

1 Three-dimensional MRI-based Printed Models of Prostate Anatomy and Targeted
2 Biopsy-proven Index Tumor to Facilitate Patient-tailored Radical Prostatectomy – a
3 feasibility study

4
5 Christopher Darr¹⁺; Friederike Finis¹⁺; Manuel Wiesenfarth²; Francesco Giganti^{3,4};
6 Stephan Tschirdewahn¹; Ulrich Krafft¹; Claudia Kesch¹; David Bonekamp⁵; Michael
7 Forsting⁶; Axel Wetter⁶; Henning Reis⁷; Boris A. Hadaschik¹; Johannes Haubold^{5*}; Jan
8 Philipp Radtke^{1,5*}

9 ¹Department of Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany and German Cancer Consortium
10 (DKTK)-University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany

11 ²Division of Biostatistics, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

12 ³ Department of Radiology, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

13 ⁴ Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK

14 ⁵Department of Radiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

15 ⁶Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany and
16 German Cancer Consortium (DKTK)-University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany

17 ⁷Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany and German Cancer Consortium
18 (DKTK)-University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany

19 ⁺shared first authorship

20 ^{*}contributed equally as senior authors

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **MeSH keywords:**

28 Prostate cancer, MRI, 3D model, MRI-derived printed prostate model, radical
29 prostatectomy, patient-tailored prostatectomy

30 **Corresponding author:**

31 PD Dr. med. Jan Philipp Radtke

32 Department of Urology
33 University Hospital Essen
34 Hufelandstr. 55
35 45147 Essen, Germany
36 E-mail: j.radtke@dkfz-heidelberg.de
37 Phone.: 0049 201-723/83069
38 Fax.: 0049 201-723/3151

39

40 **Abbreviations:**

41

42 DCE: Dynamic contrast-enhanced Imaging

43 DRE: Digital-rectal examination

44 EPE: Extraprostatic extension

45 ESUR: European Society of Urogenital Radiology

46 GGG: Gleason Grade group

47 IFS: Intraoperative frozen sectioning

48 mpMRI: multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging

49 MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

50 NSM: Negative surgical margins

51 PC: Prostate cancer

52 PI-RADS: Prostate Imaging - Reporting and Data System

53 PSA: Prostate specific antigen

54 PSM: Positive surgical margins

55 PV: Prostate volume

56 RP: Radical prostatectomy

57 SB: Systematic biopsy

58 sPC: Significant prostate cancer

59 STARD: Standards of Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy

60 TRUS: Transrectal ultrasound

61 TV: Tumor volume

62 **Abstract**

63 In this prospective single center feasibility study, we demonstrate that the use of 3D-
64 printed prostate-models support nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy (RP) and
65 intraoperative frozen-sectioning (IFS) in ten men suffering from intermediate- and high-
66 risk prostate cancer (PC), of whom seven harbored pT3-disease. Patient-specific 3D
67 resin models were printed based on preoperative multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) to
68 provide an exact 3D impression of significant tumor lesions. RP and IFS were planned
69 in a patient-tailored fashion.

70 The 36-region PI-RADSv2.0 scheme was used to compare the MRI/3D-print with
71 whole-mount histopathology. In all cases, the localization of the index lesion was
72 correctly displayed by MRI and the 3D-model. Localization of significant PC lesions
73 correlated significantly (Pearson`s correlation coefficient of 0.88 ($p < 0.001$)). In addition,
74 a significant correlation of the width, length and volume of the tumor and prostate gland
75 derived from the printed model and histopathology was found, using Pearson`s
76 correlation analyses and Bland-Altman plots.

77 In conclusion, 3D-printed prostate-models correlate well with final pathology and can
78 be used to tailor RP.

79

80 **Patient summary**

81 The use of 3D printed prostate-models based on preoperative MRI may improve
82 prostatectomy outcome. This study confirmed accuracy of 3D printed prostates
83 compared to pathology from RP specimens. Thus, MRI-derived 3D printed prostate-
84 models can assist prostate cancer surgery.

