Patient satisfaction with community pharmacist-led anticoagulation management services and its relationship with patient characteristics

3 ABSTRACT

Background: Community pharmacist-led anticoagulation management service (CPAMS)
offers international normalised ratio point-of-care testing of warfarin in a community pharmacy
setting. It has now expanded with 7,344 patients enrolled in the service across 164 pharmacies
in New Zealand. The clinical benefit of CPAMS has been shown to be superior, but patient
satisfaction with the service has not been fully explored.

9 **Objective:** To develop a questionnaire to assess patient satisfaction with CPAMS and evaluate

10 its psychometric properties. Additionally, to determine the level of patient satisfaction with

11 CPAMS and identify determinants of satisfaction with CPAMS.

12 Settings: 1071 patients enrolled in CPAMS across New Zealand took part in the study

13 Main outcome measure: Satisfaction with CPAMS service

Methods: Adult patients taking warfarin and currently enrolled in CPAMS were recruited through the national international normalised ratio online system and invited to complete a 36item survey assessing satisfaction with CPAMS. To identify the most important dimensions of patient satisfaction, exploratory factor analysis was used. Multivariate linear regression models were used to examine the effect of independent variables on patient satisfaction.

Results: A total of 305 patients completed the survey. The mean overall satisfaction score was 19 $94.5\% \pm 13.1$ out of maximum possible points. Five dimensions of patient satisfaction were 20 identified by factor analysis: patient-centred communication, confidence in pharmacist 21 competence, patient-pharmacist relationship, confidence in CPAMS, and pharmacy 22 environment. Being older and more frequent visits to the pharmacy were positively associated 23 with patient satisfaction. Living more than 1km away from a pharmacy, and 'poor' self-24 25 perceived health status were negative predictors of patient satisfaction. Being Māori or of other 26 ethnic minority was also associated with lower satisfaction scores, exploratory analysis suggests patient-pharmacist relationship is an important driver of these differences. 27

Conclusions: The high level of patient satisfaction further supports the effectiveness of
CPAMS as a delivery model. Patient satisfaction is affected by age, frequency of pharmacy
visits, ethnicity, travel distance to pharmacy, and perceived health status. Policy makers and

practitioners should consider the characteristics of patients with low levels of satisfaction to
improve and enhance CPAMS engagement.

33 Key words: Community pharmacy, anticoagulation management, warfarin, patient
34 satisfaction, pharmacy services, New Zealand

35

Impact of findings on practice statements 36 37 • Efforts to further improve satisfaction with CPAMS should pay attention to patients 38 • 39 with poor perceived-health, ethnic minorities, younger patients, and those who live far from pharmacies and less frequently visit pharmacies. 40 Given high patient satisfaction with CPAMS, expanding the availability of the service 41 • is an option worthy of consideration. 42 CPAMS is a service that patients are enrolled in for a long time thus a longitudinal 43 • study is required to explore patient satisfaction throughout their enrolment in CPAMS 44 and if/how their satisfaction impacts long-term clinical and economic outcomes. 45

47 INTRODUCTION

Although warfarin is a highly effective anticoagulant, it has a higher potential for adverse events due to its narrow therapeutic index(1)]. Maintaining warfarin doses within the therapeutic range is challenging in clinical practice due to intra- and inter-patient variability, and the susceptibility of warfarin to pharmacokinetic changes due to drug or food interaction, poor medication adherence or various disease states[(2)].

In New Zealand (NZ), most patients on warfarin are generally managed by their family doctor 53 (or general practitioners - GPs)(3)]. In this model of care, patients visit a laboratory where a 54 venous blood sample is collected and results are relayed, often electronically, to the general 55 practice. The patient is contacted by telephone or contacts the practice themselves to receive 56 INR results, information about warfarin dose adjustments, and the date of their next blood test. 57 As there is not a consistent standardised way for patients to receive their INR results, some 58 patients do not adjust their warfarin in time and /or do not receive consistent INR monitoring. 59 This model of care is thought to cause fragmentation of the service (4). Additionally, this model 60 of care puts a considerable burden on both patients and GPs, especially since warfarin therapy 61 can be lifelong once initiated (4). 62

63 To address some of the problems with the current GP-led warfarin management system, and to improve the safety and efficacy of warfarin treatment, a community pharmacist-led 64 65 anticoagulation management service (CPAMS) was introduced in NZ in 2013. In the CPAMS model, community pharmacists provide point-of-care INR testing (with a Coaguchek XS Plus 66 or Pro device) using a capillary blood sample and adjust warfarin doses as needed using a 67 decision support system, INR Online (http:// www.inronline.net). The test results are available 68 within minutes to patients. Pharmacists providing CPAMS are accredited by attending the New 69 Zealand Pharmaceutical Society prescribed training and operate under a Standing Order 70 agreement between them and local GPs. The GP retains overall responsibility for a patient's 71 management and can intervene at any time. The pharmacist is also able to consult with the GP 72 regarding any abnormal or sudden changes in results. All INR test results are sent to the GPs 73 74 computer system so that they always have a clear picture of the treatment progress. Over 7,300 patients are currently enrolled in CPAMS across 164 community pharmacies nationwide(5). 75

The clinical benefits of CPAMS are evident(3,6) but what is unknown is how patients perceive the service. To date, there has only been one pilot study published that has explored satisfaction with CPAMS[(4)]. The pilot study participants reported improved accessibility and convenience, and also commented that the more streamlined process has reduced potential
delays and miscommunications. They also felt more involved with their treatment and had a
better understanding of their health issues.

As CPAMS expands, there is a need to meet the diverse needs of the population of warfarin users. To achieve this, there is a need to assess and understand patient satisfaction and its influencing factors to ensure the long-term success of CPAMS. Evidence shows that satisfied patients maintain good relationships with their pharmacists[(7)]. This will enhance patient adherence to treatment regimens, ultimately leading to improved health outcomes.

