1	Efficiency assessment of green technology innovation of renewable energy enterprises in
2	China: A dynamic data envelopment analysis considering undesirable output
3	Tingting Jiang <sup>1</sup> , Panjing Ji <sup>1</sup> , Yi Shi <sup>1</sup> , Zhen Ye <sup>4</sup> , Qiang Jin <sup>2,3*</sup>
4	1. School of Economics and Management, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai 200235, China
5	2. China Institute for Urban Governance, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China
6	3. School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240,
7	China
8	4. The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management, University College London, London,
9	WC1E 6BT, UK
10	Correspondence: Qiang Jin, Ph. D., Prof.
11	E-mail: jinqiang@sjtu.edu.cn ; Tel: +86-18621863638; Fax: +86-21-54740825
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

36 Abstract: The rapid development of renewable energy enterprises has produced important benefits for 37 contemporary efforts to address serious environmental pollution and depletion of fossil energy resources. However, the environmental pollution that exists in the production and operation of 38 39 enterprises has been ignored, and so an objective evaluation of this issue is becoming urgent. This 40 paper established an evaluation index system for green technology innovation efficiency, and used 41 dynamic Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) considering undesirable output to measure the green 42 technology innovation efficiency of renewable energy enterprises, and the improvement potential of 43 ineffective enterprises was put forward. The results show that: (1) The green technology innovation of 44 renewable energy enterprises needs to be greatly improved. The average efficiency score of sample was 45 0.385 over four years, and only 16 enterprises were found to operate effectively; (2) When effective 46 and inefficient DMUs were compared, the latter were found to have significant output shortfalls, 47 especially in environmental tax, and were found to show an improvement potential of 55.71 percent; (3) 48 The efficiency analysis of different types of renewable energy enterprises found that the green 49 technology innovation efficiency score of nuclear energy enterprises was the highest, and rapidly rose; 50 (4) The green technology innovation efficiency of renewable energy enterprises in the western region 51 greatly exceeded the efficiency of the eastern and central regions. The efficiency evaluation results 52 could not only provide a guidance for central and local governances to optimize the structure of 53 renewable energy sector, but also potentially provide a reference for the operation and management of 54 renewable energy enterprises in China.

55 Keywords: Green Technology Innovation, Efficiency assessment, Data envelopment analysis,

56 Renewable Energy Enterprises



### 57 Graphic abstract

### 60 **1**, Introduction

61 In recent decades, the development and utilization of renewable energy has received growing 62 attention all over the world. Renewable energy enterprises are a strategic emerging industry that China 63 is vigorously developing, and their future prospects in the country look promising. 'Guiding Opinions 64 on Energy Work in 2018', which was issued by the National Energy Administration of China (2018), 65 proposed to accelerate the development of renewable energy, and as a result the proportion of 66 non-fossil energy consumption increased to about 14.3%. It has been estimated that non-fossil energy 67 will account for 20% of primary energy consumption by 2030. The substitution of renewable energy 68 has become increasingly prominent.

However, it has been commonly found that the production of renewable energy is not completely clean. Renewable energy enterprises are essentially manufacturing industries, but the environmental pollution problems that emerge from the production process is often ignored (Li et al. 2019). For example, many studies showed that the process of photovoltaic cell production produces a lot of pollutants (Hou et al. 2016). Besides, other forms of renewable energy, such as biomass, geothermal, nuclear and wind energy, also lead to environmental pollution problems in the production stage (Jacobson 2009).

76 In line with 'the Paris climate change agreement', China's manufacturing sector should make 77 large-scale changes and work towards a cleaner production. The 'Made in China 2025' (2015) initiative 78 sought to comprehensively upgrade the country's economic performance and focused on 79 innovation-driven and green development. Green technology innovation applies ecological-economic principles to save resources and energy, eliminate or reduce environmental pollution and degradation 80 81 and achieve long-term sustainable development that produces economic, environmental and social 82 benefits (Zhou 2014). In comparison with traditional technologies, green technology innovation 83 emphasizes coordinated economic and environmental development (Braun and Wield 1994). Researchers who have engaged with green technology innovation evaluation have produced results 84 85 with important theoretical and practical implications. Examples include regional green technology 86 innovation efficiency (Luo and Liang 2016), green technology innovation efficiency in high-end 87 manufacturing industry (Li et al. 2018) and factors influencing green technology innovation (Guo et al. 88 2018), and so on. Green technology innovation has been a new trend of technological innovation 89 development and an effective way to achieve sustainable development. Therefore, the efficiency of 90 green technological innovation in renewable energy enterprises is a subject that deserves a more deeply 91 discussion.