85

86 **Main report:**

87 Multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) and transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-fusion targeted
88 biopsy detect significantly more significant prostate cancers (sPC) than standard
89 TRUS-biopsy [1,2]. In addition, high spatial resolution of MRI facilitates precise
90 knowledge of the localization of sPC before nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy (RP),
91 to gain maximum security while reducing burden of erectile dysfunction after RP [3].
92 Another possible tool is intraoperative frozen sectioning (IFS).Schlomm et al.
93 described that IFS has the potential to significantly increase nerve-sparing and to
94 reduce positive margins (PSM) [4]. In addition, Petralia et al. combined both
95 approaches and could demonstrate that preoperative MRI can guide IFS to
96 significantly reduce PSM [5]. This is of specific interest, as unfavourable PSM (>3 mm
97 and/or multifocal) confer a higher oncologic risk of developing metastasis [6]. Another
98 promising step might be utilization of MRI-derived 3D printed prostate models [3].
99 In our feasibility study we used customized, patient-specific 3D printed prostates. The
100 main purpose was to evaluate the correlation of the index tumor lesion and of all sPC
101 lesions between the 3D-prints and RP specimens. Differences in index lesion
102 dimensions (length, width, volume) and whole gland between MRI-based print models
103 and RP specimens were analysed, as underestimation of the lesion volume by MRI of
104 up to 30% has been demonstrated [7]. Lastly, we investigated the role of MRI/3D-
105 model-directed IFS to decrease the rate of PSM after RP [5].

106

107 In this feasibility study (review board-approval, 19-TEMP579281-BO, 03/2019-
108 06/2019), ten consecutive patients with clinically localized intermediate- and high-risk
109 PC underwent a 3-Tesla mpMRI using a Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System
110 (PI-RADS)v2.0-conform protocol and subsequent MRI/TRUS-fusion biopsy. [8].

111 The 3D printed prostates were outlined based on the mpMRI, manually marking the
112 boundaries of the prostate gland and seminal vesicles using the open source software
113 3D-Slicer (version: 4.10.2). Index lesions were defined as the lesion with the highest
114 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Gleason grading groups (GGG)
115 or the largest volume within a prostate. sPC was defined as ISUP ≥ 2 . Biopsy-proven
116 index lesions on mpMRI and all sPC lesions were contoured manually marked under
117 supervision of a dedicated uro-radiologist with experience > 1000 image reports in
118 prostate MRI (AW). A 3D printer (Anycubic Photon, Shenzhen, China) printed the
119 specimens out of resin whereas the index lesion was left blank or filled with a different
120 color.

121 RP was performed by one experienced surgeon (BAH, >500 cases) using a retropubic
122 or robot-assisted technique. Based on National Comprehensive Cancer Network risk-
123 groups (intermediate- and high-risk in the present cohort) and with aid of the 3D-printed
124 models, a nerve-sparing approach and IFS were planned and performed. Specifically,
125 IFS was performed for each index lesion and other sPC lesions. Pathological work-up
126 was performed according to current clinical standards by a dedicated pathologist with
127 12 years of experience in genitourinary-pathology (HR). For the analysis, quarters
128 were digitally reconstructed to whole-mounts. Tumor dimensions were measured using
129 MITK software (Medical Imaging Interaction Toolkit, v2018.04.02). The 3D-printed
130 prostate was sliced at the index lesion with a commercial hacksaw. The correct
131 orientation was achieved by interdisciplinary workup between clinicians and
132 pathologists on an individual case-basis and according to anatomical landmarks of the
133 prostate. Histopathologic slides with the greatest cross-section of the specific lesion
134 were used for agreement analysis of location on T2-weighted images. The slides as
135 well as the T2-weighted images had a 90° flip-angle which was transferred accordingly
136 to the anatomical preparation. Agreement and true positivity of the MRI lesion were

137 considered if there was exact agreement or a discrepancy with the pathologic lesion in
138 up to one region in any direction [7]; correlation was assessed using Pearson`s
139 correlation coefficient.

