

### Comment on "Antiferromagnetic Potts Models"

Wang, Swendsen, and Kotecký (WSK) [1] have recently proposed an elegant Monte Carlo algorithm for simulating the antiferromagnetic  $q$ -state Potts model on a finite graph  $G$ . It goes as follows: Choose at random two distinct "colors"  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ; freeze all the spins taking values  $\neq \alpha, \beta$ , and allow the remaining spins to take value either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ . The induced model is then an antiferromagnetic Ising model, which can be updated by any legitimate algorithm (for example, the Swendsen-Wang algorithm [2] or Wolff's single-cluster variant [3]).

At zero temperature the antiferromagnetic  $q$ -state Potts model reduces to the equal-weight distribution on  $q$ -colorings of  $G$ , and the WSK algorithm becomes the following: independently for each connected cluster of  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  spins, either leave that cluster as is or else flip it (interchanging  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ).

WSK studied their algorithm numerically for (among other cases) the  $q=3$  model at  $T=0$  on square lattices of linear size  $L=4, 8, 16, 32, 64$  with periodic boundary conditions. They claimed that the autocorrelation time was  $\tau_{\text{WSK}} \approx 7$  independent of  $L$ , while the autocorrelation time of a single-spin-flip algorithm increased approximately as  $\tau_{\text{SSF}} \approx 0.32L^2$ .

If the (exponential) autocorrelation time of a Monte Carlo algorithm is *finite*, then in particular that algorithm must be ergodic. However, WSK did not give any proof of the ergodicity of their algorithm at  $T=0$ . (The ergodicity at  $T \neq 0$  is trivial.) Here we show that in fact the algorithm is *not* ergodic at  $T=0$  for  $q=3$  on periodic lattices of size  $3m \times 3n$  where  $m, n$  are relatively prime. For  $q \geq 4$  and/or other lattice sizes or boundary conditions, the ergodicity at  $T=0$  is an open question.

Consider the configurations shown in Fig. 1 for a  $3 \times 3$  periodic lattice. For any choice of  $\alpha, \beta$ , the sites colored  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  form a *single* connected cluster, so the only possible moves in the WSK algorithm are global permutations of the colors. On the other hand, configurations (a) and (b) are *not* related by a global permutation, since in (a) the bands of constant color run northeast-southwest while in (b) they run northwest-southeast. It follows that the WSK algorithm is nonergodic.

Next consider the configurations of Fig. 1 repeated periodically on a  $3m \times 3n$  lattice. If  $m, n$  are relatively prime, then the sites colored  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  form a *single* connected band winding around the lattice, and the argument goes through unchanged. If  $m, n$  are not relatively prime, then the sites colored  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  form *several* disjoint connected bands, and the ergodicity is an open problem.

We remark that these configurations are completely frozen under any single-spin-update algorithm, because each spin is surrounded by neighbors of both colors. So any such algorithm is also nonergodic. The same holds for  $q=4$  on lattices  $4m \times 4n$ , and for  $q=5$  on lattices  $5m \times 5n$ . For  $q \geq 6$ , the single-spin-update algorithm is easily seen to be ergodic on any square lattice [4]; more

1	2	3	1	2	3
2	3	1	3	1	2
3	1	2	2	3	1
(a)			(b)		

FIG. 1. Configurations of the zero-temperature antiferromagnetic three-state Potts model on a  $3 \times 3$  lattice with periodic boundary conditions.

generally, this holds on an arbitrary graph  $G$  for  $q \geq \max \deg G + 2$ .

We also remark that the WSK algorithm for  $q=3$  is nonergodic on the *planar* graph of Ref. [5], Fig. 10.4.

To see these nonergodicities *numerically* requires some care: (a) One must study the model not only at  $T=0$ , but also at temperatures  $T$  *approaching* zero; then one will see the autocorrelation time growing without limit. (b) One must measure an observable that distinguishes between the ergodic classes. Thus, in the above situation one could use  $|\tilde{\sigma}(k)|^2$  at momenta  $k = (2\pi/3, \pm 2\pi/3)$ . It is not clear which observables might be sensitive to any possible nonergodicities at other values of  $q$  and  $L$ .

It is an open question whether there exist efficient algorithms for simulating the antiferromagnetic Potts model at zero temperature for  $q=3, 4, 5$  (more generally, for  $q < \max \deg G + 2$ ). Jerrum [4] has pointed out that it is unlikely that such algorithms (with polynomially bounded autocorrelation time measured in CPU units) can exist for arbitrary graphs  $G$  and fixed  $q$ : indeed, the existence of such an algorithm for  $q=5$  would permit one to ascertain with high probability the 3-colorability of an arbitrary degree-4 graph, which is impossible if  $NP \neq RP$  [6].

We wish to thank Mark Jerrum and Greg Sorkin for helpful correspondence. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-9200719.

Mona Lubin  
Center for Neural Science  
New York University  
New York, New York 10003

Alan D. Sokal  
Department of Physics  
New York University  
New York, New York 10003

Received 7 April 1993

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 64.60.Fr, 75.10.Hk

- [1] J.-S. Wang, R. H. Swendsen, and R. Kotecký, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 109 (1989); *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 2465 (1990).
- [2] R. H. Swendsen and J.-S. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 86 (1987).
- [3] U. Wolff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 361 (1989).
- [4] M. Jerrum (private communication).
- [5] S. Even, *Graph Algorithms* (Computer Science Press, Potomac, MD, 1979), p. 220.
- [6] See M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1979); D. J. A. Welsh, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **5**, 133 (1983).