### Long-range temporal organisation of limb movement kinematics in human neonates

Kimberley Whitehead<sup>a</sup>, Judith Meek<sup>b</sup>, Lorenzo Fabrizi<sup>a</sup>, Beth A. Smith<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Wing, University College London Hospitals, London, WC1E 6DB, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy and Department of Pediatrics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90033, United States

Corresponding author: k.whitehead@ucl.ac.uk G17 Medawar Building University College London Gower Street London WC1E 6BT

## Abstract

## Objective

Movement provides crucial sensorimotor information to the developing brain, evoking somatotopic cortical EEG activity. Indeed, temporal-spatial organisation of these movements, including a diverse repertoire of accelerations and limb combinations (e.g. unilateral progressing to bilateral), predicts positive sensorimotor outcomes. However, in current clinical practice, movements in human neonates are qualitatively characterised only during brief periods (a few minutes) of wakefulness, meaning that the vast majority of sensorimotor experience remains unsampled. Here our objective was to quantitively characterise the long-range temporal organisation of the full repertoire of newborn movements, over multi-hour recordings.

# Methods

We monitored motor activity across 2-4 hours in 11 healthy newborn infants (median 1 day old), who wore limb sensors containing synchronised tri-axial accelerometers and gyroscopes. Movements were identified using acceleration and angular velocity, and their organisation across the recording was characterised using cluster analysis and spectral estimation.

#### Results

Movement occurrence was periodic, with a 1-hour cycle. Peaks in movement occurrence were associated with higher acceleration, and a higher proportion of movements being bilateral.

# Conclusions

Neonatal movement occurrence is cyclical, with periods consistent with sleep-wake behavioural architecture. Movement kinematics are organised by these fluctuations in movement occurrence. Recordings that exceed 1-hour are necessary to capture the long-range temporal organisation of the full repertoire of newborn limb movements.

# Significance

Future work should investigate the prognostic value of combining these movement recordings with synchronised EEG, in at-risk infants.

Keywords: motor; somatosensory; proprioception

# 1. Introduction

In neonatal animals, motor activity and associated reafferent feedback is necessary for the development and refinement of sensorimotor thalamo-cortical circuits (Khazipov et al. 2004). In human neonates, self-generated and passive motor activity is also likely to be important for maturation of sensorimotor body maps, because movements evoke somatotopic cortical electroencephalography (EEG) and positive blood oxygen level–dependent responses in pre-term and full-term infants (Milh et al. 2007; Allievi et al. 2016; Losito et al. 2017; Whitehead et al. 2018, 2019a).

Human foetuses and pre-term and full-term infants exhibit two main patterns of motor activity: 'writhing' general movements in which the whole body participates, especially during wakefulness (Prechtl et al. 1997; Lüchinger et al. 2008), and isolated limb movements, especially during sleep (de Vries and Fong 2006; Whitehead et al. 2018). Both movement types are thought to be generated by subcortical structures, that receive increasing descending modulation from first the subplate and then the cortical plate, potentially explaining their decline with development (Eyre et al. 2000; Rio-Bermudez et al. 2015; Inácio et al. 2016; Hadders-Algra 2018).

In current clinical practice, neonatal motor activity is characterised by qualitatively examining the general movements occurring only during brief periods (a few minutes) of wakefulness (Thelen and Fisher 1983; Gima et al. 2011; Vries and Bos 2011). Temporal-spatial organisation of these movements at the level of seconds, including short cycles of waxing and waning occurrence and acceleration, and diverse repertoire of limb combinations (e.g. unilateral progressing to bilateral), predicts positive sensorimotor outcomes (Prechtl et al. 1997; Einspieler et al. 2008). Recent work has demonstrated temporal-spatial organisation at the level of seconds for isolated limb movements also (Sokoloff et al.). However, the *macro*-organisation of the *full* repertoire of neonatal motor activity, at the level of many minutes to hours, is unknown. This may be an important gap in knowledge, because long-range temporal organisation of the related neonatal parameter of EEG activity is the crucial anchor for all of its superimposed micro-organisation (Hartley et al. 2012). Here our objective was to quantitatively characterise the long-range temporal organisation of motor activity by monitoring kinematics over multi-hour recordings in a normative sample.

