

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Radiology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejrad

Imaging and treatment of brain tumors through molecular targeting: Recent clinical advances

Fulvio Zaccagna^{a,*}, James T. Grist^{b,c,d,e}, Natale Quartuccio^f, Frank Riemer^{g,h}, Francesco Fraioli^{i,j}, Corradina Caracò^k, Richard Halsey^{i,j}, Yazeed Aldalilah^{i,j,l}, Charles H. Cunningham^{m,n}, Tarik F. Massoud^o, Luigi Aloj^{k,p,1}, Ferdia A. Gallagher^{k,p,1}

^a Division of Neuroimaging, Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

^b Department of Physiology, Anatomy, and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

^c Oxford Centre for Clinical Magnetic Resonance Research, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

^d Department of Radiology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, United Kingdom

^h Department of Radiology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

ⁱ Institute of Nuclear Medicine, University College London, London, United Kingdom

^k Department of Radiology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

¹ Department of Radiology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^m Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ⁿ Physical Sciences, Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^o Division of Neuroimaging and Neurointervention, Department of Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, USA

^p Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Molecular imaging Brain tumor Glioma PET MRI Theranostics

ABSTRACT

Molecular imaging techniques have rapidly progressed over recent decades providing unprecedented in vivo characterization of metabolic pathways and molecular biomarkers. Many of these new techniques have been successfully applied in the field of neuro-oncological imaging to probe tumor biology. Targeting specific signaling or metabolic pathways could help to address several unmet clinical needs that hamper the management of patients with brain tumors. This review aims to provide an overview of the recent advances in brain tumor imaging using molecular targeting with positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging, as well as the role in patient management and possible therapeutic implications.

1. Introduction

Molecular imaging is a rapidly evolving area with the development of many new molecular imaging techniques and applications, ranging from hardware, novel imaging agents, acquisition protocols, and advanced image analysis approaches. Despite significant advances in the oncological management of many brain tumors, many of these continue to have a very poor prognosis with more than two-thirds of adults diagnosed with glioblastoma dying within 2 years of diagnosis, which is partly due to the high degree of morphological, metabolic, and genetic heterogeneity observed both within and between tumors [1-4]. A better understanding of these mechanisms by using non-invasive methods of in vivo tissue characterization may contribute to this area of unmet need. Conventional imaging techniques demonstrate many aspects of tumor heterogeneity, but molecular imaging techniques can reveal and quantify this phenomenon in new ways, which can help to more accurately characterize these tumors and evaluate their response to therapy.

Cerebral metabolism is a highly regulated process, with a complex

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2021.109842

Received 15 March 2021; Accepted 24 June 2021

Available online 3 July 2021 0720-048X/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

e Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

^f Nuclear Medicine Unit. A.R.N.A.S. Ospedali Civico Di Cristina Benfratelli, Palermo, Italy

^g Mohn Medical Imaging and Visualization Centre, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

^j NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, London, United Kingdom

^{*} Corresponding author at: Division of Neuroimaging, Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, 263 McCaul St 4th floor, Toronto, ON M5T 1W7, Canada.

E-mail address: f.zaccagna@gmail.com (F. Zaccagna).

¹ Joint senior authors.

interplay between glial cells and neurons to meet the demand for adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production [5]. The main clinical tools to probe metabolic pathways include proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H MRS) and positron emission tomography (PET). PET is a very sensitive technique, providing a wide range of neurotracers to specifically image a range of metabolic pathways and provide quantitative measurement of metabolic parameters. Although MRS is less sensitive, it provides a non-invasive way of characterizing endogenous tumor metabolites, and allows for multiple metabolic pathways to be simultaneously explored without radiation exposure [6,7]. Magnetic resonance (MR) can be used to detect signal from several nuclei in addition to protons or ¹H, that can be used to explore tissue metabolism and cellular processes in vivo. For example, steady state distribution of tissue sodium (²³Na) can be used to probe biological compartments [8,9]. More recently, dynamic monitoring of hyperpolarized carbon-13 (¹³C) labelled compounds has been used to probe both oxidative and reductive brain metabolism [10,11].

2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose ([¹⁸F]FDG) is a glucose analog which is transported by the transmembrane glucose transporters (GLUTs) and is phosphorylated by hexokinase in the first step of glycolysis. Owing to the physiologically high [¹⁸F]FDG uptake in normal brain tissue, tumors may present with a relatively low tumor-to-background ratio, which may hinder detection especially in low-grade brain neoplasms [12]. There are several PET tracers that target metabolic pathways with a higher tumor-to-background ratio, such as protein synthesis, membrane lipid synthesis, and fatty acid synthesis [13,14]. The Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) working group has recommended amino acid tracers for glioma imaging, owing to their superiority over [¹⁸F]FDG for several clinical indications, including differential diagnosis and grading of new brain lesions and assessment of tumor extension [15].

This review focuses on isotopic imaging of brain tumors using PET and MRI which could have a future role in neuro-oncology. It will also discuss the potential of combining molecular imaging with therapy in the form of theranostics, which is also likely to find an increasing role in future clinical practice.

2. Current role for imaging and unmet clinical needs in neurooncology

Gliomas are the most common primary brain tumors, accounting for nearly 70% of central nervous system (CNS) cancers, with glioblastoma (GBM) being the most frequent and malignant of the high grade gliomas (HGG)[16]. Maximal surgical resection is often the primary aim in the management of HGGs, although there is no consensus on the role of surgery for low-grade gliomas (LGGs) [17–19]. Therefore, an accurate assessment of tumor extent is mandatory to achieve gross total resection. However, as the tumor is very infiltrative, this can often be difficult to assess using conventional MRI protocols such as: T₂-weighted images (T₂WI), T₂ fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), and T₁-weighted images (T₁WI) acquired preand post-gadolinium-based contrast agent administration (GBCA) [20-22]. DWI, based on the assumption of Brownian motion of water within tissues, can aid in assessing tumor infiltration within the peritumoral edema, but has limited specificity and sensitivity [23-27]. Advanced diffusion-based techniques such as diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) or the vascular, extracellular and restricted diffusion for cytometry in tumors (VERDICT) have emerged as novel potential tools to assess glioma microstructure, function, and heterogeneity which may improve the identification of tumour infiltration [28,29].

5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA), an endogenous precurser of heme, can be used intra-operatively for optical assessment of tumor infiltration. Exogenously administered 5-ALA leads to the accumulation of fluorescent protoporphyrin IX within malignant cells due to reduced ferrochelatase activity, which can be visualized at surgery [30,31]. The prolonged progression-free survival achieved by combining 5-ALA and MRI guidance for tumor detection and delineation, underlines the potential importance of targeting hybrid imaging techniques [32–34].

The delineation of tumor boundaries is also of key importance for radiotherapy planning, an integral component in the treatment of brain tumors both after the initial surgery/biopsy and at recurrence, as recommended by the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) guidelines [35,36]. Image-guided identification and selection of the radiotherapy target can significantly reduce the dose delivered to normal tissues while maximizing treatment efficacy using novel techniques such as intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and imageguided radiotherapy (IGRT) [37]. For example, tumor hypoxia is related to resistance of both radiation therapy and conventional chemotherapy and non-invasive assessment of tumor hypoxia can be used for "dose painting" or modulation of radiotherapy doses in areas of hypoxia as well as informing on the use of hypoxia-targeting drugs [38].

Non-invasive assessment of molecular biomarkers for *in vivo* phenotyping of gliomas is also a growing application for molecular imaging. Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) has become one of the key biomarkers of underlying glioma biology and a cornerstone of the WHO brain tumor classification [39]. The discovery of the importance of IDH in tumorigenesis and aggressiveness led to *non-invasive* methods to detect the presence of the mutation using ¹H MRS. Specific mutations in IDH result in neomorphic enzyme function and the accumulation of the oncometabolite 2-hydroxglutarate (2HG). Detection of the oncometabolite 2HG *in vivo* indicates the presence of mutant IDH, which can be used not only to detect the mutation but also to predict therapy response earlier than morphological techniques [40–42].

Imaging also plays a significant role in treatment evaluation, which is currently based on the 2010 update of the RANO criteria [43]. According to those, both the T_1W post GBCA and the T_2W /FLAIR are used to assess interval change in size of the lesion. However, the updated RANO criteria still fall short of definitively distinguishing tumor progression, pseudoresponse (defined as decrease in contrast enhancement due to normalization of abnormally permeable tumor vessels), and pseudoprogression (defined as increased contrast enhancement after treatment which is not tumor related), resulting in uncertainties for up to 12 weeks after therapy [44]. Sensitive and specific methods to determine treatment evaluation are required to better define management at the earliest stage possible. Advanced imaging techniques that probe tumor biology could play a significant role in early therapy assessment and long-term follow-up in a routine clinical environment.

3. Developments in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for brain tumor imaging

MRI is the main imaging technique for assessment of patients with brain tumors. The current standard of practice in Europe is based on the recommendations of the RANO working group with significant limitations in therapy assessment within the first 3 months after treatment [43].

Several biological processes can be measured using proton MRS (¹H MRS), such as lactate concentration, membrane turnover, and cellular proliferation [45]. However, ¹H MRS requires interpretation by an experienced reader and clear thresholds for tumor grading are still a matter of debate [46]. Moreover, acquiring ¹H MRS across the brain using multi-voxel acquisition strategies leads to lengthy scan times and presents several technical challenges such as obtaining spectra close to the skull. A further challenge with clinical field strength (\leq 3 T) MRS is the limited metabolic resolution leading to a restricted number of pathways that can be explored [47–49].

MRI can also be used to detect nuclei other than protons (or ¹H) to explore metabolic processes *in vivo*. However, the signal from nuclei such as ³¹P, ²³Na, or ¹³C is significantly reduced compared to protons due to lower *in vivo* concentrations, smaller gyromagnetic ratios, and relatively decreased nuclear polarizations. Therefore, until recently, multi-nuclei imaging with conventional MRI systems has been

challenging. With the more widespread availability of higher-field (≥ 3 T) magnets and the improvement in coil technology and acquisition sequences, these nuclei can now be successfully imaged within a clinically practical timescale. These techniques may provide useful data to complement conventional multi-parametric MRI protocols.

3.1. Phosphorus-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy (³¹P MRS)

Investigation of ³¹P MRI to detect cerebral cellular energetics dates back to the 1980s. Initial experiments with ³¹P MRS in preclinical models of glioma and neuroblastoma demonstrated high nucleoside triphosphate and phosphomonoesters with low peaks of phosphocreatine [50]. Necrosis is typically associated with decreased nucleoside triphosphate, decreased phosphomonoesters, and increased inorganic phosphate. Hirawaka *et al.* subsequently postulated that non-invasive assessment of ³¹P could provide early assessment of therapy response before morphological changes, for instance through early increase in the inorganic phosphate concentration within the lesion [50].

Phospholipids (PL) are a key component of cellular membranes and probing PL provides information on cell replication and viability. Phosphomonoesters (PME) are precursors of PL while phosphodiesters (PDE) are products of PL catabolism. Both PME and PDE can be quantified with ³¹P MRS and an increase in PME has been associated with cell proliferation, tumor progression and/or recurrence in GBM [51]. In contrast, low grade gliomas are characterized by low proliferative rates and have lower PME levels which can potentially be used in the differential diagnosis compared to higher grade tumors [51]. This distinction between HGG and LGG on ³¹P MRS could be particularly useful for detecting areas of increased proliferation, as is present in in transforming gliomas.

Recently, the combination of higher filed strengths, improved coil design and acquisition sequences has permitted whole-brain spectroscopic imaging (³¹P MRSI), paving the way for whole brain mapping of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and phosphocreatine (PCr) [52]. It also offers the possibility of spatial mapping tissue pH within brain tumors [53]. HGGs typically show an acidified extracellular compartment which confers a survival benefit, facilitates infiltration by creating a hostile environment for normal tissue, and promotes malignancy through induction of cancer stem cells [54]. Some reports using single voxel MRS have shown mild intracellular alkalinization of astrocytomas, meningiomas and lymphomas compared to normal brain parenchyma [55–57]. A more recent report demonstrated a pH gradient from pseudonormal values within the leading edge to pronounced acidosis within the necrotic zone of a tumor [58]. The ability to image the spatial distribution of pH in vivo could provide valuable insights into glioma pathophysiology, identification of areas rich in cancer stem cells as a target for therapy, as well as the potential of monitoring response to therapy. A better insight into the role of pH in gliomas could also pave the way for new treatments, such as lysosome destabilizing drugs [59].

