Supplementary tables 1-4 and supplementary references

Supplementary table 1. COG and SIOP staging systems. Abbreviations: COG, Children's Oncology Group; SIOP, International Society of Paediatric Oncology.

| STAGE | COG ¹ | SIOP ² |
|----------|---|--|
| I | Tumour limited to kidney, completely resected. The renal capsule is intact. The tumour was not ruptured or biopsied prior to removal. The vessels of the renal sinus are not involved. There is no evidence of tumour at or beyond the margins of resection. Notes: by definition, extrarenal tumours cannot be stage I - defined as stage II if completely resected with negative margins or stage III if microscopic or gross residual disease. | Tumour is limited to the kidney. Tumour is present in the perirenal fat but is surrounded by a fibrous (pseudo)capsule. The (pseudo)capsule might be infiltrated by viable tumour, which does not reach the outer surface. Tumour might show protruding (botryoid) growth into the renal pelvis or the ureter but does not infiltrate their walls. The vessels or the soft tissues of the renal sinus are not involved by tumour. Intrarenal vessel involvement might be present. |
| п | The tumour is completely resected and there is no evidence of tumour at or beyond the margins of resection. The tumour extends beyond kidney, as is evidenced by any one of the following criteria: a. there is regional extension of the tumour (i.e. penetration of the renal capsule, or extensive invasion of the soft tissue of the renal sinus); b. blood vessels within the nephrectomy specimen outside the renal parenchyma, including those of the renal sinus, contain tumour. | Viable tumour is present in the perirenal fat and is not covered by a (pseudo)capsule, but is completely resected (resection margins are clear). Viable tumour infiltrates the soft tissues of the renal sinus. Viable tumour infiltrates blood and/or lymphatic vessels of the renal sinus or of the perirenal tissue, but it is completely resected. Viable tumour infiltrates the wall of the renal pelvis or of the ureter. Viable tumour infiltrates the vena cava or adjacent organs (except the adrenal gland) but is completely resected. |
| II | Residual nonhaematogenous tumour present following surgery, and confined to abdomen. Anyone of the following may occur: lymph nodes within the abdomen or pelvis are involved by tumour (note: lymph node involvement in the thorax, or other extra-abdominal sites is a criterion for stage IV); the tumour has penetrated through the peritoneal surface; gross or microscopic tumour remains postoperatively (e.g., tumour cells are found on the peritoneal surface; gross or microscopic examination); the tumour is not completely resectable because of local infiltration into vital structures; tumour spillage occurring either before or during surgery; the tumour is treated with preoperative chemotherapy (with or without a biopsy regardless of type- tru-cut, open or fine needle aspiration) before removal; tumour thrombus within the renal vein is removed separately from the nephrectomy specimen). Extension of the primary tumour within vena cava into thoracic vena cava and heart is considered stage III, rather than stage IV even though outside the abdomen. | Viable tumour is present at a resection margin. Nonviable tumour or chemotherapy induced changes present at a resection margin are not regarded as stage III. Abdominal lymph node involvement is present by either viable or nonviable tumour. Preoperative or intraoperative tumour rupture, if confirmed by microscopic examination (viable tumour at the surface of the specimen at the area of the rupture). Viable or nonviable tumour thrombus is present at resection margins of ureter, renal vein, or vena cava inferior (always discuss resection margins with the surgeon). Viable or nonviable tumour thrombus, which is attached to the inferior vena cava wall, is removed piecemeal by a surgeon. Wedge or open tumour biopsy before preoperative chemotherapy or surgery. Tumour implants (viable or nonviable) are found anywhere in the abdomen. Tumour (viable or nonviable) has penetrated through the peritoneal surface. |
| <u> </u> | note metastases outside the abdominopelvic region are present. (The presence of tumour within the adrenal gland is not interpreted as metastasis and staging depends on all other staging parameters present). | brain) or lymph node metastases outside the abdominopelvic region. |
| V | Bilateral tumours at diagnosis; each side should be substaged according to the above criteria. | Bilateral renal tumours at diagnosis. Each side should be substaged according to the above criteria. |