140 Correlation of the dimensions of the index lesion and the prostate volume on 3D-
141 printed model and RP specimen was analysed by Pearson`s correlation coefficient and
142 graphically by scatter plots and Bland-Altman plots (Figure 1). Statistical analyses were
143 performed using R version 3.5.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
144 Austria) and GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA).

145
146 Patients` demographic and histopathological data are given in Table 1. In all 10 cases,
147 the index lesion of the 3D-print was correctly located considering the 36-region PI-
148 RADS scheme compared to histopathology. 13/14 sPC lesions (93%) were also
149 correctly located, resulting in a significant correlation with a Pearson`s coefficient of
150 0.88 ($p<0.001$). Histopathology proved negative surgical margins (NSM) in 7 patients.
151 PSM was found in three men suffering from locally advanced pT3 disease. These
152 matched with the suspected extraprostatic extension (EPE) of MRI and the 3D-printed
153 models. Out of the 3 cases with positive IFS, in two repeat resection demonstrated
154 cancer free tissue out of which final pathology demonstrated PSM in one. In the last
155 case a further resection was not possible due to infiltration of the urethral sphincter.

156
157 Measurements of tumor and prostate dimensions showed a significant correlation
158 between the 3D-print and histopathology (length: $r^2=0.59$, $p=0.01$; width: $r^2=0.64$,
159 $p=0.005$; tumor volume (TV): $r^2=0.52$, $p=0.045$; prostate volume: $r^2=0.70$, $p=0.002$).
160 Pathology measurements were multiplied by a correction factor of 1.15 to compensate
161 for tissue shrinkage due to formalin-fixation. Bland-Altman plots emphasize differences
162 on TV between printed models and histopathology. Particularly, large tumors were

163 underestimated on MRI/3D-print as compared to pathology by up to 30%. Of note, the
164 deviation increased with increasing TV, whereas smaller tumor dimensions had an
165 accurate correlation. These results are in the line with findings by Baco et al.,
166 suggesting that mpMRI is able to predict the presence of extra-prostatic disease, rather
167 than representing the EPE by means of volume [9]. Contrary the prostate volume is
168 overrated in the MRI compared to histopathology (Figure 1).

169

170 Discrepancies of these volume measurements might be caused through different
171 measurement types. Whereas the TV was measured using MITK software, the
172 prostate volume was measured manually using the GE RIS/PACS software (Version
173 3.0, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Recent literature suggests that computer-assisted TV
174 calculation might attenuate underestimation [10]. Comparison between the
175 histopathologic slide and the sliced 3D-model might be influenced through marginally
176 different heights of the MRI slide and the corresponding histopathologic slide.

177 Nonetheless, the visual and haptic aid of a 3D-model in an intraoperative setting can
178 lead to more precise IFS. Pre- and intraoperative benefits of a 3D-model approach
179 have been described, namely planning of a patient-tailored RP and training of
180 surgeons undergoing the learning-curve [11,12]. The significant correlation of both,
181 localization and lesion volume between histopathology and 3D-print in our study is
182 crucial for the assumption that applying MRI-derived 3D-models might correctly guide
183 IFS and increase the rate of NSM [6].

184 Chen et al. have recently shown that the use of a fused-deposition-modelling-printer is
185 possible, resulting in much lower cost. Certainly, cost-efficient models which are
186 printed in a short turnaround are favourable for the surgeon [13].

