## **Title Page**

Title: Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Older Adults Mental Health Services: a mixed methods study

Running Title: Impact of COVID-19 on Older Adults Mental Health Services

#### **Authors:**

Rohan Bhome (first author)-Jonathan Huntley Christian Dalton- Locke Norha Vera San Juan Sian Oram Una Foye Gill Livingston (last author)

### **Affiliations:**

Rohan Bhome- Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK (rohan.bhome@ucl.ac.uk)

Jonathan Huntley- 1) Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK; 2) Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK (j.huntley@ucl.ac.uk)

Christian Dalton- Locke- NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK (c.dalton-locke@ucl.ac.uk)

Norha Vera San Juan- NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK (norha.vera@kcl.ac.uk)

Sian Oram- Section of Women's Mental Health, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK (sian.oram@kcl.ac.uk)

Una Foye- Mental Health Nursing and NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit; King's College London, London; UK (una.foye@kcl.ac.uk)

Gill Livingston- 1) Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK; 2) Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK (g.livingston@ucl.ac.uk)

**Corresponding author:** Rohan Bhome, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, 7 Queen Square, London, WC1N 3AR (<a href="mailto:rohan.bhome@ucl.ac.uk">rohan.bhome@ucl.ac.uk</a>)

### Conflicts of interest: None

**Ethics approval:** The King's College London research ethics committee approved the original study (MRA-19/20-18372). Our work is further analysis of data collected during the original study.

**Participant consent:** Information on participation was provided on the front page of the survey. By starting the survey, participants agreed that they had read and understood all this information.

**Consent for publication:** It was explained on the front page of the survey that responses may be used in articles published in scientific journals and that these articles will not include any information which could be used to identify any participant.

**Data Availability:** The survey dataset is currently being used for additional research by the author research group and is, therefore, not currently available in a data repository. A copy of the survey is available at this web address: https://opinio.ucl.ac.uk/s?s=67819.

**Authors' contributions:** The study was conceived by GL, JH and CDL. The quantitative data analysis plan was developed by CDL and conducted by RB. NVSJ planned the qualitative analysis which was conducted by RB, with contributions from NVSJ, CDL, JH and GL. RB drafted the paper and all authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

**Word count: (3703)** 

#### Abstract

## **Objectives**

The Covid-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on older adults mental health care. Our study aimed to explore staff perspectives on key challenges and innovations in order to help inform the delivery of older adults mental health care in subsequent waves of the pandemic.

#### **Methods**

A mixed methods online questionnaire developed by NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit (PRU) was used to gather staff perspectives on their challenges at work, problems faced by service users and their carers, and sources of help and support. Descriptive statistics were used for quantitative analysis and descriptive content analysis for qualitative analysis.

#### **Results**

158 participants, working in either community or inpatient settings, and from a range of professional disciplines, were included. For inpatient staff, a significant challenge was infection control. In the community, staff identified a lack of access to physical and social care as well as reduced contact with friends and families as being challenges for patients. Remote working was seen as a positive innovation along with Covid-19 related guidance from various sources and peer support.

### Conclusion

Our study, with a focus on staff and patient well-being, helps to inform service development for future waves of the pandemic. We discuss measures to improve infection control in inpatient settings, the role of voluntary organisations in supporting socially isolated community patients, the need for better integration of physical and mental health services at an organisational level, and the importance of training staff to support patients and their families with end of life planning.

## **Key Words**

Covid-19; pandemic; older adults mental health; dementia care; mental health services; mental health staff

# **Key Points**

- This is the first study to elicit the views of staff about the impact of COVID-19 on older adult mental health services.
- In inpatient services, implementing effective infection control measures was difficult whilst
  in the community the loss of usual support networks was seen as a challenge for patients.
- Clear protocols for infection control may benefit staff and patients in inpatient settings. In the community, there needs to be close liaison between mental health, social care and voluntary services. Across all settings there needs to be better consideration of end of life planning.

| • | Future research should ascertain patients and carer's perspectives on the impact of the pandemic on mental health services that they have received. |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |

#### Introduction

The Covid-19 pandemic, caused by SARS –CoV-2 infection, has had a significant impact on older adults mental health for several reasons. People aged above 70 were categorised as high risk by the UK government and encouraged to maintain rigorous social distancing leading to risks of loneliness<sup>1,2</sup> which, in turn, can lead to depression and anxiety<sup>3</sup>. Additionally, functional and cognitive impairments experienced by older people with severe enduring mental illness or dementia<sup>4-6</sup> are likely to further exacerbate the impacts of COVID-19 and social isolation.

The pandemic also presents significant challenges for the delivery of mental health care in older adult services. For example, infection control may be particularly difficult to implement in psychiatric inpatient services due to patients' inability to adhere to infection control guidelines. In the community, challenges may arise managing the needs of vulnerable older patients with little face-to-face contact. These additional challenges have emerged against the backdrop of an already underfunded and under-resourced mental health care system in which older adults are disproportionally disadvantaged.<sup>7</sup>

Concerns have been raised about the impacts of the pandemic on the mental health of staff and their wellbeing. Staff have been redeployed at short notice and have increased risk of contracting the infection. These risks have been compounded by inconsistent guidance on the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and, at times, the inaccessibility of testing. In response to these challenges, UK mental health services have introduced new initiatives and undergone rapid reconfigurations to reduce the risk of infection and the impacts of staff sickness while supporting staff and managing the needs of patients.

