

Gait speed as predictor of transition into cognitive impairment: Findings from three longitudinal studies on aging

Emiel O. **Hoogendijk**, PhD^a, Judith J.M. **Rijnhart**, MSc^a, Johan **Skoog**, MSc^b, Annie **Robitaille**, PhD^c, Ardo **van den Hout**, PhD^d, Luigi **Ferrucci**, MD, PhD^e, Martijn **Huisman**, PhD^{a,f}, Ingmar **Skoog**, MD, PhD^g, Andrea M. **Piccinin**, PhD^h, Scott M. **Hofer**, PhD^h, & Graciela **Muniz Terrera**, PhD^{h,i}

^aDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC - location VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^bDepartment of Psychology, Centre for Health and Ageing AGECAP, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

^cDépartement de Psychologie, Université du Québec, Montréal, QC, Canada

^dDepartment of Statistical Science, University College London, London, UK

^eNational Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland, US

^fDepartment of Sociology, VU University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^gInstitute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Centre for Health and Ageing AGECAP, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

^hDepartment of Psychology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

ⁱCentre for Dementia Prevention, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Corresponding Author: Emiel O. Hoogendijk, PhD, Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Amsterdam UMC – location VU University Medical Center, P.O. Box 7057, 1007MB Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Email: e.hoogendijk@amsterdamumc.nl, telephone: +31204443146.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Very few studies looking at slow gait speed as early marker of cognitive decline investigated the competing risk of death. The current study examines associations between slow gait speed and transitions between cognitive states and death in later life.

Methods: We performed a coordinated analysis of three longitudinal studies with 9 to 25 years of follow-up. Data were used from older adults participating in H70 (Sweden; n=441; aged ≥ 70 years), InCHIANTI (Italy; n=955; aged ≥ 65 years), and LASA (the Netherlands; n=2,824; aged ≥ 55 years). Cognitive states were distinguished using the Mini-Mental State Examination. Slow gait speed was defined as the lowest sex-specific quintile at baseline. Multistate models were performed, adjusted for age, sex and education.

Results: Most effect estimates pointed in the same direction, with slow gait speed predicting forward transitions. In two cohort studies, slow gait speed predicted transitioning from mild to severe cognitive impairment (InCHIANTI: HR=2.08, 95% CI=1.40-3.07; LASA: HR=1.33, 95% CI=1.01-1.75) and transitioning from a cognitively healthy state to death (H70: HR=3.30, 95% CI=1.74-6.28; LASA: HR=1.70, 95% CI=1.30-2.21).

Conclusions: Screening for slow gait speed may be useful for identifying older adults at risk of adverse outcomes such as cognitive decline and death. However, once in the stage of mild to severe cognitive impairment, slow gait speed does not seem to predict transitioning to death anymore.

Key words: Cognition; Dementia; Walking speed; Multistate modeling.

1. Introduction

In aging societies, the growing number of people with dementia comprise a major challenge for sustainability of healthcare systems.¹ There is also much individual burden for a person living with dementia, such as loss of healthy life years.² It is therefore paramount to better understand the cognitive aging process, and to increase our insights into risk factors for transitioning from a cognitively healthy state to cognitive impairment.

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in slowing gait speed as early indicator of cognitive impairment.^{3,4} The idea is that slowing gait may be related to cognitive decline through various underlying mechanisms, such as neurodegeneration, inflammation, and physical inactivity.^{5,6} It has also been suggested that slowing gait speed is an expression of motor decline in early dementia stages, as emphasized by recent literature on predementia syndromes such as the motoric cognitive risk syndrome.⁷ Evidence comes from cohort studies that demonstrate longitudinal associations between slow gait speed and cognitive decline or dementia,⁶ but also from brain imaging studies that show associations between Alzheimer`s disease pathology and decreased gait speed.⁸ However, very few studies looking at slow gait speed as early marker of cognitive decline have investigated the competing risk of death. The relationship between gait speed and mortality in older adults is well-established.⁹ Therefore, not taking into account the competing risk of death may result in overestimation of the effect of slow gait speed on cognitive decline.

