PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B

BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

A reassessment of the enigmatic diapsid Paliguana whitei and the early history of Lepidosauromorpha

Journal:	Proceedings B
Manuscript ID	RSPB-2021-1084.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Ford, David; University of the Witwatersrand, Evolutionary Studies Institute Evans, Susan; University College London, Research Department of Cell and Developmental Biology Choiniere, Jonah; University of the Witwatersrand, Evolutionary Studies Institute Fernandez, Vincent; Natural History Museum; ESRF Benson, Roger; University of Oxford, Department of Earth Sciences
Subject:	Evolution < BIOLOGY, Palaeontology < BIOLOGY, Taxonomy and Systematics < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	Lepidosaur, Phylogeny, Synchrotron, Triassic, Anatomy, Lepidosauromorpha
Proceedings B category:	Palaeobiology

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Author-supplied statements

Relevant information will appear here if provided.

Ethics

Does your article include research that required ethical approval or permits?: This article does not present research with ethical considerations

Statement (if applicable): CUST_IF_YES_ETHICS :No data available.

Data

It is a condition of publication that data, code and materials supporting your paper are made publicly available. Does your paper present new data?: Yes

Statement (if applicable):

1. The PPC-SRÂ μ CT data for this study, including all mesh files in .ply format generated from the data together with the tiff stacks for AM3585 are available to the editor/reviewers on the following link:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/ldz0tdgfo6o47c3/AAAqaEYP0QMCt_Y-CB7V0Oima?dl=0

These data will be made available on MorphoSource (URL www.morphosource.org/projects/000362825)

2. supplementary data has been uploaded in the submission files. In addition, the NEXUS file, character/taxon matrix and Bayesian script for the phylogenetic analysis have been uploaded on to Dryad. These data can be accessed temporarily using the following link: https://datadryad.org/stash/share/LqiQxH3jy20ud2HCt65tOPaY8vuaiOcuEHTXyKMjLJc

Conflict of interest

I/We declare we have no competing interests

Statement (if applicable): CUST_STATE_CONFLICT :No data available.

Authors' contributions

CUST_AUTHOR_CONTRIBUTIONS_QUESTION :No data available.

Statement (if applicable): CUST_AUTHOR_CONTRIBUTIONS_TEXT :No data available.

1	A reassessment of the enigmatic diapsid Paliguana whitei and the early history of
2	Lepidosauromorpha.
3	
4	DAVID P. FORD ^{1*} , SUSAN E. EVANS ² , JONAH N. CHOINIERE ¹ , VINCENT
5	FERNANDEZ ^{3,4} and ROGER B.J. BENSON ⁵
6	
7	1 Evolutionary Studies Institute, University of Witwatersrand, 1 Jan Smuts
8	Avenue, Johannesburg 2000, South Africa
9	² Research Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University College
10	London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
11	³ Core Research Laboratories, The Natural History Museum, London, UK.
12	⁴ ESRF, The European Synchrotron, Grenoble, France.
13	⁵ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford,
14	OXI 3AN, UK
15	
16	*Corresponding author <u>dpford@btinternet.com</u>
17	
18	ABSTRACT
19	
20	Lepidosaurs include lizards, snakes, amphisbaenians and the tuatara, comprising
21	a highly speciose evolutionary radiation with widely varying anatomical traits.
22	Their stem-lineage originated by the late middle Permian 259 million years ago,
23	but its early fossil record is poorly documented, obscuring the origins of key
24	anatomical and functional traits of the group. Paliguana whitei, from the Early

25 Triassic of South Africa, is an enigmatic fossil species with potential to provide

26 information on this. However, its anatomy and phylogenetic affinities remain 27 highly uncertain, and have been debated since its discovery more than 100 years 28 ago. We present microtomographic 3D imaging of the cranial anatomy of 29 *Paliguana whitei* that clarifies these uncertainties, providing strong evidence for 30 lepidosauromorph affinities based on the structure of the temporal region and 31 the implantation of marginal dentition. Phylogenetic analysis including these 32 new data recovers Paliguana as the earliest known stem-lepidosaur, within a 33 long-lived group of early-diverging lepidosauromorphs that persisted to at least 34 the Middle Jurassic. Our results provide insights into cranial evolution on the 35 lepidosaur stem-lineage, confirming that characteristics of pleurodont dental 36 implantation evolved early on the lepidosaur stem-lineage. In contrast, key 37 functional traits related to hearing (quadrate conch) and feeding (streptostyly) 38 evolved later in the lepidosaur crown-group.

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41

42 Lepidosaurs include squamates (snakes, lizards and amphisbaenians) and the 43 tuatara, with ca. 11,000 extant species (Uetz *et al.* 2020). Their phylogenetic 44 stem-lineage diverged from all other living reptiles, i.e., turtles + archosaurs, no 45 later than the Capitanian (middle Permian), around 259 million years ago (Ma), 46 based on the timing of early fossil archosaurs (Ezcurra et al. 2014) and 47 molecular clock divergence analysis (Jones *et al.* 2013). Little is known of early 48 fossil stem-lepidosaurs (i.e., non-lepidosaurian lepidosauromorphs; Evans 2003) 49 which are key to understanding the pattern of evolutionary changes that gave 50 rise to their extant groups. Only a few Early/Middle Triassic lepidosauromorphs

51	have been described in detail, e.g., Megachirella wachtleri (Renesto and Posenato
52	2003), Sophineta cracoviensis (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), Fraxinisaura
53	rozynekae (Schoch and Sues 2018), and Vellbergia bartholomaei (Sobral et al.
54	2020). The anatomy of these species is incompletely characterised, and their
55	evolutionary relationships remain subject to debate (e.g., Simões et al. 2018,
56	Griffiths <i>et al.</i> in press). This obscures a wider understanding of the evolutionary
57	divergences that gave rise to extant reptile diversity.
58	
59	Paliguana whitei Broom 1903, from the Lower Triassic of the Eastern Cape
60	province of South Africa, has long been recognised as possessing
61	lepidosauromorph apomorphies. However, due to relatively poor preservation,
62	the anatomy of the skull of <i>Paliguana</i> is difficult to interpret and poorly
63	understood, and its affinities have been debated for over 100 years.
64	Hypothesised affinities of <i>Paliguana</i> have varied depending on interpretations of
65	the anatomy of the temporal region and quadrate morphology, both of which are
66	poorly preserved (Evans 2003, Ezcurra 2016). Broom (1903) originally
67	considered the holotype of <i>Paliguana</i> , a partially complete skull 3cm in length
68	(Fig.1A, C), as a squamate, based on possessing a quadrate with a lateral conch
69	and a ventrally incomplete lateral temporal opening. This interpretation was
70	supported by Camp (1923), and in part by Carroll (1975a, 1975b, 1977), who
71	agreed with Broom's (1903) original interpretation of the temporal region and
72	with squamate affinities. However, other early studies did not support squamate
73	affinities and some dismissed the specimen as being indeterminate or
74	problematic (Watson 1914, Boulenger 1918). Broom (1925) later assigned
75	Paliguana to Eosuchia, a now paraphyletic assemblage of diapsid stem-reptiles,

76 based on a revised interpretation of the temporal region as including a 77 guadratojugal that formed the ventral border of an enclosed lateral temporal 78 opening. Carroll (1975a, 1975b, 1977) proposed a close relationship between Paliguana and two other South African taxa, Saurosternon baini (a headless 79 80 skeleton) and *Palaeagama vielhaueri* (a poorly preserved partial skeleton) and 81 assigned these taxa to the revised Paliguanidae Broom 1926. Subsequently, 82 *Kudnu mackinlayi*, from the Early Triassic of Australia, was also added to this 83 new family of early squamates (Bartholomai 1979). However, later studies found 84 no synapomorphies to support this grouping (Evans 1984, Benton 1985), further 85 emphasising the uncertain affinities of *Paliguana*.

86

87 Early cladistic analysis rejected the hypothesis of *Paliguana* as a squamate or 88 stem-squamate. Instead *Paliguana* was recovered as a stem-lepidosaur, more 89 closely related to the crown-group (rhynchocephalians + squamates) than to 90 other diapsid reptiles (Gauthier *et al.* 1988), based primarily on the presence of a 91 prominent lateral conch of the quadrate (and as originally described by Broom 92 1903). Later studies urged caution due to the lack of clear morphological data 93 (Evans 2003, Jones *et al.* 2013), but *Paliguana* has nevertheless recently been 94 regarded as a stem-lepidosaur mostly based on the presence of this feature 95 (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009, Ezcurra 2014, Schoch and Sues 2018). The 96 lack of consensus on this topic is clear. For example, a recent large-scale analysis 97 of deep reptilian divergences, which considered the quadrate conch to be absent, 98 recovered unresolved affinities for *Paliguana* in a polytomy with 99 Archosauromorpha and Lepidosauromorpha at the base of the reptilian crown-100 group (Simões *et al.* 2018). This highlights ongoing uncertainties regarding the

101 anatomy of *Paliguana* and its potential significance for understanding early102 reptile evolution.

103

104	We present the results of a new analysis of the holotype of Paliguana whitei
105	using synchrotron radiation micro-computed tomography at the European
106	Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). We clarify the temporal morphology of
107	Paliguana and identify the key diagnostic elements of this taxon. Applying these
108	new data to a modified version of a recent phylogenetic analysis (Griffiths et al.
109	in press), we find that <i>Paliguana whitei</i> is a stem-lepidosaur, and the
110	stratigraphically earliest member of a clade that co-existed with crown-group
111	lepidosaurs from the Middle Triassic to at least the Middle Jurassic. Paliguana
112	also provides insights into the anatomical transitions involved in the basal
113	divergence of living reptiles.
114	
115	SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY
116	
117	DIAPSIDA Osborn, 1903
118	LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA Gauthier, Estes and de Queiroz 1988
119	PALIGUANA WHITEI Broom 1903
120	
121	Holotype – AM 3585, partial skull, with the following bones preserved:
122	incomplete frontal and parietal, right jugal and postfrontal, right postorbital
123	missing posterior process, right squamosal missing anterior process, right
124	quadratojugal, right quadrate with ventral condylar region separated, right

125 supratemporal fragment, right ectopterygoid, left postfrontal, partial left jugal,

126 postorbital and quadrate, right exoccipital, left prootic, partial right dentary and 127 splenial, partial left dentary and splenial, posterior right hemimandible, partial 128 right vomer, pterygoid and palatine fragments. Partial maxillae, lacrimals and 129 prefrontals. Premaxillae and nasals not preserved. 130 131 Horizon and age – *Lystrosaurus declivis* Assemblage Zone (LAZ), Upper Katberg 132 Formation, Tarkastad Subgroup, Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup. Induan, 133 Early Triassic (Botha and Smith 2020). The specimen was recovered from a 134 matrix of red sandy clay (Carroll 1975a), a deposit currently regarded as upper 135 LAZ (P. Viglietti pers. comm. 2019). 136 Locality - Donnybrook Farm 349 (32.2 S, 26.56 E) approx. 35.5km southeast of 137 138 Tarkastad, Chris Hani District, Eastern Cape, South Africa. 139 140 Diagnosis – *Paliguana whitei* is characterised by the following autapomorphies: 141 (1) Large quadratojugal foramen for the passage of the mandibular veins 142 (quadrate foramen sensu Romer 1956) formed by the quadrate posteriorly, the 143 quadratojugal and quadrate ventrally and the squamosal anteriorly. (2) 144 Suborbital process of jugal extends anteriorly close to the level of the anterior 145 margin of the orbit, posterior process short and spur-like. (3) Subcircular raised 146 boss on the anterodorsal surface of the parietal, extending onto the 147 posterodorsal surface of the frontal. A detailed description of the anatomy of the 148 skull of *Paliguana whitei* is provided in Supplementary Data 1. 149 150 MATERIAL AND METHODS

152	Paliguana whitei is represented by a single specimen, AM 3585 (holotype), held
153	at the Albany Museum, Grahamstown, Eastern Cape, South Africa. The specimen
154	was characterised at the ID19 beamline of the European Synchrotron Radiation
155	Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France) using propagation phase contrast synchrotron
156	radiation micro-computed tomography (PPC-SR μ CT). The experimental setup
157	consisted of filtered white beam (wiggler W150 gap 58 mm, filters: Cu 5 mm)
158	with a total integrated detected energy of 80 keV, a sample-detector propagation
159	distance of 2.5 m and an indirect detector (200 μm LuAG scintillator; 1.5x
160	magnification from two photographic Hasselblad lenses, sCMOS PCO edge 4.2
161	Gold) producing data with an isotropic voxel size of 4.35 $\mu m.$ Refer to
162	Supplementary Data 3 for details on the acquisition of the reconstructed volume.
163	
164	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0
164 165	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (<u>http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics</u>). The 3D models made in
164 165 166	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (<u>http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics</u>). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a
164 165 166 167	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a (https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in this
164 165 166 167 168	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a (https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in this study. The PPC-SRµCT data for this study, including all mesh files in. ply format
164 165 166 167 168 169	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a (https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in this study. The PPC-SRµCT data for this study, including all mesh files in. ply format
164 165 166 167 168 169 170	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0(http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made inMimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a(https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in thisstudy. The PPC-SRµCT data for this study, including all mesh files in. ply formatgenerated from the data together with the tiff stacks for AM3585 are available inMorphoSource (https://www.morphosource.org/projects/000362825).
164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a (https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in this study. The PPC-SRµCT data for this study, including all mesh files in. ply format generated from the data together with the tiff stacks for AM3585 are available in MorphoSource (https://www.morphosource.org/projects/000362825).
164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172	The specimen was digitally segmented using Mimics materialise v.19.0 (http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics). The 3D models made in Mimics were exported as .ply files and imported into Blender v.2.81a (https://www.blender.org) and rendered as 2D images for the figures in this study. The PPC-SRµCT data for this study, including all mesh files in. ply format generated from the data together with the tiff stacks for AM3585 are available in MorphoSource (https://www.morphosource.org/projects/000362825).

174 earlier observations on key aspects of the anatomy of *Paliguana whitei*, resolving

175	long-standing uncertainties regarding the cranial morphology of this enigmatic
176	taxon (Figs. 1, 2 and Supplementary Data 1). Paliguana shares several features
177	with stem-lepidosaurs, e.g., Fraxinisaura (Schoch and Sues 2018) and
178	Marmoretta (Griffiths et al. in press). For example, we confirm the lateral
179	temporal opening is not enclosed ventrally (<i>contra</i> Broom 1925), and that the
180	posteroventral process of the jugal formed a small, spur-like projection (Fig.2);
181	the lacrimal is greatly reduced and confined to the orbital margin (see
182	Supplementary Data 1, Fig.2A); the postfrontals and postorbital are separate
183	elements (see Supplementary Data 1, Fig. 3) and the frontals are fused (see
184	Supplementary Data 1, Fig.4), features also present in Marmoretta (Griffiths et al.
185	in press).

187 Importantly, our data provide clear evidence that the lateral conch of the 188 quadrate is absent in *Paliguana*, confirming the score of that character in the 189 phylogenetic matrix of Simões et al. (2018). The conch is also absent in the 190 Jurassic stem-lepidosaur Marmoretta (Griffiths et al. in press), but is present in 191 most crown-group lepidosaurs, including Triassic taxa such as Megachirella 192 (Simões et al. 2018) and Sophineta (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009). The 193 quadrate conch is formed by the posterolateral curvature of the anterior lamina 194 of the quadrate and is associated with the anterior point of contact of the 195 tympanic membrane and the evolution of impedance matching hearing in 196 lepidosaurs (Evans 2008). Debate regarding its presence in *Paliguana* has been 197 central to hypotheses of its phylogenetic affinities (Carroll 1975a, Evans and 198 Borsuk-Białynicka 2009, Ezcurra 2014, Schoch and Sues 2018; Simoes et al. 199 2018), underlining the importance of this observation.

201	Paliguana possesses a large quadratojugal foramen, enclosed ventrally by a small
202	horizontally oriented quadratojugal (Fig. 2). This opening was considered to be
203	an artefact of preservation in some previous studies (Carroll 1975a) and is
204	absent in most other lepidosauromorphs, in which the quadratojugal, if present,
205	is reduced to a small vertically oriented bone in close contact with or
206	incorporated into the anteroventral surface of quadrate (Evans 2003). An
207	exception to this can be seen in fossil and extant rhynchocephalians, where the
208	quadratojugal forms an enlarged quadratojugal foramen with the quadrate, e.g.,
209	Sphenodon, Zapatadon and Sphenotitan (Evans 2008, Reynoso and Clark 1998,
210	Martinez <i>et al.</i> 2013).
211	
212	Paliguana exhibits 'subpleurodont' (Presch 1974, Zaher and Rieppel 1999)
213	implantation of the marginal dentition. Its teeth are set in a shallow groove, the
214	labial wall being twice the height of the lingual wall (Fig. 3). The tooth bases are
215	partially enclosed mesially and distally by a short interdental lamina projecting
216	from the lingual wall (see Supplementary Data 1, Fig.12). The root is encased in
217	alveolar bone. However, material of attachment, which is separate from the
218	alveolar bone and possibly represents a mineralised ligament, is present along
219	the lingual surface of the tooth root (Fig. 3). This is somewhat similar to the
220	ankylosing bone present at the base of marginal dentition in Sophineta (Evans
221	and Borsuk-Białynicka 2008) and extant teiid squamates (Bertin et al. 2018).
222	The relative height of the lingual wall and the presence of short interdental
223	laminae contrasts with the situation in many extant squamates, which often have
224	a lower or absent lingual wall, and the absence of interdental laminae. These

225	observations suggest that tooth implantation in <i>Paliguana</i> may represent an
226	intermediate morphology between the subthecodonty of diapsid stem-reptiles,
227	e.g., Orovenator (Ford and Benson 2019), and the pleurodonty of derived
228	lepidosaurs such as Huehuecuetzpalli (Reynoso 1998) and Eichstaettisaurus
229	(Simões <i>et al</i> . 2017).

