

Citation: Wood MJ, Canonne C, Besnard A, Lachish S, Fairhurst SM, Liedvogel M, et al. (2021) Demographic profiles and environmental drivers of variation relate to individual breeding state in a long-lived trans-oceanic migratory seabird, the Manx shearwater. PLoS ONE 16(12): e0260812. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260812

Editor: Vitor Hugo Rodrigues Paiva, MARE – Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, PORTUGAL

Received: February 2, 2021

Accepted: November 17, 2021

Published: December 16, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Wood et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available on request for non-commercial use via the University of Gloucestershire Research Repository (<u>https://</u> doi.org/10.46289/MADA7435</u>). All reasonable requests will be considered - there will be no restrictions on academic use of the data. We are unable to share the data publicly without restriction, due to potential commercial interests from energy developers with interests in the seas around the study site. We would give proper **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Demographic profiles and environmental drivers of variation relate to individual breeding state in a long-lived trans-oceanic migratory seabird, the Manx shearwater

Matt J. Wood^{1*}, Coline Canonne², Aurélien Besnard², Shelly Lachish^{3¤a}, Stace M. Fairhurst^{1¤b}, Miriam Liedvogel^{3¤c¤d}, Dave Boyle³, Samantha C. Patrick^{1¤e}, Simon Josey⁴, Holly Kirk^{5¤f}, Ben Dean⁵, Tim Guilford⁵, Robin M. McCleery³, Chris M. Perrins³, Cat Horswill^{6,7,8}

 School of Natural & Social Sciences, University of Gloucestershire, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, 2 CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE-PSL University, IRD, Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, Montpellier, France,
Edward Grey Institute, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 4 National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, 5 Oxford Navigation Group, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 6 ZSL Institute of Zoology, London, United Kingdom, 7 Centre for Biodiversity and Environmental Research, Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, United Kingdom, 8 Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

¤a Current address: Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
¤b Current address: School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
¤c Current address: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, AG Behavioural Genomics, Plön, Germany

¤d Current address: Institute of Avian Research, Wilhelmshaven, Germany

¤e Current address: Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, Liverpool, United Kingdom

¤f Current address: Centre for Urban Research, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

* mjwood@glos.ac.uk

Abstract

Understanding the points in a species breeding cycle when they are most vulnerable to environmental fluctuations is key to understanding interannual demography and guiding effective conservation and management. Seabirds represent one of the most threatened groups of birds in the world, and climate change and severe weather is a prominent and increasing threat to this group. We used a multi-state capture-recapture model to examine how the demographic rates of a long-lived trans-oceanic migrant seabird, the Manx shearwater Puffinus puffinus, are influenced by environmental conditions experienced at different stages of the annual breeding cycle and whether these relationships vary with an individual's breeding state in the previous year (i.e., successful breeder, failed breeder and non-breeder). Our results imply that populations of Manx shearwaters are comprised of individuals with different demographic profiles, whereby more successful reproduction is associated with higher rates of survival and breeding propensity. However, we found that all birds experienced the same negative relationship between rates of survival and wind force during the breeding season, indicating a cost of reproduction (or central place constraint for non-breeders) during years with severe weather conditions. We also found that environmental effects differentially influence the breeding propensity of individuals in different breeding states. This

consideration to reasonable data requests for commercial use.

Funding: The UK's Joint Nature Conservation Committee provided a partial funding contribution from the Seabird Monitoring Programme to CP for seabird monitoring on Skomer Island. The University of Gloucestershire's Environmental Dynamics and Governance RPA provided financial support to MW and SL. TG's research on Skomer is supported by the Mary Griffiths award. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

suggests individual spatio-temporal variation in habitat use during the annual cycle, such that climate change could alter the frequency that individuals with different demographic profiles breed thereby driving a complex and less predictable population response. More broadly, our study highlights the importance of considering individual-level factors when examining population demography and predicting how species may respond to climate change.

Introduction

As global climate change continues [1, 2], reliably predicting how species and populations are likely to respond becomes a key conservation priority. In wide-ranging and migratory species, such as seabirds, vulnerability to climate change may increase at different points during the breeding cycle. Seabirds as a group are also highly threatened by climate change, as well as severe weather events [3, 4]. Consequently, understanding how climate variables in the breeding and non-breeding foraging areas influence the demography of these species represents an important step in predicting how they are likely to respond.

Identifying environmental drivers of demography typically requires a strong understanding of a species habitat use. This is complicated in seabirds because they are unobservable throughout much of their annual cycle, most obviously during the non-breeding season. As a result, many studies investigating associations between environmental variation and seabird demography use large-scale climatic and oceanographic indices, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (for example see references in [5, 6]). These large-scale climate indices can be advantageous when dealing with wide-ranging species because they capture variation in local weather conditions over large spatial scales [7]. However, individuals respond principally to local conditions, and therefore information on local variables is also typically needed to understand the direct and indirect mechanisms linking large-scale climate indices to an ecological response, such as demographic change [7].

The use of biologging devices to track individual movement in seabirds is now widespread, and the wide range of devices now available means that it is possible to track individuals throughout the year to ascertain habitat use during different phases of the annual cycle [8]. Studies using these data to extract local weather conditions at key phases of the annual cycle and relate them to demography are also now increasing (e.g. [9]). However, seabird movement studies have also shown that an individual's breeding performance or status can affects its for-aging range, for example failed and non-breeders are relieved of restricted central place constraints associated with chick provisioning and leave the colony earlier than successful breeders [10–12]. Individual differences in the timings of migration could therefore generate spatio-temporal variation in exposure to local weather conditions within a population, thereby bolstering or hindering subsets of individuals and altering interannual demography, as well as population composition [13–16].

In this study, we examine whether individual differences in breeding state may alter spatiotemporal exposure to local weather conditions and generate heterogeneity in the demography of a trans-Atlantic migrant seabird, the Manx shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*. To do this, we use key areas of breeding and non-breeding habitat use for a population of Manx Shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island, Wales, UK, to extract local- and large-scale climate variables at relevant spatial and temporal scales. The year-round distribution of this population, including summer breeding season in the Irish Sea and wintering grounds off the coast of Argentina and



Fig 1. Key foraging areas used by Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island, during the (a) breeding and (b) non-breeding season. Grey shading represents 90 and 95% kernel densities calculated from tracking of more than 100 individuals at an adjacent study plot on Skomer Island [17, 18], 50m from the Isthmus study plot. Black squares show the area used to extract localised environmental variables.

