Collagen proportionate area predicts clinical outcomes in patients with alcohol-related liver disease

Mads Israelsen^{1,2,3} Marta Guerrero Misas¹ | Anastasios Koutsoumourakis¹ | Yi Huang⁴ Maja Thiele^{2,3} Andrew Hall⁵ | Ditlev Rasmussen^{2,3} | Claudia Covelli⁶ | Elena Buzzetti¹ | Laura logna Prat¹ | Davide Roccarina¹ | Sönke Detlefsen^{3,7} | Tu Vinh Luong⁵ | Alberto Quaglia⁵ | Aleksander Krag^{2,3} Gary Jeffrey⁴ | Massimo Pinzani¹ | Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis¹

¹UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK

²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

³Department of Clinical Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

⁴School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia and Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Perth, WA, Australia

⁵Department of Cellular Pathology, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, University College of London, London, UK

⁶Pathology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, San Giovanni Rotondo (FG), Italy

⁷Department of Pathology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

Correspondence

Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis, Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit & UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK. Email: e.tsochatzis@ucl.ac.uk

Funding information

Mads Israelsen is supported by Odense University Hospital and The University of Southern Denmark. Alberto Quaglia and Massimo Pinzani are supported by

Summary

Background: No prognostic tools are established for alcohol-related liver disease (ALD). Collagen proportionate area (CPA) measurement is a technique that quantifies fibrous tissue in liver biopsies using digital image analysis.

Aim: To assess the predictive value of CPA on hepatic decompensation and liverrelated mortality in ALD

Methods: In a multicentre cohort study, we included 386 patients with biopsy-verified ALD and with long-term follow-up. In the development cohort of 276 patients, we assessed the predictors of hepatic decompensation and liver-related death in standard and competing risk multivariable Cox regression analyses. The results were validated in an independent prospective cohort of 110 patients, where CPA was also correlated with liver stiffness measurement (LSM).

Results: In the development cohort, 231 (84%) patients had early/compensated ALD (non-cirrhotic or compensated cirrhosis) and 45 (16%) had decompensated cirrhosis. In the validation cohort, all patients had early/compensated ALD. Independent predictors of liver-related mortality were higher CPA values (HR = 1.04, 95% CI 1.02-1.04) and advanced fibrosis (HR = 2.80, 95% CI 1.29-6.05) with similar results in standard and competing risk multivariable Cox regression analysis. In early/compensated ALD, CPA was the only independent predictor of hepatic decompensation and liver-related death (HR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.06-1.11). In the prospective cohort, we validated that CPA independently predicts hepatic decompensation in early/compensated ALD. The predictive power of CPA and LSM was equally strong.

Conclusions: CPA predicts liver-related mortality in ALD and hepatic decompensation and/or liver-related death in early/compensated ALD. Traditional histological assessment may benefit from the addition of CPA to the evaluation of ALD.

Mads Israelsen and Marta Guerrero Misas shared co-first authorship. These authors contributed equally to the work.

The Handling Editor for this article was Professor Gideon Hirschfield, and it was accepted for publication after full peer-review.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) UCI H/UCI Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). Anastasios Koutsoumourakis was supported by an educational scholarship from the Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology. The Danish cohort of 110 patients were acquired from a Galaxy sub-study that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement number 668031 and Challenge Grant "MicrobLiver" grant number NNF15OC0016692 from the Novo Nordisk Foundation. The data from the Australian population was acquired from a NHMRCfunded study - APP 1128889.



1 | INTRODUCTION

Globally 2.4 billion people consume alcohol on a regular basis with an average intake of 32.8 g of pure alcohol per day.^{1,2} In the UK, 22% of the population drink alcohol above the recommended limits and 4% above the high-risk limits.³ Alcohol is attributable to almost half of all cirrhosis deaths worldwide and the numbers are rising.⁴⁻⁶ From 1999 to 2016, the United States have experienced a 2%-10%⁵ annual increase in mortality due to alcohol-related liver disease (ALD) and in the UK, alcohol-related deaths have tripled from 1980 to 2008 mainly due to liver disease.⁷ The pathogenesis of ALD is complex and less than 20% of those who drink in excess will progress to cirrhosis.⁸ Several non-invasive methods are available for ruling out advanced fibrosis in ALD; however, a liver biopsy is still required to establish the diagnosis and assess the exact stage of disease in selected patients.^{9,10} There is no histological scoring system developed specifically for ALD; however, liver fibrosis is routinely reported using the semiguantitative Brunt histological scoring system.¹¹ A recent study confirmed that advanced fibrosis is associated with liver-related mortality in patients with early/compensated ALD.¹² However, there are large variations in mortality within patients with similar histological lesions. In patients with biopsy-proven alcohol-related cirrhosis, who survive their index hospitalisation, 15% die within 1 year, while 36% survive beyond 10 years. Similarly, 9% of patients with fibrosis (but not cirrhosis) die within the first year of their diagnosis, while 49% survive beyond 10 years.¹³ Consequently, quantitative measurement of liver fibrosis in such patients might enhance the prognostic ability of semiquantitative liver biopsy fibrosis staging.

Collagen proportionate area (CPA) is a method that accurately quantifies the amount of collagen in liver biopsies by digital-assisted analysis with very high reproducibility.¹⁴ Our group has demonstrated that applying CPA to the histological assessment of liver biopsies improves the prediction of hepatic decompensation and mortality in chronic viral hepatitis, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and cirrhosis of mixed aetiologies over and above traditional semiquantitative staging of fibrosis.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ However, the prognostic utility of CPA has never been evaluated in ALD.