187 Some limitations of our manuscript merit discussion. Firstly, the number of patients in
188 this feasibility study is limited. This small number of patients seems justifiable, due to

189 oncologic safety purposes. A strength of the study is that for the first time statistical
190 analyses using correlation coefficients are presented to demonstrate not only
191 feasibility, but also precision of preoperative imaging and 3D-models as compared to
192 RP specimen. Secondly, we investigated only the PSM-rate, and not the more
193 sophisticated surrogate of biochemical recurrence-free survival. However, for a direct
194 analysis of an accurate application of MRI-guided IFS, the SM-status may be sufficient.
195 We did not account for a comparison of a preoperative MRI alone versus MRI-derived
196 3D-models. In view of the recently updated European Association of Urology (EAU)
197 guidelines, knowledge of the preoperative MRI results alone might be sufficient to
198 facilitate patient-tailored RP as recently demonstrated [14,15]. For accurate prediction
199 of EPE, standardized reading using ESUR classification is crucial, as accuracy is
200 decreased by unstandardized MR-reading [14,16]. Lastly, the single-surgeon
201 experience in this feasibility study limits generalizability of the results for cohorts
202 consisting of multiple surgeons with different expertise.

203 **Conflict of interest:**

204 All authors of this manuscript indicate no conflicts of interest regarding the present

205 work.

206 **References**

- 207 [1] van der Leest M, Cornel E, Israël B, Hendriks R, Padhani AR, Hoogenboom M,
208 et al. Head-to-head Comparison of Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate
209 Biopsy Versus Multiparametric Prostate Resonance Imaging with Subsequent
210 Magnetic Resonance-guided Biopsy in Biopsy-naïve Men with Elevated
211 Prostate-specific Antigen: A Large Prospective Mu. *Eur Urol* 2019;75:570–8.
212 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2018.11.023.
- 213 [2] Kasivisvanathan V, Rannikko AS, Borghi M, Panebianco V, Mynderse LA,
214 Vaarala MH, et al. MRI-Targeted or Standard Biopsy for Prostate-Cancer
215 Diagnosis. *N Engl J Med* 2018;318:1767–77. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1801993.
- 216 [3] Shin T, Ukimura O, Gill IS. Three-dimensional Printed Model of Prostate
217 Anatomy and Targeted Biopsy-proven Index Tumor to Facilitate Nerve-sparing
218 Prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2016;69:377–9. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2015.09.024.
- 219 [4] Schlomm T, Tennstedt P, Huxhold C, Steuber T, Salomon G, Michl U, et al.
220 Neurovascular Structure-adjacent Frozen-section Examination (NeuroSAFE)
221 Increases Nerve-sparing Frequency and Reduces Positive Surgical Margins in
222 Open and Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy : Experience
223 After 11 069 Consecutive Patients. *Eur Urol* 2012;62:333–40.
- 224 [5] Petralia G, Musi G, Padhani A, Summers P, Renne G, Alessi S, et al. Robot-
225 assisted Radical Prostatectomy : Multiparametric MR Imaging–directed
226 Intraoperative Frozen-Section Analysis to Reduce the Rate of Positive Surgical
227 Margins. *Radiology* 2015;274:434–44.
- 228 [6] Martini A, Gandaglia G, Fossati N, Scuderi S, Bravi CA, Mazzone E, et al.
229 Defining Clinically Meaningful Positive Surgical Margins in Patients Undergoing
230 Radical Prostatectomy for Localised Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2019.
231 doi:10.1016/j.euo.2019.03.006.

