Epilepsy Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Among Primary Health Care Providers in an Indian District.

Richa Buddhiraja¹; Sarit Sharma²; Suman Sharma¹; Rajnder K. Bansal³; Raj K. Setia⁴; Namita Bansal¹; Anuraag Chowdhury²; Jatinder S. Goraya⁵; Sukhpreet Kaur¹; Manpreet Kaur¹; Shivani Kalra⁶; Josemir W. Sander^{7,8,9}; Gagandeep Singh^{1,2,8}

The ¹Research & Development Unit, Departments of ²Social & Preventive Medicine, ³ Neurology, and ⁵Paediatrics and ⁶College of Nursing, Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana, India; ⁴Punjab Remote Sensing Centre, Ludhiana, India; ⁷NIHR University College London Hospitals, ⁸Department of Clinical & Experimental Epilepsy, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 3BG, and ⁸Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Chalfont St Peter SL9 ORJ, United Kingdom; ⁹Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland (SEIN), Heemstede 2103 SW, Netherlands.

Word count (Abstract): 183 Word count (Text): 1659 Number of tables: 2 Number of illustrations: 1

Address for Correspondence: Professor Gagandeep Singh, DM, FRCP, FAMS Department of Neurology Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana, 141001, Punjab, India E mail: g.singh@ucl.ac.uk

Epilepsy KAP in primary care

Buddhiraja et al

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Scaling up the involvement of primary care providers in epilepsy management in low and middle income countries requires an understanding of their epilepsy knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP). *Aim*: To document levels of knowledge about, attitudes towards and practices regarding epilepsy among different ranks of primary health care providers in a North-Western Indian district. *Methods*: The survey included government medical officers, auxiliary nurse midwives and accredited social health activists. They were administered a specially designed KAP questionnaire. Responses were analysed according to rank. *Results*: The survey showed that nearly ten percent of auxiliary nurse midwives and almost a fifth of accredited social health activists had never heard about epilepsy. A quarter of medical officers and over two thirds of auxiliary nurse midwife and accredited social health activists had never provided care to someone with epilepsy. There were significant differences in the levels of knowledge between the three groups of workers. *Conclusions*: Closing the huge gaps in KAP by educating primary care and community health workers about epilepsy should be a priority before engaging them in the epilepsy care delivery.

Key Words: Epilepsy; Accredited Social Health Activists; Auxiliary Nurse Midwives; Medical Education.

Introduction

Epilepsy affects almost 50 million people worldwide.^{1,2} Nearly 80% of people with epilepsy are based in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) which lack the capacity to provide treatment to the majority of them.³ There are no organized programs to deal with epilepsy at a national level in most LMICs.⁴ Capacity building should therefore be a priority in these countries.

Scaling up epilepsy management at a national level requires several measures on the supply side. Ensuring the continuous supply of affordable antiseizure medications (ASMs) and availability of trained health care professionals are two critical supply-side issues requiring attention. There are few specialists available to manage epilepsy in LMICs.⁵ The World Health Organization (WHO) survey estimates up to 0.3 neurologists/100,000 people in the African and Southeast Asian regions in comparison to 9 /100,000 in Europe.⁵ Whereas nearly all countries report the availability of neurologists in capital cities, access to neurological care in non-capital cities and even more so in rural areas is trifling.

Epilepsy can be mostly managed by using simplified regimens of ASMs on the WHO essential list.⁶ To cope with the lack of specialists, WHO encourages the involvement of primary healthcare providers in LMICs. Primary level physicians provide care for epilepsy in 91%, and primary care nurses are involved in neurological care in 86% of African and SEARO countries.^{3,5} Efforts to train primary care workers in epilepsy would be rewarding in terms of community level outcomes. It is essential, however, to assess baseline levels of knowledge of, attitudes towards and practices regarding (KAP) epilepsy among various ranks off the primary healthcare workforce before commencement of training.⁷⁻¹⁰ We report our assessment of KAP in different ranks of the primary healthcare providers and of educating

them in recognising and managing epilepsy. Our aim was to determine gaps in knowledge to guide future educational interventions.

