The AAA+ ATPase RUVBL2 is essential for the oncogenic function of c MYB in acute myeloid leukemia

3

| 4 | Elena Armenteros-Monterroso ¹ , Lu Zhao ¹ , Luca Gasparoli ¹ , Tony Brooks ² , Kerra Pearce ² , |
|----|--|
| 5 | Marc R. Mansour ³ , Joost H.A. Martens ⁴ , Jasper de Boer ¹ , Owen Williams ¹ |
| 6 | ¹ Cancer Section, Developmental Biology and Cancer Programme, ² UCL Genomics, UCL GOS |
| 7 | Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom; ³ Department of Haematology, UCL |
| 8 | Cancer Institute, London, United Kingdom; ⁴ Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of |
| 9 | Science, Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The |
| 10 | Netherlands. |
| 11 | Correspondence: Owen Williams, Cancer Section, Developmental Biology and Cancer |
| 12 | Programme, UCL GOS Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH, |
| 13 | United Kingdom; e-mail: <u>owen.williams@ucl.ac.uk</u> , phone: +44 207 905 2180 |
| 14 | Conflict of Interest statement: the authors have no conflict of interest to declare. |
| 15 | Running title: c-MYB co-repressor function of RUVBL2 |

16 Abstract

Subtype-specific leukemia oncogenes drive aberrant gene expression profiles that converge on 17 common essential mediators to ensure leukemia self-renewal and inhibition of differentiation. 18 The transcription factor c-MYB functions as one such mediator in a diverse range of leukemias. 19 Here we show for the first time that transcriptional repression of myeloid differentiation 20 associated c-MYB target genes in AML is enforced by the AAA+ ATPase RUVBL2. Silencing 21 RUVBL2 expression resulted in increased binding of c-MYB to these loci and their 22 transcriptional activation. RUVBL2 inhibition resulted in AML cell apoptosis and severely 23 impaired disease progression of established AML in engrafted mice. In contrast, such inhibition 24 had little impact on normal hematopoietic progenitor differentiation. These data demonstrate 25 that RUVBL2 is essential for the oncogenic function of c-MYB in AML by governing 26 inhibition of myeloid differentiation. They also indicate that targeting the control of c-MYB 27 28 function by RUVBL2 is a promising approach to developing future anti-AML therapies.

29 Introduction

Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease affecting both children and adults. 30 The use of intensive chemotherapy, risk stratification and hematopoietic stem cell 31 transplantation have improved outcomes. However, cure rates for paediatric (60-70%), young 32 (40-45%) and older adults (10-20%) remain poor [1, 2]. Although primary oncogenic drivers 33 represent attractive targets for novel therapies, a complimentary approach has focussed on 34 inhibiting the expression and activity of transcription factors, such as c-MYB, that are required 35 to integrate oncogenic programs downstream of driving oncogenes across a broad spectrum of 36 cancers [3, 4]. Thus, anti-AML activity has been demonstrated for small molecules and 37 peptidomimetics that inhibit the interaction between c-MYB and the CBP/p300 transcriptional 38 co-activator complexes [5, 6], and for drugs that target c-MYB for proteasomal degradation 39 [7]. 40

The AAA+ (ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities) ATPases, RUVBL1 41 42 and RUVBL2, were originally isolated as components of transcriptional complexes and shown 43 to function in a number of different cellular processes, including transcriptional regulation, chromatin remodelling and DNA damage responses [8]. They were also found to be essential 44 45 for the oncogenic activity of c-MYC [9] and for survival and progression of multiple different cancer types [8]. Recently, the RUVBL1/RUVBL2 complex was implicated in hepatocellular 46 carcinogenesis, through amplifying the transcriptional response of E2F factors [10]. We 47 previously showed that in MLL-rearranged AML cells, MLL-fusions are responsible for 48 maintenance of RUVBL2 expression, mediated via transcriptional activation of the RUVBL2 49 50 gene by c-MYB [11]. Silencing expression of endogenous RUVBL2 or over-expression of a mutant RUVBL2(DN) molecule (capable of ATP binding but not its hydrolysis) in human and 51 mouse AML cells, induced differentiation and apoptosis, and inhibited colony formation. 52 53 Dependence on RUVBL2 expression was a general feature of AML cells and not limited to the

MLL-rearranged subtype [11]. This is consistent with data from recent CRISPR essentiality
screens in AML [12, 13].

| 56 | Here, we report that inhibition of RUVBL2 expression or function impairs progression |
|----|--|
| 57 | of established leukemia. In contrast, RUVBL2 function is dispensable for differentiation of |
| 58 | normal hematopoietic progenitor cells. We demonstrate that RUVBL2 binds c-MYB and |
| 59 | ensures that its transcriptional activity is compatible with differentiation arrest and self-renewal |
| 60 | of AML cells by enforcing repression of a subset of c-MYB target genes. These data suggest |
| 61 | that therapeutic targeting of RUVBL2 represents an opportunity to disrupt the c-MYB |
| 62 | oncogenic program in AML, while sparing normal hematopoiesis. |

63 Materials and methods

64 Mice

65 Mice were maintained in the UCL GOS ICH animal facilities and experiments were performed

66 according to and approved by the United Kingdom Home Office regulations and followed UCL

67 GOS ICH institutional guidelines.

68

69 Western blot and co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [7, 14]. Antibody clone names are available in Supplementary Methods. For Co-IP analyses, THP1 and HA-RUVBL2 expressing THP1 cells were washed in cold PBS, proteins cross-linked for 10 minutes with 0.1 mM disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSG) and quenched for 10 minutes with 1 mM Tris pH7.4 on ice. Cells were washed three times with cold PBS, lysed and proteins immunoprecipitated using the Pierce Classic Magnetic IP/Co-IP Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific).

76

77 Flow cytometry and apoptosis assays

Flow cytometry was performed as previously described [11]. The antibodies and kits used areavailable in Supplementary Methods.

80

81 Colony formation assays

CD117⁺/lineage⁻ mouse hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPC) were purified from C57BL/6CD45.1 mouse bone marrow by magnetic-activated cell sorting, using the Lineage Cell
Depletion Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) followed by positive selection using anti-CD117-PE
(Biolegend) and anti-PE microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). Colony assays were performed in
M3434 (StemCell Technologies) methylcellulose.