- 232 [7] Radtke JP, Schwab C, Wolf MB, Freitag MT, Alt CD, Kesch C, et al.
233 Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and MRI – Transrectal
234 Ultrasound Fusion Biopsy for Index Tumor Detection: Correlation with Radical
235 Prostatectomy Specimen. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:846–53.
236 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2015.12.052.
- 237 [8] Barentsz JO, Weinreb JC, Verma S, Thoeny HC, Tempany CM, Shtern F, et al.
238 Synopsis of the PI-RADS v2 Guidelines for Multiparametric Prostate Magnetic
239 Resonance Imaging and Recommendations for Use. *Eur Urol* 2015:1–9.
240 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2015.08.038.
- 241 [9] Baco E, Rud E, Vlatkovic L, Svindland A, Eggesbø HB, Hung AJ, et al.
242 Predictive value of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Determined Tumor Contact
243 Length for Extra-capsular Extension of Prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2014;193:466–
244 72. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.08.084.
- 245 [10] Marin L, Ezziane M, Comperat E, Mozer P, Cancel-Tassin G, Côté JF, et al.
246 Comparison of semi-automated and manual methods to measure the volume
247 of prostate cancer on magnetic resonance imaging. *Diagn Interv Imaging*
248 2017;98:423–8. doi:10.1016/j.diii.2017.02.004.
- 249 [11] Cacciamani GE, Okhunov Z, Meneses AD, Rodriguez-Socarras ME, Rivas JG,
250 Porpiglia F, et al. Impact of Three-dimensional Printing in Urology: State of the
251 Art and Future Perspectives. A Systematic Review by ESUT-YAUWP Group.
252 *Eur Urol* 2019;76:209–21. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2019.04.044.
- 253 [12] Porpiglia F, Bertolo R, Checcucci E, Amparore D, Autorino R, Dasgupta P, et
254 al. Development and validation of 3D printed virtual models for robot-assisted
255 radical prostatectomy and partial nephrectomy: urologists' and patients'
256 perception. *World J Urol* 2018;36:201–7. doi:10.1007/s00345-017-2126-1.
- 257 [13] Chen MY, Skewes J, Woodruff MA, Dasgupta P, Rukin. Multi-material

258 extrusion fused deposition modelling: a low-cost 3D printing method for
259 anatomical prostate cancer models. *Sci Rep* 2020;10. doi:10.1038/s41598-
260 020-67082-7.

261 [14] Morlacco A, Sharma V, Viers BR, Rangel LJ, Carlson RE, Froemming AT, et
262 al. The Incremental Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Prostate Cancer
263 Staging before Radical Prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2016:8–11.
264 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2016.08.015.

265 [15] Mottet N, van den Bergh R, Briers E, Cornford P, De Santis M, Fanti S, et al.
266 EAU - EANM - ESTRO - ESUR - SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer 2019.
267 *Eur Assoc Urol Guidel* 2019;Edn. prese.

268 [16] Nyarangi-Dix J, Wiesenfarth M, Bonekamp D, Hitthaler B, Schütz V,
269 Dieffenbacher S, et al. Combined Clinical Parameters and Multiparametric
270 Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Prediction of Extraprostatic Disease—A
271 Risk Model for Patient-tailored Risk Stratification When Planning Radical
272 Prostatectomy. *Eur Urol Focus* 2018:4–11. doi:10.1016/j.euf.2018.11.004.
273

274 **Table legends:**

275 Table 1:

276 Patient demographics and histopathologic characteristics.

277

278 **Supplementary Material legends:**

279 Supplementary Material 1:

280 Standards of Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy (STARD) checklist

281

282 **Figure legends:**

283 Figure 1:

284 Figure 1A. Evaluation of different dimension modalities of the tumor and prostate
285 comparing 3D-print/MRI with histopathology. For each analysis Scatter plots (left) and
286 Bland-Altman plots have been performed. The red line shows the ideal line. The black
287 line displays the balancing line. 1: Length of the tumor ($r^2 = 0.59$; $p = 0.01$), 2: Width of
288 the tumor ($r^2 = 0.64$; $p = 0.005$), 3: Volume of the tumor ($r^2 = 0.52$; $p = 0.045$), 4: Volume
289 of the prostate ($r^2 = 0.70$; $p = 0.002$).

290 Figure 1B. All four images are taken from the same prostate. This prostate is printed
291 out of liquid photopolymer cured through UV light, the tumor is presented in red
292 photopolymer and more cured than the rest of the prostate. 1: mpMRI of the prostate
293 with index lesion (caudal view), 2: 3D printed prostate with index lesion (photo is taken
294 from caudal), 3: histopathology of the prostate with index lesion (caudal view) 4: 3D
295 printed prostate with index lesion (cranio-dorsal view).

296