# **Critical Appraisal of Technologies to Assess Electrical**

1

2

# **Activity during Atrial Fibrillation**

3 A Position Paper from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on eCardiology in 4 collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association, Heart Rhythm Society, Asia 5 Pacific Heart Rhythm Society, Latin American Heart Rhythm Society and Computing in 6 Cardiology 7 Natasja M.S. de Groot<sup>1</sup> (chair), Dipen Shah<sup>2</sup>, Patrick M. Boyle<sup>3</sup>, Elad Anter<sup>4</sup>, Gari D. Clifford<sup>5</sup>, Isabel Deisenhofer<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Deneke<sup>7</sup>, Pascal van Dessel<sup>8</sup>, Olaf Doessel<sup>9</sup>, Polychronis 8 9 Dilaveris<sup>10</sup>, Frank R. Heinzel<sup>11</sup>, Suraj Kapa<sup>12</sup>, Pier D. Lambiase<sup>13</sup>, Joost Lumens<sup>14</sup>, Pyotr G. Platonov<sup>15</sup> Tachapong Ngarmukos<sup>16</sup>, Juan Pablo Martinez<sup>17</sup>, Alejandro Olaya Sanchez<sup>18</sup>, 10 Yoshihide Takahashi<sup>19</sup>, Bruno P. Valdigem<sup>20</sup>, Alle-Jan van der Veen<sup>21</sup>, Kevin Vernooy<sup>22</sup>, 11 Ruben Casado-Arroyo (co-chair)<sup>23</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Erasmus University Medical 12 Centre, Rotterdam, Delft University of Technology, Delft the Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Cardiology 13 14 Service, University Hospitals Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, <sup>3</sup>Department of Bioengineering, 15 University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>4</sup>Cardiac Electrophysiology Section, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, 16 17 <sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Emory University, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, USA, 18 19 <sup>6</sup>Department of Electrophysiology, German Heart Center Munich and Technical University of 20 Munich, Germany, <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiology, Rhon-klinikum Campus Bad Neustadt, 21 Germany, <sup>8</sup>Department of Cardiology, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Twente, the Netherlands, <sup>9</sup>Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany, <sup>10</sup>1st University Department 22 23 of Cardiology, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens School of Medicine, Hippokration Hospital, Athens, Greece, <sup>11</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, 24

- 25 Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum and DZHK (German Centre
- 26 for Cardiovascular Research), Berlin, Germany, <sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiology, Mayo Clinic,
- 27 Rochester, USA, <sup>13</sup>Barts Heart Centre and University College, London, UK, <sup>14</sup> Cardiovascular
- 28 Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM) Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands,
- 29 <sup>15</sup>Department of Cardiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, <sup>16</sup> Faculty of
- 30 Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>17</sup>Aragon Institute
- of Engineering Research / IIS-Aragon and University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, CIBER
- 32 Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine (CIBER-BBN), Zaragoza, Spain,
- 33 <sup>18</sup>Department of Cardiology, Fundacion Universitaia de Ciencas de la Salud, Bogota,
- 34 Colombia, <sup>19</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University,
- Tokyo, Japan, <sup>20</sup> Hospital Rede D'or São Luiz, hospital Albert einstein and Dante pazzanese
- 36 heart institute, São Paulo, Brasil, <sup>21</sup> Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands,
- 37 <sup>22</sup>Department of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM),
- 38 Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands, <sup>23</sup>Department of
- 39 Cardiology, Erasme University Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium.
- 40 **Word Count Manuscript:** 7780 words
- 41 **Journal:** *Europace*
- 42 Address for correspondence
- 43 Prof. Dr. N.M.S de Groot
- 44 Department of Cardiology
- 45 Unit Electrophysiology
- 46 RG 632/EE-1993
- 47 Erasmus Medical Centre
- 48 Doctor Molewaterplein 40
- 49 3015 GD Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 50 Phone: +31-10-7035018
- 51 E-mail: n.m.s.degroot@erasmusmc.nl

# **Abstract**

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

Aims: We aim to provide a critical appraisal of basic concepts underlying signal recording and processing technologies applied for 1) AF mapping to unravel AF mechanisms and/or identifying target sites for AF therapy and 2) AF detection, to optimize usage of technologies, stimulate research aimed at closing knowledge gaps and developing ideal AF recording and processing technologies. Methods: Recording and processing techniques for assessment of electrical activity during AF essential for diagnosis and guiding ablative therapy including body surface electrocardiograms and endo- or epicardial electrograms (EGM) are evaluated. Results: Discussion of 1) differences in uni-, bi- and multipolar (omnipolar/Laplacian) recording modes, 2)impact of recording technologies on EGM morphology, 3)global or local mapping using various types of EGM involving signal processing techniques including isochronal-, voltage- fractionation-, dipole density-and rotor mapping, enabling derivation of parameters like atrial rate, entropy, conduction velocity/direction, 4) value of epicardial and optical mapping, 5) AF detection by cardiac implantable electronic devices containing various detection algorithms applicable to stored EGMs, 6) contribution of machine learning to further improvement of signals processing technologies. Conclusion: Recording and processing of EGM are the cornerstones of (body surface) mapping of AF. Currently available AF recording and processing technologies are mainly restricted to specific applications or have technological limitations. Improvements in AF mapping by obtaining highest fidelity source signals (e.g. catheter-electrode combinations) for signal processing (e.g. filtering, digitization and noise elimination) is of utmost importance. Novel acquisition instruments (multipolar catheters combined with improved physical modelling and machine learning techniques) will enable enhanced and automated interpretation of EGM recordings in the near future.

#### 1. Introduction

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

Recording, processing and subsequently interpretation of electrical activity of the atria is essential for diagnosis and guiding (ablation) therapy of atrial fibrillation (AF). Atrial electrical activity in clinical practice can be measured using body surface electrocardiograms (ECG) or endo- and epicardial electrograms (EGM); optical action potentials are also used in research settings. ECGs recorded by implantable loop recorders or EGMs by pacemaker and ICDs can be used for AF detection. In the electrophysiology laboratory, analysis of EGMs recorded by catheters plays an important role in adjunctive ablation strategies performed in addition to pulmonary vein isolation, particularly in patients with (longstanding) persistent AF. However, electrical activity during AF is highly complex requiring advanced mapping systems equipped with sophisticated processing technologies for identification of suitable target sites for ablation. As standard approaches for recording and processing electrical activity during AF do not exist a lot of effort has been put in clinically evaluating a variety of mapping systems yet with mixed outcomes. Many of the currently available recording and processing technologies are also restricted to specific applications or have technological limitations hampering wide-spread applicability. Importantly, guidelines or recommendations in this area currently do not exist.

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

#### Aims and Scope

The objectives of this document are to 1) provide a critical appraisal of basic concepts underlying signal recording and processing technologies applied for AF mapping to unravel AF mechanisms and/or identifying target sites for AF therapy and AF detection, 2) discuss clinical values and limitations based on unique features of these technologies, 3) advise on their applications and 4) to identify unmet needs in context of signal recording and processing. This position paper provides up-to-date knowledge for clinicians, engineers and researchers to

optimize usage of signal recording and processing methodologies, stimulate research aimed at closing knowledge gaps and developing ideal AF recording and processing technologies. As novel signal recording and processing technologies are continuously being developed, we do not aim to review all features offered by currently existing mapping systems.

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

128

129

130

131

# **Invasive Mapping of Atrial Fibrillation**

# 2.1 Unipolar and bipolar EGMs

An EGM is the extracellular potential difference between two adjacent electrodes (bipolar, Bi-EGM) or the potential difference between one single electrode in tissue contact relative to an indifferent electrode at zero potential or Wilson Central Terminal (unipolar, U-EGM). Figure 1 shows examples of U-EGM and corresponding Bi-EGM recorded during AF.<sup>1,2</sup> Though AF mapping is most frequently performed with Bi-EGM, U-EGM are nowadays also increasingly being used. Sofar, differences between U-EGM and B-EGM for AF mapping have only been examined for identification of low voltage areas in single centre clinical studies and experimental studies (section 4.2) and of endo-epicardial asynchronously activated areas in experimental studies (section 6.2). The advantage of U-EGMs is that determination of local activation time (LAT) is straightforward (section 4.1). The main disadvantage of U-EGMs is that local fibrillation potentials may be masked by far-field potentials or distant atrial activity caused by respectively the ventricles and multiple fibrillation waves, as U-EGMs are sensitive to remote electrical activity. Sofar, in only one report, U-EGM features (dV/dT<sub>max</sub>< 0.05V/s, amplitudes < 0.2mV and durations>35ms) used to discriminate local from far field fibrillation potentials have been described. <sup>3</sup> The major advantage of Bi-EGM is its relative insensitivity to remote electrical activity and electrical noise (due to common mode rejection) and it is therefore often the preferred recording mode used for AF mapping<sup>1, 2</sup>. However, a disadvantage of Bi-EGM is that its amplitude depends on wavefront direction; when a fibrillation wave

passes both electrodes at the same time, subtraction of virtually equal U-EGMs results in no residual Bi-EGM. Annotation of LAT is also more ambiguous (section 4.1). In addition, Bi-EGM morphology not only depends on interelectrode spacings,<sup>4</sup> but also on conduction velocity (CV) and direction of the fibrillation waves which both vary from beat-to-beat during AF.

Thus, Bi- and U-EGM have their own (dis) advantages (Table 1) for AF mapping and their morphology is affected by various variables (supplemental Table 1). At present, there are no clinical studies demonstrating that either U- or Bi-EGM are more suitable for AF mapping. As they provide complimentary information, combined usage for AF mapping could be beneficial.

# 2.2 Multipolar EGMSs

Multipolar EGM include Laplacian and omnipolar EGMs (Figure 2). Laplacian EGMs are calculated by subtracting the centre electrode U-EGM from the U-EGM of either evenly distributed surrounding close-by electrodes, (fixed electrode-array), or sequentially obtained EGMs weighted for distance utilizing an electro-anatomical mapping system. <sup>5</sup> If electrodes are close together, Laplacian EGMs approximate the second-order spatial derivative of the U-EGM. Omnipolar EGMs yield EGMs independent from the orientation of the recording electrodes, and hence wavefront direction. They are calculated within a clique, which is defined as a square of 4 electrodes from which the Bi-EGM with the largest amplitude is extracted. Experiences with multipolar EGMs such as Laplacian and omnidirectional EGMs during AF are limited to voltage mapping in experimental settings in canine and human atria. <sup>5,6</sup> Table 1 summarizes (dis)advantages of omnipolar and Laplacian EGMs. Sofar, there are no clinical studies demonstrating advantages of multipolar EGM over U- and Bi-EGM for AF mapping.