3.2. Sodium-23 magnetic resonance imaging (²³Na MRI)

In the 1980s, Maudsley and Hilal [8] postulated that sodium MRI would distinguish features of brain tumors that could not be detected on conventional proton imaging. Following on from this work, Feinberg [60] demonstrated the use of the technique in brain tumor patients. Subsequent research explored the use of ²³Na MRI in healthy brain and other neurological diseases, with promising results [61–63]. Recent developments in pulse sequence design and quantification have led to a renewed interest in this technique [64–68]. Imaging of the sodium ion is of significant interest for brain diseases [69] because an increase in cellular metabolism is associated with changes in Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity. For example, when ATP utilization is increased in a proliferating tumor, the activity of the sodium pump may be reduced, resulting in changes in the gradient of sodium ions across the membrane [9].

In 2003, Ouwerkerk et al. [70] demonstrated that sodium



Fig. 1. 3D ²³Na-MRI of a healthy volunteer showing total sodium concentrations across brain regions from two different sites (A and B) and at two different time points (scan 1 and scan 2). Images demonstrate repeatability and reproducibility of the technique. Adapted with permission from Riemer *et al.* [68].

concentration is increased both in malignant tumors and in the surrounding non-enhancing FLAIR hyperintense parenchyma (Fig. 1). The signal increase was attributed to a combination of changes in the extracellular volume fraction and intracellular sodium concentration. In an attempt to disentangle the extracellular and intracellular sodium component, Nagel et al. explored the use of relaxation-weighted ²³Na sequences (²³NaR) to quantify the intracellular compartment [71]. An increased ²³NaR signal intensity was observed in GBMs and in a cerebral metastasis which may relate to higher cellular proliferation as demonstrated by a strong correlation between the intracellular sodium concentration and the expression of mindbomb homolog-1 (MIB-1), a marker of proliferation rate [72,73]. Further studies have shown an increased apparent total sodium concentration and extracellular sodium concentration within tumors compared to the normal appearing white matter demonstrating the ability of ²³Na MRI to distinguish different tissue compartments [9,71,74–76]. Moreover, ²³Na MRI has been shown to correlate with the IDH mutation and could therefore act as a prognostic factor [77]: for example, the ratio of ²³NaR to the total sodium signal has been shown to correlate with mutant IDH expression, accurately classify glioma grade, and to predict survival [77].

²³Na MRI has also been evaluated as an imaging biomarker for therapy evaluation in GBM combined with 3'-deoxy-3'-[¹⁸F]fluorothymidine ([¹⁸F]FLT)-PET. Laymon *et al.* have demonstrated that ²³Na MRI and [¹⁸F]FLT)-PET are complementary in assessing therapy response [78]. More recently, Thulborn *et al.* [79] assessed the potential utility of ²³Na MRI as an early biomarker of therapy response in patients undergoing fractionated chemoradiation. Using a two-compartment model, they converted the total sodium concentration maps into cell volume fraction bioscale maps from which they subsequently derived the residual tumor volume and tumor cell death component. Changes in cell volume fraction, residual tumor volume, and tumor cell death were identified during the course of the 6-week regimen but over the same period, there was little biological variation in the normal appearing tissue. However, these changes did not correlate with prognosis which may reflect the heterogeneity of GBM response treatment.

3.3. Hyperpolarized carbon-13 magnetic resonance imaging (HP ^{13}C MRI)

Hyperpolarized ¹³C MRI is an emerging clinical technique with the potential to increase the understanding of neurological, psychiatric, and



Fig. 2. Hyperpolarized ¹³C-MRI in two healthy volunteers (A and B) demonstrating metabolite distribution within the healthy human brain following injection of hyperpolarized ¹³C-pyruvate. Adapted with permission from Grist *et al.* [86].

neuro-oncological conditions by probing cerebral metabolism [80]. The most commonly used compound in clinical studies to date has been $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvate, which informs upon both oxidative and glycolytic metabolism. The hallmark of oxidative metabolism is the formation of CO₂ by pyruvate dehydrogenase, which exchanges with bicarbonate. Tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle metabolism in mitochondria is an efficient process for ATP generation, whilst glycolytic metabolism is less energetically efficient and results in the formation of lactate through the action of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH).

The process of hyperpolarization involves the mixing of a 13 C-labelled metabolic substrate of interest with a source of free electrons known as a radical. The sample is then stored inside a sterile unit known as a 'fluid path' and placed inside a magnetic field (commonly 5 T for clinical applications) in a bath of liquid helium at approximately 0.8 K while undergoing irradiation with a microwave source. These conditions increase the available signal from the molecule in the order of > 10,000 fold [81]. To make use of this transient increase in signal, a bolus of super-heated water is used to dissolve the molecule-radical mix, which is then filtered to remove the radical before neutralization and cooling. The final product is then checked against quality control parameters, notably the pH of the mixture and the concentration of the molecule of interest in solution, and subsequently rapidly released into the participant within the clinical MRI scanner [82]. Owing to the difference in chemical shift between the injected substrate and its subsequent

downstream metabolites, either slice localized spectroscopy or imaging are commonly performed. Post-processing of data commonly relies either upon ratiometric (for example the lactate-to-pyruvate ratio) or model-based approaches to derive the apparent forward rate constant for the enzyme LDH (k_{PL})[83–85].

Hyperpolarized ¹³C MRI has been undertaken in the healthy brain and in small studies of patients with brain tumors. Initial results have demonstrated the feasibility of imaging both glycolytic and oxidative metabolism within the healthy brain [86,87], detecting lactate and bicarbonate formation within the parenchyma (Fig. 2). The spatial variation of lactate formation across the healthy brain is well preserved across individuals and could be used to detect dysregulated metabolism in cerebral pathology [88]. Results from initial neuro-oncological studies have demonstrated lactate formation within both metastases and HGGs [89,90]. There have been a number of preclinical studies showing the potential for hyperpolarized MRI to demonstrate early response of brain diseases to therapeutic intervention [91–95] with preliminary evidence of an increase in the rate constants in patients treated with bevacizumab [96].

3.4. Chemical-exchange-dependent saturation transfer MRI (CEST MRI)



Chemical-exchange-dependent saturation transfer (CEST) is based on the proton exchange between bulk water and a target molecule,

Fig. 3. Proton and Amide Proton Transfer (APT)-weighted MR images of a patient with an IDH-wildtype, WHO grade-II diffuse astrocytoma. The tumor (red arrows) was heterogeneously hyperintense on the T_2 -weighted image, hypointense on the T_1 -weighted image, with no definite enhancement after contrast injection. On the APT-weighted image, the lesion showed scattered areas of hyperintensity. The yellow arrow indicates a cystic-appearing component. Adapted with permission from Jiang *et al.* [104].



Fig. 4. 13-year-old female with a diffuse anaplastic astrocytoma of the left basal ganglia. MRI performed 1 month after initiation of radiotherapy. (A) Axial FLAIR, (B) axial T_1 -weighted (T_1W) after injection of a gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA) and (C) axial fused [^{18}F]DOPA / T_1W post GBCA. FLAIR signal changes centered in the left thalamic region. The nodular bright spot represents post biopsy hemorrhage with no enhancement. [^{18}F]DOPA-PET shows intense uptake centered at the level of the thalamic region. Follow up MRI at 8 months: (D) axial FLAIR, (E) axial T_1W post GBCA and (F) axial fused [^{18}F]DOPA/ T_1W post GBCA. A similar FLAIR signal abnormality is seen with the expected evolution of the previous hemorrhagic changes without contrast enhancement. The [^{18}F]DOPA-PET demonstrated response at the original tumor site with new spread of disease along the lateral border (white arrow).

either of endogenous or exogenous origin [97]. Depending on which mobile protons are used to generate the signal, several techniques are possible with the most common being amide CEST (also known as amide proton transfer - APT), amine CEST and hydroxyl CEST [98]. In neuro-oncological imaging, APT and GlucoCEST, a type of hydroxyl CEST, have been the most widely investigated [99–108].

APT derives its signal from cytosolic proteins abundant in cancer cells, therefore components of gliomas show higher values than peritumoral edema or necrosis [99,100]. Similarly, APT can be used to differentiate radiation necrosis and tumor progression [101] and as an early biomarker for tumor proliferation [102,103]. Recent evidence suggests that APT could potentially differentiate IDH-wildtype gliomas (Fig. 3)[104] and detect tumor methylation status [105].

GlucoCEST is based on exogenously injected D-glucose to generate the CEST effect [106]. Preclinical models studied at ultra-high field strength have demonstrated the potential of the technique to assess tumor blood volume and blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability [106,107]. Recently, Xu *et al.* proposed a novel method to acquire GlucoCEST at clinical field strength [108] which showed a discrepancy between the glucose enhancement and the enhancement after GBCA, suggesting that it measures tissue metabolism in addition to BBB permeability. Further optimization of the procedure is required, including the ideal mode of D-glucose injection [108], but potentially the technique offers a novel method to study tumor metabolism.

4. Developments in positron emission tomography (PET) for brain tumor imaging

PET imaging may play a role in addressing several unmet clinical needs. Owing to the heterogeneous nature of brain tumors, imageguided biopsies based on morphological features may not accurately target the tumor, or precisely sample the most biologically aggressive tumor regions [109–111]. PET can provide an *in vivo* metabolic tumor map to guide tissue collection from the most metabolically active tumor area, allowing improved grading compared to sampling based on morphological or functional information [111,112]. Guiding biopsy or treatment using metabolic changes may identify patients with a more aggressive histological or molecular tumor profile, or a higher risk of recurrence and worse outcome, who may benefit from tailored treatments and stricter imaging follow-up. Pirotte et al. demonstrated the superiority of L-[methyl-¹¹C]-methionine ([¹¹C]MET) over [¹⁸F]FDG in guiding tissue sampling [113]. However, the half-life of ¹¹C is approximately 20 min, thus limiting its application to facilities with a cyclotron on site [114].

[¹⁸F]FLT is a marker of DNA synthesis and consequently, cellular proliferation. Interestingly, the volume of tumor assessed using [¹⁸F]FLT is similar to that measured using [¹¹C]MET suggesting the possibility of using this tracer for lesion delineation [115]. Suchorska *et al.* demonstrated that a smaller biological tumor volume (BTV) delineated by

Table 1

Some of the main PET radiotracers currently in use in neuro-oncological routine imaging (indicated with *) or with potential utility in the future. FDA approved tracers are currently in use with specific indication for brain tumor imaging. Non-FDA approved tracers are still being investigated with mounting evidence for their future use.

Radiotracer	Biological target	FDA status	EMA status
[¹⁸ F]FDG*	Glucose metabolism	Approved	Approved
[¹¹ C]acetate	Oxidative metabolism	Not approved	Not approved
[¹⁸ F]F-DOPA*	Amino acid transport	Orphan Drug Designation	Approved
[¹¹ C]MET*	Protein metabolism and amino acid transport	Not approved	Not approved
[¹⁸ F]FET	Amino acid transport	Orphan Drug Designation	Not approved
[¹⁸ F]FMISO	Tumor hypoxia	Not approved	Not approved
[¹⁸ Ga]FAPI	Marker of cancer-associated fibroblasts	Not approved	Not approved

means of O-(2-[¹⁸F]-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine ([¹⁸F]FET) PET, correlates with improved progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS), suggesting that maximal PET guided-tumor resection may be beneficial [116].

6-[¹⁸F]fluoro-L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine ([¹⁸F]DOPA, Fig. 4) is another promising radiotracer in neuro-oncology [117]. [¹⁸F]DOPA-PET and MRS were compared by Morana *et al.* in 27 patients with infiltrative gliomas showing similar accuracy in differentiating gliomas from non-neoplastic lesions (accuracy of 78% for PET vs. 93% for MRS) [118]. More recently, Fraioli *et al.* compared [¹⁸F]DOPA-PET images against cross-sectional MRI in 40 patients with brain tumors investigated using hybrid PET/MRI imaging, and concluded that the combined PET/MRI approach, including use of conventional ¹H sequences and contrast-enhanced perfusion-weighted imaging, improved overall tumor detection post-treatment [119].