Several position pieces have highlighted the potential impact of COVID-19 on mental health services. <sup>10-11</sup> To our knowledge, a mixed methods study conducted in the UK by the NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit (PRU)<sup>12</sup> is the only research to date that captures the views and experiences of people working at the forefront of mental health services during the pandemic. Here, we report data from this study on the challenges identified by staff working in older adult mental health services. We also present staff perspectives on which resources and innovations have been beneficial, which should be retained, and which have been difficult to implement. The aim of our study is to inform measures designed to support staff and patient well-being in future waves of COVID-19.

#### **Material and Methods**

### Participants and procedure

The NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit (PRU) developed an online questionnaire to collect cross sectional quantitative and qualitative data from mental health care staff working across settings and sub-specialties. One of this study's authors, GL, an academic and practising inner London old age psychiatrist, was part of the working group who helped to inform the drafting of the questionnaire ensuring relevance to older adult mental health settings. The PRU Lived Experience Working Group also informed the content of the questionnaire. They rapidly disseminated the questionnaire through professional networks, social media and relevant mental health- focused bodies, collecting data between 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2020 and 12 May 2020.<sup>12</sup>

The present study includes a subset of the participants from that original study, who worked in face-to-face mental health care treating older adult patients or people with dementia. We included all

professional groups, such as nurses, psychologists, social workers, peer support workers, occupational therapists and psychiatrists. Participants could work in the NHS, private healthcare, social care or voluntary sector organisations.

To ensure participants were reporting their experience with older adults, we included participants who worked only in older adult inpatient services, community mental health teams (CMHTs) (not providing dementia care) or memory (dementia) services but excluded those who worked with older adult patients as well as another patient group.. To enable comparison between different settings (eg inpatient vs community) we excluded participants who worked in multiple settings.

#### **Questionnaire content**

The questionnaire contained a mixture of structured questions and open-ended questions. Participants were initially asked which sector, setting and mental health speciality they worked in as well as their professional discipline and regional location.

The core questions of the questionnaire were split into three sections. They were: challenges at work during the COVID-19 pandemic (24 items), perceived problems currently faced by mental health service users and family carers (23 items) and sources of help and support at work during the pandemic (14 items). All participants were asked to rate each item on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 'not relevant' to 'extremely relevant' for the first two sections and from 'not at all important to 'extremely important' in the third.

The questionnaire also contained a series of open-ended questions. To address our study aims we included questions that explored innovations or initiatives that had worked well, any helpful resources or guidance on managing the impact of the pandemic, any innovations that staff would want to remain in place and any innovations or guidance that were difficult to implement.

There were additional sections of the survey only open to staff working in particular settings or specialties. Of relevance to our study were three sections for staff working in inpatient services, community services and older adult services. Some of the specific items for those working in older adults' services related to supporting clients who did not have the usual level of family support and may have cognitive or sensory impairment. Other items in this section considered end of life planning.

A copy of the survey is available at: <a href="https://opinio.ucl.ac.uk/s?s=67819">https://opinio.ucl.ac.uk/s?s=67819</a>

## **Analysis**

Quantitative data: Descriptive statistics were produced using Stata 15 to summarise demographic information and participant characteristics such as their professional background, speciality and work setting. Items eliciting staff views were answered on a five-point Likert scale. Percentages of each response were calculated.

Qualitative data: We carried out qualitative analysis to identify innovations that helped tackle some of the challenges that staff had highlighted in the quantitative analysis. RB identified the main themes about innovations emerging from participants' open-ended responses and developed a preliminary analytic coding framework based on the study's aims. Coding matrices were developed using Microsoft Excel, with the emerging codes in columns and participants' responses in rows. Participant responses to open-ended questions were left unedited and indexed by RB in the matrix under the relevant theme. Descriptive content analysis was conducted. New codes were developed for topics that arose repeatedly but did not fit into the initial coding framework. Coding was

discussed with GL, NVSJ, CDL, and JH, who met to refine the emerging codes to ensure all the relevant themes emerging from the data were captured.

### **Results**

1194 survey participants provided mental health care to older adults of whom 298 (25%) worked only with older adults. Of these 298 participants, 218 (73%) answered at least one question from each of the three core sections of the study. 60 of these participants worked across inpatient and community services and so were excluded. We therefore include 158 participants in our final analyses, 67 (42%) from inpatient settings, 58 (37%) from older adult community mental health teams and 33 (21%) from memory services.

## **Participant characteristics**

The majority of participants, 142 (90%) out of 157 (1 missing value), were working in their normal setting while 12 (8%) had been redeployed and three (2%) were locum staff. 81% of participants, who specified their gender, were female. 113 (93%) out of 121 participants (37 missing values) stated their ethnicity as white. Sixty-five (41%) of the participants were nurses, 21 (13%) occupational therapists, 19 (12%), psychologists, 18 (11%) psychiatrists, 8 (5%) peer support workers and one social worker, as well as 26 (18%) who stated their profession or role as 'other'. Further data on demographics, personal caring responsibilities and COVID-19 status can be found in **supplementary table 1**.