A few studies refer to the technological innovation efficiency of renewable energy enterprises.
Renewable energy mainly includes biomass, geothermal, hydrogen, nuclear, ocean, solar and wind
energy (Zeng et al. 2019). Most renewable energy studies have focused at a macro-level, such as an

95 industry or geographic zone. Wang et al (2020) gave a comprehensive evaluation of China's regional 96 renewable energy development. Lin and Xu (2018) used the vector autoregressive (VAR) model to 97 examine the main driving forces of the renewable energy industry. Fewer studies have examined 98 micro-level enterprises, such as renewable energy enterprises. Zhang et al (2016) conducted a 99 comprehensive analysis and evaluation of the operating performance of 58 domestic photovoltaic 100 enterprises by applying the DEA method, and found that all Chinese photovoltaic enterprises have 101 lower operating performance because of lower technical efficiency. Zhao and Wei (2019) measured the 102 technical efficiency of China's wind power enterprises by applying DEA, and analyzed technical 103 differences in different regions. Li and Liu (2018) evaluated the efficiency of enterprise technological 104 innovation by using the research and development (R&D) data of 20 new energy vehicle enterprises in 105 China. These studies do not analyze the gaps in green technology innovation efficiency of different 106 types of renewable energy enterprises. Wang et al (2016) assessed the innovation efficiency of the technology research and development and marketing process of 38 Chinese renewable energy 107 108 enterprises in the period 2009-2013 by applying a non-radial data envelopment analysis method. Zeng 109 et al (2018) evaluated the investment efficiency of the new energy industry in China. Çelikbilek and 110 Tüysüz (2016) established a DEA model to analyze the overall efficiency of the different forms of 111 renewable energy. Zeng et al (2020) assessed the comprehensive performances of different renewable 112 energy schemes by using DEA method. Existing studies on renewable energy mainly focused on its 113 economic and social dimensions, while ignoring environmental factors. The problem of environmental 114 pollution in the production and operation of renewable energy enterprises in China has not been studied. 115 What's more, quantifying potential improvements in each indicator is critical to supporting the 116 decision-making process (Jiang et al. 2020). Previous research mainly focused on efficiency evaluation 117 and failed to provide improvement suggestions for each enterprise, for lacking further analysis in input 118 excesses and output shortfalls. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the current situation and deficiency 119 of green technology innovation efficiency in renewable energy enterprises to make up for this research 120 gap. Given the critical role of renewable energy enterprises in cleaner production and the significance 121 of green technology innovation, this paper measured the green technology innovation efficiency of 122 China's renewable energy enterprises by applying the dynamic SBM (Slacks-Based Measure) model 123 and makes up for this research gap.

Data Envelopment Assessment (DEA) has been widely used in the performance evaluation of various productive activities (Mohammadi et al. 2011). This method obtains the relative efficiency of decision-making units (DMUs) with multiple inputs and multiple outputs based on linear programming (Mousavi-Avval et al. 2011). One of the most significant advantages of this method is that it does not assume a correlation between input and output indicators (Hosseinzadeh-Bandbafha et al. 2016), and this means the evaluation results are objective. However, the traditional DEA model is inadequate for performance evaluation when the development of a company is a dynamic process that extends across more than one period, and this is because the traditional model does not consider operational efficiency across different periods (Alizadeh et al. 2020). The dynamic SBM model proposed by Tone and Tsutsui (2010) perfectly solved this problem because it gives a dynamic evaluation and incorporates the carry-over terms in the model. Dynamic SBM helps decision-makers to understand the effect of past decisions on future performance.

136 Green technology innovation efficiency was defined here as the input-output efficiency of green 137 technology innovation activities (Lin et al. 2018). This paper selected 74 renewable energy enterprises 138 as samples to evaluate green technology innovation efficiency. The purpose of the study is (1) to 139 evaluate the efficiency of green technology innovation in renewable energy enterprises in China; (2) to 140 identify the potential for improvement in inefficient renewable energy enterprises. The results of this 141 paper can help the government departments and corporate administrators analyze the current situation 142 of green technology innovation in renewable energy enterprises and put forward the improved policies 143 that will effectively promote the green development of renewable energy enterprises in China.

# 144 2, Methodology and Data

145 2.1 Dynamic SBM model

146 DEA is a method to evaluate the relative efficiency of decision making units (DMUs) with 147 various input and output indicators (Charnes et al. 1978). Traditional DEA models measure the 148 relative efficiency of DMUs in a specific period but cannot evaluate the performance of DMUs over 149 time (Kaleibari et al. 2016). To deal with this concern, some studies used the Malmquist Productivity 150 Index (Xie et al. 2021) and Malmquist-Luenberger Productivity Index (Shen et al. 2019) to evaluate 151 performance in two consecutive periods. The Malmquist Index neglects the carry-over activities 152 between two consecutive terms in dynamic evaluation. When inter-relations of consecutive periods 153 should be taken into account for efficiency analysis, then dynamic DEA must be used (Kao 2013).

Based on the traditional DEA, the dynamic SBM model proposed by Tone and Tsutsui (2010) gives a dynamic evaluation and incorporates the carry-over terms in the model. Many scholars have done some research and achieved certain results (Zhou et al. 2008). Li (2016) formulated an input-orientated dynamic SBM model to measure the operational efficiency of Chinese PV producers. The technological innovation of enterprises is a dynamic process. Therefore, based on the traditional DEA model, this paper will use the dynamic SBM model to comprehensively evaluate the efficiency of green technology innovation in renewable energy enterprises.