Uruguay has been characterised using remote sensing technology (Fig 1; [17, 18]). We then used a multi-state capture-recapture model to identify climate drivers of survival, breeding propensity and breeding success, and test whether these relationships relate to an individual's breeding status in the previous year (i.e., successful breeder, failed breeder and non-breeder).

Methods

Study species and site

Manx shearwaters are medium-sized (ca. 400g) long-lived pelagic seabirds with major colonies occurring on the Atlantic coast of the UK and Ireland [19]. Birds breed during the boreal summer, arriving at breeding colonies between late February and early March. Breeding pairs are socially monogamous, nesting in burrows excavated from the earth. Eggs are laid in early May and incubated for ca. 50 days, with chicks fledging ca. 70 days later between mid-August and late September [20]. Manx shearwaters are nocturnal at their breeding colonies, returning exclusively at night [20]. Outside of the breeding season, birds breeding at colonies in the UK share wintering grounds in the South-West Atlantic [18].

Skomer Island, Wales, UK, is estimated to support 350,000 breeding pairs of Manx shearwaters, representing approximately 42% and 39% of the UK and global breeding populations, respectively [21]. Demographic monitoring of Manx shearwaters at Skomer Island began in 1977 with a capture-recapture program to estimate apparent adult survival. Individual breeding status was then added to this program in 1993. Around 100 nest burrows are monitored annually in a study plot on The Isthmus (51.7368 N, 5.2808 W), a narrow strip of land (ca. 20m x 100m) that joins the two larger parts of Skomer island. Study burrows are marked and followed in subsequent years for as long as they are occupied, with 10–15 new study burrows added annually. The study plot was chosen to minimise the loss of ringed individuals from the study population due to small-scale nest burrow movements. The recapture rates of birds do not differ significantly between the centre and edge of the Isthmus study plot, indicating that local breeding dispersal is infrequent [22]. Although larger-scale movements cannot be discounted, which might bias survival estimates, no inter-colony movements of breeding adults to or from Skomer and its neighbouring islands have been detected. The Isthmus study plot is a tiny fraction of the wider island population [21], therefore very few birds ringed as chicks are recaptured as local recruits to the study plot.

Breeding Manx shearwaters are ringed in the Isthmus study plot on Skomer Island under licence using hard-metal British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) rings. Ringing and re-sighting events are derived from two activities. Firstly, in mid to late April, adult birds on the surface of the colony are captured at night by hand to recapture previously ringed birds. Secondly, burrows in the study area are checked approximately weekly during the early incubation stage, i.e., from late May to early June, until the identity of both breeding birds and the presence of an egg is recorded. The individual sex of birds is unknown and therefore not included in our study. Empty burrows in the study area continue to be checked throughout incubation for late breeders, or the presence of non-breeding birds. Checks for hatched chicks are conducted weekly in all occupied burrows from late June to early July and continue until a chick is found to be present or the egg is deemed unviable (i.e., an egg found cold or of very low weight, and with no incubating adult on successive burrow checks during the incubation period). Each monitored breeding event is recorded to have one of three outcomes: 1) successful breeding (SB), defined by the presence of a large, well feathered chick in the study burrow in mid-August that is highly likely to fledge successfully within the next 4–6 weeks as late chick mortality is minimal [20]; 2) failed breeding (FB), defined as an egg laid (breeding attempt) that does not result in a successfully-fledged chick; and 3) non-breeding (NB), when a burrow is occupied by a pair of shearwaters but no breeding attempt is made (i.e., no egg is laid). Adult birds enter the study population in any one of these breeding states (SB, FB or NB) at an unknown age.

Environmental covariates. We used key foraging areas identified for Manx Shearwaters during the breeding and non-breeding season (Fig 1; [17, 18]) to select environmental covariates representing local- and large-scale climatic variation experienced over the annual cycle. Climate variables and time-lags were selected in conjunction with demographic studies on other seabirds and based on a priori expectations of their potential impact on the foraging behaviour and population ecology of Manx shearwaters (Table 1). To obtain climate variables at a relevant temporal scale, we calculated mean values for periods when shearwaters are known to be present in breeding or over-wintering areas (Table 1). To estimate these values at a relevant spatial scale, we extracted data using one-degree squares of latitude and longitude from the centre of the breeding and non-breeding foraging areas (Fig 1). Here, the proposed mechanism is that conditions during the summer breeding season directly influence breeding propensity and success in a given year, as well as indirectly influencing survival during the subsequent winter through carry-over effects. For the variables describing the non-breeding season, the proposed mechanism is that conditions directly influence overwinter survival in a given year, and indirectly influence the subsequent breeding propensity and success through carry-over effects.

Location	Variable	Time period	Interpretation				
North	N.SST	February-March	Indirect effects on prey availability during the breeding season via zooplankton density, additionally lagged to account for delayed effects on larger prey (1-2yrs)				
Atlantic	N.SST _{lag1}						
	N.SST _{lag2}						
	N.Wind	May-August	Direct flight and foraging costs during the breeding season associated with high winds				
	Summer NAO	May-August	Direct effects during the breeding season associated with adverse weather/poor conditions				
	Winter NAO	December- March	Indirect effects during the breeding season via prey recruitment (see N.SST)				
	Winter NAO _{lag1}	December- March					
	Winter NAO _{lag2}	December- March					
South Atlantic	S.SST S.SST _{lag1}	November- February	Indirect effects on prey availability during the non-breeding season via zooplankton density, additionally lagged to account for delayed effects on larger prey (1-2yrs)				
	S.SST _{lag2}						
	S.Wind]	Direct flight and foraging costs during the non-breeding season associated with high winds				
	SOI		Direct effects during the non-breeding season due to adverse weather/poor conditions				

Table 1.	Climatic	variables	considered	for	association	with	Manx	shear	water	demog	raph	y
----------	----------	-----------	------------	-----	-------------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	------	---