## Challenges at work during the COVID-19 pandemic

**Table 1** shows the five highest rated work challenges in each setting. In inpatient settings, key challenges centred around infection control, with staff reporting concerns about transmission between patients and to staff and about the risk of staff transmitting the infection to family and friends. Adapting to new ways of working and supporting colleagues under pressure were also highlighted as challenges.

In community settings, across both CMHT and memory services, staff were concerned that the patients that they cared for may not receive adequate physical healthcare service and that service reconfigurations secondary to COVID-19 may lead to suboptimal mental health care. In community mental health teams, additional challenges identified were the risk of transmitting COVID-19 to family and friends and having to adapt to new ways of working, including having to learn to use new technologies without adequate support. In memory service settings, staff also reported pressures associated with supporting colleagues with COVID-19 related concerns as being an important challenge. Participants who responded to the section of the survey specifically designed for those working in community settings, highlighted the challenge of providing sufficient support with reduced staffing and face-to-face contact (supplementary table 2).

## Staff perspectives on difficulties faced by patients and carers during the COVID-19 pandemic

**Table 2** summarises staff perspectives on the key problems for patients and carers. Throughout all settings, staff rated the relevance of loneliness due to social distancing measures and lacking access to usual support networks very highly. Inpatient and memory service staff were concerned about the risk of severe consequences of COVID-19 infection amongst their patients. In inpatient settings, staff also thought that patients' concerns included them or their family members getting infected with

COVID-19. In both community settings, the loss of usual support from primary care, social services and voluntary sector organisations was seen as a difficulty for patients.

## Sources of help and support for staff in the workplace during the COVID-19 pandemic

**Table 3** summarises the most relevant sources of help and support for staff working during the COVID-19 pandemic. Throughout all settings, support and information from colleagues as well as guidance at a local (employer) and national (NHS, professional bodies) level were regarded as most helpful. Inpatient staff also found support from managers and the wider public support for keyworkers to be helpful. In community settings, staff thought that the resilience and resourcefulness of patients and carers were important. In CMHTs, staff thought the adoption of new digital ways of working were beneficial while in the memory service support from voluntary sector organisations was recognised as being helpful.

In the section of the survey completed only by staff working in older adults services (**supplementary table 3**), 62% (31/50) of staff working in inpatient services thought that increased involvement in end of life planning was very or extremely relevant, while the number was far lower in CMHT (20%, n=40) and memory service (18.18%, n=33) settings.

## **Qualitative analysis**

### Innovation and resources that staff found helpful

**Table 4** summarises the qualitative analysis of innovations and resources that staff reported as having been helpful. Remote working was identified as being helpful across all settings. For inpatients, it enabled greater attendance of ward rounds by multidisciplinary professionals involved in an individual's care. Staff working in the community reported that not travelling to appointments was more time efficient and remote working provided an opportunity for those in the community to work from home, which helped to reduce the risk of transmitting or acquiring COVID-19.

'Home based working has been effective in supporting staff to reduce anxieties and engage with their caseloads remotely whilst minimising risk of exposure to themselves, their families and the patients within their caseloads.' (Occupational Therapist, memory service)

Across all settings, staff highlighted that the increased flexibility in working was helpful. They also felt that a variety of ways of making increased peer support available, including through psychology led reflective groups and telephone helplines, was a positive intervention in helping to manage the psychological impact of COVID-19 on staff.

'Coping with Covid-19 staff support helpline manned by psychology staff. Staff support consultation sessions: mindfulness, moral injury and coping strategies.' (Clinical Psychologist, memory service)

In community services, staff highlighted patient 'well-being' packs and practical support provided by voluntary services for patients as being important resources for patients.

'Local voluntary groups are helping to provide support for shopping.' (Occupational Therapist, memory service).

The majority of participants thought that the guidance issued at local, national and international level was helpful. In particular, several participants highlighted that guidance from their professional body targeted towards their professional role was beneficial.

'RCOT [Royal College of Occupational Therapists] guidance on social distancing and covid rehabilitation expectations. OT [Occupational Therapy] guidance from Australia and Illinois university addressing impact of COVID on occupational participation.' (Occupational Therapist, memory service)

## Innovations that staff would want to remain in place

**Table 5** shows some innovations and changes that staff would like to remain in place. Across all settings, the use of technology to facilitate remote communication and working were frequently highlighted as efficient and sometimes leading to better communication with patients and families.

'I would like to continue to have team meetings via videocall where these are not at my usual base as otherwise this involves a significant loss of working time'. (Clinical Psychologist, CMHT)

## Innovations or guidance that staff found difficult to implement

**Table 5** also highlights innovations or guidance that staff found difficult to implement. This does not necessarily mean that the innovation was ineffective or unhelpful but certain factors made it difficult to put into practice. The use of technology to enable remote patient contact has been highlighted as being a beneficial innovation. However, in both CMHT and memory services, respondents stated that some of their patients could not utilise the technology required for remote assessments.