Multistate models may be used to study transitions across health states and death simultaneously and to study the effect of risk factors on state transitions. To our knowledge, multistate modelling (MSM) has not been applied to study the role of gait speed in the prediction of transitions across cognitive states and death. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine associations between slow gait speed and transitions between cognitive states and death in later life. This was done through a coordinated MSM analysis using data from three longitudinal studies on aging.

2. Methods

2.1 Study populations

For this analysis, we used data from three longitudinal studies. See below for a brief description of each study. We included participants with valid baseline data on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), information on covariates (age, sex, education and gait speed), and at least two known states (MMSE state or death state). The three studies were conducted in line with the Declaration of Helsinki, and were approved by local medical ethics committees. All respondents or their legal representatives provided written informed consent.

2.1.1 H70

The H70 studies are multidisciplinary epidemiological cohort studies in older populations in Gothenburg, Sweden. Various cohorts with a baseline age of 70 have been followed over time. The H70 studies have been described in detail before.^{10,11} In the current study, we included data from the cohort born in 1930, with four measurement waves at ages 70, 75, 79, and 85 years. Of 604 participants at baseline, 441 respondents met the inclusion criteria, who provided 1,463 state observations.

2.1.2 InCHIANTI

The Invecchiare in Chianti, aging in the Chianti area (InCHIANTI) study is an ongoing longitudinal study from Italy that focuses on mobility decline and related factors in later life. Details on the sampling and design have been published before.¹² In the current study, we included data of people aged 65 and over at baseline (1998-2000) and three follow-up waves (2001–2003, 2004–2006, and 2007–2009). Of the 1,155 participants at baseline, we included 955 respondents with valid data in the final sample, who provided 3,386 state observations.

2.1.3 LASA

The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA) is an ongoing, multidisciplinary study among a representative sample of older adults aged 55 year and over in the Netherlands. Details on the LASA

sampling and methods have been published previously.¹³ In the current study, data from baseline in 1992-1993 and six follow-up waves (1995-1996, 1998-1999, 2001-2002, 2005-2006, 2008-2009, and 2011-2012) were included. Of 3,107 participants at baseline, there were 2,824 respondents with valid data, who provided 12,655 state observations.

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Cognitive functioning

We used MMSE to assess global cognitive functioning at baseline and at follow-up measurement waves.¹⁴ As in a previous publication, three states were distinguished: normal cognition (MMSE 27-30), mild impairment (MMSE 23-26), and severe impairment (MMSE \leq 22).¹⁵

2.2.2 Mortality

Mortality status, including date of death, was retrieved from municipality registers (InCHIANTI, LASA) or from a national death registration system (H70).

2.2.3 Covariates

Covariates in the analyses included age, sex, education (years), and slow gait speed. All covariates were measured at baseline, except for age (included as a time-varying variable). Gait speed assessment included maximum gait speed for 30-m indoors (H70), usual gait speed for 4-m (InCHIANTI), and gait speed for 6-m with turn at 3-m (LASA). Since gait speed measures varied across studies, it was not possible to use established cut-points for slow gait. Therefore, we applied the lowest quintile approach (sex-specific), which is validated in many frailty studies.¹⁶ Cut-points for H70 were \leq 1.77 m/sec for men and \leq 1.55 m/sec for women, for InCHIANTI \leq 0.93 m/sec for men and \leq 0.75 m/sec for women, and in LASA \leq 0.60 m/sec for both men and women.

