1	The analysis of acetaminophen (paracetamol) and 7 metabolites in rat, pig and human
2	plasma by U(H)PLC-MS
3 4	Rebecca Dargue ^a , Isobelle Grant ^a , Leanne C. Nye ^a , Andy Nicholls ^b , Theo Dare ^b , Simone H. Stahl ^c , Robert S. Plumb ^a , Karla Lee ^d , Rajiv Jalan ^e , Muireann Coen ^{a,e} , Ian D. Wilson ^{a*} .
5	
6	^a Division of Systems Medicine, Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction,
7	Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, UK
8 9	^b GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Park Road, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 0DP, UK
10	^c Oncology Safety, Clinical Pharmacology and Safety Sciences, R&D, AstraZeneca, Unit 310
11	Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge, CB4 0WG, UK.
12	
13	^d Department of Clinical Science and Services, Royal Veterinary College, University of
14	London, Hertfordshire AL9 7TA, UK.
15	
16	^e ADME Sciences, Clinical Pharmacology and Quantitative Pharmacology, Clinical
17	Pharmacology and Safety Sciences, R&D, AstraZeneca, Cambridge, CB4 0WG, UK
18	
19	^e UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital, London NW3 2PF, UK.
20	
21	*Author for correspondence
22	
23	ORCID Numbers
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Rebecca Dargue 0000-0001-8626-9788. Andy Nicholls: 0000-0001-9558-4683 Theo Dare: 0000-0002-2350-7950 Simone H. Stahl: 0000-0001-9039-9067 Robert S. Plumb: 0000-0002-1380-9285 Karla Lee: 0000-0002-2350-7950 Rajiv Jalan: 0000-0002-7747-4015 Muireann Coen: 0000-0003-3542-8325 Ian D. Wilson: 0000-0002-8558-7394
33	
34	

Abstract: A U(H)PLC-MS/MS method is described for the analysis acetaminophen and its 35 sulphate, glucuronide, glutathione, cysteinyl and N-acetylcysteinyl metabolites in plasma 36 using stable isotope-labelled internal standards. P-Aminophenol glucuronide and 3-37 methoxyacetaminophen were monitored and semiguantified using external standards. The 38 assay takes 7.5 min/sample, requires only 5µL of plasma, and involves minimal sample 39 40 preparation. The method was validated for rat plasma and cross validated for human and pig plasma and mouse serum. Limits of quantification in plasma for these analytes were 0.44 41 µg/ml (APAP-C) 0.58 µg/ml (APAP-SG), 0.84 µg/mL (APAP-NAC), 2.75 µg/mL (APAP-42 43 S), 3.00 µg/mL (APAP-G) and 16 µg/mL (APAP). Application of the method is illustrated by the analysis of plasma following oral administration of APAP to male Han Wistar rats. 44

45

46 Keywords: Acetaminophen, APAP, metabolites, plasma analysis, UHPLC-MS, DILI,

47 glutathione

48

The analgesic and antipyretic drug acetaminophen (paracetamol, N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) 49 acetamide, APAP) was launched over 60 years ago. It remains one of the most widely used 50 51 drugs for the treatment of pain in the general human population. Whilst acetaminophen when used at recommended therapeutic doses, of up to 4g/day, is generally considered to be safe 52 53 overdose results in half of all acute liver failure (ALF) cases in the United States and the UK [1-5]. The overall mechanism responsible for the drug induced liver injury (DILI) resulting 54 55 from acetaminophen overdose is considered to be due to the inability of the major metabolic pathways of detoxication (glucuronidation and sulfation) to fully metabolize the drug. Thus, 56 57 on overdose the bioactivation of APAP, via oxidative metabolism by cytochrome P450 2E1, leads to the formation of the highly reactive metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine 58 59 (NAPQI), [6]. Once formed NAPQI is usually detoxified by reaction with hepatic glutathione (GSH) but following GSH depletion, the metabolite reacts with cellular macromolecules 60 eventually resulting in cell death [7]. As an important human drug for pain control, a 61 hepatotoxin and an iconic model of reactive metabolite-induced DILI, acetaminophen 62 remains the subject of considerable research in humans and preclinical species. As a result 63 numerous assays for acetaminophen in various biofluids, either alone or including variable 64 numbers of metabolites, have been described to support both therapeutic monitoring or 65 66 studies in animals. However, there remains a need for comprehensive, rapid and sensitive methods for the determination of the drug and its various conjugated and NAPQI-derived 67

metabolites. Whilst, providing sufficient sample is available, relatively non-sophisticated and 68 nonselective techniques, such as LC with UV detection, can be used for this purpose (e.g. refs 69 [8-10]) the sensitivity and specificity of this approach is limited. Because of this, several LC-70 MS-based methods have been developed that offer the opportunity of reducing sample size 71 and improve specificity compared to LC-UV [11-14]. For some of these LC-MS methods 72 73 metabolite coverage was limited to the drug and its glucuronide and/or sulfate conjugates [11-13]. However, other LC-MS-based methods also provided (coverage of the glutathione-74 derived and other minor metabolites in both plasma and urine [14, 15]. Recently, two further 75 76 methods have been described offering validated HPLC [16] or UPLC [17]-ESI-MS/MS methods that enable the quantification of APAP, its glucuronide (APAP-G), sulfate (APAP-77 S), glutathione (APAP-GS), cysteinyl (APAP-C), N-acetylcysteinyl (APAP-NAC) [16, 17] 78 and methoxy- (APAP-OMe) [16] metabolites in human plasma. Here we describe a "fit for 79 purpose" gradient reversed-phase U(H)PLC-MS/MS method for the quantification of APAP, 80 81 APAP-S, APAP-G, APAP-C, APAP-NAC and APAP-GS metabolites, as well as the semiquantitative monitoring of the APAP-OMe metabolite and the phenolic glucuronide of the N-82 83 deacetylated metabolite p-aminophenol (PAP-G). The method uses a minimal amount of sample and has been validated for rat plasma with cross validation to human and pig plasma. 84 85 The method has also been used for mouse serum.

86

87 Materials & methods

88 Chemicals & reagents

APAP, APAP-G (sodium salt) and the deuterated internal standard APAP-d3 were purchased 89 from Sigma Aldrich (Gillingham, UK), its sulfate (APAP-S, potassium salt), cysteinyl (APAP-90 C, trifluoroacetic acid salt), N-acetylcysteinyl (APAP-NAC, disodium salt), glutathione 91 (APAP-SG, (disodium salt) and 3-methoxy (APAP-OMe) conjugates and deuterated internal 92 standards, APAP-S-d3 (potassium salt), APAP-G-d3 (sodium salt), APAP-C-d5 (TFA salt) 93 APAP-NAC-d5 (sodium salt) and APAP-SG-d3 (disodium salt), were purchased from Toronto 94 95 Research Chemicals (Toronto, Canada) and were used as supplied (salt conversion factors are 96 provided in **Table S1**). The metabolite PAP-G was purchased from SantaCruz Biotechnology (Dallas, Texas, USA) and was used as supplied. Optima grade water was obtained from Fisher 97 98 Scientific (Leicester, UK), LC-MS grade solvents and formic acid (FA) were from Sigma 99 Aldrich. Control rat and human plasma for validation were obtained from SeraLabs (Haywards100 Heath, UK).

