### Aflatoxin and Ochratoxin A residues in supplementary foods used for wild birds

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

#### Abstract

Provision of supplementary food for garden birds is practiced on a large scale in multiple countries. While this resource has benefits for wild bird populations, concern has been expressed regarding the potential for contamination of foodstuffs by mycotoxins, and the implications this might have for wildlife health. We investigated whether aflatoxin (AF) and ochratoxin A (OA) residues are present in foodstuffs sold for wild bird consumption at point of sale in Great Britain using high pressure liquid chromatography analyses. The hypothesis that production of these mycotoxins occurs in British climatic conditions, or under storage conditions after the point of sale, was tested under experimental conditions but was not proved by our study. While the majority of peanut samples were negative for AF residues, 10% (10/98) of samples at point of sale and 11% (13/119) of those across the storage and climate exposure treatment replicates contained AFB<sub>1</sub> that exceeded the maximum permitted limit of 20 µg/kg. No significant difference was found in the detection of either mycotoxin between branded and non-branded products. The clinical significance, if any, of exposure of wild birds to mycotoxins requires further investigation. Nevertheless, the precautionary principle should be adopted and best practice steps to reduce the likelihood of wild bird exposure to mycotoxins are recommended.

20

21

19

### Highlights

- Provision of contaminated food risks exposure of wild birds to mycotoxins
- We tested samples of peanuts (whole and granule) and sunflower seed for mycotoxins
- 10% of peanut samples exceeded the Maximum Permitted Limit for aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>
- Storage and climate exposure treatments could lead to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production

• Best feeding practice will reduce health risks to birds from mycotoxin exposure

### Keywords

Mycotoxin, peanut, sunflower seed, wild bird health, garden birds, Great Britain

### 1. Introduction

Provision of supplementary food for wild birds at garden feeding stations is a common practice in Great Britain conducted throughout the calendar year (Davies et al., 2009). Given the scale of this anthropogenic activity, which is sufficiently large to alter the shape of bird communities (Plummer et al., 2019), there is a need to evaluate its impact on wild bird populations, both in terms of benefits and potential health hazards (Lawson et al., 2018). While supplementary food can provide valuable additional dietary resources for wild birds, concerns have been expressed regarding the quality of supplementary foodstuffs, for example their nutritional composition and the risk of mycotoxin contamination (Murray et al., 2016; Strandin et al., 2018).

Aflatoxins (AFs B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub>) and ochratoxin A (OA), secondary metabolites produced by *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* fungi, are potent biological toxins that exert a range of adverse effects in birds. These range from acute toxicosis, with AFs principally targeting the liver and OA the kidney, to chronic subclinical impairment of growth, reproduction and immune function (O'Hara, 1996; Lawson et al., 2006; Heussner and Bingle, 2015).

Marked variation in the susceptibility of bird species to aflatoxicosis occurs, which has been demonstrated through experimental dietary challenge studies, primarily in galliform species (Ruff et al., 1990; Huff et al., 1992; Ruff et al., 1992). Whilst both AF and OA are known to be toxic in birds such as domestic poultry, there is currently little information available on the

susceptibility of garden bird species to AF or OA exposure. However, experimental exposure studies on the northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), a frequent visitor of backyard feeding stations in North America, suggests they are highly susceptible to aflatoxicosis (Moore et al., 2019). These authors estimated the lethal dose (LD)<sub>50</sub> of aflatoxin for adult cardinals ingesting aflatoxin-contaminated feed is about 500 ppb, compared with published LD50s of 360 ppb for 1-day old ducklings and 6000 ppb for adult chickens. Sublethal effects were noted with immunosuppression, evidenced by reduced white blood cell proliferation, occurring in cardinals fed AF levels as low as 25 ppb (µg/kg)(Moore et al., 2019). AF residues have been detected in the liver of two species, house sparrow (Passer domesticus) and greenfinch (Chloris chloris), found dead in gardens in Britain (Lawson et al., 2006), however, the pathological significance of these residues, if any, is unknown. Various pathogens are known to affect garden birds in Great Britain (Lawson et al., 2018) and the possibility that chronic AF exposure might impair the immune function of passerines and predispose them to infectious disease requires investigation (Lawson et al., 2006). No research into the presence of OA residues in wild bird tissues appears to have been performed.

A range of products sold as supplementary feed for garden birds are known to be vulnerable to AF and OA contamination (e.g. cereals, seeds, peanuts) (Pitt and Hocking, 1997), but there have been few studies quantifying levels present (e.g. Henke et al., 2001; Scudamore et al., 1997). Currently, the source of any AF exposure for garden birds in Great Britain is unknown, however, possible sources include supplementary food provided by homeowners, agricultural crops and wild seed. Our focus is on supplementary food, which might be contaminated with AF at the point of sale, contaminated after sale from production during storage, contaminated after sale from production whilst exposed to British climatic conditions at the feeding station

itself, or a combination of the above. Branded peanuts marketed for wild bird feed sometimes include information on the packaging to indicate that the contents have been screened for AF residues. However, peanuts for wild bird consumption are also sold through a wide variety of outlets, often unbranded, where labelling is not present and, consequently, the quality control (QC) procedures followed are unknown. All products sold in the UK, though, should have checks to ensure they comply with The Animal Feed (Composition, Marketing and Use) (England) Regulations (or the equivalent legislation in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland), which provide for enforcement and implementation of EU Regulations and Directives, and stipulate a maximum permitted level (MPL) of 20 µg/kg (ppb) AFB<sub>1</sub> in peanuts sold as food for animals, including wild birds (Anon. 2015). No MPL exists for OA, although European Union (EU) Commission Recommendation 2006/576/EC (Anon. 2006) provides a Guidance Limit of 100 µg/kg for poultry foodstuffs.