PET imaging may also be advantageous for the early evaluation of treatment response and for the discrimination of tumor recurrence, pseudoprogression and radionecrosis [12,120,121]. Although an overall good performance has been described for the assessment of recurrence using [¹⁸F]FDG-PET/CT in patients with gliomas [122], a relatively high rate of false negative results has been reported in LGGs [123]. Amino acid tracers in this setting appear more effective, reaching a sensitivity of 88% (95% CI: 85–91%) and a specificity of 85% (95% CI: 80–89%), according to a recent *meta*-analysis of 23 studies that included a total of 889 patients [124].

Radiotherapy planning may also benefit from the routine use of metabolic PET imaging to delineate PET-adapted treatment volumes reflecting metabolic activity, and to perform dose escalation [15,111]. In a series of 26 patients followed-up for 15 months after radiotherapy, [¹¹C]MET-PET identified areas of high risk of recurrence, suggesting the utility of incorporating this tracer into standard radiotherapy planning [125]. The evaluation of hypoxia in HGGs is important to minimize resistance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy within hypoxic tumor regions. The main hypoxic radiotracer used to study brain tumors is [¹⁸F]fluoromisonidazole ([¹⁸F]FMISO). Toyonaga *et al.* showed hypoxic glucose metabolism to be a clinically significant prognostic factor in 32 patients with GBM using [¹⁸F]FMISO, and [¹⁸F]FDG [126]. Second-generation hypoxic radiotracers with improved pharmacodynamics have been developed with the aim to improve tumor-to-background tissue localization and faster clearance from normal tissue.

Recently, the fibroblast activation protein (FAP) expressed on cancer-associated fibroblasts has emerged as a novel target for PET imaging [127]. ⁶⁸Ga-labeled inhibitors of FAP, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI, have been evaluated in patients with GBM demonstrating tumor volumes that differed from those obtained using T_1W MRI, suggesting potential additional information for targeting biopsy or radiotherapy planning [128]. Interestingly, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI was found to be positive in IDH-wildtype GBMs and grade III/IV IDH-mutant gliomas, but not in IDH-mutant grade II gliomas [129]. A list of the main PET radiotracers currently used or under development for imaging brain tumors is found in Table 1.

5. Theranostics

Brain tumors constitute a major therapeutic challenge [3] as surgery,

radiotherapy and chemotherapy have well recognized limitations and new therapeutic approaches are required. Theranostics is a broad concept referring to the use of a diagnostic agent or method to guide a therapeutic intervention, mostly relevant to the field of cancer. Radionuclide based methods are well suited for this approach because radiolabeled targeting agents can both visualize and characterize biochemical properties of tumors, while informing on the possibility of specifically delivering therapeutic radiation to the target volume sparing non-target tissues. This general concept has been applied since the 1950s when sodium iodide (¹³¹I) was first used to image and treat advanced differentiated thyroid cancers [130]. Over the years a number of cancerspecific, highly expressed targets have emerged with clinical approval for use in neuroendocrine tumors [131] and hematological malignancies [132].

Several biological and molecular targets are currently under investigation for potential theranostic applications in brain tumors as combination treatments intended to provide a local radiation boost for supplementary therapeutic benefit. These targets cover the spectrum of tumor biology: metabolism, proteins or receptors overexpressed on the surface of glioma cells, markers expressed on neovasculature, proteins within the extracellular matrix, and cells within the tumor microenvironment. Consequently, a wide range of targeting agents are being investigated such as peptides and small molecules, antibodies and antibody fragments, and metabolic substrates. Imaging with these agents has largely been undertaken using PET. The therapeutic counterparts for these drugs are generally the same or very similar compounds labelled with beta-emitting, and recently alpha-emitting, radionuclides that provide a high linear energy transfer (LET) and localized absorbed dose necessary for therapeutic efficacy.

Traditionally theranostic agents are delivered through intravenous injection, and tumor targeting is based on the biological properties of the radiolabeled agent and its ability to concentrate in the tumor due to the expression of the molecular target. Targeting gliomas offers additional challenges due to the poor diffusion of molecules from the systemic circulation into the tumor. Concurrent administration of drugs to increase BBB permeability has been attempted with limited success [133]. For targeted radionuclide therapy, there are several examples where the theranostic agent has been administered directly into the tumor or into an existing surgical cavity [134]. In convection enhanced delivery, hydraulic pressure provided by a pumping device attached to a catheter introduced into the tumor or surgical cavity is used to improve diffusion within the tumor [135]. The aim of these strategies is to obtain higher concentrations of the agent within the tumor which should ultimately result in improved binding to the molecular target, longer retention in or around tumor cells, increased local absorbed dose, and lower systemic toxicity. Imaging of the distribution of the agent can be used to monitor distribution of radioactivity within the tumor and to estimate the tumor absorbed dose, which could potentially be modulated on a patient-bypatient basis.

5.1. Antibody based approaches

Monoclonal antibodies have traditionally been used as vehicles to deliver targeted radionuclide therapy. Tenascin, an extracellular matrix



Fig. 5. Axial (A), sagittal (B) and coronal (C) PET/CT images obtained after local co-injection of 10 MBq ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA labelled Substance P (SP) with a therapeutic dose of ²²⁵Ac-DOTAGA-SP into the resection cavity of a GBM, demonstrating that the activity is concentrated within the lesion. Adapted with permission from: L. Królicki *et al.* [156]

protein expressed on multiple cancer types, is the most investigated radioimmunotherapy target for gliomas. In the early 1990s locally administered ¹³¹I-labelled murine monoclonal antibodies against tenascin were used in a small series of patients with newly diagnosed and recurrent glioma showing 40% overall response rates [136]. The same target was also investigated in several early phase clinical studies in the 2000s using a chimeric antibody (81C6) against tenascin labelled with ¹³¹I [137,138] or the alpha emitter ²¹¹At [139]. This approach showed promising results and orphan drug designation for [1311]-81C6 was obtained in the United states in 2006, no additional steps toward approval have occurred since then. An ¹²⁵I-labelled murine antibody against the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) known as mAb 425 has been used for adjuvant treatment of gliomas through multiple intravenous injections, either alone or in combination with temozolomide [140]. This phase II study involved nearly 200 patients over 20 years and showed a survival benefit of several months in the combination arm with very limited side effects. This is one of the rare examples of successful use of a poorly penetrating Auger electron emitter such as $^{125}\mathrm{I}$ for targeted therapy and is attributed to internalization of the labeled antibody/receptor complex after binding. An additional target addressed by radioimmunotherapy with convection enhanced delivery in an early phase clinical trial is DNA histone H1 complex [141].

Alternative immune based targeting strategies have been investigated mostly aimed at developing lower molecular weight agents that would display more favorable pharmacokinetics and diffusion. A derivative of a monoclonal antibody against the extra domain B of fibronectin (L19), a marker of tumor neoangiogenesis, has been engineered to an 80 kDa small immunoprotein (L19-SIP). Early phase clinical studies in patients with brain metastases using a systemically administered ¹²⁴I-labeled derivative for PET imaging and dosimetry have been carried out to guide radioimmunotherapy with an ¹³¹I-labeled counterpart [142]. Along these general lines, a class of very low molecular weight antibody derivatives known as affibodies (~6 kDa) show rapid circulation times, high stability and high target affinity. Preliminary proof of concept of this approach in targeting vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) has been obtained in an animal model of glioma [143].

5.2. Peptides and small molecules

Lower molecular weight radiopharmaceuticals such as peptide-based agents (1-2 kDa) or small molecules binding to specific cell surface receptors or other proteins are proving to be very successful in theranostic applications in solid tumors outside the CNS. Most notable is the theranostic application of somatostatin analogs in neuroendocrine tumors, which has now been applied for well over two decades and is

clinically approved [144]. These classes of ligands show better diffusion and may achieve higher concentrations in the target tissue when administered systemically compared to higher molecular weight compounds. There is histological evidence of expression of somatostatin receptors in gliomas [145] and very high levels of expression have been demonstrated in grade 2 gliomas [146]. The potential for this approach has not been fully explored in clinical studies. There is poor correlation between histologically determined somatostatin receptor expression in gliomas and uptake of [⁶⁸Ga] somatostatin on PET imaging [145]. This again indicates that diffusion and BBB permeability issues may be impairing access to the target. However, findings from a small case series suggest that local injection of the therapeutic [⁹⁰Y]DOTA-TOC can provide lasting responses in progressive recurrent gliomas [147].

The prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is highly expressed on neovasculature of various tumors including gliomas [148]. Preliminary evidence has shown a high target-to-background uptake ratio in PET imaging of gliomas [149] and higher uptake in HGGs compared to LGGs [150]. There is anecdotal evidence that this approach may be relevant to treating gliomas [151] but dedicated clinical therapeutic trials have not been conducted.

Intracavitary injection of radiolabeled substance P, a small peptide that binds the neurokinin-1 receptor which is highly expressed in gliomas and other cancers [152], has been evaluated in small case series. This peptide coupled to the chelator DOTAGA (DOTAGA-SP) was initially labeled with ¹¹¹In for imaging and ⁹⁰Y for therapy and applied in 12 patients in a dosimetry study [153]. Expansion of this series reported on results of therapy in 17 patients [154]. More recently the same approach has been utilized for therapy with the alpha emitters ²¹³Bi [155] and ²²⁵Ac [156](Fig. 5), which have been monitored using PET imaging by co-injecting ⁶⁸Ga labeled peptide. These approaches, while safe and well tolerated, require validation in terms of efficacy.

5.3. Metabolism

Very low molecular weight metabolic substrates are rapidly diffusible and theranostic applications have been considered. While imaging applications have been relatively straightforward through standard PET labeling procedures, application of these drugs for therapy is quite challenging as there are limited possibilities for labeling these compounds with therapeutic radioisotopes without altering their biological properties. One of the few theranostic approaches attempted in the clinic is the use of iodinated phenyl alanine (IPA). [¹²³I]IPA has been used to image gliomas [157] and [¹³¹I]IPA has been used in combination with external beam radiotherapy in glioma patients in a small case series [158]. A phase 1–2 study addressing this approach is currently recruiting (clinicaltrials.gov, NCT03849105).

6. Conclusion

Molecular imaging has been evolving rapidly over the past two decades and will have a significant role in improving our understanding of brain tumor biology and metabolism, aid tumor stratification, and may foster the discovery of new treatments [3]. The growing availability of hybrid PET/MRI systems and the possibility of obtaining multinuclear imaging opens up the possibility of using multimodal imaging to provide a wealth of information in individual patients [159]. Advances in imaging will pave the way for better outcomes from personalized care and identification of new targets. In parallel, there are extensive research efforts in expanding theranostic applications through development of new ligands, novel approaches for drug delivery and the application of more effective radionuclides such as alpha emitters. Future neuroradiological practice will be based on the integration of a multitude of diagnostic tools but will also have an increasing role on brain tumor treatments moving towards less invasive and more targeted approaches.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The researchers are supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014) and Cancer Research UK (CRUK). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