| Supplementary table 2. General principles of therapeutic approaches among COG Renal Tumor Committee and SIOP Renal Tumour Study Group. This table is a general overview for patients with Wilms tumour. Please refer to published protocols for details | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| of risk classification, therapeutic regimens, surgical approach and timing, and radiotherapy as these are highly patient specific ²⁻⁵ . | | | | |
| Shared consensus on indications to neoadjuvant chemotherapy worldwide | | | | |
| Binateral w 1, onateral nephrootastomatosis of unmateral w 1 with contralateral nephrootastomatosis | | | | |
| Intervascular extension into inferior vena cava above hep. | atic veins | | | |
| Tumour in solitary kidney | | | | |
| Patients suffering from chronic kidney disease | | | | |
| Patients with WT-predisposing syndromes | | | | |
| Patients in low- and middle-income countries with large x | volume tumour and/or in poor clinical condition due to malnutrition | | | |
| or infection | contine tailiour and/or in poor eninear contactor due to maintainton | | | |
| Specific indications to preoperative chemotherapy according to cooperative groups | | | | |
| COG GUIDELINES ^{3,6–14} | SIOP GUIDELINES ^{5,15–18} | | | |
| COG recommends primary nephrectomy, when feasible, with | SIOP recommends preoperative chemotherapy for all patients with | | | |
| regional lymph node sampling as the initial therapy before | a presumptive diagnosis of WT, with the below exception where | | | |
| chemotherapy to ascertain local stage, histology and biomarker | core needle diagnostic biopsy may be first indicated: | | | |
| status. Biopsy is recommended if primary nephrectomy is not | | | | |
| reasible, except in patients with bilateral w 1, a solitary kidney, or unilateral WT with a high risk for metachronous tumour | • Patients >7 years of age | | | |
| (predisposition syndrome multicentric WT or children < 1 year | Presence of urinary tract infection | | | |
| of age with contralateral nephrogenic rests). In these latter | Psoas infiltration | | | |
| patients, neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be given without | • Lung metastases in patients <2 years of age | | | |
| biopsy. | Extra hepatic and extra pulmonary metastases | | | |
| | • Atypical radiologic or biochemical findings (such as | | | |
| Specific indications for neoadjuvant chemotherapy: | numerous intratumoural calcifications: large lymph | | | |
| Unresectable WT | node; renal parenchyma not visible: hypercalcemia: | | | |
| Patient with a solitary kidney | lactate dehdrogenase level $>4N$) | | | |
| Unilateral WT with high risk for metachronous tumour | • <6 months of age (this is not an absolute | | | |
| (predisposition syndrome, multicentric WT, or children | contraindication to pre-operative chemotherapy) | | | |
| < 1 year of age with contralateral nephrogenic rests). | r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r | | | |
| Synchronous bilateral WT | | | | |
| • Tumour thrombus in the inferior vena cava extending | | | | |
| above the level of the hepatic veins | | | | |
| • Cardiovascular compromise secondary to extensive | | | | |
| pulmonary or hepatic metastases | | | | |
| I uniour involves contiguous structures whereby the only means of removing the kidney tumour requires | | | | |
| removal of the other structure (e.g. spleen, pancreas. | | | | |
| colon but excluding the adrenal gland and diaphragm). | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u>Note</u> : it is the surgeons' judgment that nephrectomy would result | | | | |
| in significant or unnecessary morbidity/mortality, significant | | | | |
| Recommended neoadiuvant chemotherapy regimens for those not | Recommended neoadiuvant chemotherapy regimens according to | | | |
| undergoing immediate nephrectomy (see selected indications): | different situations | | | |
| | | | | |
| • Unresectable unilateral WT: vincristine and | • Unilateral localized tumour: 4-week pretreatment with | | | |
| actinomycin D alternating with doxorubicin (regimen | vincristine (weekly) and actinomycin D (biweekly) | | | |
| nenbrectomy. If not feasible continue until 12 weeks | Bilateral tumours: vincristine and actinomycin D for no longer, than 6.12 weaks is recommended before | | | |
| and reassess | surgical assessment (carbonlatin-etonoside regimen | | | |
| Bilateral WT or WT in a solitary kidney: vincristine | may be added if unsatisfactory response) | | | |
| and concurrent actinomycin D-doxorubicin (regimen | • For patients with metastasis, six-week of weekly | | | |
| VAD) for 6 weeks. Reassess at 6 weeks for possible | vincristine, biweekly actinomycin D (on week 1-3-5) | | | |
| partial nephrectomy. If not feasible continue | and doxorubicin (on weeks 1 and 5) is given | | | |
| chemotherapy until 12 weeks and reassess | | | | |
| Unilateral WT with high risk for metachronous tumour vincristing and acting provide D (acting the second sec | | | | |
| EE4A) if localized or | | | | |
| vincristine/doxorubicin/actinomycin D if metastatic | | | | |
| disease | | | | |
| Diffuse hyperplastic perilobar nephroblastomatosis: | | | | |
| vincristine and actinomycin D (regimen EE4A) | | | | |
| Indications to p | ostoperative chemotherapy | | | |
| The COG recommends postoperative chemotherapy routinely used | The SIOP recommends postonerative chemotherapy in all patients | | | |
| in all patients with WT except those classified as Very Low Risk | with WT except those with stage I low-risk tumour. | | | |
| WT, defined as: age <2 years at diagnosis, with stage I favourable | | | | |
| histology WT weighing <550 g, confirmed negative lymph nodes | The standard agents for chemotherapy for localized WT | | | |
| and no predisposition syndrome. | commonly are: | | | |