Optimal conditions for AF production occur at high temperature and relative humidity; however, Thompson et al. (2000) demonstrated AF production in corn (*Zea mays:* maize) under temperate climatic conditions (i.e. temperature 14-18 °C and relative humidity 35-40 %) that regularly occur in the UK. OA production also occurs in temperate conditions (Santin 2005). Historically, wild bird foods were provided mostly during the winter months in British gardens, but since the 1980s there has been a move to include summer feeding when climatic conditions appropriate for mycotoxin production occur (Jones 2018). Consequently, there is a potential risk for production of both AF and OA within feed products at garden bird feeding stations and a need to determine whether this occurs in the UK. Testing of food residues from hanging feeders in use at garden feeding stations in the UK detected AF residues in all seven samples tested, two of which were at particularly high values of 690 and 61,710 µg/kg (Lawson et al., 2018).

For the current study, we had four objectives: first, we investigated whether AF and OA residues are present in foodstuffs (peanuts, peanut granules and sunflower seed hearts) sold for garden bird consumption at the point of sale. Second, we compared the AF and OA residues in whole peanuts from branded versus non-branded sources. Third, we tested the hypothesis that AF or OA production occurs in peanuts in British climatic conditions at garden feeding stations and/or under storage conditions after the point of sale. Fourth, we conducted a questionnaire survey on garden bird feeding methods to help put the study findings in context.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Sampling protocol - 2007

In early 2007, a total of 39 different whole peanut products marketed for wild bird consumption were purchased for mycotoxin screening; 19 were branded samples (with information on the packaging which indicated that the contents had been screened for AFs) and 20 were from non-branded sources with no packaging information. Peanuts were purchased by mail order from national suppliers (n=14) and from a range of supermarkets (n=5), home/DIY/garden centres (n=2), pet shops and pet market stalls (n=19) in England. The sampling protocol was designed to mimic, as far as possible, domestic conditions and of a typical bird feeder used in a garden setting in Great Britain. Either one kilogram of each food sample, or the smallest package size available in the commercial range for branded products, was purchased. The sample weight was selected to reflect the purchase size for small packets of wild bird food by a domestic consumer. The packet contents for branded peanuts, and the entire bag for non-branded peanuts, were mixed thoroughly by hand and four 250g aliquots were then taken at random: aliquot size was dictated by the volume of peanuts that would fit within the commercially

available bird feeders used in the trial. The remainder of the study was performed blind to the details of the commercial source. Point of sale samples ('Point of Sale' treatment), representing baseline mycotoxin levels, were taken and individually stored in air- and water-tight plastic bags at -20 °C pending high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. A second batch ('Spring Exposure' treatment) was exposed to British climatic conditions for a period of 30 days in March-April 2007. Each sample was transferred to a 'Discovery' hanging wire mesh plastic peanut feeder (CJ Wildlife, UK). The feeders were suspended within a mock feeding station constructed from plywood and wire mesh (c. 5 metres long by 1.5 metres wide by 1 metre high and raised 1 metre above ground level – Supplementary Fig. S1) which was situated outdoors at the Institute of Zoology (in Regent's Park, London, U.K.). Inside this structure, the contents of the feeders were exposed to climatic conditions such as wind and rain, but access by birds and mammals was prevented. A digital 'Memory Thermo-Hygrometer' (ATP Instrumentation Ltd., Leicestershire) was placed beneath the feeding station in a raised position and protected from rain. Daily maximum and minimum relative humidity (%) and temperature readings (°C) were recorded to monitor the climatic conditions to which the bird food was exposed. The position

145

146

147

148

149

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

A third batch ('Summer Exposure' treatment) of each of the samples was exposed to British climatic conditions for a period of 30 days in June-July 2007 using the same protocol as for the Spring Exposure treatment. These samples had been stored at -20 °C for the period following purchase before this component of the trial began and were frozen again at the end of the

of each sample in the mock feeding station was randomly assigned through blind ballot. At the

completion of the exposure period, samples were transferred to air- and water-tight plastic bags

and stored at -20 °C pending HPLC analysis.

treatment pending HPLC analysis. One sample was not tested under summer exposure conditions because of insufficient sample volume.

A fourth batch ('Storage' treatment) of samples was placed in storage in a cool and dry indoor room for a period of 90 days (March-June 2007). Each sample was stored in a non-sealed plastic bag in a ventilated plastic crate. Samples were gently mixed on a weekly basis to simulate peanuts being removed from a packet of food. A digital Memory Thermo-Hygrometer was placed in the plastic crate and readings were taken on a weekly basis. At the completion of the exposure period, samples were transferred to air- and water-tight plastic bags and stored at -20 °C pending HPLC analysis.

A single sample of peanut granules was purchased from a branded source in 2007; four 250 g aliquots were taken and processed in the same way as the whole peanut samples, although results from these samples were not included in the analyses comparing climate exposure and storage replicates.

### 2.2 Sampling protocol - 2018

Approximately a decade after the initial study, in January/February 2018, the point of sale treatment was repeated for wild bird food products. A total of 45 different whole peanut products marketed for wild bird consumption were purchased for mycotoxin screening; 25 were branded samples and 20 were from non-branded sources where no packaging information was available. Whole peanuts were purchased from home/DIY/garden retail outlets (n=20), pet shops (n=13), supermarkets/retailers (n=8), online mail order (n=3), and from a pet market stall (n=1). In addition, 13 different peanut granule (also described as kibble or chips) products were bought, 12 of which were from branded sources. A total of 20 different sunflower seed heart

products marketed for wild bird consumption were purchased: 19 were branded and one was from a non-branded source.