Suppose there are n DMU<sub>s</sub> (j=1, 2, ..., n) over T periods (t=1, 2, ..., T). At each period, DMUs use
m inputs (i=1, 2, ..., m) and i carry-over terms (i=1, 2, ..., ngood) to produce s outputs (i=1, 2, ..., s).
Let x<sub>iot</sub>, y<sub>iot</sub> denote the observed input and output values of DMU j at period t, respectively. The
notation z<sup>good</sup><sub>ijt</sub> (i=1, 2, ..., ngood; j=1, 2, ..., n; t=1, 2, ..., T) denotes the good link (desirable

165 carry-over) values where ngood is the number of good links. The dynamic SBM model is extended 166 from the slacks-based measure (SBM) framework proposed by Tone (2001) and Pastor (1999). The 167 non-oriented models aim to reduce input-related factors and to enlarge output-related factors 168 simultaneously (Tone and Tsutsui 2010). For the sake of our research, we define the non-oriented 169 efficiency measure by solving program below:

$$170 \qquad \rho_o^* = min \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w^t \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{w_i^{-} \bar{s}_{it}}{x_{iot}} \right) \right]}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w^t \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{s+ngood} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{w_i^{+} s_{it}^{+}}{y_{iot}} + \sum_{i=1}^{ngood} \frac{s_{it}^{good}}{z_{iot}^{good}} \right) \right]$$
(1)

171 The continuity of link flows (carry-overs) between terms t and t+1 can be guaranteed by the

172 following condition:

173 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{ijt}^{\alpha} \lambda_{j}^{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{ijt}^{\alpha} \lambda_{j}^{t+1} (\forall i; t = 1, \cdots, T-1)$$
(2)

174 where the symbol  $\alpha$  stands for good, bad, free or fix. This constraint is critical for the dynamic model,

since it connects term t and term t+1 activities.

176 Using these expressions for production, we can express  $DMU_o(o=1, ...., n)$  as follows:

177 
$$x_{iot} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ijt} \lambda_j^t - s_{it}^- (i = 1, \cdots, m; t = 1, \cdots, T)$$

178 
$$y_{iot} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ijt} \lambda_j^t + s_{it}^+ (i = 1, \dots, s; t = 1, \dots, T)$$

179 
$$z_{iot}^{good} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{ijt}^{good} \lambda_j^t - s_{it}^{good} (i = 1, \dots, ngood; t = 1, \dots, T)$$

180 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j^t = 1(t = 1, \cdots, T)$$

181  $\lambda_j^t \ge 0, s_{it}^- \ge 0, s_{it}^+ \ge 0, s_{it}^{good} \ge 0$  (3) 182 where  $s_{it}^-, s_{it}^+, s_{it}^{good}$  are slack variables denoting, respectively, input excess, output shortfall, link

183 shortfall. Using an optimal solution  $(\{\lambda_0^{t*}\}, \{s_{ot}^{-*}\}, \{s_{ot}^{good*}\})$  to (1), (2) and (3) we define the

184 non-oriented term efficiency as follows:

185 
$$\rho_{ot} = min \frac{1 - \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{w_i^{-} s_{iot}^{-}}{x_{iot}} \right)}{1 + \frac{1}{s + ngood} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{w_i^{+} s_{it}^{+}}{y_{iot}} + \sum_{i=1}^{ngood} \frac{s_{iot}^{ggood*}}{z_{iot}^{ggood}} \right)} (t = 1, \cdots, T)$$
(4)

186 The overall efficiency during the whole period is the average of the term efficiency, which is187 described as follows:

188 
$$\rho_o^* = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \rho_{ot}^*$$
 (5)

189 The non-oriented overall efficiency ( $\rho_o^*$ ) is a ratio between 0 and 1. If  $\rho_o^* = 1$ , DMUo is considered 190 non-oriented overall efficient. If  $\rho_{ot}^* = 1$ , then DMU<sub>o</sub> is considered to be non-oriented term efficient 191 for period t. Moreover, DMU<sub>o</sub> is overall efficient if and only if  $\rho_{ot}^* = 1$  for all the periods.

192 2.2 Inputs and outputs

193 Reasonable inputs and outputs were selected to accurately assess the relative efficiency of the 194 DMUs. In order to evaluate the efficiency of green technology innovation in renewable energy 195 enterprises, the index system is constructed from many dimensions, such as economy, society and 196 environment. The minimum number of indicators is selected to ensure the integrity of the evaluation 197 elements. After the conducting correlation analysis, we found a strong correlation between operating 198 cost and annual operating revenue. But sensitivity analysis showed that operating cost or annual 199 operating revenue have little effect on the efficiency of green technology innovation. We hoped to get 200 the potential improvement value of operating cost and annual operating revenue, and we kept two 201 indicators.

202 Investment in green technology innovation activities in the manufacturing industry includes 203 research and development (R&D) personnel input and R&D expenditure. Many scholars regarded that 204 the funding that enterprises commit to R&D has the most direct impact on green technology innovation 205 in enterprises (Zhong et al. 2011). For R&D expenditure, this paper selected the capital expenditure in 206 R&D as the investment index of green technology innovation (Sun et al. 2017). R&D personnel 207 specialize in R&D activities, including the whole process in green technology innovation activities. 208 The labor cost that the enterprise pays for R&D can be measured by the data of the personnel engaged 209 in R&D (Becheikh et al. 2006). Therefore, this study used R&D costs as the financial input and the 210 number of R&D staff as the human resource input (Lin et al. 2018). The operating cost of an enterprise 211 is a factor that directly influences its business activities, and operating cost are therefore an important 212 input variable that is used to evaluate green technological innovation (Luo and Liang 2016).

