1	A dual larynx motor networks hypothesis			
2	Michel Belyk ¹ , Nicole Eichert ² , Carolyn McGettigan ¹			
3				
4	¹ Department of Speech Hearing and Phonetic Sciences, University College London, London, United Kingdom			
5 6	² Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom			
7	DUNINIC TITLE Duel langed notwork hypothesis			
0	RONNING TITLE. Dual laryngeal network hypothesis			
9	Keywords: larynx, somatotopy, motor system, brain evolution, cerebellum, supplementary motor area			
10				
11				
12	[This is a pre-print to an article Accepted at Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B]			
13				
14				
15	Correspondence to:			
16	Michel Belyk, Ph.D.			
17	Speech Hearing and Phonetic Sciences			
18	University College London			
19	London, United Kingdom			
20	e-mail: m.belyk@ucl.ac.uk			

Abstract

22

21

23 Humans are vocal modulators par excellence. This ability is supported in part by the dual representation of the laryngeal muscles in the motor cortex. Movement, however, is not the product of motor cortex alone but of a 24 broader motor network. This network consists of brain regions which contain somatotopic maps that parallel 25 26 the organisation in motor cortex. We therefore present a novel hypothesis that the dual laryngeal 27 representation is repeated throughout the broader motor network. In support of the hypothesis we review 28 existing literature which demonstrates the existence of network-wide somatotopy, and present initial evidence 29 for the hypothesis' plausibility. Understanding how this uniquely human phenotype in motor cortex interacts 30 with broader brain networks is an important step toward understanding how humans evolved the ability to 31 speak. We further suggest that this system may provide a means to study how individual components of the 32 nervous system evolved within the context of neuronal networks.

- 33 Humans are vocal modulators par excellence. This is usually characterised as the capacity for Vocal Production
- Learning (VPL), which is the ability to learn to produce novel vocalisations [1]. Few species of mammals, such as
- cetaceans and bats [2,3], have displayed strong VPL abilities, and none of these species has a close phylogenetic
- 36 relationship to humans. Monkeys are particularly weak vocal learners [4]. Non-human apes appear to have
- intermediate VPL, being able to learn certain kinds of limited vocal behaviour from humans [5,6], though there
- 38 is little evidence of this behaviour in the wild [7]. The human VPL capacity is attributable in part to specialised
- 39 adaptations in motor cortex that grant voluntary control over the voice. However, complex behavioural abilities
- 40 such as VPL are not the product of the motor cortex alone but are an emergent property of their interaction
- 41 with a broader motor network.

Human motor cortex is composed of a band of specialized grey matter along the precentral gyrus and the anterior bank of the precentral sulcus, which is the main source of motor output from the central nervous system. Penfield's seminal neurosurgical studies [8] described the conspicuous somatotopy of the human primary motor cortex (M1), in which the muscles of the foot are represented at one end of the somatotopic map and the muscles of the head represented at the other end [9–11]. Similar somatotopic maps have been described throughout the network of brain areas that control movement, including the cerebellum, supplementary motor area (SMA), basal ganglia (BG), and the middle cingulate cortex (MCC) [12–15].

49 Penfield's original mapping was uncertain of the somatotopic location of the laryngeal muscles, which control 50 the sound source of the voice. More recent neurosurgical [16,17], molecular genetic [18], and brain imaging 51 studies [19-24] provide compelling evidence that the laryngeal muscles are unusual in being controlled by two 52 distinct loci within the human motor cortex. While other effectors such as the digits of the hand may also have 53 multiple representations in motor cortex, these tend to be contiguous and may represent either subdivision at 54 a finer scale (i.e., muscles of flexion vs. extension) or correlated movements with nearby muscles that exert a 55 common influence over shared joints [25-28]. In contrast, the dual laryngeal representations are non-56 contiguous, being located at opposing ends of the orofacial motor zone - which is a marked deviation from the 57 single larynx area observed in other primates [29,30]. The two representations have therefore been referred to as dorsal and ventral laryngeal motor cortex (dLMC, vLMC). This adaptation has clear implications for the 58 59 evolution of speech since the neural control of the larynx supports one of the requirements of spoken language 60 [31,32], namely a high degree of control over the voice source beyond the capabilities of other primates [4,33].

61 Despite extensive searches spanning new world monkeys (primarily Macaca mulatta), old world monkeys 62 (primarily Saimiri sciureus), and all extant genera of great apes including Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), 63 Orangutans (Pongo sp.), and Gorillas (Gorilla sp.) [29,34,35], humans appear to be the sole primate with the 64 neural trait of dual larynx representation, and much has been written about the possible implications of this 65 phenotype for the evolution of speech [36–41]. Here, we outline a novel hypothesis that this human phenotype 66 is not restricted to the motor cortex but extends throughout a network of somatotopically-arranged brain areas 67 that comprise the motor system, including the cerebellum, SMA, BG, and MCC and the axonal projections 68 between these regions.

69 Hypothesis: Dual larynx motor networks

70 We hypothesize that each motor region contains two representations of the laryngeal muscles within their 71 respective somatotopic maps: one between the hand and the orofacial muscles, and a second at the end of the 72 orofacial representation (see Figure 1). This hypothesis is supported by the observations that i) somatotopic 73 maps throughout the motor network follow a similar ordering of representations from foot to face and ii) nodes 74 in the motor network project to one another homotopically, suggesting that motor regions beyond motor cortex 75 must have target zones that receive the projections from the dLMC and vLMC. Somatotopic maps in different 76 regions vary in orientation. For instance, somatotopy proceeds dorso-ventrally in the motor cortex but antero-77 posteriorly along the medial wall. Therefore, it may not be constructive to use the labels dorsal and ventral 78 larynx areas for somatotopic maps beyond motor cortex. We have therefore adopted the convention of referring

- to larynx somatotopic regions in the MCC, SMA, cerebellum, and BG as dLMC-related or vLMC-related to denote
- 80 their respective positions within the somatotopically arranged motor network.
- 81 An alternative hypothesis is that only the dLMC benefits from the gain in function concomitant with support
- 82 from the broader motor system. Only dLMC is composed of primary motor cortex, while vLMC is likely to be
- 83 located in a qualitatively different cytoarchitectonic motor region (see a more detailed discussion below).
- 84 Moreover, dLMC is a novel phenotype in humans and robustly observed in human functional brain imaging 85 studies, which points towards a prominent role in brain architecture. Therefore, if only one larynx representation
- is observed in the network of somatotopic maps, , then we predict that it will be the dLMC-related locus in a
- position between the hand and the articulatory muscles. If this turns out to be the case, it will regardless be
- important to understand the evolution of the dLMC in the context of a broader motor network.



89

90

Figure 1: Depiction of the dual laryngeal motor network hypothesis. The middle cingulate cortex, supplementary motor area, and cerebellum are depicted with simplified somatotopic maps for conceptual convenience. The broader motor somatotopy follows the organisation of motor cortex, but with idiosyncratic orientations following a different axis in each brain region (basal ganglia not shown for simplicity). The hypothesised dLMC-related and vLMC-related networks are shown in orange and purple, respectively.

97

98 A human-specific phenotype in motor cortex

99 Compared to other primates, lower motor neurons in the human spinal cord and brainstem receive a far greater 100 proportion of their inputs from neocortex. These connections contribute to the dexterity and behavioural 101 flexibility of our species [42–44]. Included in this abundance of cortical efferents is a direct projection to motor 102 neurons in the nucleus ambiguus [36-40], which is a brainstem motor nucleus that controls the muscles of the 103 larynx. Such a direct cortico-bulbar connection is lacking in monkeys [45], extant but sparse in non-human apes 104 [35], and further elaborated in humans [46,47]. An analogous phenotype distinguishes birds who are strong 105 vocal learners such as songbirds (order Passeriformes), humming birds (order Apodiformes), and parrots (order 106 Psitaciformes) from weaker vocal learners [48,49]. Thus, it appears that multiple phylogenetic lineages with 107 strong VPL abilities have converged on similar neurophenotypes with direct efferent projection from upstream 108 motor areas to voice-motor nuclei [50,51].

Evidence for the presence of this direct connection between the neocortex and the nucleus ambiguus in humans
has come from natural experiments due to cerebrovascular events [46,47], in which large cortical lesions caused
the axons of upper motor neurons to degenerate. Tracing the course of these damaged axons against the more

112 intact surrounding white matter allowed the authors to demonstrate the existence of the direct cortico-bulbar

pathway. However, these lesions all resulted from cerebrovascular accidents of the middle cerebral artery (MCA)

114 that can result in widespread damage across the speech relevant portions of motor cortex (hence the prevalence

of speech-motor and swallowing disorders following MCA infarcts; [52,53]). Thus, lesion studies provide limited

116 information about the cortical source of the direct pathway.