Either one kilogram of each food sample, or the smallest package size available in the commercial range for branded products, was purchased. Each sample was mixed thoroughly and a 250g aliquot was taken at random and individually stored in air- and water-tight plastic bags at -20 °C pending HPLC analysis. Analyses were performed blind to the details of the commercial source.

# 2.3 High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Samples were transported frozen to Fera Science Ltd. for HPLC analysis, stored at -20 °C and allowed to thaw overnight before preparation for analysis. A homogenised slurry was created from each sample by adding 200 ml of tap water per 250 g of food product and grinding the sample in a clean food processor for twenty minutes.

Analysis of mycotoxins were carried out using fully validated and accredited (to ISO 17025 by the United Kingdom Accreditation Scheme) methods based on those published for AF (Sharman et al., 1991) and OA (Sharman et al, 1992) or for combined AF/OA methods (Chan et al., 2004), depending on the availability of clean-up columns: validation and QC procedures have shown that single or combined methods produce equivalent results (Chan et al., 2004). To perform the extraction, an aliquot (36 g) of the slurried sample was homogenised at high speed with extraction solution (acetonitrile/water, 60:24, volume/volume). The extract was filtered, and 5 ml of the filtrate was added to 145 ml phosphate-buffered saline. For quality control purposes, aliquots of known blank peanut slurry were spiked at a level equivalent to 5 μg/kg for each aflatoxin (20 μg/kg total aflatoxin) and 5 μg/kg ochratoxin A. These were

extracted and analysed in the same way as the study samples to determine the analytical recovery of the analysis.

Fully automated immunoaffinity column clean-up and reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection was carried out on the resulting aqueous solutions. Samples were cleaned up by combined AF and OA immunoaffinity columns (AflaOchra) and either both toxins were analysed together in one run (Chan et al., 2004) or the extracts were split and AFs and OA were cleaned-up and analysed separately, for AFs using the conditions described by Sharman et al. (1991) and for OA using the method of Sharman et al. (1992). Samples were run in batches of twenty on consecutive days with the same equipment and operator to minimize laboratory variability. In-house reference materials with known mycotoxin concentrations were used in each batch run to ensure that variation between batches remained within acceptable limits. The concentrations (μg/kg) of mycotoxins detected were corrected according to the percentage of mycotoxin recovery achieved in each batch analysed, as determined from the results of spiked samples.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

As the level of both AF (median = 0  $\mu$ g/kg; maximum = 935  $\mu$ g/kg) and OA (median = 0  $\mu$ g/kg; maximum = 18.4  $\mu$ g/kg) recorded in the samples was highly skewed, we considered three levels of contamination for AF: none - no detectable residue; low - detectable AF (of any type) but  $\leq$ MPL of 20  $\mu$ g/kg AFB<sub>1</sub>; and high - >20  $\mu$ g/kg AFB<sub>1</sub>. There is no equivalent MPL for OA but, for consistency, we also considered three levels of contamination; no detectable residue;  $\leq$ 5  $\mu$ g/kg; and >5  $\mu$ g/kg. For all analyses we used ordinal regression to estimate the log-odds of a sample being classified in a particular category (Venables and Ripley, 2003).

We first compared point of sale whole peanut, peanut granules and sunflower seed products purchased for 2007 and 2018 combined. We then compared whole peanut products purchased in 2007 and 2018 and assessed whether there was a difference between branded and non-branded samples. Finally, we tested for evidence for production of AF or OA under typical British climatic conditions or storage conditions by comparing the results of the Point of Sale treatment in 2007 with those for each of the other treatments in turn using the non-parametric Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test for paired data with continuity correction for sample sizes. Because we were interested in whether exposure to climatic or storage conditions increased the level of contaminants, we used a one-tailed test.

### 2.5 Questionnaire on garden bird feeding practice

In order to determine how closely the conditions in this study simulated garden bird feeding practice in the UK, an anonymised questionnaire was distributed to employees of the Zoological Society of London (ZSL) and of the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB), Bedfordshire, in August 2005. The target respondents were people who used hanging feeders to feed garden birds. Respondents were asked whether they purchased peanuts for wild birds and whether these were from branded or non-branded sources; the same question was asked regarding provision of wild bird seed. In addition, respondents were asked whether they provided supplementary food during winter only (November – February), year-round or on a variable basis; and whether they topped up their feeders with food, emptied them before refilling, or a combination of the two.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Aflatoxin Residues

For the HPLC analyses, the recovery limits were within the acceptable range for quality control; the full set of corrected results obtained are presented in Supplementary Database S1. We considered the climatic variables measured in the spring, summer and storage treatments in 2007 (Supplementary Table S1) to be representative of the respective seasonal range for the British climate.

Point of Sale

In most (62%, 61/98) peanut (whole and granule) samples for 2007 and 2018 combined, no AF residues were recorded at point of sale while residues of AFB<sub>1</sub> exceeding the MPL were recorded in 10 (10%) of these samples (median of these 10 samples 56  $\mu$ g/kg, range 23-800  $\mu$ g/kg AFB<sub>1</sub>, Fig. 1a). Recorded levels of AFB<sub>2</sub> (maximum = 150.0  $\mu$ g/kg), AFG<sub>1</sub> (maximum = 7.3  $\mu$ g/kg) and AFG<sub>2</sub> (maximum = 4.3  $\mu$ g/kg) at point of sale were lower and only occurred in samples where AFB<sub>1</sub> was also present (Supplementary Database S1). No samples of sunflower seed at point of sale exceeded the MPL for AFB<sub>1</sub> (maximum AFB<sub>1</sub> = 5.4  $\mu$ g/kg) and in 85% (17/20) no AF was detected (Fig. 1a). Relative to whole peanut products, peanut granule samples were much more likely to contain AF residues at point of sale (log-odds ratio = 5.0; 95% interval 1.5 – 17.4; t<sub>1</sub> = 2.62, p = 0.009) and sunflower seeds somewhat less likely to do so (log-odds ratio = 0.36; 95% interval 0.08 – 1.30, t<sub>1</sub> = -1.50, p = 0.15).