Sea surface temperatures (SST) at various time lags have been associated with food supply, adult survival and breeding success in multiple seabird populations [23-28]. We included SST in the breeding and non-breeding areas for the current year to reflect proximate effects on plankton productivity. We also included SST with one and two-year lags to reflect the time taken for environmental effects to cascade through trophic levels to seabird prey species—field observation of regurgitated stomach contents indicates that the diet of breeding Manx shearwaters predominantly consists of 8-15cm juvenile clupeid fish that are likely to be 1 to 2 years old (M. Brooke, B. Dean, H. Kirk, O. Padget, T. Guilford & C. Perrins pers. comms.). Seasonal mean wind speed was calculated as the hypotenuse of mean northerly and mean easterly wind speeds and used to reflect wind patterns in the breeding and non-breeding areas. SST and wind data were calculated from monthly averages available from NOAA's NCEP-NCAR CDAS-1 Reanalysis data set http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.NOAA/. NCEP-NCAR/.CDAS-1/.MONTHLY/. Finally, to capture climatic variation at large spatial scales, we included two climatic indices identified as influential to seabird demography in the UK and South Atlantic [15, 29, 30]; the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) [31] and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) [32], respectively. North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) measures the magnitude of the difference between low pressure systems over Iceland and high pressure over the Azores, with higher values associated with stronger westerly air flows, cooler wetter summers and milder wetter winters with more frequent and stronger storms in the North Atlantic [31]. By contrast, negative values of SOI are associated with El Niño phases of the oscillation, increased precipitation and more frequent and stronger storms in the South-West Atlantic [33]. Values of NOA and SOI were extracted from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center as monthly averaged data http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/climateindices/list/.

Multi-state capture-recapture models

We constructed multi-state capture-recapture models [34] using program E-SURGE v2.2.3 [35]. We used these models to jointly estimate annual apparent survival, breeding propensity



Fig 2. Schematic of the multi-state model structure used to estimate survival (ϕ), breeding propensity (ψ) and breeding success (ω) of Manx shearwaters between 1993 and 2019 on Skomer Island. At the first occasion, birds can be observed in one of three breeding states (r) in the model: non breeder (NB), failed breeder (FB) or successful breeder (SB). The model then describes the transition between states from year t to t + 1 as three conditional steps. The first step estimates the probability of an individual in a given state (r = NB, FB or SB) surviving from year t to t + 1 (ϕ_{t}^{r}). The second step estimates the probability of surviving birds attempting to breed (B) in year t + 1, given their breeding state in year t (ψ_{t}^{r}). Finally, the third step estimates the probability that birds attempting to breed in year t + 1 successfully fledge a chick, given their breeding state in year t (ω_{t}^{r}).

and breeding success, as well as perform model selection and evaluate the influence of environmental covariates on each demographic process.

The intensive monitoring of breeding attempts for Manx shearwaters at Skomer Island means that there is no uncertainty in the individual breeding states assigned annually to birds: non-breeders (NB), failed breeders (FB), and successful breeders (SB). To estimate the probability of transitioning from one state to another between years, we incorporated three conditional steps into the multi-state capture-recapture model (Fig 2). In the first step, we estimated the probability of an individual in a given state (r = NB, FB or SB) surviving from year t to t + 1 (ϕ_t^r). In the second step, we estimated the probability of a surviving bird attempting to breed in year t + 1, given its state during the previous year (ψ_t^r). Finally, in the third step we estimated the probability of a breeding bird in year t + 1 successfully raising a chick to fledging, given its state during the previous year (ω_t^r).

The starting multi-state model was constructed to include interactions between state and time for survival, breeding propensity and breeding success, as well as additive effects of state and time for detection probabilities. We performed multistate goodness-of-fit tests (GOF) on this model using UCARE v3.3.0 software, including transience (i.e. a lower probability of subsequent recapture of birds marked for the first time, compared to others; [36]) and trap dependence (i.e. where recapture probability is dependent on whether an individual was captured at the previous time step; [37, 38]). The GOF test revealed the presence of trap-dependence in the dataset (trap-happiness; Test M.ITEC: $\chi^2 = 186.728 \text{ df} = 45$, P<0.001), most likely reflecting the behaviour of field observers returning to previously occupied burrows. We accounted for this lack-of-fit by employing a model structure that explicitly accounts for trap-dependence in recapture rates [38]. Remaining lack-of-fit was accounted for by using a variance inflation

factor for conservative model selection, calculated as the ratio of the sum of χ 2-statistics to the sum of degrees of freedom for the remaining components of the GOF test: $\hat{c} = 499/444 = 1.12$ [37].

To simplify the starting multi-state model, we used a sequential backward model selection procedure where all candidate models were nested within the starting model. In the first step, we identified the most parsimonious structure for describing variation in recapture rates. Then, with this structure included, we identified the most parsimonious structure for describing breeding propensity, breeding success, and finally, survival. The full model selection procedure is shown in <u>S1 Table</u>. For all model selection comparisons, the most parsimonious model was selected using the second-order Akaike Information Criterion adjusted for overdispersion (QAICc) [<u>35</u>, <u>39</u>]. Models with a difference of less than two QAICc units were considered equivalent in their ability to describe the data [<u>40</u>].

In the final step of the analysis, we first identified the most influential climate covariate to each demographic process. Other covariates were then fitted alongside this and retained if they significantly improved the deviance explained by the model. To avoid collinearity amongst climate covariates, the second covariates tested were only those with low collinearity to the retained first covariate. We used the results of the backward model selection to determine if covariates should be considered as additive or interactive between state. We measured the statistical support for each covariate, R^2_DEV , as $[DEV(Model.)-DEV(Model_{cov})/DEV (Model.)-DEV(Model_t)]$ where DEV was the deviance for models with constant (.), covariate (cov) and total temporal (t) variation [41]. When measuring the support for including a second covariate, the model including the covariate selected during the previous step was used as the constant model. A covariate explaining more than 20% of the remaining deviance was taken to be significantly influential [42]. All covariates were centred and standardised before inclusion in models. To ensure convergence of models on the global minima, models were run using repeated random initial values ('multiple random' option with N = 8; 55).