117 Researchers using functional neuroimaging to investigate speech motor control initially presumed that the 118 larynx was represented at the ventral-most extent of primary motor cortex [54], in the location that would be 119 expected from the larynx's position within the throat and proximity to the homologous region in non-human 120 primates [29,55,56]. However, later studies demonstrated that the human brain in fact has two separate 121 representations of the larynx, at either end of the orofacial somatotopic map of the precentral gyrus [19–23]. 122 Though the dual larynx representations have not been consistently labelled as such in earlier brain imaging

research, it was nonetheless consistently present near the predicted location [54].

The dLMC is located in canonical primary motor cortex in Brodmann Area (BA) 4, which is cytoarchitecturally defined as the region containing a high abundance of giant pyramidal neurons in cortical layer V - these pyramidal neurons are the source of the descending motor pathways of the cortico-spinal and cortico-bulbar tracts [57–59].

128 In contrast, the human vLMC is localized to the most ventral segment of the central sulcus or the lateral segment 129 of the anterior subcentral sulcus [17,18,60]. The localisation of the vLMC may be particularly variable due to a 130 high degree of individual variation in the morphology of nearby sulci [60], which may explain why the vLMC 131 escaped notice by many early functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies. Unlike its dorsal 132 counterpart, quantitative neuroimaging has also suggested that the vLMC is not located in primary motor cortex 133 [60]. Although no study has both localized the vLMC and performed a cytoarchitectural analysis of the underlying 134 tissue, the location of the vLMC corresponds to BA 43 in the Brodmann atlas. While Brodmann believed that this 135 region most strongly resembled somatosensory cortex based on its cellular composition [57], Vogt believed that 136 it more strongly resembled motor cortex based on the degree of myelination of cortical layer V, which is an 137 indicator of the large myelinated axons that form the efferent motor pathways that carry motor commands to 138 the peripheral nervous system [58,59]. In contrast to the evidence from humans, the larynx representation in 139 non-human primates has been identified in premotor cortex [45], but no separate representation in primary 140 motor cortex has been described. This observation is in line with the theory that primary and premotor cortex 141 contain one single somatotopic map spanning cytoarchitectural zones [61].

142 Whether the dLMC and vLMC make separate functional contributions to voice motor control, and what those 143 might be, remains an active area of research. Identifying behaviours that activate one of these regions over the 144 other is challenging, given that the dLMC may be easier to detect than the vLMC. However, electrical stimulation studies in humans have observed that stimulation of the dLMC elicits a vowel-like vocalisation, while stimulation 145 of the vLMC elicits grunting [8,16,62]. The dLMC is bounded posteriorly by a putative larynx sensory cortex on 146 147 the posterior central gyrus. This Larynx Sensory Cortex (LSC) is larger and activates more strongly in professional 148 Opera singers than non-singers, suggesting that these individuals make greater use of proprioceptive feedback 149 to guide highly skilled motor control [63,64].

150 It is not clear whether the vLMC is bounded posteriorly by a sensory zone, analogous to the dLMC. However, the vLMC may itself have some sensory function not matched by its dorsal counterpart. While the vLMC has 151 primarily been localized as a correlate of vocal motor behaviour [17,20–23], activation of this region has also 152 153 been observed in response to sensory stimulation of the larynx by applying an external puff of air [65]. Somewhat paradoxically, anesthetising the larynx does not reduce vLMC activation [19]. A recent cortical 154 155 parcellation based on multi-modal brain imaging confirms that this region is distinct from both primary motor and primary somatosensory cortex and suggests a combination of sensorimotor functions [66]. Further research 156 157 on the relationship between the vLMC and the broader motor system may shed further light on its function.

158 The motor system and its somatotopic maps

159 Motor cortex is the main source of output from the motor system. However, motor control is not the product

160 of M1 alone, but requires a broader motor network that supports complex voluntary movements. This network

161 includes brain regions such as the basal ganglia, supplementary motor area (SMA), cingulate cortex, and the

- 162 cerebellum (See Figure 2). In this section we review the existing evidence that each of these brain regions 163 contains its own somatotopic map akin to motor cortex. Intriguingly, the somatotopic maps in the brains of
- 164 individuals born without one hand undergo a neuroplastic remapping that may occur in parallel across multiple
- 165 brain regions within this network [67], which may suggest that somatotopic maps across the motor network are
- 166 driven by common developmental mechanisms.

167



168	Figure 2: Major components of the motor network. A) Lateral surface view of MNI152 atlas brain, b)
169	medial surface view with digital transections at $x=0$ and $z=0$ showing the motor cortex (red), middle
170	cingulate cortex, (Pink), basal ganglia (yellow), supplementary motor area (blue), and cerebellum (cyan).

171

172 Motor Cortex

The somatotopic map in primary motor cortex (BA 4) is well characterized and is sometimes referred to as a homunculus in the brain after its reflection of the physical body. The muscles of the foot are located at one end of the somatotopic map and the muscles of the head located at the other [9–11]. For conceptual convenience, zones within these somatotopic maps are often referred to by simplistic labels based on the effectors with which they are most strongly associated (e.g., M1_{hand} for the predominantly hand controlling zone). However, at a finer spatial scale these zones are composed of tessellated fields and individual effectors can be controlled by discontinuous but clustered representations [68]. These representations have been described as either encoding

- 180 the states of muscles [69,70], the spatial properties of movement vectors [71,72], or ethologically meaningful
- 181 combinations of effectors that pattern whole movements [61,73]. These levels of encoding are not mutually
- 182 exclusive [74].
- 183 Distinct functional contributions of the dLMC and vLMC remain elusive [75,76]. However, electrical stimulation
- of these regions in the human brain elicit vowel sounds and grunting, respectively [8,16,62]. These separate
- 185 behaviours produced by the same ensemble of muscles is suggestive of distinct ethological functions of the
- 186 dLMC and vLMC, though further evidence is required. It is hoped that an understanding of the connections of
- 187 these two regions with the broader motor system will begin to elucidate their respective functions.

188 Cortico-cerebellar loops

- The cerebellum maintains a broad pattern of connections throughout the brain and has some part in a wide range of central nervous system function [77,78]. Among these functions the cerebellum plays a critical role in making online adjustments that fine-tune movements. The cerebellum receives an efferent copy of motor commands from M1 and compares expected proprioceptive feedback with observed proprioceptive feedback [79–82]. The difference between intended and observed movements produces an error signal that is returned
- 194 to M1 to implement online corrections to ongoing movements.
- 195 The cerebellum contains at least two separate somatotopic maps [83]. The anterior lobe of the cerebellum
- contains a somatotopic map with the foot located antero-dorsally and the head postero-ventrally, while the
- 197 posterior lobe has a somatotopic map with the face represented postero-dorsally and the foot antero-ventrally
- 198 [84–88]. More recent evidence suggests that the anterior lobe may contain an additional somatotopic map along
- 199 lateral-to-medial axis [89], though further replication is required.

200 Cortico-striatal loops

- 201 The supplementary motor area and basal ganglia form part of the cortico-striatal loop which is involved in motor
- learning [90,91]. The motoric processing loop of the basal ganglia forms a circuit through its various component
- 203 nuclei including the putamen (a part of the striatum for which this circuit is named), globus pallidus, subthalamic
- nucleus, and substantia nigra, which sends outputs via the thalamus back to the cortex [92]. This circuit receives
- 205 dopaminergic inputs from reward centres to mediate reinforcement learning [93,94].
- The SMA and a region anterior to it called the pre-SMA both contain a distinct set of motor representations, with a clear somatotopy at least in SMA (Picard and Strick 1996). This somatotopic map spans from the legs posteriorly to the orofacial muscles anteriorly [13,95–98]. The putamen receives inputs from both M1 and the SMA and these inputs retain the somatotopic organization of their sources [15]. Inputs from M1 and the SMA innervate distinct portions of the putamen and it has therefore been suggested that the putamen may contain two parallel somatotopic maps [99]. Somatotopy may also be retained throughout the entire cortico-striatal
- 212 loop [100], including the globus pallidus [101,102] and thalamus [103] though on a spatial scale that is
- 213 inaccessible to current non-invasive brain imaging methodologies.