For whole peanut products, there was no difference in the frequency of detection of mycotoxins in point of sale samples in 2018 compared to 2007 (log-odds ratio = 0.97; 95% interval 0.39 – 2.46,  $t_1 = -0.06$ , p = 0.95), nor in branded compared to non-branded products (log-odds ratio = 1.39; 95% interval 0.56 – 3.56,  $t_1 = 0.70$ , p = 0.48, Fig. 1a).

Treatments

For the whole peanut products exposed to different treatments in 2007, there was no significant difference in AF levels in samples exposed to spring (mean 33.0 µg/kg, V = 117, p = 0.33, n=39), summer (mean = 89.0  $\mu$ g/kg, V = 120, p = 0.30, n = 38) or storage (mean = 103  $\mu$ g/kg, V = 125, p = 0.38, n = 39) conditions compared to the point of sale (mean = 59.8 µg/kg) (Fig. 2a). Similar results were obtained when branded and non-branded products were considered separately. Aflatoxin residues were not present evenly through each of the samples across these four treatment groups. Of the 40 products tested, 26% (10/39) were negative, and six (15%) were positive for AF across all four treatments; in 23 products (59%) AF was detected in some, but not all, treatments. A total of 17 samples across the treatment groups from eight (20%) products exceeded the MPL for AFB<sub>1</sub>, although only one product exceeded the MPL in all four treatment groups (Supplementary Database S1).

285

286

287

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

The single source of peanut granules in the 2007 study had detectable levels of AF within each of the four treatment categories although all were below 5 μg/kg.

288

289

291

# 3.2 Ochratoxin A Residues

290 Point of Sale

OA residues were found in a similar number of peanut (whole and granule) samples at point of 292 sale (36%, 35/98) as AF, but with lower absolute levels (range: 0 - 18 μg/kg) (Fig. 1b; 293 Supplementary Database S1). OA was detected in more granule samples (6/14, 46%) at point 294 of sale than whole nut samples (29/84, 34%), but at lower levels (max granule = 1.7 µg/kg, max whole nuts =18.5  $\mu$ g/kg), although neither difference was significant (frequency:  $\chi^2_1$  = 295 0.28, p = 0.59; level: Wilcoxon W = 505, p = 0.57).

297

For whole peanut products, OA residues were more likely to be detected in 2018 than in 2007 (log-odds ratio = 8.28; 95% interval 2.92 – 27.7,  $t_1$  = 3.74, p < 0.001). Also, OA was detected at significantly greater levels (maximum 18.5  $\mu$ g/kg, W = 1317, p < 0.001) in 2018 than in 2007, when a maximum of 0.4  $\mu$ g/kg was recorded in the five positive samples detected. There was no difference between branded and non-branded products for likelihood of detection (log-odds ratio = 0.86; 95% interval 0.32 – 2.28,  $t_1$  = -0.32, p = 0.75) or levels of detection (Wilcoxon W=237, p\_0.77).

### **Treatments**

Across the four treatment groups in 2007, 63% (25/39) of the whole peanut products tested were negative for OA in all treatment categories and, of the positive products, OA was detected at 0.5  $\mu$ g/kg or less in all treatment samples apart from one of the spring samples which contained 48.1  $\mu$ g/kg. There was no significant difference in the levels of OA between any of the experimental treatments and the point-of-sale samples (all p > 0.32).

The single source of peanut granules in the 2007 study only had detectable levels of OA in the Summer Exposure treatment which contained the second highest level recorded in the study at  $4.4~\mu g/kg$ .

# 3.4 Questionnaire of garden bird feeding practice

There were 251 respondents, of whom 78 (31%) fed non-branded peanuts and 70 (28%) fed non-branded seed. Amongst these, 55 (22%) of respondents fed both non-branded seed and non-branded peanuts. 130 (52%) of respondents fed branded peanuts and 168 (67%) fed branded seed, with 122 (49%) feeding both. More people fed garden birds all year round

(154/251 respondents, 61%) than provided feed during the winter months only (November to March, 58/154, 23%). The remaining 37 respondents (15%) described their feeding patterns as variable (two did not answer this question). When asked whether they emptied and refilled feeders or just topped them up when necessary, 98 (39%) respondents said they topped feeders up, 61 (24%) said they emptied and refilled feeders each time, and the remaining 91 (36%) said they did a mixture of the two (one person did not answer this question).

#### 4. Discussion

The results of this study indicate that supplementary food for wild birds in Great Britain can be a source of mycotoxins. While the majority of foodstuff samples had no detectable levels, a subset of both branded and non-branded products was found to contain AF and/or OA residues. AFB<sub>1</sub> exceeding the MPL of 20  $\mu$ g/kg was detected in circa 10% of peanut samples at point of sale, and in circa 11% across the storage and climate exposure replicates. Although OA is considered a storage toxin produced under temperate climate conditions, no samples at point of sale or across the other treatment categories had levels that approached the poultry feedstuffs guidance limit of 100  $\mu$ g/kg OA.