Output indicators can be divided into knowledge output and product economic output. Patent is the most direct reflection of technology innovation in a certain period. It takes a long time to progress from patent application to authorization. Instead of patent grants, the number of patent applications reflects the true output level of technology innovation activities in this period (Luo et al. 2019). Therefore, we chose the number of patent applications made by enterprises as a good proxy indicator for R&D outputs. In referring to indicators used by previous research (Li and Liu 2018), we selected annual operating income, net profit and tax payable as indicators of economic and social output.

In the selection of green output variables, variables such as carbon emissions, environmental pollution index, three waste emissions, total industrial water consumption, smoke and dust emissions, and total SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have been frequently selected as environmental variables (Bai et al. 2018). Due to the difficulty of measuring enterprises' environmental indicators, we creatively selected the sewage charges or environmental taxes as the undesirable outputs of renewable energy enterprises. Both the sewage charges and environmental taxes have been mentioned in the annual reports of listed enterprises. Environmental regulations have both a positive "compensation effect" (Lee et al. 2010) and a negative
"crowding-out effect" (Filbeck and Gorman 2004) on enterprise innovation. Environmental regulation
measures require enterprises to carry out technological innovation and improve production processes.
Meanwhile, environmental pollution control requires a large amount of capital, which tends to
pressurize an enterprise's R&D funds. This paper regards environmental regulation as an undesirable
output of green technology innovation, which has a reasonable theoretical basis.

232 2.3 Data source

233 Based on the data available and complete, we extracted data about 74 renewable energy 234 enterprises from 2015 to 2018. In referring to the 'Industry Classification Guidelines of Listed 235 Companies' published by China Securities Regulatory Commission, this paper selected renewable 236 energy enterprises and removed enterprises with negative total profits and those marked with a 237 'delisting' risk during this period. According to the remaining data ,74 renewable energy enterprises 238 were selected. All 74 sample enterprises were listed on China's Shanghai and Shenzhen Stock 239 Exchange, and are engaged in the production and manufacture of photovoltaic, wind, hydro, nuclear, 240 and biomass energy (Table 1 sets out the sample enterprises). The selected samples are all listed 241 enterprises, which are representative and have an important research significance. The data for input 242 and output variables were taken from the annual reports of listed enterprises, the Wind database, and 243 the China Stock Market and Accounting Research Database (CSMAR). The number of enterprises' s 244 annual patent application, meanwhile, was taken from the Chinese patent database. Table 2 presents the 245 specific input-output variables and data sources.

246

 Table 1 Sample Enterprises

No.	Stock code								
1	601678.SH	16	002729.SZ	31	600184.SH	46	600482.SH	61	600796.SH
2	600960.SH	17	300092.SZ	32	600151.SH	47	002163.SZ	62	000601.SZ
3	002167.SZ	18	002358.SZ	33	002056.SZ	48	600516.SH	63	600111.SH
4	600746.SH	19	600458.SH	34	600485.SH	49	002318.SZ	64	000786.SZ
5	600160.SH	20	600152.SH	35	600220.SH	50	600328.SH	65	300034.SZ
6	000697.SZ	21	000539.SZ	36	600586.SH	51	300004.SZ	66	600259.SH
7	000723.SZ	22	600192.SH	37	002516.SZ	52	603333.SH	67	002002.SZ
8	002709.SZ	23	600112.SH	38	601012.SH	53	002366.SZ	68	600432.SH
9	002386.SZ	24	000970.SZ	39	000815.SZ	54	601985.SH	69	603113.SH
10	002648.SZ	25	600163.SH	40	002050.SZ	55	000990.SZ	70	300072.SZ
11	002125.SZ	26	002046.SZ	41	000533.SZ	56	600538.SH	71	000825.SZ
12	600550.SH	27	600207.SH	42	600089.SH	57	600864.SH	72	600281.SH
13	002478.SZ	28	000969.SZ	43	002623.SZ	58	600475.SH	73	600792.SH
14	600256.SH	29	601991.SH	44	300125.SZ	59	002630.SZ	74	002053.SZ
15	600619.SH	30	603806.SH	45	002189.SZ	60	002201.SZ		

Table 2 List of Variables Variables Source Type R&D staff Annual reports of listed enterprises; Inputs R&D investment funds Wind database; CSMAR database Operating cost Number of patents China Patent Database Desirable Tax payable income Annual reports of listed enterprises; Annual operating revenue outputs Wind database; CSMAR database Net profit Undesirable Sewage charges or environmental taxes Annual report of listed enterprises output

250 **3. Discussion** 

251 3.1 Overall efficiency analysis

In this study, the dynamic SBM model was established by MaxDEA Ultra 8 (No 812-182) software. This model can consider time change and carry-over factors, and its results are more accurate and reliable than those provided by the traditional SBM model (Kao 2013). In the period 2015-2018, the average efficiency score (for 74 samples) was 0.385, and only 16 enterprises were found to operate effectively.

Green technology innovation efficiency is defined as the product of pure technical efficiency and scale efficiency, and it is a comprehensive evaluation of the DUMs' resource allocation capability and resource utilization efficiency (Li et al. 2017). Figure 1 shows that, in the period 2015-2018, the efficiency of green technology innovation generally initially increased and then decreased. Efficiency peaked in 2016 and declined afterwards.