Our primary aim was to assess the association of CPA with liver-related events and overall mortality in two independent cohorts of patients with available biopsies and longitudinal follow-up. Our secondary aim was to assess the relationship between CPA with other diagnostic modalities for alcohol-related liver fibrosis including liver stiffness measurements (LSMs) and semiquantitative fibrosis staging.

2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a multicentre, retrospective study comprising two retrospective cohorts and one prospective research cohort in patients with biopsy-proven ALD. The study received approvals from the institutional review board of each participating institution.

2.1 | Patients

Consecutive patients with available histology and longitudinal follow-up were identified from a structured search in the pathology archives at the Royal Free Hospital in London, United Kingdom and the Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital in Perth, Australia. Inclusion criteria were a history of alcohol misuse, histological features in keeping with ALD and known survival status at follow-up. Both patients with compensated and decompensated cirrhosis were included. Patients with all fibrosis stages (F0-F4) and no previous episode of hepatic decompensation were classified as early/compensated ALD. Patients with competing liver diseases including alcoholic hepatitis were excluded. Demographic, biochemical and data on daily alcohol intake were recorded at the time of liver biopsy.

Follow-up data were obtained until last clinical follow-up or at the time of liver transplantation or death. Data collection was censored in May 2017. The development of hepatic decompensation (defined as the development of either ascites, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy or clinical non-obstructive jaundice) during the follow-up period, as well as the survival status of each patient were recorded at the end of the data collection, through the clinical notes, general practitioner enquiries or the national health

clinical notes, general practitioner enquiries or the national health system-integrated hospital register. Patients were classified as abstinent during the follow-up period, only if abstinence was consistently stated in medical records from the time of the liver biopsy onwards.

In an independent prospective research cohort of patients with early/compensated ALD from Odense University Hospital in Denmark, the association between CPA and LSM by transient elastography (FibroScan®) and 2D shear wave elastography (Supersonic Aixplorer®) was assessed.²⁰ Inclusion criteria were a history of chronic excess alcohol drinking for at least 1 year and consenting to a liver biopsy and no prior hepatic decompensation. Liver biopsy and LSM were performed on the same day after an overnight fast.²⁰ Long-term outcome data were obtained through medical records until last clinical follow-up or at the time of liver transplantation or death. Hepatic decompensation (defined as the development of either ascites, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy or clinical non-obstructive jaundice) during the follow-up period, as well as the survival status of each patient were recorded at the end of the data collection.

2.2 | Histological assessment

Liver biopsy samples were assessed centrally by a single liver pathologist (AQ) blinded to all clinical data. Liver fibrosis was assessed according to the Brunt scoring system for fibrosis; F0, no fibrosis; F1, zone 3 perisinusoidal fibrosis; F2, perisinusoidal and portal fibrosis; F3, bridging fibrosis; F4, cirrhosis.¹¹ Cirrhosis was subclassified according to the Laennec scoring system; 4A, Marked septation with rounded contours or visible nodules, most thin fibrotic septa (one broad septum allowed); 4B, two or more broad fibrotic septae, but no very broad septa and less than half of biopsy length composed of minute nodules; 4C, at least one very broad septum or more than half of biopsy length composed of minute nodules (micronodular cirrhosis).²¹

2.3 | Measurement of collagen proportionate area

Paraffin-embedded liver biopsies were stained centrally in one batch with picroSirius red and whole section digital images were obtained using a digital camera. To obtain the standard magnification (SM) CPA, the whole section digital image was hereby measured by image analysis software, with a step of manual elimination of structural collagen and artefacts. CPA was measured with Zeiss KS300 image analysis software. A RGB (Red, Green, Blue) threshold was then used to detect areas of stained collagen and the collagen mask was calculated as an area in pixels. A ratio (CPA) is expressed as a percentage.^{14,17} The same procedure was used to obtain high magnification (HM) CPA, but high power (x4) image capture was 3

performed using a microscope and Zeiss Axiocam ICc5 as previously described.¹⁹

The difference between SM and HM CPA was markedly lower (<30%) across all fibrosis stages when compared with patients with NAFLD.¹⁹ Due to the strong concordance between SM and HM CPA, we chose to continue using SM CPA for the statistical analyses, because SM is easier to measure and has been used for the majority of previous studies of CPA in liver disease. For simplicity, we use the term CPA in the manuscript referring to SM CPA.

CPA measurement is associated with significantly less interobserver variability compared to semiquantitative histological staging as it is automated with only one manual step of removal of structural collagen. Previously, we demonstrated that the interobserver agreement was excellent (k = 0.912) with median CPA difference between observers of 2%.¹⁹ Furthermore, for this study, CPA measurements were performed centrally by a single operator (MGM) who was blinded to the clinical data of the patients.