Results

The dataset contained 1146 birds ringed as adults with 5028 captures between 1993 and 2019. Observations of non-breeding birds represented only 5.7% of all captures. By contrast, capture events were more balanced between the remaining two breeding states: 51.9% for successful breeders and 42.4% for failed breeding attempts. In the manuscript, we focus on reporting environmental covariates identified as significantly influential to survival, breeding propensity and breeding success (i.e., $R^2_DEV \ge 0.20$), however several other covariates achieved an R^2_DEV between 0.10 and 0.20 indicating potential influence. Full results are presented in S2 Table.

Survival

Model selection identified that state-specific survival rates of Manx shearwaters demonstrated very low temporal variation over the course of the study. For example, the model with constant state-specific survival, ϕ (NBFB + SB), was better supported than the model with time-varying survival, ϕ (NBFB + SB + t), (Δ QAICc = 2.10). Here, the mean values of survival differed between states, whereby successful breeders had a survival rate approximately 10% higher than failed breeders and non-breeders (ϕ ^{SB} = 0.94, 95% CI 0.92–0.95; ϕ ^{NB&FB} = 0.86, 95% CI 0.85–0.88, S1 Table). Furthermore, although temporal variation in rates of apparent survival was low (SD_{NB&FB} = 0.06, and SD_{SB} = 0.03), the step-up procedure identified that average wind force during the breeding season explained 23% of this variation

Parameter	Models	K	Dev	QAICc	ΔQAICc	R ² _DEV
ϕ	NBFB + SB	138	17182.65	15625.49	0.00	
	NBFB + SB + t	163	17145.80	15645.74	20.25	
	NBFB + SB + t*N_wind	139	17174.25	15620.11	-5.38	0.23
ψ^{SB}	$NB^{*}t + FB^{*}t + SB$	138	17189.60	15631.70	0.00	
	$NB^{*}t + FB^{*}t + SB^{*}t$	163	17145.80	15645.74	14.04	
	$NB^{*}t + FB^{*}t + SB^{*}t^{*}wNAO_{lag2}$	139	17173.38	15619.33	-12.37	0.37

Table 2. Step-up ANODEV selection procedure to identify climate covariates that significantly improve the amount of deviance explained by the multi-state capture-recapture model describing rates of survival (ϕ), breeding propensity (ψ) and breeding success (ω) of Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island 1993–2019.

 R^2 _DEV gives the estimated explanatory power of the climate variable to explain temporal variation in the specified rate. Only significant variables are shown, i.e., R^2 _DEV ≥ 0.20 , (42). We used the results of the backward model selection to describe recapture probabilities and determine if covariates should be considered as additive or interactive between state (S1 Table). Δ QAIC_c gives the difference in QAIC_c between the model with the covariate effect and a model in which the demographic rate involved was state-dependent but time-invariant (i.e. the first line model of each box). See Table 1 for description of climate variables (N_wind and wNAO_{lag2}). Time (t) is either additive (+) or interactive (*), and birds are considered in one of three states each year: non breeder (NB), failed breeder (FB) or successful breeder (SB).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260812.t002

(Table 2). Here, higher winds were associated with lower survival rates in successful breeders, as well as failed and non-breeders (Fig 3).

Breeding propensity

Breeding propensity was strongly related to breeding state in the previous year. The mean probability of non-breeders resuming breeding was low ($\psi^{NB} = 0.21, 95\%$ CI 0.18–0.24). By contrast, the mean probability of failed and successful breeders attempting to breed in successive years was considerably higher ($\psi^{SB} = 0.87, 95\%$ CI 0.84–0.89; $\psi^{FB} = 0.70, 95\%$ CI 0.67–0.73). Significant annual variation in breeding propensity was only identified in successful breeding and non-breeding birds (S1 Table, SD_{SB} = 0.16; SD_{NB} = 0.14; SD_{FB} = 0.12). The step-up procedure identified that breeding propensity in successful breeders decreased at higher values of winter North Atlantic Oscillation with a 2-year lag, whereby 37% of temporal variation in the breeding propensity of successful breeders was explained by this variable (Fig 4, Table 2). However, none of the tested environmental covariates had a significant effect on breeding propensity in non-breeding area explained the highest amount of deviance for failed breeders (R²_DEV = 0.14, S2 Table), and wind speed in the wintering area explained the highest amount of deviance for non-breeding birds (R²_DEV = 0.18, S2 Table).

Breeding success

Mean estimates of breeding success in year *t* were equivalent for birds with failed or nonbreeding status in year t-1 ($\omega_{FB} = \omega_{NB} = 0.56$, 95% CI 0.53–0.59). By contrast, breeding success was 12.5% higher for birds that were successful breeders in year t-1 ($\omega_{SB} = 0.64$, 95% CI 0.62–0.67). Model selection indicated that temporal variation in breeding success was best described as additive with time across states. In other words, individuals in the three states experienced synchronous annual breeding success (S1 Table). However, despite strong temporal variation in breeding success (SD = 0.16), none of the tested environmental variables were identified as significantly influential. From the climatic variables considered, winter NAO values the previous year explained the highest amount of deviance (R²_DEV = 0.09, S2 Table).



Fig 3. Apparent survival rates of adult Manx shearwaters decreased with increasing average wind speeds in the breeding foraging areas. Successful breeding (black line, dark grey shading shows 95% CI); grouped failed breeding and non-breeding birds (dotted line light grey shading shows 95% CI).

Detection

Recapture rates were additive between states and considerably higher for trap-aware breeding individuals ($p^{SB} = 0.97, 95\%$ CI 0.92–0.99; $p^{FB} = 0.94, 95\%$ CI 0.86–0.98), compared to trap-aware non-breeding individuals ($p^{NB} = 0.11, 95\%$ CI 0.09–0.14; S1 Table). Annual variation in recapture rates was low (p_a^{SB} SD = 0.03, p_a^{NB} SD = 0.05, p_a^{FB} SD = 0.02; p_a^{SB} SD = 0.06; p_a^{NB} SD = 0.02, p_a^{FB} SD = 0.05; whereby subscript *a* and *u* refers to trap-aware and trap-unaware birds, respectively).