2.3 Statistical analysis

We used a coordinated analysis approach, which implies running consistent analytical models across independent studies, using the same variables. MSM was used to assess transitions between cognitive states and death. These models allow for simultaneously analyzing transitions between health states and examining the association of covariates with these transitions. A four-state model was applied: state 1 was normal cognition, state 2 mild cognitive impairment, state 3 severe cognitive impairment, and state 4 was death as the absorbing state (Figure 1). Age, sex, education, and slow gait speed were included as covariates on all transitions modeled, except for gait speed in H70, that was excluded from the transition from state 2 to state 4 due to the low number of cases. We allowed backward transitions from mild cognitive impairment (state 2) to normal cognition (state 1), and included a misclassification model for InCHIANTI and LASA. This means that individuals were not allowed to transition from state 3 to state 2 without it being a result of a misclassification. The estimated misclassification probabilities were low (InCHIANTI = .18; LASA = .10). Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for state transitions were provided for slow gait speed. Multistate models were estimated using the MSM package in R.¹⁷

3. Results

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics for each cohort study. The mean age ranged from 70.3 years (LASA) to 75 years (InCHIANTI), and across all studies the majority of the sample was female. At baseline, no cognitive impairment was observed in 89% (H70), 42% (InCHIANTI), and 69.9% (LASA) of the respondents.

The results of the multistate models for the effect of slow gait speed are presented in Table 2, all adjusted for age, sex and educational level. In two studies, statistically significant associations were found between slow gait speed and transitioning from a cognitively healthy state to death (H70: HR=3.30, 95% CI=1.74-6.28; LASA: HR=1.70, 95% CI=1.30-2.21). Also in two studies, slow gait speed was associated with a higher risk of transitioning from mild to severe cognitive impairment (InCHIANTI: HR=2.08, 95% CI=1.40-3.07; LASA: HR=1.33, 95% CI=1.01-1.75). Only in the LASA study, slow gait speed was associated with a higher risk of transitioning from normal cognition to mild cognitive impairment (HR=1.23, 95% CI=1.00-1.50), and with transitions between mild cognitive

impairment and death (HR=1.56, 95% CI=1.06-2.29). Slow gait speed did not predict transitioning from severe cognitive impairment to death (state 3 to state 4) in any of the studies. The effects of slow gait speed on backward transitions from mild cognitive impairment to normal cognition were not statistically significant, but all pointed in the same direction (i.e., those with slow gait speed were less likely to transition from state 2 to state 1).

The effects of age and sex (results not shown) in the multistate models were as expected across all studies: a higher age is associated with forward transitions, and males are more likely to die sooner.

4. Discussion

In this coordinated analysis of three longitudinal studies on aging, we examined associations between slow gait speed and transitions between cognitive states and death. We found in two studies that slow gait speed predicted transitioning from mild to severe cognitive impairment. Our results also revealed that slow gait speed is associated with mortality, but mainly in people without cognitive impairment. These results suggest that screening for slow gait speed may be useful for identifying older adults at risk of adverse outcomes such as cognitive decline and death, but only when older adults are still cognitively healthy, or at an early stage of cognitive impairment.

The increasing interest in slow gait speed as an early indicator of cognitive decline is understandable.^{4-6,18,19,20} Gait speed is easy to measure, and there are plausible links between cognition and motor functioning.³ Previous studies on the associations between slowing gait and cognitive decline or dementia observed similar results compared to our study. One study observed that a decline in gait speed precedes cognitive decline.¹⁸ Another study found that slowing gait may already be present 12 years prior to the development of mild cognitive impairment.²¹ Previous studies did, however, not take into account the competing risk of death.^{4,6}

The finding that slow gait speed was not related to transitioning to death once in the stages of mild to severe cognitive impairment is novel, and suggests that slow gait speed is not the most useful tool to identify older adults at risk when cognitive decline already has started. Further research is

needed on the underlying causes and mechanisms of age-related decline in gait speed, and the extent to which these mechanisms differ when it concerns the prediction of cognitive decline or death.

This was one of the first studies to investigate the impact of slow gait speed on cognition and death simultaneously. Strengths of the study include the coordinated analysis that allowed for replication of results across several studies, the inclusion of a large number of state observations from three cohort studies on aging, and the robust analytical approach (MSM). Although the three included cohort studies differed substantially in terms of sample size, gait speed measures and baseline distributions in cognitive functioning, most effect estimates pointed in the same direction. Therefore, our study provides a better insight into generalizability of results compared to studies that only include data from one sample.