87

88 Lentiviral and retroviral vector cloning and transduction

For inducible expression, shRNA and cDNA were cloned into the pTRIPZ (Open 89 Biosystems/Thermo Scientific) lentiviral vector. Induction was achieved by treatment of cells 90 every 48 hours with 1 µg/ml doxycycline (Clontech-Takara Bio). Constitutive shRNA 91 expression was performed using MISSION pLKO.1 shRNA constructs (Sigma Aldrich), and 92 cDNA expression using the pMSCV-hCD2T [15] and pCSGW-PIG [7] vectors. The 93 RUVBL2(DN) [11] cDNA was described previously. The HA-RUVBL2 cDNA from pCDNA-94 3xHA-Reptin, a gift from Steven Artandi (Addgene plasmid # 51636) [16], was cloned into 95 pCSGW [17]. The pCSGW-LUC2 vector was previously described [7]. Lentiviral and 96 retroviral transductions were performed as previously described [11, 18, 19]. 97

98

99 In vivo transplantation

Inducible shSCR and shRUVBL2 THP1 clones were transduced with a luciferase expressing 100 lentiviral vector as previously described [7]. Cells were transplanted into NOD-SCID- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice 101 102 (NSG; The Jackson Laboratory) and imaging performed as described previously [7]. For transplantation of normal transduced mouse HPC, lethally γ -irradiated (split dose: 5Gy & 4Gy) 103 C57BL/6 mice were injected intravenously with 2×10^5 C57BL/6-CD45.1 transduced HPC. 104 Peripheral blood was analysed 27 days after transplantation and recipients sacrificed 4 months 105 after transplantation. For mouse MLL-ENL cell transplantation, sublethally γ -irradiated (6Gy) 106 C57BL/6 or C57BL/6J-CD45.1 mice were intravenously injected with 0.5-1×10⁶ leukemic 107 cells. In vivo induction was initiated with 3 intraperitoneal injections (1mg of doxycycline in 108 100µl of PBS, Clontech-Takara Bio) every other day, followed by continual treatment with 109 doxycycline in the diet (625mg/kg, Harlan). For inducible RUVBL2(DN) MLL-ENL 110 transplanted mice, doxycycline was administered in the drinking water (200µg/ml doxycycline 111 and 5% sucrose). 112

113

114 Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on isolated mRNA using TaqMan probe
based chemistry and an ABI Prism 7900HT fast Sequence Detection System (Life
Technologies), as previously described [7]. All primer/probe sets were from Applied
Biosystems, Life Technologies.

119

120 RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

121 Total cellular RNA was purified from control and doxycycline treated samples from three independent experiments for each time-point and submitted to UCL Genomics for RNA-122 sequencing, detailed in Supplementary Methods. GSEA 123 as 124 (https://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/) was used to examine enrichment of gene sets for c-MYC activated target genes [20], AML LSC, [21] c-MYB target genes [7], gene expression 125 changes following shRNA [22], CRISPR-mediated [23] and peptidomimetic [6] c-MYB 126 targeting, PMA-induced myeloid differentiation [24, 25] and monocyte terminal differentiation 127 [26] in gene expression changes following RUVBL2 silencing. Enrichment of the 36 genes with 128 increased expression and c-MYB binding following RUVBL2 silencing was examined in gene 129 expression changes following siRNA [25], CRISPR-mediated [23] and peptidomimetic [6] c-130 MYB targeting, and following 24 hours exposure of THP1 cells to PMA [25]. RNA-seq data 131 132 is available on the GEO repository, GSE117106.

133

134 Chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq)

135 Chromatin immunoprecipitation using commercially available antibodies and sequencing of 136 isolated DNA was performed, as detailed in Supplementary Methods. Pre-processed data were 137 then aligned to the genome (UCSC hg19) with BWA14 and deduplicated. Peak calling was conducted using MACS1.3.3 [27] at a *P*-value cut-off of 10⁻⁶. Bigwig files were generated
using bam2bw. Tags within a given region were counted and adjusted to represent the number
of tags within a 1 kb region. Subsequently the percentage of these tags as a measure of the total
number of sequenced tags of the sample was calculated and displayed as heat map or boxplot
as before [28, 29]. ChIP-seq data is available on the GEO repository, GSE117224.

143

144 Statistics

145 Statistical significance was determined using Prism (GraphPad) software. Statistical analysis 146 of survival curves was performed using the logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. Statistical analysis of 147 means was performed using the one sample *t* test or unpaired Student's *t* test, two-tailed *P* 148 values < 0.05 being considered statistically significant. Variance was similar between groups. 149 For RNA-seq analysis, statistically significant changes in gene expression were P < 0.05 using 150 the Wald test. For analysis of H3K27ac changes on dynamic c-MYB peaks, *P* values were 151 calculated using the Mann–Whitney U test.

152 **RESULTS**

153 **RUVBL2** inhibition impairs AML progression

Previously, we demonstrated that silencing RUVBL2 expression with two independent shRNA 154 resulted in AML cell differentiation and apoptosis [11]. Here, we examined whether this would 155 result in impairment of leukemia progression in vivo. The most effective shRNA was cloned 156 into the TRIPZ inducible expression vector and used to transduce THP1 cells. Clones were 157 then generated from the inducible control shSCR and shRUVBL2 THP1 cells and further 158 transduced with a luciferase-expressing lentiviral vector (Fig. 1a-c). NSG mice were 159 transplanted with control and shRUVBL2 THP1 clones and disease progression measured by 160 bioluminescence imaging. Ten days after transplantation, when bioluminescence signal was 161 detected in all recipient mice confirming AML engraftment (Fig. 1d,e), doxycycline treatment 162 of the experimental groups was initiated and maintained until day 59 post-transplantation. 163 Whereas bioluminescence increased steadily in untreated groups and in doxycycline-treated 164 165 control shSCR mice, the signal declined to background levels in shRUVBL2 mice following treatment with doxycycline (Fig. 1d,e). Disease latency was similar for the untreated groups 166 and the doxycycline-treated shSCR mice, all mice succumbing to leukemia within 8 days of 167 168 each other (Fig. 1f). In contrast, most of the doxycycline-treated shRUVBL2 mice survived through to the end of the experiment, a striking result in this aggressive disease model (Fig. 169 1f). Leukemia was undetectable in 4 out of the 5 surviving mice at the end of the experiment, 170 112 days after transplantation, with localised disease progression evident in the remaining 171 mouse (Supplementary Figure 1). 172

173 Next, we examined the impact of RUVBL2 inhibition on *in vivo* progression of AML 174 using two different mouse models. In the first model, we generated MLL-ENL mouse myeloid 175 leukemia cells, as previously described [18, 19], and transduced them with inducible TRIPZ vectors containing control shRNA or shRNA targeting mouse *Ruvbl2*. Treatment of shRuvbl2
MLL-ENL clones, derived from these cells, with doxycycline *in vitro* resulted in significant
apoptosis in comparison to untreated cells or to control cells (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Figure
2a). MLL-ENL clones were also transplanted into sub-lethally irradiated mice and
experimental groups were exposed to doxycycline treatment 10 days later. Disease latency was
significantly impaired in shRuvbl2 transplanted mice treated with doxycycline, with more than
half of the group surviving the course of the experiment (Fig. 2b).