#### 2.3 Impact of recording technology on EGM morphology

EGM morphology is affected by the size of recording electrodes, shapes of electrodes (printed on splines or integrated in catheter shaft), inter-electrode distances, filtering and the sampling rate of digitization (supplemental Table 1). Smaller diameter electrodes result in higher frequency and amplitude potentials of both U- and Bi-EGM <sup>7</sup> but also higher noise levels caused by higher input impedances. <sup>8,9</sup> A decrease in interelectrode distances is associated with a decrease in voltages and fractionation. 10, 11 Filtering and the sampling frequency also influence EGM characteristics. <sup>12</sup> According to the Nyquist principle, the sampling rate should be at least twice the highest intended frequency content to be measured. Filtering may attenuate respiration or movement artifacts, interference and far-field components, but it also affects EGM morphology.<sup>1, 2, 9</sup> Especially high-order filters that attenuate certain frequencies more steeply, may disturb EGM morphology significantly. 9, 12 Such filters are prone to ringing and may generate artificial deflections. Low- and high pass filtering may respectively increase and decrease amplitudes of U-EGM; both low- and high pass filtering decreases fractionation of U-EGM recorded during AF. <sup>1,9</sup> Notch filtering increases fractionation of U-EGM during AF and reduces amplitudes. Hence, filtering significantly affects the already complex morphology of EGM recorded during AF and should therefore be avoided as much as possible.

195 196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

# 3. Local versus Global Mapping Modes

Cardiac mapping is defined as a methodology by which electrical potentials record from the heart are spatially depicted in an integrated manner, usually as a function of time.<sup>13</sup> Identification of underlying mechanism(s) and arrhythmogenic substrates by mapping of AF is slowly progressing. In contrast to mapping uniform arrhythmias with a stable and defined focal or re-entrant mechanisms, AF mapping is challenging, as AF is neither purely focal nor stable re-entry in nature. <sup>14, 15</sup> Thus, conventional mapping catheters and algorithms assuming spatiotemporal EGM stability are not applicable to AF mapping. There is no consensus on how

long AF episodes should be recorded to obtain a representative value of a specific parameter and how to determine the electropathological variable which most accurately represents arrhythmogenic tissue (e.g. mean, median, or ranges). Two concepts for recording of electrical activity during AF are 'global' and 'local mapping'.

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

207

204

205

206

#### 3.1 Global AF mapping

Global mapping ('panoramic view') refers to simultaneous recording of EGMs of the entire atria using large intracardiac basket catheter(s) (supplemental Figure 1) or body surface electrodes (section 5). Endocardial, multielectrode basket catheters record up to 128 U-EGMs simultaneously from multiple locations and can be used for e.g. activation or phase mapping. Bi-atrial activity is recorded during a single interval which avoids interpolation associated with combining sequential data from multiple intervals. Non-randomised clinical studies demonstrated that ablation targeted at stable rotational activity and focal sources could eliminate AF. 16, 17 Algorithms using data recorded by these basket catheters are often biased toward detection of rotational activities even when these do not exist; focal activation might be displayed as rotational activity if the wavefront reaches surrounding electrodes sequentially. <sup>18, 19</sup> Advantages of these catheters are that they measure contact EGMs and allow real-time evaluation of propagation for guiding ablation. However, they also have significant limitations: 1) suboptimal electrode-tissue contact at many poles; 2) splines are not equidistantly separated, 3) low spatial resolution, 4) lack of reproducible positioning, 5) recordings contain spline touch artefact's, 6) higher pro-coagulative tendency, 7) septum and coronary sinus are not included. Additionally, the amount of extrapolation used for construction of e.g. activation time maps is difficult to determine. Though initial, nonrandomised studies in patients with AF were promising, a randomised, controlled, multicentre clinical trial failed to demonstrated successful outcomes of ablative therapy guided by global mapping. <sup>20</sup>

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

228

229

# 3.2. Local AF mapping

Local mapping refers to high density mapping of smaller regions using contact multipolar catheters; the catheter moves consecutively through the atria to obtain local electrical activity. During local mapping, contact catheters directly record, rather than estimate, EGMs. This can be achieved epicardially with high-density electrode grids placed during surgery 21 or endocardially with multielectrode mapping catheters introduced percutaneously (supplemental Figure 1). 22 The resulting maps have a high local resolution but however, limited global resolution. Maps created with roving catheters often utilize Bi-EGM rather than U-EGM. A benefit of multielectrode mapping catheters over linear ablation catheters is the higher likelihood that electrodes are in contact with tissue, reducing the effect of catheter angle on EGM morphology.<sup>23-25</sup> Also, multi-electrode grids allow fixed uniform and reproducible interpolation unlike spline or basket multi-electrode catheters. Multielectrode mapping catheters with smaller electrodes and closer interelectrode spacing increase the mapping resolution.<sup>22, 26</sup> However, the optimal mapping resolution during AF is yet to be defined. Also, the larger number of data points recorded by multielectrode mapping catheters precludes real-time manual annotation of individual signals, thus, creating dependency on automated algorithms and their accuracy. Simultaneous construction of endocardial and epicardial contact maps accounting for transmural activation sequences may be warranted in AF but has not yet been clinically implemented.<sup>3</sup>

250

249

251

252

# 4. Signal Processing Technologies

Signal processing refers to analysis, usually automated, of EGMs. Analysis is focused on identifying specific parameters defining individual EGM characteristics with the principal aim of rapidly interrogating the arrhythmogenic substrate and targeting sites critical to AF maintenance. Various signal processing techniques applicable for AF mapping discussed below are summarized in Table 2.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

255

256

257

258

259

260

# 4.1 Local Activation Time Mapping

A LAT map depicts the activation time at every recording site relative to a reference point.<sup>27</sup>, <sup>28</sup> LAT mapping is used to visualize patterns of activation to e.g. discriminate between re-entry and focal activity or to identify slow, crucial zones of slow conduction by superimposing isochrones. Figure 3 illustrates examples of difficulties encountered in annotation LAT of Uand Bi-EGM. LAT maps using U-EGM are based on the principle that the timing of -dV/dT<sub>max</sub> coincides with the time of maximum rate of rise of the transmembrane potential (time differences less than 50 us <sup>29</sup>) corresponding to the maximum increase in sodium current and its conductance. LAT determination using Bi-EGM is more complex; bipolar LAT maps are constructed by annotating the onset, peak or -dV/dT<sub>max</sub> of Bi-EGM. An accurate algorithm for LAT annotation utilizes the -dV/dT<sub>max</sub> of the first-order spatial derivative of the underlying U-EGM. This assumes that shape and velocity of the propagating wavefront remains constant, which is usually not the case during AF. Activation time mapping is an effective approach if EGMs consist of a single negative deflection but is challenging if EGMs are fractionated or contain continuous electrical activities. Several advanced signal processing technologies have been proposed to improve automated analysis of complex EGMs, including investigation of signal morphology, wavelet decomposition, deconvolution and wavefront tracking, yet clinical benefit of these technologies have not yet been demonstrated. 28, 30-33

#### 4.2. Voltage Mapping

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

A voltage (V) map depicts the peak-to-peak amplitudes of EGMs at multiple sites (supplemental Figure 1). However, both unipolar (UV) and bi-polar voltage (Bi-V) are influenced by numerous variables (supplemental Table 1). UVs are larger than Bi-V; only when the maximum V at one electrode nearly coincides with the minimum V at the other electrode, then the V of the negative deflection of Bi-EGM equals the peak-to-peak V of U-EGM (left panel Figure 1). Another determinant of EGM-V is rate and hence cardiac rhythm. <sup>34</sup> There is a modest correlation between Bi-V measured during AF and sinus rhythm, which becomes weaker in patients with more persistent types of AF. <sup>35</sup> Bi-V are higher during sinus rhythm compared to AF. During atrial extra stimuli with decreasing coupling intervals, Bi-V were more attenuated than UV.<sup>34</sup> Despite numerous variables affecting EGM-V, low endocardial Bi-V are regarded as surrogate markers of fibrotic tissue and low voltage areas have therefore become targets for ablative therapy in patients with AF<sup>36</sup>. It is important, however, to emphasize that there is limited data correlating low voltage areas to mechanisms initiating or perpetuating AF. <sup>36</sup> Several definitions of voltage thresholds related to 'scar tissue' have been introduced e.g. 0.5 mV (most often used, 5<sup>th</sup> percentile obtained during supraventricular tachycardia), 0.05mV (noise level electro-anatomical mapping system), 0.2 mV for the posterior left atrial wall (5<sup>th</sup> percentile of V histograms of patients with paroxysmal AF) or <0.1mV ('dense scar', patients with persistent AF). <sup>37-39</sup> However, none of these thresholds have been validated pathologically and outcomes of ablation targeting bipolar low voltage areas -either during sinus rhythm or AF- show conflicting results. 40 Possible explanations for these discrepancies include mapping and/or ablation strategies and patient selection. Also, since voltage depends on size and distances of electrodes, voltage maps acquired with different catheters should not be compared.