101 Samples

Rat plasma samples were obtained following a single oral administration of APAP at doses of 102 0, 500 or 1500 mg/kg (in 0.5% methylcellulose) to male Wistar Han rats (Crl:WI(Han)) (300-103 350g). Rats were housed 3-5 per cage in polycarbonate solid bottom cages (Tecniplast, 104 105 Kettering, UK) with Tapvei® Aspen Chips and Sizzle-Nest bedding and Tapvei® small Aspen 106 bricks and polycarbonate tunnels as enrichment (all supplied by Dates and Machester, UK). Animals were fed with RM1 (E) SQC diet (Special Diets Service, Witham, UK) ad libitum, 107 and were not fasted before APAP administration and had free access to 0.2 µM filtered 108 municipal water and food ad lib. Environmental controls were set to maintain conditions of 109 19–23°C and $55 \pm 15\%$ relative humidity, with a 12 h light/dark cycle. Blood samples (ca. 800 110 µl) were collected (at 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8 from the tail vein (in-life) or a terminal sample from the 111 *vena cava* at 24 hours post dose) into tubes containing lithium heparin. Plasma samples (200µl) 112 were obtained by centrifugation at 1200g (4°C), with the samples then frozen and stored at -113 70°C (or lower) until analysis. Animals were sacrificed using halothane at the end of the 114 studies. The study was performed by Drug Safety and Metabolism, AstraZeneca UK (all 115 experiments were conducted in compliance with UK home office licences issued under the UK 116 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 after review by the local Ethics Committee). 117

118 Standard Curve and Quality Control (QC) preparation

- 119 Stock solutions for the preparation of calibration curves and quality control (QC) samples
- 120 (including low (LQC), mid (MQC), high (HQC) and the lower and upper limits of
- 121 quantification (LLOQ, ULOQ)) for APAP and APAP metabolite standards were prepared
- 122 from ca. 1 mg/ml solutions in MeOH. These solutions were then diluted to concentrations of
- 123 500 μ g/ml for APAP, 93.7 μ g/ml for APAP-G, 85.9 μ g/ml for APAP-S, 18.2 μ g/ml for
- 124 APAP-SG, 17.5 μ g/ml for APAP-NAC and 13.9 μ g/ml for APAP-C (see Table S2) with
- subsequent dilutions to prepare standard curve and QC solutions (Tables S 2-4). An internal
- standard (IS) stock solution (see **Table S5**) was also prepared at concentrations of $500 \ \mu g/ml$
- 127 for APAP-d3, 94.3 μg/ml for APAP-G-d3, 86.0 μg/ml for APAP-S-d3, 28.1 μg/ml for APAP-
- 128 NAC-d5 and 21.0 µg/ml for APAP-C-d5. For both calibration and QC samples 5 µL of
- 129 pooled blank matrix from either human, rat, mouse, or pig as appropriate, were mixed with
- 130 35 μ L methanol, 10 μ L IS stock solution and 50 μ l of the relevant standard stock solution.

- 131 For single blanks 5 μ l of plasma were mixed with 85 μ l of MeOH and 10 μ l of IS stock
- solution. Double blanks consisted of 5 μ l blank matrix mixed with 95 μ l of MeOH. All
- samples were kept at -20°C for 20 min to precipitate proteins, and then centrifuged for 10
- 134 min at 10,000g. A 20 µL aliquot of the clear supernatant from each sample was added to
- 135 980 µL of water in glass vials for analysis. Final concentrations of the IS's were; APAP-d3:
- 136 200 ng/ml, APAP-G-d3: 37.7 ng/ml, APAP-S-d3: 34.4 ng/ml, APAP-NAC-d5: 11.2 ng/ml
- 137 and APAP-C-d5: 8.38 ng/ml, APAP-SG-d3: 11.0ng/mL*.
- 138 The final concentrations of the analytes in both calibration curves and QC samples following
- sample preparation in rat plasma are given in **Tables 1** and **2** and reflect the overall dilution
- by 1 in 1000 required to bring them onto the linear range of the mass spectrometer. For cross
- validation to human plasma the same concentration ranges were used for QC's and standard
- 142 curves.
- 143 Based on a preliminary evaluation of porcine samples, PAP-G was added to stock solutions at
- a concentration of 500µg/mL and the concentration of APAP-G in the solution was increased
- to 703 μ g/mL (see supplementary information **Table S16**). In the case of cross validation to
- 146 mouse serum concentrations were as for rat, with the addition of APAP-OMe at $20\mu g/mL$
- 147 (see supplementary information for further details **Table S20**). For determination of freeze
- thaw and bench-top stability a sample was prepared in plasma containing the standard stock
- solutions in methanol at <5% of the total sample volume. Methanolic solutions of APAP
- 150 (10mg/mL) APAP-G (2.34mg/mL), APAP-S (2.15mg/mL), APAP-C (0.694 mg/mL), APAP-
- 151 NAC (0.877 mg/mL) and APAP-SG (0.912 mg/mL) were prepared with 5µl of the APAP
- solution, 4µl each of the APAP-S and APAP-G and 2µl each of APAP-C, -NAC and -SG
- solutions added to plasma to create a total volume of 0.5mL. This was then further diluted 5x
- in plasma to produce a plasma stock sample. Internal standard stock solution was prepared as
- stated previously then diluted 2.5 times in MeOH. The plasma stock sample was prepared by
- diluting it with 40µl MeOH and 5µl IS solution, giving the prepared sample a concentration
- 157 equivalent to the MQC.
- *APAP-SG-d3 only became available part way though the study did not form part of the initial 3 day validation but was
 added in subsequently.
- 160

161 Table 1: Calibration curve concentrations for the standards used for the analysis of

162	acetaminophen	and metabolites in	rat plasma b	v U(F	DPLC-MS/MS*
	a commo prior		p-mo-inin ~	$J \sim (-$	

			0 0		
APAP	APAP-G	APAP-S	APAP-C	APAP-SG	APAP-NAC
16	3.00	2.75	0.44	0.58	-
24	4.50	4.12	0.67	0.88	0.84
40	7.50	6.87	1.11	1.46	1.40
60	11.2	10.3	1.67	2.19	2.10
90	16.9	15.5	2.50	3.28	3.16
150	28.1	25.8	4.173	5.47	5.26
250	46.9	42.9	6.94	9.12	8.77
500	93.7	85.9	13.9	18.2	17.5

Calibration Curve Ranges / ng/ml

*The concentrations given in this table are those in the final samples following sample 163 preparation for analysis which results in a 1000-fold dilution compared to the original 164 sample.

165

166

167

Table 2: Concentrations of QCs for the analysis of APAP and metabolites in rat plasma 168 by U(H)PLC-MS/MS* 169

QC Concentrations / ng/ml								
QC	APAP	APAP-G	APAP-S	APAP-C	APAP- SG	APAP- NAC		
ULOQ	500	93.7	85.9	13.9	18.2	17.5		
High	400	75.0	68.7	11.1	14.6	14.0		
Mid	100	18.7	17.2	2.78	3.65	3.51		
Low	48	9.00	8.24	1.33	1.75	1.68		
LLOQ	16	3.00	2.75	0.44	0.58	0.84		

170

*The concentrations given in this table are those in the final samples following sample 171 preparation for analysis which results in a 1000-fold dilution compared to the original sample 172

174 Sample Preparation

- 175 Samples (5 µl), from either human, rat, or pig plasma, as appropriate, were mixed with and IS
- stock solution (10 μ l) and MeOH (85 μ l), briefly vortexed then kept at -20°C for 20 min
- before centrifugation (10 min, 10000g) to remove precipitated proteins. Then, 20 µL of the
- 178 clear supernatant was mixed with 980 μ L water in glass vials for analysis (meaning that
- samples underwent a 1000-fold dilution before analysis). The concentration ranges measured
- 180 within the plasma samples are given in **Table 3**.