87 AIM OF THE STUDY

This study aimed to develop a questionnaire to assess patient satisfaction with CPAMS and evaluate its psychometric properties. Additionally, the level of patient satisfaction with CPAMS across New Zealand were evaluated, and the relationship between patient characteristics and satisfaction with CPAMS were examined.

92 ETHICS APPROVAL

93 The study was approved by the University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee94 (Ref no. 023597).

95 METHODS

96 Study design and sample size

97 We performed a cross-sectional study using a self-administered online survey to assess patient satisfaction with CPAMS. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and multivariable linear 98 99 regression were the main statistical procedures used. A minimum of 200 participants(8)] or 5-10 participants per item(9,10) are recommended for EFA. A minimum of 10 participants per 100 101 predictor variable is required for linear regression analysis(11)]. Six separate multivariate 102 linear regression models were used in this study (see data analysis below) and 14 predictor 103 variables were included in each of these models. Hence, a minimum sample size of 220 was required to conduct a robust statistical analysis using EFA and linear regression. 104

105 Survey participants

106 The study population included patients who were enrolled in CPAMS across NZ. The INR107 Online system is a computerised anticoagulant management system that offers automated

dosing and a recommended date for the next test[(12). This system was used to assist with recruitment by providing a list of all community pharmacies providing CPAMS and a comprehensive record of patients who were enrolled in CPAMS. Firstly, an invitation email was sent to all pharmacies providing CPAMS asking for permission to contact their patients. Then, an invitation email containing the online survey link was sent to all patients from consenting pharmacies.

114 Survey instrument

As there was no established measure of satisfaction with CPAMS, a new questionnaire was 115 developed based on available literature on patient satisfaction with pharmacy services(4,13-116 17) and the research team experience. Five members of the research team (NSTB, RBT, EM, 117 SN and NW) conducted a literature search on MEDLINE, Embase, and International 118 Pharmaceutical Abstracts, using keywords "patient satisfaction", "community pharmacist-led 119 services", and anticoagulants." Only articles measuring patient satisfaction with a pharmacist-120 led service were reviewed. Likert-scale items were used to explore multiple aspects of CPAMS, 121 such as the patient-pharmacist relationship, confidence in CPAMS, convenience and 122 accessibility, and pharmacy setting in recognition of the complexity of determinants of 123 124 satisfaction. Each Likert item was rated on a five-point scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3=Neither agree nor disagree, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree) with a higher positive score 125 126 indicating higher satisfaction with CPAMS. Four Likert items were worded negatively to control for acquiescence, which is the tendency for participants to agree with any statement 127 128 regardless of the content. Participants were also asked to indicate their level of overall satisfaction with CPAMS, using a visual analogue scale (0-100% score). Additionally, 129 participants were asked to provide a single item rating of their overall health (excellent, very 130 good, good, fair, or poor). These response options were merged into two categories for data 131 analysis: "good health" (excellent, very good, and good) and "poor health" (fair, poor) to obtain 132 more statistical power. Participants were also asked for the number of times they had visited 133 their pharmacist in the three months prior to the survey, and the number of different 134 medications they were taking at the time of the survey. Sociodemographic characteristics were 135 also collected, such as age, gender, ethnicity, level of education, and annual household income. 136 Finally, participants were asked the approximate distance they must travel in order to access 137 CPAMS service. The questionnaire was designed to be self-administered, and all responses 138 were voluntary. 139

To establish content validity, the questionnaires were reviewed by two experts specialising in 140 pharmacy practice research. The experts were asked to provide written feedback about the 141 clarity, quality, and scope of the questionnaire. After the experts' feedback had been considered 142 and incorporated, the questionnaires were piloted on 25 individuals selected from the general 143 public, using a 'think-aloud' protocol(18), to ensure content and face validity. The pilot 144 participants went through the survey with a member of the research team (NSTB, RBT, EM, 145 SN, or NW) and were asked to comment on the clarity, format, language, and any other issues 146 observed. Structured probes were used to uncover how pilot participants interpreted questions 147 to verify the understanding and readability. Example probes included: "Tell me in your own 148 149 words what this question is asking," and "How did you decide on your answer to this question?" Based on participants' feedback, the survey was revised. 150

151 Survey procedure

An invitation email was sent to all CPAMS users in participating pharmacies along with a link to a website where the participants could access the survey questionnaires. The survey was created and hosted using Qualtrics survey platform (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). Administration of the survey began on August 28, 2019, and a reminder e-mail was sent to non-responders two weeks after the first e-mail to solicit additional responses. After eight weeks, the survey was closed to new participants.

158 Data analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS v25 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were 159 used to summarise survey participant characteristics. We performed an EFA with a principal 160 axis factoring method(19) to identify specific dimensions of patient satisfaction. The Kaiser-161 Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (KMO Index)(20) and Bartlett's sphericity 162 test(21) were used to evaluate the appropriateness of the data for factor analysis. The KMO 163 index ranges from 0 to 1, with index >0.50 considered suitable for factor analysis[(19). The 164 Bartlett's sphericity test should be significant (p < 0.05) for factor analysis to be suitable[(22). 165 Before running a factor analysis, a correlations matrix of the Likert items was used to identify 166 167 and remove highly correlated (>0.90) items from the analysis.(8) Cronbach's alpha was calculated for coherent variables within each factor to determine their internal consistency, and 168 169 an alpha value greater than 0.70 was considered as adequately reliable.(8) The number of 170 factors identified was based on their interpretability, having an eigenvalue >1(20), and the 171 shape of the scree plot(23). A Promax rotation (a type of oblique rotation) was employed to

simplify and clarify the factor structures (19,22). A factor loading ≥ 0.4 was considered 172 acceptable(24). Finally, all the items which had ≥ 0.40 loadings on a particular factor were 173 174 combined to form a composite mean score (subscale) to represent dimensions/constructs of patient satisfaction with CPAMS. All negatively worded items were reverse scored before 175 composite scores were created. The composite scores were scored from 1 to 5, with higher 176 scores indicating greater satisfaction. The distribution of responses was examined to determine 177 potential floor and ceiling effect (i.e., people responding at lowest and highest ends of the Likert 178 scales for each item). There were only two missing values for the Likert items. As levels of 179 180 missing data were minimal and missingness was completely at random, the Expectation Maximisation method was used to impute missing data.(8) 181