262 Pure technical efficiency was the production efficiency affected by factors such as management 263 and technology (Li et al. 2017). Pure technical efficiency similarly initially increased and then 264 decreased in the relevant period, and in other respects approximated to the green technology innovation efficiency trend. This showed that the overall level of technical and management efficiency declined. 265 266 Scale efficiency referred to the production efficiency affected by scale factors, and reflected the gap 267 between the actual scale and the optimal production scale (Li et al. 2017). The overall scale efficiency 268 of renewable energy enterprises in China has been closer to the optimal production scale. It is also 269 consistent with the results of Xu (2018).

A series of favorable industrial policies, including the 'Renewable Energy Law' and the 'National Renewable Energy and New Energy National Science and Technology Cooperation Plan') (2015), established an economic environment that would support renewable energy enterprises. Therefore, Chinese enterprises that sought to enter the renewable energy field would enjoy clear policy advantages, and this would help to optimize the overall scale of the renewable energy enterprises.

275 The key and core technologies of China's renewable energy enterprises were highly dependent on

foreign countries, which is due to the lack of independent innovation ability of Chinese enterprises. The core technology bottleneck was the key obstacle that hindered the development of China's renewable energy enterprises. Therefore, the efficiency of green technology innovation in Chinese renewable energy enterprises mostly depended on scale efficiency, which is consistent with the result measured of Zhao (2019).

281 With regard to application structure, renewable energy lacked sufficient application channels. In 2018, the amount of abandoned water electricity was about 69.1 billion kWh / year; the amount of 282 283 abandoned wind electricity was 27.7 billion kWh / year; the amount of abandoned photovoltaic power 284 was 5.49 billion kWh / year; and the amount of abandoned electricity was still high. Figure 2 showed 285 the situation of China's renewable energy abandoned power. To address this situation, China's 286 renewable energy enterprises were adjusting their industrial structure (Lin and Xu 2018). Green 287 technology innovation shifted from a reliance on scale to a technological emphasis. This is confirmed 288 that renewable energy enterprises needed to strengthen their investment in technology and management 289 to ensure their long-term development.







294 Source: National Energy Administration; Foresight Industry Research Institute 295 3.2 Potential improvement



296 297

Fig.3 Comparison of eight variables in efficient and inefficient enterprises.

298 Figure 3 provided a statistical comparison of efficient and inefficient enterprises, and showed that 299 the inputs and undesirable output of efficient enterprises are obviously lower when compared against 300 inefficient enterprises. The average R&D investment funds of efficient enterprises were 131.42 million CNY (around US \$19.9 million), while that of inefficient enterprises was 1554.07 million CNY 301 (around US \$23.33 million). Efficient enterprises recorded a net profit of 1365.16 million CNY (around 302 303 US \$206.72 million), which was far higher than inefficient enterprises (277.36 million CNY, around 304 US \$42.0 million). Moreover, there was no significant difference in environment taxes, as the305 environmental efficiency of enterprises is generally a weakness.

In addition to providing overall efficiency scores, software MaxDEA can also provide target improvement for each DUM, including all inputs and outputs (Cheng et al. 2020). In other words, taking efficient samples as benchmark, it can quantify potential improvement of each item for inefficient DMUs to improve scores of inefficient enterprises. Previous studies have analyzed the efficiency of green technology innovation in enterprises, but there is no further optimization of input excesses and output shortfalls. This paper has overcome the deficiency and obtained more scientific and useful assessment results. The results of improvement potential are shown in Table 3.

313

Table 3 The improvement potential of all Enterprises in this study

	Origin	Projection	Improvement	Improvement ratio
R&D staff (persons)	431	241	-190	-44.08%
R&D investment founds (million USD)	28.00	12.77	-15.23	-54.39%
Operating cost (million USD)	1155.55	917.39	-238.16	-20.61%
Tax payable income (million USD)	19.95	28.65	8.70	43.61%
Annual operating revenue (million USD)	1252.03	1437.94	185.91	14.85%
Net profit (million USD)	96.81	143.09	46.28	47.80%
Number of patents(pieces)	70	98	28	40.0%
Environmental tax (million USD)	0.70	0.31	-0.39	-55.71%

Note: Negative values in improvement represent input excesses or undesirable output excesses that 314 315 should be reduced, positive values represent the desirable output shortfalls that need to be made up for. Under the current output level, ineffective renewable energy enterprises had diverse levels of 316 317 excess input, specifically R&D staff, R&D investment funds and operating costs. In the case of the 74 318 enterprises, R&D staff, R&D funds and operating costs could be respectively reduced by 190 319 employees, 100.58 million CNY (around US \$15.23 million) and 1572.78 million CNY (around US 320 \$238.16 million). Under the current input level, inefficient renewable energy enterprises focused too 321 much on economic income, but ignored environmental benefits. For undesirable output, environmental 322 taxes could be reduced by 2.58 million CNY (around US \$0.39 million).

Overall, according to the improvement ratio, there is substantial room for improvement in bothinput and output indicators. China's renewable energy enterprises could improve the efficiency of green

technology innovation by improving the existing deficiencies, which would promote the coordinated development of the economy, environment and society.

327 3.3 Analysis of efficiency of different types of renewable energy enterprises

Due to the differences between enterprises, the changes in green technology innovation efficiency of different types of renewable energy enterprises are closely related to the characteristics of enterprises itself. Therefore, it was necessary to analyze the differences between green technology innovation efficiency from the perspective of renewable energy types. This paper divided the sample enterprises into 6 types in accordance with production types and the production products of each renewable energy enterprise: energy storage, wind energy, photovoltaic, nuclear energy, biomass energy and new materials.

