1

BASAL GANGLIA CIRCUITRY

'Feedback' for consumption

Fred Marbach and Marcus Stephenson-Jones UCL Sainsbury Wellcome Centre for Neural Circuits and Behaviour, London, UK m.stephenson-jones@ucl.ac.uk

A new study discovered that ventral pallidal neurons projecting back to the nucleus accumbens promote consumption. The findings question the accepted direction of information flow through the ventral basal ganglia and open new avenues for studying how consumption is regulated in proportion to subjective value.

A mouse encountering a piece of cheese in the kitchen faces a deceptively simple decision - how much cheese 17 should it eat? While this critical decision may primarily be driven by the homeostatic need for food, it must 18 also take into account environmental factors, such as the prevalence of food, availability of tastier 19 alternatives, and possible risk due to the resident cat. The relative weight of these experience-dependent 20 variables is thought to be adjusted at the nucleus accumbens (NAc, also referred to as the ventral striatum), 21 the input structure of the ventral basal ganglia. Outputs from the NAc then drive the animal to seek out and 22 consume rewards, or to avoid threats^{1,2}. In this issue of Nature Neuroscience, Vachez, Tooley et al.³ report 23 exciting new data that go against this broadly accepted flow of information: they show that ventral 24 arkypallidal cells (vArkys) in the ventral pallidum (VP) — which is considered to be the primary output nucleus 25 of the ventral basal ganglia — project back to and broadly inhibit cells in the NAc, causing the animal to 26 prolong consumption of a liquid caloric reward. Their work raises interesting questions about the breadth of 27 behaviors influenced by these cells, what circuits control their activity, and more broadly about the logic of 28 information flow through the ventral basal ganglia.

29

30 A subdivision of the NAc, its medial shell (NAcSh), has long been known to play an important role in 31 consummatory behavior. Inactivation of the NAcSh specifically increases food intake through a direct 32 inhibitory projection to cells in the lateral hypothalamus (LH)¹. Driven by inputs carrying state information 33 about behavioral goals, salient stimuli and spatial context (from frontal cortex, basolateral amygdala and 34 hippocampus, respectively), the NAcSh could thus act to decrease food intake, for instance in favor of 35 exploration or escape. Consistent with this view, during consumption NAcSh activity is inhibited in proportion 36 to the subjective value of the food⁴, which in turn permits feeding by dis-inhibiting the LH⁵. However, the 37 source of this inhibition has remained elusive, as the major inputs to NAcSh are glutamatergic. This puzzling 38 state of affairs is resolved by the work of Vachez and colleagues. Using both in vitro and in vivo recordings in 39 NAcSh while optogenetically stimulating vArkys, the authors found that these cells broadly inhibit both spiny 40 projection neurons (SPNs) and interneurons.

41

42 If indeed vArkys can account for the inhibition of NAcSh during feeding, we would expect their activity to 43 increase during feeding, and correlate positively with reward value. To test this, the authors used a free-44 access feeding paradigm in an arena where mice could drink from a spout dispensing chocolate milk and 45 measured vArky axonal calcium signals in the NAcSh using photometry. Indeed, the signal peaked at feeding 46 onset and correlated positively with feeding duration. Furthermore, infusing sucrose, water or quinine 47 solution directly into the mouth showed that vArky activity correlated positively with the palatability of these 48 solutions. Strikingly, on trials where mice actively rejected the bitter guinine solution, vArkys were markedly 49 less active than on trials where it was consumed. This shows that vArky activity reflects the subjective value of 50 the reward at a given moment, akin to what has been shown for the dip in NAcSh activity⁴. Whether vArky 51 activity also reflects learned preferences, such as after conditioned taste aversion, remains to be tested.

52

Next, Vachez and colleagues tested whether optogenetically activating vArky axons in NAcSh could cause
 mice to increase consumption in the free-access paradigm. As predicted, mice had longer feeding bouts
 during closed-loop stimulation (triggered by feeding onset) compared to open-loop control sessions. In
 keeping with the proposed function of vArkys and the associated NAcSh in promoting consumption² (as

opposed to approach / seeking), stimulation did not induce mice to *initiate* feeding more often, nor did it
 reinforce self-stimulation.

59

But to what extent do vArkys normally contribute to the regulation of reward consumption? To answer this
tricky question, the authors optogenetically silenced vArky cell bodies in the VP. This attenuated the feedingrelated inhibition of NAcSh activity by around 30%, with a corresponding 25% decrease in the length of
feeding bouts (again there was no effect on the number of bouts). The relatively modest effect could indicate
that not all vArkys were silenced, perhaps because the elongated structure of the VP makes it difficult to
reach all vArkys.