214 Cingulate cortex

- The cingulate cortex is nested in the medial surface of the brain following the curvature of the corpus callosum. This brain region combines cognitive, affective, and motoric functions for the motivation and initiation of goaldirected behaviours [104–107]. It is divided grossly into the anterior, middle, and posterior cingulate cortex (ACC, MCC and PCC, respectively). The MCC has approximate boundaries anteriorly at the genu of the corpus callosum and posteriorly at the marginal sulcus [108–110]. This macro-anatomically defined region itself comprises multiple cytoarchitecturally defined subregions. Of these, area 24c is in the cingulate sulcus, which contains a series of three cingulate motor areas [12,111]. These cingulate motor areas are all involved in action
- selection, with increasingly more complex movement patterns involving the more anterior divisions [112–114].

- 223 The middle cingulate sulcus contains three distinct motor regions [12,111] each of which contains a somatotopic
- map with the feet represented posteriorly and the orofacial muscles anteriorly [12,114–118]. Somatotopic
- 225 mapping in the cingulate cortex may be further complicated by the high degree of anatomical variability of this
- region, since in a subset of human brains the motor regions of the cingulate sulcus are divided across separate
 - cingulate and paracingulate sulci [12,119–121].

228 White matter somatotopy

229 The descending motor pathways which form the corticobulbar and corticospinal outputs from the motor system 230 maintain a clear somatotopic map that is observable in white matter [122–125]. This somatotopy facilitates the 231 mapping of upper motor neurons in primary motor cortex onto their corresponding lower motor neurons in the 232 brainstem and spinal cord. Likewise, the somatotopic maps of M1 in either hemisphere project preferentially to 233 homotopic sites in the opposite hemisphere, retaining ordered somatotopy in the white matter of the corpus 234 callosum [126,127]. At least some of the individual brain regions that make up the motor network also display 235 preferential functional connectivity between somatotopically analogous regions [87,128], maintaining 236 somatotopy in the white matter pathways that connect them [115,129].

237 Initial evidence for dual laryngeal representations in the cerebellum and SMA

238 Cerebellum

239 We re-analysed an existing fMRI dataset to test whether two distinct representations of the laryngeal muscles 240 can be observed in the cerebellum (see [21] for details on data acquisition). The study was approved by the 241 Central University Research Ethics Committee at the University of Oxford (CUREC, R55787/RE001) in accordance 242 with the regulatory standards of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). 243 Twenty participants performed speech movements to localize lips, tongue, and laryngeal activity during 244 vocalization. Participants produced non-linguistic utterances overtly, articulating silently, using am isolated 245 vowel, or as covert speech. The LMC was then localized using a factorial model comparing overt speech and 246 vowel production with silent articulation and covert speech. See [21] for a detailed description of the functional 247 paradigm and analysis."

- 248 In addition to conventional group-level statistical activation maps, we derived overlap maps of individually 249 thresholded and binarized volumetric maps (see Figure 3A for details of analysis). A larynx-lip-tongue-larynx 250 pattern can be observed along a lateral/anterior-to-medial/posterior axis. The coordinates of these regions are 251 consistent with lobule VI of the posterior cerebellar lobe [130]. Two distinct activations for the larynx can be 252 observed at the group level (Figure 3A, top) as well as in individual participants (Figure 3A, bottom). Activations 253 for the lips and the tongue fall in between the two larynx activations as they do in motor cortex, though at the 254 present resolution these activations are largely overlapping. The dLMC-related activation is observed antero-255 laterally to the articulators while the vLMC-related activation is observed postero-medially. All activations are in 256 close proximity and within the same anatomical lobule.
- 257 Our results are most consistent with one continuous somatotopic map in lobule VI of the cerebellum that 258 contains two distinct laryngeal representations. We note also that additional activations are present at a lower 259 threshold in the remaining lobules, which may reflect additional somatotopic maps [84–88].



260

261

262 Figure 3: Initial evidence for laryngeal motor network somatotopy. A) Cerebellar task activations during 263 movement of the lips, the tongue and during larynx activity. Shown are sagittal slices of the left 264 hemisphere (A-P: anterior-posterior). Larynx activity is shown in orange and purple to indicate dLMC- and vLMC-related activation, though we note that these are correlated activations derived from the same 265 266 contrast. Top: Binarized group-level task activations (voxel-wise threshold z > 4, n = 20). Bottom: Binarized 267 overlap maps (individual maps: voxel-wise threshold of z > 3.1, overlap map: thresholded at n > 10268 participants). B) Results of ALE meta-analysis from the two LMC seed regions displayed on the MNI152 atlas brain. Top: The surface brain is digitally transected sagittally at x=0, axially at z=10, and coronally 269 270 with an oblique slice following the precentral gyrus. Bottom: Sagittal slices transecting the two see regions and the SMA. The dLMC-related supplementary motor area (orange) is posterior to the vLMC-related 271 supplementary motor area (purple) in line with the expected somatotopy of this region. 272

273

274 Supplementary motor area

275 We conducted a meta-analysis of brain imaging studies that activated the dLMC and vLMC to identify brain 276 regions that are co-activated with each larynx area. We searched the BrainMap database [131] for fMRI studies 277 that reported activation within a 5 mm radius sphere of the dLMC (x=-41; y=-16; z=38) or the vLMC (x=-66; y=-278 4; z=14). This search was performed blind to the tasks being performed by the participants and was concerned 279 only with activation within the seed regions [132]. Coordinate tables in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) 280 space were retrieved from the database on 04/04/2020 (see S1 and S2). This searched yielded 512 foci of activation across 29 participant groups for the dLMC, and 294 foci across 19 participant groups for the vLMC. 281 282 Each set of activation coordinates was analysed using Activation Likelihood Estimation [133-135] using GingerAle software (v3.0.2) with a cluster-level family wise error rate of p<0.01 computed with 5000 283 284 permutations. Results were visualized using Mango (v4.1, Research Imaging Institute, UTHSCSA).

The dLMC-related ALE yielded a network of motor and auditory related brain regions including the contralateral
 dLMC, the superior temporal gyrus (STG), putamen, cerebellum, and the SMA (see Figure 3B and Table 1). The
 vLMC-related ALE yielded a much more restricted network, as expected from the smaller pool of studies in that

- analysis, including the contralateral vLMC, the insula, and the SMA. Both ALEs revealed co-activation with the
- 289 SMA, but at spatially distinct sites. The dLMC-related SMA was posterior to the vLMC-related SMA. This pattern
- is consistent with the expected somatotopy of this region and with the previously observed network somatotopy
- between the SMA and motor cortex [128,129].

292

dLMC							
Brain Region	Hemisphere	х	у	z	ALE Value		
dLMC [seed]	Left	-42	-16	38	0.125		
dLMC	Right	46	-12	38	0.045		
SMA	Left	-4	0	56	0.041		
Putamen	Right	26	0	4	0.032		
Cerebellum	Left	-12	-62	-20	0.032		
STG	Left	-60	-14	10	0.027		
vLMC							
Brain Region	Hemisphere	х	у	z	ALE Value		
vLMC [seed]	Left	-64	-4	14	0.098		
vLMC	Right	66	-4	22	0.024		
SMA	Left	-2	8	58	0.025		
Right Insula	Right	42	-6	8	0.024		

293

Table 1: Coordinates of peak likelihoods from ALE meta-analysis for seed regions in the dLMC (upper) and

vLMC (lower). Brain regions are listed along with their x, y, z coordinates in MNI stereotaxic space and
 their Activation Likelihood Estimation scores which provide a relative measure of confidence.

297 Mechanisms of brain network evolution

We have hypothesized that the human brain has evolved not only a dual representation of the laryngeal muscles in motor cortex, but a dual laryngeal motor network to support it. However, this broader characterization of the phenotype raises important questions about how natural selection may act simultaneously on an entire network of brain regions whose functions are strongly interdependent. Among these questions is how the emergence of a novel pathway overcomes strong allometric constraints, for example that dictate the relative volume of grey matter to white [136,137], or how individual neural adaptations can be accommodated within the highly conserved organisation of neocortex [138,139].

There is some debate about the extent to which evolution is able to influence individual brain regions to form an evolutionary mosaic [140,141] as compared to concerted change over the entire brain [142,143]. While brain area size is highly predictable from overall brain size taken at a broad taxonomical scale (e.g., across mammals), individual brain regions violate this trend when examined at a finer taxonomic scale (e.g., across primates), which is a likely driver of inter-species behavioural differences [42,144].