Material and Methods

Responses to a self-administered KAP questionnaire were gathered from primary healthcare providers working in Ludhiana in Northwest India. The area has a population of 3.2 million served by 97 government medical officers (MOs), 270 auxiliary nurse midwives (ANMs) and 575 accredited social health activists (ASHA) workers. The District Health Appropriate Authority has segregated the district in to: (1) urban and peri-urban areas and (2) rural areas. The former is further divided in to nine zones based on immunization practices (Fig. 1). Only personnel serving urban (n=203 ASHAs) and peri-urban rural areas (n=73 ASHAs) were recruited for the survey. Those from villages outside the urban limits were not recruited for logistic reasons.

<u>Respondents</u>

Medical officers are medically qualified government employees. The ANMs secure a community-oriented, 3 years nursing diploma, and each caters to about 5000 people in relation to maternal and child health but also few other primary care issues. The ASHAs are secondary school qualified, live in the community where they are active and each caters for the primary healthcare needs of about 1000 people. Respondents were stratified in three groups according to rank: MOs, ANMs and ASHAs.

Instrument Development

Items for the questionnaire were initially scripted by three experts, who then excluded duplicates and formulated the final, 34 item questionnaire by consensus. Responses to all items were set up as "Yes", "No" and "Not sure". The questionnaire was translated to

Punjabi and then back-translated to English for correspondence assessment. It comprised five sections that sought demographic details, knowledge about (15 questions), awareness of (5 questions), practices regarding (5 questions) and attitudes towards (10 questions) epilepsy. Five different sets of the questionnaire were assembled by shuffling the order of questions and were distributed to respondents. Before administration to respondents, it was also piloted in 20 Asha's mainly for assessing linguistic comprehensibility, cultural acceptability, test-retest reliability and internal consistency using Cronbach's' alpha.

Recruitment

Respondents were contacted through the District Chief Medical Officer. The ANMs and ASHAs were reimbursed for travel. The questionnaire was administered shortly before halfday continuing epilepsy education programs for each of the three groups. The educational programs were based on the WHO mental health GAP curriculum and their content varied according to competency of the three groups.^{11,12}

Data collection and analysis

Responses were double-entered in to Microsoft Excel (ver. 2013) and transferred to Stata (Ver. 12, STATACORP, Texas, USA) for analysis. Descriptive analysis of responses to each of the items in the questionnaire was first undertaken followed by comparison of the three groups (medical officers, ANMs and ASHAs using the Chi Square test. Continuous variables among the three groups were compared using ANOVA. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 421 workers (ASHAs: 276, all females; ANMs: 120, all females; medical officers: 25, 14 females) completed the questionnaire. The computed test-retest reliability was 0.85 and Cronbach's alpha based on standardised items was 0.85.

Eleven (9.2%) ANMs and 65 (23.6%) ASHAs had never heard about epilepsy (Table 2). Four MOs (16%), 38 (31.7%) ANMs and 96 (34.8%) ASHAs had never witnessed a seizure. Six (24%) MOs, 89 (74.2%) ANMs and 225 (81.5%) ASHAs had never provided professional care to someone with epilepsy.

Risk factors for epilepsy

More ASHAs than ANMs and more ANMs than MOs were unaware that brain injury, tumours and genetic factors were causally associated with epilepsy (P=0.001), which was believed to be a form of mental illness by 4 (16%) MOs, 24 (20%) ANMs and 113 (40.9%) ASHAs (P=0.001) (Table 2).

Recognition of epileptic seizures and epilepsy

The ASHAs (n=185; 67%) most of all, but also ANMs (n=74; 61.7%) and MOs (n=10; 40%) believed that purely focal seizures were invariably associated with loss of consciousness (Table 1) (P=0.001). Likewise, 198 (71.7%) ASHAs, 90 (75%) ANMs and 10 (40%) MOs were unaware or unsure whether an aura was the equivalent of a focal seizure.

Management of epilepsy

The implication of proper medication adherence was not understood by 49 (17.8%) ASHAs, 24 (20%) ANMs and two (8%) MOs. Conversely, 132 (47.85%) ASHAs, 33 (27.5%) MO supported the use of indigenous (Ayurvedic) medications in epilepsy treatment.

Seizure first-aid

More ASHAs and ANMs than MOs lacked knowledge of appropriate seizure first-aid measures (Table 2). For example, 158 (57.2%) ASHAs, 31 (25.8%) ANMs and one (4%) MO endorsed the tradition of making people to smell shoes to abort their seizures.