'I work with older people and many are unable to use technology'. (Nurse, CMHT)

Social distancing, while clearly an important infection control measure, was difficult to implement in inpatient settings.

'Working with patients with moderate-advanced dementia who are unable to understand about the coronavirus, therefore unable to follow restrictions/ social distancing'. (Occupational Therapist, inpatients)

Although guidance from various sources was seen as helpful, some inpatient staff found it difficult that guidelines changed frequently and could be contradictory.

Staff in community teams thought that the personal protective equipment (PPE) guidance was helpful but the lack of COVID-19 testing was highlighted as being challenging. Only 39% of inpatient staff (n=57) thought that the lack of PPE was very or extremely relevant (**supplementary table 2**). However, in the qualitative analysis, for inpatient staff, the lack of PPE was highlighted as a barrier to infection control. Further, some inpatient staff found the PPE guidance difficult to interpret or implement.

'No PPES [Sic] and no facility to wash ourselves or clothes at work. We are forced to take the infection home and then clean it'. (Other worker, inpatients).

'PPE guidelines appear to be interpreted in different ways by different teams'. (Clinical Psychologist, inpatients).

### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the experience and views of staff, who worked in older adult mental health services, in relation to care provision during the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. The mixed methods study design enabled us to complement quantitative data with participant experiences and subjective factors to tackle a broad and complex research question. We found that the key challenges for inpatient staff surrounded controlling the transmission of COVID-19. In the community, important challenges were lack of access for patients to usual services for their physical health or social care and to their family and friends. Remote working, guidance from a variety of sources and peer support were seen as being helpful.

There are several similarities between the experiences of staff working in older adult settings and those of staff working across the range of mental health services. <sup>12</sup> Infection control in inpatient settings was seen as a significant concern while remote working was positively received. Staff working in older adult community settings had greater concern about the physical healthcare that their patients would have access to and their patients' abilities to use technology compared to staff working throughout all mental health settings.

The challenges surrounding infection control in older adult inpatient mental health settings are significant and borne out by a recent study<sup>15</sup> which found that around 40% of patients in a cohort of 131 patients are likely to have contracted COVID-19 whilst an inpatient. The study, which analysed data that was collected at the beginning of the pandemic (1<sup>st</sup> March 2020 to 30<sup>th</sup> April 2020) suggested that the lack of testing for infection, poor availability of PPE, asymptomatic carriers and false negative tests contributed to the high infection rate. Looking ahead, access to testing and PPE as well as self- isolation for two weeks of all new patients on the ward will be important in addressing the challenges surrounding infection control.

Staff perceived that a lack of access to their usual support networks and loneliness would be a significant challenge for older adults with mental illnesses or dementia. Indeed, a consensus group<sup>16</sup> identified the mental and physical impact of isolation as an important challenge for delivering the Preventing Well theme of the COVID-19 Dementia Wellbeing Pathway. <sup>17</sup>Support provided by voluntary service organisations and well-being packs produced for patients were seen as helpful innovations. Charitable and voluntary organisations, such as Age UK and the Alzheimer's society, provided practical and emotional support including help with shopping and telephone calls to reduce loneliness, during the pandemic. Mental health teams, social care services and voluntary organisations should liaise closely to ensure that support is delivered in an organised way, not duplicated and that patients are not missed. The provision of support by voluntary services should not be seen as an alternative to formalised social services support and recent work has demonstrated the dramatic and negative impact of social support closures during the pandemic on the well-being of people with dementia and their carers. 18 Social prescribing was also facilitated by online technologies with older adults being supported to access online games, concerts and religious services.<sup>19</sup> However, some older adults are not able access online technologies because they lack equipment, skills, or language proficiency. For these older adults, well-being packs, which could include educational information, sources of support and activities, may be particularly helpful.

In our study, staff were concerned that patients in community settings may not be able to or be willing to access physical healthcare services. Reasons for this have been highlighted elsewhere, and include the unintended consequences of social distancing messages and strategies aimed at reducing COVID-19 transmission.<sup>20</sup> There need to be clear and agreed pathways for how vulnerable

older adults, and especially those with severe and enduring mental illness, can access physical healthcare.

Remote working has been positively received by staff working in older adult mental health services. Participants reported that remote working has enabled greater flexibility in working patterns, improved time efficiency and allowed the participation of a broader range of professionals in multidisciplinary team (MDT) meetings. These experiences have been reported across mental health services globally<sup>21</sup> and seem likely to be retained once the pandemic abates. However, staff in memory services note that some patients were unable to use technologies that enable remote contact with staff. Some patients, including those unable to access or use technology for virtual assessments, with more complex needs or poor engagement, need face-to-face assessment. Qualitative analyses highlighted the need for clarity on which patients should be offered face-to-face appointments. Presumably, these decisions need to be made on an individual basis based on risk and need.