Pairs of functionally related brain structures have correlated sizes across species even after controlling for brain size, indicating that brain networks may evolve together and at least partially independently of other brain structures [140]. Furthermore, natural selection may be capable of acting on individual brain regions and their corresponding networks due to genetic mechanisms that provide independent regulation of brain region sizes [141]. The primate cortical sheet has not expanded uniformly as brain size increased, with the occipital lobe expanding least and the frontal and temporal lobes expanding most, but this pattern is conserved and species differences appear to be the product of brain size [145].

A remarkably analogous instance of network-wide brain evolution is found in the song system of parrots. Strong 317 vocal learning abilities have evolved independently in three lineages of birds, and of these parrots are among 318 319 the most prodigious vocal learners [50,146]. The avian song system is composed of a series of nuclei, some of 320 which are analogous to structures in the human vocal-motor system including the putamen, motor cortex, and 321 nucleus ambiguus [18,147], and are regulated by specialised patterns of gene expression [148,149]. The parrot 322 brain is unusual in containing two parallel song systems [150]. Nuclei in the parrot song system are composed 323 of a core that is analogous with the song system of other avian vocal learners, and a surrounding shell that forms 324 a rudimentary second song system. The core and shell song systems form parallel networks, however only the 325 core sends direct projections to the brainstem motor nucleus that controls the syrinx (i.e., the analogue to 326 mammalian nucleus ambiguus). Chakraborty & Jarvis (2015) proposed that such a phenotype could arise by 327 mutations that cause the entire network to duplicate as an ensemble, in line with a previous proposal that the 328 avian song system itself may have evolved as a specialization from a pre-existing limb and body motor network 329 [152].



330

331 Figure 4: Conceptual depiction of parallel effector-specific circuits feeding from the broader motor 332 network to upper motor neurons in motor cortex and onto lower motor neurons in the brainstem and 333 spinal cord (black circles). We propose that evolutionary changes that add novel downstream targets 334 (orange line) to the efferent motor pathway change the function of the corresponding portion of motor 335 cortex as well as the broader motor networks to which it is connected. The example above depicts a novel projection from a patch of motor cortex to brainstem, which in turn alters the function of the motor 336 337 network in which it is embedded in to support voice motor control. The dotted line indicates that this 338 patch was previously recruited by a different effector.

339 We suggest that only a relatively minor change to an existing portion of mammalian motor cortex may have 340 been sufficient to evolve a novel laryngeal motor network in humans. We propose that the emergence of novel 341 efferent pathways to the nucleus ambiguus de facto alters the functional significance not only of these cortical 342 neurons in the motor cortex but also the broader network in which they are embedded (see Figure 4). Given 343 that somatotopic motor networks are defined by the effectors that they control (e.g., M1-hand is that part of 344 motor cortex which projects to hand lower motor neurons in the spinal cord, SMA-hand is that part of the SMA 345 that projects to M1-hand, etc.) modifications to the descending efferent pathways of motor cortex alter the 346 function of corresponding sites throughout motor network. Hence, we propose that the evolution of novel 347 projections from one or both of the LMCs was sufficient for the emergence of vocal motor networks, thereby 348 acquiring novel functions. Such a mechanism would leverage existing long-range connections in the brain, 349 thereby preserving existing allometric relationships between the grey and white matter volumes and 350 overcoming hard barriers for morphological changes.

One mechanism that has been proposed to drive the development of novel laryngeal motor specialisations in humans is the evolution of novel patterns of gene expression in the dLMC and vLMC relative to surrounding cortex [18]. This specialisation includes genes of the slit and plexin family, that encode axon guidance molecules

- and neuronal growth cone receptors, respectively [153,154]. These genes are likely candidates for a molecular
 genetic mechanism that may drive the direct projection to nucleus ambiguus in humans. Alternatively, such a
- 356 specialisation may simply arise as a consequence of the increased proportional size of neocortex. Larger brain
- 357 regions send more axonal projections and compete more effectively for limited dendritic space [155,156]. For
- example, among mammals, proportionally larger neocortical size is correlated with deeper penetration of the
- spinal cord by corticospinal axons, which in turn mediates improved manual dexterity [42,43]. Hence, the
- increased proportional size of human neocortex alone may have been a driving factor in evolving novel vocal
- 361 motor networks in humans. As cortical expansion increased the total number of corticobulbar axons, they may
- have invaded novel territory in the nucleus ambiguus, potentially at the expense of other inputs that mediate
- unlearned vocalisations, such as the periaqueductal grey [157,158].

We note that the human brain has undergone numerous other large scale structural changes relative to nonhuman primates [159–164]. The emergence of vocal motor networks is itself not sufficient for the communicative behaviours of humans. Rather, it is part of an ensemble of neural adaptations that support the vocal, auditory, semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic faculties which are needed for speech and language, and which may have separate evolutionary histories [31,32,165]. However, we do suggest that the small-scale modification of the corticobulbar outputs of motor cortex may have had large-scale functional implications for the motor network.

371 Summary

372 We have proposed a novel hypothesis that the dual representation of the laryngeal muscles found in the motor 373 cortex is repeated throughout the motor network. Somatotopic organization is a feature that is found across 374 the network of brain regions that control voluntary movement. Each of these brain regions contains 375 representations of muscle groups following a predictable order based on the plan of the body. These motor 376 regions project preferentially to somatotopically homologous regions (e.g., M1-hand to SMA-hand) to form an 377 extended somatotopic network. Initial evidence suggests that the cerebellum and SMA may also contain dual 378 representations of the larynx, thereby contributing the functions of the cortico-cerebellar and cortico-striatal 379 loops to voice motor control. These findings require further replication and should be extended to other motor 380 regions such as cingulate cortex and the basal ganglia. This hypothesis raises important questions about how 381 adaptations at the level of motor cortex may impact the broader network in which it is embedded. We have also 382 discussed brain evolution in search of a parsimonious mechanism for the emergence of this complex phenotype 383 in the human brain.

384

388

385 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Kate E. Watkins for enlightening discussions on the larynx motor cortexand the speech motor network.

389 Funding

This work was funded by a Research Leadership Award (RL-2016-013) from The Leverhulme Trust (C.M.) This research was funded in part by the Wellcome Trust. The Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging is supported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust [203139/Z/16/Z] and N.E. was funded by a Wellcome Trust PhD stipendship [203730/Z/16/Z]. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

395		References
396 397	1.	Janik VM, Slater PJB. 2000 The different roles of social learning in vocal communication. <i>Anim. Behav.</i> 60 , 1–11. (doi:10.1006/anbe.2000.1410)
398 399	2.	Vernes S, Wilkinson G. 2019 Behaviour, biology, and evolution of vocal learning in bats. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.</i> 375 , 20190061. (doi:10.1101/646703)
400 401	3.	Tyack PL. 2019 A taxonomy for vocal learning. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 375 , 20180406. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0406)
402 403	4.	Fischer J, Hammerschmidt K. 2019 Towards a new taxonomy of primate vocal learning. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 375 , 20199945. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0045)
404	5.	Wich SA et al. 2012 Call cultures in orang-utans? PLoS One 7, 1–9. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036180)
405 406	6.	Lameira AR, Hardus ME, Mielke A, Wich SA, Shumaker RW. 2016 Vocal fold control beyond the species- specific repertoire in an orangutan. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 6 , 1–10. (doi:10.1038/srep30315)
407 408	7.	Fischer J. 2021 Primate vocal communication and the evolution of speech. <i>Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.</i> 30 , 55–60. (doi:10.1177/0963721420979580)
409 410	8.	Penfield W, Boldrey E. 1937 Somatic motor and sensory representations in the cerebral cortex of man as studied by electrical stimulation. <i>Brain</i> 60, 389–443. (doi:10.1192/bjp.84.352.868-a)
411 412 413	9.	Correia JM, Caballero-gaudes C, Guediche S, Carreiras M. 2020 Phonatory and articulatory representations of speech production in cortical and subcortical fMRI responses. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 20 , 1–14. (doi:10.1038/s41598-020-61435-y)
414 415 416	10.	Lotze M, Erb M, Flor H, Huelsmann E, Godde B, Grodd W. 2000 fMRI evaluation of somatotopic representation in human primary motor cortex. <i>Neuroimage</i> 11 , 473–481. (doi:10.1006/nimg.2000.0556)
417 418	11.	Takai O, Brown S, Liotti M. 2010 Representation of the speech effectors in the human motor cortex: Somatotopy or overlap? <i>Brain Lang.</i> 113 , 39–44. (doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2010.01.008)
419 420	12.	Amiez C, Petrides M. 2014 Neuroimaging evidence of the anatomo-functional organization of the human cingulate motor areas. <i>Cereb. Cortex</i> 24 , 563–578. (doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs329)
421 422 423	13.	Penfield W, Welch K. 1951 The supplementary motor area of the cerebral cortex: A clinical and experimental study. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 66, 289–317. (doi:10.1001/archneurpsyc.1951.02320090038004)
424 425	14.	Glickstein M, Sultan F, Voogd J. 2011 Functional localization in the cerebellum. <i>Cortex</i> 47 , 59–80. (doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2009.09.001)
426 427	15.	Nambu A. 2011 Somatotopic organization of the primate basal ganglia. <i>Front. Neuroanat.</i> 5 , 1–9. (doi:10.3389/fnana.2011.00026)
428 429	16.	Breshears JD, Molinaro AM, Chang EF. 2015 A probabilistic map of the human ventral sensorimotor cortex using electrical stimulation. <i>J. Neurosurg.</i> 123 , 340–349. (doi:10.3171/2014.11.JNS14889)
430 431	17.	Bouchard KE, Mesgarani N, Johnson K, Chang EF. 2013 Functional organization of human sensorimotor cortex for speech articulation. <i>Nature</i> 495 , 327–332. (doi:10.1038/nature11911)
432 433	18.	Pfenning AR <i>et al.</i> 2014 Convergent transcriptional specializations in the brains of humans and song- learning birds. <i>Science</i> 346 , 1256846.1–13. (doi:10.1126/science.1256846)
434 435	19.	Kleber B, Zeitouni AG, Friberg A, Zatorre RJ. 2013 Experience-dependent modulation of feedback integration during singing: Role of the right anterior insula. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> 33 , 6070–6080.