- Taken together, this series of experiments by Vachez, Tooley et al. firmly implicates vArkys in the control of consummatory behavior. They convincingly show that vArkys can account for the hitherto unexplained inhibition of NAcSh activity at consumption onset. Thus, consumption of a reward appears to be controlled in part by a circuit that goes against the grain of classical basal ganglia circuitry: vArkys in the VP, the primary output nucleus of the ventral basal ganglia, project back to and inhibit the NAc, which in turn disinhibits cells in the LH, causing prolonged feeding. But where does the excitatory drive to vArkys come from?
- 73

74 To speculate on possible answers to this central question, we can turn to recent findings in the dorsal basal 75 ganglia, where arkypallidal cells (Arkys) were first described. Like their ventral counterparts, Arkys in the 76 globus pallidus external segment (GPe) inhibit the striatum, in contrast with prototypical GPe cells that 77 project downstream to basal ganglia output nuclei^{6,7}. Two recent studies have provided evidence for 78 pathways leading to the excitation of Arkys. First, direct input from motor cortex to GPe was shown to 79 preferentially excite Arkys rather than prototypical GPe cells⁸. As a possible parallel, prefrontal cortex 80 provides direct input to GABAergic VP cells, which includes vArkys⁹. Second, stimulation of the striatal 81 indirect pathway results in net excitation of Arkys, likely via a dis-inhibitory route where the stimulated SPNs inhibit prototypical cells that keep Arkys under constant inhibition^{10,11}. Intriguingly, the photometry 82 83 recordings in the present work³ are also consistent with a possible dis-inhibition process: Before dropping at 84 consumption onset, NAcSh bulk activity ramped up, and did so earlier than vArky activity³. Thus, this increase 85 in NAcSh activity could cause inhibtion of "prototypical" VP neurons leading to a dis-inhibition of vArkys. How 86 much of the findings in the dorsal basal ganglia apply to the NAcSh–VP circuit remains to be seen, as the 87 NAcSh typically diverges from classic dorsal circuit principles¹.

88

89 More generally, we lack clear understanding of what controls activity in the VP, the primary output nucleus of 90 the ventral basal ganglia. Excitation of canonical VP projection neurons drives motivated behavior⁹, yet their 91 primary input from striatal cells is inhibitory. Future experiments will need to determine where this excitatory 92 drive originates, and how much of it is due to local dis-inhibitory circuits versus direct excitatory inputs from 93 outside the basal ganglia. Conceptually, these two options are fundamentally different: direct input to VP 94 circumvents dopamine-dependent learning at striatal synapses, whereas dis-inhibition resulting from striatal 95 activity may still reflect such learning.

96

97 The significance of the authors' results reaches beyond the control of consummatory behavior. Considered 98 together with recent discoveries delineating the dorsal arkypallidal circuit^{6-8,10-12}, the study highlights that 99 this 'contrarian' circuit element is a general feature of basal ganglia architecture. Indeed, initial anatomical 100 investigations of pallido-striatal projections, both in the ventral and dorsal basal ganglia, found that they 101 maintain striato-pallidal topology^{13,14}. In other words, for a given striatal area, there exists an arkypallidal 102 population that can inhibit it. Thus, the arkypallidal pathway seems poised to dynamically suppress the 103 expression of learned associations in *functional striatal domains* across the basal ganglia [fig 1].

104

105 There is some evidence for arkypallidal suppression of learned associations in the dorsal basal ganglia. In a 106 task where rats had to withhold their response on a subset of trials with a stop cue, arkypallidal cells were 107 selectively engaged by this cue and cancelled the prepared action by shutting down the striatum¹². However, 108 whether this stop cue activity resulted from striatal-dependent learning or was caused by inputs external to 109 the basal ganglia remains to be determined. Furthermore, it is not known how regionally specific this 110 shutdown of the dorsal striatum is. In motor tasks more generally, it is tempting to speculate that the 111 arkypallidal circuit could serve to prioritise particular striatal domains, such as the dorsomedial, 'goal-112 directed' striatum in favor of the dorsolateral, 'habitual' area¹⁵ (or vice versa). 113