Since the capacity of RUVBL2 to bind and hydrolyse ATP is central to many of its 183 diverse cellular functions [8], we next examined the effect of targeting the RUVBL2 ATPase 184 activity on in vivo disease progression. MLL-ENL cells were transduced with the inducible 185 TRIPZ vector, containing RUVBL2(DN), or empty vector control, and clones derived. The 186 D299N point mutation in RUVBL2(DN) abrogates the ability of RUVBL2 to hydrolyse bound 187 188 ATP. We demonstrated previously that over-expression of this mutant acts in a dominant negative manner over normal RUVBL2 function in AML cells in vitro [11]. Exposure of 189 190 inducible RUVBL2(DN) cells to doxycycline in vitro resulted in rapid induction of apoptosis 191 (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Figure 2b). Inducible clones were transplanted into sub-lethally irradiated recipient mice and after 14 days treatment of mice with doxycycline was initiated. 192 Although doxycycline treatment made no impact on AML latency in mice transplanted with 193 empty vector control MLL-ENL cells, consistent with previous results [19], disease 194 progression of RUVBL2(DN) was significantly impaired by doxycycline treatment (Fig. 2d). 195 Importantly, analysis of shRuvbl2 and RUVBL2(DN) leukaemia cells harvested from 196 doxycycline treated mice that did succumb to disease had lost their ability to induce shRNA or 197 RUVBL2(DN) expression (Supplementary Figure 2c, d). 198

In contrast to the deleterious effects of RUVBL2(DN) expression in AML cells, normal
 HPC expressing RUVBL2(DN) exhibited robust myeloid colony forming activity *in vitro*,

201 similar to empty vector transduced HPC (Supplementary Figure 3a,b). Furthermore, neither short-term nor long-term hematopoietic reconstitution were significantly altered by expression 202 of the RUVBL2(DN) mutant, recipient mice exhibiting equivalent reconstitution of both 203 204 myeloid and B cell compartments of bone marrow and spleen to those transduced with empty vector transduced control HPC (Supplementary Figure 4a,b and Fig. 2e). This is consistent 205 with our previous demonstration that RUVBL2 silencing has significantly less impact on the 206 proliferation of normal human HPC than AML cells [11]. Collectively, these data indicate that 207 AML cells are more sensitive than normal HPC to inhibition of RUVBL2 function, and that a 208 209 therapeutic window exists for its therapeutic targeting.

210 RUVBL2 regulates expression of c-MYB target genes in AML cells

211 To determine the impact of RUVBL2 on global gene expression in AML cells we transduced bulk THP1 cells with the TRIPZ inducible shRUVBL2 and shSCR lentiviral vectors. 212 Significant decreases in RUVBL2 protein expression were first detected at day 2 after induction 213 214 of RUVBL2-specific, but not control, shRNA, and decreased further by day 4 (Fig. 3a,b). As expected, loss of RUVBL2 expression eventually resulted in apoptosis of THP1 cells, 8 days 215 after doxycycline treatment (Supplementary Figure 5a,b). We then analysed changes in 216 217 transcriptome profiles of THP1 cells by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), at 2 days and 4 days following induction of RUVBL2-specific shRNA. RUVBL2 silencing resulted in 194 and 2,878 218 significant gene expression changes after 2 days and 4 days doxycycline treatment, respectively 219 (Fig. 3c,d). Of these, the expression of 52 genes changed more than 2-fold at day 2 (6 down 220 and 46 up), and 219 at day 4 (55 down and 164 up). These gene expression changes were 221 222 validated in independent experiments by analysing the expression of a selected gene panel by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 6). The RNA-seq data indicate that the predominant changes 223 were increases in gene expression, consistent with the reported function of RUVBL2 as a co-224 225 factor in transcriptional repression, although there were some notable decreases in gene

226 expression, such as *c-MYC* [8]. Among these were genes encoding the transcription factors BTG2, MAF and MAFB, known to promote myelomonocytic differentiation and growth arrest 227 (Fig. 3d,e) [30-34]. Inhibition of RUVBL2 function by transduction of THP1 cells with 228 229 RUVBL2(DN) also resulted in increased BTG2, MAF and MAFB expression (Fig. 3f). This function of RUVBL2 was not limited to THP1 cells, since increased BTG2 and MAFB 230 expression following RUVBL2 silencing was also evident in a panel of AML cells lines 231 (Supplementary Figure 7). These data indicate that RUVBL2 functions to repress expression 232 of transcription factors that promote AML cell differentiation. 233

Since *c-MYC* expression is known to be regulated by the transcription factor c-MYB in 234 235 AML cells, the expression of which did not change at the RNA or protein levels after *RUVBL2* silencing (Supplementary Figure 8a-c), we next examined whether c-MYB function was 236 impaired by loss of RUVBL2. Indeed, gene expression changes at both day 2 and day 4 237 238 following RUVBL2 silencing were found to be significantly enriched in direct c-MYB target genes (Fig. 4a), previously defined by integrating gene expression data [25] with target gene 239 240 occupancy [35]. c-MYB gene sets derived from other studies, generated from shRNA targeting of *c-MYB* expression [22], CRISPR-based targeting of the c-MYB DNA-binding domain [23] 241 and peptidomimetic inhibition of the interaction between c-MYB and the CBP-P300 co-242 activators [6], were all similarly enriched in gene expression changes at both time-points (Fig. 243 4b). A previous study reported that gene expression changes induced by *c-MYB* silencing, 244 including increased expression of BTG2, MAF and MAFB, overlapped significantly with those 245 following induction of THP1 cell differentiation by phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) treatment 246 [25]. Indeed, genes affected by RUVBL2 silencing also showed enrichment for PMA-induced 247 and monocyte terminal differentiation gene sets (Fig. 4b), as previously defined [24, 26]. This 248 suggests that RUVBL2 arrests AML cell differentiation, a prerequisite for leukemia 249 progression, by regulating the transcriptional activity of c-MYB. Consistent with these data, 250

RUVBL2 silencing was associated with a significant down-regulation of genes linked to the
AML leukemic stem cell signature (Supplementary Figure 8d) [21].