2.4 | Statistics

Descriptive data are reported as counts with frequencies, means with standard deviations and medians with interguartile ranges (IQR) according to data distribution. Categorical data were compared using Fisher's exact test. All comparisons between two groups with regard to continuous and categorical data were assessed with Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Spearman's rank-order correlation was used to test the association between CPA and LSM. Univariable, standard multivariable and competing risk Cox regression analyses were performed to determine the predictors of clinical outcomes (liver-related mortality and all-cause mortality in the overall cohort and hepatic decompensation and/or liver-related mortality in patients with early/compensated ALD). Except for all-cause mortality, liver transplantation and death unrelated to liver disease were classified as competing risks. In a univariable analysis, we assessed the predictors as follows: recruitment centre, sex, age at time of biopsy, abstinence from time of biopsy, volume of alcohol intake at time of biopsy, CPA, Brunt fibrosis stage, advanced fibrosis (≥F3) and the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score. Parameters with P-value < 0.10 from the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis, where stepwise backward elimination was applied. As there were only minor variation between the result from the standard and competing risk analyses, we reported results from the competing risk analysis. The analyses were repeated in a subgroup of patients (81%) where FIB4 and APRI values were available. Area under the receiver operating characteristics (AUROC) curve was used to compare predictors of liver-related outcomes at 2 years and maximum follow-up. The Youden's index was used to determine CPA cut-offs with optimal sensitivity and specificity for predicting such events. Subsequently, the cohort was split according to these cut-offs and the outcome distribution was compared using log rank test and illustrated by Kaplan-Meier plots. Harrell's C index was used to compare predictive power between prognostic parameters in the validation cohort. All calculations were performed using STATA 16 (College Station, TX, US).

WILEY-AP&T Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

Variable	UK, n = 207	AU, n = 69	P-value	Overall, n = 276
Age, years	51 ± 11	53 <u>+</u> 13	0.403	52 ± 12
Ethnicity, Caucasian n (%)	149 (72)	NA	NA	
Males, n (%)	154 (74)	40 (58)	0.014	194 (70)
BMI ^b , kg/m ²	27.5 ± 5.5	NA		
Alcohol intake, g/day	126 (157)	50 (100)	0.003	120 (167)
Hypertension, n (%)	53 (26)	NA		
Dyslipidaemia, n (%)	40 (19)	NA		
Diabetes, n (%)	18 (9)	NA		
CVD, n (%)	15 (7)	NA		
Brunt fibrosis staging, n (%)ª				
F0, n	5 (2)	0 (0)	0.339	5 (2)
F1, n	49 (24)	8 (12)	0.039	57 (21)
F2, n	57 (28)	5 (7)	<0.001	62 (23)
F3, n	41 (20)	10 (15)	0.471	51 (19)
F4, n	55 (27)	44 (66)	<0.001	99 (36)
Early/compensated ALD, n (%)	184 (89)	47 (78)	<0.001	231 (84)
Decompensated ALD, n (%)	23 (11)	22 (32)	<0.001	45 (16)
CPA, (%)	4.1 (8.9)	16.2 (20.9)	<0.001	5.1 (15.8)
MELD score	6 (2)	9.5 (12)	<0.001	6 (3)
Platelets, 10 ⁹ /L	224 (44)	171 (38)	0.023	214 (39)
ALT, U/L	44 (44)	36.5 (38)	0.127	43 (39)
Bilirubin, μmol/L	10 (9)	16 (76)	< 0.001	11 (12)
Albumin, g/L	43 (8)	36 (13)	< 0.001	42 (9)
INR	1 (0.2)	1.1 (0.4)	< 0.001	1 (0.2)

TABLE 1 Baseline patient characteristics

Note: Values are reported as mean \pm standard deviation, counts (proportion) and median (IQR). *P*-values compare centres and are obtained by unpaired t-test, Fisher's exact test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test according to the distribution.

Abbreviations: ALD, alcohol-related liver disease; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AU, Australian cohort; BMI, body mass index; CPA, collagen proportionate area; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL, highdensity lipoprotein; INR, international normalised ratio; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; NA, not available; TG, triglycerides; UK, the United Kingdom cohort. ^aBiopsies adequate for Brunt fibrosis staging 274/276.

^bBMI was available in 127 patients. Alcohol intake was recorded at the time of biopsy. Abstinence was recorded from time of biopsy.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patients

We included 386 patients with biopsy-proven ALD, 276 in the development cohort and 110 in the validation cohort. Baseline characteristics of the patients in the development cohort are summarised in Table 1 and of the validation cohort in Table S1. In the development cohort, 177 (64%) patients were non-cirrhotic, while 99 (36%) had cirrhosis, of which 231 (84%) had early/compensated ALD and 45 (16%) had decompensated cirrhosis. There was a significantly lower prevalence of cirrhosis and previous decompensation in the UK compared to the Australian cohort (AU).

The mean age was 52 \pm 12 years, 194 (70%) were males and 86 (31%) reported that they were abstaining from alcohol from the time of the biopsy

onwards. In the validation cohort, all patients had early/compensated ALD of which 43 (39%) had advanced liver fibrosis (\geq F3) and 27 (25%) had compensated cirrhosis. Mean age was 56 ± 9 years and 82 (75%) were males.

3.2 | Correlation of CPA with fibrosis stage and LSM

CPA increased gradually with increasing fibrosis stage and the Laennec subclassification of cirrhosis, with significant differences of the CPA values between each stage (Figure 1).

In the validation cohort of 110 patients with liver biopsy and LSM performed on the same day, we found a strong correlation between CPA and LSM by transient elastography (r = 0.86) and 2D shear wave elastography (r = 0.85) (Figure 2 and Table S2).



FIGURE 1 Box plot of CPA according to fibrosis stage 1-4. *P*-values are obtained using Wilcoxon rank-sum test between fibrosis stages. Note: Y-axis is logarithmic scale

3.3 | Long-term outcomes in the development cohort

The clinical outcomes are summarised in Table S3.

3.4 | All patients

In total, 79 (29%) patients died after a median follow-up of 81 (IQR 103; range 1-317) months. The cause of death was liver-related in 58 cases (73%) and 21 patients (27%) died from non-liver-related disease causes including non-primary liver cancer or cardiovascular disease. Four patients had liver transplantation during follow-up, of which three had decompensated cirrhosis at the time of biopsy. Twenty-two deaths happened within the first 24 months after the liver biopsy, of which 16 deaths were related to liver disease. From the time of biopsy to the end of follow-up, 75 (35%) patients reported that they were consistently abstinent from alcohol.