Interestingly, the majority of staff working in inpatient settings thought that their involvement in end of life planning was highly relevant whereas the same applied for only about a fifth of staff working in the community. Such planning is required in community settings too and could provide support to patients' relatives given that patients with COVID-19 may experience rapid deterioration and there are often difficult and stressful decisions to be made about whether the ill person should be hospitalised.<sup>22</sup> The relevance of end of life planning, particularly for inpatient staff in this study, highlights the need for appropriate training to enable staff to facilitate discussions about end of life care.<sup>23</sup>

#### Limitations

Our study has several limitations. There is a risk of sampling bias given that the survey was disseminated through channels which may not have been accessed by all mental health staff. Further, respondents may overly represent those who had strong feelings about the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and therefore wished to have a platform to voice these.

We excluded 60 participants who worked across inpatient and community settings citing that it may not be possible to discern which setting their responses related to. However, it is possible that this is a limitation as these participants may have had a broader perspective of the impact of COVID-19 on older adult mental health services.

Our study sought to consider the challenges faced by older adult mental health services and the implications for subsequent waves of the pandemic. To do this, it would be important to gather perspectives from patients and carers too. While our work did consider patients' and carers' difficulties during the pandemic, these were from the perspective of staff. Further, members of the PRU Lived Experience Working Group who helped to inform the content of the questionnaire, did not necessarily have specific experience of older adult mental health services and so this is a potential limitation.

We were unable to evaluate the impact of COVID-19 on the delivery of older adult mental health care in care homes, due to the limited number of these respondents. This forms an important group of patients especially given the significant mortality and challenges faced by care homes during this pandemic.<sup>24</sup>

## **Implications**

In inpatient settings, clear protocols for infection control and access to appropriate PPE will be important in subsequent waves of COVID-19. In the community, the impact of the loss of patients' usual support networks may be mitigated through the help provided by third sector organisations, as well as remote care from statutory services. To facilitate this, there needs to be close liaison between mental health, social care and voluntary services. Finally, a greater emphasis on training staff to help patients and families in end of life decisions may help patients have a better end-of-life given the high risk of mortality from COVID-19 among older patients.

Future research should seek patients and carer's perspectives on the impact of the pandemic on mental health services received, including that delivered in care homes.

#### **Declarations**

**Funding:** This paper presents a secondary analysis of independent research commissioned and funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Policy Research Programme, conducted by the NIHR Policy Research Unit (PRU) in Mental Health. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR, the Department of Health and Social Care or its arm's length bodies or other government departments.

**Conflicts of interest:** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

### Ethics approval (include appropriate approvals or waivers)

The King's College London research ethics committee approved the original study (MRA-19/20-18372). Our work is further analysis of data collected during the original study.

**Authors' contributions:** The study was conceived by GL, JH and CDL. The quantitative data analysis plan was developed by CDL and conducted by RB. NVSJ planned the qualitative analysis which was conducted by RB, with contributions from NVSJ, CDL, JH and GL. RB drafted the paper and all authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- 1. Armitage R, Nellums LB. COVID-19 and the consequences of isolating the elderly. Lancet Public Health. 2020; 5(5): e256. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30061-X
- 2. Tyrrell CJ, Williams KN. The paradox of social distancing: Implications for older adults in the context of COVID-19. Psychol Trauma. 2020; 12(S1): S214-S216. doi:10.1037/tra0000845
- Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, Pogorski S, Galea S, Styra R. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004; 10(7): 1206-1212. doi:10.3201/eid1007.030703
- 4. Edlund MJ, Wang J, Brown KG, Forman-Hoffman VL, Calvin SL, Hedden SL, Bose J. Which mental disorders are associated with the greatest impairment in functioning? Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2018; 53(11): 1265-1276. doi:10.1007/s00127-018-1554-6

- 5. Delgado C, Vergara RC, Martinez M, Musa G, Henriquez F, Slachevsky A. Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Alzheimer's Disease Are the Main Determinants of Functional Impairment in Advanced Everyday Activities. J Alzheimers Dis. 2019; 67(1): 381-392. doi:10.3233/JAD-180771
- Calderon-Larranaga A, Vetrano DL, Welmer AK, Grande G, Fratiglioni L, Dekhtyar S.
   Psychological correlates of multimorbidity and disability accumulation in older adults. Age Ageing. 2019; 48(6): 789-796. doi:10.1093/ageing/afz117
- 7. Royal College of Psychiatrists. Suffering in silence: age inequality in older people's mental health care. CR221. 2018. https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/improving-care/better-mh-policy/college-reports/college-report-cr221.pdf?sfvrsn=bef8f65d\_2. Accessed October 1, 2020
- 8. Greenberg N. Mental health of health-care workers in the COVID-19 era. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2020; 16(8): 425-426. doi:10.1038/s41581-020-0314-5
- 9. Zhang SX, Liu J, Afshar Jahanshahi A, Nawaser K, Yousefi A, Li J, Sun S. At the height of the storm: Healthcare staff's health conditions and job satisfaction and their associated predictors during the epidemic peak of COVID-19. Brain Behav Immun. 2020; 87: 144-146. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.010
- Mezzina R, Sashidharan SP, Rosen A, Killaspy H, Saraceno B. Mental health at the age of coronavirus: time for change. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2020; 55(8): 965-968. doi:10.1007/s00127-020-01886-w
- 11. Percudani M, Corradin M, Moreno M, Indelicato A, Vita A. Mental Health Services in Lombardy during COVID-19 outbreak. Psychiatry Res. 2020; 288: 112980. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112980
- 12. Johnson S, Dalton-Locke C, Vera San Juan N, Foye U, Oram S, Papamichail A, The COVID-19 Mental Health Policy Research Unit Group et al. Impact on mental health care and on mental health service users of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed methods survey of UK mental health care staff. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2020. doi:10.1007/s00127-020-01927-4
- 13. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2013; 13: 117. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-13-117#
- 14. Regnault, A., Willgoss, T., Barbic, S On behalf of the International Society for Quality of Life Research (ISOQOL) Mixed Methods Special Interest Group (SIG). Towards the use of mixed methods inquiry as best practice in health outcomes research. J Patient Rep Outcomes. 2018; 2: 19. doi.org/10.1186/s41687-018-0043-8
- 15. Livingston G, Rostamipour H, Gallagher P, Kalafatis, C, Shastri A, Huzzey L et al. Prevalence, management, and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infections in older people and those with dementia in mental health wards in London, UK: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30434-X