Social attitudes and practices

A proportion of ASHAs (8% and 11% respectively) and ANMs (8% and 2% respectively) believed that people with epilepsy should not go to school or be employed. Many respondents (n=36; 8.6%) also believed that people with epilepsy should not get married and 114 (including 85 ASHAs [30.8%], 20 ANMs [16.7%] and 9MOs [36%]) would object to their family members getting married to someone with epilepsy.

Discussion

KAP assessments in primary healthcare workers are rare and only a few have been reported from LMICs.⁸⁻¹⁰ We evaluated epilepsy KAP across a range of primary health carers in a resource-poor setting. Training primary care workers in epilepsy management is of overriding importance in such settings and this has to be practical and customized to the level of professional competence and roles of different personnel. Formalized programs for such trainings are unavailable. The WHO's mental health GAP (mhGAP) is an universally applicable framework for this purpose. It needs, however, to be adapted to local needs as well as levels of understanding of, and familiarity with epilepsy among the community and primary care workforce.¹¹⁻¹⁶ An analysis of findings of our survey and of similar surveys might suitably guide the adaptation exercise. Our results suggest that the majority of the primary healthcare providers including a quarter of physicians had never provided any form

of epilepsy care. A quarter of the paramedics had never witnessed a seizure. These observations bear out the low levels of epilepsy sensitization among the respondents. Clearly, training programs for primary care health workers need to cover a large ground to impart core competency in epilepsy.

Despite the limitation of various biases associated with KAP responses^{17,18}, we see differences in the levels of knowledge and understanding of the ASHAs, ANMs and MOs. These differences are unsurprising in view of their disparate levels of training and education as well the scope of their roles in health care provision. While ASHAs work in the community towards improving health awareness, facilitating access to health care, and in some cases, maintaining and supplying medications in the community^{19,20}, the ANMs are primary health centre-based and oriented towards maternal and child care.²¹ The scope and roles and responsibilities of community health workers, however, varies across LMICs.

Epilepsy is well placed within the framework of the roles of ASHAs who are suited to create awareness in the community about epilepsy and the social issues that come with the condition.^{19,20} They can encourage unwilling and non-adherent individuals in the community to seek care and could also act as providers/depot-holders of certain essential ASMs. They act as links between the community and health care facilities. Epilepsy with its health and social ramifications is a likely to benefit from their involvement. Conversely, ANMs oriented towards maternal and child healthcare could be involved in epilepsy management during and after pregnancy.

Perusal of the course curricula of the ASHAs and ANMs, however, found only a fleeting mention of epilepsy in the non-communicable disorders module for the ASHAs and none for the ANMs.²² This underscores the need to incorporate elements of epilepsy management, especially seizure first-aid, adherence reinforcement and social edification in the ASHA

training program. Given the orientation of ANM training towards maternal and child healthcare, elements of epilepsy management, particularly, women's issues should be added to their curricula.

Our analysis is constrained by the limited socio-demographic information regarding the research participants, analysis of which might have provided useful insights. Future initiatives will involve analyses of the impacts of the educational intervention both immediately and in the long-term as these data would likely provide an understanding of the feasibility of involvement of various cadres of primary care in epilepsy management.

How do our findings compare with, and how do these add to our previous understanding based on other epilepsy KAP surveys on healthcare workers in LMICs?^{7-10,23} Differences in make-up of the study populations, items in the questionnaires and methods employed preclude comparison between previous studies and ours. The findings, nevertheless, alongside those from other studies represent the breadth and depth of shortcomings that will need to be addressed if the primary care workforce is to be involved in epilepsy care to bridge treatment gap at a global level.

Epilepsy KAP in primary care

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by an *Ad-hoc* grant from the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). The authors are grateful to Dr. Kurupath Radhakrishnan, Advisor, Neurology to the ICMR, Dr. Meenakshi Sharma, Scientist E, Non-communicable Diseases Division, ICMR, New Delhi and Dr. Geetha Menon, National Institute of Medical Statistics, ICMR, New Delhi for helpful suggestions in planning and implementing the study; and the Department of Health, Government of Punjab State, India and the Office of the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana District for logistic support. A number of ASHA workers from the Health Department helped in the study. JWS is based at UCLH/UCL Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, which receives a proportion of funding from the UK Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centers funding scheme. He receives support from the Dr. Marvin Weil Epilepsy Research Fund, the Christelijke Verenigingvoor de Verpleging van Lijdersaan Epilepsie, Netherlands, and UK Epilepsy Society.