3.5 | Early/compensated ALD

Seventy-eight (34%) hepatic decompensations or deaths were recorded in patients with early/compensated ALD in a follow-up of 90 (IQR 100, range 1-317) months. Fifty-three patients developed hepatic decompensation, five died of liver disease without a preceding episode of hepatic decompensation and 20 deaths were from non-liver-related disease causes. Hepatic decompensation was in most cases associated with multiple manifestations (n = 21, 40%), whereas the most frequent single manifestation was ascites (n = 15, 28%) followed by non-obstructive jaundice (n = 8, 15%), variceal bleeding (n = 6, 12%) and hepatic encephalopathy (n = 3, 6%). Hepatic decompensations or death occurred in 28 patients within the first 24 months after liver biopsy of which 23 events were related to liver disease.



FIGURE 2 Correlation between collagen proportionate area (CPA) and liver stiffness measurements. (A) Correlation between transient elastography and CPA (r = 0.86). (B) Correlation between 2D shear wave elastography and CPA (r = 0.85). CPA, collagen proportionate area; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease

3.6 | Long-term outcomes in the validation cohort

Thirty-two (29%) patients experienced hepatic decompensation and 19 (17%) died in a follow-up of 45 (IQR 28, range 1-75) months (Table S3).

3.7 | CPA predicts liver-related death in ALD

We tested the predictors of liver-related death in univariable analysis in the overall development cohort including also patients with decompensated liver disease at baseline. Predictors with -WILEY-AP&T Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

P-value < 0.1 were entered in multivariable Cox regression analysis (Table 2). In the competing risk multivariable Cox regression analysis, higher CPA values (HR = 1.04, 95% CI 1.02-1.07) and advanced fibrosis (≥F3) (HR = 2.77 95% CI 1.26-6.09) predicted liver-related death at maximum time follow-up. When follow-up was restricted to 24 months after biopsy, predictors of liver-related mortality were higher CPA values (HR = 1.03, 95% CI 1.002-1.061), higher MELD score (HR = 1.07, 95% CI 1.03-1.011) and being from the AU (HR = 5.44, 95% CI 1.58-18.77). Figure 3A,B show the AUROCs of CPA, MELD and the presence of advanced fibrosis. Using cirrhosis instead of advanced fibrosis did not result in a higher AUROC value (0.69). The optimal cut-off for CPA was 8.4% for predicting liver-related death at maximum followup (AUROC = 0.72, sensitivity 76% and specificity 69%). The best CPA cut-off for prediction of liver-related death within 24 months was 16.3% (AUROC = 0.79, sensitivity 81% and specificity 76%). Figure 3C,D illustrate the liver-related survival probability according to the optimal CPA cut-offs. Figure S1 illustrates liver-related survival probability according to fibrosis stage and abstinence. Abstinence (compared to non-abstinence) had a more pronounced beneficial effect on liver-related events in patients with F0-F2

ISRAELSEN ET AL.

than F3-F4 fibrosis (Figure S2). When follow-up was restricted to 48 months after biopsy, results were similar to maximum follow-up (data not shown).

3.8 | CPA predicts clinical outcomes in early/ compensated ALD

We established the predictors of hepatic decompensation and liver-related death in patients with early/compensated ALD (Table 3). In the competing risk multivariable Cox regression analysis, CPA was the only independent predictor of hepatic decompensation and liver-related death at maximum (HR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.06-1.11) and 24 months follow-up (HR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.04-1.12). Figure 4A,B show the AUROCs of CPA, MELD and the presence of advanced fibrosis. The optimal CPA cut-offs for prediction of hepatic decompensation or liver-related death were 8.4% (AUROC = 0.72, sensitivity 71% and specificity 73%) at maximum follow-up and 8.8% (AUROC = 0.78, sensitivity 87% and specificity 69%) at 24 months follow-up. Figure 4C,D illustrates the liver-related survival probability according to the

	Univariable		Multivariable		Competing multivariable	
Variable	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
(A) At maximal follow-up						
Cohort (AU)	3.07 (1.74-5.42)	<0.001		NS		NS
Sex (female)	1.70 (1.00-2.90)	0.051		NS		NS
Age at biopsy	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.363				
Abstinence	0.71 (0.40-1.25)	0.238				
Alcohol quantity	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.149				
CPA	1.07 (1.05-1.08)	<0.001	1.05 (1.03-1.08)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	< 0.001
Brunt fibrosis stage ^a	2.21 (1.67-2.93)	<0.001				
≥F3	5.95 (2.99-11.84)	<0.001	2.57 (1.15-5.70)	0.021	2.81 (1.29-6.13)	0.009
MELD	1.06 (1.04-1.07)	<0.001		NS		
(B) At 24-months follow-up						
Cohort (AU)	7.22 (2.51-20.80)	<0.001	5.47 (1.75-17.13)	0.004	5.43 (1.58-18.73)	0.007
Sex (female)	1.12 (0.39-3.21)	0.839				
Age at biopsy	0.98 (0.94-1.02)	0.241				
Abstinence	0.69 (0.22-2.14)	0.522				
Alcohol quantity	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.155				
CPA	1.06 (1.04-1.09)	<0.001	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.018	1.03 (1.00-1.06)	0.036
Brunt fibrosis stage ^a	3.40 (1.49-7.75)	0.004				
≥F3	12.66 (1.66-96.28)	0.014		NS		NS
MELD	1.08 (1.05-1.10)	<0.001	1.07 (1.03-1.11)	<0.001	1.07 (1.03-1.11)	0.001

TABLE 2 Predictors of liver-related mortality in the overall cohort also including patients with decompensating cirrhosis

Note: Univariable, standard and competing risk Cox regression analysis on liver-related mortality. Alcohol intake was recorded at the time of biopsy. Abstinence was recorded from the time of biopsy.