Mechanical damage to foodstuffs can increase the likelihood of mycotoxin production (Santin 2005). Milling of peanuts to produce granules may mimic this effect. Whilst only 14% (2/14) of peanut granule products exceeded the AFB<sub>1</sub> MPL, AF residues for granules were significantly more frequent at point of sale than for whole peanut products. In addition, the single peanut granule sample exposed to summer climate conditions (and which had OA levels of 4.4  $\mu$ g/kg) formed a solid block of food with visible fungal contamination from which a

*Mucor* sp. was isolated (Supplementary Fig. S2). Particular care (see below) may be required when providing granular foodstuffs.

Sunflower seeds were the least likely of the foodstuffs tested to have AF residues, and no samples exceeded the MPL for AFB<sub>1</sub>. Nevertheless, there are examples of sunflower seed intended for animal feed consignments exceeding the MPL for AFs at importation into Europe (RASFF, 2019), therefore seeds may also be affected by mycotoxin contamination. In recent years, some consignments of peanuts imported to the EU and destined for use in supplementary food products for garden birds also have failed to meet the AFB<sub>1</sub> MPL. Of 103 consignments of feed materials rejected for mycotoxin contamination from 1st January 2017 to 6th September 2019, 32 were specified as groundnuts for birdfeed or wildlife feed (RASFF Portal, https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasff-window/portal/).

Although AFs have previously been recorded in commercial pet food (Leung et al., 2006), only a small number of published studies have tested for mycotoxin residues in foodstuffs sold or used for wild animal consumption, each of which has detected AF and/or OA in a subset of samples at variable levels. Most of these studies focus on food for game bird and cervid species in North America (Schweitzer et al., 2001; Oberheu et al., 2001a,b; Fischer et al., 1995; Dunham et al, 2017). In one study, 142 samples of wild bird seed marketed for use in backyard feeders were tested from a variety of commercial sources in Texas, USA, and AF levels ranging from non-detectable to 2780  $\mu$ g/kg were found: 17% of the samples had AF>100  $\mu$ g/kg, of which 83% contained corn as an ingredient (Henke et al., 2001). A single study of pet foods in the UK included testing of 15 wild bird food products that comprised eight peanut products, three various seed products and four mixed products: 370  $\mu$ g/kg AFB1 was detected in one of the peanut samples and 6  $\mu$ g/kg OA was detected in a wild bird mixed food (Scudamore et al.,

1997). Our results are consistent with this earlier work, but update findings over a 20-year interval and comprise a larger sample size.

Whilst there was variation within the treatment groups, results were found to be relatively consistent for many of the peanut samples across the four treatment categories. This indicates that, although some heterogeneity among the samples was present, AF contaminated products did not appear to be the result of an isolated pocket of mycotoxin production. While we found no statistical evidence to support enhanced mycotoxin production in storage or exposure to British climate conditions, the possibility that this may occur cannot be excluded. It is interesting to note that the peak AF and OA values recorded were in samples from the climate exposure and storage treatments and not in the point of sale samples. Oberheu et al. (2001a,b) found an association between mean relative humidity and AF and OA concentrations in grain samples within game bird feeders; evaluation of the relationship between relative humidity and mycotoxin levels was not possible with the climatic data collected in this study.

There was no significant difference in the frequency of detection of aflatoxins in products purchased in 2007 and 2018, however OA was detected more frequently whole peanut products in 2018 than 2007. This could be due to a number of factors, including normal seasonal and annual variation due to differing climatic conditions when the crops are produced and stored. Indeed, whilst it is not possible to control for this annual variation in mycotoxin production, it is plausible that the sampling year selection in this study could significantly influence our findings. OA is produced by several different fungi, mainly various species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*. These contaminate a range of crops grown in different climates. For example, species from the *Aspergillus ochraceus* group can infect cereals, coffee, and nuts, and *Aspergillus carbonarius* has been associated with grapes, dried vine fruits and wine (Magan

and Aldred, 2005). *Penicillium verrucosum* is more common in temperate regions and usually associated with cereals, whereas *P. nordicum* generally contaminates protein rich food such as cheese and meat (Wang et al 2016). In general, OA formation on grains occurs mainly after harvesting on insufficiently dried cereal and cereal products. Factors influencing OA production include environmental conditions, such as temperature and water activity, but also the type and integrity of the seeds (Denli and Perez, 2010). Therefore, low moisture levels in commodities placed in storage or during transportation is key to preventing OA formation; if this is not well controlled then OA may be produced. Similarly, if there are fluctuations in temperature and humidity, such as can occur during transport, this can result in optimal conditions for OA formation.

In this study, we found no significant difference between AF or OA residues in branded versus non-branded foodstuffs. Some branded products state on their labels that they are tested for aflatoxins or tested as nil detectable aflatoxins. Whilst no QC information was available for the non-branded peanuts, it is important to note that the absence of information does not indicate that AF screening had not been performed.

Regulation (EC) No 767/2009 details current rules for labelling, packaging and presentation of food and defines 'non-food producing' animals as 'any animal that is fed, bred or kept but that is not used for human consumption, such as fur animals, pets and animals kept in laboratories, zoos or circuses': whilst free-living wildlife is not mentioned, products marketed as wild bird food are likely governed by this legislation since they are 'fed' by people. However, since wild bird foods are frequently whole feed materials without additives, as opposed to compound food, some specific mandatory labelling requirements, such as the indication of a minimum storage life, may not apply (Articles 15-17). A Best Before Date was printed on 80% (45/56) of the

branded wild bird food products purchased in 2018 in this study, where the labelling was carefully checked for this information.