The agents for chemotherapy for favourable histology WT commonly are:

 Actinomycin D and vincristine (regimen EE4A) with doxorubicin added (regimen DD4A) for higher stage III and IV favourable-histology WT;
 Low-risk D and v selected stromal remaining

- Patients with combined loss of heterozygosity at chromosomes 1p and 16q are treated with regimen DD4A if stage I or II favourable-histology WT, and regimen M (DD4A with cyclophosphamide and etoposide) for stages III and IV favourable-histology WT;
- Revised regimen UH2 (doxorubicin, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, etoposide, irinotecan) is considered to be standard in patients identified to have diffuse anaplastic histology
- Note that for patients with bilateral WT or unilateral WT with high risk for metachronous tumour, chemotherapy following a definitive surgical procedure at week 6 or 12 is determined by post-chemotherapy histology as well as local and overall stage.

- <u>Low-risk and intermediate-risk tumours</u>: actinomycin D and vincristine, with doxorubicin added only for selected intermediate-risk histologic types (i.e. nonstromal and non-epithelial) with tumour volume remaining >500 ml after pre-nephrectomy chemotherapy
- <u>High-risk tumours</u>: actinomycin D, vincristine and doxorubicin are used for stage I tumours; an intensified regimen containing doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide and etoposide-carboplatin is used for patients with stage II to III tumours.

In patients with metastases (stage IV WT):

- An approach with inclusion of doxorubicin at different cumulative dose ranges or with additional drugs (carboplatin, etoposide, cyclophosphamide) is applied depending on well-defined risk factors
- These stratification factors include: speed and quality of response of the metastases to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, size of lung metastases, surgical outcome after surgical resection of metastases (if done), and histologic risk of primary and metastatic (if resected) tumour
- In high-risk stage IV tumours, given the unsatisfactory dismal prognosis, SIOP researchers are investigating intensification of treatment including additional drugs, like irinotecan and melphalan at myeloablative doses.

In patients with **bilateral** disease (stage V):

• The choice of post-operative chemotherapeutic agents is generally dictated by tumour histologic risk and stage (considering the higher stage), as in unilateral tumours

Abbreviations: COG, Children's Oncology Group; SIOP, International Society of Paediatric Oncology; WT, Wilms tumour; LOH, loss of heterozygosity.