RUVBL2 has been reported to interact with and regulate the activity of several different 253 transcription factors in different cancer types [8, 36], including most recently E2F1 in 254 hepatocellular carcinoma [10]. To determine whether RUVBL2 interacted with c-MYB in 255 AML cells, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments in THP1 cells. Following in 256 vivo protein crosslinking with disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSG), c-MYB was clearly found to 257 co-immunoprecipitate with endogenous RUVBL2 (Fig. 4c). In the reciprocal experiment, c-258 MYB was immunoprecipitated from HA-RUVBL2 expressing THP1 cells, and shown to pull 259 down the HA-tagged protein (Fig. 4d). These data suggest that RUVBL2 interacts with c-MYB 260 in AML cells. 261

262 RUVBL2 is necessary to enforce transcriptional repression by c-MYB

To examine the consequences of *RUVBL2* silencing on genome-wide target gene occupancy 263 by c-MYB, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with DNA sequencing 264 (ChIP-seq) in THP1 cells, following transduction with constitutive RUVBL2-specific or control 265 shRNA. A total of 17,254 c-MYB DNA-binding peaks were detected in control and 266 shRUVBL2 cells, corresponding to 7,457 genes. We previously demonstrated that c-MYB 267 controls RUVBL2 gene expression [11], and consistent with this finding, a c-MYB binding 268 269 peak was evident at +462 bp relative to the *RUVBL2* transcriptional start site (Supplementary Figure 9a). RUVBL2 silencing resulted in more than 2-fold increased binding of c-MYB at 270 271 2,355 peaks (MYB UP) and more than 2-fold decreased binding at 275 peaks (MYB DN), corresponding to 1,876 and 267 genes, respectively (Fig. 5a-b and Supplementary Figure 9b). 272 273 We then performed further ChIP-seq analysis to determine the extent of co-localization of c-MYB binding peaks with regions of histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac), a mark of 274

275 transcriptional activity. Significant levels of H3K27ac were found to be associated with 568 MYB UP peaks and 109 MYB DN peaks. Furthermore, the H3K27ac signal increased 276 significantly at the 568 MYB UP peaks upon RUVBL2 silencing (Fig. 5c), whereas it was not 277 278 found to change significantly at the MYB DN peaks (Supplementary Figure 9c). We then compared the list of genes that were associated with increased c-MYB binding (1,876 genes) 279 with those whose expression increased more than 2-fold (164 genes) following RUVBL2 280 silencing. There was a significant overlap of 36 genes between these two lists (Fig. 5d), which 281 included BTG2, MAF and MAFB (Fig. 5b). This indicates that increased binding of c-MYB to 282 283 these target genes correlated with increases in their expression. These genes were found to be positively enriched in the previously published PMA-induced gene expression changes (Fig. 284 5e) [25], suggesting that RUVBL2 is responsible for maintaining repression of c-MYB target 285 286 genes involved in myeloid differentiation. Surprisingly, the 36 genes were also found to be positively enriched in gene expression data from studies targeting c-MYB expression and 287 function (Fig. 5f) [6, 23, 25]. This analysis suggests that at these loci, loss of RUVBL2 288 expression results in conversion c-MYB from a transcriptional repressor into a transcriptional 289 activator, correlating with increased binding of c-MYB and H3K27ac signal. 290

291

292 Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that RUVBL2 is essential for the oncogenic activity of c-MYB in AML, ensuring transcriptional repression of myeloid differentiation-associated target genes. Myeloid differentiation arrest is a hallmark of AML, resulting in accumulation of aberrant immature myeloid progenitors. Reversing this differentiation block has long been a goal of novel anti-AML therapeutic strategies [37-39]. We present evidence for a molecular mechanism responsible for enforcing this block in AML differentiation. Our data indicate that 299 RUVBL2 loss converts c-MYB from a repressor to a transcriptional activator of myeloid prodifferentiation genes. RUVBL2 inhibition results in increased c-MYB binding of these genes, 300 associated with elevated H3K27 acetylation of c-MYB binding regions and activation of target 301 302 gene expression. This is consistent with data from our previous study, which demonstrated that RUVBL2 inhibition led to growth inhibition, differentiation and eventual apoptosis of AML 303 cells [11]. The present study demonstrates the importance of RUVBL2 in maintaining aberrant 304 AML-associated transcriptional networks, highlighted by the ablation of established AML in 305 vivo following RUVBL2 inhibition. 306

Previous analysis of myeloid transcription factor networks in AML suggested that c-307 MYB acts in part as an anti-differentiation transcriptional repressor [40]. Indeed, as well as 308 activating its targets, c-MYB was found to repress half of its direct target genes, several of 309 which are recognized positive regulators of myeloid differentiation [35]. Although the 310 311 CBP/P300 co-factors are largely defined as transcriptional co-activators, they were also shown to be necessary for repression of target genes by c-MYB [35, 41] and peptidomimetic inhibition 312 313 of c-MYB:CBP/P300 interaction was shown to result in increased expression of repressed c-314 MYB target genes, as well as decreased expression of activated genes [6]. This suggests that direct repression of positive regulators of myeloid differentiation and growth arrest by c-MYB 315 is an essential component of its transforming activity. Indeed, our RNA-seq analysis 316 demonstrate that in AML cells, RUVBL2 is required for c-MYB-dependent transcriptional 317 repression of a pro-differentiation myeloid gene expression signature, including BTG2, MAF 318 and MAFB genes. These genes are all expressed during normal myeloid differentiation. BTG2 319 320 is an anti-proliferative tumour suppressor and plays a role during differentiation of diverse tissues [42]. Much of its activity is linked to interaction with the PRMT1 arginine 321 methyltransferase and methylation of histone and non-histone substrates. This complex has 322 been shown to regulate gene expression as directly affecting post-transcriptional processes such 323

15

324 as mRNA stability and cell cycle machinery dynamics [42]. Of particular interest in the context of our study, the BTG2-PRMT1 complex was reported to enhance myeloid differentiation of 325 both AML cells and normal CD34⁺ HPC in response to retinoic acid (RA). This effect was 326 327 found to be dependent on basal methylation of histone H4 at RA-responsive promoters by this complex, leading to more efficient histone H4 acetylation upon RA stimulation and consequent 328 increases in target gene expression [32]. The myelomonocytic transcription factors MAF and 329 330 MAFB have both been reported promote monocytic differentiation in AML cell lines and transformed myeloid cells, respectively [30, 31]. Moreover, MAFB was also shown to promote 331 332 RA induced myeloid differentiation of THP1 cells, enhancing expression and histone H4 acetylation of RA target genes [43]. 333

c-MYB is required for definitive hematopoiesis and plays an important role in 334 differentiation of multiple hematopoietic lineages [44]. Although rarely mutated in leukemia, 335 it has long been associated with hematopoietic malignancies [44, 45]. Indeed a number of 336 studies demonstrated that c-MYB is an essential mediator of MLL-fusion activity in AML [46, 337 47], maintaining an aberrant self-renewal program downstream of the driving oncogenes [21, 338 22]. Furthermore, we recently demonstrated that *c-MYB* silencing impaired self-renewal of 339 both MLL-rearranged and non-rearranged AML cells [7]. These properties of c-MYB in AML 340 are consistent with cancer-associated master regulator activity [4], indicative of potential 341 efficacy as a therapeutic target [3]. Our data demonstrate that RUVBL2 inhibition blocks the 342 oncogenic activity of c-MYB without compromising normal haematopoiesis. This can be 343 explained by the testable hypothesis that RUVBL2 interacts with c-MYB to repress pro-344 differentiation target genes, such as BTG2, MAF and MAFB, that would otherwise be 345 transactivated by the increased levels of c-MYB associated with myeloid transformation. 346