#### 4.3. Complex Fractionated Atrial Electrograms Mapping

304

305 Complex fractionated atrial electrograms (CFAE) maps depict the location of CFAEs 306 (supplemental Figure 1). CFAE are most often defined as potentials with 3 or more negative 307 deflections. However, in literature, at least 27 different definitions and/or methodologies for identification of CFAE have been introduced (Table 3). 41 A review of 84 studies targeting 308 309 CFAE, reported on absence of CFAE predilection sites in the right or left atrium and also no 310 differences in degree of fractionation between patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF. 41, 42 These findings are, however, not surprising, giving the variable methodologies applied. Also, 311 312 how fractionated bi-EGM should be corrected annotated is unknown. The mechanistic role of CFAEs in AF stems from the earlier work by Konings et al. who performed unipolar epicardial 313 mapping of induced AF in patients with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome undergoing cardiac 314 surgery. 43 By comparing U-EGM morphology and underlying activation patterns, they 315 316 demonstrated that CFAEs during AF correlated to sites of pivot points and slow conduction. 317 This led to the conclusion that CFAE areas during AF represent either continuous re-entry of 318 fibrillation waves into the same area or overlap of different wavelets entering the same area at 319 different times. This observation supports the hypothesis that AF is driven and maintained by multiple wavelets. Kalifa et al. proposed that fractionation occurs due to interruption of an 320 activation wavefront as it crosses from one tissue boundary into another. 44 This hypothesis 321 supported the observation that fractionation was highest at boundaries of dominant frequency 322 323 (DF) domains (i.e. sites of highest DF and lowest frequencies) caused by differences in 324 electrophysiological properties (refractory periods, CV etc.) of adjacent myocardial tissue. These findings not only dispute the multiple wavelet hypotheses but also propose that 1) AF is 325 326 driven and maintained by rotors and CFAE are located adjacent to sources, 2) these sources 327 correlate to sites of highest DF and highest regularity index (RI) i.e. sites of fastest and most organized activity and 3) that creation of borders at CFAE sites results in AF termination. 328

However, others argued that there is only a modest spatial correlation between CFAE sites and highest DF and with the different responses to ablation at these sites respectively this may indicate that CFAE and DF domains are separate entities.<sup>45</sup> A multicentre, randomized trial indeed demonstrated that CFAE ablation did not reduce AF recurrences on the long-term. <sup>46, 47</sup>

#### 4.4 Dipole Density Mapping

Dipole density mapping refers to utilization of dipole density -defined as 'cellular charge sources'- to resolve local electrical activation. <sup>48, 49</sup> Data from an ultrasound array is used for reconstruction of the anatomy <sup>49</sup>. Non-contact electrodes sense intracavitary U-EGMs from which dipole densities are derived based on the precise ultrasound measured distance and reconstructed endocardial surface area. From these dipole densities, forward-calculated EGMs are reconstructed. A prediction model instead of data interpolation is used between the measuring points. Fundamental differences between voltage and dipole density lie in the averaging effect of "spatial summation" and in the volume of space occupied by each. Theoretically, dipole density—based mapping provides a more localized portrayal of activation patterns than voltage-based mapping does, and with less far-field interference.

The accuracy of non-contact dipole density map was compared to contact voltage mapping during sinus rhythm and AF and correlated well when the recorded sites were ≤40 mm from the endocardial surface, comparable to previously published for non-contact mapping systems. <sup>50</sup> The theoretical benefits of dipole density mapping and initial clinical outcomes from single center studies require further validation in randomized controlled trials. <sup>50, 51</sup>

# 4.5 Rotational Activity Mapping

Rotational activity is caused by functional reentry circuits (supplemental movie 1) with an excitable but non-excited core and a curved wavefront subject to source-sink mismatch driving

spiral waves. <sup>52</sup>Phase analysis is used to identify rotors based on identification of the phase singularity point and thereby the core of rotational activity driving AF. In phase mapping, the converted EGM is mathematically transformed to capture wavefront dynamics through the activation-recovery cycle of the underlying tissue, effectively functioning as a low-pass filter implemented on fractionated EGMs. <sup>53</sup>Phase analysis is particularly suited to optical mapping of action potentials with their characteristic depolarisation upstroke, intervening plateau and repolarisation downslope and has been used effectively for AF analysis in experimental models. <sup>54</sup> However, as the type of signals recorded, and the technique employed influences phase analysis it remains unclear whether rotational activity seen during mapping of AF in humans are representative of the same re-entry mechanism demonstrated with optical mapping <sup>55</sup>. In computational and experimental models, rotational activities maintain AF and therefore have been considered ablation targets. Limitations of mapping in humans that may influence the phase analysis and thereby interpretation of phase maps includes: (1) artefact due to noise, (2) far field ventricular signals and (3) limited resolution with mapping catheters particularly basket catheters resulting in data interpolation. Interpolation of phases may result in representation of non-existent rotors as the interpolation algorithm is devised to detect rotational activity. <sup>18, 19, 56</sup> Therefore, it remains unclear whether the current mapping modalities available in humans are able to effectively identify source mechanisms that have so elegantly been demonstrated in animal models with optical mapping. Furthermore, characteristics of these localised sources remain unclear. Spatiotemporal stability of rotational activities has been demonstrated in optical mapping studies in animal models, however, mapping of rotational activity in humans has shown inconsistent results. <sup>16, 17, 57, 58</sup> Whilst some studies conclude that these drivers are spatiotemporally stable <sup>16</sup> others have shown that even though spatially stable the drivers elicit temporal periodicity.<sup>57</sup> It remains unclear which of these characteristics are

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

the correct description of these drivers and if both are, does the temporal stability have an impact on the mechanistic importance of these drivers? These questions remain to be answered.

# 4.6 Atrial rate analysis

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

The activation rate of a recording site can be estimated in the time domain in terms of average cycle length, while several indices related to activation organization can be obtained from the dispersion of the cycle length histogram. However, this approach requires the use of automatic algorithms to estimate LATs or cycle lengths, which can be challenging in case of CFAE.<sup>59</sup> Atrial rate can also be computed in the frequency domain, avoiding the need of LAT detection. In order to ensure that the maximum spectral amplitude corresponds to the atrial rate and not to one of its harmonics, Botteron's preprocessing 60, 61 is applied to the raw signal before computing the spectrum. This preprocessing (supplemental Figure 2) consists of three steps: band-pass filtering, rectification and low pass filter removing details of the individual activations and converting the raw signal in a train of smooth pulses. The dominant frequency is defined as the highest spectral peak of this preprocessed signal. The organization index has been defined as the ratio of the spectral power around the dominant frequency and its harmonics to the total spectral power.<sup>62</sup> This index measures the periodicity of the preprocessed signal, which is a sign of periodic and organized activations. Spatial distribution of activation rate and activation organization have been studied to find AF critical sources, and therefore, candidate sites for ablation, based on the hypothesis that high activation rates and organization allows identification of sources driving AF. <sup>63</sup> While reduction of dominant frequency has been shown to be a marker of ablation outcome, 64 direct ablation of sites with maximum dominant frequency have shown mixed results. 65-67

## 4.7 Conduction velocity and activation direction analysis

Conduction velocity (CV) along a given activation direction (AD) can be measured from differences of LATs at electrodes with known 2-dimensional interelectrode distances (Figure 4). <sup>28, 68, 69</sup> However, CV can only be estimated as the true 3-dimensional pathway is unknown. CV can be semi quantitively visualised by construction of ischronal maps. Model-based approaches have been used to estimate both CV and AD, using LAT from EGMs recorded by circular catheters or multielectrode arrays <sup>68</sup>. In general, CV and AD maps can be obtained by postprocessing activation maps if they have enough spatial resolution, <sup>70</sup> but they may be very sensitive to errors and inconsistencies in LAT estimates. To cope with this problem, Anter et al<sup>69</sup>. proposed a method which estimates a consistent global pattern of activation in the whole chamber, taking into account all candidate LATs in a single electrogram, and then locally estimated CV and AD. Uncertainties in LAT estimation have been quantified and used for LAT interpolation.<sup>71</sup> Recently, van Schie et al. introduced a novel, modified discrete velocity vectors methodology to calculate CV. 72 CV during AF is calculated to identify areas with low CV associated with structural remodeling. However, as the true pathlength is unknown, particularly in complex patterns of activations during AF, the calculated 'effective' CV may only be roughly estimated.

#### 4.8 Entropy

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

Entropy is a dimensionless parameter of randomness, used in information theory to measure information content, estimate signal variability or randomness in time series data and can therefore be used to evaluate EGM complexity objectively. <sup>73</sup> When applied to EGMs, low values indicate high regularity and predictability whereas high values increase progressively with irregularity and are highest for random noise. The amplitude histogram based shannon entropy measure was only moderately inversely correlated with CFAE <sup>73</sup>. A recent single center

study demonstrated that sample entropy, which uses EGM segment vector comparisons, is correlated with outcomes of ablation therapy in persistent AF patients undergoing CFAE ablation.

#### 5. Non-Invasive Mapping of AF

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

ECG Imaging (ECGI) is a non-invasive, body surface mapping technique (Figure 5) for reconstruction of cardiac excitation patterns using 80-250 electrodes applied to the upper torso. 74, 75 Prior to this, the cardiac anatomy and electrode positions are determined either via medical imaging (CT or MRI scans) or with 3D localization technology. 74, 76 Numerical inversion provides real-time estimates of epi- and endocardial U-EGMs, excitation wavefronts, or transmembrane voltages. From these, atrial maps of various quantities (e.g., activation time, voltage, phase, conduction velocity, and dominant frequency) can be derived and specific phenomena can be localized (e.g., ectopic foci, phase singularities, and rotors/rotor densities). Because of severe numerical problems, only a few investigators attempted to estimate transmural potentials. Inversion requires an accurate forward model including a source and an observation model. The observation model is a volume conductor model of the torso relating cardiac sources to body surface potentials. Relatively large distances between sources and electrodes translate into spatial blurring which the inversion tries to correct, but this is complicated as there are far fewer electrodes than source locations. The source model describes generation and spatiotemporal propagation of excitation, and depends on many hidden parameters—this serves as a prior to the solution. In practice, this is replaced by patientindependent assumptions and constraints on spatiotemporal smoothness. Priors are needed for regularization, because inversion is inherently an ill-posed problem with ambiguous solutions. Current systems reach resolutions of 10-20 mm, with wide standard deviations. <sup>77</sup> Temporal fidelity is often limited; estimated activation times have errors of 10-20ms. Also, artefacts like spurious lines of block are reported.<sup>78</sup> Due to their lower amplitude, atrial signals are harder to reconstruct than ventricular signals.