Authors' statement: We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in relation to this work.

Financial support

The study was funded by an *Ad hoc* grant no. No:5/4-5/127/Neuro/2013-NCD-I of the Indian Council of Medical Research.

References

1. Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2014; **55**(4): 475-82.

 Collaborators GBDE. Global, regional, and national burden of epilepsy, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet Neurology* 2019; **18**(4): 357-75.

3. Organization WH. Atlas: Epilepsy care in the world 20052005.

http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/Epilepsy_atlas_r1.pdf (accessed 15.10.2017).

4. Dua T, de Boer HM, Prilipko LL, Saxena S. Epilepsy Care in the World: results of an

ILAE/IBE/WHO Global Campaign Against Epilepsy survey. *Epilepsia* 2006; **47**(7): 1225-31.

5. Organization WH. Atlas: Country resources for neurological disorders 20042004.

http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/neurogy_atlas_lr.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 20.10.2017).

6. Watila MM, Keezer MR, Angwafor SA, Winkler AS, Sander JW. Health service provision for people with epilepsy in sub-Saharan Africa: A situational review. *Epilepsy & behavior : E&B* 2017; **70**(Pt A): 24-32.

Harimanana A, Chivorakul P, Souvong V, Preux PM, Barennes H. Is insufficient knowledge of epilepsy the reason for low levels of healthcare in the Lao PDR? *BMC health services research* 2013;
13: 41.

Chomba EN, Haworth A, Atadzhanov M, Mbewe E, Birbeck GL. Zambian health care workers' knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and practices regarding epilepsy. *Epilepsy & behavior : E&B* 2007;
 10(1): 111-9.

9. Giuliano L, Cicero CE, Padilla S, et al. Knowledge and attitudes towards epilepsy among nonmedical health workers in rural Bolivia: Results after a long-term activity in the Chaco region. *Epilepsy & behavior : E&B* 2018; **85**: 58-63.

10. Giuliano L, Cicero CE, Padilla S, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards epilepsy among general practitioners in rural Bolivia: Results before and after a training program on epilepsy. *Epilepsy & behavior : E&B* 2018; **83**: 113-8.

11. Update of the Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) Guidelines for Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Disorders, 2015. Geneva; 2015.

 mhGAP Intervention Guide for Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Disorders in Non-Specialized Health Settings: Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP): Version 20. Geneva;
 2016.

13. Humayun A, Haq I, Khan FR, Azad N, Khan MM, Weissbecker I. Implementing mhGAP training to strengthen existing services for an internally displaced population in Pakistan. *Global mental health* 2017; **4**: e6.

14. Keynejad RC, Dua T, Barbui C, Thornicroft G. WHO Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) Intervention Guide: a systematic review of evidence from low and middle-income countries. *Evidence-based mental health* 2018; **21**(1): 30-4.

15. Musyimi CW, Mutiso VN, Haji ZR, Nandoya ES, Ndetei DM. Mobile Based mhGAP-IG Depression Screening in Kenya. *Community mental health journal* 2018; **54**(1): 84-91.

16. Mutiso VN, Gitonga I, Musau A, et al. A step-wise community engagement and capacity building model prior to implementation of mhGAP-IG in a low- and middle-income country: a case study of Makueni County, Kenya. *International journal of mental health systems* 2018; **12**: 57.

17. Bard G, Weinstein Y. The effect of question order on evaluations of test performance: Can the bias dissolve? *Quarterly journal of experimental psychology* 2017; **70**(10): 2130-40.

18. Mondal H, Mondal S. Social desirability bias: A confounding factor to consider in survey by self-administered questionnaire. *Indian journal of pharmacology* 2018; **50**(3): 143-4.

19. Saprii L, Richards E, Kokho P, Theobald S. Community health workers in rural India: analysing the opportunities and challenges Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) face in realising their multiple roles. *Human resources for health* 2015; **13**: 95.

20. Mission NH. Update on ASHA program. 2017.

http://nhsrcindia.org/sites/default/files/Update%20on%20ASHA%20Programme-%20January-2017.pdf (accessed 21.12.2018 2018).