References

- A.F. Tamimi, M. Juweid, Epidemiology and Outcome of Glioblastoma, in: Glioblastoma, 2017, pp. 143–153. https://doi.org/10.15586/codon.glioblastoma .2017.ch8.
- [2] CRUK, Brain, other CNS and intracranial tumours incidence statistics | Cancer Research UK, 2019. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professio nal/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/brain-oth er-cns-and-intracranial-tumours/incidence#collapseTen (accessed March 24, 2019).
- [3] K. Aldape, K.M. Brindle, L. Chesler, R. Chopra, A. Gajjar, M.R. Gilbert, N. Gottardo, D.H. Gutmann, D. Hargrave, E.C. Holland, D.T.W. Jones, J.A. Joyce, P. Kearns, M.W. Kieran, I.K. Mellinghoff, M. Merchant, S.M. Pfister, S.M. Pollard, V. Ramaswamy, J.N. Rich, G.W. Robinson, D.H. Rowitch, J.H. Sampson, M. D. Taylor, P. Workman, R.J. Gilbertson, Challenges to curing primary brain tumours, Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 16 (8) (2019) 509–520, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41571-019-0177-5.
- [4] J. Bi, S. Chowdhry, S. Wu, W. Zhang, K. Masui, P.S. Mischel, Altered cellular metabolism in gliomas—an emerging landscape of actionable co-dependency targets, Nat. Rev. Cancer 20 (1) (2020) 57–70.
- [5] M. Bélanger, I. Allaman, P. Magistretti, Brain energy metabolism: focus on astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation, Cell Metab. 14 (6) (2011) 724–738, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.08.016.
- [6] K.A. Kaphingst, S. Persky, C. Lachance, Brain: normal variations and benign findings in FDG PET/CT imaging, PET Clin. 14 (2010) 384–399, https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10810730902873927.Testing.
- [7] M. Lai, I. Vassallo, B. Lanz, C. Poirry-Yamate, M.-F. Hamou, C. Cudalbu, R. Gruetter, M.E. Hegi, In vivo characterization of brain metabolism by 1H MRS, 13C MRS and 18FDG PET reveals significant glucose oxidation of invasively growing glioma cells, Int. J. Cancer 143 (1) (2018) 127–138, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ijc.v143.110.1002/ijc.31299.
- [8] A.A. MAUDSLEY, S.K. HILAL, Biological aspects of Sodium-23 imaging, Br. Med. Bull. 40 (2) (1984) 165–166.
- [9] G. Madelin, R.R. Regatte, Biomedical applications of sodium MRI in vivo, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 38 (3) (2013) 511–529, https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri. v38.310.1002/jmri.24168.
- [10] F.A. Gallagher, M.I. Kettunen, S.E. Day, D.-E. Hu, J.H. Ardenkjær-Larsen, R. i.'t. Zandt, P.R. Jensen, M. Karlsson, K. Golman, M.H. Lerche, K.M. Brindle, Magnetic resonance imaging of pH in vivo using hyperpolarized ¹³C-labelled bicarbonate, Nature 453 (7197) (2008) 940–943, https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature07017.
- [11] F.a. Gallagher, M.I. Kettunen, K.M. Brindle, Imaging pH with hyperpolarized ¹³C, NMR Biomed. 24 (2011) 1006–1015, https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.1742.
- [12] N. Quartuccio, R. Laudicella, A. Vento, S. Pignata, M.V. Mattoli, R. Filice, A. D. Comis, A. Arnone, S. Baldari, M. Cabria, A. Cistaro, The additional value of

18F-FDG PET and MRI in patients with glioma: a review of the literature from 2015 to 2020, Diagnostics 10 (2020) 357, https://doi.org/10.3390/ diagnostics10060357.

- [13] Karl Herholz, Brain tumors: an update on clinical PET research in gliomas, Semin. Nucl. Med. 47 (1) (2017) 5–17, https://doi.org/10.1053/j. semnuclmed.2016.09.004.
- [14] Pierpaolo Alongi, Natale Quartuccio, Annachiara Arnone, Aurora Kokomani, Michela Allocca, Anna Giulia Nappi, Giulia Santo, Cristina Mantarro, Riccardo Laudicella, Brain PET/CT using prostate cancer radiopharmaceutical agents in the evaluation of gliomas, Clin. Transl. Imag. 8 (6) (2020) 433–448, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40336-020-00389-7.
- [15] Nathalie L. Albert, Michael Weller, Bogdana Suchorska, Norbert Galldiks, Riccardo Soffietti, Michelle M. Kim, Christian la Fougère, Whitney Pope, Ian Law, Javier Arbizu, Marc C. Chamberlain, Michael Vogelbaum, Ben M. Ellingson, Joerg C. Tonn, Response assessment in neuro-oncology working group and European association for neuro-oncology recommendations for the clinical use of PET imaging in gliomas, Neuro. Oncol. 18 (9) (2016) 1199–1208, https://doi.org/ 10.1093/neuonc/now058.
- [16] H. Ohgaki, Epidemiology of Brain Tumors, Humana Press, 2009. https://doi.org /10.1007/978-1-60327-492-0_14.
- [17] NICE, Brain cancers overview, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/.
- [18] Sayed Samed Talibi, Sayed Samie Talibi, Bashaar Aweid, Osama Aweid, Prospective therapies for high-grade glial tumours: a literature review, Ann. Med. Surg. 3 (3) (2014) 55–59, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2014.04.003.
- [19] Nader Sanai, Emerging operative strategies in neurosurgical oncology, Curr. Opin. Neurol. 25 (6) (2012) 756–766, https://doi.org/10.1097/ WCO.0b013e32835a2574.
- [20] J.E. Villanueva-Meyer, M.C. Mabray, S. Cha, Current clinical brain tumor imaging, Clin. Neurosurg. 81 (2017) 397–415, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/ nyx103.
- [21] K.M. Brindle, J.L. Izquierdo-García, D.Y. Lewis, R.J. Mair, A.J. Wright, Brain tumor imaging, J. Nucl. Med. 35 (2017) 2432–2438, https://doi.org/10.2967/ jnumed.116.186957.
- [22] S.C. Thust, S. Heiland, A. Falini, H.R. Jäger, A.D. Waldman, P.C. Sundgren, C. Godi, V.K. Katsaros, A. Ramos, N. Bargallo, M.W. Vernooij, T. Yousry, M. Bendszus, M. Smits, Glioma imaging in Europe: a survey of 220 centres and recommendations for best clinical practice, Eur. Radiol. 28 (8) (2018) 3306–3317, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-018-5314-5.
- [23] K. Kono, Y. Inoue, K. Nakayama, M. Shakudo, M. Morino, K. Ohata, K. Wakasa, R. Yamada, The role of diffusion-weighted imaging in patients with brain tumors, AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 22 (2001) 1081–1088. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11415902.
- [24] Eun Ja Lee, Karel terBrugge, David Mikulis, Dae Seob Choi, Jong Myon Bae, Seon Kyu Lee, Soon Young Moon, Diagnostic value of peritumoral minimum Apparent Diffusion Coefficient for differentiation of Glioblastoma Multiforme from solitary metastatic lesions, Am. J. Roentgenol. 196 (1) (2011) 71–76, https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.4752.
- [25] Joonmi Oh, Soonmee Cha, Ashley H. Aiken, Eric T. Han, Jason C. Crane, Jeffrey A. Stainsby, Graham A. Wright, William P. Dillon, Sarah J. Nelson, Quantitative apparent diffusion coefficients and T2 relaxation times in characterizing contrast enhancing brain tumors and regions of peritumoral edema, J. Magn. Reson. Imag. 21 (6) (2005) 701–708, https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1522-258610.1002/jmri. v21:610.1002/jmri.20335.
- [26] A. Server, B. Kulle, J. Mæhlen, R. Josefsen, T. Schellhorn, T. Kumar, C. W. Langberg, P.H. Nakstad, Quantitative Apparent Diffusion Coefficients in the characterization of brain tumors and associated peritumoral edema, Acta Radiol. 50 (6) (2009) 682–689, https://doi.org/10.1080/02841850902933123.
- [27] A. Hilario, J.M. Sepulveda, A. Perez-Nunez, E. Salvador, J.M. Millan, A. Hernandez-Lain, V. Rodriguez-Gonzalez, A. Lagares, A. Ramos, A prognostic model based on preoperative MRI predicts overall survival in patients with diffuse gliomas, Am. J. Neuroradiol. 35 (6) (2014) 1096–1102, https://doi.org/10.3174/ ajnr.A3837.
- [28] Sofie Van Cauter, Jelle Veraart, Jan Sijbers, Ronald R. Peeters, Uwe Himmelreich, Frederik De Keyzer, Stefaan W. Van Gool, Frank Van Calenbergh, Steven De Vleeschouwer, Wim Van Hecke, Stefan Sunaert, Gliomas: diffusion kurtosis MR Imaging in Grading, Radiology 263 (2) (2012) 492–501, https://doi.org/ 10.1148/radiol.12110927.
- [29] S. Van Cauter, F. De Keyzer, D.M. Sima, A.C. Sava, F. D'Arco, J. Veraart, R. R. Peeters, A. Leemans, S. Van Gool, G. Wilms, P. Demaerel, S. Van Huffel, S. Sunaert, U. Himmelreich, A. Croitor Sava, F. D'Arco, J. Veraart, R.R. Peeters, A. Leemans, S. Van Gool, G. Wilms, P. Demaerel, S. Van Huffel, S. Sunaert, U. Himmelreich, Integrating diffusion kurtosis imaging, dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced MRI, and short echo time chemical shift imaging for grading gliomas, Neuro. Oncol. 16 (2014) 1010–1021, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/not304.
- [30] W. Stummer, S. Stocker, A. Novotny, A. Heimann, O. Sauer, O. Kempski, N. Plesnila, J. Wietzorrek, H.J. Reulen, In vitro and in vivo porphyrin accumulation by C6 glioma cells after exposure to 5-aminolevulinic acid, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B 45 (1998) 160–169. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/9868806.
- [31] Walter Stummer, Uwe Pichlmeier, Thomas Meinel, Otmar Dieter Wiestler, Friedhelm Zanella, Hans-Jürgen Reulen, Fluorescence-guided surgery with 5aminolevulinic acid for resection of malignant glioma: a randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial, Lancet Oncol. 7 (5) (2006) 392–401, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70665-9.