Table 4 showed the number of samples whose green technology innovation efficiency reached the effective value in the period 2015-2018. Of these, enterprises with efficient green technology innovation efficiency values were relatively small in number. In the given period, the total fluctuated greatly, attesting to a clear instability.

339 Figure 4 and Figure 5 showed the trend of changes in the green technology innovation efficiency 340 of Chinese renewable energy enterprises over the past four years. Energy storage, photovoltaic and new 341 materials enterprises showed a tendency to initially rise and then decrease in the period, and peaked 342 between 2016 and 2017. Nuclear power enterprises rose rapidly over the four-year period, which is 343 consistent with the findings of Wang (2016). However, the development of green technology in 344 biomass energy enterprises was slow, and little research has engaged enterprises of this kind. The 345 efficiency evaluation results could help government departments to analyze the current situation of 346 green technology innovation in renewable energy enterprises and optimize the structure of renewable 347 energy sector. A renewable energy 'Guidance Catalogue for Industrial Structure Adjustment' (2019), 348 which was issued by the National Development and Reform Commission, highlighted improvements 349 in the technical level of renewable energy as a top priority. However, China's renewable energy 350 enterprises will have a substantial distance to go before "innovation" and "green" can be achieved 351 simultaneously.

		2015	2016	2017	2018
Туре	Quantity	Score=1	Score=1	Score=1	Score=1
Stored Energy	11	2	2	2	3
Wind Energy	15	6	6	7	8
Photovoltaic	21	7	8	6	6
Nuclear Energy	7	2	4	4	4
<b>Biomass Energy</b>	8	0	2	0	3
New Material	12	5	5	4	3
Total	74	22	27	23	27

**Table 4** Number of enterprises with Score = 1



Fig.4 Efficiencies for different types of renewable energy enterprises







Fig.5 Boxplots of the efficiency of different renewable energy enterprises

3.4 Analysis of regional differences in renewable energy enterprises

358 This paper referred to the criteria for the division of economic regions that is outlined in the 359 regional coordinated development strategy, and classified the sample enterprises in accordance with 360 their location and therefore divided them into eastern, central and western regions. Figure 6 showed the 361 green technology innovation efficiency levels in the three regions. This figure clarified that the 362 efficiency of the three regions was basically the same in 2015. In the period since 2017, however, the efficiency of the western region has gradually increased, while gradually declining in the eastern and 363 364 central regions. The efficiency of green technology innovation in the western region has greatly 365 surpassed that in the eastern and central regions. Zhao (2019) similarly drew this conclusion, and 366 attributes it to resource advantages, greater R & D investment and higher levels of technological

progress. According to the distribution of green technology innovation efficiency changes, government
 departments could implement regional renewable energy policies for different regions.

369 Current tendencies suggest this trend will persist into the future. The 'promotion in the rise' 370 strategy has contributed to rapid green technology innovation efficiency improvements in recent years. 371 This was because enterprises in the western region can take advantage of low labor costs, low 372 competitive pressure and outstanding regional advantages (Dong and Shi 2019). In addition, they have 373 also benefitted from rich resources reserve in the region, such as wind, geothermal and solar energy. 374 Renewable energy enterprises such as photovoltaic and wind power generation were cited in the 375 Catalogue of Encouraging Industries in the Western Region that was issued by the National 376 Development and Reform Commission. The Chinese government's support for the construction of the 377 western region is conducive to the development of renewable energy enterprises.



<sup>378</sup> 



## Fig.6 Comparison chart of efficiency by region

# **380 4、Conclusions and recommendations**

With the rapid development of renewable energy enterprises in China, the environmental pollution in the production and operation of enterprises are gradually prominent. However, the issues have been ignored, and a comprehensive, quantitative and objective evaluation of them is becoming urgent. DEA is considered as an effective performance evaluation tool to solve this problem. In this paper, 74 renewable energy enterprises were evaluated by the dynamic SBM model from multiple dimensions including economy, environment and society.

The main results are as follows: (1) the average efficiency score of samples was 0.385 over four years, of which only 16 enterprises operate effectively; (2) compared with the effective DMUs, the inefficient DMUs had significant shortfalls in the outputs, especially in environmental tax, with the improvement potential of 55.71%; (3) different types of renewable energy enterprises showed 391 significant differences in green technology innovation efficiency. Among them, the green technology 392 innovation efficiency of nuclear energy enterprises is the largest, and it also rise rapidly; (4) the green 393 technology innovation efficiency of renewable energy enterprises in the western region has far 394 exceeded the efficiency of counterparts in the eastern and central regions.

Based on the results above, the targeted recommendations are presented as follows to improve the efficiency of green technology innovation in renewable energy enterprises: (1) the government must put forward policies to encourage green technology research and development; (2) the government should try to narrow the gap between the eastern, central and western regions and promote the coordinated development of all types of renewable energy enterprises; (3) enterprises should continue to strengthen the awareness of environmental protection, from the traditional "governance"-based end of governance to "prevention"-based clean production changes.

The dynamic SBM model applied to this paper identifies effective DMUs as the best practices, calculating slack improvement value of inputs and outputs to maximize the efficiencies of inefficient enterprises. There is no doubt that the methodology and applications in this study are useful for government departments and enterprise managers. It can help government and enterprise managers to evaluate the green technology innovation and realize the effective improvement of renewable energy enterprises.

