

eCREST – a novel online patient simulation resource to aid better diagnosis through developing clinical reasoning

Journal:	<i>BMJ Simulation & Technology Enhanced Learning</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Article Type:	In Practice reports
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Kassianos, Angelos; University College London, Applied Health Research Plackett, Ruth; University College London, Applied Health Research Schartau, Patricia; University College London, Applied Health Research Valerio, Christopher; UCL Medical School Hopwood, Jenny; UCL Medical School Kay, Natasha; University College London, Applied Health Research Mylan, Sophie; University College London, Primary Care and Population Health Sheringham, Jessica; University College London, Applied Health Research
Keywords:	Patient Simulations, Online, Clinical Decision Making, Diagnosis, Education, Medical, Undergraduate

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **TITLE: eCREST – a novel online patient simulation resource to aid better diagnosis through**
4 **developing clinical reasoning**

5
6 **In practice report for BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning**
7
8

9
10 **Authors:**

11 Kassianos Angelos P[#], Department of Applied Health Research, UCL, London, UK

12 angelos.kassianos@ucl.ac.uk

13
14 Plackett Ruth[#], Department of Applied Health Research, UCL, London, UK ruth.plackett.15@ucl.ac.uk

15
16 Schartau Patricia, Department of Applied Health Research, UCL, London, UK

17 patricia.schartau@nhs.net

18
19 Valerio Christopher, UCL Medical School, UCL, London, UK Christopher.valerio.09@ucl.ac.uk

20
21 Hopwood Jenny, UCL Medical School, UCL, London, UK j.hopwood@ucl.ac.uk

22
23 Kay Natasha, Department of Applied Health Research, UCL, London, UK natashakay83@gmail.com

24
25 Mylan Sophie, Department of Primary Care and Population Health, UCL, London, UK

26 s.mylan@ucl.ac.uk

27
28 Sheringham Jessica*, Department of Applied Health Research, UCL, London, UK

29 j.sheringham@ucl.ac.uk

30
31 # joint first author

32
33 *Corresponding author

34
35
36
37
38 **Word count: 973**

39
40
41
42 **Figure 1:** Screen grab of eCREST 'waiting room'

eCREST – a novel online patient simulation resource to aid better diagnosis through developing clinical reasoning

In this *In Practice* report we describe a novel educational resource using online patient simulations – the electronic Clinical Reasoning Educational Simulation Tool (eCREST). eCREST seeks to improve the quality of diagnoses from common respiratory symptoms seen in primary care by focusing on developing clinical reasoning skills. It has recently been tested with final year medical students in three UK medical schools. In response to interest we are exploring the use of eCREST to other medical schools in the UK and internationally and to other professional groups - and will conduct further evaluation.

BACKGROUND

The idea for eCREST arose following research using online patient simulations assessing how physicians make decisions about whether to investigate for cancer. This research found that general practitioners (GPs) made appropriate decisions when they had the relevant information they needed (i.e. including common, non-specific symptoms that were not initially volunteered by patients). In cases where they did not have essential information, they were less likely to investigate for possible cancer. In 40% of cases, however, GPs did not elicit this essential information.(1) If these patterns are seen in clinical practice, they could lead to delays in diagnosis of cancer.

To reduce diagnostic delays, the Institute of Medicine, amongst others, recommends the teaching of clinical reasoning should start in medical school, to equip future doctors with the skills necessary to elicit essential information .(2) Clinical reasoning can be broadly defined as the thought processes required to apply clinical knowledge to seek information, identify likely diagnoses and reach clinical decisions. Clinical reasoning teaching in medical schools often relies on exposure to real patients, for example during clinical placements.(3) There are several logistical and educational reasons to introduce online patient simulation as an adjunct to face-to-face patient contact. Organising learning with real patients is time and resource intensive, which may restrict provision of clinical reasoning teaching. In addition, the range of cases that students encounter during clinical placements is unpredictable, the quality of supervision and feedback may vary, and in a real consultation there is limited time for students to adequately reflect.(3)

We, therefore, set out to develop an online patient simulation resource for medical students to teach clinical reasoning. The resource, targeted at final-year medical students in UK medical schools, was co-developed with doctors-in-training, medical students, medical educators and experts in diagnostics, respiratory health, primary care and cancer.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE eCREST ONLINE PATIENT SIMULATION RESOURCE

eCREST's simulations seek to support an experience comparable to real clinical consultations. Patient cases were designed by clinicians (GP registrars) with input from clinical experts. They are typical of respiratory cases seen in primary care in which symptoms are vague and the diagnosis unclear. 'Patient' videos were produced using actors with input on the design from patients to enhance their authenticity. Just as in real consultations, students do not receive a score nor does the feedback provide a 'correct' diagnosis. Instead, students receive video feedback, tailored to their responses, presented by GP trainers or registrars. These professionals describe the thought processes they used to decide on likely and important diagnoses for each case. A key feature of eCREST, that distinguishes its simulated cases from clinical cases, is the interruption of simulated consultations with prompts to the student to review possible diagnoses, and reflect on what influenced their decisions. By facilitating students to further reflect on their decisions, eCREST targets the thought processes involved in clinical reasoning. It helps to mitigate the effects of three cognitive biases relevant to diagnostic errors: confirmation bias - the tendency to seek information to confirm a hypothesis rather than refute it; anchoring - the tendency to stick to an initial hypothesis despite new contradictory information and the unpacking principle – failure to elicit necessary information to make an informed judgement.(4)