Table 3: Measured Concentration Ranges of APAP and Metabolites in Rat Plasma Samples

APAP	APAP-G	APAP-S	APAP-C	APAP-SG	APAP-NAC
μg/ml	µg/ml	μg/ml	μg/ml	µg/ml	µg/ml
16 - 500	3.00 - 93.7	2.75 - 85.9	0.44 - 13.9	0.58 - 18.2	0.84 - 17.5

183

184 U(H)PLC-MS

185 Chromatography was performed on an Acquity U(H)PLC system using a 2.1 x 100 mm

186 1.8 µm 130 A C18 ACQUITY HSS T3 column (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK) with a

multi-linear reversed-phase gradient. The mobile phases consisted of water and 0.1% (v/v)

188 FA (solvent A) and MeOH and 0.1% (v/v) FA (solvent B). The gradient was performed over

189 7.5 min at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min at 40° C with the starting conditions set at 5% solvent B

190 for 0.5 min, increasing linearly to 7% by 1.85 minutes then to 8% by 1.9 min, then 10% by

191 2.5 min, 16% by 4.0 min, 25% by 5 min increasing rapidly to 95% by 5.1 min to wash the

192 column. The solvent composition was held at 95% B for 0.9 minutes before returning to 5%

B at 6.1 min for re-equilibration (1.4 min). The resulting analysis time was 7.5 min/sample.

194 In between samples the sample loop was subject to both weak and strong washes of 90:10

195 water/MeOH (v/v) and 100% isopropanol, respectively.

196 MS/MS data were acquired using a Xevo tandem quadruple (TQ-S) mass spectrometer

197 (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK). The quantification of the analytes was performed

using MS/MS in positive ESI (electrospray ionization) mode with MRM (multiple reaction

- 199 monitoring) optimized for the individual analytes. The appropriate MS conditions were
- 200 determined for each compound by direct infusion. For MS the desolvation gas was nitrogen,
- and the collision gas employed was argon. Additional conditions included a capillary voltage
- of 3 kV, a source offset of 30 V, a desolvation temperature of 500°C, a source temperature of

- 150°C. The desolvation gas flow was 1000 L/hr with a cone gas flow of 150 L/hr. The
 nebulizer gas was set at 7.0 bar and the collision gas at 0.13 mL/min. Table 4 for individual
 parameters for each compound.
- 206

207 Table 4: MS and LC Data for APAP and Metabolites Quantified/Monitored in Plasma Compound **Product** ion Cone Collision RT Parent voltage voltage (min) ion (m/z)for quantification **(V) (V)** (m/z)APAP 152.1 110.1 30 16 2.91 110.9 APAP-D3 155.1 30 20 2.89 APAP-C 271.0 139.9 34 24 2.53 APAP-C D5 276.2 142.8 34 26 2.50 APAP-S 232.1 110.1 30 22 2.17 **APAP-S D3** 235.0 111.0 22 30 2.15 APAP-G 328.1 20 14 1.79 152.1 APAP-G D5 353.2 177.1 42 16 1.77 6 APAP-NAC 335.1 152.0 16 4.98 **APAP-NAC-D5** 340.2 34 18 4.96 152.0 APAP-SG 457.2 139.9 30 36 3.85 APAP-SG D3 3.81 460.266 331.076 32 14 PAP-G 2.0 12 308.33 199.05 0.37 APAP-OMe 182.196 108.08 16 20 4.04

208

209

For analysis, 2 µL of a double blank sample were injected first in order to confirm system 210 cleanliness. Then 2 μ L of the single blank was injected, followed by further 2 μ L samples of 211 the calibration curve solutions (increasing in concentration from low to high). These samples 212 were followed by the double blank. Following these injections the study samples were 213 analyzed, which had been randomized before protein precipitation so as to reduce any 214 analytical bias. The QC samples (at least 6 with a minimum of 2 at each of the LQC, MQC 215 and HQC concentrations) were analyzed at regular intervals interspersed evenly amongst the 216 study samples throughout the course of the run. Following analysis of the study samples and 217

218 QCs a second set of calibration samples were also analyzed. The analytical run sequence is



- 220
- 221
- 222



224

Figure 1. Sequence of analysis for APAP/metabolite quantification of randomized samples

- bracketed by calibration standards and interspersed with QC injections.
- 227

228 Method Validation.

229 Method validation was based on the recommendations contained in the FDA "Guidance for

- industry" on Bioanalytical Methods [18]. A three day validation was undertaken for rat
- 231 plasma and the resulting method was then cross validated to human and pig plasma as
- 232 described below.

233 *Linearity*.

- 234
- 235 Linearity was determined from the evaluation of calibration curves generated from
- calibration standards with acceptable deviation ($\leq 15\%$ over the range of the standard curve
- and $\leq 20\%$ at the LLOQ) from their nominal values, using least squares linear regression with
- weighting 1/x or $1/x^2$ for APAP-SG. Linearity was assessed using the R² correlation
- coefficient, which was required to be >0.99 over the three days of the validation.
- 240 Precision and Accuracy
- Assay precision was determined by the analysis of 6 replicates at each of the concentrations
- of the LLOQ QC, LQC, MQC, HQC and ULOQ QC samples on all three days of the full
- validation for rat plasma and one day for each of the cross validation studies. The intra-assay
- 244 precision was determined using the coefficient of variation (CV) of the 6 replicates on one
- 245 day. The inter-assay precision was determined as the CV of each set of QC samples over 3
- batches (n=18) at each QC concentration. The inter-assay accuracy was described by the
- 247 mean deviation of the QCs over 3 days (n=18) at each QC concentration. For both intra- and

inter-assay assessments the acceptable CV was set at $\leq 15\%$ for all QCs except the LLOQ QC

249 which was set at $\leq 20\%$. A minimum of two thirds of the QCs were required to fall within

these limits for acceptance.

251 Carryover

252 Carryover was assessed with a double blank run immediately after an ULOQ calibration

standard and was considered acceptable if the response for any of the analytes was $\leq 20\%$ of

the average response of the LLOQ standards. Carryover for the IS's was deemed acceptable

- if the response for the double blank sample was $\leq 5\%$ of the average response from the
- acceptable calibration standards (including the single blank).

257 *Recovery*

All analytes and internal standards were spiked into 6 individual lots of blank plasma, both

before and after protein precipitation, at both the LQC and HQC concentrations. Peak areas

of analytes at the LQC or HQC spiked in before extraction were compared to those from

analytes spiked in after extraction, calculated as a percentage recovery.