The promise of ECGI is that it will provide clinicians with non-invasive panoramic maps before the patient moves into the EP-lab, allowing anatomic characterization and localization of AF drivers, and therefore targets for ablation prior to procedures.<sup>57</sup> ECGI could also help verify permanent post-ablation conduction block or identify gaps in ablation lines before re-do procedures. <sup>79</sup> As a research tool, ECGI provides a means of studying AF and poorly-understood mechanisms like reentry circuits, rotors and rotor densities, areas of slow conduction, focal sources, CFAEs and dominant frequency heterogeneities.<sup>80</sup> Combined with LGE-MRI, it can identify locati ons where rotors anchor to fibrotic substrates—potential ablation targets. <sup>81</sup>

However, validation of ECGI remains a significant challenge. Comparison of ECGI to EGMs using an intracardiac catheter mapping showed general agreement with several important limitations, <sup>53,82,83</sup> primarily related to numerical challenges in the inversion. The technique is sensitive to ECG noise and motion (cardiac cycle, breathing), sometimes resulting in artefacts or outliers. Regularization techniques make generic assumptions on source parameters and it is unclear how that impacts accuracy. Detection of small amplitude EGMs or drivers with short cycle lengths using ECGI may not be reliable, in particular the assessment of drivers in the septal area is challenging. Moreover, the clinical workflow is complex, requiring application of an electrode vest, its anatomical registration and subsequent image processing that has not yet been fully automated and may be hampered by patient-specific factors. This has limited its clinical adoption. Hence, translation of ECGI maps into reliable disease markers requires additional studies. <sup>84</sup>

#### 6. Research tools for AF Mapping

# 6.1 Optical Mapping of AF

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

Optical mapping involves use of voltage-sensitive dyes to examine spatiotemporal excitation patterns in cardiac tissue (Figure 6). 85 This technique has been used in animal models to elucidate tissue-scale or organ-scale atrial electrophysiology, including characterization of anti-arrhythmic drug effects, understanding cellular and molecular AF mechanisms, and exploring the prospect of light-based optogenetic cardioversion 85-87. In contrast to isolated cell models, optical mapping enables analysis of non-disrupted myocardium in its native electrophysiological milieu. Recent advances have evaluated interplays between 3-dimensional tissue fibrosis and AF mechanisms.<sup>88</sup> These data have been used to calibrate computational models that realistically reproduced reentrant arrhythmia drivers seen in-vitro. Insights obtained from such studies may be useful to improve calibration of image-based computational models in contemporary studies.<sup>89, 90</sup> Disadvantages of optical mapping include applicability to only ex vivo cardiac tissue construction of solely 2-dimensional images. As a research tool, modern mapping technologies may integrate essential findings from optical mapping data specifically on large-scale tissue activation. Progress in this area will likely be hastened by the recent publication of open experimental protocols for relatively inexpensive construction of panoramic optical mapping systems. 91, 92 Notably, interpretation of data from optical mapping could account for limitations of experimental systems, such as the absence of extracardiac sympathetic or parasympathetic regulation of Langendorff-perfused hearts. Moreover, recent findings show that usage of Blebbistatin to reduce motion artifacts in optically mapped hearts via blocking excitation-contraction leads to non-physiological action potential duration prolongation. 93

#### **6.2 Epicardial Mapping of AF**

Cardiac surgery offers the opportunity to perform mapping (Figure 4) of the atrial epicardium. Epicardial mapping can be performed with arrays containing a high number of electrodes (>100) with small diameters (0.4-0.6mm) and interelectrode distances (2-2.5 mm).<sup>21, 94</sup> As these arrays are manually positioned on the epicardium, stable contact between electrodes and atrial tissue is ensured. Also, exact locations of the electrode array in relation to anatomical structures is visualized. Another advantage of this mapping approach is access to regions which cannot be reached from the endocardium such as Bachmann's Bundle. <sup>95</sup> Electrode arrays used during cardiac surgery records EGM at multiple sites simultaneously, which is essential for understanding AF mechanisms. Simultaneous mapping of the endo-epicardium during surgery has indeed unravelled endo-epicardial electrical asynchrony as potential novel mechanism underlying AF persistence. <sup>3</sup> A disadvantage is the sequential mapping approach and the electrode arrays are custom-made and therefore not clinical available. At present, there are no clinical studies demonstrating the value of epicardial mapping guiding (surgical) ablation procedures.

## 7. Detection of Atrial Fibrillation

#### 7.1 ICD/Pacemakers

In recent years, an increasing number of cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) have been implanted in patients with cardiovascular diseases. CIEDs enable AF detection with storage of intracardiac EGM for evaluation at any time. As a result of continuous monitoring of a growing number of patients, AF detection has increased dramatically, potentially impacting therapeutic strategies. <sup>96</sup> Atrial high rate EGM (AHREs) are commonly used to detect AF. AF detection algorithms vary between different CIEDs. Generally, in all CIEDs, the PP intervals are continuously monitored. Different models of associating the detected PP

intervals to the programmed PP values are used to identify AF (Table 4). Moreover, it should be noted that AF detection by CIEDs is not always correct, particularly when repetitive non-reentrant ventriculo-atrial synchrony ensues.<sup>97</sup>

#### 7.2 Implantabele Loop recorders

Implantable loop recorders (ILRs) with dedicated AF algorithms are used for diagnosis and monitoring of AF after surgical or catheter AF ablation, and cryptogenic stroke 98-103. ILRs have high accuracy in detecting AF burdens using incoherence of R-R intervals over a period of time. 104-107 Lorenz plots have extensively been used to demonstrate RR interval irregularity during AF and to discriminate between AF and sinus rhythm. Different ILR models equipped with algorithms for AF detection can accurately quantify AF burden (98.5 %) and are very sensitive (96.4 %) to identify asymptomatic patients with AF <sup>105, 106</sup>. In order to reduce the rate of false positive AF episodes, an ILR with a long sensing vector has been utilized. <sup>108</sup> Moreover, ILR algorithms were improved to detect visible P waves in the absence of noisy baseline or flutter waves and were enhanced with artificial intelligence tools that learn if a patient has Pwaves during periods of RR irregularity. Performance of AF detection algorithms in ILRs depends significantly on the patient population, incidence rate of AF, duration of monitoring and type of AF. For example, diagnostic sensitivity will get closer to 100% for longer monitoring duration or in patients with persistent AF 107, 109, 110. Therefore, prolonged monitoring periods (> 3 years) are a prerequisite for the improvement of the ILR's diagnostic yield.

542

543

544

545

546

547

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

# 8. Post-processing of electrical signals

Advances in the field of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and in particular Machine Learning (ML), offer new opportunities to improve analysis of electrical signals.<sup>111, 112</sup> Rapid progression in computational power, data storage and remote data acquisition have enabled the application of ML to ECGs and EGMs. <sup>111</sup> Table 5 provides a non-exhaustive list of potential applications of

ML in AF <sup>112, 113</sup>. For the discussion of the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for detection of AF we refer to recent scientific documents. <sup>114, 115</sup>

ML has several limitations and challenges. First, external validity and generalizability remain to be determined. The real value of this new approach in addition to clinical risk factors and risk scores requires further investigation and validation. Second, while large amounts of data can increase effectiveness of ML models, it is more difficult to critically assess their quality. Third, black box ML methodologies inhibit interpretation and makes it impossible to involve stakeholders in meaningful shared decisions. Fourth, as we move away from intuition and physiologically-reasoned model-based approaches towards large (and deep) multivariate ML models, we lose interpretability and potentially increase the likelihood of catastrophic outputs, resulting in non-causal associations.

## 9. Conclusion

Recommendations are summarized in Table 6.

Recording and processing of EGMs are the cornerstones of mapping of AF. Yet, at present, it is unknown what the most ideal EGM recording type (e.g. uni-, bi- or omnipolar) is and thus which technology should be used for recording and processing. The combination of a lack of golden standard of EGM recording and processing technology during AF and of a comprehensive understanding of mechanism(s) underlying AF, does not give significant confidence in comparative evaluation of current technologies. AI has opened an new era for signal processing, yet the clinical value still has to be further explored. CIEDS are increasingly used to detect AF episodes, yet diagnostic yields need further improvement.

# **Future Perspectives**

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

Improvements in AF mapping by obtaining highest fidelity source signals – including catheterelectrode combinations, to signal processing including filtering, digitization and noise elimination is of utmost importance. The cleanest source signal, with minimal and/or clearly understood processing and a well-defined protocol facilitates evaluation and clinical application. A critical evaluation of signal recording and processing techniques takes into account all assumptions and mathematical transformations. Rigorous evaluation and validation of novel technologies involves e.g. large animal arrhythmia models and organized tachyarrhythmias before extending application to AF. Algorithms integrated in signal processing software should be provided in manuals and provided as supplements in scientific publications. Simultaneous multi-electrode activation time mapping, optimized for signal quality, electrode size, density, spacing and coverage resolved to continuous high-fidelity propagation sequences with extraction of the arrhythmogenic substrate by automated software in near real-time enables minimally manipulated extraction of electrophysiological mechanisms underlying AF. The ideal mapping system for AF should be able to automatically 1) detect noise sources and have an optimised noise removal thereby improving the signal-to-noise ratio. 2) remove farfield QRS signal from the atrial EGM 3) annotate fibrillation potentials, 4) identify specific electrogram features related to arrhythmia development or maintenance. The arrhythmogenic substrate underlying AF can be detected by AI and there is an integration of multiparametric generated maps and images (e.g. MRI) with algorithms identifying sites of driver activity or specific substrate parameters related to AF and a validated support for identification of ablation targets. Finally, there is a real-time EGM monitoring to detect variations in AF maintaining mechanisms and display of multiparametric maps.