| 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 | The present study ³ investigated a projection from VP specifically to the medial NAcSh. This particular striatal region is well placed to suppress consummatory behavior based on experience, for instance curtailing feeding in a historically perilous spot. In the scheme we propose here [fig 1], vArkys could temporarily veto such experience-dependent adjustment of feeding. Similarly, other populations of vArkys could suppress experience-dependent approach or avoidance governed by the NAc core, as well as the expression of defensive behaviors governed by the rostral NAcSh ^{1,2} . | |
|---|---|--|
| | raises what under | the field just beginning to investigate arkypallidal function, the exciting work by Vachez, Tooley et al. ³ important questions about similarities and differences between ventral and dorsal circuits, and under conditions Arkys across the basal ganglia are engaged. This study contributes to a growing rstanding that pallidal circuits, including the arkypallidal motif, constitute a critical missing piece in our rstanding of when and how the basal ganglia influence what an animal does next. |
| 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 | Figure 1: Arkypallidal populations for the suppression of learned associations. Vachez and colleagues report that arkypallidal cells (Arkys) projecting from the ventral pallidum (VP) to the medial nucleus accumbens shell (NAcsh) are active during consummatory behaviour, and maintain consummatory licking by inhibiting NAcsh (which usually suppresses feeding). This can be seen as a 'veto' against the suppression of feeding. Analogously, neighboring Arky populations projecting to NAc core (NAcc) or lateral shell could veto approach / avoidance behaviors. Arkys in the globus pallidus external segment (GPe) target the dorsal striatum and have been shown to veto prepared actions. The type of action that is suppressed could depend on selective engagement of Arkys targeting the dorsolateral (DLS) or dorsomedial (DMS) striatum. | |
| 141 142 143 | 1. | Kelley, A. E., Baldo, B. A., Pratt, W. E. & Will, M. J. Corticostriatal-hypothalamic circuitry and food motivation: Integration of energy, action and reward. <i>Physiol. Behav.</i> 86 , 773–795 (2005). |
| 143 144 145 | 2. | Floresco, S. B. The nucleus accumbens: An interface between cognition, emotion, and action. <i>Annu. Rev. Psychol.</i> 66 , 25–32 (2015). |
| 146 | 3. | Vachez, Y. et al. Ventral arkypallidal neurons modulate accumbal firing to promote |
| 147 | 4 | reward consumption. <i>Nat. Neurosci.</i> (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.01.020099 |
| 148 149 | 4. | Roitman, M. F., Wheeler, R. a & Carelli, R. M. Nucleus accumbens neurons are innately tuned for rewarding and aversive taste stimuli, encode their predictors, and are |
| 149 | | linked to motor output. <i>Neuron</i> 45 , 587–97 (2005). |
| 151 | 5. | O'Connor, E. C. <i>et al.</i> Accumbal D1R Neurons Projecting to Lateral Hypothalamus |
| 152 | | Authorize Feeding. Neuron 88, 553–564 (2015). |
| 153 | 6. | Mallet, N. et al. Dichotomous Organization of the External Globus Pallidus. Neuron |
| 154 | | 74 , 1075–1086 (2012). |
| 155 | 7. | Glajch, K. E. <i>et al.</i> Npas1+ pallidal neurons target striatal projection neurons. <i>J.</i> |
| 156 | 8. | Neurosci. 36, 5472–5488 (2016). Kamba E. Takabashi S. Kabayashi K. & Enjiyama E. Matar contax can directly. |
| 157 158 | 0. | Karube, F., Takahashi, S., Kobayashi, K. & Fujiyama, F. Motor cortex can directly drive the globus pallidus neurons in a projection neuron type-dependent manner in the |
| 159 | | rat. Elife 8 , 1–25 (2019). |
| 160 | 9. | Stephenson-Jones, M. <i>et al.</i> Opposing Contributions of GABAergic and Glutamatergic |
| 161 | | Ventral Pallidal Neurons to Motivational Behaviors. <i>Neuron</i> 105 , 921-933.e5 (2020). |
| 162 | 10. | Aristieta, A. & Mallet, N. A disynaptic circuit in the globus pallidus controls |
| 163 | | locomotion inhibition. <i>bioRxiv</i> 1–34 (2020). |
| 164 | 11. | Ketzef, M. & Silberberg, G. Differential Synaptic Input to External Globus Pallidus |
| 165 | | Neuronal Subpopulations In Vivo. <i>Neuron</i> 1–14 (2020). |
| 166 | | doi:10.1101/2020.02.27.967869 |

- 167 12. Mallet, N. *et al.* Arkypallidal Cells Send a Stop Signal to Striatum. *Neuron* 89, 308–
 168 316 (2016).
- 169 13. Churchill, L. & Kalivas, P. W. A topographically organized gamma-aminobutyric acid
 170 projection from the ventral pallidum to the nucleus accumbens in the rat. *J. Comp.*171 *Neurol.* 345, 579–595 (1994).
- 172 14. Beckstead, R. M. A pallidostriatal projection in the cat and monkey. *Brain Res. Bull.*173 11, 629–632 (1983).
- 174 15. Balleine, B. W. The Meaning of Behavior: Discriminating Reflex and Volition in the
 175 Brain. *Neuron* 104, 47–62 (2019).
- 176
- 177