The dynamic SBM model was used to evaluate the green technology innovation efficiency for four consecutive years, which is more comprehensive and accurate than the static efficiency value analysis. On the other hand, it is worth noting that DEA can only effectively assess the relative, and not absolute, efficiency. In addition, this paper has not analyzed the potential factors affecting the efficiency of renewable energy enterprises. Therefore, further research can combine the DEA with the regression method to analyze how internal and external factors influence efficiency value.

- 414
- 415

416

417

- 418
- 419
- 420

421 422

423

424

426	Declarations							
427	Funding: Not applicable							
428	Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.							
429	Availability of data and material: Not applicable							
430	Code availability: Not applicable							
431								
432	Authors' contributions							
433	Tingting Jiang: Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Resources, Supervision							
434	Panjing Ji: Writing- Original draft preparation, Methodology, Data curation, Validation, Formal							
435	analysis, Investigation							
436	Yi Shi: Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Supervision							
437	Zhen Ye: Writing- Reviewing and Polishing, Methodology							
438	Qiang Jin: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing,							
439	Supervision							
440								
441								
442								
443								
444								
445								
446								
447								
448								
449								
450								
451								
452								
453								
454								
455								
456								
457								
458								
459								
460								

# **References**

462	Administration	CNE	(2018)	Energy	Work	Guidance.		
463	<u>http://www</u>	.gov.cn/xinwen,	/2018-03/09/cont	ent 5272569.htm	<u>1</u>			
464	Alizadeh R, Beiragh	RG, Soltaniseh	at L, Soltanzadeh	E, Lund PD (202	20) Performance	e evaluation of		
465	complex electricity generation systems: A dynamic network-based data envelopment analysis							
466	approach Er	ergy Economic	5:104894					
467	Bai Y, Hua C, Jiao J, '	Yang M, Li F (2	018) Green efficie	ency and environ	mental subsidy:	Evidence from		
468	thermal pov	ver firms in Chir	na Journal of Clear	er Production 18	8:49-61			
469	Becheikh N, Landry R	, Amara N (200	6) Lessons from ir	novation empiric	al studies in the	manufacturing		
470	sector: A sys	tematic review	of the literature f	rom 1993–2003 T	echnovation 26:	644-664		
471	Braun E, Wield D (1	1994) Regulatio	on as a means fo	r the social con	trol of technolo	ogy Technology		
472	Analysis & S	trategic Manag	ement 6:259-272					
473	Çelikbilek Y, Tüysüz F	(2016) An inte	grated grey based	multi-criteria de	cision making ap	pproach for the		
474	evaluation o	f renewable en	ergy sources Ener	gy 115:1246-1258	3			
475	Charnes A, Cooper W	/W, Rhodes E (1	.978) Measuring t	he efficiency of d	ecision making u	units. European		
476	Journal of O	perational Rese	arch 2:429-444					
477	Cheng P, Jin Q, Jiang	H, Hua M, Ye Z	(2020) Efficiency	assessment of ru	ral domestic sev	vage treatment		
478	facilities by a	a slacked-based	DEA model Journ	al of Cleaner Proc	duction 267			
479	Dong FG, Shi L (2019	<ol><li>Regional difference</li></ol>	erences study of	enewable energy	y performance:	A case of wind		
480	power in Ch	ina Journal of C	leaner Production	233:490-500				
481	Filbeck G, Gorman R	F (2004) The Re	lationship betwee	n the Environme	ntal and Financi	al Performance		
482	of Public Uti	lities Environm	ental and Resourc	e Economics 29:1	37-157			
483	Guo Y, Xia X, Zhang S	, Zhang D (2018	) Environmental F	egulation, Gover	nment R&D Fun	ding and Green		
484	Technology	Innovation: Ev	idence from Chi	na Provincial Da	ta Sustainabilit	y (Switzerland)		
485	10:940-961							
486	Hosseinzadeh-Bandb	afha H, Safarza	adeh D, Ahmadi	E, Nabavi-Pelesa	raei A (2016) (	Optimization of		
487		-	ry farms using da	-	-	e study: Qazvin		
488	city of Iran.	Iournal of the S	audi Society of Ag	ricultural Science	s 17(3):217-228			
489	Hou GF et al. (2016	) Life cycle ass	essment of grid-o	connected photo	voltaic power g	eneration from		
490	crystalline si	licon solar mod	ules in China App	ied Energy 164:88	82-890			
491	J.T. Pastor JLR, I. Sirv	ent (1999) An e	enhanced DEA Rus	sell graph efficie	ncy measure Eu	ropean Journal		
492	•	nal Research 11						
493	Jacobson MZ (2009)					ollution, global		
494	_		er International Jo					
495	Jiang H, Hua M, Zhar		-					
496		-	nts in China: A	-	ent analysis ba	sed on cluster		
497		-	eaner Production					
498	Kaleibari SS, Beiragh			-	-			
499	energy supp	oly chain by a	compatible netw	ork data envelop	oment analysis	model Scientia		
500	Iranica 23:19							
501	Kao C (2013) Dyna			s: A relational	analysis Europe	an Journal of		
502	·	Research 227:3						
503	Lee J, Veloso F, Hou				-			
504	emissions co	ontrol technolo	gy development in	the US Technova	tion 30:249-264			