RUVBL2 consists of three domains, the ATP binding pocket being formed byintramolecular interactions between domain I (containing the Walker motifs) and domain III

[48]. The Walker A domain is required for binding of ATP, while the B domain is necessary
for its hydrolysis. The RUVBL2(DN) Walker B mutant exerts a dominant negative effect over
the transcriptional co-repressor function of endogenous RUVBL2 in our experiments. This
indicates that the ATPase activity of RUVBL2 is essential for the oncogenic activity of c-MYB.
In this respect, it is important to note that normal hematopoiesis exhibits no such dependence
on RUVBL2 ATPase function.

355 Increased expression of RUVBL2 has been reported in a number of cancer types [8], and we previously found that its expression increased upon transformation of normal human 356 hematopoietic progenitors and remained elevated in AML cells [11]. Interestingly, high 357 RUVBL2 expression is found in a subset of t(4;11) infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia 358 expressing high levels of IRX1/2 and low levels of HOXA cluster genes [49]. This subset has a 359 significantly increased risk of relapse in comparison to the subset expressing high levels of 360 361 HOXA genes [49-51]. Since t(4;11) infant ALL can often switch lineages to AML, it would be important to examine whether high RUVBL2 expression was linked to such lineage switches. 362 RUVBL2 has been shown to regulate the transcriptional activity of numerous transcription 363 factors implicated in oncogenesis, including c-MYC, β-catenin and E2F1 [8, 10, 36]. The 364 relative importance of these interactions to maintenance of cancer cell transcriptional dystasis 365 is likely to vary according to cancer tissue type. The central role of c-MYB in AML 366 pathogenesis suggests that targeting its interaction with RUVBL2 represents a novel and 367 promising approach to AML therapy. 368

369 Acknowledgments The authors thank Ayad Eddaoudi and Stephanie Canning, UCL GOS ICH Flow Cytometry Facility, for providing assistance with flow cytometry, all the staff of the UCL 370 GOS ICH Western Laboratories for excellent animal husbandry. E.A.M. was supported by a 371 372 PhD studentship from Bloodwise (12068), L.Z. by a PhD studentship from the Medical Research Council (MRC DTG), and L.G. by a project grant from Children with Cancer UK 373 (14-169). M.R.M. was supported by a Bennett Fellowship from Bloodwise and National 374 Institute for Health Research University College London BRC Senior Clinical Research 375 Fellowship, and J.d.B. by a fellowship from the Alternative Hair Charitable Foundation and 376 377 Great Ormond Street Hospital Children's Charity. Research in the laboratory of J.H.A.M. was supported by KIKA, and O.W. (V1305, V2617) was supported by grants from the Great 378 Ormond Street Hospital Children's Charity. This research was supported by the NIHR Great 379 380 Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre.

Author contributions E.A.M., L.Z., L.G. and O.W. performed the experiments and data analysis. T.B. and K.P. performed the RNA-sequencing and ChIP-sequencing experiments, and J.H.A.M. analysed ChIP-sequencing data. O.W., J.d.B. and M.R.M. provided project leadership and supervised the research. E.A.M. and O.W. wrote the paper. All authors read, provided critical comments and approved the manuscript.

386 Compliance with ethical standards

387 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

388 **References**

| 389 | 1. | Pui CH, Carroll WL, Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ. Biology, risk stratification, and therapy of |
|-----|----|--|
| 390 | | pediatric acute leukemias: an update. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:551-565. |

- Bose P, Vachhani P, Cortes JE. Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid
 Leukemia. Curr Treat Options Oncol. 2017;18:17.
- Gonda TJ, Ramsay RG. Directly targeting transcriptional dysregulation in cancer. Nat
 Rev Cancer. 2015;15:686-694.
- Califano A, Alvarez MJ. The recurrent architecture of tumour initiation, progression and
 drug sensitivity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17:116-130.
- 397 5. Uttarkar S, Dasse E, Coulibaly A, Steinmann S, Jakobs A, Schomburg C, et al. Targeting
 398 acute myeloid leukemia with a small molecule inhibitor of the Myb/p300 interaction.
 399 Blood. 2016;127:1173-1182.
- 400 6. Ramaswamy K, Forbes L, Minuesa G, Gindin T, Brown F, Kharas MG, et al.
 401 Peptidomimetic blockade of MYB in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Commun. 2018;9:110.
- 402 7. Walf-Vorderwulbecke V, Pearce K, Brooks T, Hubank M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM,
- Zwaan CM, et al. Targeting acute myeloid leukemia by drug-induced c-MYB
 degradation. Leukemia. 2018;32:882-889.
- 405 8. Grigoletto A, Lestienne P, Rosenbaum J. The multifaceted proteins Reptin and Pontin as
 406 major players in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1815:147-157.
- 407 9. Wood MA, McMahon SB, Cole MD. An ATPase/helicase complex is an essential
 408 cofactor for oncogenic transformation by c-Myc. Mol Cell. 2000;5:321-330.