| Regimen | Regimen description | Patient features |
|-------------|---|--|
| Observation | After primary nephrectomy | VLR, defined as: stage I FHWT, <550 g, age <2 years, negative lymph nodes, no predisposition syndrome; especially in the absence of LOH 11p15 or LOI 11p15 |
| EE-4A | Vincristine, actinomycin D × 19 weeks. After primary nephrectomy | Stage I not meeting VLR criteria Stage II FHWT without LOH 1p/16q DHPLN Non-metastatic unilateral WT with radiological contralateral nephrogenic rests or predisposition syndrome (unbiopsied) |
| DD-4A | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin × 25 weeks. Baseline primary nephrectomy OR biopsy with subsequent feasibility for nephrectomy assessed at week 6. Stage and site-specific radiation therapy. Note, stage IV patients with lung metastases and 1q gain should receive pulmonary irradiation even if rapid early response | Stage I/II FHWT with LOH 1p/16q Stage III FHWT without LOH 1p and 16q Stage IV FHWT without LOH 1p and 16q and rapid early response of pulmonary metastases Stage I to III focal anaplasia WT Stage I diffuse anaplasia WT |
| VAD | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin induction x 6 weeks then reassess based on delayed nephrectomy histology. Stage and site-specific radiation therapy | Bilateral WT Stage IV unilateral WT with radiological contralateral nephrogenic rests or predisposition syndrome |
| М | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and etoposide x 31 weeks. Site-specific radiation therapy | Stage III or IV FHWT with LOH 1p and 16q Stage IV with slow early response of pulmonary metastases |
| rev UH1 | Vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, etoposide x 30 weeks. Site-specific radiation therapy | • Stage II/III diffuse anaplasia |
| rev UH2 | Vincristine/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide/carboplatin/etoposide + vincristine/irinotecan x 36 weeks. Site-specific radiation therapy | • Stage IV diffuse anaplasia |

| Supplementary table 4: Standard SIOP chemotherapy regimens after preoperative chemotherapy ^{5,15,16,18–20} | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Regimen | Regimen description | Patient features | | |
| AV-1 | Vincristine, actinomycin D × 4 weeks | Stage I, IR histology | | |
| AV-2 | Vincristine, actinomycin D \times 27 weeks | Stage II/III LR and HR histology with tumour volume after preoperative chemotherapy <500 ml, or stromal type or epithelial type any tumour volume | | |
| AVD | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin \times 27 weeks (cumulative dose of doxorubicin 250 mg/m ²) | Stage I HR histology; or stage II/III IR histology (excluding stromal and epithelial types) with tumour volume >500 ml after preoperative chemotherapy | | |
| HR-1 | Doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide, etoposide/carboplatin x 34 weeks | Stage II/III HR histology | | |
| AVD150 | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin × 27 weeks (cumulative dose of doxorubicin 150 mg/m ²) | Stage IV, LR or IR disease with early complete clearance of lung metastases of 3-5 mm by chemotherapy \pm surgery | | |
| | | Stage IV, LR with residual lung nodules after chemotherapy, but no viable tumour in a representative number of resected nodules | | |
| AVD250 | Vincristine, actinomycin D, doxorubicin × 27 weeks (cumulative dose of doxorubicin 250 mg/m ²) | Stage IV, LR or IR with early complete clearance of lung metastases >5 mm by chemotherapy \pm surgery | | |
| | | Stage IV, IR with residual lung nodules after chemotherapy, but no viable tumour in a representative number of resected nodules | | |
| | | Stage IV, LR with residual lung nodules after chemotherapy, and viable tumour in a representative number of resected nodules | | |
| HR-2 | 4-drug regimen: doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, carboplatin x 34 weeks | Stage IV, IR, lung metastases are viable and incompletely resected or representative resection not feasible when still remaining at week 10 | | |
| New regimen | Doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, carboplatin, irinotecan, vincristine, high-dose melphalan ⁵ | Stage IV, HR histology, regardless of metastatic response to chemotherapy or surgery | | |
| IR, intermediate-risk; LR, low-risk; HR, high-risk | | | | |