# 2. Methods

Eleven healthy full-term singleton newborns were recruited for this study from the postnatal (well baby) ward at the Elizabeth Garrett Anderson wing of University College London Hospitals (median 39+5 weeks+days corrected gestational age (range 38+5-41+2) and 1 day old (range 0.5-7); 5/11 female; median birth weight 3170g). Ethical approval was obtained from the NHS Research Ethics Committee, and informed written parental consent was obtained prior to each study. The study complied with the 2013 update of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Limb movement was recorded using battery-powered sensors containing synchronised tri-axial accelerometers and gyroscopes (Opals v. 2, APDM), worn inside the pocket of custom-made ankle/wrist bands. All infants wore sensors on both legs, and 7/11 infants also wore sensors on both arms. Wearing the sensors did not change the quality of limb movements (Video 1), in line with previous reports in infants (Jiang et al. 2018). Recordings lasted for a median of 3 hours (range 2-4.5). This recording length was chosen because it typically includes a full sleep-wake behavioural cycle (Kleitman and Engelmann 1953; Dittrichová 1966; Stern et al. 1969, 1973; Anders and Roffwarg 1973; Anders and Keener 1985; Horne et al. 2001; Korotchikova et al. 2016). Each infant's position and sleep-wake state were recorded by KW at the beginning and end of each recording. During the

main part of the recording, the infants were left in private with their parents, in order to acquire naturalistic data non-intrusively.

Data were acquired at 128Hz, and downsampled by a factor of 6 in order to use existing algorithms developed with 20Hz data (Smith et al. 2015; Trujillo-Priego et al. 2017). Movements were identified when i) resultant acceleration (change in linear velocity per unit time) and ii) resultant angular velocity (change in limb orientation per unit time) passed a set threshold (Supplementary Fig. 1). Using resultant values considers overall magnitude, rather than direction, of acceleration/angular velocity. The advantage of analysing angular velocity in addition to acceleration is that this can highlight non-infant produced acceleration, e.g. a neonate being picked up, which should not be identified as a movement (Smith et al. 2015). Acceleration and angular velocity thresholds were identified separately for each individual recording, according to that recording's variance. Movement identification was implemented using custom-written Matlab algorithms, previously validated in a separate sample of infants by video coding: for full details please see (Smith et al. 2015; Trujillo-Priego et al. 2017).

Leg vs. arm movement patterns have distinct qualities, e.g. the hip vs. shoulder joint has less range of motion, and therefore leg movements typically comprise discrete flexion-extension, while arm movements are more continuous. To take account of this, a separate leg movement was identified each time the leg paused or changed direction (Smith et al. 2015), while a separate arm movement was identified each time the arm paused (Trujillo-Priego et al. 2017). For this reason, the number of identified leg vs. arm movements cannot be directly compared: in infants who wore sensors on their legs and arms, there was a higher number of leg movements (median ratio 75:25), but these leg movements were shorter-lasting (p <.001 Mann-Whitney U Test) (Peirano et al. 1986; Cioni and Prechtl 1990).

First, we examined how movements clustered in time across each recording. For this we used an algorithm which calculated the optimum number of clusters according to cohesion within-cluster (movements occurring in close temporal proximity), and separation between-clusters (cluster of movements occurs in isolation) ('silhouette' coefficient where 0.5-1 indicates good-quality clustering, maximum possible clusters set at 15, TwoStep algorithm, SPSS v. 26). Second, we assessed whether clustering of movement occurrence was periodic, by examining the spectral density of movement occurrence across each recording (movement counts per 5-minute bins).

Next, we investigated whether fluctuations in movement occurrence organised movement kinematics. Each movement was characterised by acceleration (mean acceleration across the sample points comprising the length of the movement), peak acceleration (highest acceleration value across the sample points comprising the length of the movement), and length (sample points comprising the movement). The leg movement algorithm also identified if a movement was bilateral, when movement of the homologous limb overlapped in time, even if not entirely synchronously (Supplementary Fig. 1). We tested for a relationship between movement count per 5-minute bin and i) median acceleration, peak acceleration, and length of movements within that bin (legs and arms pooled), and ii) proportion of movement per 5-minute bin was necessary for inclusion in this analysis, in order to have a value for the kinematics indices. All statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS v. 26.

#### 3. Results

29,165 movements were identified (23,679 leg; 5486 arm). The length of each movement ranged from 94-4836 msec (1<sup>st</sup> - 99<sup>th</sup> centile).