Six separate multivariate linear regression models were developed to examine the association 182 between patient characteristics and satisfaction with CPAMS. There were six dependent 183 variables: one overall satisfaction score and five subscales (composite mean scores) measuring 184 specific dimensions of patient satisfaction. The same number of independent variables were 185 included in each of the linear regression models. These were perceived general health status, 186 frequency of pharmacy visits in three months prior to the survey, number of current 187 medications, age, gender, ethnicity, level of education, annual household income, and travel 188 189 distance from pharmacy. In all models, a two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. 190

191 **RESULTS**

192 Participants' characteristics

A total of 164 community pharmacies were invited to take part in the study. Of these, 33 193 provided consent for their patients to be contacted for recruitment between the 5th of August 194 and the 3rd of September 2019. The online survey link was then emailed to the 1071 patients 195 receiving CPAMS in the consenting pharmacies on the 28th of August 2019. The median 196 duration of administration was nine minutes. Three hundred and five questionnaires completed 197 by the study participants were received by the 27th of October 2019. As patients on warfarin 198 enrolled in CPAMS may switch to other oral anticoagulant therapies (e.g. dabigatran and 199 rivaroxaban) and therefore no longer use CPAMS, their information may still remain on the 200 INR Online system. As such, the true response rate of the participants could not be calculated. 201

Most participants reported their health status to be good, very good or excellent (n=226, 202 74.1%). Most participants (n=254, 83.2%) had three or more visits to their pharmacy in three 203 months prior to the survey, and over half of the participants were taking more than 5 204 medications a day (n=156, 51.1%). Most participants were male (n=172, 56.4%), over 65 years 205 of age (n=195, 63.9%), and identified themselves as NZ European (i.e. New Zealanders of 206 European descent) (n=227, 74.4%). Almost half of the participants (n=149, 48.9%) attended 207 tertiary education, and over a third of them had an annual household income of NZ \$30,001 208 (€17,220) to NZ \$70,000 (€40,175) (n=118, 38.7%). Most (n=259, 84.9%) were living more 209 than 1km away from their pharmacy (see Table 1). 210

211

Insert Table 1 here

212 Psychometric Properties of the Questionnaire

213 Content and Face Validity

The questionnaire items were drawn from the research team experience and published 214 215 literature. The two experts agreed that the survey captured a wide range of factors that may 216 impact CPAMS and suggested some changes. For example, they suggested to add a visual analogue scale to assess overall satisfaction with CPAMS. The pilot testing resulted in clarified 217 terminology, removal or revision of unclear response options and questions. The initial 218 questionnaire consisted of 30 Likert items assessing different aspects of patient satisfaction 219 220 with CPAMS. After piloting and expert review, eight redundant Likert items were deleted, and several others were modified. The final survey contained 22 Likert items. 221

222 Construct Validity and Reliability

Table 2 displays the details of EFA of Likert items assessing patient satisfaction. A total of 305 223 participants provided valid responses for the 22 Likert items assessing patient satisfaction with 224 CPAMS (13.9 cases per item). Thus, the sample size was adequate for factor analysis. All the 225 Likert items were subjected to EFA. The KMO index was 0.911 and Bartlett's test of sphericity 226 was significant (p<0.001) providing support that the data were suitable for EFA. There were 227 no very strong correlations (i.e. all correlations were <0.9) between the Likert items. Two items 228 229 were removed because their factor loadings were <0.4. The remaining 20 items loaded on five 230 factors, and these five factors explained 65.6% of the total variance.

Four items were loaded on the first factor. These items assessed the patient experience of patient-centred communication with pharmacists. Hence, this factor was labelled "patientcentred communication" and had a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.8. The second factor

consisted of four items with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.8. These items measured the 234 patients' confidence in pharmacist clinical and medication management skills and labelled as 235 "Confidence in pharmacist competence." The third factor comprised four high-loading items 236 related to patient-pharmacist relationship and labelled as "patient-pharmacist relationship." 237 The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for this factor was 0.9. The 4 items loaded on the fourth factor 238 assessed patient's confidence in CPAMS service and if they would recommend the service to 239 other people and was named "Confidence in CPAMS" and had a Cronbach's alpha coefficient 240 of 0.8. The last factor consisted four items that asked participants to indicate the extent to which 241 they agree with different statements describing the general pharmacy environment, such as 242 privacy, convenience of location, layout and waiting time. This factor was labelled "pharmacy 243 environment" with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.7. 244

245

Insert Table 2 here

246 Correlations between Factors (subscales)

To assess how distinct each patient satisfaction subscale was from other subscales in the same matrix, the factor correlation matrix was examined (see Table 3). The results indicated that there were moderate correlations between factors, ranging from 0.458 between 'patient-centred communication' and 'confidence in CPAMS' to 0.690 between "confidence in pharmacist competence" and "patient-pharmacist relationship".

252

Insert Table 3

253 Level of patient satisfaction

The mean overall satisfaction score was $94.5\% \pm 13.1$ (range 3% to 100%). The highest mean value (4.84 ± 0.619) was observed for the item "My pharmacist treats me with dignity and respect", whereas the lowest mean score value (4.31 ± 0.932) was for the item "My pharmacist is aware of my medical history." The minimum and maximum values were the same for all Likert items - 1 and 5, respectively (see Table 2). There were no floor effects, but ceiling effects were apparent for most questionnaire items.