231 We also find that *Paliguana* retains plesiomorphic diapsid features that have 232 been lost in early lepidosaurs. For example, the postfrontal is excluded from the 233 upper temporal fenestra (UTF) by contact between the postorbital and parietal 234 (Fig. 2), as in diapsid stem-reptiles, e.g., Petrolacosaurus (Reisz 1981), Araeoscelis 235 (Reisz et al. 1984) and Youngina (Reisz et al. 2000). This contrasts with other 236 lepidosauromorphs in which the postfrontal, where recognised as a separate 237 element from the postorbital, contributes to the UTF, e.g., Marmoretta (Griffiths 238 et al. in press), Sophineta (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), Gephyrosaurus 239 (Evans 1980), Huehuecuetzpalli (Reynoso 1997) and Eichstaettisaurus (Simões et 240 al. 2017). Paliguana also shows absence of an alar process on the prootic and of 241 the crista prootica (see Supplementary Data 1, Fig.10), as generally considered to 242 be primitive for reptiles, although also absent in the extant tuatara and 243 chamaeleonids (Evans 2008). 244 245 PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS 246

240

247 We included new data on the anatomy of *Paliguana* to the phylogenetic data

248 matrix of Griffiths *et al.* (in press), which was extensively modified from Simões

et al. (2018). In addition to re-scoring the anatomy of *Paliguana*, we also revised

250	the characters concerning the implantation of marginal dentition. Multistate
251	characters 212 and 213 of the original character-taxon matrix were removed and
252	replaced by six new binary characters (c.376-381), which recognise independent
253	variation in the morphology of tooth implantation among reptiles. We also added
254	a recently reported species to the dataset (Vellbergia bartholomaei). The
255	modified character-taxon matrix was analysed using a non-time calibrated
256	Bayesian Mkv model in MrBayes v.3.2.5 (Ronquist <i>et al</i> . 2012). Our results were
257	expressed in a 50% majority rule tree and a maximum clade credibility (MCC)
258	tree. Refer to Supplementary Data 2 for extended details.
259	
260	Our initial analysis recovered a highly unstable phylogenetic position for one
261	taxon, the possible lepidosauromorph Palaeagama vielhaueri, which was
262	therefore excluded from our main analyses. This taxon is known from very
263	incomplete data from which it is difficult to make confident anatomical
264	interpretations. Palaeagama, from the late Permian/Early Triassic of South
265	Africa, was originally described as a stem-reptile (Broom 1926), and later
266	hypothesised to form a group with <i>Paliguana</i> (Carroll 1975a, 1975b, 1977).
267	Recent analysis has recovered Palaeagama as a neodiapsid stem-reptile (Ezcurra
268	et al. 2014), an archosauromorph (Simões et al. 2018), and an early stem-
269	lepidosaur (Sobral et al. 2020). The inclusion of Palaeagama in our dataset
270	recovers this taxon in a broad polytomy with crown-group reptile clades in the
271	50% majority rule tree, or as a crown-group squamate in the MCC tree (see
272	Supplementary Data). We consider the phylogenetic position of <i>Palaeagama</i> as
273	currently problematic (and also see Sobral et al. 2020), pending further
274	investigation of the holotype and only specimen held at the McGregor Museum,

275	Kimberley (refer to Supplementary Data 5 for details on these changes, together
276	with a revised character list).

278	Paliguana whitei is recovered as a stem-lepidosaur in both tree topologies,
279	within a clade that includes Marmoretta and Fraxinisaura (posterior probability
280	= 0.73 - see Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data). We find strong support for the
281	inclusion of this group within Lepidosauromorpha (posterior probability = 1).
282	Paliguana is therefore the stratigraphically earliest member of a long-lived group
283	of non-lepidosaurian lepidosauromorphs that co-existed with the crown-group,
284	differing substantially from other recent studies which did not recover Paliguana
285	as a lepidosauromorph (Simões <i>et al.</i> 2018; Griffiths <i>et al.</i> in press).
286	
287	We find both Sophineta and Vellbergia as crown-group lepidosaurs, more closely
288	related to rhynchocephalians than squamates in the MCC tree, although support
289	for <i>Sophineta</i> in this respect is relatively low (posterior probability = 0.27).
290	Megachirella is recovered as a stem-squamate in the MCC tree, in accordance
291	with recent studies (Simões <i>et al.</i> 2018, Sobral <i>et al.</i> 2020, Griffiths <i>et al.</i> in
292	press). Support for this taxon within Squamata, however, is poor (posterior
293	probability = 0.38). We recover <i>Megachirella</i> as a crown-group lepidosaur in a
294	polytomy with rhynchocephalians, squamates and Sophineta in the 50% majority
295	rule tree (see Supplementary Data 2).
296	
297	Kuehneosaurs, an enigmatic group of early gliding reptiles, are recovered as
298	early diverging lepidosauromorphs in the MCC tree, with low support (posterior

probability = 0.33), and as an unresolved group in the 50% majority rule tree

(see Supplementary Data 2). The phylogenetic affinities of kuehneosaurs

300

301 therefore remain largely unresolved, with recent analyses suggesting a position 302 within Archosauromorpha (Pritchard and Nesbitt 2017, Pritchard and Sues 303 2019, Sobral et al. 2020). 304 305 DISCUSSION 306 307 The new data on *Paliguana* and its phylogenetic position as an early diverging 308 lepidosauromorph provides evidence on the ancestral condition and 309 evolutionary assembly of key functional traits along the lepidosaur stem-lineage 310 (Fig. 4). We find that several typically lepidosaurian traits were present in the 311 common ancestor of the reptilian crown-group, based on their presence both in 312 early lepidosauromorphs and early archosauromorphs. These include absence of 313 the lower temporal bar and a bowed posterior surface of the quadrate (see 314 Evans 2003, Müller 2003, Evans and Jones 2010). All lepidosauromorphs possess 315 a reduced lacrimal and, with some exceptions (see below), subpleurodont or 316 pleurodont marginal dentition as synapomorphies of the group. Therefore, this 317 characteristic mode of dental implantation seen in many extant squamates 318 appeared early on the lepidosaur stem-lineage. *Paliguana* exhibits 319 subpleurodont implantation (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data 1). This suggests 320 that subpleurodonty is the primitive condition for Lepidosauromorpha, and is 321 intermediate between subthecodonty of many early amniotes, and pleurodonty 322 that is widespread in squamates. Acrodont tooth implantation subsequently 323 appeared independently in some crown-lepidosaurs, e.g., partial acrodonty in 324 Vellbergia (Sobral et al. 2020) and rhynchocephalians (other than

Gephyrosaurus), acrodont iguanians (agamids and chameleons, Evans 2008) and
trogonophid amphisbaenians (Macey *et al.* 2004). Stem-lepidosaurs,
rhynchocephalians and the early stem-squamate *Megachirella* also share an
orbital exposure of the maxilla, which was lost in many squamates. The lateral
conch of the quadrate is a synapomorphy of crown-group lepidosaurs that is
absent in all known stem-lepidosaurs but was acquired convergently in
kuehneosaurs.

332

333 *Paliguana* possesses an anteroventral process of the squamosal that contacts 334 both the quadrate and quadratojugal (Fig. 2), negating the possibility of a 335 streptostylic skull. Streptostyly is an important functional characteristic of the 336 skull of extant squamates, in which the quadrate hinges anteroposteriorly during 337 biting, thereby increasing bite force (Rieppel 1978, Iordansky 2011), and some 338 studies considered it to be present in *Paliguana* (e.g., Smith 1980). However, in 339 *Paliguana* the anteroventral process of the squamosal makes extensive contact 340 with the quadrate and quadratojugal preventing significant motion of the 341 quadrate within the skull (Evans 2008). Lack of streptostyly in *Paliguana* is also 342 supported by a broad pterygoid wing of the quadrate (see Supplementary Data 1, 343 Fig.5), suggesting extensive contact with the quadrate ramus of the pterygoid. A 344 similar condition is present in *Megachirella*, in which the pterygoid wing of the 345 quadrate is very well developed (Simões et al. 2018), implying the absence of 346 streptostyly even among possible early members of the squamate stem-lineage. 347 Nevertheless, the loss the anteroventral process of the squamosal and the partial 348 fusion of the quadratojugal to the quadrate in *Megachirella* (Simões *et al.* 2018) 349 could indicate a stepwise evolutionary origin of the prerequisites of streptostyly

350	in squamates. Crown-group squamates exhibit reduction of the
351	pterygoid/quadrate overlap and dorsal condyle of the quadrate, and the
352	presence of a synovial joint between the epipterygoid and pterygoid. These traits
353	together allow a greater degree of cranial kinesis, culminating in the
354	hyperstreptostylic quadrate of many extant lizards, e.g., some iguanians,
355	agamids, chameleons and lacertids (Evans 2008).
356	
357	Kuehneosaurs possess a reduced dorsal condyle of the quadrate, and together
358	with the loss of the anteroventral process of the squamosal, this may have
359	permitted some movement of the quadrates in relation to the skull, although
360	with an extensive pterygoid/quadrate overlap this is not considered homologous
361	with squamate streptostyly (Evans 2009), as it would involve a bilateral
362	movement of both pterygoid and quadrate together, widening the posterior part
363	of the interpterygoid vacuity.

365 Our anatomical data and phylogenetic results provide strong support for the 366 lepidosauromorph affinities of *Paliguana*. Furthermore, based on its earliest 367 Triassic age, *Paliguana* is currently the oldest unambiguous lepidosauromorph. 368 This contrasts with the age of the earliest known unequivocal archosauromorph, 369 Protorosaurus speneri from the middle Wuchiapingian of Germany and England 370 (Ezcurra et al. 2014), which implies that lepidosaur stem-lineage had originated 371 by the middle Permian (259.1 Ma) (Evans and Jones 2010, Jones et al. 2013, 372 Ezcurra et al. 2014). Lepidosauromorpha is therefore characterised by a ghost 373 lineage, indicating at least 7 million years of missing information in their early 374 evolutionary history. Our results also provide insights into the co-existence of

375 the lepidosaur crown-group with their stem-lineage. On current evidence, 376 *Paliguana, Fraxinisaura* and *Marmoretta* belong to a monophyletic group of 377 early-diverging stem-lepidosaurs. Inclusion of *Paliguana*, the earliest known 378 lepidosauromorph, suggests that this group originated very early in the history 379 of Lepidosauromorpha, and then persisted alongside rhynchocephalians and 380 squamates well into the Jurassic (based on the Middle Jurassic occurrence of 381 *Marmoretta*). The mixed nature of Triassic–Middle Jurassic lepidosauromorph 382 assemblages contrasts with the exclusive occurrence of squamates in almost all 383 geographic regions in the present day.

384

Paliguana whitei is the earliest known member of a relatively small number of
Early/Middle Triassic lepidosauromorphs, a group that includes *Fraxinisaura rozynekae, Vellbergia bartholomaei, Sophineta cracoviensis* and *Megachirella wachtleri*. New discoveries and continued study of these important fossil
specimens has considerable potential to further enhance understanding of the
ancestral traits of lepidosauromorphs and the origins of modern squamates.

391

392 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Rose Prevec for access to the holotype, and Billy de
Klerk for assistance on the location of Donnybrook Farm. We also thank Pia
Viglietti for her advice on the sedimentology of the locality. We are grateful
to the beamline staff at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) for
providing assistance in using beamline ID19. We also acknowledge with thanks
the suggestions and comments of the Referees in improving the final version of
the manuscript.

400	
401	FUNDING
402	DPF was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship grant from the National
403	Research Foundation of South Africa (NRF), and JNC from NRF AOP grants 98800
404	and 118794.
405	
406	REFERENCES
407	
408	Bartholomai, A., 1979. New lizard-like reptiles from the Early Triassic of
409	Queensland. Alcheringa, 3(3), pp.225-234.
410	https://doi.org/10.1080/03115517908527795
411	
412	Benton, M.J., 1985. Classification and phylogeny of the diapsid reptiles. Zoological
413	Journal of the Linnean Society, 84(2), pp.97-164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-</u>
414	<u>3642.1985.tb01796.x</u>
415	
416	Bertin, T.J., Thivichon-Prince, B., LeBlanc, A.R., Caldwell, M.W. and Viriot, L., 2018.
417	Current perspectives on tooth implantation, attachment, and replacement in
418	Amniota. <i>Frontiers in Physiology</i> , 9, p.1630.
419	
420	Blender - a 3D modelling and rendering package, Stichting Blender Foundation,
421	Amsterdam. Available at: <u>https://www.blender.org</u>
422	

423	Boulenger,	M.GA.,	1918.	Considéra	tions sur	les a	affinitiés	et la	disp	persion
	0,									

- 424 géographique des Lacertides. Comptes Rendus Hebdomodaires des séances de
- 425 *l'Académie des Sciences*, *166*, pp.594-598.
- 426
- 427 Botha, J. and Smith, R.M.H., 2020. Biostratigraphy of the *Lystrosaurus declivis*
- 428 Assemblage Zone (Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup), South Africa. South
- 429 African Journal of Geology 2020, 123(2), pp.207-216.
- 430 https://doi.org/10.25131/sajg.123.0015
- 431
- 432 Broom, R., 1903. On the skull of a true lizard (*Paliguana whitei*) from the Triassic
- 433 beds of South Africa. *Records of the Albany Museum*, *1*(1), pp.1-3.
- 434 https://doi.org/10.1086/621096
- 435
- 436 Broom, R., 1925. On the origin of lizards. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of*
- 437 *London*, 95(1), pp. 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1925.tb03338.x
- 438
- 439 Broom, R., 1926. On a nearly complete skeleton of a new eosuchian reptile
- 440 (Palaeagama vielhaueri, gen. et sp. nov.). In Proceedings of the Zoological Society
- 441 of London, 96(2), pp. 487-491. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
- 442 7998.1926.tb08109.x
- 443
- 444 Camp, C.L., 1923. Classification of the lizards. *Bulletin of the American Museum of*
- 445 *Natural History, 48,* pp.289-481.
- 446

- 447 Carroll, R.L., 1975a. Permo-Triassic "Lizards" from the Karroo. *Palaeontologica*
- 448 *Africana*, *18*, pp.71-87.
- 449
- 450 Carroll, R.L., 1975b. The Early Differentiation of Diapsid Reptiles. In *Problèmes*
- 451 Actual de Paléontologie (Évolution des Vertébrés), Éditions du Centre National de
- 452 la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, *218*, pp.433-449.
- 453
- 454 Carroll, R.L., 1977. The Origin of Lizards. In S. Mahala Andrews, R.S. Miles, A.D.
- 455 Walker (eds.), *Problems in Vertebrate Evolution*, The Linnean Society of London,
- 456 Academic Press Inc. (London) Limited.
- 457
- 458 Colbert, E.H., 1966. A gliding reptile from the Triassic of New Jersey. *American*
- 459 *Museum Novitates*, 2246, pp.1-23.
- 460
- 461 Evans, S.E., 1980. The skull of a new eosuchian reptile from the Lower Jurassic of
- 462 South Wales. *Zoological journal of the Linnean Society*, *70*(3), pp.203-264.
- 463 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1980.tb00852.x
- 464
- 465 Evans, S.E., 1984. The classification of the Lepidosauria. Zoological Journal of the
- 466 *Linnean Society*, 82, pp.87-100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-
- 467 3642.1984.tb00537.x
- 468
- 469 Evans, S.E., 1991. A new lizard-like reptile (Diapsida: Lepidosauromorpha) from
- 470 the Middle Jurassic of England. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 103,
- 471 pp.391-412. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1991.tb00910.x

- 473 Evans, S.E., 2003. At the feet of the dinosaurs: the early history and radiation of
- 474 lizards. *Biological Reviews, 78*, pp.513-551.
- 475 https://doi.org/10.1017/s1464793103006134

476

- 477 Evans, S.E., 2008. The Skull of Lizards and the Tuatara. In C. Gans, A.S. Gaunt and
- 478 K. Adler (eds.), *Biology of the Reptilia*, vol. 20 (The Skull of Lepidosauria). Society
- 479 for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Ithaca, New York, Contributions to
- 480 Herpetology, vol. 23, pp. 1–347.
- 481 Evans, S.E., 2009. An early kuehneosaurid reptile (Reptilia: Diapsida) from the
- 482 Early Triassic of Poland. *Palaeontologia Polonica 65*, pp.145–178.
- 483 Evans, S.E. and Borsuk-Białynicka, M., 2009 A small lepidosauromorph reptile
- 484 from the Early Triassic of Poland. *Palaeontologia Polonica* 65, pp.179–202.
- 485 Evans, S.E. and Jones, M.E.H., 2010. The Origin, Early History and Diversification
- 486 of Lepidosauromorph Reptiles. In S. Bandyopadhyay (ed.), New Aspects of
- 487 *Mesozoic Biodiversity*, Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences 132. Springer-Verlag,

488 Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10311-7_2

489

- 490 Ezcurra, M.D., Scheyer, T.M. and Butler, R.J., 2014. The Origin and Early Evolution
- 491 of Sauria: Reassessing the Permian Saurian Fossil Record and the Timing of the
- 492 Crocodile-Lizard Divergence. *PLoS ONE* 9(2). https://doi.org/10.7934/p3257

- 493 Ezcurra, M.D., 2016. The phylogenetic relationships of basal archosauromorphs,
- 494 with an emphasis on the systematics of proterosuchian archosauriforms. *PeerJ*, *4*,
- 495 e1778. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1778
- 496
- 497 Ford, D.P. and Benson, R.B.J., 2019. A redescription of *Orovenator mayorum*
- 498 (Sauropsida, Diapsida) using high-resolution μ CT, and the consequences for
- 499 early amniote phylogeny. *Papers in Palaeontology*, 5(2), pp.197-239.
- 500 https://doi.org/10.1002/spp2.1236
- 501
- 502 Gauthier, J., Estes, R.D. and De Queiroz, K., 1988. *A phylogenetic analysis of*
- 503 Lepidosauromorpha. In Estes R and Pregill G., (eds) Phylogenetic relationships of
- 504 the lizard families: essays commemorating Charles L. Camp. Stanford University
- 505 Press, pp.15 -98.
- 506
- 507 Griffiths, E.F., Ford, D.P., Benson, R.B.J. and Evans, S.E., (in press). New
- 508 information on the Jurassic lepidosauromorph Marmoretta oxoniensis. Papers in
- 509 Palaeontology
- 510
- 511 Heled, J. and Bouckaert, R.R., 2013. Looking for trees in the forest: summary tree
- 512 from posterior samples. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *13*(1), p.221.
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-221
- 514
- 515 Iordansky, N.N., 2011. Cranial kinesis in lizards (Lacertilia): origin, biomechanics,
- and evolution. *Biology Bulletin*, *38*(9), pp.868-877.
- 517 https://doi.org/10.1134/s1062359011090032

518	
519	Jones, M.E., Anderson, C.L., Hipsley, C.A., Müller, J., Evans, S.E. and Schoch, R.R.,
520	2013. Integration of molecules and new fossils supports a Triassic origin for
521	Lepidosauria (lizards, snakes, and tuatara). BMC Evolutionary Biology, 13(1),
522	p.208. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-208
523	
524	Martínez, R.N., Apaldetti, C., Colombi, C.E., Praderio, A., Fernandez, E., Malnis, P.S.,
525	Correa, G.A., Abelin, D. and Alcober, O., 2013. A new sphenodontian
526	(Lepidosauria: Rhynchocephalia) from the Late Triassic of Argentina and the
527	early origin of the herbivore opisthodontians. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
528	Biological Sciences, 280(1772), 20132057.
529	https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2057
530	
531	Macartney, J. 1802. Preface and Table III; in Lectures on Comparative Anatomy.
532	Translated from the French of G. Cuvier by William Ross, under the inspection of
533	James Macartney. Volume 1 - On the Organs of Motion. Longman and Rees,
534	London.
535	
536	Macey, J.R., Papenfuss, T.J., Kuehl, J.V., Fourcade, H.M. and Boore, J.L., 2004.