The study also has some limitations. First, we used the MMSE to determine cognitive status, but it should be noted that the MMSE only gives an indication of mild cognitive impairment and dementia, and that it is not the same as clinical diagnosis. Another limitation is that we assessed gait speed only at baseline. Since previous research has shown that the trajectory of gait speed preceding cognitive decline is important to consider, time-varying gait speed measures may be considered in future MSM studies.²¹ Unfortunately, we did not have time-varying gait speed measures available in all of the cohorts included in the current study. However, at the same time, gait speed measurement at one time-point may better align with the clinical setting, where it is often not feasible to track gait speed changes of patients over an extended time period. Finally, gait speed measures differed across studies. For the current study, this was overcome by applying the lowest quintile approach to define slow gait.¹⁶ However, a more standardized approach would be needed to obtain cut-points that could be used in clinical practice.

5. Conclusions

This coordinated analysis provided partial evidence for the role of slow gait speed as early indicator of cognitive decline and death. In two out of three studies, slow gait speed was a predictor of transitioning from mild to severe cognitive impairment, as well as a predictor of the transition to death

in cognitively healthy people. However, once in the stage of mild to severe cognitive impairment, slow gait speed does not seem to predict transitioning to death anymore.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the U.S. National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number P01AG043362, Integrative Analysis of Longitudinal Studies of Aging and Dementia. This study was in part funded by the Intramural Research Program at the National Institute on Aging. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. H70 was funded by the Swedish Research Council 2015-02830, Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (2010-0870, 2013-1202, 2013-2300, 2013-2496, 2013-0475, 2018-00471), Hjärnfonden, Alzheimerfonden, The Alzheimer's Association Stephanie B. Overstreet Scholars (IIRG-00-2159), the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the county councils, the ALF-agreement (ALF 716681). The InCHIANTI study baseline (1998–2000) was funded by the Italian Ministry of Health (ICS110.1/RF97.71) and in part by the U.S. National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, Maryland (contracts 236 MD 916413 and 236 MD 821336). The InCHIANTI follow-up 1 (2001–2003) was funded by the U.S. National Institute on Aging (Contracts: N.1-AG-1-1 and N.1-AG-1-2111); the InCHIANTI follow-up 2 and 3 studies (2004–2010) were financed by the U.S. National Institute on Aging (Contract: N01-AG-5-0002). The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA) is largely supported by a grant from the Netherlands Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports, Directorate of Long-Term Care. Emiel O. Hoogendijk was supported by an NWO/ZonMw Veni fellowship [grant number 91618067].

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Shah H, Albanese E, Duggan C, et al. Research priorities to reduce the global burden of dementia by 2025. *Lancet Neurol* 2016;15:1285-1294.
2. Murray CJ, Richards MA, Newton JN, et al. UK health performance: findings of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2013;381:997-1020.
3. Rosano C, Snitz BE. Predicting dementia from decline in gait speed: are we there yet? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:1659-1660.
4. Peel NM, Alapatt LJ, Jones LV, Hubbard RE. The association between gait speed and cognitive status in community-dwelling older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2019;74:943-948.
5. Hackett RA, Davies-Kershaw H, Cadar D, et al. Walking speed, cognitive function, and dementia risk in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:1670-1675.
6. Quan M, Xun P, Chen C, et al. Walking pace and the risk of cognitive decline and dementia in elderly populations: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2017;72:266-270.
7. Verghese J, Ayers E, Barzilai N, et al. Motoric cognitive risk syndrome: multicenter incidence study. *Neurology* 2014;83:2278-2284.
8. Del Campo N, Payoux P, Djilali A, et al. Relationship of regional brain beta-amyloid to gait speed. *Neurology* 2016;86:36-43.
9. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. *JAMA* 2011;305:50-58.
10. Rydberg Sterner T, Ahlner F, Blennow K, et al. The Gothenburg H70 Birth cohort study 2014-16: design, methods and study population. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2019;34:191-209.
11. Skoog J, Jonsson H, Sigstrom R, et al. Do later-born birth cohorts of septuagenarians sleep better? A prospective population-based study of two birth cohorts of 70-year-olds. *Sleep* 2019;42. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsy204