Clusters of movements were apparent in each infant's time series, with associated fluctuations in acceleration (Fig. 1), and the proportion of movements which were bilateral (Fig. 1 right panel). A median of 3 clusters of movement occurrence were identified (min: 2, max: 5; all 'silhouette' coefficients > 0.7). Spectral analysis indicated that these clusters of movements occurred periodically, with an approximately 60 minutes cycle observed consistently across recordings, and shorter cycles apparent in some instances (Fig. 2).

Peaks in movement occurrence were associated with a higher proportion of movements being bilateral, and higher acceleration (proportion bilateral r. 548 p <.001, Fig. 3 left panel; acceleration: r .214 p <.001, peak acceleration: r .121 p = .005, Fig. 3 right panel). On the other hand, there was no relationship between movement occurrence and movement length (p = .439).

# 4. Discussion

Here we demonstrate for the first time that wearable sensors can be successfully used to quantitatively track movement kinematics over several hours in neonates who are just one day old. These unique multi-hour data allow to show that movement occurrence in neonates follows a 1hour cycle, indicating that recordings must exceed one hour to capture the full repertoire of newborn movements. An additional novel result is that during peaks in movement occurrence, movements are more likely to be bilateral, and have higher acceleration.

At this maturational stage, isolated, unilateral limb movements are still evoking localised contralateral brain oscillations (Supplementary Fig. 2) (Whitehead et al. 2018), which refine thalamocortical circuits in neonatal animals (Khazipov et al. 2004). However, as infants' unilateral cortical body maps near completion (Dall'Orso et al. 2018; Donadio et al. 2018), sensorimotor information associated with bilateral, high-density movements is also important, to strengthen inter- and intrahemispheric connections (Whitehead et al. 2019b), ready for co-ordinated voluntary behaviours like reaching. Here we show for the first time that these distinct forms of sensorimotor information are cyclically organised at this critical juncture of development.

Higher movement acceleration has been associated with fine motor skill in older infants (Cahill-Rowley and Rose 2018), but the higher the acceleration, the greater risk that targeted movements 'overshoot'. Therefore, it may be advantageous for the developing brain to receive proprioceptive feedback associated with a wide repertoire of movement accelerations, as demonstrated here, to support calibration of emergent voluntary motor activity.

A 1-hour cycle of movement occurrence is consistent with sleep-wake behavioural architecture: an active-quiet sleep cycle lasts for 37-65 minutes at this age, from which wakefulness can arise (Kleitman and Engelmann 1953; Dittrichová 1966; Stern et al. 1969, 1973; Anders and Roffwarg 1973; Anders and Keener 1985; Curzi-Dascalova et al. 1988; Horne et al. 2001; Korotchikova et al. 2016). The shorter cycles apparent within this 1-hour cycle (Fig. 2) possibly indicate further heterogeneity *within* behavioural states (Peirano et al. 1986; Dvir et al. 2019; Whitehead et al. 2019c). While the same subcortical structures generate motor activity across sleep-wake states in neonatal animals, movement-initiating neural activity differs by state, potentially explaining the variance in motor phenotype putatively associated with state here (Rio-Bermudez et al. 2015; Inácio et al. 2016). Overall, our data imply a rich, highly variable repertoire of afferent input across a full behavioural cycle in human neonates. This may be one of the reasons that robust sleep-wake

organisation is associated with healthy sensorimotor development (Osredkar et al. 2005; Shellhaas et al. 2017).

This study has some limitations. In particular, it was not possible to differentiate infant-generated movements from passive movements associated with caregiver interaction. However, infant-generated motor activity was robustly identified (many movements were identified during periods in which the infant was observed lying unstimulated, e.g. Fig. 1), and the length of identified movements is consistent with reports using other modalities to measure self-generated movement, such as electromyography (Supplementary Fig. 2) (Thelen and Fisher 1983; Thelen 1985; Hadders-Algra et al. 1993). Previous reports demonstrated that only around 15% of movements identified in infants using the present methodology are passive (Zhou et al. 2019). Inclusion of this small number of passive movements does not alter the significance of our findings, as both self-generated and passive motor activity play a role in sensorimotor development (Akhmetshina et al. 2016; Allievi et al. 2016), and the two will co-vary with behavioural state (e.g. infants typically wake to demandfeed, necessitating caregiver handling) (Georgoulas et al. revised manuscript under 2<sup>nd</sup> review for *Sleep*).