21. Sharma AK. National rural health mission: time to take stock. *Indian journal of community*

medicine : official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine 2009; 34(3): 175-

82.

22. Mission NH. Module for ASHA on non-communicable diseases. 2018.

http://nhsrcindia.org/sites/default/files/Module%20for%20ASHA%20on%20NCDs-English.pdf

(accessed 21.12.2018.

23. Njamnshi AK, Tabah EN, Bissek AC, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices with respect to epilepsy among student nurses and laboratory assistants in the South West Region of Cameroon. *Epilepsy & behavior : E&B* 2010; **17**(3): 381-8.

FIGURE LEGEND

Fig. 1. Geographic map of district showing the distribution of the primary health centers at

which the ASHAs and ANMs were located.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the respondent groups.

	ASHA (n=276)	ANM (n=120)	MO (n=25)	Statistical significance (P)	
Age (Years)					
Mean ± SD	35± 6	41±11	46±11	0.0001	
Range	22-55	22-58	24-60		
Gender (females)	276 (100%)	120 (100%)	14 (54.9%)	0.0001	
Education					
Illiterate	8 (2.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
Primary school	153 (55.4%)	56 (46.7%)	0 (0%)		
Secondary school	84 (30.4%)	50 (41.7%)	0 (0%)	0.0001	
Graduate and above	31 (11.2%)	14 (11.7%)	25 (100%)		

Group p-value ASHA ANM мо Not 7 (2.5%) 4 (3.3%) 0 (0%) A1. Have you attempted 0.000 ever provided 19 (76%) Yes 43 (15.6%) 27 (22.5%) professional care to someone No 225 (81.5%) 89 (74.2%) 6 (24%) with epilepsy? 0 (0.0%) Not sure 1 (0.4%) 0 (0.0%) Not A2. Have you 6 (2.2%) 0 (0%) 1 (4%) attempted ever witnessed 0.137 Yes 174 (63%) 82 (68.3%) 20 (80%) an epileptic seizure? 96 (34.8%) No 38 (31.7%) 4 (16%) Not 6 (2.2%) 2 (1.7%) 1 (4%) attempted A3. Do you Yes 174 (40.6%) 40 (33.3%) 18 (72%) 0.004 know someone with epilepsy? No 158 (57.2%) 76 (63.3%) 6 (24%) Not sure 0 (0.0%) 2 (1.7%) 0 (0.0%) Not 5 (1.8%) 2 (1.7%) 2 (8%) attempted A4. Have you ever heard or Yes 205 (74.3%) 107 (89.2%) 23 (92%) 0.001 read about 65 (23.6%) 0 (0%) No 11 (9.2%) epilepsy? Not sure 1 (0.4%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) Not 9 (3.3%) 5 (4.2%) 0 (0.0%) attempted B1. Are road accidents a Yes 81 (29.3%) 25 (20.8%) 17 (68%) 0.000 cause for No 124 (44.9%) 72 (60%) 5 (20%) epilepsy? Not sure 62 (22.5%) 18 (15%) 3 (12%) Not 6 (2.2%) 0 (0.0%) 1 (4%) attempted B2. Is epilepsy Yes 33 (12%) 18 (15%) 13 (52%) 0.000 an inherited disease? No 200 (72.5%) 92 (76.7%) 8 (32%) Not sure 37 (13.4%) 10 (8.3%) 3 (12%) Not 6 (2.2%) 3 (2.5%) 1 (4%) B3. Do you think attempted epileptic Yes 93 (33.7%) 42 (35%) 19 (76%) seizures may be 0.001 associated with 88 (31.9%) 44 (36.7%) 5 (20%) No high fever? 89 (32.2%) Not sure 31 (25.8%) 0 (0.0%) Not 5 (1.8%) 1 (0.8%) 0 (0.0%) attempted B4. Do brain Yes 42 (15.2%) 22 (18.3%) 21 (84%) 0.000 tumors cause epilepsy? No 152 (55.1%) 76 (63.3%) 3 (12%) Not sure 77 (27.9%) 21 (17.5%) 1 (4%) Not B5. Do you 6 (2.2%) 3 (2.5%) 1 (4.0%) attempted believe that epilepsy is a 113 (40.9%) 24 (20%) 4 (16%) Yes 0.000 form of mental 95 (34.4%) No 76 (63.3%) 20 (80%) illness or insanity? 62 (22.5%) 17 (14.2%) 0 (0.0%) Not sure

Table 2. Responses to items in the questionnaire according to cadre in primary healthcare.