- Tarangelo A, Lo N, Teng R, Kim E, Le L, Watson D, et al. Recruitment of Pontin/Reptin
 by E2f1 amplifies E2f transcriptional response during cancer progression. Nat Commun.
 2015;6:10028.
- 412 11. Osaki H, Walf-Vorderwulbecke V, Mangolini M, Zhao L, Horton SJ, Morrone G, et al.
 413 The AAA+ ATPase RUVBL2 is a critical mediator of MLL-AF9 oncogenesis.
 414 Leukemia. 2013;27:1461-1468.
- Tzelepis K, Koike-Yusa H, De Braekeleer E, Li Y, Metzakopian E, Dovey OM, et al. A
 CRISPR Dropout Screen Identifies Genetic Vulnerabilities and Therapeutic Targets in
 Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cell Rep. 2016;17:1193-1205.
- Wang T, Yu H, Hughes NW, Liu B, Kendirli A, Klein K, et al. Gene Essentiality Profiling
 Reveals Gene Networks and Synthetic Lethal Interactions with Oncogenic Ras. Cell.
 2017;168:890-903 e815.
- 421 14. Mangolini M, de Boer J, Walf-Vorderwulbecke V, Pieters R, den Boer ML, Williams O.
 422 STAT3 mediates oncogenic addiction to TEL-AML1 in t(12;21) acute lymphoblastic
 423 leukemia. Blood. 2013;122:542-549.
- 424 15. Woodward MJ, de Boer J, Heidorn S, Hubank M, Kioussis D, Williams O, et al. Tnfaip8
 425 is an essential gene for the regulation of glucocorticoid-mediated apoptosis of
 426 thymocytes. Cell Death Differ. 2010;17:316-323.
- Venteicher AS, Meng Z, Mason PJ, Veenstra TD, Artandi SE. Identification of ATPases
 pontin and reptin as telomerase components essential for holoenzyme assembly. Cell.
 2008;132:945-957.
- 430 17. Demaison C, Parsley K, Brouns G, Scherr M, Battmer K, Kinnon C, et al. High-level
 431 transduction and gene expression in hematopoietic repopulating cells using a human

- 432 immunodeficiency [correction of imunodeficiency] virus type 1-based lentiviral vector
 433 containing an internal spleen focus forming virus promoter. Hum Gene Ther.
 434 2002;13:803-813.
- Horton SJ, Grier DG, McGonigle GJ, Thompson A, Morrow M, De Silva I, et al.
 Continuous MLL-ENL expression is necessary to establish a "Hox Code" and maintain
 immortalization of hematopoietic progenitor cells. Cancer Res. 2005;65:9245-9252.
- Horton SJ, Walf-Vorderwulbecke V, Chatters SJ, Sebire NJ, de Boer J, Williams O.
 Acute myeloid leukemia induced by MLL-ENL is cured by oncogene ablation despite
 acquisition of complex genetic abnormalities. Blood. 2009;113:4922-4929.
- 20. Schuhmacher M, Kohlhuber F, Holzel M, Kaiser C, Burtscher H, Jarsch M, et al. The
 transcriptional program of a human B cell line in response to Myc. Nucleic Acids Res.
 2001;29:397-406.
- Somervaille TC, Matheny CJ, Spencer GJ, Iwasaki M, Rinn JL, Witten DM, et al.
 Hierarchical maintenance of MLL myeloid leukemia stem cells employs a transcriptional
 program shared with embryonic rather than adult stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2009;4:129140.
- Zuber J, Rappaport AR, Luo W, Wang E, Chen C, Vaseva AV, et al. An integrated
 approach to dissecting oncogene addiction implicates a Myb-coordinated self-renewal
 program as essential for leukemia maintenance. Genes Dev. 2011;25:1628-1640.
- 451 23. Xu Y, Milazzo JP, Somerville TDD, Tarumoto Y, Huang YH, Ostrander EL, et al. A
 452 TFIID-SAGA Perturbation that Targets MYB and Suppresses Acute Myeloid Leukemia.
 453 Cancer Cell. 2018;33:13-28 e18.

- 454 24. Maiques-Diaz A, Spencer GJ, Lynch JT, Ciceri F, Williams EL, Amaral FMR, et al.
 455 Enhancer Activation by Pharmacologic Displacement of LSD1 from GFI1 Induces
 456 Differentiation in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cell Rep. 2018;22:3641-3659.
- 457 25. Suzuki H, Forrest AR, van Nimwegen E, Daub CO, Balwierz PJ, Irvine KM, et al. The
 458 transcriptional network that controls growth arrest and differentiation in a human
 459 myeloid leukemia cell line. Nat Genet. 2009;41:553-562.
- 460 26. Gu X, Ebrahem Q, Mahfouz RZ, Hasipek M, Enane F, Radivoyevitch T, et al.
 461 Leukemogenic nucleophosmin mutation disrupts the transcription factor hub regulating
 462 granulo-monocytic fates. J Clin Invest. 2018.
- 27. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based
 analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9:R137.
- 465 28. Mandoli A, Singh AA, Prange KHM, Tijchon E, Oerlemans M, Dirks R, et al. The
 466 Hematopoietic Transcription Factors RUNX1 and ERG Prevent AML1-ETO Oncogene
 467 Overexpression and Onset of the Apoptosis Program in t(8;21) AMLs. Cell Rep.
 468 2016;17:2087-2100.
- Prange KHM, Mandoli A, Kuznetsova T, Wang SY, Sotoca AM, Marneth AE, et al.
 MLL-AF9 and MLL-AF4 oncofusion proteins bind a distinct enhancer repertoire and
 target the RUNX1 program in 11q23 acute myeloid leukemia. Oncogene. 2017;36:33463356.
- 473 30. Hegde SP, Zhao J, Ashmun RA, Shapiro LH. c-Maf induces monocytic differentiation
 474 and apoptosis in bipotent myeloid progenitors. Blood. 1999;94:1578-1589.
- 475 31. Kelly LM, Englmeier U, Lafon I, Sieweke MH, Graf T. MafB is an inducer of monocytic
 476 differentiation. EMBO J. 2000;19:1987-1997.

- 477 32. Passeri D, Marcucci A, Rizzo G, Billi M, Panigada M, Leonardi L, et al. Btg2 enhances
 478 retinoic acid-induced differentiation by modulating histone H4 methylation and
 479 acetylation. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26:5023-5032.
- 480 33. Cho BO, Jeong YW, Kim SH, Park K, Lee JH, Kweon GR, et al. Up-regulation of the
- 481 BTG2 gene in TPA- or RA-treated HL-60 cell lines. Oncol Rep. 2008;19:633-637.
- 482 34. Ryu MS, Lee MS, Hong JW, Hahn TR, Moon E, Lim IK. TIS21/BTG2/PC3 is expressed
 483 through PKC-delta pathway and inhibits binding of cyclin B1-Cdc2 and its activity,
 484 independent of p53 expression. Exp Cell Res. 2004;299:159-170.
- 35. Zhao L, Glazov EA, Pattabiraman DR, Al-Owaidi F, Zhang P, Brown MA, et al.
 Integrated genome-wide chromatin occupancy and expression analyses identify key
 myeloid pro-differentiation transcription factors repressed by Myb. Nucleic Acids Res.
 2011;39:4664-4679.
- 489 36. Mao YQ, Houry WA. The Role of Pontin and Reptin in Cellular Physiology and Cancer
 490 Etiology. Front Mol Biosci. 2017;4:58.
- 37. Sasine JP, Schiller GJ. Emerging strategies for high-risk and relapsed/refractory acute
 myeloid leukemia: novel agents and approaches currently in clinical trials. Blood Rev.
 2015;29:1-9.
- 494 38. Greenblatt SM, Nimer SD. Chromatin modifiers and the promise of epigenetic therapy
 495 in acute leukemia. Leukemia. 2014;28:1396-1406.
- 39. Sykes DB, Kfoury YS, Mercier FE, Wawer MJ, Law JM, Haynes MK, et al. Inhibition
 of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase Overcomes Differentiation Blockade in Acute
 Myeloid Leukemia. Cell. 2016;167:171-186 e115.