Supplementary references

- 1. Dome, J. S., Perlman, E. J. & Graf, N. Risk Stratification for Wilms Tumor: Current Approach and Future Directions. *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. B.* 215-223 (2014) doi:10.14694/edbook_am.2014.34.215.
- 2. Vujanić, G. M. *et al.* The UMBRELLA SIOP–RTSG 2016 Wilms tumour pathology and molecular biology protocol. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* **15**, 693-701 (2018).
- Dome, J. S. *et al.* Impact of the First Generation of Children's Oncology Group Clinical Trials on Clinical Practice for Wilms Tumor. *J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw.* 19, 978-985 (2021).
- 4. Nelson, M. V., van den Heuvel-Eibrink, M. M., Graf, N. & Dome, J. S. New approaches to risk stratification for Wilms tumor. *Curr. Opin. Pediatr.* **33**, 40-48 (2021).
- 5. Van Den Heuvel-Eibrink, M. M. *et al.* Position Paper: Rationale for the treatment of Wilms tumour in the UMBRELLA SIOP-RTSG 2016 protocol. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* **14**, 743-752 (2017).
- 6. Dix, D. B. *et al.* Treatment of stage IV favorable histology wilms tumor with lung metastases: A report from the children's oncology group AREN0533 study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **36**, 1564-1570 (2018).
- 7. Dix, D. B. *et al.* Augmentation of therapy for combined loss of heterozygosity 1p and 16q in favorable histology wilms tumor: A Children's Oncology Group AREN0532 and AREN0533 study report. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **37**, 2769-2777 (2019).
- 8. Daw, N. C. *et al.* Activity of vincristine and irinotecan in diffuse anaplastic wilms tumor and therapy outcomes of stage II to IV disease: Results of the children's

oncology group AREN0321 study. J. Clin. Oncol. 38, 1558-1568 (2020).

- 9. Fernandez, C. V. *et al.* Outcome and prognostic factors in stage III favorable-Histology wilms tumor: A report from the children's oncology group study AREN0532. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **36**, 254-261 (2018).
- 10. Fernandez, C. V *et al.* Clinical Outcome and Biological Predictors of Relapse After Nephrectomy Only for Very Low-risk Wilms Tumor: A Report From Children's Oncology Group AREN0532. *Ann. Surg.* **265**, 835-840 (2017).
- 11. Dome, J. S. *et al.* Children's Oncology Group's 2013 blueprint for research: Renal tumors. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* **60**, 994-1000 (2013).
- 12. Green, D. M. The treatment of stages I-IV favorable histology Wilms' tumor. J. Clin. Oncol. 22, 1366-1372 (2004).
- 13. Green, D. M. The evolution of treatment for Wilms tumor. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* **48**, 14-19 (2013).
- 14. Green, D. M. *et al.* Outcome of Patients With Stage II/Favorable Histology Wilms Tumor With and Without Local Tumor Spill: A Report From the National Wilms Tumor Study Group. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* **61**, 134-139 (2014).
- 15. Pritchard-Jones, K. *et al.* Omission of doxorubicin from the treatment of stage II-III, intermediate-risk Wilms' tumour (SIOP WT 2001): An open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **386**, 1156-1164 (2015).
- 16. Van Den Heuvel-Eibrink, M. M. *et al.* Outcome of localised blastemal-type Wilms tumour patients treated according to intensified treatment in the SIOP WT 2001 protocol, a report of the SIOP Renal Tumour Study Group (SIOP-RTSG). *Eur. J. Cancer* **51**, 498-506 (2015).
- 17. Pasqualini, C. *et al.* Outcome of patients with stage IV high-risk Wilms tumour treated according to the SIOP2001 protocol: A report of the SIOP Renal Tumour Study Group. *Eur. J. Cancer* **128**, 38-46 (2020).
- 18. Verschuur, A. *et al.* Treatment of pulmonary metastases in children with stage IV nephroblastoma with risk-based use of pulmonary radiotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **30**, 3533-3539 (2012).
- 19. Graf, N., Tournade, M. F. & de Kraker, J. The role of preoperative chemotherapy in the management of Wilms' tumor. The SIOP studies. International Society of Pediatric Oncology. *Urol. Clin. North Am.* **27**, 443-454 (2000).
- 20. De Kraker, J. *et al.* Reduction of postoperative chemotherapy in children with stage I intermediate-risk and anaplastic Wilms' tumour (SIOP 93-01 trial): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **364**, 1229-1235 (2004).