The AF diagnostic yield of pacemaker/ICDs may be improved by enhancement of existing algorithms by use of RR interval irregularity detection algorithms. Furthermore, adequate atrial lead selection and positioning and optimal programming of atrial sensitivity may eliminate the effects of near-field P-wave or far-field R-wave oversensing by the atrial lead, runs of premature atrial complexes, electrical interference, myopotentials, or repetitive nonreentrant ventriculo-atrial synchrony on accurate AF detection. For ILRs, further improvement in the AF detection algorithm should integrate rejection of ventricular extrasystoles in order to enhance the accuracy of AF diagnosis in patients presenting significant RR interval irregularities. Developments in multimodal ML could be used for predicting and prognosing from multimodal data (e.g., ECG, EGM, LGE-MRI), improving understanding of the AF substrate, differentiating between paroxysmal AF and persistent AF, and predicting the outcome of ablation therapies. Recent developments in Generative Adversarial Network provide the potential to develop personalized models. Also, initial experiences with ML guiding substrate-based ablation therapy of AF have been published. 116-122

# **Legends**

# Figure 1.

Left panel: U-EGMs and corresponding Bi-EGM demonstrating the relation between the peak-to-peak amplitudes. Right panel: U-EGMs and corresponding Bi-EGMs, demonstrating that U-EGM not always result in "simple" non-fractionated Bi-EGM. On the other hand, fractionated U-EGM may give rise to non-fractionated Bi-EGM. However, an increase in fractionation complexity of U-EGM is associated with an increase in complexity of Bi-EGM. *By courtesy of Mathijs van Schie*.

#### Figure 2.

Panel A: cliques enclosed by four electrodes are used to record 3 U-EGM (filter: 5-400 Hz) visualized in the top of panel C. U-EGMs of three adjacent electrodes (1,2 and 3) are used to derive Bi-EGM by subtracting one U-EGM from the other U-EGM such that two pairs of Bi-EGMs (1-2 and 2-3) are constructed along the horizontal (red) and vertical (green) directions. Bi-EGMs are filtered (30-400 Hz) and visualized in the centre of panel C. Both Bi-EGMs are used to describe a depolarization wavefront as an electrical field which is electrode orientation-independent. Panel B illustrates the projections along the time-axis of the electrical field derived from both Bi-EGMs. This enables to mathematically obtain Bi-EGMs in any direction without physically rotating a sensing electrode. The E-field is subsequently scaled to analogous 2D voltage signals from which the maximal extent over the interval (T) is calculated and corresponds to the peak-to-peak amplitude of a Bi-EGM obtained along a unit vector direction. Panel C: resulting omnipolar EGM, Panel D: corresponding Laplacian EGM. *By courtesy of Mathijs van Schie*.

## Figure 3.

Challenges encountered with annotation of potentials recorded during AF. Panel A: red dots indicate the different time samples. Annotation of the steepest deflection can be calculated by e.g. averaging the steepest deflection of all time samples, selecting time samples with the maximum steepest deflection, or averaging between maximum and minimum values. This information is usually not provided in manuals or in methodology sections of scientific reports Panel B: In case of multiple deflection with comparable slopes and amplitudes, additional criteria have to be developed to determine local activation times (LAT). Panel C: As a result of endo-epicardial asynchrony, endocardial LATs may be different from epicardial LATs. Panel D: Determination of LAT is affected by the filter settings which has a considerable impact on U-EGM morphology.

# Figure 4.

High resolution maps of the left atrial wall (N=192, interelectrode distance 2mm) constructed during AF obtained from a patient during cardiac surgery. These maps demonstrate from the left to the right: activation times combined with isochrones, local conduction directions, conduction directions and magnitude of conduction velocities, peak-to-peak voltages. *By courtesy of Mathijs van Schie*.

# Figure 5.

- Upper panel: simulation of excitation of the right and left atrium. Lower panel:
- Body surface maps of the right and left atrium based on simulated and measured activation
- 669 times constructed during sinus rhythm with an eighty-channel active electrode system
- 670 (ActiveTwo, BioSemi, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

## Figure 6.

Schematic illustration of the use of an open source imaging toolkit for panoramic optical mapping, as described by Gloschat et al. A: Experimental optical mapping setup, including Langendorff-perfused heart. B: Heart image with superimposed silhouette (yellow) derived via an automated thresholding process. C: Data projection points for reconstruction of panoramic maps of optically-mapped data. D: Examples of optically-mapped action potentials recorded from the epicardial surface of a rat heart, including annotations for activation and 80% repolarization times. E-F: Spatial reconstructions of activation time (E) and 80% action potential duration (F) from representative rat panoramic optical data. Images reproduced from Fig. 1 (panels A-C) and Fig. 7 (panels D-F) of Gloschat et al. under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. 92

## Supplemental Data

## Supplemental Figure 1.

A) Composite image of a 64-electrode basket catheter in different positions within the anatomic shell of the left atrium. Note the large LA surface (yellow dashed line) without contact with the basket electrodes-splines as well as the prolapsing splines through the mitral valve, B) multi-electrode grid for the endocardial approach, C) high density, electrode mapping array for the epicardial approach, D) LAT map of the right atrium (RA) demonstrating a reentrant circuit around an area of scar tissue (grey area) E) RA voltage map, F) RA fractionation map; CFAE sites, indicated by the red markers are superimposed on a bipolar voltage map.

#### **Supplemental Figure 2.**

The upper plot demonstrates a 2-second bipolar EGM recorded during AF without any filtering. Right panel: power spectra containing frequency distributions of corresponding signals indicated by the arrows. For the Botteron's Approach, first a 40-250 Hz band-pass filter is applied to the original signal to remove the spectral content below 40 Hz and 250 Hz in order to remove any noise (as indicated in the power spectrum in the right panel). The dominant frequency in this signal is 99 Hz. Step 2 is a nonlinear time-domain rectification process that results in the absolute value of the filtered signal. The power spectrum of this rectified signal demonstrates a fundamental frequency peak follow by harmonics with decreasing amplitude. The third step preserves only the low frequencies by applying a low-pass filter set at 20 Hz. In the time domain, the result is a smoothed pulse shape without high-frequency oscillations. In the frequency domain, this step does not have a large effect for detection of the fundamental frequency, which is 5 Hz in this example. *By courtesy of Mathijs van Schie*.

#### Supplemental Movie 1.

Video excerpt of activation mapping during AF with a 64 electrode basket catheter in the left atrium and the left superior pulmonary vein ostium. The clip shows a clockwise rotational activation (with a period of 180ms) cantered around the orange point on the roof of the LA near the left superior pulmonary vein ostium; this pattern of activation recurred without a significant change for 7 consecutive cycles.

#### **Tables**

### (see attachments)

# 723 References

- 724 [1] Venkatachalam KL, Herbrandson JE, Asirvatham SJ. Signals and signal processing for
- 725 the electrophysiologist: part II: signal processing and artifact. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol
- 726 2011; **4**: 974-981.
- 727 [2] Venkatachalam KL, Herbrandson JE, Asirvatham SJ. Signals and signal processing for
- the electrophysiologist: part I: electrogram acquisition. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2011; 4:
- 729 965-973.
- de Groot N, van der Does L, Yaksh A, Lanters E, Teuwen C, Knops P, et al. Direct
- 731 Proof of Endo-Epicardial Asynchrony of the Atrial Wall During Atrial Fibrillation in Humans.
- 732 *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016; **9**.
- 733 [4] Correa de Sa DD, Thompson N, Stinnett-Donnelly J, Znojkiewicz P, Habel N, Muller
- JG, et al. Electrogram fractionation: the relationship between spatiotemporal variation of tissue
- excitation and electrode spatial resolution. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011; **4**: 909-916.
- 736 [5] Coronel R, Wilms-Schopman FJ, de Groot JR, Janse MJ, van Capelle FJ, de Bakker
- JM. Laplacian electrograms and the interpretation of complex ventricular activation patterns
- during ventricular fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2000; **11**: 1119-1128.
- 739 [6] Haldar SK, Magtibay K, Porta-Sanchez A, Masse S, Mitsakakis N, Lai PFH, et al.
- 740 Resolving Bipolar Electrogram Voltages During Atrial Fibrillation Using Omnipolar Mapping.
- 741 *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017; **10**.
- 742 [7] Beheshti M, Magtibay K, Masse S, Porta-Sanchez A, Haldar S, Bhaskaran A, et al.
- 743 Determinants of atrial bipolar voltage: Inter electrode distance and wavefront angle. Comput
- 744 *Biol Med* 2018; **102**: 449-457.
- 745 [8] Rocha PR, Schlett P, Kintzel U, Mailander V, Vandamme LK, Zeck G, et al.
- 746 Electrochemical noise and impedance of Au electrode/electrolyte interfaces enabling
- extracellular detection of glioma cell populations. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 34843.

- 748 [9] Starreveld R, Knops P, Roos-Serote M, Kik C, Bogers A, Brundel B, et al. The Impact
- of Filter Settings on Morphology of Unipolar Fibrillation Potentials. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res*
- 750 2020; **13**: 953-964.
- 751 [10] Stinnett-Donnelly JM, Thompson N, Habel N, Petrov-Kondratov V, Correa de Sa DD,
- 752 Bates JH, et al. Effects of electrode size and spacing on the resolution of intracardiac
- 753 electrograms. *Coron Artery Dis* 2012; **23**: 126-132.
- 754 [11] Takigawa M, Relan J, Martin R, Kim S, Kitamura T, Cheniti G, et al. Detailed Analysis
- of the Relation Between Bipolar Electrode Spacing and Far- and Near-Field Electrograms.
- 756 *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2019; **5**: 66-77.
- 757 [12] Stevenson WG, Soejima K. Recording techniques for clinical electrophysiology. J
- 758 *Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005; **16**: 1017-1022.
- 759 [13] Yaksh A, Kik C, Knops P, Roos-Hesselink JW, Bogers AJJC, Zijlstra F, et al. Atrial
- 760 fibrillation: to map or not to map? *Neth Heart J* 2014; **22**: 259-266.
- 761 [14] Moe GK, Abildskov JA. Atrial fibrillation as a self-sustaining arrhythmia independent
- 762 of focal discharge. *Am Heart J* 1959; **58**: 59-70.
- 763 [15] Moe GK, Rheinboldt WC, Abildskov JA. A Computer Model of Atrial Fibrillation. Am
- 764 *Heart J* 1964; **67**: 200-220.
- 765 [16] Narayan SM, Baykaner T, Clopton P, Schricker A, Lalani GG, Krummen DE, et al.
- Ablation of rotor and focal sources reduces late recurrence of atrial fibrillation compared with
- 767 trigger ablation alone: extended follow-up of the CONFIRM trial (Conventional Ablation for
- 768 Atrial Fibrillation With or Without Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation). J Am Coll Cardiol
- 769 2014; **63**: 1761-1768.
- 770 [17] Narayan SM, Krummen DE, Shivkumar K, Clopton P, Rappel WJ, Miller JM.
- 771 Treatment of atrial fibrillation by the ablation of localized sources: CONFIRM (Conventional

- Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation With or Without Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation) trial. J
- 773 *Am Coll Cardiol* 2012; **60**: 628-636.
- 774 [18] Allessie M, de Groot N. Rebuttal from Maurits Allessie and Natasja de Groot. *J Physiol*
- 775 2014; **592**: 3173.
- 776 [19] Pathik B, Kalman JM, Walters T, Kuklik P, Zhao J, Madry A, et al. Absence of
- 777 rotational activity detected using 2-dimensional phase mapping in the corresponding 3-
- dimensional phase maps in human persistent atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm* 2018; **15**: 182-
- 779 192.
- 780 [20] Buch E, Share M, Tung R, Benharash P, Sharma P, Koneru J, et al. Long-term clinical
- 781 outcomes of focal impulse and rotor modulation for treatment of atrial fibrillation: A
- multicenter experience. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; **13**: 636-641.
- 783 [21] de Groot NM, Houben RP, Smeets JL, Boersma E, Schotten U, Schalij MJ, et al.
- 784 Electropathological substrate of longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation in patients with
- structural heart disease: epicardial breakthrough. *Circulation* 2010; **122**: 1674-1682.
- 786 [22] Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Contreras-Valdes FM, Li J, Josephson ME. Pulmonary vein
- 787 isolation using the Rhythmia mapping system: Verification of intracardiac signals using the
- 788 Orion mini-basket catheter. *Heart Rhythm* 2015; **12**: 1927-1934.
- 789 [23] Sroubek J, Rottmann M, Barkagan M, Leshem E, Shapira-Daniels A, Brem E, et al. A
- 790 novel octaray multielectrode catheter for high-resolution atrial mapping: Electrogram
- 791 characterization and utility for mapping ablation gaps. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2019; **30**:
- 792 749-757.
- 793 [24] Huemer M, Qaiyumi D, Attanasio P, Parwani A, Pieske B, Blaschke F, et al. Does the
- 794 extent of left atrial arrhythmogenic substrate depend on the electroanatomical mapping
- technique: impact of pulmonary vein mapping catheter vs. ablation catheter. *Europace* 2017;
- 796 **19**: 1293-1301.

- 797 [25] Takigawa M, Relan J, Martin R, Kim S, Kitamura T, Frontera A, et al. Effect of bipolar
- 798 electrode orientation on local electrogram properties. *Heart Rhythm* 2018; **15**: 1853-1861.
- 799 [26] Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of scar-related
- atrial arrhythmias using smaller electrodes with closer interelectrode spacing. Circ Arrhythm
- 801 *Electrophysiol* 2015; **8**: 537-545.
- 802 [27] Ellis WS, Eisenberg SJ, Auslander DM, Dae MW, Zakhor A, Lesh MD. Deconvolution:
- 803 a novel signal processing approach for determining activation time from fractionated
- electrograms and detecting infarcted tissue. *Circulation* 1996; **94**: 2633-2640.
- 805 [28] Cantwell CD, Roney CH, Ng FS, Siggers JH, Sherwin SJ, Peters NS. Techniques for
- 806 automated local activation time annotation and conduction velocity estimation in cardiac
- 807 mapping. Comput Biol Med 2015; **65**: 229-242.
- 808 [29] Spach MS, Dolber PC. Relating extracellular potentials and their derivatives to
- anisotropic propagation at a microscopic level in human cardiac muscle. Evidence for electrical
- uncoupling of side-to-side fiber connections with increasing age. Circ Res 1986; **58**: 356-371.
- 811 [30] Bollacker KD, Simpson EV, Hillsley RE, Blanchard SM, Gerstle RJ, Walcott GP, et al.
- 812 An automated technique for identification and analysis of activation fronts in a two-
- dimensional electrogram array. Comput Biomed Res 1994; 27: 229-244.
- 814 [31] Alcaine A, Soto-Iglesias D, Calvo M, Guiu E, Andreu D, Fernandez-Armenta J, et al.
- A wavelet-based electrogram onset delineator for automatic ventricular activation mapping.
- 816 *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014; **61**: 2830-2839.
- 817 [32] Vidmar D, Alhusseini MI, Narayan SM, Rappel WJ. Characterizing Electrogram Signal
- 818 Fidelity and the Effects of Signal Contamination on Mapping Human Persistent Atrial
- Fibrillation. Front Physiol 2018; 9: 1232.
- 820 [33] Ye Z, van Schie MS, de Groot NMS. Signal Fingerprinting as a Novel Diagnostic Tool
- to Identify Conduction Inhomogeneity. Front Physiol 2021; 12: 652128.

- 822 [34] Williams SE, Linton N, O'Neill L, Harrison J, Whitaker J, Mukherjee R, et al. The
- 823 effect of activation rate on left atrial bipolar voltage in patients with paroxysmal atrial
- fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2017; **28**: 1028-1036.
- 825 [35] Ndrepepa G, Schneider MA, Karch MR, Weber S, Schreieck J, Zrenner B, et al. Impact
- of atrial fibrillation on the voltage of bipolar signals acquired from the left and right atria.
- 827 *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2003; **26**: 862-869.
- 828 [36] Anter E, Josephson ME. Bipolar voltage amplitude: What does it really mean? *Heart*
- 829 *Rhythm* 2016; **13**: 326-327.
- 830 [37] Sim I, Bishop M, O'Neill M, Williams SE. Left atrial voltage mapping: defining and
- targeting the atrial fibrillation substrate. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2019; **56**: 213-227.
- 832 [38] Masuda M, Fujita M, Iida O, Okamoto S, Ishihara T, Nanto K, et al. Left atrial low-
- 833 voltage areas predict atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation in patients with
- paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol* 2018; **257**: 97-101.
- 835 [39] Rodriguez-Manero M, Valderrabano M, Baluja A, Kreidieh O, Martinez-Sande JL,
- 836 Garcia-Seara J, et al. Validating Left Atrial Low Voltage Areas During Atrial Fibrillation and
- 837 Atrial Flutter Using Multielectrode Automated Electroanatomic Mapping. JACC Clin
- 838 *Electrophysiol* 2018; **4**: 1541-1552.
- 839 [40] Spragg DD, Zghaib T. Veracity of Voltage Mapping During Atrial Fibrillation and
- Flutter: How Good Is Good Enough? *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018; **4**: 1553-1555.
- van der Does LJ, de Groot NM. Inhomogeneity and complexity in defining fractionated
- 842 electrograms. *Heart Rhythm* 2017; **14**: 616-624.
- 843 [42] Starreveld R, van der Does L, de Groot NMS. Anatomical hotspots of fractionated
- electrograms in the left and right atrium: do they exist? *Europace* 2019; **21**: 60-72.

- 845 [43] Konings KT, Kirchhof CJ, Smeets JR, Wellens HJ, Penn OC, Allessie MA. High-
- density mapping of electrically induced atrial fibrillation in humans. *Circulation* 1994; **89**:
- 847 1665-1680.
- 848 [44] Kalifa J, Tanaka K, Zaitsev AV, Warren M, Vaidyanathan R, Auerbach D, et al.
- Mechanisms of wave fractionation at boundaries of high-frequency excitation in the posterior
- left atrium of the isolated sheep heart during atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2006; **113**: 626-633.
- 851 [45] Kumagai K, Sakamoto T, Nakamura K, Nishiuchi S, Hayano M, Hayashi T, et al.
- 852 Combined dominant frequency and complex fractionated atrial electrogram ablation after
- 853 circumferential pulmonary vein isolation of atrial fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol
- 854 2013; **24**: 975-983.
- 855 [46] Vogler J, Willems S, Sultan A, Schreiber D, Luker J, Servatius H, et al. Pulmonary
- 856 Vein Isolation Versus Defragmentation: The CHASE-AF Clinical Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol
- 857 2015; **66**: 2743-2752.
- 858 [47] Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, Kim YH, Saad EB, Aguinaga L, et al. 2017
- HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical
- ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm* 2017; **14**: e275-e444.
- 861 [48] Grace A, Verma A, Willems S. Dipole Density Mapping of Atrial Fibrillation. Eur
- 862 *Heart J* 2017; **38**: 5-9.
- 863 [49] Grace A, Willems S, Meyer C, Verma A, Heck P, Zhu M, et al. High-resolution
- noncontact charge-density mapping of endocardial activation. JCI Insight 2019; 4.
- 865 [50] Shi R, Parikh P, Chen Z, Angel N, Norman M, Hussain W, et al. Validation of Dipole
- Density Mapping During Atrial Fibrillation and Sinus Rhythm in Human Left Atrium. *JACC*
- 867 *Clin Electrophysiol* 2020; **6**: 171-181.

- 868 [51] Earley MJ, Abrams DJ, Sporton SC, Schilling RJ. Validation of the noncontact
- mapping system in the left atrium during permanent atrial fibrillation and sinus rhythm. J Am
- 870 *Coll Cardiol* 2006; **48**: 485-491.
- 871 [52] Allessie MA, Bonke FI, Schopman FJ. Circus movement in rabbit atrial muscle as a
- mechanism of tachycardia. III. The "leading circle" concept: a new model of circus movement
- in cardiac tissue without the involvement of an anatomical obstacle. Circ Res 1977; 41: 9-18.
- 874 [53] Kuklik P, Zeemering S, Maesen B, Maessen J, Crijns HJ, Verheule S, et al.
- Reconstruction of instantaneous phase of unipolar atrial contact electrogram using a concept
- of sinusoidal recomposition and Hilbert transform. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2015; 62: 296-
- 877 302.
- 878 [54] Jalife J, Berenfeld O, Mansour M. Mother rotors and fibrillatory conduction: a
- mechanism of atrial fibrillation. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002; **54**: 204-216.
- 880 [55] Roney CH, Cantwell CD, Qureshi NA, Chowdhury RA, Dupont E, Lim PB, et al. Rotor
- Tracking Using Phase of Electrograms Recorded During Atrial Fibrillation. *Ann Biomed Eng*
- 882 2017; **45**: 910-923.
- 883 [56] Berenfeld O, Oral H. The quest for rotors in atrial fibrillation: different nets catch
- 884 different fishes. *Heart Rhythm* 2012; **9**: 1440-1441.
- Haissaguerre M, Hocini M, Denis A, Shah AJ, Komatsu Y, Yamashita S, et al. Driver
- domains in persistent atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2014; **130**: 530-538.
- 887 [58] Swarup V, Baykaner T, Rostamian A, Daubert JP, Hummel J, Krummen DE, et al.
- 888 Stability of rotors and focal sources for human atrial fibrillation: focal impulse and rotor
- mapping (FIRM) of AF sources and fibrillatory conduction. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2014;
- **25**: 1284-1292.