| 499 | 40. | Tomaru Y, Simon C, Forrest AR, Miura H, Kubosaki A, Hayashizaki Y, et al. Regulatory |
|-----|-----|--|
| 500 | | interdependence of myeloid transcription factors revealed by Matrix RNAi analysis. |
| 501 | | Genome Biol. 2009;10:R121. |

- Kasper LH, Fukuyama T, Lerach S, Chang Y, Xu W, Wu S, et al. Genetic interaction
 between mutations in c-Myb and the KIX domains of CBP and p300 affects multiple
 blood cell lineages and influences both gene activation and repression. PLoS One.
 2013;8:e82684.
- 42. Yuniati L, Scheijen B, van der Meer LT, van Leeuwen FN. Tumor suppressors BTG1
 and BTG2: Beyond growth control. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234:5379-5389.
- 508 43. Zhang Y, Chen Q, Ross AC. Retinoic acid and tumor necrosis factor-alpha induced
 509 monocytic cell gene expression is regulated in part by induction of transcription factor
 510 MafB. Exp Cell Res. 2012;318:2407-2416.
- 44. Ramsay RG, Gonda TJ. MYB function in normal and cancer cells. Nat Rev Cancer.
 2008;8:523-534.
- 513 45. Pattabiraman DR, Gonda TJ. Role and potential for therapeutic targeting of MYB in
 514 leukemia. Leukemia. 2013;27:269-277.
- 46. Hess JL, Bittner CB, Zeisig DT, Bach C, Fuchs U, Borkhardt A, et al. c-Myb is an
 essential downstream target for homeobox-mediated transformation of hematopoietic
 cells. Blood. 2006;108:297-304.
- Jin S, Zhao H, Yi Y, Nakata Y, Kalota A, Gewirtz AM. c-Myb binds MLL through menin
 in human leukemia cells and is an important driver of MLL-associated leukemogenesis.
- 520 J Clin Invest. 2010;120:593-606.

| 521 | 48. | Matias PM, Gorynia S, Donner P, Carrondo MA. Crystal structure of the human AAA- |
|-----|-----|--|
| 522 | | protein RuvBL1. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:38918-38929. |

- 523 49. Trentin L, Giordan M, Dingermann T, Basso G, Te Kronnie G, Marschalek R. Two
 524 independent gene signatures in pediatric t(4;11) acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients.
 525 Eur J Haematol. 2009;83:406-419.
- 526 50. Stam RW, Schneider P, Hagelstein JA, van der Linden MH, Stumpel DJ, de Menezes
 527 RX, et al. Gene expression profiling-based dissection of MLL translocated and MLL
 528 germline acute lymphoblastic leukemia in infants. Blood. 2010;115:2835-2844.
- 529 51. Kang H, Wilson CS, Harvey RC, Chen IM, Murphy MH, Atlas SR, et al. Gene expression
 530 profiles predictive of outcome and age in infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a
 531 Children's Oncology Group study. Blood. 2012;119:1872-1881.

Fig. 1. Elimination of established AML following *RUVBL2* silencing. a Luminescence signal 533 in shSCR and shRUVBL2 THP1-LUC2 clones, prior to transplantation. b Western blot 534 analysis of RUVBL2 protein in shSCR and shRUVBL2 clones treated with doxycycline for 3 535 days, or left untreated. c Quantification of RUVBL2 expression in b. Data are normalised to 536 Actin loading control and to untreated shSCR and shRUVBL2 clones. d Bioluminescence 537 imaging examples of NSG recipient mice 10 days after injection with shSCR or shRUVBL2 538 THP1-LUC2 clones, and before doxycycline treatment, (day 10, top), and 21 days later, after 539 treatment with doxycycline (+DOX) or not (-DOX) (day 31, bottom). Bars for luminescence 540 signal represent photons/s/cm²/steradian. e Graph depicting AML progression, measured by 541 bioluminescence imaging, in untreated shSCR (black dashed lines, n = 3) and shRUVBL2 542 (black solid lines, n = 6) recipient mice or following doxycycline treatment in shSCR (red 543 544 dashed lines, n = 3) and shRUVBL2 (red solid lines, n = 6) mice. There was no significant difference in bioluminescence signal between groups subjected to doxycycline treatment and 545 those left untreated, at day 10 after transplantation, and before doxycycline treatment started 546 (P = 0.37 for shSCR and P = 0.58 for shRUVBL2 groups), unpaired Student's *t* test. **f** Survival 547 curve for recipient mice in e. Red line above curves indicates length of doxycycline treatment. 548 Survival curves were significantly different for doxycycline treated and untreated groups for 549 shRUVBL2 mice (P = 0.0009), but not shSCR mice (P = 0.23), logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. 550

Fig. 2. RUVBL2 inhibition impairs AML progression but not normal hematopoiesis. **a** Apoptosis induction in mouse MLL-ENL clones, inducibly expressing control (shSCR) or mouse *Ruvbl2*-specific (shRuvbl2) shRNA 6 days after doxycycline treatment. Bars and error bars represent means and SD of fold changes in apoptosis (annexin V positive cells) from three independent experiments. *P < 0.05; n.s. not significant (relative to untreated cells), one sample *t* test. **b** Survival curves for recipient mice transplanted with shSCR.1 (dashed lines) and