262 *Matrix Effects*

Matrix effects were assessed by spiking internal standards and standards for each analyte into 6 blank matrix samples of plasma after protein precipitation, with 6 replicates at the concentration of the LQC and 6 at the concentration of the HQC (referred to as over-spiked samples). Reference solutions were prepared by spiking internal standards and standards into

267 water to reflect the LQC and HQC concentrations. Matrix effects were calculated by

comparing the peak area for each standard or internal standard in the reference solution to the

- 269 over-spiked samples. The internal standard normalised matrix factor was calculated by
- 270 dividing the matrix factor calculated from the unlabelled standard by the matrix factor
- calculated from the labelled internal standard. To be acceptable the CV of the internal

standard normalised matrix factor at each concentration had to be $\leq 15\%$ at both LQC and

273 HQC QC concentrations.

274 Selectivity and Specificity

275 The method was evaluated for selectivity by assessing interference from the matrix in blank

analyte free matrix (double blank samples), and also for selectivity between analytes and

277 internal standards by analysing blank matrix samples containing individual metabolites or ISs

- only. Six double blanks containing none of the analytes were processed. Interference was
- defined as any response at the retention times of the analytes with a response $\geq 20\%$ of the
- 280 mean LLOQ response. For IS, interference was defined as a response at the retention time of

- the IS with a response \geq 5% of the average IS response in the calibration curve. To
- determine IS and analyte selectivity three aliquots of the same lot of blank plasma were
- spiked with IS only or individual analytes at the ULOQ only. Interference with another
- analyte was defined as any response at the retention time of the analyte with a peak area
- $\geq 20\%$ of the average LLOQ response. Any responses at the retention time of an internal
- standard in the individual analyte samples were considered interference if found to be \geq 5% of
- the average IS response for that IS.
- 288 Stability of samples and solutions

289 Freeze Thaw Stability

For investigation of freeze thaw stability, a 'bulk' plasma sample was prepared with

- standards added to blank rat plasma at <5% of the total volume (i.e. 50μ l of stock solutions
- added to 950µl blank plasma). Further dilutions of this sample were performed in plasma to
- produce a bulk sample with concentrations of analytes at those of the mid QC sample.
- Aliquots (5µl) of this MQC bulk plasma were taken and either analysed immediately (time
- 0h) or placed in the freezer at -40°C degrees for half an hour, then thawed at room
- temperature at regular intervals for up to 6 freeze thaw cycles. For each cycle 6 replicate
- samples were analysed. Stability was calculated as the percentage peak area compared to the
- 298 6 MQC samples prepared with no freeze thaw cycles.

299 Benchtop Stability

- 300 The stability of standards in solution at ambient temperature was measured using the 'bulk
- 301 prepared' MQC plasma sample as above, with plasma samples placed on the bench at
- ambient temperature for 4 hours, and then analysed. Analyte stability was calculated as a
- 303 percentage of the responses for the MQC samples prepared at 0h.

304 Autosampler Stability

- 305 To assess the stability of the analytes over the course of the analysis six replicates of each QC
- were analysed, then left for 36 hours in the autosampler at 5° C and then re-assayed against a
- 307 fresh calibration curve and the CV and bias calculated.

308 Dilution Integrity

- A dilution integrity QC (DIQC) sample was prepared at 3x the concentration of the ULOQ.
- 310 This DIQC was then diluted 1 in 10 independently six times (100μ L DIQC into 900μ L
- 311 water). The diluted DIQCs were then analysed as part of the validation and quantified taking

- into account the 1 in 10 dilution of the IS. In order to be acceptable at least 4 of the 6 DIQCs
- 313 were required to be $\leq \pm 15\%$ of the nominal concentration with a CV for the 6 QC samples of
- 314 $\leq 15\%$.

315 Data Analysis

- 316 The raw LC-MS/MS data were processed by the TargetLynx application package within
- 317 MassLynx software (Waters Corporation). The raw data were mean smoothed and peak
- 318 integration was performed using the ApexTrak algorithm.

319 **Results & Discussion**

320 Chromatography

- 321 Optimization of the chromatographic conditions for the reversed-phase gradient resulted in
- the separation of APAP and its metabolites shown in **Figure 2**. The sensitivity of the assay
- 323 proved to be sufficiently high that only 5 μ L of plasma were required in order to perform the
- analysis with sample preparation limited to protein precipitation using methanol followed by
- 325 dilution with water for injection.



Figure 2. A reconstructed ion mass chromatogram for the standards of 1= PAP-G 2=APAPG, 3= APAP-S, 4= APAP-C, 5= APAP, 6= APAP-SG, 7=APAP-OMe and 8=APAP-NAC
obtained using the optimised chromatographic system

- 332 Based on this combination of sample preparation and chromatographic separation the method
- 333 was validated for rat plasma with intra- (within day) and inter-day (3 days) accuracy and
- 334 precision assessed for the analysis of APAP, and the five metabolites APAP-S, APAP-G,
- APAP-C, APAP-NAC and APAP-SG targeted for quantification. Over the 3 days of the
- inter-day validation the method was found to be linear over the concentration ranges
- measured (see **Table 1**) for all of the analytes with all r^2 values above 0.99 (**Table 5**, for
- equations of the line see **Table S6** in supplementary information). In addition, factors such as
- the lower limits of quantification, linearity, recovery, selectivity, matrix effects and carryover
- 340 were also determined for all of the compounds assayed (see **Table 5** for a summary of the
- 341 validation data).

342 **Precision & accuracy**

343 For each day, and across all 3 days, the CV and bias data for QCs met the acceptance criteria outlined in the methods section; these, and other, validation data (e.g., stability, recovery 344 345 dilution integrity etc.,) are summarised in Table 5 and full results for the validation are provided in supplementary Tables S7-10. The resulting LLOQs were 16 µg/mL for APAP, 346 3.00 µg/mL for APAP-G), 2.75 µg/mL for APAP-S, 0.84 µg/mL for APAP-NAC, 0.58 µg/ml 347 for APAP-SG and 0.44 µg/mL for APAP-C). The corresponding ULOQs were 500µg/mL for 348 APAP, 93.7 µg/mL for APAP-G, 85.9 µg/mL for APAP-S, 18.2 µg/mL for APAP-SG, 17.5 349 μ g/mL for APAP-NAC and 13.9 μ g/mL for APAP-C. In terms of the absolute amounts of each 350 analyte (as opposed to back-calculated sample concentrations of μ g/ml of sample) these values 351 these corresponded to quantities of 0.89 pg (APAP-C), 1.17 pg (APAP-SG), 1.68 pg (APAP-352 NAC), 5.49 pg (APAP-S), 6.00 pg (APAP-G) and 32 pg (APAP) actually injected on column. 353

354 Matrix interference & recovery

- 355 The results obtained for the determination of the matrix factors for the various analytes with
- isotopically labelled IS's were all acceptable, with CVs below 15% (summarised in Table 5).
- 357 In the case of APAP-OMe matrix effects were highly variable with CVs for the HQC and
- LQCs of 36% and 18 % respectively confirming the semi-quantitative nature of the assay for
- this metabolite. Recoveries were over 80% for all analytes except APAP-NAC for the LQC,
- 360 where the mean recovery was 78.7%, with CVs generally below 10%. These values were
- similar to those reported for other assays for these analytes [14-17]. The recovery and matrix
- 362 factor data for each of the analytes are summarised in **Table 5**.