- 16. Liu K, Howard R, Banerjee S, Comas-Herrera A, Goddard J, Knapp M.Dementia wellbeing and COVID-19: review and expert consensus on current research and knowledge gaps. OSF Preprints. 2021. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/2bq4u
- 17. NHS England. Dementia wellbeing in the COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/dementia-wellbeing-in-the-covid-19-pandemic/ Accessed April 24, 2021
- **18.** Giebel C, Cannon J, Hanna K, Butchard S, Eley R, Gaughan A et al. Impact of COVID-19 related social support service closures on people with dementia and unpaid carers: a qualitative study, Aging & Mental Health. 2020. 1-8 DOI: 10.1080/13607863.2020.1822292
- 19. Brooke J, Jackson D. Older people and COVID-19: Isolation, risk and ageism. J Clin Nurs. 2020; 29(13-14): 2044-2046. doi:10.1111/jocn.15274
- 20. Melamed OC, Hahn MK, Agarwal SM, Taylor VH, Mulsant BH, Selby P. Physical health among people with serious mental illness in the face of COVID-19: Concerns and mitigation strategies. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2020; 66: 30-33. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2020.06.013
- 21. Sheridan Rains L, Johnson S, Barnett P, Steare T, Needle JJ, Carr S, The COVID-19 Mental Health Policy Research Unit Group et al. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2020. doi:10.1007/s00127-020-01924-7
- 22. Moore KJ, Sampson EL, Kupeli N, Davies N. Supporting families in end-of-life care and bereavement in the COVID-19 era. Int Psychogeriatr. 2020; 1-4. doi:10.1017/S1041610220000745
- 23. Morgan BD. "No Right Place to Die": Nursing Attitudes and Needs in Caring for People With Serious Mental Illness at End-of-Life. J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc. 2016; 22(1): 31-42. doi:10.1177/1078390316629960
- 24. Lau-Ng R, Caruso LB, Perls TT. COVID-19 Deaths in Long-Term Care Facilities: A Critical Piece of the Pandemic Puzzle. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2020; 68(9): 1895-1898. doi:10.1111/jgs.16669

# **Tables**

Table 1. The top five rated work challenges in each setting, in order of % rated very or extremely relevant

| extremely relevant  | 1   |              |                          |
|---|-----|--------------|--------------------------|
|   | n   | n rated very | % rated very             |
|   |     | or extremely | or extremely<br>relevant |
| Inpatient Setting (n=67)  |     | relevant     | reievant                 |
| The risk that COVID-19 will spread between service  | 67  | 54           | 80.6                     |
| '   | 67  | 54           | 80.6                     |
| users I'm working with  | C7  | Γ0           | 74.6                     |
| The risk I or my colleagues could be infected with  | 67  | 50           | 74.6                     |
| COVID-19 at work  | C7  | 45           | C7.2                     |
| The risk family and friends may be infected with COVID-                                     | 67  | 45           | 67.2                     |
| 19 through me   | CC  | 1.1          | CC 7                     |
| Having to adapt too quickly to new ways of working  | 66  | 44           | 66.7                     |
| †Difficulty putting infection control measures into   | 67  | 39           | 58.2                     |
| practice in the setting I work in   | 67  | 20           | F0.2                     |
| †Pressures resulting from the need to support   | 67  | 39           | 58.2                     |
| colleagues through the pressures associated with the  |     |              |                          |
| pandemic  |     |              |                          |
| CMHT <sup>‡</sup> (n=58)  |     |              |                          |
|   | F0  | 25           | 42.1                     |
| †Service users no longer getting an acceptable service                                      | 58  | 25           | 43.1                     |
| due to service reconfiguration because of COVID-19  | 58  | 25           | 43.1                     |
| †Having to adapt too quickly to new ways of working   |     | 25           |                          |
| Concern that physical health care received by service users I work with may not be adequate | 57  | 22           | 38.6                     |
| Having to learn to use new technologies too quickly   | 58  | 22           | 37.9                     |
| and/or without sufficient training and support  | 56  | 22           | 37.9                     |
| The risk family and friends may be infected with COVID-                                     | 57  | 15           | 36.3                     |
| 19 through me   | 37  | 13           | 30.3                     |
| 13 tillough me  |     |              |                          |
| Memory Service (n=33)   |     |              |                          |
| Service users no longer getting an acceptable service                                       | 32  | 13           | 40.6                     |
| due to service reconfiguration because of COVID-19  | 32  | 13           | 40.0                     |
| The risk I or my colleagues could be infected with  | 33  | 12           | 36.4                     |
| COVID-19 at work  | 33  | 12           | 30.4                     |
| Having to respond to additional mental health needs   | 32  | 11           | 34.4                     |
| that appear to result from COVID-19   | 32  | 11           | 54.4                     |
| Concern that physical health care received by service                                       | 33  | 11           | 33.3                     |
| users I work with may not be adequate   | ) ) |              | 33.3                     |
| Pressures resulting from the need to support colleagues                                     | 32  | 10           | 31.3                     |
| through the pressures associated with the pandemic  | 32  | 10           | 01.0                     |
| through the pressures associated with the paracinic   |     |              |                          |

