Navarro-Pérez, JA; Voronkov, A; (2007) Encodings of problems in effectively propositional logic. In: Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing – SAT 2007. 10th International Conference, Lisbon, Portugal, May 28-31, 2007. Proceedings. (3 - 3).

CONFERENCE PAPER

Encodings of Problems in Effectively Propositional Logic

Juan Antonio Navarro-Pérez and Andrei Voronkov

The University of Manchester School of Computer Science voronkov@cs.manchester.ac.uk; navarroj@cs.manchester.ac.uk

Solving various combinatorial problems by their translation to the propositional satisfiability problem has become commonly accepted. By optimising such translations and using efficient SAT solvers one can often solve hard problems in various domains, such as formal verification and planning.

This approach to solving combinatorial problems is usually implemented by a translation procedure turning a formal description of the problem written in a domain- specific language L (for example, SMV for model checking problems [3] or STRIPS [2] for planning problems) into a SAT problem. Such translation procedures share the following common features:

- They contain many isomorphic or nearly isomorphic subsets of clauses obtained by the translation of the same expression of L.
- 2. The size of the resulting SAT problem is dominated by these copies.

In this talk the second author will present encodings able to specify some combinatorial problems, namely LTL bounded model checking [1] and planning within the Bernays-Sch"onfinkel fragment of first-order logic. This fragment, which also corresponds to the category of effectively propositional problems

Navarro-Pérez, JA; Voronkov, A; (2007) Encodings of problems in effectively propositional logic. In: Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing – SAT 2007. 10th International Conference, Lisbon, Portugal, May 28-31, 2007. Proceedings. (3 - 3).

(EPR) of the CASC system competitions [4], allows a natural and succinct representation of both the transition systems corresponding to the problems and the property that one wants to verify, while avoiding the problem of creating isomorphic copies.

Our technique provides a rich collection of benchmarks with close links to real-life applications for the automated reasoning community and may boost development of new translation techniques and solvers for effectively propositional problems.

References

- [1] A. Biere, A. Cimatti, E.M. Clarke, and Y. Zhu. Symbolic model checking without BDDs. In R. Cleaveland, editor, Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'99), volume 1579 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 193–207, 1999.
- [2] R. Fikes and N.J. Nilsson. A new approach to the application of theorem proving to problem solving. *Artificial Intelligence*, 2(3/4):189–208, 1971.
- [3] K.L. McMillan. Symbolic Model Checking. Kluwer, 1993.
- [4] G. Sutcliffe and C.B. Suttner. The state of CASC. Al Communications, 19(1):35-48, 2006.