		<i>y</i> 01 11101					APAP-	APAP-
Parameter	QC	Level	APAP	APAP-S	APAP-G	APAP-C	NAC	SG
Linearity (Mean P ²)								
n=3		-	0.995	0.997	0.994	0.995	0.995	0.993
Intra Dav*	LL	.0Q	0.1	2.6	-13.5	4.2	5.9	9.4
Accuracy	L	QC	-5.9	0.6	-12.2	-2.1	-2.1	-3.6
(Mean %	M	QC	4.3	3.6	0.3	-2.5	2.1	-5.8
Bias)	H	QC	0.7	3.4	-1	1.5	-0.9	0.3
N=6	UI	.0Q	3.3	6.8	1.9	6.3	5.7	1.9
Inter-Dav		.0Q	1.8	-8.6	0.6	-1.1	-1.1	-7.5
Accuracy		QC	0.9	-1.8	8.6	-1.2	3.3	-0.5
(Mean %	M	QC	-3.4	-0.3	6	-0.4	0.6	-0.6
Bias)	H	QC	1.4	-0.8	6.8	-2.9	1.4	-5
N=18	UI	.0Q	1.7	-2.3	6.6	-4.9	0.9	-6.1
Intra-Dav		.0Q	4.8	13.4	7.4	7.1	8.8	8.2
Precision		QC	12.2	7.5	11.8	6.2	6.2	6.8
(CV)	M	QC	8.7	10	8.7	8.8	10.4	9
N=6	H	QC	4.9	3.4	5	3.7	6.1	6.6
	UI	.0Q	5.6	7.1	5.6	6.8	7.4	3.7
Inter-day		.0Q	6.8	17.5	15.9	11.1	12.5	8.7
Precision	LQC		10	12.8	8.7	8.2	11.3	7.5
(CV) N-18	MQC		8.5	10.2	8.8	7.5	9.6	9.5
11-10	HQC		4.9	5.8	6.7	5.8	7.8	8.3
	ULOQ)	5.3	6.9	8.3	5.6	7.6	5.9
Matrix Factor (N=6)		%	99	102	101	99	110	105*
(corrected using		CV		101				100
IS except for	LQC	(N=6)	2.47	4.08	6.14	5.13	4.73	-
AFAF-5G)		%	93	94	89	95	90	110
		CV						
	HQC	(N=6)	3.11	5.59	3.89	2.26	6.92	-
Recovery		%	94.6	90.8	87.8	88.3	78.7	105
	LOC	<i>CV</i> (N=6)	2.76	8.02	8 56	14 3	175	11.4
	120	%	88.0	86.4	82.6	88.7	81.4	81.5
		CV	00.0	00.1	02.0	00.7	01.1	01.0
	нос	(N=6)	9.59	7.8	10.6	5.72	4.23	5.57
DIOC	~							
Dilution	Mean	% Bias	-10.3	-13.3	-8.67	-10.6	-13.9	12.2
(N=6)	CV		1.52	5.94	3.1	6.84	21	4.37
36 Hour	LLOQ		-9.06±6.71	-7.54±5.54	4.92±3.39	5.71±3.93	-15.4±11.8	-5.13±3.72
Autosamnler	LQC		-14.8±11.3	-13.5±10.2	-19.7±15.5	-10.9±8.16	-7±5.13	-5.31±3.86
Stability (%	MQC		-16±12.3	-14.5±11	-9.18±6.8	-11.5±8.66	-10.1±7.48	-7.14±5.24
Bias ±CV	HQC		-14.9±11.4	-17.3±13.4	-15.9±12.2	-12.6±9.5	-13.7±10.4	-8.63±6.37
N=6)	ULOQ	2	-15±11.5	-18.8±14.7	-12.6±9.48	-12±8.99	-10.6±7.91	-12.4±9.32

201	Table 5. Commence	aftering and 2 day	- Inton day data	for the model of	-validation in wat a	
364	I able 5' Summary	v of thirs- and 5 da	v inter-aav aata	for the method	validation in raf f	няєтня
501	I able of Summary	y or intra ana o aa	y mutu any anta	ior une meenou	, and action in the k	JILLIJIILLE

365 *Day 1 data used to provide intra-day accuracy and precision.

368 Stability

- 369 Previous studies have reported varying results for stability [14-17] and, whilst in general,
- 370 APAP and the major conjugated metabolites APAP-G and APAP-S were found to be stable,
- this was less clear cut for the other metabolites. Therefore, analyte stability was
- reinvestigated here with respect to freeze thaw, ambient temperature ("bench top") and 36
- hour autosampler stability.
- 374 *Freeze thaw & ambient temperature stability*

The effects of up to 6 freeze thaw cycles (**Tables S11-12**) did not indicate any major

- instability in any of the analytes such that, whilst overall there may have been a modestdecline, there was no discernible trend.
- 378 Similarly, in the case of stability on the bench at ambient temperature, there was little
- evidence of a trend in peak areas and all analytes appeared to be stable for 4 hours on the
- 380 bench (the maximum time tested) (**Table S13**)

381 *Autosampler stability*

Previous studies have reported varying results for stability, with some reports showing all 382 analytes to be stable in the autosampler for up to 48h [14,16], while another report found that 383 APAP-SG was only stable for up to 24 h, whereas the other analytes remained stable for up to 384 48 h [17]. Another study found all metabolites to be stable in the autosampler for up to 73 h 385 386 (but also stated that APAP-SG degraded to APAP-C in human plasma) [15]. Given the differences seen in analyte stability in earlier methods, we studied the analytes under our 387 autosampler conditions which we felt may not have exactly replicated the conditions used in 388 389 previous validations. This was done using QC samples kept in the autosampler for 36 hr (at 5°C) and analysed against a freshly prepared standard curve. This study indicated a small 390 391 overall decrease in response for all analytes (Tables 5 and S14). The percentage decline (bias) for most analytes was generally below 15%, with APAP-S and APAP-G showing a 392 limited number of values between 15-20% (see Table 5). This suggests that processed 393 samples should not be left for an extended period in the autosampler (or indeed the fridge). 394 The implication is also clear that extended analytical runs may pose an analytical risk. With 395 respect to stability over a shorter time period we suggest that in practice, for a typical rat 396 397 toxicology study (as exemplified here), the analytes were stable over the ca. 15hr duration of 398 the analysis as no time-dependent change in the response of the QCs or the standard curves

- 399 were noted. However, had the run failed (because e.g., of an instrumental failure of some
- 400 sort) our stability data would have indicated that simply rerunning the samples after the
- 401 problem had been rectified might have been problematic, with complete reanalysis indicated.
- 402 Pragmatically, for fit for purpose methods, we therefore suggest that it may be possible to
- 403 perform "in use" stability testing by looking for trends in declining response in the QC
- 404 samples and standard curve data.

405 **Dilution Integrity**

- 406 Dilution integrity was determined by quantification of the analytes following the 10-fold 407 dilution of a "dilution integrity" QC (DIQC) sample prepared at 3 times the concentration of 408 the ULOQ as described in the experimental methods section. The results of the analysis of 409 this sample showed that serial dilutions results met the acceptance criteria of being within 410 $\pm 15\%$ of the nominal concentration (with a CV of $\leq 15\%$) for all analytes with the exception 411 of APAP-NAC. This is unlikely to present a problem in practice as concentrations of APAP-412 NAC are generally low in plasma and serum meaning and the need for sample dilution is
- 122 Three are generally for an practical and berall meaning and the need for bampie analytic is
- remote. Results for the analyses of the DIQC integrity sample are summarised in Table 5 andS15.

415 Carryover, Selectivity and Specificity

- 416 Carryover was low, and within the acceptance criteria with responses at the retention times of
- the individual compounds below 20% of the LLOQ for all analytes and 5% of the IS
- responses (see **Tables S16** and **S17**). Selectivity and specificity were well within the
- 419 acceptance criteria for all analytes and IS's.