557 shRuvbl2.2 (solid lines) clones, untreated (black lines, n = 2 for shSCR.1 and n = 5 for shRuvbl2.2) or treated with doxycycline (red lines, n = 4 for shSCR.1 and n = 7 for 558 shRuvbl2.2). Red arrow indicates point at which doxycycline treatment started. P = 0.0002 for 559 560 doxycycline treated versus untreated shRuvbl2 mice, logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. c Apoptosis induction in inducible control (CON) and human RUVBL2(DN) mouse MLL-ENL clones 48 561 hours after doxycycline treatment. Bars and error bars represent means and SD of fold changes 562 in apoptosis from three independent experiments. *P < 0.05; n.s. not significant (relative to 563 untreated cells), one sample t test. d Survival curves for recipient mice transplanted with CON 564 565 (dashed lines) and RUVBL2(DN).1 (solid lines) clones, untreated [black lines, n = 3 for CON and n = 6 for RUVBL2(DN).1] or treated with doxycycline [red lines, n = 3 for CON and n =566 7 for RUVBL2(DN).1]. Red arrow indicates point at which doxycycline treatment started. P =567 568 0.0005 for doxycycline treated versus untreated RUVBL2(DN) mice, logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. e Percentages of total (hCD2⁺CD45.1⁺), myeloid (hCD2⁺CD45.1⁺CD11b⁺GR1⁺) and B 569 lymphoid (hCD2⁺CD45.1⁺CD19⁺B220⁺) transduced donor cells in the bone marrow and spleen 570 of recipient mice, four months after reconstitution with mouse HPC, transduced with control 571 (CON) or RUVBL2(DN) expressing lentiviral vectors. Bars and error bars are means and SD 572 of percentages from three control and five RUVBL2(DN) mice. n.s. = not significant, unpaired 573 Student's *t* test. 574

Fig. 3. Changes in gene expression profiles following *RUVBL2* silencing in AML cells. a
Western blot analysis of RUVBL2 protein expression (upper panel) following doxycycline
(DOX) treatment of THP1 cells transduced with inducible RUVBL2-specific shRNA
(shRUVBL2) or control shRNA (shSCR). GAPDH (lower panel) was used as a loading control.
b Quantification of RUVBL2 protein expression at days 2 and 4 after doxycycline treatment
of shRUVBL2 THP1 cells. Bars and error bars are means and SD of three (day 2) and five (day
independent experiments. Data are normalised to GAPDH loading control and to untreated

shRUVBL2 THP1 cells. ***P < 0.001, one sample t test. c, d Volcano plots of fold gene 582 expression changes in shRUVBL2 THP1 cells following treatment with doxycycline for c 2 583 and d 4 days. Expression changes greater than 2-fold and P < 0.05 are shown in red, Wald test. 584 e, f qRT-PCR validation of changes in BTG2, MAF and MAFB expression in THP1 cells 585 following RUVBL2 inhibition by e two independent shRNA or f RUVBL2(DN) over-586 expression. Gene expression 24 hours after the end of puromycin selection is shown, 587 normalised to e shSCR (SCR) or f empty vector (CON) transduced cells. Bars and error bars 588 are means and SD of e five, and f three, independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01589 590 (relative to controls), one sample *t* test.

591 Fig. 4. RUVBL2 binds c-MYB and maintains its transcriptional program in AML. a GSEA of c-MYB repressed (top) and activated (bottom) gene sets, as previously defined [7], in gene 592 expression changes in shRUVBL2 THP1 cells following 2 (left panels) and 4 (right panels) 593 594 days doxycycline treatment. b Table summarizing GSEA of c-MYB gene sets derived from shRNA [22], CRISPR-mediated [23] and peptidomimetic [6] c-MYB targeting in AML cells, 595 596 and of a myeloid differentiation gene set [24] derived from global gene expression changes following PMA treatment of THP1 cells [25] and a terminal monocyte differentiation program 597 [26]. c Western blot analysis of mouse IgG and anti-RUVBL2 immunoprecipitates from THP1 598 cells, following DSG cross-linking, stained with anti-c-MYB (top) and anti-RUVBL2 599 (bottom). d Western blot analysis of mouse IgG and anti-c-MYB immunoprecipitates from 600 HA-RUVBL2 expressing THP1 cells, following DSG cross-linking, stained with anti-HA (top) 601 and anti-c-MYB (bottom). Representative data c, d from one of three independent experiments. 602

Fig. 5. Loss of RUVBL2 results in increased binding of c-MYB to repressed target genes and
relieves their repression. a Heatmap showing ChIP signal for c-MYB and histone H3K27ac for
the dynamic c-MYB peaks (more than 2-fold changed) following shRNA mediated *RUVBL2*

silencing in THP1 cells. b Exemplar ChIP-Seq tracks for c-MYB peaks showing more than

606

607 1.5-fold (dashed arrows) and 2-fold (solid arrows) increased c-MYB binding (MYB UP) following RUVBL2 silencing. c Box plots showing ChIP-Seq signal for c-MYB and histone 608 H3K27ac for MYB UP peaks (>2-fold) with a significant H3K27ac signal (MYB UP 609 610 H3K27ac). H3K27ac signal increases significantly upon *RUVBL2* silencing, Mann–Whitney U test. d Venn diagram showing overlap between genes whose expression increased (>2-fold, 611 P < 0.05) in shRUVBL2 THP1 cells (RNA-Seq UP Day 4), following 4 days doxycycline 612 treatment, and genes with increased MYB binding following RUVBL2 silencing (>2-fold, 613 ChIP-Seq MYB UP). P-value obtained by hypergeometric test. e GSEA of the 36 genes, with 614 increased expression and MYB binding following RUVBL2 silencing, in previously reported 615 gene expression data from THP1 cells treated with PMA for 24 hours [25]. f Table summarising 616 617 GSEA of the 36 genes in previously reported gene expression data following siRNA knockdown [25], CRISPR-mediated [23] and peptidomimetic [6] targeting of c-MYB in AML 618 cells. 619









| | Day 2 | | Day 4 | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Genesets | NES | FDR q | NES | FDR q |
| Zuber shMYB UP | 1.99 | 0.00 | 2.38 | 0.00 |
| Zuber shMYB DN | -1.91 | 0.00 | -2.71 | 0.00 |
| Xu sgMYB UP | 2.59 | 0.00 | 3.05 | 0.00 |
| Xu sgMYB DN | -2.90 | 0.00 | -3.25 | 0.00 |
| Ramaswamy MYBMIM UP | 2.10 | 0.00 | 2.41 | 0.00 |
| Ramaswamy MYBMIM DN | -1.83 | 0.00 | -3.00 | 0.00 |
| Suzuki PMA induced | 2.43 | 0.00 | 2.67 | 0.00 |
| Suzuki PMA repressed | -2.34 | 0.00 | -2.59 | 0.00 |
| Gu Monocyte differentiation | 1.53 | 0.00 | 2.27 | 0.00 |







H3K27ac shSCR

MAFB 🗲

H3K27ac shRUVBL2

Suzuki siMYB

Xu sgMYB

1 100

1

MYB UP & RNA-Seq UP Gene expression NES FDR q 2.41 0.00 1.94 0.00 Ramaswamy MYBMIM 1.94 0.001