C1. Is it true that epilepsy occurs	Not attempted	10 (3.6%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 171
	Yes	170 (61.6%)	72 (60%)	13 (52%)	
people	No	34 (12.3%)	18 (15%)	8 (32%)	0.171
approximately?	Not sure	62 (22.5%)	28 (23.3%)	4 (16%)	
C2. Is it true that someone having	Not attempted	11 (4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
a generalized	Yes	32 (11.6%)	21 (17.5%)	4 (16%)	
remember all	No	154 (55.8%)	80 (66.7%)	21 (84%)	0.00
events that occur during the seizure?	Not sure	79 (28.6%)	19 (15.8%)	0 (0%)	
C3. Is it true that	Not attempted	11 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
a person naving a focal seizure	Yes	185 (67%)	74 (61.7%)	10 (40%)	
always loses	No	25 (9.1%)	23 (19.2%)	13 (52%)	0.000
consciousness?	Not sure	65 (23.6%)	22 (18.3%)	2 (8%)	
C4. Is it true that those people	Not attempted	12 (4.3%)	6 (5%)	0 (0.0%)	
who have an	Yes	66 (23.9%)	24 (20%)	15 (60%)	
losing	No	42 (15.2%)	36 (30%)	8 (32%)	0.000
consciousness or awareness have focal seizures?	Not sure	156 (56.5%)	54 (45%)	2 (8%)	
D1. Would you take the person	Not attempted	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
with epilepsy to a hospital	Yes	252 (91.3%)	111 (92.5%)	23 (92%)	0.483
emergency if the	No	10 (3.6%)	7 (5.8%)	2 (8%)	
seizure lasts for more than two minutes?	Not sure	11 (4%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	
D2. During an	Not attempted	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
epileptic attack, would you keep	Yes	237 (85.9%)	105 (87.5%)	24 (96%)	0.646
the person safe	No	19 (6.9%)	9 (7.5%)	1 (4%)	
from harm?	Not sure	16 (5.8%)	6 (5%)	0 (0.0%)	
D3. Would you put something	Not attempted	3 (1.1%)	3 (2.5%)	2 (8%)	
soft under his/her head to	Yes	206 (74.6%)	89 (74.2%)	20 (80%)	0.000
stop it from	No	24 (8.7%)	23 (19.2%)	2 (8%)	0.000
hitting the ground?	Not sure	43 (15.6%)	5 (4.2%)	1 (4%)	
D4. During a seizure would you make a person with epilepsy smell shoes?	Not attempted	6 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
	Yes	158 (57.2%)	31 (25.8%)	1 (4%)	0.000
	No	88 (31.9%)	78 (65%)	24 (96%)	
	Not sure	24 (8.7%)	11 (9.2%)	0 (0.0%)	
D5. Would you give the person with epilepsy	Not attempted	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4%)	
	Yes	78 (28.3%)	15 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.000
water to drink during a	No	158 (57.2%)	100 (83.3%)	24 (96%)	
seizure?	Not sure	35 (12.7%)	5 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	