- 537 Phylogenetic relationships among amphisbaenian reptiles based on complete
- 538 mitochondrial genomic sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 33(1),
- 539 pp.22-31.
- 540

- 541 Materialise, Leuven, Belgium. Mimics Innovation Suite available at:
- 542 <u>http://www.biomedical.materiale.com/mimics</u>
- 543
- 544 Müller, J., 2003. Early loss and multiple return of the lower temporal arcade in
- 545 diapsid reptiles. *Naturwissenschaften*, *90*(10), pp.473-476.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-003-0461-0
- 547
- 548 Osborn, H.F., 1903. On the primary division of the Reptilia into two sub-classes,
- 549 Synapsida and Diapsida. *Science*, *17*(424), pp.275-276.
- 550 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.17.424.275-b
- 551
- 552 Pardo, J.D., Szostakiwskyj, M., Ahlberg, P.E. and Anderson, J.S., 2017. Hidden
- morphological diversity among early tetrapods. *Nature*, *546*(7660), pp.642-645.
- 554 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22966
- 555
- 556 Presch, W., 1974. A survey of the dentition of the macroteiid lizards (Teiidae:
- 557 Lacertilia). *Herpetologica*, pp.344-349.
- 558
- 559 Pritchard, A.C. and Nesbitt, S.J., 2017. A bird-like skull in a Triassic diapsid reptile
- 560 increases heterogeneity of the morphological and phylogenetic radiation of
- 561 Diapsida. *Royal Society open science*, 4(10).
- 562 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170499
- 563
- 564 Pritchard, A.C. and Sues, H.D., 2019. Postcranial remains of *Teraterpeton*
- 565 *hrynewichorum* (Reptilia: Archosauromorpha) and the mosaic evolution of the

- 566 saurian postcranial skeleton. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, 17(20),
- 567 pp.1745-1765. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2018.1551249
- 568
- Rambaut, A. and Drummond, A.J. 2018. TreeAnnotator v1.10.4: MCMC Output
- 570 analysis, <u>https://www.beast.community</u>
- 571
- 572 Rieppel, O., 1978. Streptostyly and muscle function in lizards. *Experientia*, *34*(6),
- 573 pp.776-777. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01947321
- 574
- 575 Reisz, R.R., 1981. A diapsid reptile from the Pennsylvanian of Kansas. Special
- 576 *publication of the Museum of Natural History*, University of Kansas, Number 7.
- 577 https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.8440
- 578
- 579 Reisz, R.R., Berman, D.S. and Scott, D., 1984. The anatomy and relationships of
- the Lower Permian reptile Araeoscelis. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 4(1),
- 581 pp.57-67. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.1984.10011986
- 582
- 583 Reisz, R.R., Modesto, S.P. and Scott, D., 2000. Acanthotoposaurus bremneri and the
- 584 origin of the Triassic archosauromorph reptile fauna of South Africa. *South*
- 585 *African Journal of Science*, 96(8), pp.443-445.
- 586
- 587 Renesto, S. and Posenato, R., 2003. A new lepidosauromorph reptile from the
- 588 Middle Triassic of the Dolomites (Northern Italy). *Rivista Italiana di*
- 589 Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, 109(3).
- 590

- 591 Reynoso, V.H., 1998. *Huehuecuetzpalli mixtecus* gen. et sp. nov: a basal squamate
- 592 (Reptilia) from the Early Cretaceous of Tepexi de Rodríguez, Central
- 593 México. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B:
- 594 *Biological Sciences*, *353*(1367), pp.477-500.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0224
- 596
- 597 Reynoso, V.H. and Clark, J.M., 1998. A dwarf sphenodontian from the Jurassic La
- 598 Boca formation of Tamaulipas, México. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 18(2),
- 599 pp.333-339. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.1998.10011061
- 600
- 601 Robinson, P.L., 1962. Gliding lizards from the upper Keuper of Great Britain.
- 602 In Proceedings of the Geological Society of London, 1601, pp. 137-146.
- 603
- Robinson, P.L., 1967. Triassic vertebrates from lowland and upland. *Science and*
- 605 *Culture, 33,* pp.169-173.
- 606
- Romer, A.S., 1956. Osteology of the Reptiles. University of Chicago Press, Illinois.
- 609 Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S.,
- 610 Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A. and Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2:
- 611 efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model
- 612 space. *Systematic biology*, *61*(3), pp.539-542.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- 614

- 615 Schoch, R.R. and Sues, H.D., 2018. A new lepidosauromorph reptile from the
- 616 Middle Triassic (Ladinian) of Germany and its phylogenetic relationships. *Journal*
- 617 *of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *38*(2), e1444619.
- 618 https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2018.1444619
- 619
- 620 Simões, T.R., Caldwell, M.W., Nydam, R.L. and Jiménez-Huidobro, P., 2017.
- 621 Osteology, phylogeny, and functional morphology of two Jurassic lizard species
- and the early evolution of scansoriality in geckoes. *Zoological Journal of the*
- 623 *Linnean Society*, *180*(1), pp.216-241. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12487
- 624
- 625 Simões, T.R., Caldwell, M.W., Talanda, M., Bernardi, M., Palci, A., Vernygora, O.,
- 626 Bernardini, F., Mancini, L. and Nydam, R.L., 2018. The origin of squamates
- 627 revealed by a Middle Triassic lizard from the Italian Alps. *Nature*, *557*(7707),
- 628 pp.706-709. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0093-3
- 629
- 630 Smith, K.K., 1980. Mechanical significance of streptostyly in
- 631 lizards. *Nature*, 283(5749), pp.778-779. https://doi.org/10.1038/283778a0
- 632
- 633 Sobral, G., Sues, H.D. and Müller, J., 2015. Anatomy of the enigmatic reptile
- 634 *Elachistosuchus huenei* Janensch, 1949 (Reptilia: Diapsida) from the Upper
- 635 Triassic of Germany and its relevance for the origin of Sauria. *PloS one*, 10(9),
- 636 e0135114. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135114
- 637
- 638 Sobral, G., Simões, T.R. and Schoch, R.R., 2020. A tiny new Middle Triassic stem-
- 639 lepidosauromorph from Germany: implications for the early evolution of

- 640 lepidosauromorphs and the Vellberg fauna. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), pp.1-9.
- 641 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58883-x
- 642
- 643 Swofford, D. L., 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and
- other methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass.
- 645
- 646 Uetz, P., Freed, P and Hošek, J. (eds.) The Reptile Database, <u>http://www.reptile-</u>
- 647 <u>database.org</u>, assessed Feb. 2021.
- 648
- 649 Watson, D.M.S., 1914. XI. *Pleurosaurus* and the homologies of the bones of the
- 650 temporal region of the lizard's skull. *Annals and Magazine of Natural*
- 651 *History*, 14(79), pp.84-95. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222931408693547
- 652
- 653 Zaher, H. and Rieppel, O., 1999. Tooth implantation and replacement in
- 654 squamates, with special reference to mosasaur lizards and snakes. *American*
- 655 *Museum Novitates*, 3271.
- 656
- 657
- 658
- 659
- 660
- 661
- 662
- 663
- 664

665	Figure captions
666	
667	Figure 1. AM 3585, holotype of <i>Paliguana whitei</i> . A-B, right dorsolateral view; B,
668	bones segmented from the μCT scan of specimen. C-D, right lateral view; C, bones
669	segmented from the μ CT scan of specimen.
670	
671	Figure 2. Segmented bones of the temporal region of Paliguana whitei in right
672	lateral view. A, reconstruction of the right temporal region; B, diagram of the
673	hypothetical complete temporal region of Paliguana whitei.
674	
675	Figure 3. Transverse section of right dentary of Paliguana whitei, showing
676	attachment of marginal tooth to the jawbone. A, Synchrotron scan in mesial view;
677	B, diagrammatical representation of the scan slice.
678	
679	Figure 4. Time calibrated tree topology based on results of Bayesian Mkv model
680	(halfcompat – 50% majority rule) recovered from the revised dataset of Griffiths
681	et al. (in press) and condensed to highlight early lepidosauromorph phylogeny.
682	Red bars indicate appearance ranges based on the fossil record. Red arrows
683	indicate extended appearance ranges beyond the parameters of the figure. Black
684	arrows indicate first appearance datum beyond the parameters of the figure.
685	Abbreviations: U, D, A = Unambiguous, Deltran and Acctran optimisation in
686	halfcompat tree.



Figure 1. AM 3585, holotype of Paliguana whitei. A-B, right dorsolateral view; B, bones segmented from the μ CT scan of specimen. C-D, right lateral view; C, bones segmented from the μ CT scan of specimen.

168x120mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Segmented bones of the temporal region of Paliguana whitei in right lateral view. A, reconstruction of the right temporal region; B, diagram of the hypothetical complete temporal region of Paliguana whitei.

170x73mm (300 x 300 DPI)

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb



Figure 3. Transverse section of right dentary of Paliguana whitei, showing attachment of marginal tooth to the jawbone. A, Synchrotron scan in mesial view; B, diagrammatical representation of the scan slice.

173x69mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4. Time calibrated tree topology based on results of Bayesian Mkv model (halfcompat – 50% majority rule) recovered from the revised dataset of Griffiths et al. (in press) and condensed to highlight early lepidosauromorph phylogeny. Red bars indicate appearance ranges based on the fossil record. Red arrows indicate extended appearance ranges beyond the parameters of the figure. Black arrows indicate first appearance datum beyond the parameters of the figure. Abbreviations: U, D, A = Unambiguous, Deltran and Acctran optimisation in halfcompat tree.

171x112mm (300 x 300 DPI)

A REASSESSMENT OF THE ENIGMATIC DIAPSID PALIGUANA WHITEI AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA

Authors: David P. Ford, Susan E. Evans, Jonah N. Choiniere, Vincent Fernandez and Roger B.J. Benson

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 1

Description

The holotype (AM 3585) is a partial skull, 30mm in anteroposterior length and 20mm in maximum transverse width (Fig.1). The antorbital region of the skull is anteroposteriorly short compared to the orbit and postorbital region. However, some degree of anteroposterior crushing is evident in the medial bowing of the anterior right hemimandible and the displacement of the right maxilla. The preservation of the bones on the left of the skull is poor, and most of the elements described are from the right side of the skull. Fragments of the anterior palate are preserved, along with palatal dentition. Some bones of the braincase are present, but only the right exoccipital and right prootic can be identified with any confidence.



Figure 1. AM 3585, holotype of *Paliguana whitei*. A, right dorsolateral view; B, right lateral view.

Rostrum

Many of the bones of the rostrum are absent, e.g. premaxilla, nasals, anterior maxilla and anterior prefrontals, or very poorly preserved (Broom 1903; Carroll 1975a). However, the right lacrimal and parts of the maxilla and prefrontal are present, providing important diagnostic detail.

Maxilla. Both maxillae are partially preserved. The right maxilla has been displaced dorsally relative to the jugal (Fig.2A). The facial process of the right maxilla extends dorsally to the midpoint of the orbit where it contacts the prefrontal and the anterior surface of the lacrimal, restricting the lacrimal to the orbital margin (Fig.2A). The dorsoventral height of the facial process diminishes only slightly anteriorly, at least until the preserved bone terminates before reaching the midpoint of the snout. The left maxilla, although poorly preserved, also exhibits a tall facial process immediately anterior to the orbit (Fig.2B). A small tooth-bearing portion of right maxilla is preserved ventral to the facial process and bears three partial teeth in situ (Fig.2A).

A small fragment of the posterior (suborbital) process of the right maxilla is present ventral to and in contact with the anterior process of the jugal (Fig.2A). This extends posteriorly just short of the midpoint of the orbit. It is probable that the maxilla contributed to the anteroventral margin of the orbit. This is best illustrated by the left maxilla, in which the suborbital process of the maxilla narrows to a dorsoventrally thin ramus that follows the curve of the orbit margin posteriorly (Fig.2B). A contribution of the maxilla to the orbit margin is also present in early lepidosauromorphs and rhynchocephalians (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009, Schoch and Sues 2018, Griffiths *et al.* in review) and kuehneosaurs (Robinson 1962, Colbert 1970). It differs from early diapsids (Currie 1980, Reisz 1981, Reisz *et al.* 2000) and some early archosauromorphs (Sen 2003, Modesto and Sues 2004, Gottman-Quesada and Sander 2009), in which the maxilla is excluded from the orbit margin by contact between the jugal and lacrimal. Exclusion of maxilla from the orbit occurs in many squamates, including *Ardeosaurus*, *Eichstaettisaurus* and *Huehuecuetzpalli* (Mateer 1982, Reynoso 1998, Simões *et al.* 2017)



Figure 2. Segmented bones of *Paliguana whitei*. A, right lateral view of anterior region of skull; B, left lateral view.

Lacrimal. The right lacrimal is a small bone confined to the posterior rim of the orbital margin, contacting the prefrontal dorsally and the maxilla anteriorly (Fig.2A). This is contrary to the interpretation described and illustrated by Carroll (1975a), where the lacrimal has an anterior extension, extending half way along the snout. The absence or reduction of the lacrimal to a dorsoventrally orientated bone confined to the orbital margin is a lepidosaur synapomorphy (Gauthier *et al.* 1988), a condition also found in stem-lepidosaurs, e.g. *Fraxinisaura* (Schoch and Sues 2018) and probably *Marmoretta* (Evans 1991, Griffiths *et al.* in review). It is also reduced
or absent in rhynchocephalians (Evans 1980, Whiteside 1986). In early archosauromorphs, e.g. *Protorosaurus* (Gottman-Quesada and Sander 2009), *Macrocnemus fuyuanensis* (Jiang *et al.* 2011), *Pamelaria* (Sen 2003) and *Prolacerta* (Modesto and Sues 2004), in kuehneosaurs (Robinson 1962, Colbert 1970, Evans 2009) and in neodiapsids (Gow 1975, Modesto and Reisz 2002) the lacrimal bears a distinct anterior process, which extends onto the lateral margin of the snout.

Prefrontal. The left prefrontal is badly crushed and poorly preserved. Only the posterior surface of the right prefrontal is preserved, where it forms the anterodorsal and anterior margin of the orbit (Fig.3). The dorsal process is a narrow wedge-shaped region, tapering posteriorly. The suture with the frontal, where present, is anteroposteriorly orientated, and probably continued anteriorly along the snout, judging from the broken anterior surface of the dorsal process. The prefrontal extends ventrally to contact the lacrimal and maxilla.

Skull Roof

The general condition of the skull roof is poor (Fig.3). Many areas of bone are missing, giving the appearance of a pitted and irregular surface. This makes it difficult for a visual examination to determine the presence of sutures and other features, such as the parietal foramen. Our scan data resolve some uncertainties, for example providing high-resolution data on cross-sections of the frontal. However, other morphologies cannot be determined, such as the presence or absence of a parietal foramen and the fusion of the parietal.



Figure 3. Segmented bones of the skull roof of *Paliguana whitei* in dorsal view.

Frontal. The midline suture of the frontals is not present in our scan data, suggesting that the frontals were fused (Fig.4). This differs from the interpretations of both Broom (1903) and Carroll (1975a), who described the frontals as paired bones. The lateral surface of the frontal contributes broadly to the orbital margin, separating the prefrontal from the postfrontal. Much of the anterior part of the frontal is missing, and, as preserved, it does not extend beyond the anterior orbital margin (Fig.3). The suture with the postfrontal is oriented anteroposteriorly and is best preserved on the right side of the skull roof. The frontoparietal contact is an irregular transverse suture with only a very slight posterolateral extension, which terminates at the posterodorsal margin of the postfrontal (Fig.3). Part of the posterior region of the frontal bears an elevated area of bone associated with a raised subcircular boss on the anterodorsal surface of the parietal (Figs.3 and 5). The ventral surface of the frontal bears low, rounded crista cranii, which are best preserved adjacent to the right orbit (Fig.4). The subolfactory process of the crista cranii is absent.