- 436 (doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4418-12.2013)
- 437 20. Belyk M, Pfordresher PQ, Liotti M, Brown S. 2016 The neural basis of vocal pitch imitation in humans. J.
 438 Cogn. Neurosci. 28, 621–635. (doi:10.1162/jocn)
- 439 21. Eichert N, Papp D, Mars RB, Watkins KE. 2020 Mapping human laryngeal motor cortex during 440 vocalization. *Cereb. Cortex* **30**, 6254–6269. (doi:10.1101/2020.02.20.958314)
- 22. Olthoff A, Baudewig J, Kruse E, Dechent P. 2008 Cortical sensorimotor control in vocalization: A
 functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Laryngoscope* 118, 2091–2096.
 (doi:10.1097/MLG.0b013e31817fd40f)
- 44423.Terumitsu M, Fujii Y, Suzuki K, Kwee IL, Nakada T. 2006 Human primary motor cortex shows hemispheric445specialization for speech. Neuroreport 17, 1091–1095. (doi:10.1097/01.wnr.0000224778.97399.c4)
- Belyk M, Brown R, Beal DS, Roebroeck A, McGettigan C, Guldner S, Kotz SA.. Human larynx motor cortices
 coordinates respiration for vocal-motor control. *https://psyarxiv.com/pc4uh/*
- 448 25. Huber L *et al.* 2020 Sub-millimeter fMRI reveals multiple topographical digit representations that form 449 action maps in human motor cortex. *Neuroimage* **208**. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116463)
- 45026.Meier JD, Aflalo TN, Kastner S, Graziano MSA. 2008 Complex organization of human primary motor451cortex: A high-resolution fMRI study. J. Neurophysiol. 100, 1800–1812. (doi:10.1152/jn.90531.2008)
- 452 27. Strick PL, Preston JB. 1982 Two representations of the hand in area 4 of a primate. I. Motor output 453 organization. *J. Neurophysiol.* **48**, 139–149. (doi:10.1152/jn.1982.48.1.139)
- Park MC, Belhaj-Saïf A, Gordon M, Cheney PD. 2001 Consistent features in the forelimb representation
 of primary motor cortex in rhesus macaques. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 2784–2792. (doi:10.1523/jneurosci.21-0802784.2001)
- 457 29. Leyton S, Sherrington C. 1917 Observations on the excitable cortex of the chimpanzee, organ-utan, and
 458 gorilla. *Exp. Physiol.* **11**, 135–222. (doi:10.1113/expphysiol.1917.sp000240)
- 459 30. Jürgens U. 2002 Neural pathways underlying vocal control. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 26, 235–258.
 460 (doi:10.1016/S0149-7634(01)00068-9)
- 461 31. Jarvis ED. 2019 Evolution of vocal learning and spoken language. *Science* **366**, 50–54.
- 462 32. Hauser MD, Chomsky N, Fitch WT. 2002 The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it 463 evolve? *Science* **298**, 1569–1579. (doi:10.1126/science.298.5598.1569)
- 464 33. Hayes KJ, Hayes C. 1951 The intellectual development of a home-raised chimpanzee. *Proc. Am. Philos.*465 Soc. 95, 105–109.
- 466 34. Jürgens U. 2009 The neural control of vocalization in mammals: A review. *J. Voice* 23, 1–10.
 467 (doi:10.1016/j.jvoice.2007.07.005)
- 46835.Kuypers HGJM. 1958 Some projections from the peri-central cortex to the pons and lower brain stem in
monkey and chimpanzee. J. Comp. Neurol. 110, 221–255. (doi:10.1002/cne.901100205)
- 470 36. Belyk M, Brown S. 2017 The origins of the vocal brain in humans. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 77, 177–193.
 471 (doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.03.014)
- Fischer J, Hammerschmidt K. 2011 Ultrasonic vocalizations in mouse models for speech and sociocognitive disorders: Insights into the evolution of vocal communication. *Genes, Brain Behav.* 10, 17–27.
 (doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2010.00610.x)
- 475 38. Fitch WT. 2011 The evolution of syntax: An exaptationist perspective. *Front. Evol. Neurosci.* 3, 1–12.
 476 (doi:10.3389/fnevo.2011.00009)

- 477 39. Jarvis ED. 2004 Learned birdsong and the neurobiology of human language. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1016,
 478 749–777. (doi:10.1196/annals.1298.038)
- 479 40. Simonyan K, Horwitz B. 2011 Laryngeal motor cortex and control of speech in humans. *Neurosci.* 17, 197–208. (doi:10.1177/1073858410386727)
- 481 41. Mars RB, Eichert N, Jbabdi S, Verhagen L, Rushworth MFS. 2018 Connectivity and the search for
 482 specializations in the language-capable brain. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 21, 19–26.
 483 (doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.11.001)
- 484 42. Heffner R, Masterton B. 1975 Variation in form of the pyramidal tract and its relationship to digital 485 dexterity. *Brain. Behav. Evol.* **12**, 161–200. (doi:10.1159/000124401)
- 486 43. Striedter G. 2018 Principles of Brain Evolution. New York: Sinauer Associates.
- 487 44. Gu Z *et al.* 2017 Control of species-dependent cortico-motoneuronal connections underlying manual 488 dexterity. *Science* **357**, 400–404. (doi:10.1126/science.aan3721)
- 489 45. Simonyan K, Jürgens U. 2003 Efferent subcortical projections of the laryngeal motorcortex in the rhesus
 490 monkey. *Brain Res.* 974, 43–59. (doi:10.1016/S0006-8993(03)02548-4)
- 491 46. Kuypers HGJM. 1958 Corticobulbar connexions to the pons and lower brain-stem in man. *Brain* 81, 364–
 492 388. (doi:10.1093/brain/81.3.364)
- 493 47. Iwatsubo T, Kuzuhara S, Kanemitsu A. 1990 Corticofugal projections to the motor nuclei of the brainstem
 494 and spinal cord in humans. *Neurology* 40, 309–312. (doi:10.1212/WNL.40.2.309)
- 48. Wild JM. 1993 Descending projections of the songbird nucleus robustus archistriatalis. *J. Comp. Neurol.*496 **338**, 225–241. (doi:10.1002/cne.903380207)
- 497 49. Wild JM, Williams MN, Suthers RA. 2000 Neural pathways for bilateral vocal control in songbirds. J.
 498 Comp. Neurol. 426, 413–426.
- 49950.Petkov CI, Jarvis ED. 2012 Birds, primates, and spoken language origins: Behavioral phenotypes and500neurobiological substrates. Front. Evol. Neurosci. 4, 1–24. (doi:10.3389/fnevo.2012.00012)
- 501 51. Nieder A, Mooney R. 2019 The neurobiology of innate, volitional and learned vocalizations in mammals
 502 and birds. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **375**, 20190054. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0054)
- 503 52. Theys C, van Wieringen A, Sunaert S, Thijs V, De Nil LF. 2011 A one year prospective study of neurogenic
 504 stuttering following stroke: Incidence and co-occurring disorders. J. Commun. Disord. 44, 678–687.
 505 (doi:10.1016/j.jcomdis.2011.06.001)
- 506 53. Heinsius T, Bogousslavsky J, Van Melle G. 1998 Large infarcts in the middle cerebral artery territory:
 507 Etiology and outcome patterns. *Neurology* 50, 341–350. (doi:10.1212/WNL.50.2.341)
- 508 54. Ludlow CL. 2005 Central nervous system control of the laryngeal muscles in humans. *Respir. Physiol.* 509 *Neurobiol.* **147**, 205–222. (doi:10.1016/j.resp.2005.04.015)
- 510 55. Hast MH, Fischer JM, Wetzel AB, Thompson VE. 1974 Cortical motor representation of the laryngeal 511 muscles in macaca mulatta. *Brain* **73**, 229–240. (doi:10.1016/0006-8993(74)91046-4)
- 512 56. Jürgens U. 1976 Projections from the cortical larynx area in the squirrel monkey. *Exp. Brain Res.* **25**, 401– 513 411. (doi:10.1007/BF00241730)
- 514 57. Brodmann K. 1909 Localisation in the cerebral cortex. 3rd edn. New York: Springer.
- 515 58. Vogt O. 1910 Die myeloarchitektonische Felderung des menschlichen Stirnhirns. *J. für Psychol. und* 516 *Neurol.* **15**, 221-232.