As shown in Figure 1, in eCREST, the student acts as a junior doctor in primary care. Students begin a patient case by watching a short video of a patient describing their problem. They gather data from the patient by selecting questions, to which there is a video response from the patient. For each case 30-40 questions are available with no limit to the amount of questions students can ask. They can also access the patient's health record, and select to up to eight results from a range of physical examinations and bedside tests, displayed as text. eCREST regularly prompts students to review their diagnosis. They can change their differential diagnosis by adding, removing or re-ordering their diagnoses; and they must explain why they chose to change their diagnoses. At the end of each case students are asked to list their final diagnoses and explain why their choices changed, or not, throughout the consultation. They then choose how to manage their patient by selecting from a list of further tests and follow-up options.

EVALUATION AND NEXT STEPS

Three UK medical schools have recently taken part in a feasibility randomised controlled trial to assess acceptability to students and to inform a trial of the effectiveness of eCREST.(5) In the trial, eCREST was offered before or during clinical placements in primary care. Analysis is underway and feedback

1
2
3 from students was very positive, suggesting eCREST influenced their data gathering approach and
4 decision-making processes.
5

6
7 We have received interest in using or testing eCREST from other medical schools in the UK and
8 internationally and from other student groups, namely physician associates, and GPs in training. In
9 response, we joined the EDUCATE programme for promising educational technology projects to
10 develop opportunities for adoption and testing in more medical schools and with other student
11 groups. We are now seeking to explore collaboration opportunities with medical schools or other
12 organisations interested to use eCREST or exchange learning with others addressing similar questions
13 in educational research or practice.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 REFERENCES

- 22 1. Sheringham J, Sequeira R, Myles J, Hamilton W, McDonnell J, Offman J, et al. Variations in
23 GPs' decisions to investigate suspected lung cancer: a factorial experiment using multimedia
24 vignettes. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2017;26(6):449-59.
- 25 2. Institute of Medicine. *Improving Diagnosis in Health Care.* Balogh EP, Miller BT, Ball JR,
26 editors. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2015. 472 p.
- 27 3. Schmidt HG, Mamede S. How to improve the teaching of clinical reasoning: A narrative
28 review and a proposal. *Medical education.* 2015;49(10):961-73.
- 29 4. Norman GR, Eva KW. Diagnostic error and clinical reasoning. *Medical education.*
30 2010;44(1):94-100.
- 31 5. Sheringham J, Kassianos A, R Plackett. eCREST feasibility trial: A protocol. UCL DAHR website.
32 2019 [updated 3 April 2019; cited 2019 3 April 2019]. Available from:
33 https://www.ucl.ac.uk/dahr/research-pages/gp_study.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ETHICS

University ethics approval for the feasibility RCT was obtained from all participating medical schools:

- UCL Research Ethics Committee, ref: 9605/001 31st October 2016;
- Institute of Health Sciences Education review committee at Barts and The London medical school, ref: IHSEPRC-41 31st January 2017
- the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee at Norwich medical school University of East Anglia, ref: 2016/2017 – 99 21st October 2017.

The patient simulations are not real patients. They were created with actors. Any resemblance to real person living or deceased will be coincidence.

CONTRIBUTORS STATEMENT

- APK led the codevelopment of eCREST, managing site and content development. RP conducted evidence reviews to inform development design and content, and contributed to all elements of the development process.
- PS, NK, SM and JH devised the online patient simulated cases.
- SB and CV advised on the initial design of eCREST and how to maximise its value to medical students, commented on versions of eCREST during its development and facilitated recruitment of students at UCL.
- JS had the initial idea for the study.
- RP and JS produced the initial draft of the manuscript.
- All authors commented on drafts of the manuscript and agreed the decision to submit for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the excellent work of eCREST's web developers, Silver District, and to Dr Sarah Bennett, Senior Clinical Teaching Fellow, Admissions Tutor for MBBS and Deputy Academic Lead for MBBS Year 6 Curriculum and Assessment at UCL for her invaluable advice on the design of eCREST.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This research is part of the programme of the NIHR Policy Research Unit in Cancer Awareness, Screening and Early Diagnosis. The Policy Research Unit in Cancer Awareness, Screening, and Early Diagnosis receives funding for a research programme from the Department of Health Policy Research Programme. It is a collaboration between researchers from seven institutions (Queen Mary University of London, UCL, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, York University, University of Cambridge and University of Exeter).

JS was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care North Thames at Barts Health NHS Trust. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.


RP was funded by The Health Foundation Improvement Science PhD Studentship.

LICENCE STATEMENT

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Simulation & Technology Enhanced Learning and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



eCREST's virtual waiting room, showing the first patient selected.