- [32] D.G. Barone, T.a. Lawrie, M.G. Hart, Image guided surgery for the resection of brain tumours, Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 1 (2014) CD009685, https://doi. org/10.1002/14651858.CD009685.pub2.
- [33] J.C. Tonn, W. Stummer, Fluorescence-guided resection of malignant gliomas using 5-aminolevulinic acid: practical use, risks, and pitfalls, Clin. Neurosurg. 55 (2008) 20–26, https://doi.org/10.1117/12.652069.
- [34] W. Stummer, J.C. Tonn, C. Goetz, W. Ullrich, H. Stepp, A. Bink, T. Pietsch, U. Pichlmeier, 5-Aminolevulinic acid-derived tumor fluorescence: the diagnostic accuracy of visible fluorescence qualities as corroborated by spectrometry and histology and postoperative imaging, Neurosurgery 74 (2014) 310–319, https:// doi.org/10.1227/NEU.00000000000267.
- [35] Ethan B. Ludmir, Anita Mahajan, Verity Ahern, Thankamma Ajithkumar, Claire Alapetite, Valérie Bernier-Chastagner, Ranjit S. Bindra, Andrew J. Bishop, Stephanie Bolle, Paul D. Brown, Christian Carrie, Anthony J. Chalmers, Eric L. Chang, Caroline Chung, Karin Dieckmann, Natia Esiashvili, Lorenza Gandola, Amol J. Ghia, Vinai Gondi, David R. Grosshans, Semi B. Harrabi, Gail Horan, Danny J. Indelicato, Rakesh Jalali, Geert O. Janssens, Mechthild Krause, Nadia N. Laack, Normand Laperriere, Anne Laprie, Jing Li, Karen J. Marcus, Susan L. McGovern, Thomas E. Merchant, Kenneth W. Merrell, Laetitia Padovani, Jeannette Parkes, Arnold C. Paulino, Rudolf Schwarz, Helen A. Shih, Luis Souhami, Erik P. Sulman, Roger E. Taylor, Nicola Thorp, Beate Timmermann, Greg Wheeler, Suzanne L. Wolden, Kristina D. Woodhouse, Debra N. Yeboa, Torunn I. Yock, Rolf-Dieter Kortmann, Mary Frances McAleer, Assembling the brain trust: the multidisciplinary imperative in neuro-oncology, Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 16 (8) (2019) 521–522, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0235-z.
- [36] Alvin R. Cabrera, John P. Kirkpatrick, John B. Fiveash, Helen A. Shih, Eugene J. Koay, Stephen Lutz, Joshua Petit, Samuel T. Chao, Paul D. Brown, Michael Vogelbaum, David A. Reardon, Arnab Chakravarti, Patrick Y. Wen, Eric Chang, Radiation therapy for glioblastoma: executive summary of an American Society for Radiation Oncology Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline, Pract. Radiat. Oncol. 6 (4) (2016) 217–225, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2016.03.007.
- [37] N.G. Burnet, R. Jena, K.E. Burton, G.S.J. Tudor, J.E. Scaife, F. Harris, S. J. Jefferies, Clinical and practical considerations for the use of intensity-modulated radiotherapy and image guidance in neuro-oncology, Clin. Oncol. 26 (7) (2014) 395–406, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2014.04.024.
 [38] P. Alongi, R. Laudicella, I. Desideri, A. Chiaravalloti, P. Borghetti, N. Quartuccio,
- [38] P. Alongi, R. Laudicella, I. Desideri, A. Chiaravalloti, P. Borghetti, N. Quartuccio, M. Fiore, L. Evangelista, L. Marino, F. Caobelli, C. Tuscano, P. Mapelli, V. Lancellotta, S. Annunziata, M. Ricci, E. Ciurlia, A. Fiorentino, Positron emission tomography with computed tomography imaging (PET/CT) for the radiotherapy planning definition of the biological target volume: PART 1, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 140 (2019) 74–79, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. critrevonc.2019.01.011.
- [39] D.N. Louis, A. Perry, G. Reifenberger, A. von Deimling, D. Figarella-Branger, W. K. Cavenee, H. Ohgaki, O.D. Wiestler, P. Kleihues, D.W. Ellison, The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary, Acta Neuropathol. 131 (2016) (2016) 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1.
- [40] Changho Choi, Sandeep K. Ganji, Ralph J. DeBerardinis, Kimmo J. Hatanpaa, Dinesh Rakheja, Zoltan Kovacs, Xiao-Li Yang, Tomoyuki Mashimo, Jack M. Raisanen, Isaac Marin-Valencia, Juan M. Pascual, Christopher J. Madden, Bruce E. Mickey, Craig R. Malloy, Robert M. Bachoo, Elizabeth A. Maher, 2-Hydroxyglutarate detection by magnetic resonance spectroscopy in IDH-mutated patients with gliomas, Nat. Med. 18 (4) (2012) 624–629, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nm.2682.
- [41] Whitney B. Pope, Robert M. Prins, M. Albert Thomas, Rajakumar Nagarajan, Katharine E. Yen, Mark A. Bittinger, Noriko Salamon, Arthur P. Chou, William H. Yong, Horacio Soto, Neil Wilson, Edward Driggers, Hyun G. Jang, Shinsan M. Su, David P. Schenkein, Albert Lai, Timothy F. Cloughesy, Harley I. Kornblum, Hong Wu, Valeria R. Fantin, Linda M. Liau, Non-invasive detection of 2-hydroxyglutarate and other metabolites in IDH1 mutant glioma patients using magnetic resonance spectroscopy, J. Neurooncol. 107 (1) (2012) 197–205, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-011-0737-8.
- [42] O.C. Andronesi, G.S. Kim, E. Gerstner, T. Batchelor, A.A. Tzika, V.R. Fantin, M. G. Vander Heiden, A.G. Sorensen, Detection of 2-hydroxyglutarate in IDH-mutated glioma patients by in vivo spectral-editing and 2D correlation magnetic resonance spectroscopy, Sci. Transl. Med. 4 (116) (2012) 116ra4, https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002693.
- [43] Patrick Y. Wen, David R. Macdonald, David A. Reardon, Timothy F. Cloughesy, A. Gregory Sorensen, Evanthia Galanis, John DeGroot, Wolfgang Wick, Mark R. Gilbert, Andrew B. Lassman, Christina Tsien, Tom Mikkelsen, Eric T. Wong, Marc C. Chamberlain, Roger Stupp, Kathleen R. Lamborn, Michael A. Vogelbaum, Martin J. van den Bent, Susan M. Chang, Updated response assessment criteria for high-grade gliomas: Response assessment in neuro-oncology working group, J. Clin. Oncol. 28 (11) (2010) 1963–1972, https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.26.3541.
- [44] R.Y. Huang, M.R. Neagu, D.A. Reardon, P.Y. Wen, Pitfalls in the neuroimaging of glioblastoma in the era of antiangiogenic and immuno/targeted therapy – detecting illusive disease, defining response, Front. Neurol. 6 (2015) 33, https:// doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2015.00033.
- [45] Hui Zhang, Li Ma, Qun Wang, Xuan Zheng, Chen Wu, Bai-nan Xu, Role of magnetic resonance spectroscopy for the differentiation of recurrent glioma from radiation necrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Eur. J. Radiol. 83 (12) (2014) 2181–2189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2014.09.018.

- [46] Wenzhi Wang, Yumin Hu, Peiou Lu, Yingci Li, Yunfu Chen, Mohan Tian, Lijuan Yu, Daniel Monleon, Evaluation of the diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance spectroscopy in brain tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis, PLoS ONE 9 (11) (2014) e112577, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0112577.
- [47] Paul Gerald Mullins, Hongji Chen, Jing Xu, Arvind Caprihan, Charles Gasparovic, Comparative reliability of proton spectroscopy techniques designed to improve detection of J-coupled metabolites, Magn. Reson. Med. 60 (4) (2008) 964–969, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.v60:410.1002/mrm.21696.
- [48] W. Bogner, G. Hangel, M. Esmaeili, O.C. Andronesi, 1D-spectral editing and 2D multispectral in vivo1H-MRS and1H-MRSI - Methods and applications, Anal. Biochem. 529 (2017) 48–64, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2016.12.020.
- [49] J. Near, A.D. Harris, C. Juchem, G. Öz, J. Slotboom, R. Kreis, Preprocessing, analysis and quantification in single-voxel magnetic resonance spectroscopy : experts ' consensus recommendations, NMR Biomed. (2020) 1–23, https://doi. org/10.1002/nbm.4257.
- [50] K. Hirakawa, S. Naruse, T. Higuchi, Y. Horikawa, C. Tanaka, T. Ebisu, The investigation of experimental brain tumours using 31P-MRS and 1H-MRI, Acta Neurochir. Suppl. (Wien) 43 (1988) 140–144, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-8978-8_30.
- [51] D.L. Arnold, J.F. Emrich, E.A. Shoubridge, J.G. Villemure, W. Feindel, Characterization of astrocytomas, meningiomas, and pituitary adenomas by phosphorus magnetic resonance spectroscopy, J. Neurosurg. 74 (1991) 447–453, https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1991.74.3.0447.
- [52] A. Coste, S. Romanzetti, D. Le Bihan, C. Rabrait-Lerman, F. Boumezbeur, In vivo 31P MRI at 7 Tesla in humans using a 3D spectrally selective SSFP sequence and TPI k-space sampling, Proc. Int. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. (2018) 3883.
- [53] Andreas Korzowski, Nina Weinfurtner, Sebastian Mueller, Johannes Breitling, Steffen Goerke, Heinz-Peter Schlemmer, Mark E. Ladd, Daniel Paech, Peter Bachert, Volumetric mapping of intra- and extracellular pH in the human brain using 31P MRSI at 7T, Magn. Reson. Med. 84 (4) (2020) 1707–1723, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.v84.410.1002/mrm.28255.
- [54] A.D. Hjelmeland, Q. Wu, J.M. Heddleston, G.S. Choudhary, J. MacSwords, J. D. Lathia, R. McLendon, D. Lindner, A. Sloan, J.N. Rich, Acidic stress promotes a glioma stem cell phenotype, Cell Death Differ. 18 (5) (2011) 829–840, https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2010.150.
- [55] David Maintz, Walter Heindel, Harald Kugel, Richard Jaeger, Klaus J. Lackner, Phosphorus-31 MR spectroscopy of normal adult human brain and brain tumours, NMR Biomed. 15 (1) (2002) 18–27, https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1099-149210.1002/nbm.v15:110.1002/nbm.735.
- [56] B. Hubesch, D. Sappey-Marinier, K. Roth, D.J. Meyerhoff, G.B. Matson, M. W. Weiner, P-31 MR spectroscopy of normal human brain and brain tumors, Radiology 174 (2) (1990) 401–409, https://doi.org/10.1148/ radiology.174.2.2296651.
- [57] D.H. Ha, S. Choi, J.Y. Oh, S.K. Yoon, M.J. Kang, K.U. Kim, Application of 31P MR spectroscopy to the brain tumors, Korean J. Radiol. 14 (2013) 477–486, https:// doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2013.14.3.477.
- [58] P. Halcrow, G. Datta, J.E. Ohm, M.L. Soliman, X. Chen, J.D. Geiger, Role of endolysosomes and pH in the pathogenesis and treatment of glioblastoma, Cancer Rep. 2 (2019) 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1177.
- [59] S.S. Jensen, S.A. Petterson, B. Halle, C. Aaberg-Jessen, B.W. Kristensen, Effects of the lysosomal destabilizing drug siramesine on glioblastoma in vitro and in vivo, BMC Cancer. 17 (2017) 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-017-3162-3.
- [60] D.A. Feinberg, L.A. Crooks, L. Kaufman, M. Brant-Zawadzki, J.P. Posin, M. Arakawa, J.C. Watts, J. Hoenninger, Magnetic resonance imaging performance: a comparison of sodium and hydrogen, Radiology 156 (1) (1985) 133–138, https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.156.1.4001399.
- [61] Stefan S. Winkler, David M. Thomasson, Katherine Sherwood, William H. Perman, Regional T2 and sodium concentration estimates in the normal human brain by sodium-23 MR imaging at 1.5 T, J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr. 13 (4) (1989) 561–566.
- [62] T. Hashimoto, H. Ikehira, H. Fukuda, A. Yamaura, O. Watanabe, Y. Tateno, R. Tanaka, H.E. Simon, In vivo sodium-23 MRI in brain tumors: Evaluation of preliminary clinical experience, Am. J. Physiol. Imaging. 6 (1991) 74–80 (accessed August 26, 2020). https://uphmed.ncbi.nlm.nlb.sov/1867865/
- (accessed August 26, 2020), https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1867865/.
 [63] G. Schuierer, R. Ladebeck, H. Barfuß, D. Hentschel, W.J. Huk, Sodium-23 imaging of supratentorial lesions at 4.0 T, Magn. Reson. Med. 22 (1) (1991) 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1522-259410.1002/mrm.v22:110.1002/mrm.1910220102.
- [64] Fernando E. Boada, James D. Christensen, Frank R. Huang-Hellinger, Timothy G. Reese, Keith R. Thulborn, Quantitative in vivo tissue sodium concentration maps: The effects of biexponential relaxation, Magn. Reson. Med. 32 (2) (1994) 219–223, https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1522-259410.1002/mrm.v32: 210.1002/mrm.1910320210.
- [65] James D. Christensen, Bertrand J. Barrère, Fernando E. Boada, J. Michael Vevea, Keith R. Thulborn, Quantitative tissue sodium concentration mapping of normal rat brain, Magn. Reson. Med. 36 (1) (1996) 83–89.
- [66] Fernando E. Boada, Joseph S. Gillen, Gary X. Shen, Sam Y Chang, Keith R. Thulborn, Fast three dimensional sodium imaging, Magn. Reson. Med. 37 (5) (1997) 706–715, https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1522-259410.1002/mrm.v37: 510.1002/mrm.1910370512.
- [67] F.E. Boada, G.X. Shen, S.Y. Chang, K.R. Thulborn, Spectrally weighted twisted projection imaging: reducing T2 signal attenuation effects in fast threedimensional sodium imaging, Magn. Reson. Med. 38 (1997) 1022–1028.
- [68] Frank Riemer, Damien McHugh, Fulvio Zaccagna, Daniel Lewis, Mary A. McLean, Martin J. Graves, Fiona J. Gilbert, Geoff J.M. Parker, Ferdia A. Gallagher,

Measuring tissue sodium concentration: cross-vendor repeatability and reproducibility of ²³ Na-MRI across two sites, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 50 (4) (2019) 1278–1284, https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.v50.410.1002/jmri.26705.