420 Cross validation to human and pig plasma

Following the validation of the assay for rat plasma, further, 1 day, "fit for purpose" cross 421 validation studies of the method were performed to enable the quantification of APAP and its 422 metabolites in human and pig-derived plasma. In these cross validation studies the method 423 provided similar results to those obtained for rat plasma in terms of analytical figures of 424 merit, carry over, recovery and matrix factors. The validation results are summarized in the 425 appropriate sections of the supplementary data (see Tables S18-21). In addition, the ability of 426 427 the current method to perform the semi-quantitative analysis of APAP-OMe and PAP-G was investigated during the cross validation of the assay for pig plasma. In the absence of a stable 428 429 isotope labelled internal standard for APAP-OMe and PAP-G validation for quantitative 430 analysis was not attempted. The method was found to be linear over the concentration ranges

- 431 measured (16-500 μg/mL for PAP-G and 0.64-20 μg/mL for APAP-OMe, for further details
- 432 see Tables S20-S21). A representative chromatogram for pig plasma following the
- 433 administration of APAP is shown in Figure S2 and the application of the method to a pig
- 434 hepatotoxicity study has recently been described [19].

435 Mouse serum validation results

436 A limited assessment of the assay, with the inclusion of APAP-OMe, for mouse serum was

- 437 also made (details of standard curve concentrations etc., are summarised in Tables S 22-28).
- 438 The limited "fit for purpose" validation results obtained are provided in **Table S28** and show
- that the standard curves were linear, with r^2 values above 0.99, (including APAP-OMe). The
- 440 assay appeared, based on the QC data, to be accurate and precise. Matrix factors were
- 441 minimal with recoveries similar to plasma at over 80%. Based on the partial validation
- 442 performed here we believe that the plasma method devised for these analytes can also be
- 443 used, with caution, for mouse serum (see e.g. [20]).

444 Determination of Acetaminophen & Metabolites in Plasma Following Oral

445 Administration to the Rat

446 The assay was applied to the analysis of APAP, APAP-S, APAP-G, APAP-C, APAP-NAC

and APAP-SG in the plasma of rats receiving either a single oral dose of the drug at either 0

- 448 (dose vehicle), 500 or 1500 mg/kg. Neither APAP nor any of the targeted metabolites were
- detected in samples from pre-dose time points or from vehicle-dosed control animals. The
- 450 highest plasma concentrations of APAP were detected in samples obtained for the 1 and 2 hr
- 451 post-dose time points for both the 500 and 1500 mg/kg dose groups. The APAP
- 452 concentrations measured in these samples all fell between the upper and lower limits of
- 453 quantification apart from for all but one sample from the 1500 mg/kg dose group). However,
- 454 this result was accepted as it was within "a value 25% above the ULOQ (i.e., ULOQ \times 1.25)"
- 455 as advocated by Bateman *et al*, in a recent publication [21].
- 456 Similarly, for APAP-S the mean peak observed concentrations were obtained at the 1 and 2
- 457 hour time points and several samples, at both dose levels were at, or above, the ULOQ
- 458 (Figure 3), although most of these were again within 25% of the ULOQ. For APAP-G the
- 459 mean peak plasma concentrations were seen for the 1 and 2 hr post-dose for the 500 mg/kg
- dose and slightly later, at the 2 and 4 hr post-dose time points, for the 1500 mg/kg dose level
- 461 (Figure 3). In the case of the latter the concentration in one sample exceeded the ULOQ but
- 462 was considered as acceptable as it was also within 25% of the ULOQ.

The concentrations of the NAPQI-derived metabolites APAP-C, APAP-NAC and APAP-SG, 463 were considerably lower than those of APAP and its phenolic sulphate and glucuronide 464 conjugates. Both the APAP-C and APAP-NAC metabolites were detectable following APAP 465 administration with peak observed concentrations seen at the 24 h time point at both doses. 466 However, at early time points post administration for both the 500 and 1500 mg/kg dose 467 levels the concentrations of the APAP-C metabolite were often at, or just below, the LLOQ, 468 (particularly for the 500 mg/kg dose group) (see Figure 4). However, whilst not quantifiable 469 these metabolites were clearly detectable and depending upon the purpose of the investigation 470 471 could either be used to justify reanalysis of less diluted samples or for some other method of assessing the data. The reporting and use of values of a clearly detectable analyte that are 472 below the LOQ has been (and continues to be) a matter of some debate as discussed in e.g. 473 [22, 23] and such a discussion is outside the scope of this study. However, it is evident that 474 from the data presented in Figures 3 and 4 that, whilst the plasma concentrations of APAP 475 476 and its phenolic conjugates APAP-G and APAP-S fall rapidly from their peak values at ca., 1-2h post dose (Figure 3) those of the glutathione-derived metabolites do not. So, the 477 478 APAP-C and APAP-NAC metabolites were generally detectable in all of the post-dose samples but were only reliably above the LLOQ at the later time points. Likewise, the low 479 480 early time point concentrations of APAP-SG meant that, whilst detectable, the majority of the results, apart from the 24 hr samples, fell below the LLOQ (Figure 4). The fact that these 481 NAPQI-derived metabolites were detectable in the current analysis but were often at or below 482 the LLOQ reflects the fact that the samples were diluted 1 in 1000 prior to analysis in order 483 484 that the more abundant analytes, such as APAP and the glucuronide and sulphate conjugates, were present in final concentrations within the linear range for their assay. In practice, if the 3 485 NAPQI-derived metabolites were the focus of the study their accurate quantification could be 486 readily achieved by analysis of a less dilute sample (as we have shown for mouse serum in a 487 488 recent application of the method [20]).



490 **Figure 3.** Plasma concentration data for APAP, APAP-G and APAP-S, obtained pre-dose 491 and at various time points post-dose up to 24 h post dose to rats administered APAP at either 492 500 or 1500 mg/kg (based on the analysis of 5 μ l of sample). Each point represents an 493 individual animal, boxes represent the median and interquartile range, and whiskers show the 494 full range.





Figure 4. Plasma concentration data for APAP-C (upper), APAP-NAC (middle) and APAPSG (lower) conjugates, at various time points up to 24 h post dose, obtained from rats
administered APAP at either 500 or 1500 mg/kg. Each point represents an individual animal,

499 boxes represent the median and interquartile range, whiskers show the full range.

500

501 The reconstructed ion mass chromatograms (**Figure 5A** and **B**) for typical 1 and 24 hr plasma 502 samples for the 500 mg/kg dose show the change in the balance of metabolites within the 503 profile with time after dosing.





507

Figure 5. Representative ion mass chromatograms for samples from A) the 500mg/kg APAP
dose group A) 1 hr post dose or B) 24hr post dose. Some chromatographic peaks have been
increased in intensity in some cases for clarity (as indicated by the numbers in parenthesis
above the peaks). All chromatographic peak heights are relative to APAP in Figure 5A.
1=APAP-G, 2= APAP-S, 3= APAP-C, 4= APAP, 5= APAP-SG, 6=APAP-NAC.