The importance of agricultural crops or wild seed as a source of AF or OA exposure for wild birds requires evaluation to help put any risks from supplementary food in context. A study of field corn in the Mississippi, U.S.A., found levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> ranging from 5-5000 ppb (µg/kg), with greatest levels in corn found on the ground post-harvest, but no detectable OA (Couvillion et al., 1991). An understanding of the proportion of wild bird diet that supplementary food constitutes compared with agricultural crops or wild seed is required to assess the relative risk of supplementary feed and to understand whether provisioning puts wild birds at an elevated risk of mycotoxin exposure.

Whether birds have the ability to discriminate, and therefore avoid, mycotoxin contaminated food remains uncertain. A study by Perez et al. (2001) of three species of wild-caught birds found mixed evidence of avoidance of AF-contaminated food in an experimental trial.

Peanuts are a common supplementary food product for garden birds, although, with the growing diversity of foodstuffs for wild birds that are now commercially available, their relative use has reduced in recent years. Whilst 86% of participants in the British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) Garden BirdWatch scheme offered peanuts to wild birds in their garden in the winter of 2007, this figure reduced to 66% in 2017 (BTO, *unpublished data*); nevertheless, peanuts will be available in the majority of gardens where supplementary food is provided. The results of our questionnaire survey found that a greater percentage of respondents provided branded peanuts and branded seed than non-branded products of either food type. It is important to note, however, that ZSL and RSPB staff might not be representative of the public

in general in this regard. The majority of questionnaire respondents provided food for garden birds year-round. Sweeney and Dobson (1998) summarized data on the range of ambient temperature for *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* sp. growth and mycotoxin production, and optimal conditions for these to occur: these data indicate AF production may occur under ambient temperature conditions similar to those likely to occur during the warmer summer, but not the cooler winter, months in Great Britain. It is possible, therefore, that the move to summerfeeding of garden birds, might be associated with an increased risk of mycotoxin exposure from supplementary feed. In this questionnaire survey, only one quarter of respondents emptied bird feeders before refilling them. Topping up the contents of a feeder may lead to residues at the base of the feeder remaining in situ for some time. Whether cleaning was practiced in between refilling feeders was not assessed in the questionnaire design. However, it should be noted that, if feeders are not cleaned before replenishing with fresh food, there is a risk that contaminated food residues remain that could promote subsequent fungal growth. Lawson et al. (2018) found detectable, and sometimes high, levels of AF in feeder residues: consequently, feeders should be emptied and cleaned before being refilled.

In conclusion, the findings of this study indicate that current practice at feeding stations presents a potential risk of mycotoxin, in particular AF, exposure to garden birds from supplementary feed. Whilst the significance, if any, of mycotoxin exposure to wild bird health is currently unknown, the adoption of sensible precautions to minimize potential risks is recommended. Foodstuffs should be purchased in volumes that will be used within a reasonable period (e.g. quarterly period) or, by the Best Before Date if available. Buyers may wish to enquire on the source of the product and its storage history. Foodstuffs should be stored in sealed containers in a cool, dry environment with minimal temperature variation to avoid condensation. Food should be offered in feeders in moderate volumes that are used within a

short period (e.g. 1-2 days). Feeders and tables should be regularly cleaned and disinfected to avoid build-up of waste material and potential fungal contamination; feeders should not be repeatedly topped up but left until empty so that the food is not allowed to become stale. Food residues at the base of feeders that are not eaten should be disposed of as waste to avoid them being consumed by wildlife or other animals at a later stage. A variety of foodstuffs should be offered as a supplement, rather than as a replacement, for the natural diet; therefore, any mycotoxin contaminated product is only likely to constitute a small proportion of the diet. Our survey responses indicate that those provisioning wild birds could further minimize the risk of consumption of mycotoxin-contaminated food by birds, so an education program could be beneficial. Companies and ornithological non-governmental organisations who market wild bird food can also assist by promoting best practice guidance.

## 

### Acknowledgements

We thank Kirsi Peck, David Hitchcock, Matthew Perkins and Shinto John for their assistance with this study; members of staff at the ZSL and the RSPB for completing the questionnaire survey, and CJ Wild Bird Foods for their donation of peanut bird feeders.

# **Funding**

This work was conducted as part of the Garden Bird Health *initiative* which was supported by the Birdcare Standards Association, the British Veterinary Association Animal Welfare, CJ Wildbird Foods, Cranswick Pet Products, Gardman Ltd. and the Universities Federation for Animal Welfare.

**Competing interests** We declare no competing interests. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **Credit author statements** Becki Lawson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation Robert A. Robinson: Formal analysis Mita Parmar: Investigation Rowena Killick: Investigation Andrew A. Cunningham: Supervision, Funding acquisition Susan J. MacDonald: Conceptualization Methodology, Investigation. All authors: Writing - Original Draft References Anon. 2015. The Animal Feed (Composition, Marketing and Use) (England) Regulations 2015. Statutory Instrument 2015 No. 255. Anon. 2006. Council Recommendation 2006/576/EC of 17 August 2006 on the on the presence of deoxynivalenol, zearalenone, ochratoxin A, T-2 and HT-2 and fumonisins in products intended for animal feeding. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 229/7, 23/08/2006, pp. 1-3. 