12. Ferrucci L, Bandinelli S, Benvenuti E, et al. Subsystems contributing to the decline in ability to walk: bridging the gap between epidemiology and geriatric practice in the InCHIANTI study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:1618-1625.
13. Hoogendijk EO, Deeg DJ, Poppelaars J, et al. The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam: cohort update 2016 and major findings. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2016;31:927-945.
14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189-198.
15. Robitaille A, van den Hout A, Machado RJM, et al. Transitions across cognitive states and death among older adults in relation to education: a multistate survival model using data from six longitudinal studies. *Alzh Dementia* 2018;14:462-472.
16. Saum KU, Muller H, Stegmaier C, et al. Development and evaluation of a modification of the Fried frailty criteria using population-independent cutpoints. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60:2110-2115.
17. Jackson CH. Multi-state models for panel data: the msm package for R. *J Stat Softw* 2011;38:1-29.
18. Best JR, Liu-Ambrose T, Boudreau RM, et al. An evaluation of the longitudinal, bidirectional associations between gait speed and cognition in older women and men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2016;71:1616-1623.
19. Montero-Odasso M, Speechley M, Muir-Hunter SW, et al. Motor and cognitive trajectories before dementia: results from Gait and Brain Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:1676-1683.
20. Beauchet O, Annweiler C, Callisaya ML, et al. Poor gait performance and prediction of dementia: Results from a meta-analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2016;6:482-490.
21. Buracchio T, Dodge HH, Howieson D, et al. The trajectory of gait speed preceding mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Neurol* 2010;67:980-986.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics for each study

	H70	InCHIANTI	LASA
	(n = 441)	(n = 955)	(n = 2,824)
Country	Sweden	Italy	The Netherlands
Age, mean (SD)	70.6 (0.66)	75.0 (7.1)	70.3 (8.7)
Sex (female), n (%)	247 (56.0)	527 (55.2)	1,447 (51.2)
Education (years), mean (SD)	10.3 (4.5)	5.4 (3.3)	8.8 (3.3)
Gait speed (m/sec), mean (SD)	1.9 (0.4)	1.03 (0.3)	0.82 (0.3)
Gait speed ^a			
Slow, n (%)	94 (21.3)	198 (20.7)	595 (21.1)
Normal, n (%)	347 (78.7)	757 (79.3)	2,229 (78.9)
MMSE – n (%)			
No impairment	393 (89.1)	401 (42.0)	1,975 (69.9)
Mild impairment	46 (10.4)	371 (38.8)	690 (24.4)
Moderate to severe impairment	2 (0.5)	183 (19.2)	159 (5.6)

^aSlow gait, based on lowest quintile, sex-specific

Table 2. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of slow gait speed on state transitions

	Slow gait speed (lowest quintile, sex specific)		
	H70	InCHIANTI	LASA
Transitions	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
State 1 – State 2	1.36 (0.70-2.68)	1.00 (0.63-1.60)	1.23 (1.00-1.50)*
State 1 – State 4	3.30 (1.74-6.28)*	1.68 (0.61-4.61)	1.70 (1.30-2.21)*
State 2 – State 1	0.85 (0.29-2.48)	0.71 (0.42-1.20)	0.85 (0.65-1.10)
State 2 – State 3	1.06 (0.49-2.28)	2.08 (1.40-3.07)*	1.33 (1.01-1.75)*
State 2 – State 4	--	0.97 (0.19-5.09)	1.56 (1.06-2.29)*
State 3 – State 4	0.56 (0.24-1.30)	1.39 (0.97-1.97)	1.12 (0.91-1.37)

All multistate models contained age, sex and educational level as covariates; State 1 = normal cognition, State 2 = mild cognitive impairment, State 3 = severe cognitive impairment, State 4 = death; HR = hazard ratio, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval

*Statistically significant hazard ratio (p<0.05)

Figure 1. Design: four-state model