260 Predictors of patient satisfaction with CPAMS

Predictors of individual dimensions of satisfaction: Table 3 shows patient characteristics associated with satisfaction with CPAMS in multivariable linear regression analyses. Due to incomplete demographic data, 14 cases were excluded, and the linear regression analyses were completed using 291 cases. Māori had significantly lower satisfaction with their relationship

with pharmacist than NZ Europeans (p=0.011). Individuals who belong to non-Māori/non-NZ 265 European ethnic groups had significantly lower satisfaction with pharmacy environment than 266 did NZ Europeans (p=0.012). Older patients had significantly higher satisfaction with 267 pharmacy environment than younger patients (p=0.008). Patients that lived between 1km and 268 5km (p=0.017) and over 5km (p=0.034) away from CPAMS providing pharmacy reported 269 lower satisfaction with pharmacy environment than those living within 1km from pharmacy. 270 Patients with 'poor' perceived health reported significantly lower satisfaction with pharmacist 271 competence in managing warfarin, compared to patients with 'good' perceived health 272 (p=0.016). Compared to those who visited a pharmacy twice or less, those who visited a 273 274 pharmacy three times were more likely to have higher satisfaction scores for 'pharmacy environment' dimension (p<0.001). Likewise, those who visited a pharmacy more than four 275 276 times had higher satisfaction scores for dimensions of 'pharmacy environment' (p<0.001), 'patient-pharmacist relationship' (p=0.014), 'confidence in pharmacist competence' (p=0.049) 277 278 and 'patient centred communication' (p=0.014) compared with patients who visited a pharmacy twice or less. No statistically significant association was found between patient 279 280 satisfaction and gender, level of education, annual household income, and number of current medications. 281

Predictors of overall satisfaction: Those who visited a pharmacy three times (p=0.012) and more than four times (p=0.049) had higher overall satisfaction with CPAMS compared with those who visited a pharmacy twice or less. No other variables had significant association with overall satisfaction (see Table 3).

286

Insert Table 4 here

287 DISCUSSION

288 Psychometric Properties of the Questionnaire

Our questionnaire demonstrated good validity and reliability. As there were no other validated tools for assessing satisfaction with CPAMS, criterion validity could not be established. Presence of moderate correlations between the factors (subscales), supported our initial assumption of using oblique (Promax) rotation.(19) A ceiling effect is often observed in patient satisfaction scales(25) as patients consistently tend to score their care in the mid-to-high range for most items. Our questionnaire is not an exception with this regard. This could be due to social desirability response bias, where patients report greater satisfaction than they feel, believing positive responses will be more acceptable to the researcher.(26) Qualitative studiesmight help for in-depth exploration of patient satisfaction.

Extensive literature search, expert feedback, and the research team experience allowed for the selection of meaningful Likert items and constructs to measure satisfaction with CPAMS. The pilot study provided evidence for face validity as well as the understandability and readability of the questions. Our questionnaire may aid in conducting research to assess patient satisfaction with pharmacy-based anticoagulation services. However, as the healthcare system across countries vary greatly, this questionnaire may need adaption to local needs. As with all questionnaires, continuous testing and refinement is necessary.

305 Predictors of Patient Satisfaction with CPAMS

This study is the first to evaluate patients' satisfaction with CPAMS in NZ and identify 306 predictors of patient satisfaction with CPAMS. Past studies have focused on investigating the 307 association between overall satisfaction and patient characteristics[(27-29). However, overall 308 measures of satisfaction are of limited use as they give little guidance as to how healthcare 309 310 providers should respond to specific patient concerns[(30). To address this issue, our study examined predictors of specific dimensions of satisfaction as well as overall satisfaction score. 311 312 Our findings support high patient satisfaction with pharmacist-led warfarin management services similar to that reported in prior research [(4,31-33)]. 313

Ethnicity: although satisfaction with CPAMS was generally high, inequities across groups 314 were seen. Maori were found to have lower satisfaction with their relationship with pharmacist, 315 and non-Māori ethnic minority patients had significantly lower satisfaction scores for the 316 dimension of 'pharmacy environment', compared with NZ Europeans. This finding is in line 317 with previous studies where NZ Europeans report higher satisfaction with health services than 318 other ethnic groups (34). This could be due to the language or cultural barriers that ethnic 319 minority groups experience within the current healthcare setting[(35). Thus, improving the 320 structure of CPAMS to be more culturally appropriate may increase uptake by Māori patients 321 and other minority groups. CPAMS operates on a predominantly medical model of health with 322 minimal focus on the holistic care of patients. Incorporating the Te Whare Tapa Whā, a Māori 323 model of health that includes spiritual, family, mental and physical health[(36), into the current 324 practice may help to maximise satisfaction and participation in CPAMS in Maori and other 325 ethnic minorities. This model aims to reduce cultural barriers and promote culturally 326 appropriate care. 327

Age: this study also found that older patients have a higher degree of satisfaction with the 'pharmacy environment' dimension than younger patients, which is similar to findings from other studies on satisfaction with healthcare services[(37-40)]. This may be because older patients have lower or more realistic expectations from their pharmacists than younger patients rather than actual differences in the quality of service received by the two groups[(41). It has also been noted that individuals who lived longer and experienced significant hardships may be more accepting of inadequacies in the healthcare system than younger individuals[(41,42).