- 522 Chan, D., MacDonald, S.J., Boughtflower, V., Brereton, P., 2004. Simultaneous determination
- of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in food using a fully automated immunoaffinity column clean-
- 524 up and liquid chromatography-fluorescence detection. J. Chromatogr. A 1059, 13-16.
- 525 https://doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2004.09.096

- 527 Couvillion, C.E., Jackson, J.R., Ingram, R.P., Bennett, L.W., McCoy, C.P., 1991. Potential
- natural exposure of Mississippi Sandhill cranes to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. J. Wild. Dis. 27, 650-656.
- 529 https://doi:10.7589/0090-3558-27.4.650

530

- Davies, Z.G., Fuller, R.A., Loram, A., Irvine, K.N., Sims, V., Gaston, K.J., 2009. A national
- scale inventory of resource provision for biodiversity within domestic gardens. Biol. Conserv.
- 533 142, 761-71. https://doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.12.016

534

- Denli, M., Perez, J. F. 2010. Ochratoxins in feed, a risk for animal and human health: control
- 536 strategies. Toxins, 2(5), 1065–1077. https://doi:10.3390/toxins2051065

537

- 538 Dunham, N.R., Peper, S.T., Downing, C.D., Kendall, R.J., 2017. Aflatoxin contamination in
- corn sold for wildlife feed in Texas. Ecotoxicol. 26(4), 516-20. https://doi: 10.1007/s10646-
- 540 017-1782-7

541

- 542 Fischer, J.R., Jain, A.V., Shipes, D.A., Osborne, J.S., 1995. Aflatoxin contamination of corn
- used as bait for deer in the Southeastern United States. J. Wild. Dis. 31, 570-572. https://doi:
- 544 10.7589/0090-3558-31.4.570

- Henke, S.E., Gallardo, V.C., Martinez, B., Bailey, R., 2001. Survey of aflatoxin
- concentrations in wild bird seed purchased in Texas. J.Wild. Dis. 37(4), 831-
- 548 5. https://doi:10.7589/0090-3558-37.4.831

- Heussner, A.H., Bingle, L.E.H., 2015. Comparative ochratoxin toxicity: A review of the
- available data. Toxins 7, 4253-4282; doi:10.3390/toxins7104253.

552

- Huff, W.E., Ruff, M.D., Chute, M.B., 1992. Characterisation of the toxicity of the mycotoxins
- aflatoxin, ochratoxin, and T-2 Toxin in game birds. II. Ringneck Pheasant. Avian Dis. 36, 30-
- 555 3.

556

Jones, D., 2018. The birds at my table. Cornell University Press, pp 69-95.

558

- Lawson, B., MacDonald, S., Howard, T., Macgregor, S.K., Cunningham, A.A., 2006.
- Exposure of garden birds to aflatoxins in Britain. Sci. Total Environ. 361, 124-31.
- 561 https://doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.074

562

- Lawson, B., Robinson, R.A., Toms, M.P., Risely, K., MacDonald, S., Cunningham, A.A.,
- 564 2018. Health hazards to wild birds and risk factors associated with anthropogenic food
- 565 provisioning. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. B 373, 1745:20170091. https://doi:
- 566 10.1098/rstb.2017.0091

567

- Leung, M.C.K., Di-Az-Llano, G., Smith, T.K., 2006. Mycotoxins in pet food; a review on
- 569 worldwide prevalence. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 9623-9635. https://doi:10.1021/jf062363+

- Moore, D., Henke, S.E., Fedynich, A.M., Laurenz, J.C., 2019. The effect of aflatoxin on
- adaptive immune function in birds. In Aflatoxins and wildlife: exposure, problems and
- detection and control methods. Henke. S.E, Fedynich, A.M. (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers
- 574 Inc., pp. 155-180.

- 576 Murray, M.H., Becker, D.J., Hall, R.J., Hernandez, S.M., 2016. Wildlife health and
- 577 supplemental feeding: a review and management recommendations. Biol. Conserv. 204, 163-
- 578 74. https://doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.034

579

- Oberheu, D.G., Dabbert, C.B.,2001a. Aflatoxin production in supplemental feeders provided
- for northern bobwhite in Texas and Oklahoma. J. Wild. Dis. 37, 475-480. https://doi:
- 582 10.7589/0090-3558-37.3.475

583

- Oberheu, D.G., Dabbert, C.B., 2001b. Exposure of game birds to ochratoxin A through
- 585 supplemental feeds. J. Zoo Wild. Med. 32, 136-138. https://doi:10.1638/1042-
- 586 7260(2001)032[0136:EOGBTO]2.0.CO;2

587

- 588 O'Hara, T.M., 1996. Mycotoxins, In: Fairbrother A, Locke LN, Hoff GL, editors.
- Noninfectious diseases of wildlife. Manson Publishing, pp 24-30.

590

- Magan, N., Aldred, D., 2005. Conditions of formation of ochratoxin A in drying, transport and
- in different commodities. Food Addit. Contam. 22 (S1): ILSI Supplement on Ochratoxin A in
- 593 Food, 10-16. https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030500412154.

595 Perez, M., Henke, S.E., Fedynich, A.M., 2001. Detection of aflatoxin-contaminated grain by 596 three granivorous bird species. J. Wild. Dis. 37, 358-361. https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-597 37.2.358 598 599 Pitt, J.I., Hocking, A.D., 1997. Fungi and food spoilage. London, UK: Blackie Academic & 600 Professional, pp. 1-540. 601 602 Plummer, K.E., Risely, K., Toms, M.P., Sirwardena, G.M., 2019. The composition of British 603 bird communities is associated with long-term garden bird feeding. Nat. Commun. 10, 2088. 604 https://doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10111-5 | 605 606 **RASFF** 2019, European Commission, Notification 2019.2133, 607 https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasffwindow/portal/index.cfm?event=notificationDetail&NOTIF REFERENCE=2019.2133. 608 Accessed 6<sup>th</sup> September 2019. 609 610 RASFF Portal, Search output- Feed Materials, Mycotoxins 01/01/2017-06/09/2019. 611 612 https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasff-window/portal/?event=searchResultList&StartRow=1. Accessed 6<sup>th</sup> September 2019. 613 614 615 Regulation (EC) No 767/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 July 2009 616 on the placing on the market and use of feed, amending European Parliament and Council 617 Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 and repealing Council Directive 79/373/EEC, Commission 618 Directive 80/511/EEC, Council Directives 82/471/EEC, 83/228/EEC, 93/74/EEC, 93/113/EC