Figure 4. Scan data from *Paliguana whitei* - transverse cross-section of anterior region of frontal in anterior view.

Parietal. The condition of the parietal region is too poorly preserved to determine the presence or absence of a midline suture. The right side of the post-orbital skull roof is the best preserved, including its anterolateral region. This shows contact of the parietal with the frontal, postfrontal and postorbital (Figs.3 and 5). The ventrally directed lateral edge of the parietal forms the medial margin of a large upper temporal fenestra (UTF) (Fig. 2 main text and Fig.3). The posterolateral process of the parietal forms the posterior margin of the UTF (Fig. 2 main text and Fig.4). This process is inclined slightly ventrally and posterolaterally, at around 70 degrees from the midline of the skull, and tapers distally. It is a relatively long process, preserved with a transverse break around the midpoint. There is a shallow concave recess on the distal anterior surface, which may have received the small supratemporal (Carroll 1975a).



Figure 5. Segmented bones of the right temporal region of *Paliguana whitei* in posterior view.

The posterior region of the parietals is inclined posteroventrally. This is particularly visible on the left side, where the posterolateral process is broken and missing (Figs.3 and 5). This morphology indicates a slight occipital exposure of the parietal and we found no evidence of sutures that would indicate the presence of independent occipital elements forming this region. Our interpretation therefore differs from that of Broom (1925), who considered the posteroventral surface of the parietal as a possible 'interparietal' (=fused postparietals), and Carroll (1975a, 1975b), who suggests the presence of paired postparietal and tabular bones. Subsequent phylogenetic analyses, agreed with this interpretation, (e.g. Gauthier *et al.* 1988 c.5 and c.6, Evans 1991 c.7 and c.8). However, more recent studies have considered the tabular as absent, and the postparietal as either absent or indeterminate (Ezcurra 2016 c.171 and c.173, Simões *et al.* 2018 c.64 and c.65).

The dorsal surface of the parietal bears a prominent, raised subcircular boss anteriorly to the right of the midline (Figs.3 and 5). Most of this has been eroded away, and only the posterior, right lateral and anterior surfaces have been preserved. The anterior surface of the boss extends onto the frontal and is traversed by the frontoparietal suture. Broom (1925) suggested that two raised areas of the parietal may have been present either side if the midline, each supporting a small horn or bony scales, but this cannot be determined for the left-side of the midline.

There is no indication of a parietal foramen. However, its absence cannot be unequivocally determined due to the somewhat fragmentary condition of the parietal along the midline. Carroll (1975a) suggested that a large parietal foramen was located centrally on the midline, and Broom (1925) suggested it was located anteriorly between raised bosses. Neither observation can be confirmed. The presence of a parietal foramen is a plesiomorphic trait that is present in all non-saurian reptiles (Fox and Bowman 1966, Gow 1975, Reisz 1981, Modesto 2006, Ford and Benson 2019). It is also present in *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009) and rhynchocephalians, e.g. *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980) and *Diphydontosaurus* (Whiteside 1986). However, it is absent in other lepidosauromorphs, e.g. *Marmoretta* (Griffiths *et al.* in review), and there is no evidence of the foramen in *Fraxinisaura* (Schoch and Sues 2018). The foramen is also absent in kuehneosaurs (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), and early archosauromorphs, e.g. *Protorosaurus* (Gottman-Quesada and Sander 2009) and *Macrocnemus* (Jiang *et al.* 2011).

Temporal region

The temporal region of *Paliguana* is the best-preserved part of the skull, but has nevertheless been widely debated from both an anatomical and diagnostic viewpoint. Much of the debate has focused on the presence or absence of traits typically attributed to lepidosauromorphs, i.e. a 'conch' on the anterolateral surface of the posteriorly bowed quadrate, a small dorsally positioned squamosal, the diminution or absence of the quadratojugal and the incomplete ventral margin of the lateral temporal fenestra (LTF). These features can now be substantially determined.

Postfrontal. The postfrontal is a sub-triangular bone that forms the posterodorsal rim of the orbit (Fig. 2 main text and Fig.3) and articulates with the anterodorsal surface of the postorbital in a (unfused) sutural contact. The postfrontal tapers ventrally and terminates at the midpoint of the dorsal process of the postorbital. Dorsally, the anterior process contacts the frontal along its medial edge in an anteroposteriorly-straight suture. The postfrontal bears no distinct posterodorsal process, and only makes a very narrow contact with the parietal at its posterodorsal corner (Fig.2 main text and Fig.3). Carroll (1977) described the postfrontal as contributing to the UTF. However, the postfrontal is excluded from the UTF by contact between the dorsal process of the postorbital and the anterolateral corner of the parietal (Fig.2 main text).

The exclusion of the postfrontal from the UTF is a plesiomorphic feature of diapsid reptiles, and the participation of the postfrontal in the UTF, resulting from the loss of contact between the postorbital and parietal, an apomorphy of lepidosauromorphs (deBraga and Rieppel 1997) or rhynchocephalians (Gauthier *et al.* 1988). The postfrontal participates in the UTF in the non-lepidosaurian lepidosauromorph *Marmoretta* (Griffiths *et al.* in press) and in the lepidosaur *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), in rhynchocephalians (Evans 1980, Whiteside 1986, Fraser 1988), and in squamates where the bone is present as a separate element, with the exception of some iguanians where the postfrontal is absent or greatly reduced (Evans 2008).

Postorbital. The postorbital is a large triradiate bone, forming the posterior margin of the orbit and the anterior margin of the UTF (Fig.2 main text). The right postorbital is the best preserved, although its posterior process is broken. The robust dorsal process extends dorsomedially to contact the parietal. The anterior surface of the dorsal process makes a broad contact with the postfrontal. The ventral process of the postorbital is elongate and tapering, and contacts the anterodorsal surface of the jugal. Only the anterior part of the posterior process is preserved. It is broken around its midlength, and its presumed contact with the squamosal is not preserved. It is likely that this process tapered posteriorly, and overlay the anterior process of the squamosal, as is common in many diapsids, forming the lateral margin of the upper temporal fenestra and the dorsal margin of the lateral temporal opening or emargination (Fig.2 main text).

Jugal. The right jugal is complete and largely undamaged (Fig.2 main text). The dorsal process is robust and tapers dorsally, contacting the posterior surface of the ventral process of the postorbital. The suborbital process is long, tapering anteriorly and terminating close to the anterior margin of the orbit. The ventral margin of the jugal is 'V'-shaped in cross-section, whereas the dorsal margin is rounded. There is no maxillary facet on the jugal. It is likely that the maxilla separated the jugal from the lacrimal, based on the preserved suborbital region of the left maxilla (Fig.2B).



Figure 6. Segmented bones of the posterior region of the skull of *Paliguana whitei* in ventrolateral view.

The jugal bears a small, spur-like posteroventral process (Fig.6). The posterior surface of the jugal is therefore distinctly concave. This process does not contact the quadratojugal, and the lower temporal bar (LTB) of the lateral opening is absent. A LTB, formed by contact between the

posterior process of the jugal and the anterior process of the quadratojugal, was probably absent in the common ancestor of archosauromorphs and lepidosauromorphs (Müller 2003, Evans and Jones 2010), as well as in derived non-saurian neodiapsids of the late Permian, e.g. *Claudiosaurus* (Carroll 1981), *Coelurosauravus* (Evans 1982), and *Acerosodontosaurus* (Bickelmann *et al.* 2009). The LTB is absent in all fossil and extant lepidosauromorphs, with some exceptions where a complete bar was formed, e.g. some sphenodontian rhynchocephalians (Reynoso and Clark 1998, Evans 2008, Martinez *et al.* 2013) and some Cretaceous squamates (Lu *et al.* 2008, Mo *et al.* 2010, Simões *et al.* 2016). It is also absent in early archosauromorphs, e.g. *Protorosaurus* (Gottman-Quesada and Sander 2009), *Pamelaria* (Sen 2003), *Mesosuchus* (Dilkes 1998), and *Jesairosaurus* (Jalil 1997).

A small fragment of bone posterior to the posteroventral process of the jugal (Figs.5 and 6) was first identified by Broom (1903) as a fragment of the lower jaw, then by Carroll (1975a) as a possible rudiment of the quadratojugal. Although the mandible is badly damaged and crushed along its entire length, this narrow fragment of bone lies dorsolateral to the lower jaw-line, and seems unlikely to have been detached from the mandible. Equally, it does not form part of the jugal or the quadratojugal, and remains unidentified. Another, somewhat larger bone lies across the medial surface of the dorsal process of the jugal (Figs.5 and 6). This is a short length of tubular bone that may have formed part of the columnar process of the epipterygoid or could also be a hyoid element.

Squamosal. Most of the right squamosal is present, although the anterodorsal process that contacts the postorbital is missing. As preserved, it is a dorsoventrally elongate bone, extending from the lateral margin of the upper temporal fenestra to the quadratojugal ventrally (Fig.2 main text and Fig.6). The posterodorsal part of the squamosal contacts the anterodorsal surface of the quadrate and extends posterodorsally, terminating close to the cephalic head of the quadrate. The squamosal does not enclose the quadrate head dorsally, but rather tapers to a point where it terminates just anterior to this. The quadrate head instead is enclosed by the supratemporal, a small part of which is preserved (Fig.2 main text and Fig.5). Contact of the squamosal with the posterolateral process of the squamosal. This condition is similar to extant iguanids (Evans 2008) and is unlike many crown-group reptiles, in which a posteroventral process of the squamosal caps the dorsal head of quadrate, e.g. *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980), *Protorosaurus* (Gottman-Quesada and Sander 2009), *Macrocnemus* (Jiang *et al.* 2011) and *Pamelaria* (Sen 2003).

The anteroventral process of the squamosal is anteroposteriorly narrow, and is detached from the rest of the squamosal by a diagonal fracture, visible in medial view (Fig.6). This fracture is partially concealed in lateral view by the anterolateral flange of the quadrate (Fig.6). The anteroventral process terminates in a convex region that contacts the quadratojugal (Figs.6 and 7). The anterior surface of the anteroventral process is damaged. The posterior surface is smooth, unbroken, and forms the slightly concave anterior margin of a large opening, the quadratojugal foramen ('quadrate' foramen *sensu* Romer 1956). This is a large fenestrate opening, similar to the large foramen enclosed by the quadrate and quadratojugal in *Sphenodon* (Evans 2008), but unlike the smaller aperture seen in early lepidosauromorphs including early rhynchocephalians, e.g. *Diphydontosaurus* (Whiteside 1986). This opening was first described as a quadrate foramen by Broom (1925), an interpretation that was questioned by Carroll (1975a, 1977) who suggested it was an artefact of preparation or a weathered area of an otherwise complete quadrate, with the squamosal limited to the dorsal surface of the quadrate. More recently, however, the opening has been recognised as a large foramen, along with the presence of a ventral process of the squamosal (Simões *et al.* 2018).



Figure 7. Segmented bones of the quadrate, squamosal and quadratojugal of *Paliguana whitei* in medial view.

An anteroventral process of the squamosal that extends along the anterior surface of the quadrate is considered a plesiomorphic condition in diapsids (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009). It is retained in rhynchocephalians (Evans 1980, Fraser 1988) and *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009). The reduction or loss of this process occurs in squamates (Mateer 1982, Reynoso 1998, Evans and Barbadillo 1999, Simões *et al.* 2017), and was noted as a squamate synapomorphy by Gauthier *et al.* (1988). It is absent, for example, in *Megachirella* (Simões *et al.* 2018), but also convergently in kuehneosaurs.

Quadratojugal. This is a rectangular, horizontally oriented bone that contacts the squamosal anterodorsally and the quadrate posteriorly. An isolated splinter of bone between the squamosal and the quadrate may be a detached portion of the quadratojugal (Figs.5 and 7). Anteriorly, the quadratojugal extends dorsally as a low ramus, with a concave dorsal surface that articulates with the ventral process of the squamosal. The ventral surface of the quadratojugal forms a sharp longitudinal ridge. Posteriorly, the quadratojugal has separated from the quadrate, probably as a result of the diagonal fracture in the squamosal noted above. However, it is evident from the roughly textured recess on the anteroventral process of the quadrate that the quadratojugal contacted the lateral surface of this process in life, thus forming the ventral margin of the quadratojugal foramen (Fig.2 main text)

In early lepidosauromorphs the quadratojugal, although present, is reduced to a small vertically orientated bone that is either firmly sutured or fused to the anteroventral surface of the quadrate, e.g. *Marmoretta* (Griffiths *et al.* in review), *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980) and *Megachirella* (Simões *et al.* 2018). In early archosauromorphs the quadratojugal is either similarly present, e.g. *Pamelaria* (Sen 2003) and *Prolacerta* (Modesto and Sues 2004), or entirely absent, e.g. *Jesairosaurus* (Jalil 1997), *Macrocnemus* (Jiang *et al.* 2011) and *Tanystropheus* (Nosotti 2007). Although the quadratojugal in *Paliguana* is somewhat reduced in size, its horizontal orientation is unique among early crown-group reptiles. In Permian neodiapsids, only *Youngina* possesses a horizontally orientated quadratojugal that contacts the squamosal posteriorly (the nature of the contact with the quadrate is unknown) (Gow 1975). However, exceptionally for neodiapsids, the LTB is complete in *Youngina*, although this was possibly independently acquired, as in some polyglyphanodonts squamates as noted above, and in the extant *Sphenodon* (Evans and Jones 2010).

Quadrate. The left quadrate shaft is too poorly preserved to describe in any detail. Much of the right quadrate is preserved, however, including the cephalic condyle, most of the posterior shaft and the anterior flanges (Fig.8). Ventral to this region of bone, the shaft of the quadrate is broken, and the remaining part of the quadrate, including the lateral condyle and a short anteroventral process, is detached from the rest of the quadrate shaft.



Figure 8. Segmented right quadrate of Paliguana whitei. A, in lateral view; B, in dorsal view.

The quadrate shaft is a robust pillar of bone that is sub-triangular in cross-section and forms the posterior surface of the quadrate (Fig.8A). The shaft is bowed anteriorly in lateral view, as in many early crown-group reptiles, e.g., *Pamelaria* (Sen 2003), *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), *Macrocnemus* (Jiang *et al.* 2011) and *Megachirella* (Simões *et al.* 2018). The smooth articular surface of the cephalic head is therefore oriented slightly posterodorsally. Ventrally, the shaft is broken close to the condyles. The detached region includes the lateral condyle, with a rounded ventral surface, which contacts the posterodorsal surface of the mandible (Fig.6). A short but prominent process extends anteriorly from the ventral region of the quadrate adjacent to the lateral condyle and bears a facet for articulation with the quadratojugal.

The anteromedial (pterygoid) flange extends anteromedially at an angle of 45° from the quadrate shaft (Fig.8B). Its dorsal margin slopes anteroventrally from the dorsal head of the quadrate. Its ventral region forms a dorsoventrally broad sheet of bone that extends anteriorly, but is broken and incomplete anteriorly. The anterolateral flange of the quadrate extends anterolaterally at an angle of 45° from the shaft (Fig.8B). It is a dorsoventrally deep flange, with a triangular outline in lateral view, attenuating anteriorly and articulating with the posterior surface of the squamosal, reaching its anteriormost point midway along the squamosal and bounding the quadratojugal foramen dorsally and posterodorsally (Fig.2 main text). A smooth, subcircular depression is present on the dorsolateral surface of the flange (Fig.8A), extending anterodorsally on to the squamosal (Fig.6). Nevertheless, the quadrate 'conch' is clearly absent, contrary to the interpretation of several studies (Carroll 1975a, Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009, Ezcurra 2014).

The lateral 'conch' of the quadrate is formed by the posterolateral curvature of the anterior lamina of the anterolateral flange of the quadrate, forming a recess or concavity in the lateral surface. The tympanic crest is formed by the association of the 'conch' with a thickened ridge of

bone extending dorsoventrally along its anterior surface. This morphology was considered a synapomorphy of lepidosauromorphs (Gauthier *et al.* 1988), although the present study finds the 'conch' as present only in lepidosaurs. In extant squamates it provides an anterior point of contact for the tympanic membrane (Evans 2008). The 'conch' and tympanic crest are absent in non-saurian neodiapsids, e.g. *Youngina* (Gow 1975), *Claudiosaurus* (Carroll 1981) and *Acerosodontosaurus* (Bickelmann *et al.* 2009), although convergently present in kuehneosaurs (Evans 2009).

Supratemporal. The supratemporal is represented by a fragment of bone lying dorsal to the cephalic condyle of the quadrate (Fig. 2 main text and Fig.5). Although irregularly shaped and incomplete, it is anteroposteriorly elongate and probably capped the cephalic head of the quadrate and articulated with the distal tip of the posterolateral process of the parietal. It is not possible to determine the nature of contact between the supratemporal and squamosal. Broom (1925) noted the presence of a 'splint-like' tabular (= supratemporal) in *Paliguana*. The supratemporal is also present in early lepidosauromorphs, e.g. *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), early rhynchocephalians, e.g. *Clevosaurus* (Chambri-Trowell *et al.* 2019) and most squamates, including Jurassic taxa like *Eichstaettisaurus* (Simões *et al.* 2017) and *Ardeosaurus* (Mateer 1982), where it combines with the squamosal to provide the typical squamate quadrate suspension (Robinson 1967).

Palate

Several fragments of the palate are preserved, although only the right vomer and palatal process of the pterygoid can be identified with certainty. The complete right ectopterygoid is preserved *in situ* associated with the right jugal.

Vomer. The right vomer can be identified from its concave lateral surface, which forms the medial margin of the right internal naris (Fig.9A). The ventral surface of the vomer bears two irregular rows of sharply pointed teeth that meet anteriorly. One row commences at the posterolateral corner of the vomer, extending anteromedially, and the second is oriented anteroposteriorly along the ventromedial surface. The lateral surface of the vomer, which forms the medial margin of the internal naris, is thickened and raised somewhat dorsally from the rest of the vomer. Our scan data show that this lateral surface is subcircular in transverse section, with an internal cavity or canal that extends along the length of the margin of the internal naris. A similar condition is found in the early rhynchocephalian *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980).