- [69] Theresa K. Leslie, Andrew D. James, Fulvio Zaccagna, James T. Grist, Surrin Deen, Aneurin Kennerley, Frank Riemer, Joshua D. Kaggie, Ferdia A. Gallagher, Fiona J. Gilbert, William J. Brackenbury, Sodium homeostasis in the tumour microenvironment, Biochim. Biophys. Acta – Rev. Cancer. 1872 (2) (2019) 188304, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2019.07.001.
- [70] Ronald Ouwerkerk, Karen B. Bleich, Joseph S. Gillen, Martin G. Pomper, Paul A. Bottomley, Tissue sodium concentration in human brain tumors as measured with 23 Na MR imaging, Radiology 227 (2) (2003) 529–537, https://doi.org/ 10.1148/radiol.2272020483.
- [71] Armin Michael Nagel, Michael Bock, Christian Hartmann, Lars Gerigk, Jan-Oliver Neumann, Marc-André Weber, Martin Bendszus, Alexander Radbruch, Wolfgang Wick, Heinz-Peter Schlemmer, Wolfhard Semmler, Armin Biller, The potential of relaxation-weighted sodium magnetic resonance imaging as demonstrated on brain tumors, Invest. Radiol. 46 (9) (2011) 539–547, https:// doi.org/10.1097/RLI.0b013e31821ae918.
- [72] L. Neder, B.O. Colli, H.R. Machado, C.G.C. Jr, A.C. Santos, L. Chimelli, MIB-1 labeling index in astrocytic tumors-a clinicopathologic study, Clin. Neuropathol. 23 (2004) 262–270.
- [73] Anne Linn Johannessen, Sverre Helge Torp, The clinical value of Ki-67/MIB-1 labeling index in human astrocytomas, Pathol. Oncol. Res. 12 (3) (2006) 143–147, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02893360.
- [74] L.P.N. Neto, G. Madelin, T.P. Sood, C. Wu, D. Kondziolka, D. Placantonakis, J. G. Golfinos, A. Chi, R. Jain, Quantitative sodium imaging and gliomas : a feasibility study, Neuroradiology 60 (2018) 795–802.
- [75] G. Madelin, J.S. Lee, R.R. Regatte, A. Jerschow, Sodium MRI: methods and applications, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 79 (2014) 14–47, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pnmrs.2014.02.001.
- [76] A. Biller, I. Pflugmann, S. Badde, R. Diem, B. Wildemann, A.M. Nagel, J. Jordan, N. Benkhedah, J. Kleesiek, Sodium MRI in multiple sclerosis is compatible with intracellular sodium accumulation and inflammation-induced hyper-cellularity of acute brain lesions, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 31269, https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep31269.
- [77] A. Biller, S. Badde, A. Nagel, J.-O. Neumann, W. Wick, A. Hertenstein, M. Bendszus, F. Sahm, N. Benkhedah, J. Kleesiek, Improved brain tumor classification by sodium MR imaging: prediction of IDH mutation status and tumor progression, Am. J. Neuroradiol. 37 (1) (2016) 66–73, https://doi.org/ 10.3174/ajnr.A4493.
- [78] Charles M. Laymon, Matthew J. Oborski, Vincent K. Lee, Denise K. Davis, Erik C. Wiener, Frank S. Lieberman, Fernando E. Boada, James M. Mountz, Combined imaging biomarkers for therapy evaluation in glioblastoma multiforme: Correlating sodium MRI and F-18 FLT PET on a voxel-wise basis, Magn. Reson. Imaging 30 (9) (2012) 1268–1278, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2012.05.011.
- [79] Keith R. Thulborn, Aiming Lu, Ian C. Atkinson, Mohan Pauliah, Kathryn Beal, Timothy A. Chan, Antonio Omuro, Josh Yamada, Michelle S. Bradbury, Residual tumor volume, cell volume fraction and tumor cell kill during fractionated chemoradiation therapy of human glioblastoma using quantitative sodium MR imaging, Clin. Cancer Res. 25 (4) (2019) 1226–1232, https://doi.org/10.1158/ 1078-0432. CCR-18-2079.
- [80] F. Zaccagna, J.T. Grist, S.S. Deen, R. Woitek, L.M. Lechermann, M.A. McLean, B. Basu, F.A. Gallagher, Hyperpolarized carbon-13 magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging: a clinical tool for studying tumour metabolism, Br. J. Radiol. 91 (2018) 20170688, https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20170688.
- [81] J.H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, B. Fridlund, A. Gram, G. Hansson, L. Hansson, M. H. Lerche, R. Servin, M. Thaning, K. Golman, Increase in signal-to-noise ratio of > 10,000 times in liquid-state NMR, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100 (2003) 10158–10163, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1733835100.
- [82] James T Grist, Jack J Miller, Fulvio Zaccagna, Mary A McLean, Frank Riemer, Tomasz Matys, Damian J Tyler, Christoffer Laustsen, Alasdair J Coles, Ferdia A Gallagher, Hyperpolarized ¹³C MRI: a novel approach for probing cerebral metabolism in health and neurological disease, J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 40 (6) (2020) 1137–1147, https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X20909045.
- [83] Charlie J. Daniels, Mary A. McLean, Rolf F. Schulte, Fraser J. Robb, Andrew B. Gill, Nicholas McGlashan, Martin J. Graves, Markus Schwaiger, David J. Lomas, Kevin M. Brindle, Ferdia A. Gallagher, A comparison of quantitative methods for clinical imaging with hyperpolarized ¹³C-pyruvate, NMR Biomed. 29 (4) (2016) 387-399, https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.v29.410.1002/nbm.3468.
 [84] Rolf F. Schulte, Jonathan I. Sperl, Eliane Weidl, Marion I. Menzel, Martin
- [84] Rolf F. Schulte, Jonathan I. Sperl, Eliane Weidl, Marion I. Menzel, Martin A. Janich, Oleksandr Khegai, Markus Durst, Jan Henrik Ardenkjaer-Larsen, Steffen J. Glaser, Axel Haase, Markus Schwaiger, Florian Wiesinger, Saturationrecovery metabolic-exchange rate imaging with hyperpolarized [1-13C] pyruvate using spectral-spatial excitation, Magn. Reson. Med. 69 (5) (2013) 1209–1216, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24353.
- [85] O. Khegai, R.F. Schulte, M.A. Janich, M.I. Menzel, E. Farrell, A.M. Otto, J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, S.J. Glaser, A. Haase, M. Schwaiger, F. Wiesinger, Apparent rate constant mapping using hyperpolarized [1-¹³C]pyruvate, NMR Biomed. 27 (10) (2014) 1256–1265, https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3174.
- [86] J.T. Grist, M.A. McLean, F. Riemer, R.F. Schulte, S.S. Deen, F. Zaccagna, R. Woitek, C.J. Daniels, J.D. Kaggie, T. Matyz, I. Patterson, R. Slough, A.B. Gill, A. Chhabra, R. Eichenberger, M.-C. Laurent, A. Comment, J.H. Gillard, A.J. Coles, D.J. Tyler, I. Wilkinson, B. Basu, D.J. Lomas, M.J. Graves, K.M. Brindle, F. A. Gallagher, Quantifying normal human brain metabolism using hyperpolarized [1–¹³C]pyruvate and magnetic resonance imaging, Neuroimage 189 (2019) 171–179, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2019.01.027.

- [87] J.C. Crane, J.W. Gordon, H.Y. Chen, A.W. Autry, Y. Li, M.P. Olson, J. Kurhanewicz, D.B. Vigneron, P.E.Z. Larson, D. Xu, Hyperpolarized 13C MRI data acquisition and analysis in prostate and brain at University of California, San Francisco, NMR Biomed. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.4280.
- [88] Casey Y. Lee, Hany Soliman, Benjamin J. Geraghty, Albert P. Chen, Kim A. Connelly, Ruby Endre, William J. Perks, Chris Heyn, Sandra E. Black, Charles H. Cunningham, Lactate topography of the human brain using hyperpolarized 13C-MRI, Neuroimage 204 (2020) 116202, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2019.116202.
- [89] Ilwoo Park, Peder E.Z. Larson, Jeremy W. Gordon, Lucas Carvajal, Hsin-Yu Chen, Robert Bok, Mark Van Criekinge, Marcus Ferrone, James B. Slater, Duan Xu, John Kurhanewicz, Daniel B. Vigneron, Susan Chang, Sarah J. Nelson, Development of methods and feasibility of using hyperpolarized carbon-13 imaging data for evaluating brain metabolism in patient studies, Magn. Reson. Med. 80 (3) (2018) 864–873, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.v80.310.1002/ mrm.27077.
- [90] V.Z. Miloushev, K.L. Granlund, R. Boltyanskiy, S.S.K. Lyashchenko, L.L. M. DeAngelis, E. Sosa, Y.W.Y. Guo, A.P. Chen, J. Tropp, F. Robb, K.K.R. Keshari, A. Member, S.S.K. Lyashchenko, L.L.M. DeAngelis, I. Mellinghoff, C. Brennan, V. Tabar, T. Yang, A. Holodny, R. Sosa, Y.W.Y. Guo, K.K.R. Keshari, K.R. Kesselin, Z. Miloushev, Kristin L. Granlund, Rostislav Boltyanskiy, Serge K. Lyashchenko, Lisa M. DeAngelis, Ingo K. Mellinghoff, Cameron W. Brennan, T. Vivian Tabar, Jonathan Yang, Andrei I. Holodny, Ramon E. Sosa, YanWei W. Guo, Albert P. Chen, James Tro, Metabolic imaging of the human brain with hyperpolarized 13C pyruvate demonstrates 13C lactate production in brain tumor patients, Cancer Res. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3776.
- [91] Sam E. Day, Mikko I. Kettunen, Murali Krishna Cherukuri, James B. Mitchell, Martin J. Lizak, H. Douglas Morris, Shingo Matsumoto, Alan P. Koretsky, Kevin M. Brindle, Detecting response of rat C6 glioma tumors to radiotherapy using hyperpolarized [1-¹³C]pyruvate and ¹³C magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging, Magn. Reson. Med. 65 (2) (2011) 557–563, https://doi.org/10.1002/ mrm.22698.
- [92] Myriam M. Chaumeil, Tomoko Ozawa, IlWoo Park, Kristen Scott, C. David James, Sarah J. Nelson, Sabrina M. Ronen, Hyperpolarized 13C MR spectroscopic imaging can be used to monitor Everolimus treatment in vivo in an orthotopic rodent model of glioblastoma, Neuroimage 59 (1) (2012) 193–201, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.07.034.
- [93] J.M. Park, L.D. Recht, S. Josan, M. Merchant, T. Jang, Y.-F. Yen, R.E. Hurd, D. M. Spielman, D. Mayer, Metabolic response of glioma to dichloroacetate measured in vivo by hyperpolarized ¹³C magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging, Neuro. Oncol. 15 (4) (2013) 433–441, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nos319.
- [94] Jae Mo Park, Daniel M. Spielman, Sonal Josan, Taichang Jang, Milton Merchant, Ralph E. Hurd, Dirk Mayer, Lawrence D. Recht, Hyperpolarized ¹³C-lactate to ¹³Cbicarbonate ratio as a biomarker for monitoring the acute response of antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) treatment, NMR Biomed. 29 (5) (2016) 650–659, https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.v29.510.1002/nbm.3509.
- [95] Marina Radoul, Myriam M. Chaumeil, Pia Eriksson, Alan S. Wang, Joanna J. Phillips, Sabrina M. Ronen, MR studies of glioblastoma models treated with dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor and temozolomide: metabolic changes are associated with enhanced survival, Mol. Cancer Ther. 15 (5) (2016) 1113–1122, https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-15-0769.
- [96] Adam W. Autry, Jeremy W. Gordon, Hsin-Yu Chen, Marisa LaFontaine, Robert Bok, Mark Van Criekinge, James B. Slater, Lucas Carvajal, Javier E. Villanueva-Meyer, Susan M. Chang, Jennifer L. Clarke, Janine M. Lupo, Duan Xu, Peder E.Z. Larson, Daniel B. Vigneron, Yan Li, Characterization of serial hyperpolarized 13C metabolic imaging in patients with glioma, NeuroImage Clin. 27 (2020) 102323, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102323.
- [97] K.M. Ward, A.H. Aletras, R.S. Balaban, A new class of contrast agents for MRI based on proton chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST), J. Magn. Reson. 143 (1) (2000) 79–87, https://doi.org/10.1006/jmre.1999.1956.
- [98] W. Dou, C.Y.E. Lin, H. Ding, Y. Shen, C. Dou, L. Qian, B. Wen, B. Wu, Chemical exchange saturation transfer magnetic resonance imaging and its main and potential applications in pre-clinical and clinical studies, Quant. Imag. Med. Surg. 9 (2019) 1747–1766. https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2019.10.03.
- 9 (2019) 1747–1766. https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2019.10.03.
 [99] W.B. Overcast, K.M. Davis, C.Y. Ho, G.D. Hutchins, M.A. Green, B.D. Graner, M. C. Veronesi, Advanced imaging techniques for neuro-oncologic tumor diagnosis, with an emphasis on PET-MRI imaging of malignant brain tumors, Curr. Oncol. Rep. 23 (2021) 34, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov088.
- Rep. 23 (2021) 34, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov088.
 [100] Jinyuan Zhou, Jean-Francois Payen, David A Wilson, Richard J Traystman, Peter C M van Zijl, Using the amide proton signals of intracellular proteins and peptides to detect pH effects in MRI, Nat. Med. 9 (8) (2003) 1085–1090, https://doi.org/10.1038/nm907.
- [101] Jinyuan Zhou, Erik Tryggestad, Zhibo Wen, Bachchu Lal, Tingting Zhou, Rachel Grossman, Silun Wang, Kun Yan, De-Xue Fu, Eric Ford, Betty Tyler, Jaishri Blakeley, John Laterra, Peter C M van Zijl, Differentiation between glioma and radiation necrosis using molecular magnetic resonance imaging of endogenous proteins and peptides, Nat. Med. 17 (1) (2011) 130–134, https://doi. org/10.1038/nm.2268.
- [102] Shanshan Jiang, Charles G. Eberhart, Michael Lim, Hye-Young Heo, Yi Zhang, Lindsay Blair, Zhibo Wen, Matthias Holdhoff, Doris Lin, Peng Huang, Huamin Qin, Alfredo Quinones-Hinojosa, Jon D. Weingart, Peter B. Barker, Martin G. Pomper, John Laterra, Peter C.M. van Zijl, Jaishri O. Blakeley, Jinyuan Zhou, Identifying recurrent malignant glioma after treatment using amide proton transfer-weighted MR imaging: a validation study with image-

guided stereotactic biopsy, Clin. Cancer Res. 25 (2) (2019) 552–561, https://doi. org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1233.