Abbreviations: AU, Australia; CPA, collagen proportionate area; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease.

^aVariables not included in the multivariable analysis. Competing risk at maximum follow-up (n = 25), Competing risk at 24 months follow-up (n = 6). Variables marked non-significant (NS) in multivariable analyses did not pass the stepwise backward elimination.

(A)

Sensitivity

(C)



Number at risk Number at risk CPA ≤ 8.4 162 127 89 52 12 2 0 CPA ≤ 16.3 200 192 191 190 178 176 169 182 179 60 0 0 CPA > 8.4 114 12 4 CPA > 16.3 76 73 62 28 68 64 57 56 52 51

FIGURE 3 Predictors of liver-related mortality in overall cohort. (A) Predictors of maximum follow-up liver-related mortality. AUROCs: CPA = 0.78 (0.71-0.85); ≥F3 = 0.71 (0.65-0.77), MELD = 0.75 (0.68-0.83), combined variables = 0.80 (0.73-0.86). (B) Predictors of 24 months liver-related mortality. AUROCs: CPA = 0.85 (0.77-0.93); ≥F3 = 0.71 (0.64-0.78), MELD = 0.87 (0.77-0.97), combined variables = 0.87 (0.79-0.96). (C) Kaplan-Meier plot of liver-related mortality using CPA cut-off 8.4% (AUROC = 0.72, sensitivity 76% and specificity 69%) at maximum follow-up (P < 0.0001 by log rank test). (D) Kaplan-Meier plot of liver-related mortality using CPA cut-off 16.4% (AUROC = 0.78, sensitivity 81% and specificity 76%) with 24 months follow-up (P < 0.0001 by log rank test). CPA, collagen proportionate area; ≥F3, advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease

optimal CPA cut-offs. CPA was the only independent predictor of hepatic decompensation in the subgroup of patients with compensated cirrhosis (n = 60) at maximum follow-up, whereas there were no significant predictors at 24 months follow-up (Table S4). When follow-up was restricted to 48 months after biopsy, results were similar to maximum follow-up (data not shown).

To validate our findings in an independent cohort, we established the predictors of hepatic decompensation in the prospective validation cohort of patients with early/compensated ALD (Table S5). In the multivariable Cox regression analysis, CPA (HR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.01-1.11) and transient elastography (HR = 1.03, 95% CI 1.00-1.05) were both independent predictors

TABLE 3 Predictors of hepatic decompensation and liver-related mortality in patients with early/compensated	Αl	LI	D
---	----	----	---

Univariable		Multivariable		Competing multivariable		
Variable	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
(A) At maximal follow-up						
Cohort (AU)	1.71 (0.89-3.28)	0.108				
Sex (female)	1.99 (1.17-3.38)	0.011		NS		NS
Age at biopsy	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.982				
Abstinence	1.02 (0.59-1.74)	0.955				
Alcohol quantity	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.852				
CPA	1.08 (1.06-1.11)	<0.001	1.08 (1.06-1.11)	<0.001	1.09 (1.06-1.11)	<0.001
Brunt fibrosis stage ^a	1.84 (1.43-2.37)	<0.001				
≥F3	3.23 (1.86-5.62)	<0.001		NS		NS
MELD	1.06 (1.03-1.08)	<0.001		NS		NS
(B) At 24 months follow-up						
Cohort (AU)	1.86 (0.77-4.53)	0.170				
Sex (female)	1.98 (0.87-4.51)	0.105				
Age at biopsy	0.98 (0.94-1.02)	0.277				
Abstinence	0.72 (0.28-1.83)	0.493				
Alcohol quantity	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.907				
СРА	1.08 (1.04-1.12)	<0.001	1.08 (1.04-1.12)	<0.001	1.08 (1.04-1.12)	<0.001
Brunt fibrosis stage ^a	2.00 (1.31-3.03)	0.001				
≥F3	3.53 (1.39-8.96)	0.008		NS		NS
MELD	1.05 (1.02-1.08)	0.003		NS		NS

Note: Univariable, standard and competing risk Cox regression analysis on hepatic decompensation and liver-related mortality in early/compensated ALD. Alcohol intake was recorded at the time of biopsy. Abstinence was recorded from the time of biopsy.

Abbreviations: AU, Australia; CPA, collagen proportionate area; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease.

^aVariables not included in the multivariable analysis. Competing risk at maximum follow-up (n = 20), Competing risk at 24 months follow-up (n = 5). Variables marked non-significant (NS) in multivariable analyses did not pass the stepwise backward elimination.

of hepatic decompensation. The MELD score and the presence of advanced fibrosis (\geq F3) did not independently predict hepatic decompensation. We found no significant differences in the predictive power of CPA (Harrell's C = 0.80, 95% CI 0.70-0.88) and transient elastography (Harrell's C = 0.82, 95% CI 0.74-0.89) as single predictors of hepatic decompensation (*P*-value = 0.358). The combination of CPA and transient elastography did not improve their predictive power (Harrell's C = 0.81, 95% CI 0.73-0.90). Finally, when we applied the optimal CPA cut-off (8.4%) of the development cohort in the validation cohort, the AUROC for prediction of hepatic decompensation was comparable (AUROC = 0.74, sensitivity 78% and specificity 71%) (Figure S3).