Figure 9. Segmented right jugal and palatal bones of *Paliguana whitei*. A, partial vomers and pterygoids in ventral view; B, right ectopterygoid and right jugal in dorsomedial view.

Teeth on the ventral surface of the vomer are absent in all squamates, with the exception of some anguids and glyptosaurines (Gauthier *et al.* 1988). A vomerine dentition is present in neodiapsids, e.g. *Youngina* (Gow 1975), and rhychocephalians, e.g. *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980), *Diphydontosaurus* (Whiteside 1986). The presence of vomerine teeth in *Paliguana* confirms this feature is likely to have been the ancestral condition for lepidosauromorphs, as hypothesised by Gauthier *et al.* (1988).

Pterygoid. The anterior palatal rami of the pterygoids are represented by two fragments of anteroposteriorly elongate bone lying close to the midline of the palate (Fig.9A). Their medial surfaces are quite straight. The left fragment bears several pointed teeth. As preserved, they demonstrate that the pterygoid and vomer were in contact medially, excluding the palatines from the interpterygoid vacuity.

Ectopterygoid. The right ectopterygoid is complete, apart from a diagonal fracture separating the lateral head from the medial ramus (Fig.9B). The lateral head is expanded posteriorly as a spur-like process, with a slightly concave lateral articulating surface that contacts the jugal. There is no anterior process on the lateral head. The medial ramus is ribbon-like and has a concave posterior surface and convex anterior surface, giving a somewhat semi-lunate shape to the bone (Fig.9B). There is a shallow recess on the dorsomedial surface, which probably received the transverse process of the pterygoid. The medial head is not bifurcated, as in *Sophineta* and early rhynchocephalians (Evans 1980, Whiteside 1986, Fraser 1988, Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009), but terminates as a single, slightly anteroposteriorly-expanded facet, similar to the medial ramus in *Fraxinisaura* (Schoch and Sues 2018).

Braincase

Several occipital elements are preserved. They are, however, badly crushed and the bone is fragmented and highly cancellous in nature. Furthermore, preparation of the specimen has resulted in the loss of some bone surface, further complicating interpretation of the braincase anatomy. Only the right exoccipital and left prootic can be identified and described.

Exoccipital. The disarticulated right exoccipital is preserved, and was previously noted by Carroll (1975a). It is a dorsoventrally tall bone with a mediolaterally narrow dorsal portion that widens ventrally into a broad base for contact with the basioccipital (Fig.10B). A slight concavity of the medial surface provided the lateral margin of the foramen magnum. Based on its morphology, it is unlikely that the right exoccipital contacted its partner, either dorsally or ventrally. Consequently, the basioccipital and the supraoccipital participated in the foramen magnum. This is likely also the case in *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980) and *Marmoretta* (Evans 1991), in which the exoccipital is similar to that of *Paliguana*. The exoccipital is not fused with either the basioccipital or the opisthotic. Hypoglossal foramina were not visible from the scan data.

The fusion of the exoccipital to the opisthotic to form the oto-occipital occurs prenatally or neonatally in squamates (Maisano 2001), creating the subdivision of the metotic fissure by apposition of the exoccipital to the ampullary swelling of the posterior semi-circular canal, a synapomorphy of squamates (Gauthier *et al.* 1988, Evans 2008). In *Paliguana* the exoccipital is preserved as unfused to either the opisthotic or the basioccipital, a condition considered plesiomorphic for diapsids (Gauthier *et al.* 1988). The exoccipital is not fused to the opisthotic in rhynchocephalians, e.g. *Gephyrosaurus* (Evans 1980), *Planocephalosaurus* (Fraser 1982), and in *Marmoretta* (Evans 1991). The condition is unknown in other stem-lepidosaurs, although is likely that the exoccipital was unfused to the opisthotic in the basal squamate *Huehuecuetzpalli* (Reynoso 1998).



Figure 10. Segmented bones of the braincase of *Paliguana whitei*. A, left prootic in lateral view; B, right exoccipital in posterior (occipital) view.

Prootic. The left prootic is disarticulated and has moved to a position posterior to the right exoccipital and anteromedial to the right quadrate, where it is re-oriented such that its dorsal surface faces ventrally. The lateral surface of the prootic is smoothly convex. There is a slight raised ridge posterior to the incisura prootica. This is not interpreted as the crista prootica, and this feature is considered as absent (Fig.10A). The alar process is also absent, and the incisura prootica is a shallow concavity. There is a prominent anterior inferior process, which contacted the clinoid process of the basisphenoid. Unfortunately, the condition of the bone is not sufficiently well preserved to allow a description of the endosseous canals.

The absence of the crista prootica and alar process of the prootic, and the shallow incisura prootica are plesiomorphic for diapsids (Evans 1986, 1987). The morphology of the prootic in *Paliguana* is remarkably similar to that of the late Permian non-saurian diapsid *Youngina* (Gardner *et al.* 2010).

Mandible

The right hemimandible has been crushed dorsoventrally, and its anterior region is also foreshortened anteroposteriorly (Fig.11A). Overall it is badly distorted, and most individual mandibular elements cannot be identified with certainty. However, parts of the dentary, splenial and surangular can be identified. The left hemimandible is very fragmented or missing apart from the anterior region of the dentary and splenial (Fig.11A).

Dentary. The anterior region of the left dentary, posteriorly up to the sixth alveolus, is partially preserved (Fig.11B). The labial parapet is raised dorsally above the lingual. The dentary terminates anteriorly in a pointed tip, with a short edentulous region about half the anteroposterior length of a single alveolus between the first tooth position and the tip of the dentary. Nutrient foramina are not visible on the lateral surface of the dentary, as found in *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009) and there is no indication of a well-defined symphyseal articulation. Medially, a distinct gap between the dorsal and ventral regions of the dentary provides the lateral opening to the Meckelian canal, which extends anteriorly to the tip of the dentary is preserved, and this has a similarly raised labial surface. This region also provides evidence of the anteroposterior crushing of the snout, with the dentary and splenial showing a 'bowing' of the medial surface (Fig.11A). The posterior region of the dentary is too poorly

preserved to deter mine the extent of the posterior dentition or the length of the post-dentulous (coronoid) process.



Figure 11. Segmented bones of the mandible of *Paliguana whitei*. A, partial right and anterior left hemimandible in dorsal view. B, left anterior hemimandible in dorsomedial view.

Splenial. Parts of both splenials are present. The anterior region of the left splenial attenuates anteriorly and terminates at the tip of the dentary, enclosing the Meckelian canal (Fig.11B). It is possible that the splenial contributed to the symphysis, but this cannot be unequivocally determined.

Surangular. The middle section of the right hemimandible is a thin and dorsoventrally crushed area of bone. The posterior region, however, maintains some degree of the original morphology. The surangular can be identified by the medial spur-like flange, which would have braced the posterior margin of the articular (Fig.11A). The lateral surface is dorsoventrally deep, and curves posteromedially. The lateral condyle of the quadrate is preserved in close association with the posterodorsal surface of the surangular (Fig.6). The articular is not preserved, and the absence or presence of a retroarticular process cannot be determined, although it is unlikely that the surangular contributed the process, if present.

Coronoid eminence. The subtemporal region of the right hemimandible is poorly preserved. However, a distinctive sub-triangular flange of bone extends dorsally from the lateral surface of this region, which is here interpreted as the coronoid eminence (Fig.6), although the contribution of individual bones to this eminence cannot be determined. The flange is mediolaterally thin, and is positioned ventral to the small posterior process of the jugal. A prominent dorsal process of the coronoid is present in many early lepidosauromorphs and squamates, e.g. *Marmoretta* (Griffiths *et al.* in review), *Megachirella* (Simões *et al.* 2018), *Huehuecuetzpalli* (Reynoso 1997) and *Meyasaurus* (Evans and Barbadillo 1997).

Marginal dentition

The maxilla and mandible of *Paliguana* are poorly preserved and few marginal teeth are present. The right maxilla retains three partial teeth, as does the right dentary. The anterior region of the left dentary has three teeth *in situ*.

Tooth morphology. All of the preserved teeth are mediolaterally compressed with oval crosssections at the base of the crown. The apicobasal root-to-crown length of the right dentary teeth is 0.75mm. The mesiodistal length at the root is 0.3mm, and transverse width is 0.16mm for both the dentary and maxillary teeth in the same region. There is no evidence of carina on those parts of the crown that are preserved.



Figure 12. Section of left dentary of *Paliguana whitei*, showing root of mature marginal tooth and resorption pit. A, Synchrotron scan slice in dorsal view; B, diagrammatical representation of the scan slice.

Tooth implantation. The dentary teeth in *Paliguana* are set in shallow grooves, with each tooth partly delineated by an interdental expansion of the lingual wall of the dentary, which does not make contact with the labial wall (Fig.12). The root of the tooth is encased in a layer of vascularized alveolar bone that is ankylosed to the surrounding dentary bone. There is no discernable layer of periodontal ligaments or cementum separating the root from the alveolar bone, although these structures may only be revealed by histological analysis (Snyder et al. 2020). The labial wall is around twice the dorsoventral height of the lingual wall (Fig.3 main text and Fig.11B). The labial surface of the tooth root is ankylosed to the alveolar bone attached to the labial wall of the dentary. The dentine of the root extends deep into the groove and is not restricted to the dorsal region of the labial wall, unlike in typical pleurodont implantation (Bertin et al. 2018). Lingually, the base of the root is ankylosed to alveolar bone attached to the dorsal region of the lingual wall of the dentary (Fig.3 main text). Material of attachment extends from the alveolar bone along the lingual surface of the tooth root (Fig.3 main text). Tooth implantation in *Paliguana* is similar to that of other lepidosauromorphs, which is commonly described as pleurodont, e.g., Fraxinisaura (Schoch and Sues 2018) and Megachirella (Simões et al. 2018) or subpleurodont, e.g., Marmoretta (Griffiths et al. in press). We describe this as subpleurodont here, for reasons outlined below.

The implantation geometry of marginal dentition in reptiles has often been used to infer systematic and phylogenetic relationships (Camp 1923, Jones *et al.* 2013). *Paliguana* is therefore of great interest in this respect, since it may shed light on the stepwise changes that occurred in dental implementation around the basal divergence of crown-group reptiles.

In diapsids primitively, the marginal dentition is implanted in shallow discrete sockets (alveoli) on the dorsal surface of the subdental ridge (Vaughn 1955, Reisz 1981). The labial and lingual laminae are of similar dorsoventral height and interdental plates form the mesial and distal margins of each socket. The thecodont tooth implantation in mammals, archosaurs and bolosaurid parareptiles (Zaher and Rieppel 1999, Synder *et al.* 2020) retained the symmetrical alveolus, but with a deeper socket. In contrast, lepidosaurs possess two forms of implantation; acrodonty, where the base of the tooth is ankylosed to the crest of the jaw bone, no root is present and there is no tooth replacement, e.g. in some rhynchocephalians and some squamates

(Jenkins *et al.* 2017), and pleurodonty, where the tooth root is ankylosed to the dorsomedial surface of the labial wall, either directly or via bone of attachment, and teeth are regularly replaced. Pleurodont dentition is associated with the asymmetry of the labial and lingual walls (Presch 1974). However, it should be noted that pleurodonty is not unique to lepidosauromorphs, and may have been present in other groups, such as shastasaurid ichthyosaurs (Callaway and Massare 1989) and weigeltisaurids (Pritchard *et al.* 2021).

In *Paliguana*, the extensive attachment of the tooth root and alveolar bone to the labial wall and the slightly raised lingual wall of the dentary suggest that the dental implantation is not pleurodont *sensu stricto* (i.e. see Presch 1974, Zaher and Rieppel 1999, Bertin *et al.* 2018). However, the asymmetry of the labial and lingual walls, the encasement of the root in alveolar bone and the dorsal extension of material of attachment along the lingual margin of the tooth root are strikingly similar to the tooth implantation in extant teiids (Bertin *et al.* 2018) – a condition described as both subpleurodont (Presch 1974) and subthecodont (Bertin *et al.* 2018). Subpleurodonty has been specifically associated with the invasion of the lingual surface of the tooth root by bone of attachment (Zaher and Rieppel 1999), and this structure is present in *Paliguana* and the early lepidosauromorph *Sophineta* (Evans and Borsuk-Białynicka 2009). However, we also find that the slightly raised lingual wall in *Paliguana* retains a socket-like function and interdental plates are present, though incomplete. The implantation of marginal dentition in *Paliguana* therefore displays both primitive and derived traits that support a basal position among lepidosauromorphs.



Figure 13. Section of right dentary of *Paliguana whitei*, showing replacement tooth. A, Synchrotron scan in dorsal view; B, diagrammatical representation of the scan.

Tooth replacement. In several of the preserved teeth resorption pits are present (Figs.12 and 13). They appear as circular vacuities in the alveolar bone attached to the lingual wall of the dentary, eventually resorbing the dentine of the root and reaching the pulp cavity. The replacement tooth develops inside the resorption pit (Fig.13). This replacement pathway is consistent with labio-vertical replacement in squamates, which always is associated with the presence of resorption pits (Bertin *et al.* 2018).

Additional References

Bickelmann, C., Müller, J. and Reisz, R.R., 2009. The enigmatic diapsid *Acerosodontosaurus piveteaui* (Reptilia: Neodiapsida) from the Upper Permian of Madagascar and the paraphyly of "younginiform" reptiles. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 46(9), pp.651-661.

Callaway, J.M. and Massare, J.A., 1989. *Shastasaurus altispinus* (Ichthyosauria, Shastasauridae) from the Upper Triassic of the El Antimonio district, northwestern Sonora, Mexico. *Journal of Paleontology*, 63(6), pp.930-939.

Carroll, R.L., 1981. Plesiosaur ancestors from the Upper Permian of Madagascar. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences*, *293*(1066), pp.315-383.

Chambi-Trowell, S.A., Whiteside, D.I. and Benton, M.J., 2019. Diversity in rhynchocephalian *Clevosaurus* skulls based on CT reconstruction of two Late Triassic species from Great Britain. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, *64*(1).

Colbert, E.H., 1970. The Triassic gliding reptile *Icarosaurus*. *Bulletin of the AMNH*, 143 (2).

Currie, P.J., 1980. A new younginid (Reptilia: Eosuchia) from the Upper Permian of Madagascar. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *17*(4), pp.500-511.

deBraga, M. and Rieppel, O., 1997. Reptile phylogeny and the interrelationships of turtles. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *120*(3), pp.281-354.

Dilkes, D. W., 1998. The Early Triassic rhynchosaur *Mesosuchus browni* and the interrelationships of basal archosauromorph reptiles. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 353*, pp.501-541.

Evans, S.E. 1982. The gliding reptiles of the Upper Permian. *Zoological journal of the Linnean Society*, *76*, pp.97-123.

Evans, S.E., 1986. The braincase of *Prolacerta broomi* (Reptilia, Triassic). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie. Abhandlungen*, 173(2), pp.181-200.

Evans, S.E., 1987. The braincase of *Youngina capensis* (Reptilia: Diapsida; Permian). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Monatshefte*, pp.193-203.

Evans, S.E. and Barbadillo, L.J., 1999. A short-limbed lizard from the Lower Cretaceous of Spain. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, *60*, pp.73-85.

Fox, R.C. and Bowman, M.C., 1966. Osteology and relationships of *Captorhinus aguti* (Cope)(Reptilia: Captorhinomorpha). *The University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions - Vertebrata*, *11*, pp.1-79.

Fraser, N.C., 1982. A new rhynchocephalian from the British Upper Trias. *Palaeontology* 24(4), pp.709-725

Fraser, N.C., 1988. The osteology and relationships of *Clevosaurus* (Reptilia: Sphenodontida). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences*, *321*(1204), pp.125-178.

Gardner, N.M., Holliday, C.M. and O'Keefe, F.R., 2010. The braincase of *Youngina capensis* (Reptilia, Diapsida): new insights from high-resolution CT scanning of the holotype. *Palaeontologica Electronica*, *13*(3).

Gottmann-Quesada, A. and Sander, P.M., 2009. A redescription of the early archosauromorph Protorosaurus speneri Meyer, 1832, and its phylogenetic relationships. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, pp.123-220.

Gow, C.E., 1975. The morphology and relationships of *Youngina capensis* Broom and *Prolacerta broomi* Parrington. *Palaeontologia Africana*, *18*, pp.89-131.

Jalil, N.E., 1997. A new prolacertiform diapsid from the Triassic of North Africa and the interrelationships of the Prolacertiformes. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *17*(3), pp.506-525.

Jenkins, K.M., Jones, M.E., Zikmund, T., Boyde, A. and Daza, J.D., 2017. A review of tooth implantation among rhynchocephalians (Lepidosauria). *Journal of Herpetology*, *51*(3), pp.300-306.

Jiang, D.Y., Rieppel, O., Fraser, N.C., Motani, R., Hao, W.C., Tintori, A., Sun, Y.L. and Sun, Z.Y., 2011. New information on the protorosaurian reptile *Macrocnemus fuyuanensis* Li et al., 2007, from the Middle/Upper Triassic of Yunnan, China. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *31*(6), pp.1230-1237.

Lu, J.C., Ji, S.A., Dong, Z.M. and Wu, X.C., 2008. An Upper Cretaceous lizard with a lower temporal arcade. *Naturwissenschaften* 95, 663–669.

Maisano, J.A., 2001. A survey of the state of ossification in neonatal squamates. *Herpetological Monographs*, pp. 135-157

Mateer, N.J., 1982. Osteology of the Jurassic lizard *Ardeosaurus brevipes* (Meyer). *Palaeontology* 25, pp.461-469.

Mo, J.Y., Xu, X, and Evans, S.E., 2010. The evolution of the lepidosaurian lower temporal bar: new perspectives from the Late Cretaceous of China. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *277*, pp.