There are three other limitations of this study. Firstly, although the sample comprised a relatively homogenous sample of healthy newborn infants, the number of infants studied was small and future work should aim to replicate our findings in a larger cohort. Secondly, to definitively associate the periodicity of movement kinematics observed here with sleep-wake cycling will require future studies which include concurrent EEG and other polygraphic (e.g. respiratory) monitoring. Finally, while wearable sensors have the advantage of being non-intrusive, e.g. in comparison to electromyography which requires abrasive skin preparation, electromyography has its own advantages which include ability to differentiate individual muscle contributions.

In conclusion, here we demonstrate the rich diversity of the motor activity repertoire in healthy newborn infants, which fluctuates on an hourly cycle. Our quantitative methodology tracks movement with sufficient temporal resolution (sample points) that these kinematic time series could be convolved with synchronised EEG recordings in future studies. This would allow to investigate the value of combined multi-hour movement and cortical recordings for functional sensorimotor assessment of at-risk infants, such as those who sustained a brain injury (Whitehead et al. 2020).

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre and Medical Research Council UK Confidence in Concept funding (K.W.), and a Medical Research Council UK Career Development Award (L.F.). These funders had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication. We would like to thank the families who participated in this research.

# Author contributions

Substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis of data: K.W., B.S.

Interpretation of data, and drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: K.W., L.F., J.M.

Final approval of the version to be published: K.W., B.S., L.F., J.M.

Declarations of interest: none.

## References

- Akhmetshina D, Nasretdinov A, Zakharov A, Valeeva G, Khazipov R. The Nature of the Sensory Input to the Neonatal Rat Barrel Cortex. J Neurosci. 2016;36:9922–32.
- Allievi AG, Arichi T, Tusor N, Kimpton J, Arulkumaran S, Counsell SJ, et al. Maturation of Sensori-Motor Functional Responses in the Preterm Brain. Cereb Cortex. 2016;26:402–13.
- Anders TF, Keener M. Developmental Course of Nighttime Sleep-Wake Patterns in Full-Term and Premature Infants During the First Year of Life. I. Sleep. 1985;8:173–92.
- Anders TF, Roffwarg HP. The effects of selective interruption and deprivation of sleep in the human newborn. Dev Psychobiol. 1973;6:77–89.
- Cahill-Rowley K, Rose J. Temporal-spatial reach parameters derived from inertial sensors correlate to neurodevelopment in toddlers born preterm. J Biomech. 2018;72:17–22.
- Cioni G, Prechtl HFR. Preterm and early postterm motor behaviour in low-risk premature infants. Early Hum Dev. 1990;23:159–91.
- Curzi-Dascalova L, Peirano P, Morel-Kahn F. Development of sleep states in normal premature and full-term newborns. Dev Psychobiol. 1988;21:431–44.
- Dall'Orso S, Steinweg J, Allievi AG, Edwards AD, Burdet E, Arichi T. Somatotopic Mapping of the Developing Sensorimotor Cortex in the Preterm Human Brain. Cereb Cortex. 2018;28:2507– 15.
- Dittrichová J. Development of sleep in infancy. J Appl Physiol. 1966;21:1243–6.
- Donadio A, Whitehead K, Gonzalez F, Wilhelm E, Formica D, Meek J, et al. A novel sensor design for accurate measurement of facial somatosensation in pre-term infants. PLOS ONE. 2018;13:e0207145.
- Dvir H, Kantelhardt JW, Zinkhan M, Pillmann F, Szentkiralyi A, Obst A, et al. A Biased Diffusion Approach to Sleep Dynamics Reveals Neuronal Characteristics. Biophys J. 2019;117:987–97.
- Einspieler C, Bos AF, Cioni G, Ferrari F, Prechtl HFR. Prechtl's Method on the Qualitative Assessment of General Movements in Preterm, Term and Young Infants(1st Edition). 1st Edition. Mac Keith Press; 2008.
- Eyre JA, Miller S, Clowry GJ, Conway EA, Watts C. Functional corticospinal projections are established prenatally in the human foetus permitting involvement in the development of spinal motor centres. Brain. 2000;123:51–64.
- Gima H, Ohgi S, Morita S, Karasuno H, Fujiwara T, Abe K. A Dynamical System Analysis of the Development of Spontaneous Lower Extremity Movements in Newborn and Young Infants. J Physiol Anthropol. 2011;30:179–86.
- Hadders-Algra M. Neural substrate and clinical significance of general movements: an update. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2018;60:39–46.