Discussion

In this study, we examine whether individual differences in breeding state may alter spatiotemporal exposure to weather conditions and generate heterogeneity in the demography of a trans-Atlantic migrant seabird, the Manx shearwater. We find that demographic profiles vary between individuals, whereby more successful reproduction is associated with higher rates of survival and breeding propensity. We also show that environmental effects can differentially influence individuals in different breeding states (i.e., non-breeder, failed breeder or successful breeder), such that environmental fluctuations and climate change could alter the breeding frequency of individuals with different demographic profiles, thereby driving a complex and less predictable population response.

1. Demographic profiles

We identified heterogeneity in the demographic profiles of Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island. Birds that successfully raised a chick in the previous year were 10% more likely to survive to the subsequent year, at least 20% more likely to breed in that year and 12.5% more likely to successfully raise another chick to fledging. For the successfully breeding birds, this demographic pattern indicates that at the population level Manx shearwaters generally do not experience fitness costs associated with reproduction. This pattern is reported in other seabirds and long-lived species [43, 44], although is not ubiquitous [45]. We also found that non-breeders had a higher probability of remaining as non-breeders in subsequent years, compared to breeders. This demographic profile has been previously considered to represent individuals of lower intrinsic "quality" [46]. However, an alternative explanation is that populations are heterogenous and comprised of individuals that exist along a continuum of quantitative traits [47, 48].

A previous capture-recapture study on Manx shearwaters breeding in a separate subplot on Skomer Island reports that non-breeding birds were less likely to fail or skip breeding in the following year, compared to successful breeders [49]. This suggests that non-breeding Manx shearwaters are employing an adaptive 'gap year' to recover and increase future breeding potential, as opposed to being in a 'non-breeding trap' as indicated by our study. In contrast to our study, birds selected for the previous analysis all entered the study as successful breeders [49], thus potentially creating an unrepresentative sample lacking the regular non-breeders we find in our study population. Differences in study plot locations may also explain distinctions in the resulting demographic rates. The Isthmus study plot used in our study is fringed on two sides by coastal cliff-edge containing many burrows of Atlantic Puffins Fratercula arctica, with potential for interspecies competition for nesting burrows [50]. By contrast, the study plot used in the previous study has a lower density of nesting puffins [49]. Studies of competition between shearwaters and puffins on Skomer Island find equal rates of burrow displacement between these species, so it remains unclear whether direct competition with puffins may be influencing the different strategies reported. A repeat of Ashcroft's [51] work might be insightful here, as the puffin population has increased markedly since the 1970s [52]. No correlation between breeding success and distance to cliff edge has been detected in the Isthmus study population [22], however, non-breeding shearwaters may find it difficult to defend a nest burrow and choose to disperse out of the study area, potentially biasing our estimation of rates of survival for non-breeders as well as their transition rates from non-breeder back to breeder. However, the estimated rates of survival and breeding success for birds identified as nonbreeders in the previous year were identical to birds identified as being failed breeders suggesting either that biases were minimal, or failed breeders were also dispersing. Increased prospecting following large-scale breeding failure has been previously reported in cliff-nesting seabirds [53], however, similar social cues are likely to be less prevalent in burrow nesting species, such as Manx shearwaters.

2. Effects of environmental covariates

We found that higher wind speeds during the breeding season decreased rates of survival during the subsequent winter. Strong wind speeds might seem ideal for birds reliant on dynamic soaring flight such as Procellariiformes [54, 55]. For example, wind is known to influence seabird flying [56–58] and transitions between different behaviours [59]. Flapping flight employed in low wind speeds is also associated with increased metabolic flying costs, compared to flying in stronger wind conditions [60]. However, flying into a headwind has a greater energetic cost compared to flying with a tailwind [61]. Severe weather events have also been linked to reduced rates of provisioning and breeding success, possibly due to difficulties foraging and locating prey [62–66]. Therefore, the identified negative relationship between seabird survival and wind speed during the breeding season may reflect the energetic costs associated with foraging in adverse conditions under central place constraint. This finding also implies that individual Manx shearwaters experience a survival cost associated with reproduction (or central place constraint for non-breeders) during years with severe wind conditions. The detection of reproductive costs only during severe environmental conditions is reported in terrestrial mammals and birds [67–71], although studies showing this in seabirds are limited.

That the same relationship between wind speed and survival was identified for birds in all breeding states suggests that birds maintain similar foraging routines during the breeding season. In agreement, the foraging distribution of black-browed albatross *Thalassarche melanophris* has been shown not to significantly differ between failed and successful breeding birds [72]. Tracking Manx shearwaters in all breeding states during the breeding season and evaluating whether activity budgets and time spent transiting to and from the colony differs between breeding states may elucidate the mechanisms linking wind speed during the breeding season to survival during the subsequent winter.

The relationship between winter NAO with a two-year lag and breeding propensity was only identified for birds that were successful breeders in the previous year. That environmental variables differentially influence the breeding propensity of birds in different breeding states (i.e., non-breeder, failed breeder or successful breeder) suggests some degree of spatio-temporal variation in habitat use between these groups at particular points in the annual cycle. Earlier time of arrival is linked to increased rates of breeding success in Australasian gannets *Morus serrator* [73], and previous studies of Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island report that an earlier breeding phenology increases breeding success [49]. Consequently, it may be that successful breeders arrive earlier at the colony to initiate breeding and experience different environmental conditions during this time. Alternatively, successful breeders may adopt different foraging strategies prior to breeding, foraging in areas that are segregated from failed and non-breeders. Further evaluation of tracking data collected from Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer island may clarify this.

In this study, we examine the potential effects of local-and large-scale environmental variation on the demography of Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island and evaluate whether relationships differentially influence individuals in different breeding states. We find that Manx shearwaters exhibit heterogenous demographic profiles, and that reproduction has an associated survival cost in years where severe wind conditions occur during the breeding season. We also show that environmental drivers of breeding propensity differ for birds that were successful breeders in the previous year, suggesting spatio-temporal variation in habitat use occurs at key points in the annual cycle. Climate change therefore not only has the potential to increase costs of reproduction and alter population-level rates of survival, but also alter the breeding frequency of individuals with different demographic profiles, thereby driving a complex and less predictable population response.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Modelling survival and reproduction parameters for Manx shearwaters captured between 1993 and 2019 on Skomer Island, Wales, UK: Elementary matrices of state-state transitions and events.