Modesto, S.P., 2006. The cranial skeleton of the Early Permian aquatic reptile *Mesosaurus tenuidens*: implications for relationships and palaeobiology. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 146(3), pp.345-368.

Modesto, S.P. and Reisz, R.R., 2003. An enigmatic new diapsid reptile from the Upper Permian of Eastern Europe. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 22(4), pp.851-855.

Modesto, S.P. and Sues, H.D., 2004. The skull of the Early Triassic archosauromorph reptile *Prolacerta broomi* and its phylogenetic significance. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 140(3), pp.335-351.

Nosotti, S. 2007. *Tanystropheus longobardicus* (Reptilia, Protorosauria): Re-interpretations of the anatomy based on new specimens from the Middle Triassic of Besano (Lombardy, Northern Italy). *Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano*. 35(3).

Pritchard, A.C., Sues, H.D., Scott, D. and Reisz, R.R., 2021. Osteology, relationships and functional morphology of *Weigeltisaurus jaekeli* (Diapsida, Weigeltisauridae) based on a complete skeleton from the Upper Permian Kupferschiefer of Germany. *PeerJ*, *9*, p.e11413.

Robinson, P.L., 1967. The evolution of the Lacertilia: Problemes actuels de paleontologie (evolution des Vertébrés). *Coll. Intern.* CNRS, Paris, pp.395-407.

Sen, K., 2003. *Pamelaria dolichotrachela*, a new prolacertid reptile from the Middle Triassic of India. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, *21*(6), pp.663-681.

Simões, T.R., Funston, G.F., Vafaeian, B., Nydam, R.L., Doschak, M.R. and Caldwell, M.W., 2016. Reacquisition of the lower temporal bar in sexually dimorphic fossil lizards provides a rare case of convergent evolution. *Scientific reports*, 6(1), pp.1-12.

Snyder, A.J., LeBlanc, A.R., Jun, C., Bevitt, J.J. and Reisz, R.R., 2020. Thecodont tooth attachment and replacement in bolosaurid parareptiles. *PeerJ*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9168

Vaughn, P.P., 1955. The Permian reptile *Araeoscelis* restudied. *The Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology*, Harvard.

Whiteside, D.I., 1986. The head skeleton of the Rhaetian sphenodontid *Diphydontosaurus avonis* gen. et sp. nov. and the modernizing of a living fossil. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 312*(1156), pp.379-430.

A REASSESSMENT OF THE ENIGMATIC DIAPSID PALIGUANA WHITEI AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA

Authors: David P. Ford, Susan E. Evans, Jonah N. Choiniere, Vincent Fernandez and Roger B.J. Benson

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 2

Results of phylogenetic analyses





Supplementary Data 2 – Figure 2. 50% majority rule tree (halfcompat command) from Bayesian analysis, with posterior probabilities of each node in red



Supplementary Data 2 – Figure 3. Maximum Clade Credibility tree from Bayesian analysis with *Palaeagama vielhaueri* added to operational taxonomic units. Posterior probabilities of each node in black.



Supplementary Data 2 – Figure 4. 50% Majority Rule tree (halfcompat command) from Bayesian analysis with *Palaeagama vielhaueri* added to operational taxonomic units. Posterior probabilities of each node in black.

A REASSESSMENT OF THE ENIGMATIC DIAPSID PALIGUANA WHITEI AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA

Authors: David P. Ford, Susan E. Evans, Jonah N. Choiniere, Vincent Fernandez and Roger B.J. Benson

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 3

Extended Methods

PPC-SRµCT acquisition and reconstruction protocol

The reconstructed volume obtained with the methods outlined in the main article represents a cylinder of 8.91 mm in length and 8.91 mm in diameter. Because the specimen could not fit in such a volume, measuring approximately 30 mm in length and have a maximum width of 22 mm, multiple acquisitions were necessary to image the whole skull. The sample was mounted in order to place its longest dimension vertically; covering the vertical extend of the specimen was done by performing several acquisitions along the vertical axis. To extend the horizontal field of view, the first approach was to shift the centre of rotation by about 80 %, increasing the reconstructed diameter to 15.87 mm. While the dorso-ventral width of the skull fitted in this extended volume, it was not the case of the latero-lateral width (reaching 22 mm). As these two solutions would allow to reconstruct a cylindrical volume of 30 mm in length and 15.87 mm in diameter, it was decided to perform this process twice, focusing each time on one side of the skull and merge the two obtained cylindrical volumes. Doing so it was possible to cover the full extent of the specimen.

For each cylindrical reconstruction, 7 acquisitions were performed on the vertical axis, keeping a 50% overlap between consecutive scans. Using a translation motor aligned with the maximum width of the skull, the specimen was moved by 6.75 mm, allowing to reach a maximum reconstructed field of view of 22.60 in the long axis (i.e., the width). Each acquisition consisted of 6000 projections of a total integration time of 0.2 seconds over a rotation of 360°. Before reconstruction, radiographs from the 7 vertical scans were merged together (Benoit *et al.* 2020). Tomographic reconstruction was done using PyHST2 (Mirone *et al.* 2014) and the single distance phase retrieval approach (Paganin *et al.* 2002). Post reconstruction processing included modification of the bit depth from 32-bit to 16-bit as a stack of tiff and ring correction (Lyckegaard *et al.* 2011).

Phylogenetic analysis

The modified character-taxon matrix was analysed using a non-time calibrated Bayesian Mkv model in MrBayes v.3.2.5 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The 'standard' datatype was used for morphological data, and the coding was set at 'variable' (that is, only variable characters being sampled) with rates set to a gamma distribution. The Mkv model analysis was performed with two runs of four chains each for 50 million generations, with tree sampling every 500th generation and a 25% burn-in. The average effective sample size for the two parameters (tree length and shape of gamma distribution) were in excess of 50,000, and the average potential scale reduction factor was 1.000, with both chains demonstrating good convergence (average standard deviation of split frequencies 0.0028). Our results were expressed in a 50% majority rule tree (halfcompat command in MrBayes) and in a maximum clade credibility tree (MCC). The MCC tree is a single tree in the posterior sample with the maximum sum of posterior clade probabilities across all the constituent bifurcations (Heled and Bouckarert 2013). We used TreeAnnotator (v1.10.4 Rambaut and Drummond 2002-2018) to recover the MCC from a combination of the posterior tree files of the two runs from MrBayes output (.t files), less the 25% burn-off, producing a total of 150,000 trees. The script for the Bayesian analysis is provided in Part 6 of Supplementary Data. Optimisation of the 50% majority rule tree and the MCC tree were performed for both ACCTRAN and DELTRAN in PAUP v.4.0a, build 169 (Swofford, D.L. 2002).

Additional references

Benoit, J., Ruf, I., Miyamae, J.A., Fernandez, V., Rodrigues, P.G., and Rubidge, B.S., 2020. The Evolution of the Maxillary Canal in Probainognathia (Cynodontia, Synapsida): Reassessment of the Homology of the Infraorbital Foramen in Mammalian Ancestors. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution*. 27(3), pp329-348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-019-09467-8

Lyckegaard, A., Johnson, G., and Tafforeau, P., 2011. Correction of ring artefacts in X-ray tomographic images. *International Journal of Tomography & Statistics*, 18, pp1-9.

Mirone, A., Brun, E., Gouillart, E., Tafforeau, P., and Kieffer, J., 2014. The PyHST2 hybrid distributed code for high-speed tomographic reconstruction with iterative reconstruction and a priori knowledge capabilities. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*. 324, 41-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2013.09.030

Paganin, D., Mayo, S., Gureyev, T.E., Miller, P.R., and Wilkins, S.W. (2002). Simultaneous phase and amplitude extraction from a single defocused image of a homogeneous object. *Journal of microscopy*, 206, 33-40. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2002.01010.x

A REASSESSMENT OF THE ENIGMATIC DIAPSID PALIGUANA WHITEI AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA

Authors: David P. Ford, Susan E. Evans, Jonah N. Choiniere, Vincent Fernandez and Roger B.J. Benson

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 4

Optimisation of characters states at key nodes

MCC tree

Crown-group Reptilia:

Unambiguous: 175 0→1 237 1→0 303 1→0 Acctran: 39 0→1 190 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 199 1→0 235 1→0 244 1→0 307 1→0 320 1→0 332 1→0 337 0→1 348 0→1 355 0→1 357 1→2 $368 0 \rightarrow 1$ Deltran: 64 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 65 0→1 140 0→1 220 0→1 229 1→0 274 1→0 348 0→1

Lepidosauromorpha:

Unambiguous – 167 0→1 350 0→1

Acctran –	
20	0→1
121	0→1
125	0→1
201	1→0
217	0→1
235	0→1
263	0→1
269	0→1
271	0→1
284	0→1
320	0→1
333	1→0
334	0→1
342	0→1
354	1→0
376	0→1
377	0→1
379	0→1
380	0→1
D 1.	

Paliguana + Fraxinisaura + Marmoretta:

Unambiguous: 101 0→1

Acctran:

8 0**→**1 81 1→0 82 0→1 121 1→0 140 1→0 144 0→1 181 2→0 189 1→0 190 1→0 200 1→0 219 0→1 290 0→2 294 1→0 305 3→0 369 1→0

Lepidosauria:

Unambiguous: 69 0→1 229 0→1 296 0→1 Acctran: 20 1→0 61 0→1 73 1→0 188 0→1 Deltran: 39 0→1 98 0→1 109 1→0 121 0→1 190 0→1 195 1→0 217 0→1 237 0→1 238 0→1 269 0→1 284 0→1 312 0→1 332 1→0 333 1→0 334 0→1 336 1→0 338 0→1

Rhynchocephalia + Sophineta + Vellbergia:

Unambiguous: $45 \quad 1 \rightarrow 0$ $227 \quad 1 \rightarrow 0$ Acctran: $25 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$

 $55 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$ $62 \quad 1 \rightarrow 0$ $78 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$

92 0→1 118 1→0 125 1→0 182 0→1 188 1→2 263 1→0 291 1→0 295 0→1 360 0→1 361 0→1

Deltran: 50 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 68 $0 \rightarrow 1$

Rhynchocephalia + Vellbergia:

Unambiguous: 211 0→1

Acctran: $59 \quad 2 \rightarrow 1$ $61 \quad 1 \rightarrow 0$ $111 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$ $173 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$ $210 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$ $347 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$ $351 \quad 1 \rightarrow 0$ $362 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$

Deltran: $23 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$

Rhynchocephalia:

Unambiguous: 176 1→0

Acctran: 67 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 352 $1 \rightarrow 0$

Deltran:

 $\begin{array}{lll} 55 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ 59 & 2 \rightarrow 1 \\ 111 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ 114 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ 173 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ 182 & 0 \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$

Squamata (including *Megachirella*):

Unambiguous: 198 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 322 $0 \rightarrow 1$

Acctran: 7 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 21 0→1 27 0→1 50 1→0 67 0→1 68 1→0 71 0→1 89 1→0 95 0→1 114 1→0 116 0→1 124 1→0 151 0→1 162 0→1 170 0→1 224 0→1 270 0→1 286 0→1 289 0→2 308 1→0 346 1→0 347 0→2 357 2→0

Squamata (excluding *Megachirella*):

 $365 0 \rightarrow 1$

50% Majority Rule tree

Crown-group Reptilia:

Unambiguous: 39 0→1 64 0→1 65 0**→**1 156 0→1 175 0→1 199 0→1 229 1→0 235 1→0 237 1→0 244 1→0 274 1→0 293 0→1 303 1→0 320 1→0 337 0→1 347 0→1 Acctran: 86 1→0

$109 \ 1 \rightarrow 0$ $120 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $140 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $181 \ 0 \rightarrow 2$ $185 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $227 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $301 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $307 \ 1 \rightarrow 0$ $350 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$ $357 \ 0 \rightarrow 2$ $368 \ 0 \rightarrow 1$

Deltran:

Lepidosauromorpha:

Unambiguous:

42 0→1 68 0**→**1 167 0→1 201 1→0 309 1→0 349 0→1 352 0→1 358 0→1 376 0**→**1 379 0→1 Acctran: 23 0→1 50 0→1 67 0**→**1 98 0→1 195 1→0 217 0→1 234 0→1 235 0→1 237 0→1 238 0→1 269 0→1 271 0→1 284 0→1 291 0→1

302	$0 \rightarrow 1$
307	0→1
312	0→1
320	0→1
322	$0 \rightarrow 1$
329	$0 \rightarrow 1$
331	$1 \rightarrow 0$
332	$1 \rightarrow 0$
333	1→0
334	$0 \rightarrow 1$
336	1→0
338	$0 \rightarrow 1$
339	$0 \rightarrow 1$
342	$0 \rightarrow 1$
347	1→0
359	$0 \rightarrow 1$
380	0→1
Delt	ran:

Paliguana + Fraxinisaura + Marmoretta:

Unambiguous: 20 0→1 73 0→1 81 1→0 101 0→1 Acctran: 8 0**→**1 82 0→1 140 1→0 144 0→1 181 2→0 190 1→0 200 1→0 219 0→1 290 0→2 294 1→0 305 3→0 308 0→1 Deltran: 50 0→1

Lepidosauria:

Unambiguous: 69 0→1 121 0→1 229 0→1 296 0→1 Acctran: 45 1→0 61 0→1 188 0→1 227 1→0 369 0→1 Deltran: 30 1→0 98 0→1 109 1→0 120 0→1 195 1→0 217 0→1 235 0→1 237 0→1 238 0→1 269 0→1 284 0→1 312 0→1 320 0→1 332 1→0 333 1→0 334 0→1 336 1→0 338 0→1

Rhynchocephalia + Vellbergia:

Unambiguous: 211 0→1

Acctran: 25 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 55 $0 \rightarrow 1$

59	2 → 1
61	1→0
62	1→0
92	0→1
111	$0 \rightarrow 1$
114	$0 \rightarrow 1$
173	$0 \rightarrow 1$
182	$0 \rightarrow 1$
188	$1 \rightarrow 2$
210	$0 \rightarrow 1$
289	2→0
291	$1 \rightarrow 0$
308	$0 \rightarrow 1$
322	$1 \rightarrow 0$
347	$0 \rightarrow 1$
351	$1 \rightarrow 0$
360	$0 \rightarrow 1$
361	$0 \rightarrow 1$
362	$0 \rightarrow 1$

Deltran: $23 \quad 0 \rightarrow 1$

Rhynchocephalia:

Unambiguous: 176 1→0 Acctran: 118 1→0

 $\begin{array}{c} 118 \\ 352 \\ 1 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$

Deltran:

50 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 55 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 59 $2 \rightarrow 1$ 111 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 114 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 173 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 182 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 188 $0 \rightarrow 2$ 291 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 308 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 331 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 360 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 361 $0 \rightarrow 1$

Squamata (excluding *Megachirella*):

Unambiguous: 27 0→1 36 1→0 38 0→1 123 0→1 225 0→1 263 0→1 282 0→1 340 1→0 346 1→0 354 0→1 355 0→1 365 0→1
Acctran: 7 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 45 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 50 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 68 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 71 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 85 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 89 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 95 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 97 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 105 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 105 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 105 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 116 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 124 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 125 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 138 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 138 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 142 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 151 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 162 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 170 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 171 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 198 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 224 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 270 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 286 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 314 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 347 $0 \rightarrow 2$ 350 $1 \rightarrow 0$ 362 $0 \rightarrow 1$ 367 $1 \rightarrow 2$ 369 $1 \rightarrow 0$
Deltran: 188 0→1 234 0→1

271 0→1 359 0→1

A REASSESSMENT OF THE ENIGMATIC DIAPSID PALIGUANA WHITEI AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF LEPIDOSAUROMORPHA

Authors: David P. Ford, Susan E. Evans, Jonah N. Choiniere, Vincent Fernandez and Roger B.J. Benson

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 5

Taxon choice and character List

Taxon choice

All operational taxonomic units (OTU) included in the analysis are in accordance with the dataset of Griffiths *et al.* (in review). Four putative Permo-Triassic lepidosauromorphs were omitted from the list of OTU:

- *Saurosternon bainii* (Huxley 1868, Carroll 1975a) a skeleton lacking the cranium from the late Permian of South Africa. Recognised by Carroll (1975a) as an early lizard (squamate), and included in the suborder 'Paliguanidae', an affiliation later disputed (Evans 1984, Benton 1985). This taxon was recovered as stem-reptile in recent analyses (Simões et al. 2018, Sobral et al. 2020). Considered a 'problematic' taxon by Evans (2003).
- ii) *Kudnu australiensis* (Bartholomai 1979) based on a single partial skull lacking the postorbital region, from the early Triassic of Australia. Referred to 'Paliguanidae', the specimen has been attributed with an anteroposteriorly elongate lacrimal, extending almost to the external naris, with teeth set in a shallow groove. This taxon is excluded pending tomographic analysis.
- iii) Feralisaurus corami (Cavicchini et al. 2020) Middle Triassic of Devon, UK. A poorly preserved and dorsoventrally compressed partial skull, with some post-cranial material. The specimen has been scanned using microtomography to a resolution of 48.45 microns, but the resulting anatomical detail is limited due to poor preservation. Phylogenetic analysis was inconclusive, with the taxon recovered as a stem-reptile or in broad polytomy within the crown-group. The short posterior process of the jugal and well-developed coronoid process of the mandible suggest crown-group reptile affinities.
- iv) Vinitasaura lizae (Sues and Kligman 2021) this specimen from the late Triassic of Virginia, USA, consists of a single dentary with 27 teeth *in situ*. Phylogenetic analysis was not performed due to the limited data available, and likewise we omit this taxon from our dataset. Comparison of the specimen to the dentaries of Permo-Triassic diapsids suggest this taxon may be affiliated to non-lepidosaurian lepidosauromorphs or early-diverging lepidosaurs (Sues and Kligman 2021).
Additional References

Cavicchini, I., Zaher, M. and Benton, M.J., 2020. An Enigmatic Neodiapsid Reptile from the Middle Triassic of England. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *40*(3), https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2020.1781143.