- 517 59. Judaš M, Cepanec M. 2010 Oskar Vogt: The first myeloarchitectonic map of the human frontal cortex.
 518 *Transl. Neurosci.* 1, 72–94. (doi:10.2478/v10134-010-0005-z)
- 519 60. Eichert N, Watkins KE, Mars RB, Petrides M. 2020 Morphological and functional variability in central and 520 subcentral motor cortex of the human brain. *Brain Struct. Funct.* (doi:10.1007/s00429-020-02180-w)
- 521 61. Graziano MSA, Taylor CSR, Moore T. 2002 Complex movements evoked by microstimulation of 522 precentral cortex. *Neuron* **34**, 841–851. (doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00698-0)
- 523 62. Foerster O. 1931 The cerebral cortex in man. *Lancet* 218, 309–312. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(00)47063524 7)
- 525 63. Kleber B, Veit R, Birbaumer N, Gruzelier J, Lotze M. 2010 The brain of opera singers: Experience-526 dependent changes in functional activation. *Cereb. Cortex* **20**, 1144–1152. (doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp177)
- 527 64. Kleber B, Veit R, Moll CV, Gaser C, Birbaumer N, Lotze M. 2016 Voxel-based morphometry in opera
 528 singers: Increased gray-matter volume in right somatosensory and auditory cortices. *Neuroimage* 133,
 529 477–483. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.03.045)
- Miyaji H, Hironaga N, Umezaki T, Hagiwara K, Shigeto H, Sawatsubashi M, Tobimatsu S, Komune S. 2014
 Neuromagnetic detection of the laryngeal area: Sensory-evoked fields to air-puff stimulation. *Neuroimage* 88, 162–169. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.008)
- 66. Glasser MF *et al.* 2016 A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 536, 171–178.
 (doi:10.1038/nature18933)
- 535 67. Hahamy A, Makin TR. 2019 Remapping in cerebral and cerebellar cortices is not restricted by 536 somatotopy. *J. Neurosci.* **39**, 9328–9342. (doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2599-18.2019)
- 537 68. Krubitzer LA, Seelke AMH. 2012 Cortical evolution in mammals: The bane and beauty of phenotypic 538 variability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 10647–10654. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1201891109)
- Mussa-Ivaldi FA. 1988 Do neurons in the motor cortex encode movement directions? An alternative
 hypothesis. *Neurosci. Lett.* 91, 106–111. (doi:10.1016/0304-3940(88)90257-1)
- 541 70. Woolsey CN, Erickson TC, Gilson WE. 1979 Localization in somatic sensory and motor areas of human
 542 cerebral cortex as determined by direct recording of evoked potentials and electrical stimulation. *J.* 543 *Neurosurg.* 51, 476–506. (doi:10.3171/jns.1979.51.4.0476)
- 544 71. Kakei S, Hoffman DS, Strick P. 1999 Muscle and movement representations in the primary motor cortex.
 545 Science 285, 2136–2139. (doi:10.1126/science.285.5436.2136)
- 546 72. Georgopoulos AP, Kettner RE, Schwartz AB. 1988 Primate motor cortex and free arm movements to
 547 visual targets in three-dimensional space. II. Coding of the direction of movement by a neuronal
 548 population. J. Neurosci. 8, 2928–2937. (doi:10.1089/scd.2011.0674)
- 54973.RizzolattiG.2001The cortical motor system.Neuron31,889–901.550(doi:10.1080/1059924X.2010.512854)
- 551 74. Graziano MSA. 2016 Ethological action maps: A paradigm shift for the motor cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.*552 20, 121–132. (doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.10.008)
- 553 75. Belyk M, Lee YS, Brown S. 2018 How does human motor cortex regulate vocal pitch in singers? *R. Soc.*554 *Open Sci.* 5, 172208. (doi:10.1098/rsos.172208)
- 555 76. Belyk M, Brown S. 2014 Somatotopy of the extrinsic laryngeal muscles in the human sensorimotor 556 cortex. *Behav. Brain Res.* **270**, 364–371. (doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2014.05.048)
- 557 77. Koziol L *et al.* 2014 Consensus paper: The cerebellum's role in movement and cognition. *Cerebellum* 13, 151–177. (doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2011.02.012.Investigations)

- 559 78. Buckner RL. 2013 The cerebellum and cognitive function: 25 years of insight from anatomy and 560 neuroimaging. *Neuron* **80**, 807–815. (doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.044)
- 561 79. Scott S. 2004 Optimal feedback control and the neural basis of volitional motor control. *Nat. Rev.* 562 *Neurosci.* **5**, 532–546. (doi:10.1038/nrn1427)
- 80. Wolpert DM, Kawato M. 1998 Multiple paired forward and inverse models for motor control. *Neural Networks* 11, 1317–1329. (doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(98)00066-5)
- 81. Wolpert DM, Ghahramani Z, Jordan MI. 1995 An internal model for sensorimotor integration. *Science*269, 1880–1882. (doi:10.1126/science.7569931)
- Ishikawa T, Tomatsu S, Izawa J, Kakei S. 2016 The cerebro-cerebellum: Could it be loci of forward models?
 Neurosci. Res. 104, 72–79. (doi:10.1016/j.neures.2015.12.003)
- Manni E, Petrosini L. 2004 A century of cerebellar somatotopy: A debated representation. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 241–249.
- 571 84. Grodd W, Hülsmann E, Lotze M, Wildgruber D, Erb M. 2001 Sensorimotor mapping of the human
 572 cerebellum: fMRI evidence of somatotopic organization. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 13, 55–73.
 573 (doi:10.1002/hbm.1025)
- 57485.Rijntjes M, Buechel C, Kiebel S, Weiller C. 1999 Multiple somatotopic representations in the human575cerebellum. Neuroreport 10, 3653–3658.
- 57686.Boillat Y, Bazin P, van der Zwaag W. 2020 Whole-body somatotopic maps in the cerebellum revealed577with 7T fMRI. Neuroimage 211, 116624. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116624)
- 87. Buckner RL, Krienen FM, Castellanos A, Diaz JC, Thomas Yeo BT. 2011 The organization of the human
 579 cerebellum estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J. Neurophysiol.* 106, 2322–2345.
 580 (doi:10.1152/jn.00339.2011)
- 58188.Mottolese C, Richard N, Harquel S, Szathmari A, Sirigu A, Desmurget M. 2013 Mapping motor582representations in the human cerebellum. *Brain* **136**, 330–342. (doi:10.1093/brain/aws186)
- 58389.Schlerf JE, Verstynen TD, Ivry RB, Spencer RMC. 2010 Evidence of a novel somatopic map in the human584neocerebellum during complex actions. J. Neurophysiol. 103, 3330–3336. (doi:10.1152/jn.01117.2009)
- 585 90. Doyon J, Bellec P, Amsel R, Penhune V, Monchi O, Carrier J, Lehéricy S, Benali H. 2009 Contributions of
 586 the basal ganglia and functionally related brain structures to motor learning. *Behav. Brain Res.* 199, 61–
 587 75. (doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2008.11.012)
- 588 91. Lehéricy S, Benali H, Van de Moortele P-F, Pélégrini-Issac M, Waeschter T, Ugurbil K, Doyon J. 2005
 589 Distinct basal ganglia territories are engaged in early and advanced motor sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad.* 590 Sci. 102, 12566–12571.
- Postuma RB, Dagher A. 2006 Basal ganglia functional connectivity based on a meta-analysis of 126
 positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging publications. *Cereb. Cortex* 16, 1508–1521. (doi:10.1093/cercor/bhj088)
- Houk JC, Wise SP. 1995 Distributed modular architectures linking basal ganglia, cerebellum, and cerebral
 cortex: Their role in planning and controlling action. *Cereb. Cortex* 5, 95–110.
 (doi:10.1093/cercor/5.2.95)
- 597 94. Doya K. 1999 What are the computations of the cerebellum, the basal ganglia and the cerebral cortex?
 598 *Neural Networks* 12, 961–974. (doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(99)00046-5)
- 599 95. Cauda F, Giuliano G, Federico D, Sergio D, Sacco K. 2011 Discovering the somatotopic organization of the
 motor areas of the medial wall using low-frequency bold fluctuations. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 32, 1566–1579.
 (doi:10.1002/hbm.21132)