- 891 [59] Ravelli F, Mase M. Computational mapping in atrial fibrillation: how the integration of
- signal-derived maps may guide the localization of critical sources. Europace 2014; 16: 714-
- 893 723.
- 894 [60] Botteron GW, Smith JM. A technique for measurement of the extent of spatial
- organization of atrial activation during atrial fibrillation in the intact human heart. *IEEE Trans*
- 896 Biomed Eng 1995; **42**: 579-586.
- 897 [61] Castells F, Cervigon R, Millet J. On the preprocessing of atrial electrograms in atrial
- fibrillation: understanding Botteron's approach. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2014; **37**: 133-143.
- 899 [62] Everett THt, Kok LC, Vaughn RH, Moorman JR, Haines DE. Frequency domain
- algorithm for quantifying atrial fibrillation organization to increase defibrillation efficacy.
- 901 *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2001; **48**: 969-978.
- 902 [63] Lin YJ, Tsao HM, Chang SL, Lo LW, Hu YF, Chang CJ, et al. Role of high dominant
- 903 frequency sites in nonparoxysmal atrial fibrillation patients: insights from high-density
- frequency and fractionation mapping. *Heart Rhythm* 2010; 7: 1255-1262.
- 905 [64] Gadenz L, Hashemi J, Shariat MH, Gula L, Redfearn DP. Clinical Role of Dominant
- 906 Frequency Measurements in Atrial Fibrillation Ablation A Systematic Review. J Atr
- 907 Fibrillation 2017; **9**: 1548.
- 908 [65] Verma A, Lakkireddy D, Wulffhart Z, Pillarisetti J, Farina D, Beardsall M, et al.
- Relationship between complex fractionated electrograms (CFE) and dominant frequency (DF)
- 910 sites and prospective assessment of adding DF-guided ablation to pulmonary vein isolation in
- 911 persistent atrial fibrillation (AF). J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2011; 22: 1309-1316.
- 912 [66] Atienza F, Almendral J, Jalife J, Zlochiver S, Ploutz-Snyder R, Torrecilla EG, et al.
- 913 Real-time dominant frequency mapping and ablation of dominant frequency sites in atrial
- 914 fibrillation with left-to-right frequency gradients predicts long-term maintenance of sinus
- 915 rhythm. *Heart Rhythm* 2009; **6**: 33-40.

- 916 [67] Atienza F, Almendral J, Ormaetxe JM, Moya A, Martinez-Alday JD, Hernandez-
- Madrid A, et al. Comparison of radiofrequency catheter ablation of drivers and circumferential
- 918 pulmonary vein isolation in atrial fibrillation: a noninferiority randomized multicenter
- 919 RADAR-AF trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; **64**: 2455-2467.
- 920 [68] Weber FM, Schilling C, Seemann G, Luik A, Schmitt C, Lorenz C, et al. Wave-
- 921 direction and conduction-velocity analysis from intracardiac electrograms--a single-shot
- 922 technique. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2010; **57**: 2394-2401.
- 923 [69] Anter E, Duytschaever M, Shen CY, Strisciuglio T, Leshem E, Contreras-Valdes FM,
- 924 et al. Activation Mapping With Integration of Vector and Velocity Information Improves the
- Ability to Identify the Mechanism and Location of Complex Scar-Related Atrial Tachycardias.
- 926 *Circ-Arrhythmia Electrophysiol* 2018; **11**.
- 927 [70] Dallet C, Roney C, Martin R, Kitamura T, Puyo S, Duchateau J, et al. Cardiac
- 928 Propagation Pattern Mapping With Vector Field for Helping Tachyarrhythmias Diagnosis With
- 929 Clinical Tridimensional Electro-Anatomical Mapping Tools. *Ieee T Bio-Med Eng* 2019; **66**:
- 930 373-382.
- 931 [71] Coveney S, Corrado C, Roney CH, Wilkinson RD, Oakley JE, Lindgren F, et al.
- 932 Probabilistic Interpolation of Uncertain Local Activation Times on Human Atrial Manifolds.
- 933 *Ieee T Bio-Med Eng* 2020; **67**: 99-109.
- 934 [72] van Schie MS, Starreveld R, Bogers A, de Groot NMS. Sinus rhythm voltage
- 935 fingerprinting in patients with mitral valve disease using a high-density epicardial mapping
- 936 approach. *Europace* 2021; **23**: 469-478.
- 937 [73] Ganesan AN, Kuklik P, Lau DH, Brooks AG, Baumert M, Lim WW, et al. Bipolar
- 938 Electrogram Shannon Entropy at Sites of Rotational Activation Implications for Ablation of
- 939 Atrial Fibrillation. Circ-Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2013; **6**: 48-57.

- 940 [74] Cuculich PS, Wang Y, Lindsay BD, Faddis MN, Schuessler RB, Damiano RJ, Jr., et al.
- Noninvasive characterization of epicardial activation in humans with diverse atrial fibrillation
- 942 patterns. Circulation 2010; **122**: 1364-1372.
- 943 [75] Shah AJ, Hocini M, Xhaet O, Pascale P, Roten L, Wilton SB, et al. Validation of novel
- 3-dimensional electrocardiographic mapping of atrial tachycardias by invasive mapping and
- ablation: a multicenter study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013; 62: 889-897.
- 946 [76] Ramanathan C, Ghanem RN, Jia P, Ryu K, Rudy Y. Noninvasive electrocardiographic
- imaging for cardiac electrophysiology and arrhythmia. *Nat Med* 2004; **10**: 422-428.
- 948 [77] Bear LR, LeGrice IJ, Sands GB, Lever NA, Loiselle DS, Paterson DJ, et al. How
- 949 Accurate Is Inverse Electrocardiographic Mapping? A Systematic In Vivo Evaluation. Circ
- 950 *Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018; **11**: e006108.
- 951 [78] Duchateau J, Sacher F, Pambrun T, Derval N, Chamorro-Servent J, Denis A, et al.
- 952 Performance and limitations of noninvasive cardiac activation mapping. *Heart Rhythm* 2019;
- 953 **16**: 435-442.
- 954 [79] Dubois R, Shah AJ, Hocini M, Denis A, Derval N, Cochet H, et al. Non-invasive
- 955 cardiac mapping in clinical practice: Application to the ablation of cardiac arrhythmias. J
- 956 *Electrocardiol* 2015; **48**: 966-974.
- 957 [80] Schuler S, Potyagaylo D, Dossel O. ECG Imaging of Simulated Atrial Fibrillation:
- 958 Imposing Epi-Endocardial Similarity Facilitates the Reconstruction of Transmembrane
- 959 Voltages. Comput Cardiol Conf 2017; 44.
- 960 [81] Boyle PM, Hakim JB, Zahid S, Franceschi WH, Murphy MJ, Vigmond EJ, et al.
- 961 Comparing Reentrant Drivers Predicted by Image-Based Computational Modeling and
- Mapped by Electrocardiographic Imaging in Persistent Atrial Fibrillation. Front Physiol 2018;
- 963 **9**: 414.

- 964 [82] Haissaguerre M, Hocini M, Shah AJ, Derval N, Sacher F, Jais P, et al. Noninvasive
- panoramic mapping of human atrial fibrillation mechanisms: a feasibility report. *J Cardiovasc*
- 966 *Electrophysiol* 2013; **24**: 711-717.
- 967 [83] Vijayakumar R, Vasireddi SK, Cuculich PS, Faddis MN, Rudy Y. Methodology
- 968 Considerations in Phase Mapping of Human Cardiac Arrhythmias. Circ Arrhythm
- 969 Electrophysiol 2016; 9.
- 970 [84] Coll-Font J, Dhamala J, Potyagaylo D, Schulze WH, Tate JD, Guillem MS, et al. The
- 971 Consortium for Electrocardiographic Imaging. *Comput Cardiol (2010)* 2016; **43**: 325-328.
- 972 [85] Sirish P, Li N, Timofeyev V, Zhang XD, Wang L, Yang J, et al. Molecular Mechanisms
- and New Treatment Paradigm for Atrial Fibrillation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2016; 9.
- 974 [86] Nyns ECA, Poelma RH, Volkers L, Plomp JJ, Bart CI, Kip AM, et al. An automated
- 975 hybrid bioelectronic system for autogenous restoration of sinus rhythm in atrial fibrillation. Sci
- 976 *Transl Med* 2019; **11**.
- 977 [87] Polina I, Jansen HJ, Li T, Moghtadaei M, Bohne LJ, Liu Y, et al. Loss of insulin
- 978 signaling may contribute to atrial fibrillation and atrial electrical remodeling in type 1 diabetes.
- 979 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020; **117**: 7990-8000.
- 980 [88] Hansen BJ, Zhao J, Li N, Zolotarev A, Zakharkin S, Wang Y, et al. Human Atrial
- 981 Fibrillation Drivers Resolved With Integrated Functional and Structural Imaging to Benefit
- 982 Clinical Mapping. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018; 4: 1501-1515.
- 983 [89] Boyle PM, Zghaib T, Zahid S, Ali RL, Deng D, Franceschi WH, et al. Computationally
- guided personalized targeted ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2019; **3**:
- 985 870-879.
- 986 [90] Zhao J, Hansen BJ, Wang Y, Csepe TA, Sul LV, Tang A, et al. Three-dimensional
- 987 Integrated Functional, Structural, and Computational Mapping to Define the Structural