3.9 | CPA predicts all-cause mortality in ALD

We tested the predictors of all-cause mortality in the overall development cohort also including patients with decompensated cirrhosis (Table S6). Independent predictors of all-cause mortality were CPA (HR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.03-1.07) and alcohol abstinence from the time of biopsy (HR = 0.52, 95% CI 0.32-0.87). Figure S4

illustrates all-cause survival probability according to CPA and abstinence. Independent predictors of 24 months all-cause mortality were MELD (HR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.03-1.10) and recruitment centre (HR = 3.96, 95% CI 1.71-9.18) (Table S6).

In the validation cohort, CPA (HR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.02-1.09) was the only independent predictor of all-cause mortality in the multivariable analysis (Table S5). The MELD score, presence advanced fibrosis (\geq F3) and liver stiffness were not independent predictors.

3.10 | Subgroup analyses including FIB4 and APRI

FIB4 and APRI were available in 81% of the patients. When these scores were included in the multivariable models, predictors of liver-related mortality were CPA, advanced fibrosis (≥F3) and being from the AU at maximum follow-up (Table S7). Predictors of hepatic decompensation and/or liver-related death in patients with early/compensated ALD were CPA, FIB4 and female gender at maximum follow-up (Table S8). All-cause mortality was independently predicted by CPA, FIB4 and being abstinent after time of biopsy at maximum follow-up (Table S9).



FIGURE 4 Predictors of hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality in early/compensated ALD. (A) Predictors of hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality. AUROCs: CPA = 0.71 (0.62-0.79); \geq F3 = 0.66 (0.58-0.73), MELD = 0.70 (0.55-0.73), combined variables = 0.74 (0.66-0.82). (B) Predictors of 24 months hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality. AUROCs: CPA = 0.75 (0.64-0.85); \geq F3 = 0.65 (0.55-0.75), MELD = 0.75 (0.64-0.86), combined variables = 0.75 (0.64-0.86). (C) Kaplan-Meier plot of hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality using CPA cut-off 8.4% (AUROC = 0.72, sensitivity 71% and specificity 73%) at maximum follow-up (*P* < 0.0001 by log rank test). (D) Kaplan-Meier plot of hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality using CPA cut-off 8.8% (AUROC = 0.78, sensitivity 87% and specificity 69%) with 24 months follow-up (*P* < 0.0001 by log rank test). CPA, collagen proportionate area; \geq F3, advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis; MELD, the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease

3.11 | Effect of smoking and metabolic comorbidities

Potential confounders, such as BMI, smoking, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypertension and dyslipidaemia, were explored with data available from the UK cohort (Table S10). BMI, smoking status and metabolic comorbidities at the time of biopsy did not predict hepatic decompensation or liver-related mortality in patients with early/compensated ALD or liver-related mortality in the overall cohort.

9

4 | DISCUSSION

In this large study of patients with biopsy-proven ALD, we demonstrated for the first time that CPA independently predicts liver-related mortality in such patients. CPA predicted hepatic decompensation and liver-related death with greater accuracy than a semiquantitative fibrosis score in patients with early/compensated ALD and also liver-related mortality in the whole cohort that also included patients with hepatic decompensation. We further showed that CPA values strongly correlate with LSM values and that CPA and LSM are independent predictors of liver-related outcome and mortality. We also provide optimal CPA cut-offs for the prediction of liver-related events that were validated in the prospective cohort. Despite the increasing use of non-invasive fibrosis tests, liver biopsy will remain essential for a subset of patients in the foreseeable future. CPA provides important additional prognostic information and can be performed in tandem with the traditional semiguantitative histological scoring, thus maximising the information that can be derived from a liver biopsy.

Liver fibrosis quantified using CPA has been mainly assessed in viral hepatitis.^{14,16,17,22,23} Histologically, ALD resembles NAFLD in terms of fibrosis formation and its perisinusoidal/pericellular distribution.²⁴ The presence of advanced fibrosis and CPA were independent predictors of liver-related death in our ALD cohort. CPA quantifies fibrosis, whereas semiquantitative histological scoring systems describe both fibrosis and architecture. This implies that CPA and a semiquantitative histological score may have synergistic value on prognosis in liver diseases in general and also in ALD. Despite the high specificity of non-invasive fibrosis tests to rule out fibrosis in ALD,²⁵ performance of liver disease.⁹ In such clinical trials, CPA could be considered as one of the effect measurements due to the close relation to clinical outcomes demonstrated in the present study.