- Hadders-Algra M, Nakae Y, Van Eykern LA, Klip-Van den Nieuwendijk AWJ, Prechtl HFR. The effect of behavioural state on general movements in healthy full-term newborns. A polymyographic study. Early Hum Dev. 1993;35:63–79.
- Hartley C, Berthouze L, Mathieson SR, Boylan GB, Rennie JM, Marlow N, et al. Long-Range Temporal Correlations in the EEG Bursts of Human Preterm Babies. PLOS ONE. 2012;7:e31543.
- Horne RSC, Andrew S, Mitchell K, Sly DJ, Cranage SM, Chau B, et al. Apnoea of prematurity and arousal from sleep. Early Hum Dev. 2001;61:119–33.
- Inácio AR, Nasretdinov A, Lebedeva J, Khazipov R. Sensory feedback synchronizes motor and sensory neuronal networks in the neonatal rat spinal cord. Nat Commun. 2016;7:13060.
- Jiang C, Lane CJ, Perkins E, Schiesel D, Smith BA. Determining if wearable sensors affect infant leg movement frequency. Dev Neurorehabil. 2018;21:133–6.
- Khazipov R, Sirota A, Leinekugel X, Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y, Buzsáki G. Early motor activity drives spindle bursts in the developing somatosensory cortex. Nature. 2004;432:758–61.
- Kleitman N, Engelmann TG. Sleep Characteristics of Infants. J Appl Physiol. 1953;6:269-82.
- Korotchikova I, Stevenson NJ, Livingstone V, Ryan CA, Boylan GB. Sleep–wake cycle of the healthy term newborn infant in the immediate postnatal period. Clin Neurophysiol. 2016;127:2095–101.
- Losito E, Eisermann M, Vignolo P, Hovhannisyan S, Magny JF, Kaminska A. Benign Neonatal Sleep Myoclonus Evokes Somatosensory Responses. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2017;34:484.
- Lüchinger AB, Hadders-Algra M, van Kan CM, de Vries JIP. Fetal Onset of General Movements. Pediatr Res. 2008;63:191–5.
- Milh M, Kaminska A, Huon C, Lapillonne A, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R. Rapid Cortical Oscillations and Early Motor Activity in Premature Human Neonate. Cereb Cortex. 2007;17:1582–94.
- Osredkar D, Toet MC, Rooij LGM van, Huffelen AC van, Groenendaal F, Vries LS de. Sleep-Wake Cycling on Amplitude-Integrated Electroencephalography in Term Newborns With Hypoxic-Ischemic Encephalopathy. Pediatrics. 2005;115:327–32.
- Peirano P, Curzi-Dascalova L, Korn G. Influence of Sleep State and Age on Body Motility in Normal Premature and Full-Term Neonates. Neuropediatrics. 1986;17:186–90.
- Prechtl HF, Einspieler C, Cioni G, Bos AF, Ferrari F, Sontheimer D. An early marker for neurological deficits after perinatal brain lesions. The Lancet. 1997;349:1361–3.
- Rio-Bermudez CD, Sokoloff G, Blumberg MS. Sensorimotor Processing in the Newborn Rat Red Nucleus during Active Sleep. J Neurosci. 2015;35:8322–32.
- Shellhaas RA, Burns JW, Hassan F, Carlson MD, Barks JD, Chervin RD. Neonatal Sleep–Wake Analyses Predict 18-month Neurodevelopmental Outcomes. Sleep. 2017;40. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/sleep/article/40/11/zsx144/4096871
- Smith BA, Trujillo-Priego IA, Lane CJ, Finley JM, Horak FB. Daily Quantity of Infant Leg Movement: Wearable Sensor Algorithm and Relationship to Walking Onset. Sensors. 2015;15:19006–20.