- [103] Ji Eun Park, Ho Sung Kim, Kye Jin Park, Sang Joon Kim, Jeong Hoon Kim, Seth A. Smith, Pre-and posttreatment glioma: comparison of amide proton transfer imaging with MR spectroscopy for biomarkers of tumor proliferation, Radiology 278 (2) (2016) 514–523, https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2015142979.
- [104] S. Jiang, T. Zou, C.G. Eberhart, M.A.V. Villalobos, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Wang, H. Yu, Y. Du, P.C.M. Van Zijl, Predicting IDH mutation status in grade-II gliomas using amide proton transfer-weighted (APTw) MRI, Magn. Reson. Med. 78 (2017) 1100–1109, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26820.Predicting.
- [105] Shanshan Jiang, Qihong Rui, Yu Wang, Hye-Young Heo, Tianyu Zou, Hao Yu, Yi Zhang, Xianlong Wang, Yongxing Du, Xinrui Wen, Fangyao Chen, Jihong Wang, Charles G. Eberhart, Jinyuan Zhou, Zhibo Wen, Discriminating MGMT promoter methylation status in patients with glioblastoma employing amide proton transfer-weighted MRI metrics, Eur. Radiol. 28 (5) (2018) 2115–2123, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-5182-4.
- [106] Xiang Xu, Kannie W.Y. Chan, Linda Knutsson, Dmitri Artemov, Jiadi Xu, Guanshu Liu, Yoshinori Kato, Bachchu Lal, John Laterra, Michael T. McMahon, Peter C.M. van Zijl, Dynamic glucose enhanced (DGE) MRI for combined imaging of blood-brain barrier break down and increased blood volume in brain cancer, Magn. Reson. Med. 74 (6) (2015) 1556–1563, https://doi.org/10.1002/ mrm.25995.
- [107] M. Rivlin, G. Navon, Molecular imaging of tumors by chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI of glucose analogs, Quant. Imag. Med. Surg. 9 (2019) 1731–1746. https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2019.09.12.
- [108] X. Xu, A.A. Sehgal, N.N. Yadav, J. Laterra, L. Blair, J. Blakeley, A. Seidemo, J. M. Coughlin, M.G. Pomper, L. Knutsson, P.C.M. van Zijl, d-glucose weighted chemical exchange saturation transfer (glucoCEST)-based dynamic glucose enhanced (DGE) MRI at 3T: early experience in healthy volunteers and brain tumor patients, Magn. Reson. Med. 84 (2020) 247–262, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28124.
- [109] R.J. Jackson, G.N. Fuller, D. Abi-Said, F.F. Lang, Z.L. Gokaslan, W.M. Shi, D. M. Wildrick, R. Sawaya, Limitations of stereotactic biopsy in the initial management of gliomas, Neuro. Oncol. 3 (2001) 193–200, https://doi.org/10.1215/15228517-3-3-193.
- [110] A. Vartanian, S.K. Singh, S. Agnihotri, S. Jalali, K. Burrell, K.D. Aldape, G. Zadeh, GBM's multifaceted landscape: highlighting regional and microenvironmental heterogeneity, Neuro. Oncol. 16 (9) (2014) 1167–1175, https://doi.org/10.1093/ neuonc/nou035.
- [111] W. Chen, Clinical applications of PET in brain tumors, J. Nucl. Med. 48 (9) (2007) 1468–1481, https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.106.037689.
- [112] Maikal Villena Martín, Francisco José Pena Pardo, Fátima Jiménez Aragón, José María Borras Moreno, Ana María García Vicente, Metabolic targeting can improve the efficiency of brain tumor biopsies, Semin. Oncol. 47 (2-3) (2020) 148–154, https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2020.04.007.
- [113] B. Pirotte, S. Goldman, N. Massager, P. David, D. Wikler, A. Vandesteene, I. Salmon, J. Brotchi, M. Levivier, Comparison of 18F-FDG and 11C-methionine for PET-guided stereotactic brain biopsy of gliomas, J. Nucl. Med. 45 (2004) 1293–1298.
- [114] Giorgio Treglia, Barbara Muoio, Gianluca Trevisi, Maria Vittoria Mattoli, Domenico Albano, Francesco Bertagna, Luca Giovanella, Diagnostic performance and prognostic value of PET/CT with different tracers for brain tumors: a systematic review of published meta-analyses, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20 (19) (2019) 4669, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20194669.
- [115] Alexandra Nikaki, George Angelidis, Roxani Efthimiadou, Ioannis Tsougos, Varvara Valotassiou, Konstantinos Fountas, Vasileios Prasopoulos, Panagiotis Georgoulias, 18F-fluorothymidine PET imaging in gliomas: an update, Ann. Nucl. Med. 31 (7) (2017) 495–505, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12149-017-1183-2.
- [116] B. Suchorska, N.L. Jansen, J. Linn, H. Kretzschmar, H. Janssen, S. Eigenbrod, M. Simon, G. Popperl, F.W. Kreth, C. la Fougere, M. Weller, J.C. Tonn, Biological tumor volume in 18FET-PET before radiochemotherapy correlates with survival in GBM, Neurology 84 (7) (2015) 710–719, https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.000000000001262.
- [117] Arnoldo Piccardo, Domenico Tortora, Samantha Mascelli, Mariasavina Severino, Gianluca Piatelli, Alessandro Consales, Marco Pescetto, Veronica Biassoni, Elisabetta Schiavello, Michela Massollo, Antonio Verrico, Claudia Milanaccio, Maria Luisa Garrè, Andrea Rossi, Giovanni Morana, Advanced MR imaging and (18)F-DOPA PET characteristics of H3K27M-mutant and wild-type pediatric diffuse midline gliomas, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 46 (8) (2019) 1685–1694, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04333-4.
- [118] Giovanni Morana, Arnoldo Piccardo, Matteo Puntoni, Paolo Nozza, Armando Cama, Alessandro Raso, Samantha Mascelli, Michela Massollo, Claudia Milanaccio, Maria Luisa Garrè, Andrea Rossi, Diagnostic and prognostic value of 18F-DOPA PET and 1H-MR spectroscopy in pediatric supratentorial infiltrative gliomas: a comparative study, Neuro. Oncol. 17 (12) (2015) 1637–1647, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov099.
- [119] Francesco Fraioli, Ananth Shankar, Harpreet Hyare, Valentina Ferrazzoli, Vincenzo Militano, George Samandouras, Khsitij Mankad, Francesca Solda, Fulvio Zaccagna, Elnur Mehdi, Maria Lyasheva, Jamshed Bomanji, Fuad Novruzov, The use of multiparametric 18F-fluoro-1 -3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine PET/MRI in post-therapy assessment of patients with gliomas, Nucl. Med. Commun. 41 (6) (2020) 517–525, https://doi.org/10.1097/ MNM.00000000001184.
- [120] Abass Alavi, Jorge R. Barrio, Thomas J. Werner, Mohsen Khosravi, Andrew Newberg, Poul Flemming Høilund-Carlsen, Suboptimal validity of

amyloid imaging-based diagnosis and management of Alzheimer's disease: why it is time to abandon the approach, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 47 (2) (2020) 225–230, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04564-5.

- [121] M. Phelps, PET: Molecular Imaging and Its Biological Applications, in: 2004. htt ps://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2422062606.
- [122] Geetanjali Arora, Punit Sharma, Anshul Sharma, Anil Kumar Mishra, Puja Panwar Hazari, Ahitagni Biswas, Ajay Garg, Deepak Aheer, Rakesh Kumar, 99mTc-methionine hybrid SPECT/CT for detection of recurrent glioma: comparison with 18F-FDG PET/CT and contrast-enhanced MRI, Clin. Nucl. Med. 43 (5) (2018) e132–e138, https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.000000000002036.
- [123] Vaios Hatzoglou, T. Jonathan Yang, Antonio Omuro, Igor Gavrilovic, Gary Ulaner, Jennifer Rubel, Taylor Schneider, Kaitlin M. Woo, Zhigang Zhang, Kyung K. Peck, Kathryn Beal, Robert J. Young, A prospective trial of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI perfusion and fluorine-18 FDG PET-CT in differentiating brain tumor progression from radiation injury after cranial irradiation, Neuro. Oncol. 18 (6) (2016) 873–880, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov301.
- [124] W. Xu, L. Gao, A. Shao, J. Zheng, J. Zhang, The performance of 11C-Methionine PET in the differential diagnosis of glioma recurrence, Oncotarget 8 (2017) 91030–91039. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19024.
- [125] Irwin H. Lee, Morand Piert, Diana Gomez-Hassan, Larry Junck, Lisa Rogers, James Hayman, Randall K. Ten Haken, Theodore S. Lawrence, Yue Cao, Christina Tsien, Association of 11C-methionine PET uptake with site of failure after concurrent temozolomide and radiation for primary glioblastoma multiforme, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 73 (2) (2009) 479–485, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.04.050.
- [126] Takuya Toyonaga, Shigeru Yamaguchi, Kenji Hirata, Kentaro Kobayashi, Osamu Manabe, Shiro Watanabe, Shunsuke Terasaka, Hiroyuki Kobayashi, Naoya Hattori, Tohru Shiga, Yuji Kuge, Shinya Tanaka, Yoichi M. Ito, Nagara Tamaki, Hypoxic glucose metabolism in glioblastoma as a potential prognostic factor, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 44 (4) (2017) 611–619, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3541-z.
- [127] P. Windisch, D.R. Zwahlen, S.A. Koerber, F.L. Giesel, J. Debus, U. Haberkorn, S. Adeberg, Clinical results of fibroblast activation protein (FAP) specific PET and implications for radiotherapy planning: systematic review, Cancers (Basel) 12 (2020) 1–20, https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12092629.
- [128] P. Windisch, M. Röhrich, S. Regnery, E. Tonndorf-Martini, T. Held, K. Lang, D. Bernhardt, S. Rieken, F. Giesel, U. Haberkorn, J. Debus, S. Adeberg, Fibroblast Activation Protein (FAP) specific PET for advanced target volume delineation in glioblastoma, Radiother. Oncol. 150 (2020) 159–163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radonc.2020.06.040.
- [129] Manuel Röhrich, Anastasia Loktev, Annika K. Wefers, Annette Altmann, Daniel Paech, Sebastian Adeberg, Paul Windisch, Thomas Hielscher, Paul Flechsig, Ralf Floca, Dominik Leitz, Julius P. Schuster, Peter E. Huber, Jürgen Debus, Andreas von Deimling, Thomas Lindner, Uwe Haberkorn, IDHwildtype glioblastomas and grade III/IV IDH-mutant gliomas show elevated tracer uptake in fibroblast activation protein-specific PET/CT, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 46 (12) (2019) 2569–2580, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04444-y.
- [130] Martin Sonenberg, Joseph E. Rall, The use of radioactive iodine in cancer of the thyroid, Med. Clin. North Am. 40 (3) (1956) 821–836, https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0025-7125(16)34568-0.
- [131] Ute Hennrich, Klaus Kopka, Lutathera®: The first FDA-and EMA-approved radiopharmaceutical for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy, Pharmaceuticals 12 (3) (2019) 114, https://doi.org/10.3390/ph12030114.
- [132] Tim Illidge, Franck Morschhauser, Radioimmunotherapy in follicular lymphoma, Best Pract. Res. Clin. Haematol. 24 (2) (2011) 279–293, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.beha.2011.03.005.
- [133] Michael D. Prados, S. Clifford Schold, Howard A. Fine, Kurt Jaeckle, Fred Hochberg, Laszlo Mechtler, Michael R. Fetell, Surasak Phuphanich, Lynn Feun, Todd J. Janus, Kathleen Ford, William Graney, A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 study of RMP-7 in combination with carboplatin administered intravenously for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma, Neuro. Oncol. 5 (2) (2003) 96–103, https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/ 5.2.96.
- [134] Hans-Jürgen Reulen, Eric Suero Molina, Reinhard Zeidler, Franz Josef Gildehaus, Guido Böning, Astrid Gosewisch, Walter Stummer, Intracavitary radioimmunotherapy of high-grade gliomas: present status and future developments, Acta Neurochir. (Wien) 161 (6) (2019) 1109–1124, https://doi. org/10.1007/s00701-019-03882-9.
- [135] Raghu Raghavan, Roger W Howell, Michael R Zalutsky, A model for optimizing delivery of targeted radionuclide therapies into resection cavity margins for the treatment of primary brain cancers, Biomed. Phys. Eng. Express 3 (3) (2017) 035005, https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/aa6db9.
- [136] P. Riva, A. Arista, G. Franceschi, M. Frattarelli, C. Sturiale, N. Riva, M. Casi, R. Rossitti, Local treatment of malignant gliomas by direct infusion of specific monoclonal antibodies labeled with131I: comparison of the results obtained in recurrent and newly diagnosed tumors, Cancer Res. 55 (1995) 5952s–5956s.
- [137] David A. Reardon, Gamal Akabani, R. Edward Coleman, Allan H. Friedman, Henry S. Friedman, James E. Herndon, Ilkcan Cokgor, Roger E. McLendon, Charles N. Pegram, James M. Provenzale, Jennifer A. Quinn, Jeremy N. Rich, Lorna V. Regalado, John H. Sampson, Timothy D. Shafman, Carol J. Wikstrand, Terence Z. Wong, Xiao-Guang Zhao, Michael R. Zalutsky, Darell D. Bigner, Phase II trial of murine 131 I-labeled antitenascin monoclonal antibody 81C6 administered into surgically created resection cavities of patients with newly diagnosed malignant gliomas, J. Clin. Oncol. 20 (5) (2002) 1389–1397, https:// doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2002.20.5.1389.