Perceived Health Status: Prior research indicated that a low health status leads to lower patient 335 satisfaction scores[(29,39) which concurs with the findings of the present study. However, this 336 finding requires cautious interpretation. As noted by Xiao and Barber, patients who perceive 337 themselves to be in poor health may report lower patient satisfaction because they may attribute 338 their poorer health with the healthcare they receive [(39). Furthermore, other personal 339 characteristics unrelated to healthcare services may affect satisfaction. For example, patient 340 341 dissatisfaction with the healthcare services could be a manifestation of dissatisfaction with life[(43). The present study only assessed the effect of general health status on satisfaction, 342 343 further studies are needed to explore the independent contribution of self-reported physical and mental health to patient satisfaction with CPAMS. 344

Frequency of Pharmacy Visit: in line with previous study [(44) our study showed that 345 participants with more frequent visits to the pharmacy had a higher level of satisfaction across 346 the different dimensions of patient satisfaction. A possible explanation is that more frequent 347 visits may allow for more engagement of pharmacists with patients, thus more opportunities 348 for detection of medication-related issues, monitoring of treatment regimens, and identification 349 350 of health complications that might compromise outcomes, all of which could lead to better patient satisfaction. Additionally, patients who frequently visit pharmacies are likely to know 351 their pharmacist better and develop better relationships, which might have positive impact on 352 satisfaction. However, the present study did not examine the nature and duration of pharmacist-353 patient encounters. The quality and length of pharmacist-patient encounters is likely to be more 354 important predictor of patient satisfaction than the frequency of visits and requires further 355 investigation. It should also be noted that it is difficult to establish the cause-effect relationship 356 in cross-sectional survey. Participants might have visited the pharmacy more often because 357 they were satisfied with it. 358

Travel Distance to Pharmacy: travel distance to the pharmacy, specifically living more than 359 1km from the pharmacy, was a significant predictor of lower satisfaction for 'pharmacy 360 environment' dimension of patient satisfaction. This finding is expected considering that 361 transportation barriers tend to increase with distance. Such problems as transportation costs, 362 difficulties in finding convenient, public transportation or parking spaces (in larger cities), and 363 increased travel time may have an adverse effect on patient satisfaction. Most of the study 364 participants were also older, thus travelling longer distances for appointments could be 365 strenuous especially for the very old and those living in poverty. A limited number of 366 pharmacies provide CPAMS, thus it is important to ensure people in high-need areas have 367 368 adequate access to the service. CPAMS is particularly relevant in rural settings because of the relative lack of access to laboratory services, uneven distribution of general practises, and 369 shortages of GPs in rural areas[(4,45). Thus, priority funding to pharmacies in rural areas 370 should be used to decrease barriers to access and improve patient outcomes as well as reducing 371 pressure on already stretched general practice. 372

373 Strengths and Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, although all 164 pharmacies providing CPAMS were 374 invited to take part, only 33 consented (20.1% response rate), with a high proportion of 375 participants from urban areas in North Island. Response rates from other parts of NZ in contrast 376 were low. This limits the generalisability of the findings. However, the ethnic, gender, and age 377 distribution of our sample was approximately equivalent to that of the general population of 378 379 CPAMS users, where the majority of CPAMS users were NZ Europeans, male, and older than 65 years of age, according to 2018 estimates.(5) There is also a potential for CPAMS providers 380 who have poor patient satisfaction to opt not of participating in this survey which could lead to 381 response bias. Second, recruitment into this study was voluntary and therefore it is uncertain 382 whether the sample was biased. Third, high patient satisfaction could have been reported due 383 to social desirability bias or patients' hesitancy to negatively evaluate care providers (46). 384 Finally, a 'new' questionnaire was used to assess satisfaction with CPAMS due to lack of 385 existing suitable measures. However, the questionnaire showed good internal consistency, and 386 construct, content and face validity and could be used by future researchers. 387

388 Despite the above limitations, this study is one of the few studies that explored patient 389 satisfaction with CPAMS in NZ. The findings may contribute to informing policymakers and 390 health providers in improving the service going forward.

391 CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated patient satisfaction with CPAMS. We have developed a new questionnaire capable of assessing patient satisfaction with CPAMS, which has demonstrated

good psychometric properties and a meaningful structure. The level of patient satisfaction with 394 CPAMS was high. Older patients and those who had more frequent pharmacy visits exhibited 395 greater satisfaction. Conversely, Maori and other ethnic minorities, individuals with poor 396 perceived health status, and those who live more than 1km away from CPAMS providing 397 pharmacy had lower satisfaction. Health policy makers and pharmacy practitioners should 398 consider the characteristics of these patients with low levels of satisfaction to improve and 399 enhance CPAMS. CPAMS is a service that patients are enrolled in for a long time, thus a 400 longitudinal study is needed to explore if/how patient satisfaction changes over time. Further 401 402 studies should investigate additional modifiable and non-modifiable factors that may influence 403 patient satisfaction with CPAMS to ensure that this innovative service is sustained, and more patients can benefit from the positive clinical outcomes seen with CPAMS. 404