619

and 96/25/EC and Commission

620 Decision2004/217/EC http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2009/767/2018-12-26 Accessed 17th 621 February 2020. 622 623 Ruff, M.D., Huff, W.E., Wilkins, G.C., 1990. Characterisation of the toxicity of the mycotoxins 624 aflatoxin, ochratoxin, and T-2 Toxin in game birds. I. Chukar Partridge. Avian Dis. 34, 717-625 20. 626 Ruff, M.D., Huff, W.E., Wilkins, G.C., 1992. Characterisation of the toxicity of the mycotoxins 627 628 aflatoxin, ochratoxin, and T-2 Toxin in game birds. III. Bobwhite and Japanese Quail. Avian 629 Dis. 36, 34-9. 630 631 Santin, E., 2005. Mould growth and mycotoxin production. In: Diaz D (Ed.) The Mycotoxin 632 Blue Book. Nottingham, UK: Nottingham University Press, pp. 225-234. 633 634 Schweitzer, S.H., Quist, C.F., Grimes G.L., Forster, D.L., 2001. Aflatoxin levels in corn 635 available as wild turkey feed in Georgia. J. Wild. Dis 37, 657-9. https://doi: 10.7589/0090-636 3558-37.3.657 637 Scudamore, K.A., Hetmanski, M.T., Nawaz, S., Naylor, J., Rainbird, S., 1997. Determination 638 639 of mycotoxins in pet foods sold for domestic pets and wild birds using linked-column immunoassay clean-up and HPLC. Food Addit. Contam. 14, 175-186. https://doi: 640 641 10.1080/02652039709374512

- 643 Sharman, M., Gilbert, J., 1991. Automated aflatoxin analysis of foods and animal feeds using
- immunoaffinity column clean-up and high-performance liquid chromatographic determination.
- 645 J. Chromatographr. A 543, 220-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)95771-1

- 647 Sharman, M., Macdonald, S., Gilbert, J., 1992. Automated liquid chromatographic
- determination of ochratoxin A in cereals and animal products using immunoaffinity column
- clean-up. J. Chromatographr. A 603, 285-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9673(92)85373-2

650

- Strandin, T., Babayan, S.A., Forbes, K.M., 2018. Reviewing the effects of food provisioning
- on wildlife immunity. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. B 373, 20170088.
- 653 https://doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0088

654

- 655 Sweeney, M.J., Dobson, A.D.W., 1998. Mycotoxin production by Aspergillus, Fusarium and
- 656 Penicillium species. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 43, 141-158. https://doi: 10.1016/s0168-
- 657 1605(98)00112-3

658

- Thompson, C., Henke, S.E., 2000. Effect of climate and type of storage container on aflatoxin
- production in corn and its associated risks to wildlife species. J. Wild. Dis., 36, 172-179.
- 661 https://doi: 10.7589/0090-3558-36.1.172

662

- Venables, W.N., Ripley B.D., 2003. Modern Applied Statistics with S (Statistics and
- 664 Computing). Springer, pp1-516.

- 666 Wang, Y., Wang, L., Liu, F., Wang, Q., Selvaraj, J. N., Xing, F., Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., 2016.
- Ochratoxin A Producing Fungi, Biosythentic Pathway and Regulatory Mechanisms. Toxins,
- 668 2016 8(3):83. https://doi: 10.3390/toxins8030083.

670 **Figure Captions** 671 672 Figure 1a Levels of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in wild bird supplementary food products purchased in 2007 673 and 2018 at point of sale. Bars indicate number of samples containing no detectable residues 674 (white), detectable residues ≤MPL of 20 µg/kg (light blue), and above the MPL (dark blue). 675 676 Figure 1b Levels of Ochratoxin A in wild bird supplementary food products purchased in 2007 677 and 2018 at point of sale. Bars indicate number of samples containing no detectable residues 678 (white), detectable residues  $\leq 5 \mu g/kg$  (light blue), and greater than  $5 \mu g/kg$ 679 (dark blue). Note there is no MPL for Ochratoxin A so these are arbitrary ranges to visualize 680 the data. 681 682 Figure 2a Levels of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in peanut products purchased in 2007. Bars indicate number 683 of samples containing no detectable residues (white), detectable residues ≤ MPL of 20 μg/kg 684 (light blue), and above the MPL (dark blue). 685 686 Figure 2b Levels of Ochratoxin A in peanut products purchased in 2007. Bars indicate number 687 of samples containing no detectable residues (white), detectable residues ≤5 μg/kg (light blue), 688 and greater than 5 µg/kg (dark blue). Note there is no MPL for Ochratoxin A so these are 689 arbitrary ranges to visualize the data. 690 691

**Supplementary File Captions** Supplementary Table S1: Environmental Temperature and Relative Humidity Range in the Treatment Categories. Supplementary Figure S1: Peanut samples in hanging feeders within mock feeding station used for Spring and Summer Exposure treatments Supplementary Figure S2: Peanut granule sample following Summer Exposure treatment in 2007: (a) as removed from feeder and (b) on cut transverse section Supplementary Database S1: Wild bird food sample metadata (e.g. food type, branded versus non-branded product) and aflatoxin and ochratoxin A concentrations (µg/kg) detected for 2007 Point of Sale (worksheet 1), 2007 Spring Exposure (worksheet 2), 2007 Storage (worksheet 3), 2007 Summer Exposure (worksheet 4) and 2018 Point of Sale (worksheet 5).