- Sokoloff G, Hickerson MM, Wen RY, Tobias ME, McMurray B, Blumberg MS. Spatiotemporal organization of myoclonic twitching in sleeping human infants. Dev Psychobiol. In press. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/dev.21954
- Stern E, Parmelee AH, Akiyama Y, Schultz MA, Wenner WH. Sleep Cycle Characteristics in Infants. Pediatrics. 1969;43:65–70.
- Stern E, Parmelee AH, Harris MA. Sleep state periodicity in prematures and young infants. Dev Psychobiol. 1973;6:357–65.
- Thelen E. Developmental origins of motor coordination: Leg movements in human infants. Dev Psychobiol. 1985;18:1–22.
- Thelen E, Fisher DM. The Organization of Spontaneous Leg Movements in Newborn Infants. J Mot Behav. 1983;15:353–72.
- Trujillo-Priego IA, Lane CJ, Vanderbilt DL, Deng W, Loeb GE, Shida J, et al. Development of a Wearable Sensor Algorithm to Detect the Quantity and Kinematic Characteristics of Infant Arm Movement Bouts Produced across a Full Day in the Natural Environment. Technologies. 2017;5. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5558826/
- de Vries JIP, Fong BF. Normal fetal motility: an overview. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2006;27:701– 11.
- Vries ND, Bos A. The motor repertoire of extremely low-birthweight infants at term in relation to their neurological outcome. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2011;53:933–7.
- Whitehead K, Jones L, Laudiano-Dray MP, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Event-related potentials following contraction of respiratory muscles in pre-term and full-term infants. Clin Neurophysiol. 2019a;130:2216–21.
- Whitehead K, Jones L, Laudiano-Dray MP, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Altered cortical processing of somatosensory input in pre-term infants who had high-grade germinal matrix-intraventricular haemorrhage. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2020;25:102095.
- Whitehead K, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Developmental trajectory of movement-related cortical oscillations during active sleep in a cross-sectional cohort of pre-term and full-term human infants. Sci Rep. 2018;8:17516.
- Whitehead K, Papadelis C, Laudiano-Dray MP, Meek J, Fabrizi L. The Emergence of Hierarchical Somatosensory Processing in Late Prematurity. Cereb Cortex. 2019b;29:2245–60.
- Whitehead K, Slobodina M, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Fronto-central slow cortical activity is attenuated during phasic events in rapid eye movement sleep at full-term birth. Early Hum Dev. 2019c;136:45–8.
- Zhou J, Schaefer SY, Smith BA. Quantifying Caregiver Movement when Measuring Infant Movement across a Full Day: A Case Report. Sensors. 2019;19:2886.

**Figure 1: Clusters of movement occurrence.** Left: Illustrative 3-hour time series of leg and arm movements from infant #5 (right + left limb pooled). For this subject, three clusters of leg movements were identified, and four clusters of arm movements were identified. Note that clusters of higher movement occurrence were associated with higher peak acceleration of movements. Right: Illustrative 2-hour time series of leg movements from infant #2 (right + left limb pooled) (plotted on a 3-hour x axis to facilitate comparison with the left panel). For this subject, three clusters of leg movements were identified. Note that clusters of higher movement occurrence were associated with a higher proportion of bilateral movements, and higher peak acceleration of movements, especially during a period of wakefulness at the beginning of the recording. The labels denote the infant's position and sleep-wake state recorded by KW at the beginning and end of each recording.

**Figure 2: Periodicity of movement occurrence.** Periodograms of number of movements for all 11 leg movement recordings and 7 arm movement recordings (right + left limb pooled) (smoothed using Tukey-Hamming window (span 15 minutes)). Traces differ in length because of the differing duration of each recording (range 2-4.5 hours).

**Figure 3: Movement kinematics were organised by fluctuations in movement occurrence.** Higher movement occurrence was associated with a higher proportion of bilateral movements (left panel) and higher acceleration of movements (right panel).

**Supplementary Figure 1: Identification of a bilateral limb movement.** Example 1-minute long synchronised left and right limb acceleration and angular velocity traces from infant #3, within which a bilateral movement occurs between limbs.

Supplementary Figure 2: Illustrative example of contralateral brain oscillations following an isolated left arm movement during active sleep in a near-term infant. The arrows point to a localised increase in electroencephalography (EEG) oscillations post-movement overlying contralateral right sensorimotor (C4) and right mid-temporal (T8) regions. EEG filtered DC-70Hz; EMG filtered 0.5-800Hz (left flexor digitorum superficialis). ECG = electrocardiograph. Resp = respiratory movement. L = left. EMG = surface electromyograph.

Video 1: Full-term infant aged 3 days old exhibiting general movements during active wakefulness. Note the comparability of limb movements between the first part of the video (not wearing sensors) and the second part of the video (wearing sensors on both legs and both arms inside ankle/wrist bands.)