**BOLD** font amongst items signifies challenges that were common to both the CMHT and memory service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Items were ranked equally as being 'very' or 'extremely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Community Mental Health Team

Table 2. Staff perspective on patients' and carers' problems that were most relevant during the

COVID-19 Pandemic, in order of % rated very or extremely relevant

|  |    | n rated very or extremely | % rated very or extremely |
|--|----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|  |    | relevant                  | relevant                  |
| Lack of access to usual support networks of friends and family   | 67 | 55                        | 82.1                      |
| Worries about family getting COVID-19 infection  | 67 | 49                        | 73.1                      |
| † Worries about getting COVID-19 infection   | 67 | 44                        | 65.7                      |
| † Loneliness due or made worse by social distancing, self-<br>isolation and/or shielding                   | 67 | 44                        | 65.7                      |
| High personal risk of severe consequences of COVID-19 infection (eg. due to physical health comorbidities) | 67 | 43                        | 64.2                      |
| CMHT <sup>‡</sup> (n=58)   |    |                           |                           |
| Loneliness due or made worse by social distancing, self-   | 58 | 50                        | 86.2                      |
| isolation and/or shielding   |    |                           |                           |
| Lack of access to usual support networks of friends and family   | 58 | 49                        | 84.5                      |
| Lack of access to usual support from other services  | 58 | 46                        | 79.3                      |
| (primary care, social care, voluntary sector)  |    |                           |                           |
| Increased difficulties for families/carers   | 57 | 44                        | 77.2                      |
| Lack of usual work and activities  |    | 42                        | 73.7                      |
| Memory Service (n=33)  |    |                           |                           |
| Lack of access to usual support networks of friends and family   | 33 | 29                        | 87.9                      |
| Loneliness due or made worse by social distancing, self-<br>isolation and/or shielding                     | 33 | 26                        | 78.8                      |
| Lack of access to usual support from other services  |    | 22                        | 67.7                      |
| (primary care, social care, voluntary sector)  |    |                           |                           |
| High personal risk of severe consequences of COVID-19 infection (eg. due to physical health comorbidities) | 32 | 20                        | 62.5                      |
| † Worries about family getting COVID-19 infection  |    | 20                        | 60.6                      |
| † Lack of usual work and activities  |    | 20                        | 60.6                      |

**BOLD** font signifies challenges that were common to both the CMHT and memory service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Items were ranked equally as being 'very' or 'extremely relevant'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Community Mental Health Team

Table 3. Top five sources of help and support for staff, in order of % rated very or extremely relevant

| Inpatient setting  | n  | n rated very<br>or extremely<br>relevant | % rated very or extremely relevant |
|--|----|--|------------------------------------|
| Support and information from colleagues  |    | 42                                       | 62.7                               |
| Support and advice from my manager(s)  | 67 | 41                                       | 61.2                               |
| <sup>†</sup> Guidance from my employer on managing clinical and safety needs due to COVID-19 | 67 | 37                                       | 55.2                               |
| <sup>†</sup> Guidance disseminated by the NHS or professional bodies                         | 67 | 37                                       | 55.2                               |
| Being aware of public support for key workers  | 67 | 35                                       | 52.2                               |
| CMHT <sup>‡</sup>  |    |  |                                    |
| Support and information from colleagues  | 58 | 39                                       | 67.2                               |
| Resilience and resourcefulness in adversity among service users and carers                   | 58 | 37                                       | 63.8                               |
| Guidance from my employer on managing clinical and safe needs due to COVID-19                | 57 | 36                                       | 63.2                               |
| †Guidance disseminated by the NHS or professional bodies                                     | 58 | 34                                       | 58.6                               |
| †Adoption of new digital ways of working   |    | 34                                       | 58.6                               |
| Memory Service   |    |  |                                    |
| †Guidance disseminated by the NHS or professional bodies                                     | 33 | 23                                       | 69.7                               |
| †Support and information from colleagues   |    | 23                                       | 69.7                               |
| Resilience and resourcefulness in adversity among service users and carers                   | 33 | 22                                       | 66.7                               |
| Guidance from my employer on managing clinical and safe needs due to COVID-19                |    | 21                                       | 63.6                               |
| Support and new initiatives from local voluntary sector organisations                        | 33 | 19                                       | 57.6                               |