- [138] David A. Reardon, Gamal Akabani, R. Edward Coleman, Allan H. Friedman, Henry S. Friedman, James E. Herndon, Roger E. McLendon, Charles N. Pegram, James M. Provenzale, Jennifer A. Quinn, Jeremy N. Rich, James J. Vredenburgh, Annick Desjardins, Sri Guruangan, Michael Badruddoja, Jeanette M. Dowell, Terence Z. Wong, Xiao-Guang Zhao, Michael R. Zalutsky, Darell D. Bigner, Salvage radioimmunotherapy with murine iodine-131-labeled antitenascin monoclonal antibody 81C6 for patients with recurrent primary and metastatic malignant brain tumors: Phase II study results, J. Clin. Oncol. 24 (1) (2006) 115–122, https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.03.4082.
- [139] Michael R. Zalutsky, David A. Reardon, Gamal Akabani, R. Edward Coleman, Allan H. Friedman, Henry S. Friedman, Roger E. McLendon, Terence Z. Wong, Darell D. Bigner, Clinical experience with α-particle-emitting 211 At: Treatment of recurrent brain tumor patients with 211 At-labeled chimeric antitenascin monoclonal antibody 81C6, J. Nucl. Med. 49 (1) (2008) 30–38, https://doi.org/ 10.2967/jnumed.107.046938.
- [140] Linna Li, Tony S. Quang, Ed J. Gracely, Ji H. Kim, Jacqueline G. Emrich, Theodore E. Yaeger, Joseph M. Jenrette, Steven C. Cohen, Perry Black, Luther W. Brady, A phase II study of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor radioimmunotherapy in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme, J. Neurosurg. 113 (2) (2010) 192–198, https://doi.org/10.3171/2010.2.JNS091211.
- [141] William R. Shapiro, Susan P. Carpenter, Karen Roberts, Joseph S. Shan, 131IchTNT-1/B mAb: tumour necrosis therapy for malignant astrocytic glioma, Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 6 (5) (2006) 539–545, https://doi.org/10.1517/ 14712598.6.5.539.
- [142] Gian Luca Poli, Claudia Bianchi, Giorgio Virotta, Anna Bettini, Renzo Moretti, Eveline Trachsel, Giuliano Elia, Leonardo Giovannoni, Dario Neri, Andrea Bruno, Radretumab radioimmunotherapy in patients with brain metastasis: a 124I–L19SIP dosimetric PET study, Cancer Immunol. Res. 1 (2) (2013) 134–143, https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-13-0007.
- [143] Bogdan Mitran, Rezan Güler, Francis P. Roche, Elin Lindström, Ram Kumar Selvaraju, Filippa Fleetwood, Sara S. Rinne, Lena Claesson-Welsh, Vladimir Tolmachev, Stefan Ståhl, Anna Orlova, John Löfblom, Radionuclide imaging of VEGFR2 in glioma vasculature using biparatopic affibody conjugate: proof-of-principle in a murine model, Theranostics 8 (16) (2018) 4462–4476, https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.24395.
- [144] G. Kong, R.J. Hicks, Peptide receptor radiotherapy: current approaches and future directions, Curr. Treat. Options Oncol. 20 (2019) 77, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11864-019-0677-7.
- [145] A. Kiviniemi, M. Gardberg, J. Frantzén, M. Pesola, V. Vuorinen, R. Parkkola, T. Tolvanen, S. Suilamo, J. Johansson, P. Luoto, J. Kemppainen, A. Roivainen, H. Minn, Somatostatin receptor subtype 2 in high-grade gliomas: PET/CT with 68Ga-DOTA-peptides, correlation to prognostic markers, and implications for targeted radiotherapy, EJNMMI Res. 5 (2015) 25, https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13550-015-0106-2.
- [146] H. Lee, M. Suh, H. Choi, S. Ha, J.C. Paeng, G.J. Cheon, K.W. Kang, D.S. Lee, A pancancer analysis of the clinical and genetic portraits of somatostatin receptor expressing tumor as a potential target of peptide receptor imaging and therapy, EJNMMI Res. 10 (2020) 42, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-020-00632-2.
 [147] T. Schumacher, S. Hofer, K. Eichhorn, M. Wasner, S. Zimmerer, P. Freitag,
- [147] T. Schumacher, S. Hofer, K. Eichhorn, M. Wasner, S. Zimmerer, P. Freitag, A. Probst, O. Gratzl, J.-C. Reubi, H. Maecke, J. Mueller-Brand, A. Merlo, Local injection of the 90Y-labelled peptidic vector DOTATOC to control gliomas of WHO grades II and III: An extended pilot study, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. 29 (4) (2002) 486–493, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-001-0717-x.
 [148] Masahide Matsuda, Eiichi Ishikawa, Tetsuya Yamamoto, Kentaro Hatano,
- [148] Masahide Matsuda, Eiichi Ishikawa, Tetsuya Yamamoto, Kentaro Hatano, Akira Joraku, Yuichi Iizumi, Yosuke Masuda, Hiroyuki Nishiyama, Akira Matsumura, Potential use of prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) for

detecting the tumor neovasculature of brain tumors by PET imaging with 89 Zr-Df-IAB2M anti-PSMA minibody, J. Neurooncol. 138 (3) (2018) 581–589, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11060-018-2825-5.

- [149] Jolanta Kunikowska, Królicki Bartosz, Królicki Leszek, Glioblastoma multiforme: another potential application for 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT as a guide for targeted therapy, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 45 (5) (2018) 886–887, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00259-018-3934-2.
- [150] Priyanka Verma, Gaurav Malhotra, Atul Goel, Sutapa Rakshit, Ashok Chandak, Rupal Chedda, Sharmila Banerjee, Ramesh V. Asopa, Differential uptake of 68Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC (PSMA-11) in low-grade versus high-grade gliomas in treatmentnaive patients, Clin. Nucl. Med. 44 (5) (2019) e318–e322, https://doi.org/ 10.1097/RLU.00000000002520.
- [151] Arunav Kumar, Sanjana Ballal, Madhav Prasad Yadav, S.T. ArunRaj, K.P. Haresh, Subhash Gupta, Nishikant Avinash Damle, Ajay Garg, Madhavi Tripathi, Chandrasekhar Bal, 177Lu-/68Ga-PSMA theranostics in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme: proof of concept, Clin. Nucl. Med. 45 (12) (2020) e512–e513, https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.000000000003142.
- [152] Ivo M. Hennig, Jean A. Laissue, Ulla Horisberger, Jean-Claude Reubi, C Reubi, Substance-P receptors in human primary neoplasms: tumoral and vascular localization, Int. J. Cancer 61 (6) (1995) 786–792, https://doi.org/10.1002/ (ISSN)1097-021510.1002/ijc.v61:610.1002/ijc.2910610608.
- [153] Stefan Kneifel, Peter Bernhardt, Helena Uusijärvi, Stephan Good, Ludwig Plasswilm, Carlos Buitrago-Téllez, Jan Müller-Brand, Helmut Mäcke, Adrian Merlo, Individual voxelwise dosimetry of targeted 90Y-labelled substance P radiotherapy for malignant gliomas, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 34 (9) (2007) 1388–1395, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-006-0351-8.
- [154] Dominik Cordier, Flavio Forrer, Stefan Kneifel, Martin Sailer, Luigi Mariani, Helmut Mäcke, Jan Müller-Brand, Adrian Merlo, Neoadjuvant targeting of glioblastoma multiforme with radiolabeled DOTAGA-substance P – results from a phase I study, J. Neurooncol. 100 (1) (2010) 129–136, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11060-010-0153-5.
- [155] Leszek Królicki, Frank Bruchertseifer, Jolanta Kunikowska, Henryk Koziara, Bartosz Królicki, Maciej Jakuciński, Dariusz Pawlak, Christos Apostolidis, Saed Mirzadeh, Rafał Rola, Adrian Merlo, Alfred Morgenstern, Safety and efficacy of targeted alpha therapy with 213 Bi-DOTA-substance P in recurrent glioblastoma, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 46 (3) (2019) 614–622, https://doi. org/10.1007/s00259-018-4225-7.
- [156] Leszek Królicki, Jolanta Kunikowska, Frank Bruchertseifer, Henryk Koziara, Bartosz Królicki, Maciej Jakuciński, Dariusz Pawlak, Rafał Rola, Alfred Morgenstern, Elżbieta Rosiak, Adrian Merlo, 225Ac- and 213Bi-substance P analogues for glioma therapy, Semin. Nucl. Med. 50 (2) (2020) 141–151, https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2019.11.004.
- [157] Dirk Hellwig, Ralf Ketter, Bernd F.M. Romeike, Andrea Schaefer, Georgios Farmakis, Aleksandar Grgic, Jean R. Moringlane, Wolf-Ingo Steudel, Carl-Martin Kirsch, Samuel Samnick, Prospective study of p-[1231]iodo-Lphenylalanine and SPECT for the evaluation of newly diagnosed cerebral lesions: Specific confirmation of glioma, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imag. 37 (12) (2010) 2344–2353, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-010-1572-4.
 [158] F.A. Verburg, R. Sweeney, H. Hänscheid, S. Dießl, I. Israel, M. Löhr, G.H. Vince,
- [158] F.A. Verburg, R. Sweeney, H. Hänscheid, S. Dießl, I. Israel, M. Löhr, G.H. Vince, M. Flentje, C. Reiners, S. Samnick, Patienten mit rezidivierendem glioblastoma multiforme Erste Erfahrungen mit p-[1311]Iod-L-phenylalanin und externen Strahlentherapie, NuklearMedizin. 52 (2013) 36–42, https://doi.org/10.3413/ Nukmed-0510-12-06.
- [159] F. Fraioli, S. Punwani, Clinical and research applications of simultaneous positron emission tomography and MRI, Br. J. Radiol. 87 (1033) (2014) 20130464, https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20130464.