E1. Is medication adherence mandatory in epilepsy?	Not attempted	3 (1.1%)	3 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
	Yes	185 (67%)	85 (70.8%)	22 (88%)	0.121	
	No	49 (17.8%)	24 (20%)	2 (8%)		
	Not sure	39 (14.1%)	8 (6.7%)	1 (4%)		
E2. Should a	Not attempted	11 (4%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (4%)	0.000	
person with enilensy be	Yes	120 (39.9%)	81 (67.5%)	19 (76%)		
screened for	No	61 (22.1%)	15 (12.5%)	3 (12%)		
depression?	Not sure	94 (34.1%)	23 (19.2%)	2 (8%)		
E3. Should	Not attempted	10 (3.6%)	6 (5%)	1 (4%)		
people with epilepsy get their bone	Yes	112 (40.6%)	78 (65%)	15 (60%)	0.000	
health evaluated	No	69 (25%)	15 (12.5%)	1 (4%)		
regularly?	Not sure	85 (30.8%)	21 (17.5%)	8 (32%)		
E4. Do you think ayurvedic	Not attempted	7 (2.5%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)		
medicines are	Yes	132 (47.85%)	33 (27.5%)	1 (4%)	0.000	
people with	No	45 (16.3%)	23 (19.2%)	13 (52%)		
epilepsy?	Not sure	92 (33.3%)	62 (51.7%)	11 (44%)		
F1. Can women on anti-epileptic	Not attempted	11 (4.0%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	0.124	
medications	Yes	54 (19.6%)	37 (30%)	6 (24%)		
take oral contraceptives	No	92 (33.3%)	27 (22.5%)	9 (36%)		
pills?	Not sure	119 (43.1%)	55 (45.8%)	10 (40%)		
F2. Is it correct that women	Not attempted	11 (4.0%)	1 (0.8%)	2 (8%)	0.001	
with epilepsy on	Yes	180 (65.2%)	88 (73.3%)	20 (80%)		
medications should be screened for birth defects with ultrasound at 12 weeks?	No	14 (5.1%)	14 (11.7%)	3 (12%)		
	Not sure	71 (25.7%)	17 (14.2%)	0 (0.0%)		
F3 Can a	Not attempted	11 (4%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0.153	
woman with	Yes	136 (49.3%)	72 (60%)	18 (72%)		
epilepsy breast feed her child?	No	60 (21.7%)	19 (15.8%)	2 (8%)		
	Not sure	69 (25%)	27 (22.5%)	5 (20%)		
G1. Can someone with epilepsy lead a normal sexual life?	Not attempted	4 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)		
	Yes	226 (81.9%)	114 (95%)	5 25 (100%)	0.004	
	No	25 (9.1%)	6 (5%)	0 (0%)	-	
	Not sure	21 (7.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		
G2. Can people with epilepsy drive?	Not attempted	5 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.090	
	Yes	62 (22.5%)	28 (23.3%)	11 (44%)		
	No	173 (62.7%)	81 (67.5%)	10 (40%)		
	Not sure	36 (13%)	11 (9.2%)	4 (16%)		
G2 Should	-			. ,		
children with	Yes	235 (85%)	105 (88%)	25 (132%)	026	

epilepsy go to school?	No	22 (8%)	10 (8%)	0 (0%)		
	Not sure	19 (7%)	10 (4%)	0 (0.0%)		
G4. Do you think people with epilepsy can be employed?	Not attempted	1 (0.4%)	5 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		
	Yes	221 (80.1%)	112 (93.3%)	25 (100.0%)	0.007	
	No	30 (10.9%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)		
. ,	Not sure	24 (8.7%)	6 (5%)	0 (0.0%)		
	Not attempted	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		
with epilepsy	Yes	205 (74.3%)	110 (91.7%)	25 (100%)	0.000	
get married?	No	28 (10.1%)	8 (6.7%)	0 (0%)		
	Not sure	41 (14.9%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)		
G6. Would you object to a close	Not attempted	5 (1.8%)	6 (5%)	0 (0.0%)		
relative of yours	Yes	85 (30.8%)	20 (16.7%)	9 (36%)	0.000	
sister/child) to	No	127 (46%)	87 (72.5%)	14 (56%)		
get married to someone with epilepsy?	Not sure	59 (21.4%)	7 (5.8%)	2 (8%)		
G7. Would you	Not attempted	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0.160	
your son or	Yes	249 (90.2%)	112 (93.3%)	23 (92%)		
daughter having	No	16 (5.8%)	4 (3.3%)	1 (4%)		
epilepsy to his class teachers or at school?	Not sure	10 (3.6%)	4 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)		
G8. Would you object to having any of your children associate with someone who sometimes had epileptic seizures?	Not attempted	5 (1.8%)	3 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
	Yes	65 (23.6%)	29 (24.2%)	1 (4%)		
	No	176 (63.8%)	84 (70%)	21 (84%)	0.050	
	Not sure	30 (10.9%)	4 (3.3%)	3 (12%)	0.058	
G9. Do you think the society discriminates with the people having epilepsy?	Not attempted	3 (1.1%)	2 (1.7%)	0 (0%)		
	Yes	97 (35.1%)	22 (18.3%)	20 (80%)	0.000	
	No	143 (51.8%)	81 (67.5%)	5 (20%)		
	Not sure	33 (12%)	15 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)		