(DOCX)

S2 Fig. Estimates of annual variation in demographic rates over the study period (1993–2019).

(DOCX)

S1 Table. The backward model selection procedure for identifying the most parsimonious structure of the multi-state model for describing recapture (p), breeding probability (ψ), breeding success (ω) and survival (ϕ) probabilities of non-breeding (NB), failed breeding (FB) or successful breeding (SB) Manx shearwaters (1993–2019). (DOCX)

S2 Table. Step-up model selection procedure, ANODEV, to identify environmental covariates that significantly improve the amount of deviance explained by the model for apparent survival (ϕ), breeding probability (ψ) and breeding success (ω) of Manx shearwaters breeding on Skomer Island (1993–2019). (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This study was started by Robin McCleery, with his MSc students Karen deVries and ML, but was interrupted by Robin's untimely death in 2008. MW would like to thank CP for the opportunity (and occasional encouragement) to complete Robin's work, and the authors who joined the study as it developed into its final form. We thank The Wildlife Trust of South and West Wales for permission to conduct fieldwork, and invaluable logistical support; the many wardens, volunteers, and researchers who have assisted with long-term population study of Manx shearwaters on Skomer Island; Annette Fayet and other members of Oxford Navigation Group (OxNav) for discussions and close cooperation in the field.

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Matt J. Wood, Shelly Lachish, Miriam Liedvogel, Robin M. McCleery, Chris M. Perrins, Cat Horswill.
- **Data curation:** Matt J. Wood, Stace M. Fairhurst, Miriam Liedvogel, Dave Boyle, Simon Josey, Holly Kirk, Ben Dean, Tim Guilford, Chris M. Perrins.

Formal analysis: Matt J. Wood, Coline Canonne, Shelly Lachish, Samantha C. Patrick.

Funding acquisition: Matt J. Wood, Chris M. Perrins.

Investigation: Matt J. Wood, Shelly Lachish, Miriam Liedvogel, Dave Boyle.

Methodology: Matt J. Wood, Coline Canonne, Aurélien Besnard, Shelly Lachish, Simon Josey, Tim Guilford, Robin M. McCleery, Cat Horswill.

Project administration: Matt J. Wood, Tim Guilford, Chris M. Perrins.

Resources: Matt J. Wood.

Supervision: Matt J. Wood, Aurélien Besnard, Tim Guilford, Chris M. Perrins, Cat Horswill.

Validation: Matt J. Wood, Shelly Lachish.

Visualization: Matt J. Wood, Shelly Lachish, Holly Kirk, Ben Dean.

Writing - original draft: Matt J. Wood, Coline Canonne, Shelly Lachish, Cat Horswill.

Writing – review & editing: Matt J. Wood, Coline Canonne, Shelly Lachish, Stace M. Fairhurst, Miriam Liedvogel, Samantha C. Patrick, Simon Josey, Holly Kirk, Tim Guilford, Chris M. Perrins, Cat Horswill.

References

- Balmaseda MA, Trenberth KE, Källén E. Distinctive climate signals in reanalysis of global ocean heat content. Geophysical Research Letters. 2013; 40(9):1754–9.
- IPCC. Summary for Policymakers. In: Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., Zhou, B., editor. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK 2021.
- Croxall JP, Butchart SHM, Lascelles B, Stattersfield AJ, Sullivan B, Symes A, et al. Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: a global assessment. Bird Conservation International. 2012; 22 (1):1–34.
- Dias MP, Martin R, Pearmain EJ, Burfield IJ, Small C, Yates O, et al. Threats to seabirds: A global assessment. Biological Conservation. 2019; 237:525–37.
- Jenouvrier S. Impacts of climate change on avian populations. Global Change Biology. 2013; 19 (7):2036–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12195</u> PMID: <u>23505016</u>
- Stenseth NC, Ottersen G, Hurrell JW, Mysterud A, Lima M, Chan KS, et al. Review article. Studying climate effects on ecology through the use of climate indices: the North Atlantic Oscillation, El Niño Southern Oscillation and beyond. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences. 2003; 270(1529):2087–96. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2415 PMID: 14561270
- Forchhammer MC, Post E. Using large-scale climate indices in climate change ecology studies. Population Ecology. 2004; 46(1):1–12.
- 8. Yoda K. Advances in bio-logging techniques and their application to study navigation in wild seabirds. Advanced Robotics. 2019; 33(3–4):108–17.
- Pardo D, Forcada J, Wood AG, Tuck GN, Ireland L, Pradel R, et al. Additive effects of climate and fisheries drive ongoing declines in multiple albatross species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2017; 114(50):E10829–E37. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1618819114 PMID: 29158390
- Bogdanova MI, Daunt F, Newell M, Phillips RA, Harris MP, Wanless S. Seasonal interactions in the black-legged kittiwake, *Rissa tridactyla*: links between breeding performance and winter distribution. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences. 2011; 278(1717):2412–8. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1098/rspb.2010.2601</u> PMID: 21208952
- Catry P, Dias MP, Phillips RA, Granadeiro JP. Carry-over effects from breeding modulate the annual cycle of a long-distance migrant: an experimental demonstration. Ecology. 2013; 94(6):1230–5. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1890/12-2177.1</u> PMID: 23923482
- Desprez M, Jenouvrier S, Barbraud C, Delord K, Weimerskirch H. Linking oceanographic conditions, migratory schedules and foraging behaviour during the non-breeding season to reproductive performance in a long-lived seabird. Functional Ecology. 2018; 32(8):2040–53.
- Acker P, Daunt F, Wanless S, Burthe SJ, Newell MA, Harris MP, et al. Strong survival selection on seasonal migration versus residence induced by extreme climatic events. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2021; 90(4):796–808. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13410 PMID: 33340099
- Cubaynes S, Doherty PF, Schreiber EA, Gimenez O. To breed or not to breed: a seabird's response to extreme climatic events. Biology Letters. 2011; 7(2):303–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2010.0778</u> PMID: 20943677
- Genovart M, Sanz-Aguilar A, Fernandez-Chacon A, Igual JM, Pradel R, Forero MG, et al. Contrasting effects of climatic variability on the demography of a trans-equatorial migratory seabird. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2013; 82(1):121–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.02015.x PMID: 22823099
- Pardo D, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H. What shall I do now? State-dependent variations of life-history traits with aging in Wandering Albatrosses. Ecology and Evolution. 2014; 4(4):474–87. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ece3.882</u> PMID: 24634731
- Dean B, Freeman R, Kirk H, Leonard K, Phillips RA, Perrins CM, et al. Behavioural mapping of a pelagic seabird: combining multiple sensors and a hidden Markov model reveals the distribution of at-sea behaviour. Journal of The Royal Society Interface. 2013; 10(78). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2012.0570 PMID: 23034356