We previously investigated the correlation between CPA and LSM in NAFLD,¹⁹ showing a correlation (r = 0.73) that was weaker than in the present study (r = 0.86). A potential explanation could be that the LSM prediction of CPA is less reliable in NAFLD compared to ALD, particularly for earlier fibrotic stages, where the diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography is moderate in NAFLD.²⁶ However, LSM was performed within 6 months of the liver biopsy in the NAFLD study, whereas the investigation was performed on the same day in the present study. It is therefore possible that the weaker correlation could be in part due to the changing dynamics of liver inflammation and oedema in addition to fibrosis. This hypothesis is supported by another study comparing CPA and LSM in viral hepatitis and post-transplant patients.²³ Similarly to the present study, the biopsy and LSM were performed on the same day and the correlation of CPA and LSM was comparable to the present study (r = 0.77-0.80). CPA and LSM were both independent predictors of hepatic decompensation in patients with early/compensated ALD and can therefore provide complementary information in such patients. While it is well established that LSM is clinically useful to assess the severity of liver fibrosis at the time of diagnosis,²⁰ our findings confirm that LSM is also clinically useful for the prognosis of ALD. Interestingly, CPA but not LSM was an independent predictor of all-cause mortality. Prior studies have also shown that the extent of fibrosis at baseline predicts both further progression of fibrosis ²⁷ and the clinical outcome in ALD.^{12,28,29} Similar to our study, Lackner et al divided their cohort of 192 patients with ALD into 60 (31%) patients with early/compensated and 132 (69%) with decompensated ALD. The authors demonstrated that fibrosis (pericellular), female gender, INR and bilirubin independently predicted outcomes in decompensated ALD, whereas the presence of advanced fibrosis was the only independent predictor of clinical outcomes in early/compensated ALD. In recent years, the focus has shifted on early detection of chronic liver disease.³⁰ Consequently, the number of identified patients with early/compensated ALD is rapidly increasing, which generates an emerging need of better understanding of the prognosis and the risk factors for disease progression. This emphasises the importance of our findings in patients with early/compensated ALD, which made up 84% of our cohort.

Alcohol abstinence or significant alcohol reduction is currently the only way to lower the risk of progression in patients with ALD^{8,9}; around 50% of patients with evidence of liver fibrosis manage to reduce harmful alcohol consumption,³¹ and alcohol abstainers have lower mortality and prevalence of hepatic decompensation when diagnosed with alcohol-related cirrhosis.^{32,33} However, the findings in the present study were that the daily alcohol consumption at the time of biopsy and abstinence after biopsy did not predict liver-related outcomes. A potential explanation could be due to underreporting, which is commonly seen in relation to alcohol use^{34,35} and to the retrospective collection of data. Moreover, the lifetime exposure to alcohol is likely of greater significance rather than alcohol use at a single time point. Interestingly, studies that included ALD patients with all stages of liver fibrosis were unable to show an independent association between alcohol intake and disease progression,^{12,27} whereas others showed that abstinence had a beneficial albeit small effect compared to the stage of fibrosis.²⁹ A likely confounder in this type of studies is that the non-abstainers may have significantly reduced their alcohol intake and/or changed the pattern of drinking and this was not measured. Most importantly, the present study found that alcohol abstinence was significantly associated with lower all-cause mortality emphasising that harmful drinking has detrimental consequences that goes beyond liver disease and should be addressed regardless of the severity of liver fibrosis.³⁶ Overall, since data on alcohol abstinence are based on retrospective clinical records, they are probably not entirely accurate. This significantly reduces the reliability of results regarding abstinence from alcohol in this paper.

Limitations of this study are related to the retrospective data collection for the development cohort, lack of predefined criteria for performing liver biopsy and reliance on medical records for critical information such as abstinence from alcohol. It is not recommended to perform a diagnostic biopsy in ALD,⁹ and therefore the development cohort may have been selected

due to clinical suspicion for coexisting liver disease and consequently differs from the general population of patients suspected to have ALD. For instance, the proportion of patients with decompensated cirrhosis was significantly higher in the AU cohort. This probably explains why being from the AU cohort were associated with higher mortality in the development cohort when looking at all patients, but not when looking at patients with early/compensated ALD. However, by replicating the results in an independent prospective cohort, we significantly reduced the risk of selection bias. Although we were unable to establish the exact cause of death in all patients, we were able to identify the survival status of the whole cohort during follow-up. Moreover, CPA was a predictor not only of liver-related mortality but also of all-cause mortality with equal hazard ratios, thus minimising the risk of detection bias. It should be emphasised that the continuous scale is one of the major advantages of CPA, which makes CPA suitable for measuring efficacy of intervention in clinical trials.

In conclusion, CPA is an independent predictor of clinical outcomes in patients with ALD. Adding CPA to traditional histology improves the prognostication in ALD and could be considered an additional effect measurement in clinical trials.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Declaration of personal interest: None.

AUTHORSHIP

Guarantor of the article: None.

Authors contributions: MM and ET study concept and design; MM, AK, YH, MT, AH, DRa, CC, EB, LP, DRo, SD and TVL data collection; MI, MM and ET data analyses; MI and ET drafted the manuscript; all authors contributed to the manuscript with important intellectual content and approved the final version.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Anonymised data available on request.

ORCID

Mads Israelsen b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9443-5846 Yi Huang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0853-9468 Maja Thiele https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1854-1924 Aleksander Krag https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9598-4932 Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5069-2461

REFERENCES

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorships

- GBD Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*. 2018;392:1015-1035.
- 2. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health. Geneva2018.
- NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2018, adult's health-related behaviours; 2019. www.digital.nhs.uk

 $\mathrm{AP}_{\&}\!\mathrm{T}$ Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics -

- Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. Lancet. 2014;383:1749-1761.
- Tapper EB, Parikh ND. Mortality due to cirrhosis and liver cancer in the United States, 1999–2016: observational study. *BMJ*. 2018;362:k2817.
- Pimpin L, Cortez-Pinto H, Negro F, et al. Burden of liver disease in Europe: Epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies. J Hepatol. 2018;69:718-735.
- Sheron N, Gilmore I. Effect of policy, economics, and the changing alcohol marketplace on alcohol related deaths in England and Wales. BMJ. 2016;353:i1860.
- Crabb DW, Im GY, Szabo G, Mellinger JL, Lucey MR. Diagnosis and treatment of alcohol-related liver diseases: 2019 practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology*. 2020;71:306-333.
- 9. European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu; European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: management of alcohol-related liver disease. J Hepatol. 2018;69:154-181.
- Majumdar A, Campos S, Gurusamy K, Pinzani M, Tsochatzis EA. Defining the minimum acceptable diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive fibrosis testing in cirrhosis: a decision analytic modeling study. *Hepatology*. 2020;71:627-642.
- Brunt EM, Janney CG, Di Bisceglie AM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Bacon BR. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1999;94:2467-2474.
- 12. Lackner C, Spindelboeck W, Haybaeck J, et al. Histological parameters and alcohol abstinence determine long-term prognosis in patients with alcoholic liver disease. *J Hepatol*. 2017;66:610-618.
- Hagstrom H, Thiele M, Roelstraete B, Soderling J, Ludvigsson JF. Mortality in biopsy-proven alcohol-related liver disease: a population-based nationwide cohort study of 3453 patients. *Gut.* 2020.
- Calvaruso V, Burroughs AK, Standish R, et al. Computer-assisted image analysis of liver collagen: relationship to Ishak scoring and hepatic venous pressure gradient. *Hepatology*. 2009;49:1236-1244.
- Calvaruso V, Dhillon AP, Tsochatzis E, et al. Liver collagen proportionate area predicts decompensation in patients with recurrent hepatitis C virus cirrhosis after liver transplantation. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2012;27:1227-1232.
- Manousou P, Burroughs AK, Sochatzis ET, et al. Digital image analysis of collagen assessment of progression of fibrosis in recurrent HCV after liver transplantation. J Hepatol. 2013;58:962-968.
- Calvaruso V, Di Marco V, Bavetta MG, et al. Quantification of fibrosis by collagen proportionate area predicts hepatic decompensation in hepatitis C cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2015;41:477-486.
- Tsochatzis E, Bruno S, Isgro G, et al. Collagen proportionate area is superior to other histological methods for sub-classifying cirrhosis and determining prognosis. J Hepatol. 2014;60:948-954.
- Buzzetti E, Hall A, Ekstedt M, et al. Collagen proportionate area is an independent predictor of long-term outcome in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2019;49:1214-1222.
- Thiele M, Detlefsen S, Sevelsted Møller L, et al. Transient and 2-dimensional shear-wave elastography provide comparable assessment of alcoholic liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150:123-133.
- Kim MY, Cho MY, Baik SK, et al. Histological subclassification of cirrhosis using the Laennec fibrosis scoring system correlates with clinical stage and grade of portal hypertension. J Hepatol. 2011;55:1004-1009.
- 22. **Manousou** P, **Dhillon** AP, Isgro G, et al. Digital image analysis of liver collagen predicts clinical outcome of recurrent hepatitis C virus 1 year after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2011;17:178-188.
- 23. Isgro G, Calvaruso V, Andreana L, et al. The relationship between transient elastography and histological collagen proportionate area for assessing fibrosis in chronic viral hepatitis. *J Gastroenterol*. 2013;48:921-929.

WILEY—AP&T Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

 Lackner C, Tiniakos D. Fibrosis and alcohol-related liver disease. J Hepatol. 2019;70:294-304.

12

- Lombardi R, Buzzetti E, Roccarina D, Tsochatzis EA. Non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis in patients with alcoholic liver disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21:11044-11052.
- Eddowes PJ, Sasso M, Allison M, et al. Accuracy of FibroScan controlled attenuation parameter and liver stiffness measurement in assessing steatosis and fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;156:1717-1730.
- 27. Mathurin P, Beuzin F, Louvet A, et al. Fibrosis progression occurs in a subgroup of heavy drinkers with typical histological features. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;25:1047-1054.
- Cortez-Pinto H, Baptista A, Camilo ME, De Moura MC. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-a long-term follow-up study: comparison with alcoholic hepatitis in ambulatory and hospitalized patients. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2003;48:1909-1913.
- 29. Naveau S, Gaudé G, Asnacios A, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic values of noninvasive biomarkers of fibrosis in patients with alcoholic liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2009;49:97-105.
- 30. Ginès P, Graupera I, Lammert F, et al. Screening for liver fibrosis in the general population: a call for action. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;1:256-260.
- Sheron N, Moore M, O'Brien W, Harris S, Roderick P. Feasibility of detection and intervention for alcohol-related liver disease in the community: the Alcohol and Liver Disease Detection study (ALDDeS). Br J Gen Pract. 2013;63:e698-e705.
- Jepsen P, Ott P, Andersen PK, Sorensen HT, Vilstrup H. Clinical course of alcoholic liver cirrhosis: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Hepatology*. 2010;51:1675-1682.

- Pessione F, Ramond M-J, Peters L, et al. Five-year survival predictive factors in patients with excessive alcohol intake and cirrhosis. Effect of alcoholic hepatitis, smoking and abstinence. *Liver Int.* 2003;23: 45-53.
- Stockwell T, Zhao J, Greenfield T, Li J, Livingston M, Meng Y. Estimating under- and over-reporting of drinking in national surveys of alcohol consumption: identification of consistent biases across four English-speaking countries. *Addiction*. 2016;111: 1203-1213.
- 35. Poulton A, Pan J, Bruns LR Jr, Sinnott RO, Hester R. Assessment of alcohol intake: Retrospective measures versus a smartphone application. *Addict Behav.* 2018;83:35-41.
- Burton R, Sheron N. No level of alcohol consumption improves health. Lancet. 2018;392:987-988.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information will be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Israelsen M, Guerrero Misas M, Koutsoumourakis A, et al. Collagen proportionate area predicts clinical outcomes in patients with alcohol-related liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2020;00:1–12. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1111/apt.16111