**BOLD** font signifies challenges that were common to both the CMHT and memory service.

<sup>†</sup>Items were ranked equally as being 'very' or 'extremely relevant'

<sup>‡</sup>Community Mental Health Team

Table 4. Innovations and resources that staff found helpful during the COVID-19 pandemic

| Innovations       |   |  |  |
|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Inpatients        | Remote working  |  |  |
|                   | Virtual multidisciplinary team meeting for patients facilitating broader attendance                   |  |  |
|                   | For Staff   |  |  |
|                   | Flexibility in working patterns   |  |  |
|                   | For Patients  |  |  |
|                   | Facilitating contact with family and friends using video calls  |  |  |
| CMHT <sup>†</sup> | Remote working  |  |  |
|                   | Reduced travelling time which allows more patients to be assessed.                                    |  |  |
|                   | Opportunity to work from home   |  |  |
|                   | Patients in rural areas could be accessed more readily  |  |  |
|                   | For Staff   |  |  |
|                   | Flexibility in working patterns   |  |  |
| Memory            | Remote working  |  |  |
| Service           | More time efficient   |  |  |
|                   | Fewer "Did not attend" (missed appointment)   |  |  |
|                   | Opportunity to work from home   |  |  |
|                   | For Staff   |  |  |
|                   | Flexibility in working patterns   |  |  |
|                   | Staff uniforms  |  |  |
|                   | For Patients  |  |  |
|                   | Well-being phone calls  |  |  |
|                   | Risk stratification to identify most vulnerable patients  |  |  |
| Resources         |   |  |  |
| Inpatients        | For staff   |  |  |
| •                 | Staff meetings to discuss concerns  |  |  |
|                   | Guidance  |  |  |
|                   | From professional bodies, eg. British Psychological Society, Royal College of Occupational Therapists |  |  |
|                   | Local (trust), national (Public Health England) and international (World Health Organisation)         |  |  |
| CMHT <sup>†</sup> | For staff   |  |  |
|                   | Informal peer support   |  |  |
|                   | Psychology led reflective groups  |  |  |
|                   | For patients  |  |  |
|                   | Wellbeing packs   |  |  |
|                   | Voluntary organisations providing practical support   |  |  |
|                   | Guidance  |  |  |
|                   | Local (daily updates from the trust), national (Alzheimer's Society, British Geriatrics Society)      |  |  |
|                   | Posters   |  |  |
|                   | Personal protective equipment   |  |  |
| Memory            | For staff   |  |  |
| Service           | Mindfulness sessions  |  |  |
|                   | Informal peer support   |  |  |
|                   | Staff helpline  |  |  |
|                   | For patients  |  |  |
|                   | Activity packs for patients   |  |  |
|                   | Voluntary organisations providing practical support, eg shopping                                      |  |  |
|                   | Guidance  |  |  |
|                   | Local (chief executive daily update, intranet), national (Alzheimer's Society)                        |  |  |
|                   | Webinars  |  |  |
|                   | Specialist guidelines, eg end of life care  |  |  |
|                   | Personal protective equipment   |  |  |

<sup>†</sup>Community Mental Health Team

Table 5. Innovations and changes that staff would like to remain in place and challenges associated with implementing certain innovations.

| Innovations to remain in place |   |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Inpatients                     |   |  |  |
| pationts                       | Facilitating contact with family and friends with video calls                         |  |  |
|                                | Improved focus on physical health   |  |  |
|                                | For staff   |  |  |
|                                | Easier access to parking  |  |  |
|                                | Free meals  |  |  |
|                                | Better forums to discuss concerns with colleagues                                     |  |  |
| CMHT <sup>†</sup>              | For patients  |  |  |
|                                | Well-being phone calls  |  |  |
|                                | More out of hour cover for services   |  |  |
|                                | For staff   |  |  |
|                                | Virtual team meetings   |  |  |
| Memory Service                 | For staff   |  |  |
|                                | Frontline workers being involved in management decisions                              |  |  |
|                                | Flexibility in working patterns   |  |  |
| Challenges with im             | plementing certain innovations and guidelines   |  |  |
| Inpatients                     | Guidelines changing frequently  |  |  |
|                                | Contradictory guidelines  |  |  |
|                                | Difficulty in implementing social distancing in this patient group                    |  |  |
|                                | Use of personal protective equipment  |  |  |
| CMHT*                          | Hierarchical dissemination of information   |  |  |
|                                | Lack of testing   |  |  |
|                                | No clear guidance on what is considered urgent or severe enough to warrant a face-to- |  |  |
|                                | face home visit   |  |  |
| Memory Service                 | Working from home does not allow same level of peer support                           |  |  |
|                                | Some patients cannot use the technology for video calls                               |  |  |
|                                | Some guidelines are developed without an understanding of the practicalities          |  |  |

<sup>†</sup>Community Mental Health Team