- As noted in the introduction, the relatively high concentrations of the drug and its major
- 515 metabolites present in plasma and serum following APAP and administration mean that, in
- 516 practice, they are amenable to analysis by a wide range of methods, including LC-UV e.g. [8-

10]. However, the development of HPLC-MS-based methods for the quantification of APAP 517 and metabolites offers benefits in terms of increased specificity. This increased specificity 518 combined with greatly increased sensitivity, results in greater efficiency by enabling the 519 adoption of minimal sample preparation methods and shorter analysis times as well as the use 520 of much smaller samples. As indicated previously, several pre-existing LC-MS-based assays 521 for APAP and (a variable number of) metabolites have been described for use in biofluids 522 such as urine and blood derived samples [11-17]. Some of these methods, such as that 523 developed for mouse urine [11], are limited in their coverage to the determination of APAP 524 525 and the major conjugated metabolites APAP-G and APAP-S. That method, which used the 526 structural analogue 3-acetamidophenol as an internal standard, employed an isocratic reversed-phase separation with a nominal run time of 10 min. However, with column 527 washing and re-equilibration the overall analysis time per sample was 30 min. Subsequent 528 methods enabled the determination of APAP and either APAP-G [12], or both APAP-G and 529 530 APAP-S [13]. The analysis of APAP and APAP-G [12] used isocratic reversed-phase HPLC-MS/MS and was developed for the quantification of these analytes in human plasma and 531 532 urine [12]. Similarly, an isocratic reversed-phase LC-MS/MS assay was used to determine APAP, APAP-G and APAP-S in mouse plasma [13], with APAP-d4 as sole internal standard, 533 with an overall analysis time of 10 min/sample [13]. More recent methods have described the 534 analysis of plasma or urine samples with a more comprehensive coverage of APAP and its 535 metabolites, including those resulting from the production of NAPQI [14-17]. The first of 536 these methods allowed the determination of APAP and six metabolites (APAP-G, APAP-S, 537 APAP-OMe, APAP-NAC, APAP-C and APAP-SG) in rat plasma using HPLC-MS/MS [14]. 538 This assay used deuterated APAP and APAP-G as internal standards and employed a 539 reversed-phase gradient for separation to give a run time of 16 min/sample. However, two 540 runs were required to obtain the required data as the method employed positive ESI for 541 542 APAP and 3-methoxy-APAP (APAP-OMe) and negative ESI for APAP-S, APAP-G, APAP-SG, APAP-NAC and APAP-C. The validated assay had an LLOQ of 100 ng/ml for APAP, 543 APAP-S, APAP-G and for 10 ng/ml APAP-SG, APP-NAC, APAP-C and APAP-OMe. 544 Another multi-metabolite method, also based on gradient reversed-phase HPLC-MS/MS 545 quantified APAP, APAP-G, APAP-S, APAP-NAC, APAP-C and APAP-SG, using APAP-d4 546 and APAP-S-d3 as internal standards. This method analysed 10 µl samples of both human 547 urine and plasma with an analysis time of 20 min/sample [15]. 548

- 549 More recently a method based on reversed-phase gradient HPLC-MS/MS, with a total run
- time of 9 minutes, was developed to analyse APAP, APAP-G, APAP-S, APAP-OMe, APAP-
- 551 SG, APAP-C, and APAP-NAC in 100µl of human plasma [16]. A feature of this method was
- the use of APAP-d4, APAP-G-d3, APAP-S-d3, APAP-C-d5, and APAP-NAC-d5 to monitor
- the assay, rather than a reliance on a more limited number of internal standards. A second
- method, this time based on UHPLC-MS also employed reversed-phase gradient
- chromatography, with a rapid (4.5 min) separation, to analyse APAP, APAP-G, APAP-S,
- 556 APAP-C, APAP-SG and APAP-NAC. In addition, protein-derived APAP-C formed via the
- reaction of NAPQI with protein was also quantified. The method was applied to the analysis
- 558 of 10µl of human plasma obtained from children taking part in a paediatric clinical study
- 559 [17]. APAP-d3 was used as the internal standard for all analytes in this method.
- 560 The U(H)PLC-MS method described here has been developed for the analysis of small (5 μ l)
- samples of rat, human and pig plasma making it suitable for studies, in animals or patients,
- where only limited quantities of plasma or serum are available. Unlike some earlier methods,
- the assay employs stable isotopically labelled internal standards for all the analytes validated
- 564 for quantitative analysis (APAP, APAP-G, APAP-S, APAP-C, APAP-SG and APAP-NAC).
- 565 The method has a short analysis time (7.5 min/sample) and requires minimal sample
- 566 preparation, offering the potential for efficient large-scale sample analysis.
- 567 In addition to the quantification of APAP and the metabolites described above, the method
- can be used to monitor, and provide semi-quantitative data, for the minor 3-methoxy-
- 569 metabolite (for which no isotopically labelled IS was available) using an external standard
- 570 curve. Similarly, the metabolite PAP-G (formed by the glucuronidation of p-aminophenol
- 571 produced by the *N*-deacetylation of APAP and subsequent O-glucuronidation) can also be
- 572 monitored, and semi-quantified, in e.g., porcine plasma using a similar approach. The
- absence of a stable isotope labelled internal standard for these analytes makes meaningful
- validation problematic. However, we consider the use of such external standard curves for
- semiquantitative analysis to enable monitoring both feasible and, in our opinion, preferable to
- using the stable isotope labelled analogue of another metabolite, or APAP itself, as a
- 577 "surrogate" internal standard. For a rapid and fit for purpose assessment of exposure to these,
- 578 potentially, important metabolites we believe this to be an appropriate response to the
- absence of suitable labelled analytes however, hopefully stable isotope-labelled standards of
- 580 these metabolites will become available in due course.

581 Conclusions

582 A "fit for purpose" rapid and sensitive U(H)PLC-MS/MS method for the quantitative analysis

of acetaminophen and five of its metabolites (APAP-G, APAP-S, APAP-C, APAP-SG and

584 APAP-NAC) using deuterated internal standards, has been developed for the analysis of

585 plasma samples from rat, pig and human, and serum from mouse. In addition, a further two

586 metabolites APAP-OMe and PAP-G can be monitored and semi-quantified using external

- standards. The method, which requires only 5 μ l of sample, has been validated for use in rat
- 588 plasma and cross validated for human and pig plasma and mouse serum.

589 Future perspective

590 APAP remains a major cause of hepatic toxicity and liver transplantation in humans. Whilst

- there has been much progress in understanding the mechanism of hepatotoxicity there
- remains the need to obtain improved methods for predicting liver failure or recovery. The

toxicity is thought to be driven by the metabolism of the drug, particularly by CYP2E1.

594 Sensitive and specific methods for the determination of the drug and its metabolites remain

important for studying the drugs toxicity and finding suitable biomarker combinations. As

- such, assays for the analysis of the biofluids of animal models and humans will remain
- important in strategies designed to improve patient outcomes in the case of overdose etc.
- 598

599 Executive Summary

600 Background

- To fully understand the factors resulting in APAP hepatotoxicity the determination of the drug and its metabolites is important.
- For this re,ason a rapid and sensitive analysis a fit for purpose UPLC-MS method for
 acetaminophen (paracetamol, APAP) and 7 metabolites in plasma or serum was
 developed.

606 Experimental

- The developed method enabled quantification of APAP and its sulphate, glucuronide,
 glutathione, cysteinyl and N-acetylcysteinyl conjugates stable isotope-labelled
 internal standards.
- *P*-Aminophenol glucuronide and 3-methoxyAPAP were monitored and semi
 quantified with external standards.