- Fontaine D, Capelle L, Duffau H. 2002 Somatotopy of the supplementary motor area: Evidence from
 correlation of the extent of surgical resection with the clinical patterns of deficit. *Neurosurgery* 50, 297–
 303.
- 605 97. Gould HJ, Cusick CG, Pons TP, Kaas JH. 1986 The relationship of corpus callosum connections to electrical
 606 stimulation maps of motor, supplementary motor, and the frontal eye fields in owl monkeys. *J. Comp.*607 *Neurol.* 247, 297–325. (doi:10.1002/cne.902470303)
- 60898.Fried I, Katz A, McCarthy G, Sass KJ, Williamson P, Spencer SS, Spencer DD. 1991 Functional organization609of human supplementary motor cortex studied by electrical stimulation. J. Neurosci. 11, 3656–3666.
- 61099.Takada M, Tokuno H, Nambu A, Inase M. 1998 Corticostriatal projections from the somatic motor areas611of the frontal cortex in the macaque monkey: Segregation versus overlap of input zones from the612primary motor cortex, the supplementary motor area, and the premotor cortex. *Exp. Brain Res.* **120**,613114–128. (doi:10.1007/s002210050384)
- Alexander GE, DeLong MR, Strick PL. 1986 Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking
 basal ganglia and cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 357–381. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041)
- Baker KB, Lee JYK, Mavinkurve G, Russo GS, Walter B, DeLong MR, Bakay RAE, Vitek JL. 2010 Somatotopic
 organization in the internal segment of the globus pallidus in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 222, 219–
 225. (doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.12.030)
- Taha JM, Favre J, Baumann TK, Burchiel KJ. 1996 Characteristics and somatotopic organization of
 kinesthetic cells in the globus pallidus of patients with Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosurg. 85, 1005–1012.
 (doi:10.3171/jns.1996.85.6.1005)
- Vitek JL, Ashe J, DeLong MR, Alexander GE. 1994 Physiologic properties and somatotopic organization
 of the primate motor thalamus. *J. Neurophysiol.* **71**, 1498–1513.
- Paus T. 2001 Primate anterior cingulate cortex: Where motor control, drive and cognition interface. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 417–424. (doi:10.1038/35077500)
- Bush G, Vogt BA, Holmes J, Dale AM, Greve D, Jenike MA, Rosen BR. 2002 Dorsal anterior cingulate
 cortex: A role in reward-based decision making. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 523–528.
 (doi:10.1073/pnas.012470999)
- Holroyd CB, Yeung N. 2012 Motivation of extended behaviors by anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 122–128. (doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.008)
- 107. Devinsky O, Morrell MJ, Vogt BA. 1995 Contributions of anterior cingulate cortex to behaviour. *Brain*118, 279–306. (doi:10.1093/brain/118.1.279)
- 633 108. Petrides M, Pandya DN. 2007 Efferent association pathways from the rostral prefrontal cortex in the 634 macaque monkey. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 11573–11586. (doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2419-07.2007)
- Palomero-gallagher N, Vogt BA, Schleicher A, Mayberg HS, Zilles K. 2009 Receptor architecture of human
 cingulate cortex : Evaluation of the four-region neurobiological model. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 2355, 2336–
 (doi:10.1002/hbm.20667)
- Vogt BA, Vogt L, Farber NB, Bush G. 2005 Architecture and neurocytology of monkey cingulate gyrus. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 485, 218–239. (doi:10.1002/cne.20512.Architecture)
- 111. Dum RP, Strick PL. 2002 Motor areas in the frontal lobe of the primate. *Physiol. Behav.* **77**, 677–682.
- Shima K. 1998 Role for cingulate motor area cells in voluntary movement selection based on reward. *Science* 282, 1335–1338. (doi:10.1126/science.282.5392.1335)
- Mueller VA, Brass M, Waszak F, Prinz W. 2007 The role of the preSMA and the rostral cingulate zone in internally selected actions. *Neuroimage* 37, 1354–1361. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.06.018)

- Picard N, Strick PL. 1996 Motor areas of the medial wall: A review of their location and functional
 activation. *Cereb. Cortex* 6, 342–353.
- Morecraft RJ, van Hoesen GW. 1992 Cingulate input to the primary and supplementary motor cortices
 in the rhesus monkey: Evidence for somatotopy in areas 24c and 23c. J. Comp. Neurol. 322, 471–489.
 (doi:10.1002/cne.903220403)
- Turken AU, Swick D. 1999 Response selection in the human anterior cingulate cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 920–924. (doi:10.1038/13224)
- Paus T, Petrides M, Evans AC, Meyer E. 1993 Role of the human anterior cingulate cortex in the control of oculomotor, manual, and speech responses: A positron emission tomography study. *J. Neurophysiol.* **70**, 453–469.
- Hutchins KD, Martino AM, Strick PL. 1988 Corticospinal projections from the medial wall of the
 hemisphere. *Exp. Brain Res.* 1, 667–672.
- 657 119. Crosson B *et al.* 1999 Activity in the paracingulate and cingulate sulci during word generation: An fMRI
 658 study of functional anatomy. *Cereb. Cortex* 9, 307–316.
- Paus T, Tomaiuolo F, Otaky N, Petrides M, Atlas J, Morris R, Evans AC. 1996 Human cingulate and
 paracingulate sulci: Pattern, variability, assymmetry, and probabilistic map. *Cereb. Cortex* 6, 207–214.
- Loh KK, Procyk E, Neveu R, Lamberton F, Hopkins WD, Petrides M, Amiez C. 2020 Cognitive control of
 orofacial motor and vocal responses in the ventrolateral and dorsomedial human frontal cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 201916459. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1916459117)
- Pan C, Peck KK, Young RJ, Holodny AI. 2012 Somatotopic organization of motor pathways in the internal capsule: A probabilistic diffusion tractography study. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 33, 1274–1280.
 (doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2952)
- 667 123. Bertrand G, Blundell J, Musella R. 1965 Electrical exploration of the internal capsule and neighbouring 668 structures during stereotaxic procedures. *J. Neurosurg.* **22**, 333–343. (doi:10.3171/jns.1965.22.4.0333)
- Yim SH, Kim JH, Han ZA, Jeon S, Cho JH, Kim GS, Choi SA, Lee JH. 2013 Distribution of the corticobulbar
 tract in the internal capsule. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 334, 63–68. (doi:10.1016/j.jns.2013.07.015)
- buerden EG, Finnis KW, Peters TM, Sadikot AF. 2011 Three-dimensional somatotopic organization and
 probabilistic mapping of motor responses from the human internal capsule. *J. Neurosurg.* 114, 1706–
 1714. (doi:10.3171/2011.1.JNS10136)
- Wahl M, Lauterbach-Soon B, Hattingen E, Jung P, Singer O, Volz S, Klein JC, Steinmetz H, Ziemann U.
 2007 Human motor corpus callosum: Topography, somatotopy, and link between microstructure and
 function. J. Neurosci. 27, 12132–12138. (doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2320-07.2007)
- van den Heuvel MP, Pol HEH. 2010 Specific somatotopic organization of functional connections of the
 primary motor network during resting state. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **31**, 631–644. (doi:10.1002/hbm.20893)
- Matsumoto R, Nair DR, LaPresto E, Bingaman W, Shibasaki H, Lüders HO. 2007 Functional connectivity
 in human cortical motor system: A cortico-cortical evoked potential study. *Brain* 130, 181–197.
 (doi:10.1093/brain/awl257)
- Rech F, Herbet G, Moritz-Gasser S, Duffau H. 2016 Somatotopic organization of the white matter tracts
 underpinning motor control in humans: An electrical stimulation study. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 221, 3743–
 3753. (doi:10.1007/s00429-015-1129-1)
- Diedrichsen J, Balsters JH, Flavell J, Cussans E, Ramnani N. 2009 NeuroImage A probabilistic MR atlas of
 the human cerebellum. *Neuroimage* 46, 39–46. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.01.045)
- 687 131. Laird AR, Lancaster JL, Fox PT. 2005 BrainMap: The social evolution of a human brain mapping database.

