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 4175; b) K. Ogata, T. Yuki, M. Hatakeyama, W. Uchida, S. Nakamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 15670.
- [71] C. X. Zhang, C. H. Chen, H. X. Dong, J. R. Shen, H. Dau, J. Q. Zhao, *Science* **2015**, *348*, 690.
- [72] J. Guan, Z. Duan, F. Zhang, S. D. Kelly, R. Si, M. Dupuis, Q. Huang, J. Q. Chen, C. Tang, C. Li, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 870.




Figure 1. Solar-driven catalytic processes.




Figure 3. (A) Illustration of the solar-driven products obtained from Fe₅C₂ catalyst. (B) Monitoring of the catalyst bed temperature of Fe₅C₂ under photo-irradiation. (C) *Ex-situ* X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of the Fe₅C₂ catalyst under different treated conditions. (D) *Ex-situ* XPS spectra of the fresh Fe₅C₂ catalyst and after traditional thermal reaction. Reproduced from Gao et al.,^[50] with permission from Elsevier.




Figure 4. (A) Schematic illustrating the "working-in-tandem" mechanism of the nitrogenase with the Fe protein and MoFe-protein in biological N₂ fixation. (B) SEM and TEM images of Au/TiO₂. (C) Absorption and ammonia evolution action of Au/TiO₂. (D) A schematic illustration of the plasmonic N₂ photo fixation mechanism. Reproduced from Yang et al.,^[60] with permission from American Chemical Society.




Figure 5. (A) Schematic illustration of MoS₂ foam/CdS for photocatalytic synthesis of EG and H₂ from CH₃OH. (B) Conventional reaction mode and process-intensified mode with EG separation. Reproduced from Xie et al.,^[67] with permission from Nature. (C) Two general approaches for the conversion of thiols into disulfides. (D) Proposed mechanism for the photocatalytic conversion of thiols into disulfides and molecular hydrogen. Reproduced from Li et al.,^[69] with permission from Wiley-VCH.




Figure 6. Crystal structures of the native OEC and the synthetic Mn₄Ca complex (I) prepared by Zhang et al. (A) The Mn₄CaO₅ core of the native OEC; (B) The Mn₄CaO₄ core of I. (C) Structure of the native OEC, including ligating protein side-chains and water molecules. (D) Structure of I, including all ligand groups. Reproduced from Zhang et al.,^[71] with permission from Nature. (E) Time profile of O₂ evolution by a MnN-G-containing cluster in Ce(NH₄)₂(NO₃)₆ solution and the atomic model of the MnN₄-G cluster. Reproduced from Guan et al.,^[72] with permission from Nature.

Table 1. Characteristics of solar and thermal conversion of methane.

Conditions	Solar		Thermal	
	Direct	Oxidation	Nonoxidative	Oxidative
Temperature	Room temperature	~400 °C RT (only H ₂ O ₂) RT (PEC)	>700 °C	700-850 °C, RT (only H ₂ O ₂)
Thermodynamics	Limited	Not limited	Limited	Not limited
Catalysts	Zn ⁺ /ZSM-5, GaN, etc.	Ni/SiO ₂ , Rh/TiO ₂ FeO _x /TiO ₂	Mo/ZSM, Fe/ZSM, Fe@SiO ₂	Li/MgO, Sr/La ₂ O ₃ , Na/W/Mn/SiO ₂ Fe-CN
Main products	C ₆ H ₆ , C ₂ H ₆ , H ₂ etc.	CO ₂ , CO, H ₂ , C ₂ H ₄ , CH ₃ OH	C ₆ H ₆ , H ₂ , C ₂ H ₄ etc.	C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , CO, CO ₂ , H ₂ O, CH ₃ OH
Conversion	~300-9800 μmol/gh	1-330 μmol/gh	~0.5 mol/gh (14.5 L/gh)	> 0.1 mol/gh
Main drawback	Catalysts synthesis, low conversion	Low conversion and selectivity	Coke formation, stability issues, harsh operating conditions	Overoxidation, stability issue