405 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

406 We would like to thank all the study participants.

407 FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

410 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

411 There are no competing interests to declare.

413 References

- (1) Wysowski DK, Nourjah P, Swartz L. Bleeding complications with warfarin use: a
 prevalent adverse effect resulting in regulatory action. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:1414-
- 416 1419.
- (2) Ansell J, Hirsh J, Poller L, Bussey H, Jacobson A, Hylek E. The pharmacology and
 management of the vitamin K antagonists: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic
 and Thrombolytic Therapy. Chest. 2004;126:204S-233S.
- 420 (3) Harper P, McMichael I, Griffiths D, Harper J, Hill C. The community pharmacy-based
 421 anticoagulation management service achieves a consistently high standard of anticoagulant
 422 care. N Z Med J. 2015;128:31-41.
- 423 (4) Shaw J, Harrison J, Harrison J. A community pharmacist-led anticoagulation management
- 424 service: attitudes towards a new collaborative model of care in New Zealand. Int J Pharm
 425 Pract. 2014;22:397-406.
- 426 (5) TAS Health. Community Pharmacy Anti-Coagulation Management Service Quality
- 427 Report July to December 2018. <u>https://tas.health.nz/assets/Community-pharmacy/CPAMS-</u>
 428 QReport-Jul-Dec-2018.pdf. Accessed 24 June 2020.
- 429 (6) Harrison J, Shaw JP, Harrison JE. Anticoagulation management by community
- pharmacists in New Zealand: an evaluation of a collaborative model in primary care. Int J
 Pharm Pract. 2015;23:173-181.
- (7) Covinsky KE, Rosenthal GE, Chren M, Justice AC, Fortinsky RH, Palmer RM, et al. The
 relation between health status changes and patient satisfaction in older hospitalized medical
 patients. J Gen Intern Med. 1998;13:223-229.
- (8) Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. 5th ed. Boston: Allyn and Bacon;
 2007. ISBN 9780134790541
- 437 (9) Everitt B. Multivariate analysis: the need for data, and other problems. Br J Psychiatry.
 438 1975;126:237-240.
- (10) Kline R. Nunnally, JC, & Bernstein, IH (1994). Psychometric theory. J Psychoeduc
 Assess. 1999;17:275-280.
- (11) Miller DE, Kunce JT. Prediction and statistical overkill revisited. Meas Eval Guide.
 1973;6:157-163.
- 443 (12) Harper P, Harper J, Hill C. An audit of anticoagulant management to assess
- anticoagulant control using decision support software. BMJ Open. 2014;4(9):e005864-2014005864.
- (13) Schommer JC, Kucukarslan SN. Measuring patient satisfaction with pharmaceutical
 services. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 1997;54:2721-32.

- (14) Al-Abri R, Al-Balushi A. Patient satisfaction survey as a tool towards quality
 improvement. Oman Med J. 2014;29:3-7.
- 450 (15) Bishop L, Young S, Twells L, Dillon C, Hawboldt J. Patients' and physicians'
- 451 satisfaction with a pharmacist managed anticoagulation program in a family medicine clinic.
 452 BMC Res Notes. 2015;8(1):233.
- 453 (16) Willey ML, Chagan L, Sisca TS, Chapple KJ, Callahan AK, Crain JL, et al. A
- 454 pharmacist-managed anticoagulation clinic: six-year assessment of patient outcomes. Am J
 455 Health Syst Pharm. 2003;60:1033-1037.
- (17) Ingram SJ, Kirkdale CL, Williams S, Hartley E, Wintle S, Sefton V, et al. Moving
 anticoagulation initiation and monitoring services into the community: evaluation of the
 Brighton and Hove community pharmacy service. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):91.
- (18) Fonteyn ME, Kuipers B, Grobe SJ. A description of think aloud method and protocolanalysis. Qual Health Res. 1993;3:430-441.
- (19) Costello AB, Osborne JW. Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four
 recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. Pract Assess Res Evaluation.
 2005;10:1-9.
- 464 (20) Kaiser HF. The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. Educ Psychol
 465 Meas.1960;20:141-151.
- 466 (21) Bartlett MS. Tests of significance in factor analysis. Brit J Math Stat Psy. 1950;3:77-85.
- 467 (22) Fabrigar LR, Wegener DT, MacCallum RC, Strahan EJ. Evaluating the use of
 468 exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. Psychol Methods. 1999;4:272-299.
- 469 (23) Cattell RB. The scree test for the number of factors. Multivariate Behav Res.
 470 1966;1:245-276.
- 471 (24) Kachigan SK. Multivariate statistical analysis: A conceptual introduction. 2nd ed. New
 472 York: Radius Press; 1991. ISBN-13: 978-0942154917.
- 473 (25) Cappelleri JC, Gerber RA, Kourides IA, Gelfand RA. Development and factor analysis
- of a questionnaire to measure patient satisfaction with injected and inhaled insulin for type 1
 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2000;23:1799-1803.
- 476 (26) Biering P, Becker H, Calvin A, Grobe SJ. Casting light on the concept of patient
 477 satisfaction by studying the construct validity and the sensitivity of a questionnaire. Int J
 478 UL 11 C = 2006 10 246 50
- 478 Health Care Qual Assur. 2006;19:246-58.
- 479 (27) Kressin NR, Skinner K, Sullivan L, Miller DR, Frayne S, Kazis L, et al. Patient
- 480 satisfaction with Department of Veterans Affairs health care: do women differ from men?
 481 Mil Med. 1999;164:283-288.