Huxley, T.H., 1868. I.—On *Saurosternon Bainii*, and *Pristerodon McKayi*, Two New Fossil Lacertilian Reptiles from South Africa. *Geological Magazine*, *5*(47), pp.201-205. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800207784

Sues, H.D. and Kligman, B.T., 2021. A new lizard-like reptile from the Upper Triassic (Carnian) of Virginia and the Triassic record of Lepidosauromorpha (Diapsida, Sauria). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, . https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2021.1879102

Character list

The 381 discreet morphological characters used in our phylogenetic analyses are taken from the 377 characters used in the phylogenetic analysis of Griffiths *et al.* (in press), which was a revised version of the 347 morphological characters of Simões *et al.* (2018). We refer to these publications for explanatory notes on the individual characters.

We have made 8 changes to the character list of Griffiths *et al.* (in review), all of which concern characters describing the implantation of marginal dentition. They can be summarised as follows:

(i) Omission of character 212 - posterior dentary teeth, delimitation by tooth bearing bone) by a labial wall only (0)/ by a three-sided socket (1)/ by a foursided socket (2)/ by a lingual and labial wall only (3).

(ii) Omission of character 213 - posterior maxillary teeth, delimitation by tooth bearing bone: by a labial wall only (0)/ by a three-sided socket (1)/ by a foursided socket (2)/ by a lingual and labial wall only (3).

(iii) Additional character 376 - dentary teeth, interdental plates: contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove, fully separating adjacent teeth (0)/ do not contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove or are absent (1)

(iv) Additional character 377 - dentary teeth, asymmetry of dorsoventral height of labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove: lingual wall subequal in height to labial wall (0)/ lingual wall absent or low, less that half the height of the labial wall (1)

(v) Additional character 378 - dentary teeth, dorsoventral height of lingual wall of alveolar groove: low, less than half the height of the labial wall (0) absent (1) - when labial and lingual walls ae subequal in height this character is scored as inapplicable.

(vi) Additional character 379 - maxillary teeth, interdental plates: contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove, fully separating adjacent teeth (0)/ do not contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove or are absent (1)

(vii) Additional character 380 - maxillary teeth, asymmetry of dorsoventral height of labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove: lingual wall subequal in height to labial wall (0)/ lingual wall absent or low, less that half the height of the labial wall (1)

(viii) Additional character 381 - maxillary teeth, dorsoventral height of lingual wall of alveolar groove: low, less than half the height of the labial wall (0) absent
(1) - when labial and lingual walls are subequal in height this character is scored as inapplicable.

The omitted characters (212 and 213) were new characters in the morphological dataset of Simões *et al.* (2018), designed to describe the delimitation of tooth implantation. However, this approach fails to recognise independent variation in the comparative height of the labial and lingual wall of the alveolar groove – a key characteristic in defining tooth implantation types (Zaher and Rieppel 1999, Bertin *et al.* 2018). The addition of characters 376-381 concerns the comparative height of these features and independently recognises the enclosure of the mesial and distal surfaces of the tooth root.

In the present study, there are 343 binary characters and 38 multistate characters. All multistate characters are unordered.

1. Premaxillae, fusion: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

2. **Premaxillae, nasal process:** present, external nares are separated by the premaxillae (0)/ absent, the external nares are a single aperture (1)

3. Premaxillae, posterodorsal process: absent (0)/ present (1)

4. **Premaxillae, dentition:** present (0)/ absent medially only (1)/ entirely absent (2)

5. Premaxillae, dentigerous beak: absent (0) / present (1)

6. Premaxillae, ventral bony beak: absent (0)/ present (1)

7. Premaxillae, incisive process: absent (0)/ present (1)

8. **Premaxillae, ventral surface, premaxillary foramina:** absent (0)/ present (1)

9. Premaxillae, vomerine medial flange: absent (0)/ present (1)

10. **Septomaxillae:** present (0)/ absent (1)

11. **Septomaxillae, position:** on narial margin (0)/ within nasal capsule (1)

12. **Septomaxillae, shape, anteriorly:** flat (0)/ convex dorsally (1)/ convex ventrally (2)/ laterally compressed (3)

13. Septomaxillae, midline crest, dorsal projection: absent (0)/ present (1)

14. Maxillae, contact, with premaxilla: syndesmotic (0)/ sutural (1)

15. Maxilla-premaxilla fenestra, ventrally: absent (0)/ present (1)

16. Maxillae, anterior superior alveolar foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

17. Maxillae, nasal (facial) process: absent (0)/ present (1) 18. Maxillae, posterior emargination, between nasal and orbital processes: absent (0)/ present (1)

19. Maxillae, antorbital fossa: absent (0)/ present (1)

20. Maxillae, premaxillary process: present (0)/ absent (1)

21. Maxillae, premaxillary process, groove for the passage of the internal ramus of the subnarial artery on dorsal surface: absent (0)/ present (1)

22. Nasals, fusion: paired (0)/ fused (1)

23. Nasals, anterolateral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

24. Nasals, anterolateral process, position, relative to maxillary nasal process: posteriorly (0)/ anteriorly (1)/ dorsally (2)

25. Nasals, foramina: absent (0)/ present (1)

26. Nasals, ventromedial crest: absent (0)/ present (1)

27. Lacrimals: present (0)/ absent (1)

28. Lacrimals, position, relative to prefrontal lateral margin: ventral (0)/ anterior (1)

29. Lacrimal duct, foramen, division: single (0)/ double (1)

30. Lacrimal duct, posterior opening on skull surface, position: posteriorly (0)/ laterally

31. Lacrimals, shape, curved anteriorly: absent (0)/ present (1)

32. **Prefrontals, ornamentation on external surface:** absent (0)/ rugosities (1)/ tubercles (2)/ pits (3)

33. **Prefrontal crest:** absent (0)/ present (1)

34. **Supraorbital bones:** absent (0)/ present (1)

35. Jugals: present (0)/ absent (1)

36. Jugals, posteroventral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

37. **Jugals, posteroventral process, shape:** separate from dorsal process (0)/ connected to dorsal process by bony flange (1)

38. Quadratojugals: present (0)/ absent (1)

39. **Quadratojugals, anterior extension:** present (0)/ absent (1)

40. **Quadratojugals, ventral margin, medially inflected flange:** absent (0)/ present (1)

41.**Quadratojugals, ornamentation, on external surface:** absent (0)/present (1)

42. Quadratojugal foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

43. **Postorbitals:** present (0)/ absent (1)

44. Postorbitals, fusion to postfrontal: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

45. **Postorbitals, dorsal margin, position relative to postfrontal:** laterally (0)/ posteriorly (1)/ anteriorly (2)

46. **Postorbitals, dorsal process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

47. Postorbitals, ventral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

48. Postorbitals, dorsal concavity: absent (0)/ present (1)

49. **Squamosals:** present (0)/ absent (1)

50. Squamosals, anteroventral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

51. **Squamosals, dorsal process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

52. **Squamosal, dorsal process, contact with supratemporal or supratemporal process of parietal:** sutured (0)/ not sutured, forms a buttress for posterolateral corner of the skull roof (1)

53. Squamosals, occipital flange: present (0)/ absent (1)

54. Squamosals, ventral margin, medial inflection: absent (0)/ present (1)

55. **Squamosal, anterior process, dorsoventral length:** narrow, < one-quarter of the dorsoventral length of the postorbital region (0)/ deep, > one-third of the dorsoventral length of the postorbital region (1)

56. **Postfrontals:** present (0)/ absent (1)

57. Postfrontals, distal process: present (0)/ absent (1)

58. Postfrontals, distal process, division: single (0)/ double (1)

59. **Postfrontals, medial margin, position, relative to parietal:** ventral (0)/ dorsal (1)/ lateral (2)/ anterior (3)

60. Postfrontals, parietal process: absent (0)/ present (1)

61. Postfrontals, concavity between the frontal and parietal processes (dorsomedial margin of postfrontal): absent (0)/ present (1)

62. **Supratemporals:** absent (0)/ present (1)

63. Supratemporals, temporal process: absent (0)/ present (1)

64. Tabulars: present (0)/ absent (1)

65. Postparietals: present (0)/ absent (1)

66. **Postparietals, number:** single (0)/ paired (1)

67. Frontals, fusion to each other: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

68. Frontals, parietal tabs: absent (0)/ present (1)

69. Frontals, subolfactory processes: absent (0)/ present (1)

70. **Frontals, subolfactory processes, fusion to each other:** absent (0)/ present (1)

71. Frontals, orbitonasal projection: absent (0)/ present (1)

72. Parietals, fusion: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

73. Parietal foramen: present (0)/ absent (1)

74. Parietals, supratemporal process: absent (0)/ present (1)

75. **Parietals, supratemporal process, distal end, division:** single (0)/ bifid (1)

76. Parietals, ornamentation: absent (0)/ rugosities (1)/ tubercles (2)/ pits (3)

77. Parietals, lateral frill: absent (0)/ present (1)

78. Parietals, frontal tabs of parietal: absent (0)/ present (1)

79. Parietals, nuchal fossa: absent (0)/ present (1)

80. **Parietals, nuchal fossa, roofing by parietal posterior flange:** unroofed (0)/ roofed (1)

81. Parietals, ventral side, parietal fossa: present (0)/ absent (1)

82. **Parietals, ventral side, parietal fossa, posterior margin:** open (crests extend posterolaterally) (0)/ closed (crests meet at midline) (1)

83. Parietals, posteromedial (= postparietal) process: absent (0)/ present (1)

84. **Parietals, posteromedial (= postparietal) process, division:** single (0)/ bifid (1)

85. **Parietals, parietal table, shape:** margins ventrally directed, sagittal crest present (0)/ margins ventrally directed, without sagittal crest (1)/ margins laterally directed (2)

86. Parietals, dorsal surface, parasagittal crests: absent (0)/ present (1)

87. **Parietals, crista cranii parietalis, epipterygoid process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

88. Vomers, fusion: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

89. Vomers, teeth: absent (0)/ present (1)

90. Vomers, anterior premaxillary process: absent (0)/ present (1)

91. Vomers, lateral expansion: absent (0)/ present (1)

92. **Vomers, ventral surface, midline crest (=longitudinal ridge):** absent (0)/ present (1)

93. **Vomers, ventral surface, lateral crest (=longitudinal ridge):** absent (0)/ present (1)

94. **Vomers, ventral foramina, in each vomerine element:** present (0)/ absent (1)

95. Vomers, shape in cross-section: flat (0)/ convex ventrally (1)

96. **Vomers, posteroventral process (=descending tubercle):** absent (0)/ present (1)

97. **Palatines, teeth:** absent (0)/ present (1)

98. Palatines, ascending process: absent (0)/ present (1)

99. Palatines, dorsomedially directed process: absent (0)/ present (1)

100. Palatines, ventral surface, sulcus choanalis: absent (0)/ present (1)

101. Palatines, infraorbital foramen: present (0)/ absent (1)

102. **Palatine foramen:** absent (0)/ present (1)

103. Palatines, maxillary process, ventral aspect: absent (0)/ present (1)

104. Palatines, subchoanal shelf: absent (0)/ present (1)

105. **Pterygoids, teeth:** absent (0)/ present (1)

106. **Pterygoids, anteromedial processes, anterior end division:** single (0)/ bifurcate (1)

107. **Pterygoids, transverse processes:** absent (0)/ present (1)

108. **Pterygoids, transverse process:** posteroventrally orientated (0)/ flat, on same plane as the posterior region of the palatal ramus of the pterygoid (1)

109. Pterygoids, transverse process, teeth: absent (0)/ present (1)

110. Pterygoids, main body, concave in ventral aspect: absent (0)/ present(1)

111. **Pterygoids, arcuate flange:** absent (0)/ present (1)

112. **Pterygoids, quadrate rami, posterolateral excavation:** absent (0)/ present (1)

113. Ectopterygoids: absent (0)/ present (1)

114. Ectopterygoids, lateral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

115. **Epipterygoid:** present (0)/ absent (1)

116. **Epipterygoid, base shape:** base flared out (0)/ base columnar, inserting into a pit on the surface of the pterygoid (1)

117. Quadrates, articulating surface: flat (0)/ with condyles (1)

118. **Quadrate foramen:** present (0)/ absent (1)

119. **Quadrates, pterygoid process:** present as broad, overlapping quadrate/pterygoid suture (0)/ absent or reduced to a small lappet on the ventromedial surface of the quadrate (1)

120. Quadrates, posterior emargination: absent (0)/ present (1)

121. Quadrates, quadrate conch: absent (0)/ present (1)
122. Quadrates, posterodorsal suprastapedial recess with ventrally directed 'hook-like' process: absent (0)/ present (1)

123. **Quadrates, cephalic condyle, notch for the squamosal:** absent (0)/ present (1)

124. **Carotid foramina, entrance in braincase, position:** lateral wall of braincase (0)/ ventral surface of braincase (1)

125. Supraoccipital, lateral ascending processes: absent (0)/ present (1)

126. **Supraoccipital, medial ascending process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

127. Supraoccipital, fusion to exoccipitals: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

128. **Supraoccipital, sagittal crest:** absent (0)/ present (1)

129. Supraoccipital, lateral nuchal crest: absent (0)/ present (1)

130. Basioccipital/basisphenoid, sphenoid tubercles: absent (0)/ present (1)

131. **Sphenooccipital epiphyses:** absent (0)/ present (1)

132. **Basioccipital, fusion, to exoccipital:** unfused (0)/ fused (1)

133. Basioccipital, fusion to basisphenoid: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

134. **Basioccipital, ventral aspect, shape, concavity:** single (0)/ divided (1)/ absent (2)

135. Basisphenoid, Vidian canal: open (0)/ fully enclosed (1)

136. Basisphenoid, basipterygoid processes: present (0)/ absent (1)

137. Basisphenoid, dorsum sellae: absent (0)/ present (1)

138. **Basisphenoid (or fused parabasisphenoid), ventral aspect, shape, concavity:** single (0)/ divided (1)/ absent (2)

- 139. Basisphenoid, lateral depression: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 140. **Prootics, prootic crest:** absent (0)/ present (1)
- 141. **Prootics, prootic crest, shape:** crest (0)/ curved flange (1)
- 142. Prootics, alar crest: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 143. **Prootics, supratrigeminal process:** absent (0)/ present (1)
- 144. Prootics, anterior inferior process: present (0)/ absent (1)
- 145. Prootics, lateral wall, facial foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 146. **Prootics, lateral wall, facial foramen, division:** single (0)/ double (1)
- 147. Parasphenoid, teeth: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 148. Parasphenoid, orbitosphenoid processes: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 149. Opisthotics, crista interfenestralis: present (0)/ absent (1)
- 150. Exoccipitals, lateral flange: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 151. **Exoccipitals, fusion:** unfused (0)/ to opisthotics only (1)/ to opisthotics and prootics (2)
- 152. Exoccipitals, occipital condyle process: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 153. Exoccipitals, crista tuberalis: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 154. Exoccipitals (or exoccipital portion of the otoccipitals), contact to each other, medially: absent (0)/ present (1)
- 155. **Stapes, dorsal process:** present (0)/ absent (1)
- 156. **Stapes, stapedial foramen:** present (0)/ absent (1)
- 157. **Laterosphenoids:** absent (0)/ present (1)
- 158. **Orbitosphenoids:** absent (0)/ present (1)
- 159. Orbitosphenoids, fusion to each other: unfused (0)/ fused medially (1)
- 160. **Ossified sphenethmoid:** present (0)/ absent (1)
- 161. **Ossified sphenethmoid, shape:** without orbital septum (0)/ with orbital septum also ossified (1) / only orbital septum (2)

162. **Anterior mylohyoidal foramen:** absent (0)/ present (1)

163. **Posterior mylohyoidal foramen:** absent (0)/ present (1)

164. **Anterior inferior alveolar foramen:** absent (0)/ present (1)

165. Dentaries, symphyses, fusion to each other: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

166. **Dentaries, symphysial area, shape:** flat (0)/ convex (1)

167. Dentaries, anterior end, split by Meckelian canal: absent (0)/ present(1)

168. **Dentaries, anterior end, symphysial articulatory facet, position:** on dorsal margin only (0)/ on dorsal and ventral margins (1)/ on ventral margin only (2)

169. **Dentaries, subdental shelf:** present (0)/ absent (1)

170. **Dentaries, dorsal margin, contact, with ventral margin in medial view:** absent (0)/ present (1)

171. **Dentaries, dorsal margin, fusion, with ventral margin in medial view:** absent (0)/ present (1)

172. **Dentaries, coronoid process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

173. Dentaries, coronoid process, dorsal expansion: absent (0)/ present (1)

174. Dentaries, coronoid process, division: single (0)/ double (1)

175. Dentaries, posteroventral process: absent (0)/ present (1)

176. Splenials: absent (0)/ present (1)

177. **Splenials, fusion to dentary:** unfused (0)/ fused (1)

178. Splenials, symphysial process: absent (0) / present (1)

179. **Splenials, anterior border, shape:** rounded (0)/ notched (1)/ flat (2)/ tapering (3)

180. Angulars: present (0)/ absent (1)

181. **Angulars, anterior end, medial view, position relative to splenial:** lateral (0)/ dorsal (1)/ ventral (2)/ posterior (3)

182. Surangulars, coronoid process: absent (0)/ present (1)

183. Surangulars, lateral adductor crest: absent (0)/ present (1)

184. Surangulars, anterior surangular foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

185. Surangulars, posterior surangular foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

186. **Surangulars, mandibular fenestra:** absent (0)/ present (1)

187. **Articulars, lateral shelf:** absent (0)/ present (1)

188. **Articulars, fusion:** unfused (0)/ to prearticular only (1)/ to prearticular + surangular only (2)/ to prearticular + surangular + angular only (3)/ to prearticular + surangular + angular + splenial (4)