- 988 "Fingerprints" of Heart-Specific Atrial Fibrillation Drivers in Human Heart Ex Vivo. J Am
- 989 *Heart Assoc* 2017; **6**.
- 990 [91] Lee P, Calvo CJ, Alfonso-Almazan JM, Quintanilla JG, Chorro FJ, Yan P, et al. Low-
- 991 Cost Optical Mapping Systems for Panoramic Imaging of Complex Arrhythmias and Drug-
- Action in Translational Heart Models. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 43217.
- 993 [92] Gloschat C, Aras K, Gupta S, Faye NR, Zhang H, Syunyaev RA, et al. RHYTHM: An
- Open Source Imaging Toolkit for Cardiac Panoramic Optical Mapping. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 2921.
- 995 [93] Kappadan V, Telele S, Uzelac I, Fenton F, Parlitz U, Luther S, et al. High-Resolution
- 996 Optical Measurement of Cardiac Restitution, Contraction, and Fibrillation Dynamics in
- 997 Beating vs. Blebbistatin-Uncoupled Isolated Rabbit Hearts. Front Physiol 2020; 11: 464.
- 998 [94] Lee S, Sahadevan J, Khrestian CM, Markowitz A, Waldo AL. Characterization of Foci
- and Breakthrough Sites During Persistent and Long-Standing Persistent Atrial Fibrillation in
- 1000 Patients: Studies Using High-Density (510-512 Electrodes) Biatrial Epicardial Mapping. J Am
- 1001 *Heart Assoc* 2017; **6**.
- 1002 [95] Teuwen CP, Yaksh A, Lanters EA, Kik C, van der Does LJ, Knops P, et al. Relevance
- of Conduction Disorders in Bachmann's Bundle During Sinus Rhythm in Humans. Circ
- 1004 Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2016; 9: e003972.
- 1005 [96] Hindricks G, Taborsky M, Glikson M, Heinrich U, Schumacher B, Katz A, et al.
- 1006 Implant-based multiparameter telemonitoring of patients with heart failure (IN-TIME): a
- randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2014; **384**: 583-590.
- 1008 [97] Kohno R, Abe H, Oginosawa Y, Tamura M, Takeuchi M, Nagatomo T, et al. Reliability
- and characteristics of atrial tachyarrhythmias detection in dual chamber pacemakers. Circ J
- 1010 2011; **75**: 1090-1097.
- 1011 [98] Sanna T, Diener HC, Passman RS, Di Lazzaro V, Bernstein RA, Morillo CA, et al.
- 1012 Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**: 2478-2486.

- 1013 [99] Hanke T, Charitos EI, Stierle U, Karluss A, Kraatz E, Graf B, et al. Twenty-four-hour
- 1014 holter monitor follow-up does not provide accurate heart rhythm status after surgical atrial
- fibrillation ablation therapy: up to 12 months experience with a novel permanently implantable
- heart rhythm monitor device. Circulation 2009; **120**: S177-184.
- 1017 [100] Verma A, Champagne J, Sapp J, Essebag V, Novak P, Skanes A, et al. Discerning the
- 1018 incidence of symptomatic and asymptomatic episodes of atrial fibrillation before and after
- catheter ablation (DISCERN AF): a prospective, multicenter study. JAMA Intern Med 2013;
- 1020 **173**: 149-156.
- 1021 [101] Kapa S, Epstein AE, Callans DJ, Garcia FC, Lin D, Bala R, et al. Assessing arrhythmia
- burden after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using an implantable loop recorder: the
- ABACUS study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013; **24**: 875-881.
- 1024 [102] Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Corbucci G, Artyomenko S, Turov A, Shirokova N, et al.
- Use of an implantable monitor to detect arrhythmia recurrences and select patients for early
- repeat catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: a pilot study. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2011;
- 1027 **4**: 823-831.
- 1028 [103] Platonov PG, Stridh M, de Melis M, Urban L, Carlson J, Corbucci G, et al. Analysis of
- 1029 atrial fibrillatory rate during spontaneous episodes of atrial fibrillation in humans using
- implantable loop recorder electrocardiogram. *J Electrocardiol* 2012; **45**: 723-726.
- 1031 [104] Hindricks G, Pokushalov E, Urban L, Taborsky M, Kuck KH, Lebedev D, et al.
- Performance of a new leadless implantable cardiac monitor in detecting and quantifying atrial
- fibrillation: Results of the XPECT trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2010; 3: 141-147.
- 1034 [105] Nolker G, Mayer J, Boldt LH, Seidl K, V VAND, Massa T, et al. Performance of an
- 1035 Implantable Cardiac Monitor to Detect Atrial Fibrillation: Results of the DETECT AF Study.
- 1036 *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2016; **27**: 1403-1410.

- 1037 [106] Purerfellner H, Pokushalov E, Sarkar S, Koehler J, Zhou R, Urban L, et al. P-wave
- evidence as a method for improving algorithm to detect atrial fibrillation in insertable cardiac
- 1039 monitors. *Heart Rhythm* 2014; **11**: 1575-1583.
- 1040 [107] Sanders P, Purerfellner H, Pokushalov E, Sarkar S, Di Bacco M, Maus B, et al.
- Performance of a new atrial fibrillation detection algorithm in a miniaturized insertable cardiac
- monitor: Results from the Reveal LINQ Usability Study. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; **13**: 1425-1430.
- 1043 [108] Mariani JA, Weerasooriya R, van den Brink O, Mohamed U, Gould PA, Pathak RK, et
- al. Miniaturized implantable cardiac monitor with a long sensing vector (BIOMONITOR III):
- 1045 Insertion procedure assessment, sensing performance, and home monitoring transmission
- 1046 success. *J Electrocardiol* 2020; **60**: 118-125.
- 1047 [109] Purerfellner H, Sanders P, Sarkar S, Reisfeld E, Reiland J, Koehler J, et al. Adapting
- detection sensitivity based on evidence of irregular sinus arrhythmia to improve atrial
- fibrillation detection in insertable cardiac monitors. *Europace* 2018; **20**: f321-f328.
- 1050 [110] Ciconte G, Saviano M, Giannelli L, Calovic Z, Baldi M, Ciaccio C, et al. Atrial
- 1051 fibrillation detection using a novel three-vector cardiac implantable monitor: the atrial
- 1052 fibrillation detect study. *Europace* 2017; **19**: 1101-1108.
- 1053 [111] Schilling C, Keller M, Scherr D, Oesterlein T, Haissaguerre M, Schmitt C, et al. Fuzzy
- decision tree to classify complex fractionated atrial electrograms. *Biomed Tech (Berl)* 2015;
- 1055 **60**: 245-255.
- 1056 [112] Reich C, Oesterlein, T., Rottmann M, Seemann, Doessel O. Classification of cardiac
- excitation patterns during atrial fibrillation. Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering
- 1058 2016; **2**: 161/166.
- 1059 [113] Attia ZI, Noseworthy PA, Lopez-Jimenez F, Asirvatham SJ, Deshmukh AJ, Gersh BJ,
- et al. An artificial intelligence-enabled ECG algorithm for the identification of patients with

- atrial fibrillation during sinus rhythm: a retrospective analysis of outcome prediction. Lancet
- 1062 2019; **394**: 861-867.
- 1063 [114] Mairesse GH, Moran P, Van Gelder IC, Elsner C, Rosenqvist M, Mant J, et al.
- Screening for atrial fibrillation: a European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) consensus
- document endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society
- 1066 (APHRS), and Sociedad Latinoamericana de Estimulacion Cardiaca y Electrofisiologia
- 1067 (SOLAECE). Europace 2017; **19**: 1589-1623.
- 1068 [115] Varma N, Cygankiewicz I, Turakhia MP, Heidbuchel H, Hu YF, Chen LY, et al. 2021
- 1069 ISHNE/HRS/EHRA/APHRS Expert Collaborative Statement on mHealth in Arrhythmia
- Management: Digital Medical Tools for Heart Rhythm Professionals: From the International
- 1071 Society for Holter and Noninvasive Electrocardiology/Heart Rhythm Society/European Heart
- 1072 Rhythm Association/Asia-Pacific Heart Rhythm Society. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2021;
- 1073 **14**: e009204.
- 1074 [116] Budzianowski J, Hiczkiewicz J, Burchardt P, Pieszko K, Rzezniczak J, Budzianowski
- 1075 P, et al. Predictors of atrial fibrillation early recurrence following cryoballoon ablation of
- pulmonary veins using statistical assessment and machine learning algorithms. *Heart Vessels*
- 1077 2019; **34**: 352-359.
- 1078 [117] Furui K, Morishima I, Morita Y, Kanzaki Y, Takagi K, Yoshida R, et al. Predicting
- 1079 long-term freedom from atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation by a machine learning
- algorithm: Validation of the CAAP-AF score. *J Arrhythm* 2020; **36**: 297-303.
- 1081 [118] Hung M, Hon ES, Lauren E, Xu J, Judd G, Su W. Machine Learning Approach to
- 1082 Predict Risk of 90-Day Hospital Readmissions in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Implications
- 1083 for Quality Improvement in Healthcare. Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol 2020; 7:
- 1084 2333392820961887.

1085 [119] Hung M, Lauren E, Hon E, Xu J, Ruiz-Negron B, Rosales M, et al. Using Machine 1086 Learning to Predict 30-Day Hospital Readmissions in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation 1087 Undergoing Catheter Ablation. J Pers Med 2020; 10. 1088 [120] Li W, Lipsky MS, Hon ES, Su W, Su S, He Y, et al. Predicting all-cause 90-day hospital 1089 readmission for dental patients using machine learning methods. BDJ Open 2021; 7: 1. 1090 [121] Alhusseini MI, Abuzaid F, Rogers AJ, Zaman JAB, Baykaner T, Clopton P, et al. Machine Learning to Classify Intracardiac Electrical Patterns During Atrial Fibrillation: 1091 1092 Machine Learning of Atrial Fibrillation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2020; 13: e008160. 1093 [122] Shade JK, Ali RL, Basile D, Popescu D, Akhtar T, Marine JE, et al. Preprocedure 1094 Application of Machine Learning and Mechanistic Simulations Predicts Likelihood of 1095 Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation Recurrence Following Pulmonary Vein Isolation. Circ Arrhythm 1096 Electrophysiol 2020; 13: e008213.