- 482 (28) Al-Sakkak MA, Al-Nowaiser NA, Al-Khashan HI, Al-Abdrabulnabi AA, Jaber RM.
- 483 Patient satisfaction with primary health care services in Riyadh. Saudi Med J.
- 484 2008;29(3):432.
- 485 (29) Alberto Sánchez C, Javier Prado-Galbarro F, García-Pérez S, Sarría Santamera A.
- Factors associated with patient satisfaction with primary care in Europe: results from the
 EUprimecare project. Qual Prim Care. 2014;22:147-55.
- (30) Carr-Hill RA. The measurement of patient satisfaction. J Public Health. 1992;14:236249.
- 490 (31) Garwood CL, Dumo P, Baringhaus SN, Laban KM. Quality of anticoagulation care in
- 491 patients discharged from a pharmacist-managed anticoagulation clinic after stabilization of
- 492 warfarin therapy. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:20-26.
- 493 (32) Bishop L, Young S, Twells L, Dillon C, Hawboldt J. Patients' and physicians'
- 494 satisfaction with a pharmacist managed anticoagulation program in a family medicine clinic.
- 495 BMC Res Notes. 2015;8(1):233.
- 496 (33) Jackson SL, Peterson GM, House M, Bartlett T. Point-of-care monitoring of
- 497 anticoagulant therapy by rural community pharmacists: Description of successful outcomes.
 498 Aust J Rural Health. 2004;12:197-200.
- (34) Lee C, Sibley CG. Demographic and psychological correlates of satisfaction with
 healthcare access in New Zealand. N Z Med J. 2017;130:11-24.
- (35) Jansen P, Bacal K, Crengle S. He Ritenga Whakaaro: Māori experiences of health
 services. 2008.
- 503 <u>https://www.moh.govt.nz/notebook/nbbooks.nsf/0/2A6CAF401ABBEFB9CC2575F4000B6</u>
 504 D0C/\$file/He-Ritenga-Whakaaro.pdf Accessed 24 June 2020.
- 505 (36) Durie M. Te Pae Mahutonga: A model for Maori health promotion. 1999.
- 506 <u>https://www.cph.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/TePaeMahutonga.pdf</u> Accessed 24 June 2020.
- 507 (37) Carmel S. Satisfaction with hospitalization: a comparative analysis of three types of
 508 services. Soc Sci Med. 1985;21:1243-1249.
- (38) Tucker III JL, Kelley VA. The influence of patient sociodemographic characteristics on
 patient satisfaction. Mil Med. 2000;165:72-76.
- (39) Xiao H, Barber JP. The effect of perceived health status on patient satisfaction. Value
 Health. 2008;11:719-725.
- (40) Hall JA, Dornan MC. Patient sociodemographic characteristics as predictors of
 satisfaction with medical care: a meta-analysis. Soc Sci Med. 1990;30:811-818.
- 515 (41) Cohen G. Age and health status in a patient satisfaction survey. Soc Sci Med.
- 516 1996;42:1085-1093.

- 517 (42) Goodsell CT. The contented older client of bureaucracy. Int J Aging Hum Dev.518 1982;14:1-9.
- 519 (43) Weiss GL. Patient satisfaction with primary medical care. Evaluation of
- 520 sociodemographic and predispositional factors. Med Care. 1988;26:383-392.
- 521 (44) Lee S, Godwin OP, Kim K, Lee E. Predictive Factors of Patient Satisfaction with
- 522 Pharmacy Services in South Korea: A Cross-Sectional Study of National Level Data. PLoS
- 523 ONE. 2015;10(11):e0142269.
- 524 (45) Jackson S, Peterson G, Bereznicki L, Misan G, Jupe D, Vial J. Improving the outcomes
- 525 of anticoagulation in rural Australia: an evaluation of pharmacist-assisted monitoring of
- 526 warfarin therapy. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2005;30:345-353.
- 527 (46) Ware Jr JE, Snyder MK, Wright WR, Davies AR. Defining and measuring patient
- satisfaction with medical care. Eval Program Plann. 1983;6:247-263.

530 [–]	Fable 1:	Characteristic	of survey	participants	(N=305)
------------------	----------	----------------	-----------	--------------	---------

Variables	Ν	%
Gender		
Male	172	56.4
Female	132	43.3
Missing	1	0.3
Age groups (in years)	-	0.5
<pre><35 years</pre>	6	1.9
35 to 44 years	14	4.6
45 to 54 years	23	7.5
55 to 64 years	67	22.0
	07	22.0
65 or older	195	63.9
Ethnic group		
NZ European	227	74.4
Other	78	25.6
What is the highest education level you have achieved so far?		
No schooling completed	5	1.6
Primary school	2	0.7
Secondary School (three years or less)	67	22.0
Secondary School (more than three years)	81	26.6
Tertiary education (polytechs, college, or university)	149	48.9
Missing	1	0.3
What was your total household income before taxes during the past 12 months?		
≤\$30,000	57	18.7
\$30,001 - \$70,000	118	38.7
>\$70,000	128	41.9
Missing	2	0.7
At what approximate distance you are living from your current pharmacy?		
<1km	46	15.1
1-5km	172	56.4
6-10km	42	13.8
Over 10km	45	14.8
In general, would you say your health is:		
Excellent	17	5.6
Very good	99	32.5
Good	110	36.1
Fair	62	20.3
Poor	17	5.6
How many different medications do you take each day?		
One	23	7.5
Two	35	11.5
Three	40	13.1
Four	51	16.7
≥Five	156	51.1
How often did you visit your pharmacist for your warfarin treatment in the last 3 months?		
Once	10	3.3
Twice	32	10.5
Three times	107	35.1
Four times	29	9.5
Four times		

Missing	Over five times	79	25.9
	Missing	9	3.0

Table 2: Mean scores and factor loadings of the 20 items retained in the final EFA of patient satisfaction with CPAMS (N=305).