189. Articulars, foramen chorda tympani: absent (0)/ present (1)

190. Articulars, retroarticular process: absent (0)/ present (1)

191. Articulars, retroarticular process, dorsal fossa: absent (0)/ present (1)

192. Articulars, retroarticular process, lateral notch: absent (0)/ present (1)

193. Articulars and prearticulars, medial process: absent (0)/ present (1)

194. Articulars and prearticulars, medial process, prearticular crest: absent (0)/ present (1)

195. Prearticulars, retroarticular process: absent (0)/ present (1)

196. **Prearticulars, mandibular fossa:** present (0)/ absent (1)

197. **Coronoids, dorsal process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

198. Coronoids, anterolateral (=labial) process: absent (0)/ present (1)

199. Coronoids, anteromedial process: present (0)/ absent (1)

200. **Coronoids, posterodorsomedial process:** present (0)/ absent (1)

201. Coronoid, posteroventromedial process: present (0)/ absent (1)

202. **Dentition, crown apical striations, labial side:** absent (0)/ present (1)

203. Dentition, mesiodistal serration: absent (0)/ present (1)

204. **Posterior dentition, accessory cusps, mesiodistally oriented:** absent (0)/ present (1)

205. **Posterior dentition, accessory cusps, labiolingually oriented:** absent (0)/ present (1)

206. Posterior dentition, replacement teeth: absent (0)/ present (1)

207. Posterior dentition, resorption pits: present (0)/ absent (1)

208. **Posterior dentition, replacement teeth, position in relation to functional teeth:** lingual (0)/posterolingual (1)

209. **Posterior dentition, tooth shape, concave anteriorly:** absent (0)/ present (1)

210. Posterior dentary teeth, position, relative to dentary crista dorsalis (apex of labial wall) of dentary: lingual (0)/ apical (1)/ apicolingual (2)

211. Posterior dentary teeth, ankylosis to crista dorsalis (apex of labial wall) of dentary: absent (0)/ present (1)

212. **Posterior dentary dentition, lingual and labial carinae:** absent (0)/ present (1)

213. Anterior dentary teeth: present (0)/ absent (1)

214. Anterior dentary teeth, position relative to the jaw apical margin (dentary dorsal crest or maxillary ventral crest): lingual (0)/ apical (1)/ apicolingual (2)

215. Posterior maxillary teeth, posteromedial ridge: absent (0)/ present (1)

216. Anterior maxillary teeth, alternating teeth series: absent (0)/ present(1)

217. Atlas, pleurocentrum, fusion to axis: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

218. **Atlas, neural arches:** separate (0)/ sutured to each other (1)/ sutured to axis neural spine (2)

219. Atlas, neural arches, postzygapophyses: absent (0)/ present (1)

220. Atlas, ribs: present (0)/ absent (1)

221. Axis, pleurocentrum, fusion to neural arch: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

222. **Axis, intercentrum:** present (0)/ absent (1)

223. **Axis, connectivity to intercentra:** to intercentra 2 only (0)/ to intercentra 2 and 3 (1)

224. **Axis, intercentrum, fusion to axial pleurocentrum:** unfused (0)/ fused (1)

225. Axis, ribs: present (0)/ absent (1)

226. **Presacral pleurocentra, orientation of centrum:** amphicoelous (0)/ procoelous (1)/ opistocoelous (2)/ platycoelous (3)/ amphyplatyan (4)

227. **Presacral pleurocentra, notochord, persistent in adults:** present (0)/ absent (1)

228. Presacral parapophyses: present (0)/ absent (1)

229. **Presacral pleurocentra, midventral crest, cervical vertebrae:** absent (0)/ present (1)

230. **Presacral pleurocentra, midventral crest, posterior dorsal vertebrae:** absent (0)/ present (1)

231. **Posterior presacral pleurocentra, precondylar constriction:** absent (0)/ present (1)

232. Posterior presacral pleurocentra, dorsal vertebrae, margo ventralis (= ventrolateral crest): absent (0)/ present (1)

233. Sacral vertebrae, number: zero (0)/ one (1)/ two (2)/ three (3)/ four (4)

234. Caudal vertebrae, autotomic septum: absent (0)/ present (1)

235. Intercentra, on cervical vertebrae: absent (0)/ present (1)

236. **Intercentra, on cervical vertebrae, position:** intervertebral (0)/ on preceding centrum body (1)/ on following centrum body (2)

237. Intercentra, on dorsal vertebrae: absent (0)/ present (1)

238. Intercentra, on anteriormost caudal: absent (0)/ present (1)

239. **Intercentra, on anteriormost caudal, shape:** wedge-like elements (0)/ modified into chevron elements (1)

240. Intercentra, on posterior caudals (chevron bones = haemal arches): present (0)/ absent (1)

241. **Chevron bones, articulation:** between pleurocentra (0)/ with pleurocentrum, on articulatory facets (1)/ with pleurocentrum, on haemapophyses (=pedicles) (2)

242. Chevron bones, fusion to pleurocentrum: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

243. **Chevron bones, distal fusion:** separate elements (0)/ "V" shaped (1) / "Y" shaped (2)/elliptically shaped (3)

244. Neural arches, dorsal vertebrae, ventral bridge, posterior borders of neural arches: absent (0)/ present (1)

245. Neural arches, prezygapophyses, presacral vertebrae, processes ventrolaterally on prezygapophyses: absent (0)/ present (1)

247. **Neural arches, presacral vertebrae, zygosphenes orientation:** facing dorsolaterally (0)/ facing ventrolaterally (1)

248. Neural arches and pleurocentrum, diapophysis, anterior dorsal vertebrae, fusion to parapophysis: absent (0)/ present (1)

249. Neural arches, margo lateralis, posterior presacral vertebrae: absent (0)/ present (1)

250. Neural spine, cervical vertebrae, posterior notch: absent (0)/ present(1)

251. **Neural spine, cervical vertebrae, apically, lateral expansion:** absent (0)/ present (1)

252. Dermal neural spine, dorsal vertebrae: absent (0)/ present (1)

253. Neural spine, dorsal vertebrae: present (0)/ absent (1)

254. **Neural spine, dorsal vertebrae, anterior midline process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

255. **Neural spine, dorsal vertebrae, mammillary process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

256. **Neural spine, dorsal vertebrae, apically, lateral expansion:** absent (0)/ present (1)

257. **Presacral ribs, anteroposterior crests:** absent (0)/ present (1)

258. Presacral ribs, cervical ribs: present (0)/ absent (1)

259. **Presacral ribs, cervical ribs, anterior process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

260. Presacral ribs, uncinate processes, anterior dorsals: absent (0)/ present (1)

261. Presacral ribs, anteroventral process at rib head (= pseudotuberculum): absent (0)/ present (1)

262. **Presacral ribs, posterodorsal process at rib head (= pseudotuberculum):** absent (0)/ present (1)

263. Posteriormost presacral vertebra, ribs: present (0)/ absent (1)

264. **Posteriormost presacral vertebra, ribs articulation:** ribs unfused (0)/ ribs fused (1)

265. Sacral ribs, distal forking: absent (0) / on first sacral rib only (1) / on first and second sacral ribs (2) / on second sacral rib only (3)

266. Sacral/Cloacal ribs, fusion to pleurocentra: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

267. Anterior caudal ribs: absent (0)/ present (1)

268. Anterior caudal ribs, fusion to pleurocentra: unfused (0)/ fused (1)

269. **Presternum, mineralized:** absent (0)/ present (1)

270. Xiphisternum: absent (0)/ present (1)

271. Mineralized poststernal inscriptional ribs: absent (0)/ present (1)

272. Distal ribs: absent (0)/ present (1)

273. Scapula, supraglenoid foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

274. Scapula, supraglenoid buttress: absent (0)/ present (1)

275. Scapula, scapula ray: absent (0)/ present (1)

276. **Scapula, dorsal acromion process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

277. **Scapula, supracoracoidal acromion process:** absent (0)/ present (1).

278. Scapula, posterior emargination: absent (0)/ present (1)

279. Scapula, anterior emargination: absent (0)/ present (1)

280. **Procoracoid, supracoracoid foramen:** absent (0)/ notch (1)/ complete foramen (2)

281. Procoracoid, angulation medially: absent (0)/ present (1)

282. **Procoracoid, coracoid emargination:** absent (0)/ anterior emargination (1)/ anterior and posterior emarginations (2)

283. **Posterior coracoid:** absent (0)/ present (1)

284. Epicoracoids: absent (0)/ present (1)

285. Clavicles, secondary curvature anteroposteriorly: absent (0)/ present (1)

286. Clavicles, proximoventral fenestration: absent (0)/ present (1)

287. Clavicles, posterior process: absent (0)/ present (1)

288. Clavicles, dorsolateral flange: absent (0)/ present (1)

289. Clavicles, position (at point of contact), in relation to anterior margin of scapula: laterally (0)/ medially (1)/ anteriorly (2)

290. Clavicles, position (at point of contact), in relation to anterior margin of the interclavicle: ventrally (0)/ dorsally (1)/ anteriorly (2)

291. **Interclavicle, anterior process:** absent (0)/ present (1)

292. Interclavicle, posterior process: present (0)/ absent (1)

293. Cleithra: present (0)/ absent (1)

294. Ilia, posterodorsal notch, on acetabular margin: absent (0)/ present (1)

295. Ilia, supraacetabular buttress: absent (0)/ present (1)

296. Ilia, anterior pubic process: absent (0)/ present (1)

297. Ilia, anterior (=preacetabular) process: absent (0)/ present (1)

298. **Pubes, obturator foramen:** absent (0)/ complete foramen (1)/ notch (2)

299. Pubes, pubic tubercle: absent (0)/ present (1)

300. Ischia, fusion to pubes: absent (0)/ present (1)

301. Ischia, anterior border, emargination: absent (0)/ present (1)

302. Ischia, ischiadic tuberosity: absent (0)/ present (1)

303. Ischia, ischiadic neck: absent (0)/ present (1)

304. Ischia, facet, for hypoischium: absent (0)/ present (1)

305. **Humeri, ectepicondyle foramen:** absent (0)/ groove (1)/ notch (2)/ complete foramen (3)

306. Humeri, epicondyles: present (0)/ absent (1)

307. Humeri, entepicondyle foramen: absent (0)/ present (1)

308. Humeri, expanded radial condyle (= capitellum): present (0)/ absent (1)

309. Humeri, pectoral process: present (0)/ absent (1)

310. **Humeri, pectoral process, connection to humeral head:** separate (0)/ connected (1)

311. Humeri, shaft angulation: straight (0)/ angulate posteriorly (1)

312. Humeri, secondary ossification of epiphyses: absent (0)/ present (1)

313. Humeri, anterior flange: absent (0)/ present (1)

314. Radia, distal epiphysis, styloid process: absent (0)/ present (1)

315. Radia, anteroproximal process: absent (0)/ present (1)

316. Ulnae, ossified olecranon process: present (0)/ absent (1)

317. Ulnae, distal epiphysis, expansion: absent (0)/ present (1)

318. **Perforating foramen, manus:** absent (0)/ between ulnare and intermedium (1)/ between radiale and intermedium (2)

319. Intermedium: present (0)/ absent (1)

320. **Pisiform:** absent (0)/ present (1)

321. Palmar sesamoid: absent (0)/ present (1)

322. Distal carpal 1: present (0)/ absent (1)

323. Distal carpal 5: present (0)/ absent (1)

324. Femora: present (0)/ absent (1)

325. Femora, internal trochanter: present (0)/ absent (1)

326. Femora, fourth trochanter: absent (0)/ present (1)

327. **Femora, intertrochanteric fossa:** present (0)/ absent (1)

328. Tibiae, distal epiphysis, notch: absent (0)/ present (1)

329. **Astragalus and calcaneum:** as totally separate elements (0)/ fused (1)

330. Astragalus, shape, concave laterally: absent (0)/ present (1)

331. **Calcaneum, lateral tuber (or process) of the calcaneum:** present (0)/ absent (1)

332. **Calcaneum, foramen for perforating artery, position:** absent (0)/ between astragalus and calcaneum (1)/ between proximal ends of tibia and fibula (2)

333. **Pedal lateral centrale:** absent (0)/ present (1)

334. Distal tarsal 1: present (0)/ absent (1)

335. Distal tarsal 2: present (0)/ absent (1)

336. Distal tarsal 4, proximal peg: present (0)/ absent (1)

337. Distal tarsal 5: present (0)/ absent (1)

338. Metatarsal 5, hooked: absent (0)/ present (1)

339. Metatarsal 5, plantar tubercle: absent (0)/ present (1)

340. Gastralia: absent (0)/ present (1)

341. Dorsal trunk osteoderms: absent (0)/ present (1)

342. **Dorsal trunk osteoderms, imbrication:** not imbricated (0)/ imbricated (1)

343. Plastron plate: absent (0)/ present (1)

344. Neural plates: absent (0)/ present (1)

345. Costal plates: absent (0)/ present (1)

346. **Skull proportions:** preorbital skull length equal to postorbital length (0)/ preorbital length exceeds postorbital skull length (1)/ postorbital length exceeds preorbital skull length (2).

347. **Prefrontal/palatine antorbital contact:** absent (0)/ narrow forming less than 1/3 the transverse distance between the orbits (1)/ contact broad, forming at least 1/2 the distance between the orbits (2)

348. **Postfrontal contribution to upper temporal fenestra:** postfrontal excluded (0)/ postfrontal included (1).

349. **Angular lateral exposure:** exposed along 1/3 of the lateral face of the mandible (0)/ exposed only as a small sliver along the lateral face (1)

350. Maxilla orbital exposure: absent (0)/ present (1).

351. **Maxilla length:** extends at least to the posterior orbital margin (0)/ does not reach posterior margin of orbit (1)

352. Frontal morphology: parallelogram shaped (0)/ hourglass shaped (1)

353. **Dorsal vertebrae, transverse processes:** short no more than the total transverse width of the neural arch (0)/ long exceeding the transverse width of the neural arch (1)

354. **Orientation of transverse flange of pterygoid:** directed predominantly laterally or posterolaterally (0)/ oriented in an anterolateral direction (1)

355. **Humeral torsion:** proximal and distal end are offset at an angle of at least $45^{\circ}(0)$ / off set is reduced to no more than $20^{\circ}(1)$

356. **Adductor chamber:** small, quadrate does not extend well below level of occipital condyle (0)/ enlarged adductor chamber, and quadrate extends well below occipital condyle (1)

357. **Metacarpals, length of third and fourth:** fourth metacarpal longer than third (0)/ third and fourth metacarpals subequal in length (1)/ fourth metacarpal shorter than third (2).

358. **Lacrimal size:** large, with an anterior or posterior (suborbital) process which is longer anteroposteriorly than the dorsoventral length of the lacrimal in lateral view (0)/ small, dorsoventral length greater than anteroposterior length confined to the orbital rim (1)

359. Fibula, articulation with femur: fibula/femur articulation end to end (0)/ fibula sits in a recess on lateral margin of distal end of femur (1)

360. **Lateral row of enlarged palatine teeth:** absent (0)/ lateral tooth row present on palatine, converging posteriorly (1)

361. **Dentaries, posterior extent:** extends posteriorly no further than the level of coronoid eminence or slightly beyond (0)/ extends posteriorly more than halfway between coronoid eminence and articular condyle (1)

362. Ectopterygoid, contact with maxilla: absent (0)/ present (1)

363. Cervical and dorsal vertebrae, anterior centrodiapophyseal or paradiapophyseal lamina in cervicals or dorsals: absent (0)/ present (1)

364. Cervical and dorsal vertebrae, prezygodiapophyseal lamina in posterior cervicals and/or anterior dorsals: absent (0)/ present (1)

365. **Jugal, anterior suborbital extension:** broadly separated from prefrontal or posterior to the midpoint of the orbit (0)/ reaches level of prefrontal or anterior margin of orbit (1)

366. **Basioccipital, articular surface of the occipital condyle:** concave (0)/ hemispherical (1)

367. **Jugal lateral exposure below orbit:** absent (0)/ partly exposed above orbital margin of maxilla (1)/ entirely exposed above orbital margin of maxilla (2).

368. **Penultimate phalanges in hand:** shorter than or equal to antepenultimate (0)/ longer than antepenultimate (1)

369. **Jugals, posteroventral process:** short or spur-like, anteroventrally less than 20% of the total ventral length (0)/ long, greater than 25% of the total ventral length (1)

370. Jugal-quadratojugal, contact: absent (0)/ present(1)

371. Vomers, contact with anterior region of maxilla: absent (0)/ present (1)

372. Prefrontal, contact with counterpart at midline: absent (0)/ present (1)

373. Interclavicle, notch on anterior margin: absent (0)/ present (1)

374. Tibia, contact with centrale: absent (0)/ present (1)

375. **Premaxilla, contact with prefrontal:** absent (0)/ present (1)

376. **Dentary teeth, interdental plates:** contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove, fully separating adjacent teeth (0)/ do not contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove or are absent (1)

377. **Dentary teeth, asymmetry of dorsoventral height of labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove:** lingual wall subequal in height to labial wall (0)/ lingual wall absent or low, less that half the height of the labial wall (1)

378. Dentary teeth, dorsoventral height of lingual wall of alveolar groove: low, less than half the height of the labial wall (0)/ absent (1) - when labial and lingual walls are subequal in height this character is scored as inapplicable.

379. **Maxillary teeth, interdental plates:** contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove, fully separating adjacent teeth (0)/ do not contact both labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove or are absent (1)

380. Maxillary teeth, asymmetry of dorsoventral height of labial and lingual walls of alveolar groove: lingual wall subequal in height to labial wall (0)/ lingual wall absent or low, less that half the height of the labial wall (1)

381. **Maxillary teeth, dorsoventral height of lingual wall of alveolar groove:** low, less than half the height of the labial wall (0)/ absent (1) - when labial and lingual walls are subequal in height this character is scored as inapplicable.