613	Result	ts & discussion
614	•	A simple and rapid method, with minimal sample preparation and using $5\mu L$ of
615		sample was devised and applied to rat plasma samples.
616	٠	In the case of rat plasma the method enabled the detection of APAP and its
617		conjugates following oral administration of 500 or 1500 mg/kg for up to 24h post
618		dose.
619	•	The method has also shown utility for the analysis of mouse serum and pig plasma
620		(where <i>p</i> -aminophenol glucuronide was found to be a major circulating metabolite).
621		
622	Concl	usion
623	•	The method has good sensitivity and is suitable for the determination of the targeted
624		analytes in the plasma of rat, pig and humans, and mouse serum.
625		
626	Ackn	owledgments
627	I Gran	t was funded through a Medical Research Council (MRC) ITTP PhD
628	studen	tship and R Dargue was funded via a BBSRC Case Studentship (GSK). The NIHR
629	Imperi	al BRC is acknowledged for support to Muireann Coen.
630		

631 **References**

- 632 Papers of special note have been highlighted as: * of interest or •• of considerable interest
- [1] Makin AJ, Wendon J, Williams R. A 7-year experience of severe acetaminophen-induced
 hepatotoxicity, *Gastroenterology*. 109 (6), 1907–1916 (1995).
- [2] Larson AM, Polson J, Fontana RJ, *et al.* Acetaminophen-induced acute liver failure:
- results of a United States multicenter, prospective study, *Hepatology*. 42 (6) 1364–1372(2005).
- [3] G. Ostapowicz G, Fontana RJ, Schiodt FV, *et al.* Larson Results of a prospective study of
 acute liver failure at 17 tertiary care centers in the United States. *Ann Intern Med.* 137 (12)
 947–954 (2002).
- [4] Larson AM, Polson J, Fontana RJ, *et al.* Acetaminophen Sets Records in the United
- 642 States: Number 1 Analgesic and Number 1 Cause of Acute Liver Failure Acetaminophen-
- 643 induced acute liver failure: results of a United States multicenter, prospective study.
- 644 *Hepatology*. 42 (4) 1364-1372 (2005).

- [5] Myers RP, Li B, Shaheen AA. Emergency department visits for acetaminophen overdose:
- a Canadian population-based epidemiologic study (1997-2002). *CJEM*. 9 (4), 267-274
 (2007).
- 648 [6] Dahlin DC, Miwa GT, Lu AY, Nelson SD. N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine: A
- 649 cytochrome P-450-mediated oxidation product of acetaminophen. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*.
- **650** 81 (5), 1327-1331 (1984).
- [7] Mitchell JR, Jollow DJ, Potter WZ, Gillette JR, Brodie BB. Acetaminophen-induced
- hepatic necrosis. IV. Protective role of glutathione. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 187 (1),211–217
 1973.
- [8] Di Girolamo A, O'Neill WM, Wainer IW. A validated method for the determination of
 paracetamol and its glucuronide and sulphate metabolites in the urine of HIV+/AIDS patients
 using wavelength switching UV detection. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 17 (6-7), 1191-1197
 (1998).
- 658 [9] Vertzoni MV, Archontaki P, Galanopoulou P. Development and optimization of a
- 659 reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of
- acetaminophen and its major metabolites in rabbit plasma and urine after a toxic dose. J.
- 661 *Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 32 (3), 487-493 (2003).
- [10] Jensen LS, Valentine J, Milne RW, Evans AM. The quantification of paracetamol,
- 663 paracetamol glucuronide and paracetamol sulphate in plasma and urine using a single high-
- 664 performance liquid chromatography assay. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 34 (3), 585-593 (2004).
- [11] Hweavitharana AK, Lees S, Dawson PA, Markowich D, Shaw PN. Development of an
- 666 HPLC-MS/MS method for the selective determination of paracetamol metabolites in mouse
- 667 urine. Anal. Biochem. 374 (1), 106-111 (2008).
- [12] Tan QY, Zhu RH, Li HD, Wang F, Yan M, Dai LB. Simultaneous quantitative
- determination of paracetamol and its glucuronide conjugate in human plasma and urine by
- 670 liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray tandem mass spectrometry: Application to a
- clinical pharmacokinetic study. J. Chromatogr. B. 893-894, 162-167 (2012).
- 672 [13] Gicquel T, Aubert JT, Lepage S, Fromenty B, Morel I. Quantitative Analysis of
- 673 Acetaminophen and its Primary Metabolites in Small Plasma Volumes by Liquid
- 674 Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry, *J Anal Toxicol.* 37 (2),110-116 (2013).
- [14] An JH, Lee HJ, Jung BH, Quantitative analysis of acetaminophen and its six metabolites
 in rat plasma using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Biomed. Chromatogr.*26 (12) 1596-1604 (2012).
- A useful method describing a HPLC-based method for analysis of APAP and metabolites.
- 680 [15] Cook SF, King AD, van den Anker JN, Wilkins DG, Simultaneous quantification of
- acetaminophen and five acetaminophen metabolites in human plasma and urine by high-
- 682 performance liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry:
- 683 Method validation and application to a neonatal pharmacokinetic study. J. Chromatogr. B.
- 6841007, 30–42 (2015).

685 686 687	[16] Lammers LA, Achterbergh RM, Pistorius CM, Romijn JA, Mathôt RA. A Quantitative method for simultaneous analysis of acetaminophen and 6 metabolites. <i>Ther. Drug Monit.</i> 39 (2), 172-179 (2017).
688 689 690	[17] Flint RB, Mian P, van der Nagel B, Slijkhuis N, Koch B.C., Quantification of Acetaminophen and Its Metabolites in Plasma Using UPLC-MS: Doors Open to Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Special Patient Populations. <i>Ther Drug Monit.</i> 39 (2017)164-171.
691	• * A useful UHPLC method for APAP and metabolites in plasma
692 693	[18] FDA Guidance for Industry, Bioanalytical Method Validation, http://www.fda.gov. 2001, May.
694 695 696	[19] Dargue R, Zia, Lau C, <i>et al</i> . Metabolism and Effects on Endogenous Metabolism of Paracetamol (Acetaminophen) in a Porcine Model of Liver Failure. <i>Toxicological Sciences</i> , <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfaa023</u> (2020)
697 698	[20] Triantafyllou E, Pop OT, Possamai LA, <i>et al.</i> MerTK expressing hepatic macrophages promote the resolution of inflammation in acute liver failure. <i>Gut.</i> 67 (2), 333-347 (2018).
699 700 701 702	[21] Bateman KP, Cohen L, Emary B, Pucci V. Standardized workflows for increasing efficiency and productivity in discovery stage bioanalysis. <i>Bioanalysis</i> . 5 (14) (2013) 1783–1794 (2013).
703 704 705 706	• ** an excellent article providing guidance on fit for purpose methodology, including assessment of concentration above the upper limit of quantification, when strict adherence to regulatory guidance is not necessarily appropriate.
707 708 709	[22] Senn S, N. Holford N, Hockey H. The ghosts of departed quantities: approaches to dealing with observations below the limit of quantification. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> . 31 (30), 4280-4295 (2012).
710	• ** guidance on the assessment of analytes detected but below the limit of quantification
711 712	[23] Duggan JX. Quantification below the LLOQ in regulated LC–MS/MS assays: a review of bioanalytical considerations and cautions. <i>Bioanalysis</i> . 11 (8), 797–814 (2019).
713 714	• ** guidance on the assessment of analytes detected but below the limit of quantification
715	
716	
717	
718	
719	
720	

721			
722			
723			
724			
725			
726			
727			
728			