- Guilford T, Meade J, Willis J, Phillips RA, Boyle D, Roberts S, et al. Migration and stopover in a small pelagic seabird, the Manx shearwater Puffinus puffinus: insights from machine learning. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2009.
- Cramp S, Simmons KEL, editors. Handbook of the Birds of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. The Birds of the Western Palearctic. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1983.
- 20. Brooke M. The Manx shearwater: Poyser; 1990.
- Perrins C, Padget O, O'Connell M, Brown R, Büche B, Eagle G, et al. A census of breeding Manx Shearwaters on the Pembrokeshire Islands of Skomer, Skokholm and Midland in 2018. Seabird. 2019; 32:106–18.
- 22. Liedvogel M. Survival rate estimation of the Manx Shearwater. MSc thesis, University of Oxford. 2002.
- Frederiksen M, Wanless S, Harris MP, Rothery P, Wilson LJ. The role of industrial fisheries and oceanographic change in the decline of North Sea black-legged kittiwakes. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2004; 41(6):1129–39.
- Genovart M, Sanz-Aguilar A, Fernández-Chacón A, Igual JM, Pradel R, Forero MG, et al. Contrasting effects of climatic variability on the demography of a trans-equatorial migratory seabird. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2013; 82(1):121–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.02015.x PMID: 22823099
- Harris MP, Anker-Nilssen T, McCleery RH, Erikstad KE, Shaw DN, Grosbois V. Effect of wintering area and climate on the survival of adult Atlantic puffins Fratercula arctica in the eastern Atlantic. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2005; 297:283–96.
- Horswill C, Matthiopoulos J, Green JA, Meredith MP, Forcada J, Peat H, et al. Survival in macaroni penguins and the relative importance of different drivers: individual traits, predation pressure and environmental variability. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2014; 83(5):1057–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656</u>. 12229 PMID: 24846695
- Reiertsen TK, Erikstad KE, Barrett RT, Sandvik H, Yoccoz NG. Climate fluctuations and differential survival of bridled and non-bridled Common Guillemots Uria aalge. Ecosphere. 2012; 3(6).
- Sandvik H, Erikstad KE, Barrett RT, Yoccoz NG. The effect of climate on adult survival in five species of North Atlantic seabirds. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2005; 74(5):817–31.
- Crespin L, Harris MP, Lebreton JD, Frederiksen M, Wanless S. Recruitment to a seabird population depends on environmental factors and on population size. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2006; 75(1):228– 38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01035.x PMID: 16903060
- Horswill C, Ratcliffe N, Green JA, Phillips RA, Trathan PN, Matthiopoulos J. Unravelling the relative roles of top-down and bottom-up forces driving population change in an oceanic predator. Ecology. 2016; 97(8):1919–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1452 PMID: 27859185
- Hurrell JW, Kushnir Y, Visbeck M. The North Atlantic Oscillation. Science. 2001; 291(5504):603–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1058761 PMID: 11158663
- Trenberth KE. Signal versus noise in the Southern Oscillation. Monthly Weather Review. 1984; 112 (2):326–32.
- Colberg F, Reason CJC, Rodgers K. South Atlantic response to El Nino Southern Oscillation induced climate variability in an ocean general circulation model. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans. 2004; 109(C12).
- Lebreton JD, Burnham KP, Clobert J, Anderson DR. Modeling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals—a unified approach with case studies. Ecological Monographs. 1992; 62 (1):67–118.
- Choquet R. Program E-SURGE: A software application for fitting multievent models. In: Thomspon DL, Cooch EG, Conroy MJ, editors. Modelling demographic processes in marked populations. New York: Springer; 2009. p. 845–66.
- Pradel R, Hines JE, Lebreton JD, Nichols JD. Capture-recapture survival models taking account of transients. Biometrics. 1997; 53(1):60–72.
- Choquet R, Lebreton JD, Gimenez O, Reboulet AM, Pradel R. U-CARE: Utilities for performing goodness of fit tests and manipulating CApture-REcapture data. Ecography. 2009; 32(6):1071–4.
- Pradel R, Sanz-Aguilar A. Modeling Trap-Awareness and Related Phenomena in Capture-Recapture Studies. PLOS One. 2012; 7(3):e32666. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032666 PMID: 22396787
- Thomson DL, Cooch E.G., & Conroy M.J., editor. Modeling demographic processes in marked populations (Vol 3): Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2002.