- Li H, Sun Y, Ren L (2017) Research on Green Technology Innovation Performance of New Energy Listed
   Companies Science and Technology Management Research 37:240-246 (In Chinese)
- 507Li N, Liu C, Zha D (2016) Performance evaluation of Chinese photovoltaic companies with the508input-oriented dynamic SBM model Renewable Energy 89:489-497
- Li TC, Liang L, Han DR (2018) Research on the Efficiency of Green Technology Innovation in China's
   Provincial High-End Manufacturing Industry Based on the RAGA-PP-SFA Model Mathematical
   Problems in Engineering 18:1024-1237
- Li Y, Chiu Y-h, Lu LC (2019) New Energy Development and Pollution Emissions in China International
   Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 16:24
- Li Z, Liu Y-q (2018) A measurement of China's new energy vehicle industry using the improved general
   combined-oriented CCR model Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography
   21:895-906
- Lin B, Xu B (2018) How to promote the growth of new energy industry at different stages? Energy
   Policy 118:390-403
- Lin S, Sun J, Marinova D, Zhao D (2018) Evaluation of the green technology innovation efficiency of
   China's manufacturing industries: DEA window analysis with ideal window width Technology
   Analysis & Strategic Management 30:1166-1181
- Luo L, Liang S (2016) Study on the efficiency and regional disparity of green technology innovation in
   China's industrial companies Chinese journal of population, resources and environment
   14:262-270
- Luo QL, Miao CL, Sun LY, Meng XN, Duan MM (2019) Efficiency evaluation of green technology
   innovation of China's strategic emerging industries: An empirical analysis based on
   Malmquist-data envelopment analysis index Journal of Cleaner Production 238:77-82
- Mohammadi A, Rafiee S, Mohtasebi SS, Avval SHM, Rafiee H (2011) Energy efficiency improvement
   and input cost saving in kiwifruit production using Data Envelopment Analysis approach
   Renewable Energy 36:2573-2579
- Mousavi-Avval SH, Rafiee S, Jafari A, Mohammadi A (2011) Improving energy use efficiency of canola
   production using data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach Energy 36:2765-2772
- 533NationalAssemblyofthePRC(2015)MadeinChina2025.534<a href="http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengcewenjianku/index.htm">http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengcewenjianku/index.htm</a>
- Shen N, Liao HL, Deng RM, Wang QW (2019) Different types of environmental regulations and the
   heterogeneous influence on the environmental total factor productivity: Empirical analysis of
   China's industry Journal of Cleaner Production 211:171-184
- 538Sun LY, Miao CL, Yang L (2017) Ecological-economic efficiency evaluation of green technology539innovation in strategic emerging industries based on entropy weighted TOPSIS method540Ecological Indicators 73:554-558
- 541The National Development and Reform Commission (2019) Guidance Catalogue for Industrial542Structure Adjustment. <a href="http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2019-11/06/content-5449193.htm">http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2019-11/06/content-5449193.htm</a>
- 543Tone K (2001) A slacks-based measure of super-efficiency in data envelopment analysis European544Journal of Operational Research 143:32-41
- 545 Tone K, Tsutsui M (2010) Dynamic DEA: A slacks-based measure approach Omega 38:145-156
- 546Wang Q, Hang Y, Sun L, Zhao Z (2016) Two-stage innovation efficiency of new energy enterprises in547China: A non-radial DEA approach Technological Forecasting and Social Change 112:254-261
- 548 Wang Y, Zhang DY, Ji Q, Shi XP (2020) Regional renewable energy development in China: A

- 549 multidimensional assessment Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 124
- Xie BC, Chen YF, Gao J, Zhang S (2021) Dynamic environmental efficiency analysis of China's power
   generation enterprises: a game cross-Malmquist index approach Environmental Science and
   Pollution Research 28:1697-1711
- 553Xu B, Lin B (2018) Assessing the development of China's new energy industry Energy Economics55470:116-131
- Zeng S, Jiang C, Ma C, Su B (2018) Investment efficiency of the new energy industry in China Energy
   Economics 70:536-544
- 557 Zeng Y, Guo W, Wang H, Zhang F (2020) A two-stage evaluation and optimization method for 558 renewable energy development based on data envelopment analysis Applied Energy 262
- Zeng Y, Guo W, Zhang F (2019) Comprehensive evaluation of renewable energy technical plans based
   on data envelopment analysis Energy Procedia 158:3583-3588
- 561 Zhang LX, Wang JQ, Wen HJ, Fu ZT, Li XX (2016) Operating performance, industry agglomeration and
   562 its spatial characteristics of Chinese photovoltaic industry Renewable and Sustainable Energy
   563 Reviews 65:373-386
- 564Zhao XG, Wei Z (2019) The technical efficiency of China's wind power list enterprises: An estimation565based on DEA method and micro-data Renewable Energy 133:470-479
- Zhong W, Yuan W, Li SX, Huang Z (2011) The performance evaluation of regional R&D investments in
   China: An application of DEA based on the first official China economic census data
   Omega-international Journal of Management Science 39:447-455
- Zhou P, Ang BW, Poh KL (2008) A survey of data envelopment analysis in energy and environmental
   studies European Journal of Operational Research 189:1-18
- 571 Zhou ZF (2014) On Evaluation Model of Green Technology Innovation Capability of Pulp and Paper 572 Enterprise Based on Support Vector Machines Advanced Materials Research 886:285-288