		Factors					
Item ^a	Mean	1	2	3	4	5	
My pharmacist provides clear explanations about my	4.71±0.70	0.793	-0.047	0.036	-0.025	-0.023	
medications.							
My pharmacist listens to my health concerns.	4.64±0.75	0.666	0.221	-0.053		0.035	
My pharmacist involves me in making decisions about my	$4.48{\pm}0.90$	0.587	0.061	0.099	0.131	-0.026	
medications.							
My pharmacist provides clear explanations about the	4.79±0.62	0.442	0.318	-0.002	0.003	0.045	
results of my blood test.		0.000					
I am confident with my pharmacist's skills in managing my	4.78±0.64	0.036	0.915	-0.012	0.088	-0.080	
warfarin treatment.	100.00	0.044		0.064	0.014	0.046	
I feel confident about my pharmacist's ability to accurately	4.83±0.62	0.044	0.644	0.064	-0.014	-0.046	
perform my blood test.							
My pharmacist is aware of my medical history.	4.31±0.93	0.124	0.550	0.002	-0.056		
My pharmacist keeps my family doctor informed about my	4.42 ± 0.97	0.038	0.522	0.016	0.017	0.017	
warfarin testing.							
I feel comfortable discussing my concerns with my	4.74±0.74	0.012	0.147	0.896	-0.042	-0.074	
pharmacist.							
My pharmacist treats me with dignity and respect.	4.84±0.62	-0.054	0.049	0.847	0.056	0.026	
My pharmacist has expressed genuine interest in my well-	4.71±0.74	0.292	-0.053	0.639	0.011	-0.010	
being.							
My pharmacist DOES NOT spend enough time with me. ^b	4.80±0.68	0.287	-0.132	0.469	-0.044	0.088	
I would rather have my blood taken by a finger-prick than	4.83±0.61	-0.176	0.219	-0.050	0.746	-0.130	
by a needle in my arm.							
I prefer having my warfarin managed by my pharmacist	4.37±0.97	0.201	-0.195	-0.002	0.725	-0.023	
rather than my family doctor.							
I believe other patients on warfarin would benefit from this	4.80±0.60	-0.007	-0.004	0.008	0.686	0.013	
service.							
Having my warfarin tested at my pharmacy makes me feel	4.61±0.80	-0.007	0.043	0.120	0.430	0.225	
more in control of my warfarin treatment.							
The waiting area of my pharmacy is adequate.	4.45±0.88	0.040	0.004	-0.103	-0.018	0.889	
The pharmacy layout ensures my privacy.	4.68±0.74	0.055	0.093	-0.034	0.106	0.589	
The waiting time at my pharmacy is too long. ^b	4.36±1.12	0.006	-0.112	0.047	-0.081	0.480	
I find my pharmacy to be conveniently located.	4.70±0.71	-0.202	0.229	0.276	-0.043	0.423	
Cronbach's alpha		0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	
Eigenvalue		8.47	1.56	1.23	1.05	1.00	
% Variance explained by each factor		41.8	7.8	6.1	5.2	4.6	
Total variance explained				65.6%			

536 Extraction Method: Principal Axis Factoring

537 Rotation Method: Promax with Kaiser Normalization

- 538 Strong factor loadings (>0.4) are highlighted in bold
- 539 Mean Score = Mean (xi, xii, xiii, xiv, ...); SD=standard deviation
- ^aResponses for each item were presented on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly
- 541 Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree)
- 542 ^bReverse scored items
- 543
- 544

545 Table 3: Factor correlation matrix showing correlation between satisfaction with

546 **CPAMS subscales**

			Correlation		
		Satisfa	ction with CPAMS s	subscales	
	Patient-centred	Confidence in pharmacist	Patient-pharmacist	Confidence	Pharmacy
	communication	competence	relationship	in CPAMS	environment
Patient-centred	1.000				
communication					
Confidence in	0.582	1.000			
pharmacist competence					
Patient-pharmacist	0.662	0.690	1.000		
relationship					
Confidence in CPAMS	0.458	0.673	0.559	1.000	
pharmacy environment	0.536	0.642	0.597	0.573	1.000

547 *CPAMS = Community pharmacy-led anticoagulation management service

Table 4. Wuttivariate filear regressi	Patient	-centred nication	Conf	idence in st competence	Patient-	Pharmacist tionship	Confide CPA	ence in		macy nment		erall faction
Variable	β	p-value	β	p-value	β	p-value	β	p-value	β	p-value	β	p-value
Gender												
Female	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Male	-0.059	0.341	-0.092	0.139	-0.057	0.355	-0.082	0.187	-0.071	0.227	-0.026	0.669
Age group (in years)												
<65	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
≥65	0.009	0.898	0.095	0.157	0.058	0.386	0.126	0.060	0.168	0.008	0.065	0.331
Ethnic group												
NZ European	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Māori	-0.070	0.266	-0.007	0.915	-0.161	0.011	0.003	0.960	0.013	0.825	-0.040	0.522
Other	-0.008	0.891	-0.043	0.481	-0.100	0.099	0.007	0.905	-0.146	0.012	-0.083	0.169
Level of educational												
Attended tertiary education	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Didn't attend tertiary education	-0.020	0.741	0.046	0.442	-0.009	0.884	0.053	0.381	0.109	0.056	0.056	0.350
Annual household income (NZ \$)												
≤ \$30,000	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
\$30,001 - \$70,000	0.017	0.800	-0.026	0.690	0.008	0.904	-0.042	0.525	0.029	0.642	0.073	0.270
> \$70,000	-0.065	0.359	-0.042	0.547	0.011	0.878	0.104	0.139	0.037	0.575	0.085	0.225
Travel distance to pharmacy												
< 1km	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
1-5km	-0.015	0.866	0.076	0.383	0.065	0.454	-0.019	0.828	-0.199	0.017	0.042	0.630
>5km	0.033	0.711	0.041	0.642	0.020	0.815	-0.099	0.259	-0.176	0.034	-0.111	0.202
General health status												
Good	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Poor	-0.066	0.285	-0.149	0.016	-0.032	0.600	0.011	0.859	-0.078	0.180	-0.043	0.487
No. of current medications												
<five< td=""><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td></five<>	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
≥Five	0.026	0.676	0.066	0.288	-0.019	0.753	-0.033	0.593	0.060	0.308	-0.083	0.180
Frequency of pharmacy visit in 3 months												
<three< td=""><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td></three<>	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Three	0.024	0.787	0.068	0.442	0.062	0.486	0.131	0.141	0.368	< 0.001	0.225	0.012
Four	0.000	0.995	-0.028	0.697	0.016	0.821	-0.084	0.244	0.090	0.190	0.060	0.400
Over four	0.223	0.014	0.177	0.049	0.220	0.014	0.170	0.059	0.354	< 0.001	0.177	0.049
% variance explained by regression model	6	%		7%		8%	7.5	%	17	7%	8	3%

Table 4: Multivariate lin	ear regression models	examining the predictors	of patient satisfaction	with CPAMS (N=291).
	0		1	

 β = Standardised coefficient beta; p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and the corresponding confidence interval was 95%.