- 41. Skalski JR, Hoffman A, Smith SG. Testing the significance of individual and cohort-level covariates in animal survival studies. In: Lebreton JD, North PM, editors. Marked individuals in the study of bird population. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhauser Verlag; 1993. p. 9–28.
- 42. Grosbois V, Gimenez O, Gaillard JM, Pradel R, Barbraud C, Clobert J, et al. Assessing the impact of climate variation on survival in vertebrate populations. Biological Reviews. 2008; 83(3):357–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00047.x PMID: 18715402</u>
- Lescroel A, Dugger KM, Ballard G, Ainley DG. Effects of individual quality, reproductive success and environmental variability on survival of a long-lived seabird. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2009; 78 (4):798–806. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01542.x PMID: 19302318
- Weladji RB, Loison A, Gaillard JM, Holand O, Mysterud A, Yoccoz NG, et al. Heterogeneity in individual quality overrides costs of reproduction in female reindeer. Oecologia. 2008; 156(1):237–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-0961-x PMID</u>: 18246374
- Cruz-Flores M, Pradel R, Bried J, Gonzalez-Solis J, Ramos R. Sex-specific costs of reproduction on survival in a long-lived seabird. Biology Letters. 2021; 17(3).
- Cam E, Hines JE, Monnat JY, Nichols JD, Danchin E. Are adult nonbreeders prudent parents? The Kittiwake model. Ecology. 1998; 79(8):2917–30.
- 47. Bergeron P, Baeta R, Pelletier F, Reale D, Garant D. Individual quality: tautology or biological reality? Journal of Animal Ecology. 2011; 80(2):361–4. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01770.x PMID: 21054382
- Cam E, Aubry LM, Authier M. The Conundrum of Heteroceneities in Life History Studies. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2016; 31(11):872–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.08.002 PMID: 27665020
- Shoji A, Aris-Brosou S, Culina A, Fayet A, Kirk H, Padget O, et al. Breeding phenology and winter activity predict subsequent breeding success in a trans-global migratory seabird. Biology Letters. 2015; 11 (10).
- 50. Ashcroft RE. Breeding biology and survival of Puffins: University of Oxford; 1976.
- Baker B. Extreme weather and the reproductive success of a long-lived pelagic seabird. MSc thesis, University of Gloucestershire. 2020.
- 52. Wilkie N, Zbijewska S, Piggott A, Hastie V, Wood MJ. Seabird monitoring on Skomer Island in 2019. Report to JNCC. 2019.
- Boulinier T, McCoy KD, Yoccoz NG, Gasparini J, Tveraa T. Public information affects breeding dispersal in a colonial bird: kittiwakes cue on neighbours. Biology Letters. 2008; 4(5):538–40. https://doi. org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0291 PMID: 18647711
- 54. Richardson PL. How do albatrosses fly around the world without flapping their wings? Progress in Oceanography. 2011; 88(1–4):46–58.
- Weimerskirch H, Louzao M, de Grissac S, Delord K. Changes in Wind Pattern Alter Albatross Distribution and Life-History Traits. Science. 2012; 335(6065):211–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210270</u> PMID: 22246774
- Amelineau F, Peron C, Lescroel A, Authier M, Provost P, Gremillet D. Windscape and tortuosity shape the flight costs of northern gannets. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2014; 217(6):876–85. https://doi. org/10.1242/jeb.097915 PMID: 24622894
- Gibb R, Shoji A, Fayet AL, Perrins CM, Guilford T, Freeman R. Remotely sensed wind speed predicts soaring behaviour in a wide-ranging pelagic seabird. Journal of the Royal Society Interface. 2017; 14 (132):20170262.
- Gonzalez-Solis J, Felicisimo A, Fox JW, Afanasyev V, Kolbeinsson Y, Munoz J. Influence of sea surface winds on shearwater migration detours. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2009; 391:221–30.
- Clay TA, Joo R, Weimerskirch H, Phillips RA, den Ouden O, Basille M, et al. Sex-specific effects of wind on the flight decisions of a sexually dimorphic soaring bird. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2020; 89 (8):1811–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13267 PMID: 32557603
- Furness RW, Bryant DM. Effect of wind on field metabolic rates of breeding Northern Fulmars. Ecology. 1996; 77(4):1181–8.
- Collins PM, Green JA, Elliott KH, Shaw PJA, Chivers L, Hatch SA, et al. Coping with the commute: behavioural responses to wind conditions in a foraging seabird. Journal of Avian Biology. 2020; 51(4).
- 62. Newell M, Wanless S, Harris MP, Daunt F. Effects of an extreme weather event on seabird breeding success at a North Sea colony. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2015; 532:257–68.
- Velando A, Ortega-Ruano JE, Freire J. Chick mortality in European shag *Stictocarbo aristotelis* related to food limitations during adverse weather events. Ardea. 1999; 87(1):51–9.

- Horswill C, Trathan PN, Ratcliffe N. Linking extreme interannual changes in prey availability to foraging behaviour and breeding investment in a marine predator, the macaroni penguin. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12 (9): e0184114. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184114 PMID: 28910405
- Glencross JS, Lavers JL, Woehler EJ. Breeding success of short-tailed shearwaters following extreme environmental conditions. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2021; 672:193–203.
- Lewis S, Phillips RA, Burthe SJ, Wanless S, Daunt F. Contrasting responses of male and female foraging effort to year-round wind conditions. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2015; 84(6):1490–6. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1365-2656.12419 PMID: 26283625
- 67. Festa-Bianchet M, Jorgenson JT. Selfish mothers: Reproductive expenditure and resource availability in bighorn ewes. Behavioral Ecology. 1998; 9(2):144–50.
- Laaksonen T, Korpimaki E, Hakkarainen H. Interactive effects of parental age and environmental variation on the breeding performance of Tengmalm's owls. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2002; 71(1):23–31.
- Mysterud A, Stenseth NC, Yoccoz NG, Langvatn R, Steinheim G. Nonlinear effects of large-scale climatic variability on wild and domestic herbivores. Nature. 2001; 410(6832):1096–9. https://doi.org/10. 1038/35074099 PMID: 11323672
- Mysterud A, Yoccoz NG, Stenseth NC, Langvath R. Effects of age, sex and density on body weight of Norwegian red deer: evidence of density-dependent senescence. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences. 2001; 268(1470):911–9.
- Tavecchia G, Coulson T, Morgan BJT, Pemberton JM, Pilkington JC, Gulland FMD, et al. Predictors of reproductive cost in female Soay sheep. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2005; 74(2):201–13.
- 72. Ponchon A, Cornulier T, Hedd A, Granadeiro JP, Catry P. Effect of breeding performance on the distribution and activity budgets of a predominantly resident population of black-browed albatrosses. Ecology and Evolution. 2019; 9(15):8702–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5416 PMID: 31410273
- Ismar-Rebitz SMH, Daniel C, Stephenson BM, Raubenheimer D, Millar CD, Hauber ME. Sex-specific reproductive benefits of early arrival to the breeding colony in a seabird. Wilson Journal of Ornithology. 2020; 132(1):29–38.