- 688 *Neuroinformatics*. **3**, 65–77. (doi:10.1385/ni:3:1:065)
- Robinson JL, Laird AR, Glahn DC, Lovallo WR, Fox PT. 2011 Meta-analytic connectivity modeling:
 Delineating the functional connectivity of the human amygdala. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **31**, 173–184.
 (doi:10.1002/hbm.20854.Meta-analytic)
- 692133.Turkeltaub PE, Eden GF, Jones KM, Zeffiro TA. 2002 Meta-analysis of the functional neuroanatomy of693single-word reading: Method and validation. Neuroimage 16, 765–780. (doi:10.1006/nimg.2002.1131)
- Eickhoff SB, Bzdok D, Laird AR, Kurth F, Fox PT. 2012 Activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis
 revisited. *Neuroimage* 59, 2349–2361. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.017)
- Eickhoff SB, Nichols TE, Laird AR, Hoffstaedter F, Amunts K, Fox PT, Bzdok D, Eickhoff CR. 2016 Behavior,
 sensitivity, and power of activation likelihood estimation characterized by massive empirical simulation.
 Neuroimage 137, 70–85. (doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.04.072)
- Table State
 Table St
- Bush EC, Allman JM. 2003 The scaling of white matter to gray matter in cerebellum and neocortex. *Brain. Behav. Evol.* 61, 1–5. (doi:10.1159/000068880)
- 138. Krubitzer L. 1995 The organization if neocortex in mammals: Are species differences really so different?
 704 *Trends Cogn. Neurosci.* 18, 408–417.
- 139. Krubitzer L. 2009 In search of a unifying theory of complex brain evolution. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1156, 44–67. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04421.x)
- 707 140. Barton RA, Harvey PH. 2000 Mosaic evolution of brain structure in mammals. *Nature* **405**, 1055–1058.
- Hager R, Lu L, Rosen GD, Williams RW. 2012 Genetic architecture supports mosaic brain evolution and
 independent brain-body size regulation. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 8–12. (doi:10.1038/ncomms2086)
- Finlay BL, Darlington RB. 1995 Linked regularities in the development and evolution of mammalian
 brains. *Science* 268, 1578–1584. (doi:10.1126/science.7777856)
- Finlay BL, Darlington RB, Nicastro N. 2001 Developmental structure in brain evolution. *Behav. Brain Sci.*24, 263–278. (doi:10.1017/S0140525X01003958)
- de Winter W, Oxnard CE. 2001 Evolutionary radiations and convergences in the structural organization
 of mammalian brains. *Nature* 409, 710–714.
- 145. Chaplin TA, Yu H, Soares JGM, Gattass R, Rosa MGP. 2013 A conserved pattern of differential expansion
 of cortical areas in simian primates. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 15120–15125. (doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.290913.2013)
- 719146.Pepperberg IM. 2010 Vocal learning in Grey parrots : A brief review of perception , production , and
cross-species comparisons. *Brain Lang.* **115**, 81–91. (doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2009.11.002)
- 147. Jarvis E *et al.* 2005 Avian brains and a new understanding of vertebrate brain evolution. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 151–159.
- 723148.Matsunaga E, Okanoya K. 2008 Expression analysis of cadherins in the songbird brain: Relationship to
vocal system development. J. Comp. Neurol. 508, 329–342. (doi:10.1002/cne.21676)
- Matsunaga E, Okanoya K. 2009 Vocal control area-related expression of neuropilin-1, plexin-A4, and the ligand semaphorin-3A has implications for the evolution of the avian vocal system. *Dev. Growth Differ.* 51, 45–54. (doi:10.1111/j.1440-169X.2008.01080.x)
- 150. Chakraborty M et al. 2015 Core and shell song systems unique to the parrot brain. PLoS One 10,

- 729 e0118496. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118496)
- T30 151. Chakraborty M, Jarvis ED. 2015 Brain evolution by brain pathway duplication. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*T31 *Biol. Sci.* 370, 20150056. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0056)
- Feenders G, Liedvogel M, Rivas M, Zapka M, Horita H, Hara E, Wada K, Mouritsen H, Jarvis ED. 2008
 Molecular mapping of movement-associated areas in the avian brain: A motor theory for vocal learning
 origin. *PLoS One* 3. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001768)
- 735
 153.
 Dickson
 BJ.
 2002
 Molecular
 mechanisms
 of
 axon
 guidance.
 Science
 298,
 1959–1964.

 736
 (doi:10.1126/science.1072165)
 (doi:10.1126/science.1072165)
 (doi:10.1126/science.1072165)
 (doi:10.1126/science.1072165)
- Chisholm A, Tessier-Lavigne M. 1999 Conservation and divergence of axon guidance mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 9, 603–615. (doi:10.1016/S0959-4388(99)00021-5)
- 739 155. Deacon TW. 1989 The neural circuitry underlying primate calls and human language. *Hum. Evol.* 4, 367–
 740 401. (doi:10.1007/BF02436435)
- 741 156. Deacon TW. 1990 Rethinking mammalian brain evolution. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **30**, 629–705.
 742 (doi:10.1093/icb/30.3.629)
- Jürgens U, Pratt R. 1979 Role of the periaqueductal grey in vocal expression of emotion. *Brain Res.* 167, 367–378.
- Jürgens U, Pratt R. 1979 The cingular vocalization pathway in the squirrel monkey. *Exp. Brain Res.* 510,
 499–510.
- Balezeau F, Wilson B, Gallardo G, Dick F, Hopkins W, Anwander A, Friederici AD, Griffiths TD, Petkov Cl.
 2020 Primate auditory prototype in the evolution of the arcuate fasciculus. *Nat. Neurosci.* 23, 611–614.
 (doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0623-9)
- 750160.Rilling JK, Glasser MF, Preuss TM, Ma X, Zhao T, Hu X, Behrens TEJ. 2008 The evolution of the arcuate751fasciculus revealed with comparative DTI. Nat. Neurosci. 11, 426–428. (doi:10.1038/nn2072)
- Rilling JK, Glasser MF, Jbabdi S, Andersson J, Preuss TM. 2012 Continuity, divergence, and the evolution
 of brain language pathways. *Front. Evol. Neurosci.* 3, 1–6. (doi:10.3389/fnevo.2011.00011)
- 162. Eichert N, Robinson EC, Bryant KL, Jbabdi S, Li L, Krug K, Watkins KE, Mars RB. 2019 Cross-species cortical alignment identifies different types of neuroanatomical reorganization in higher primates. *eLife* 756 9, e53232.
- Petrides M, Pandya DN. 2002 Comparative cytoarchitectonic analysis of the human and the macaque ventrolateral prefrontal cortex and corticocortical connection patterns in the monkey. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 291–310. (doi:10.1046/j.1460-9568.2002.02090.x)
- 760 164. Petrides M, Tomaiuolo F, Yeterian EH, Pandya DN. 2012 The prefrontal cortex: Comparative
 761 architectonic organization in the human and the macaque monkey brains. *Cortex* 48, 46–57.
 762 (doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2011.07.002)
- Fitch WT. 2018 The biology and evolution of speech: A comparative analysis. *Annu. Rev. Lingustics* 4, 255–279. (doi:10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011817-045748)