

**Establishing the prognosis for patients with chronic
Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: the value of the CRPS-UK
Registry.**

Journal:	<i>British Journal of Pain</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Complex Regional Pain Syndromes, Chronic Pain, Causalgia, Pain, Intractable, Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy
Abstract:	<p>Objective: The long term prognosis of patients with Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is unknown with no reported prospective studies from the UK longer than 18 months. The CRPS-UK Network aims to study this by use of a Registry. The aims of this paper are to outline the CRPS-UK Registry; assess the validity of the data; and to describe the characteristics of a sample of the UK CRPS population.</p> <p>Methods: A Web-based CRPS-UK Registry was developed and made accessible to centres experienced in diagnosing and managing patients with CRPS. Pragmatic annual follow-up questions were agreed.</p> <p>Results: As from July 2013, the Registry has recruited 240 patients. A blinded, randomly selected, validation study demonstrated 95.6% completion and 99.4% accuracy of a random sample of the recorded data. These patients have chronic disease (median duration 29 months); 72.5% were female (2.6:1), with a mean age at symptoms onset of 43 years, and were left-handed more than expected (21.8% versus 10% in the general population). Patients reported a delayed diagnosis, with the median time between symptom onset and diagnosis of 6 months. Thirty patients (12.5%) had multiple limb involvement and most of them (83.3%) had either a unilateral or contralateral spread of CRPS.</p> <p>Conclusion: CRPS-UK Registry is a validated method for actively recruiting well-characterised patients with CRPS to provide further information on the long term outcome.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Abstract

Objective: The long term prognosis of patients with Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is unknown with no reported prospective studies from the UK longer than 18 months. The CRPS-UK Network aims to study this by use of a Registry. The aims of this paper are to outline the CRPS-UK Registry; assess the validity of the data; and to describe the characteristics of a sample of the UK CRPS population.

Methods: A Web-based CRPS-UK Registry was developed and made accessible to centres experienced in diagnosing and managing patients with CRPS. Pragmatic annual follow-up questions were agreed.

Results: As from July 2013, the Registry has recruited 240 patients. A blinded, randomly selected, validation study demonstrated 95.6% completion and 99.4% accuracy of a random sample of the recorded data. These patients have chronic disease (median duration 29 months); 72.5% were female (2.6:1), with a mean age at symptoms onset of 43 years, and were left-handed more than expected (21.8% versus 10% in the general population). Patients reported a delayed diagnosis, with the median time between symptom onset and diagnosis of 6 months. Thirty patients (12.5%) had multiple limb involvement and most of them (83.3%) had either a unilateral or contralateral spread of CRPS.

Conclusion: CRPS-UK Registry is a validated method for actively recruiting well-characterised patients with CRPS to provide further information on the long term outcome.

Introduction

Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is a disabling, usually post-traumatic condition, characterised by severe pain and changes in the appearance of a limb (see Diagnostic Criteria). Community studies have demonstrated an incidence of CRPS of between 5 (USA) and 20 (The Netherlands) per 100,000 population, with 70-80% having resolution of their symptoms within 1 year [1,2]. Approximately 25% of patients will have unrelenting pain for more than 1 year after the onset of symptoms with effects on employment, quality of life, activities of daily living and mood. In the UK therefore, up to 10,000 adults have experienced CRPS for longer than 1 year. What is their prognosis?

No UK prospective studies have followed patients with CRPS for more than 1 year after symptom onset despite the condition being significantly associated with a poor quality of life. In 2008, the CRPS-UK Network, a group of rheumatologists, anaesthetists, allied health professionals and researchers interested in this condition, established the CRPS-UK Registry. This 35-year project will complement other international Registries; provide prognostic information for a cohort of patients diagnosed with CRPS in the UK in the 21st Century; and provide a resource for further studying this condition. The aims of this paper are to outline the CRPS-UK Registry; assess the validity of the data; and to describe the characteristics of a sample of the UK CRPS population.

Three prospective studies have examined long term outcomes of patients with CRPS. Vaneker *et al* followed 45 patients with upper limb CRPS diagnosed using the

1
2
3 Veldman criteria for 8 years using the validated Impairment Level Sumscore (ISS)
4
5 [3]. Temperature and range of movement scores had improved whereas the global ISS
6
7 had no significant change. In the randomised controlled trial by Kemler *et al*, 64
8
9 patients with CRPS reported pain scores of 4-6/10, five years after recruitment [4].
10
11 Zyluk published a single-centre follow-up of 27/30 patients with reflex sympathetic
12
13 dystrophy in Poland followed for 13 months on average [5]. Pain and swelling
14
15 resolved but some patients were still functionally weak. Four retrospective reviews
16
17 have noted the outcomes of patients with CRPS. Geertzen *et al* studied 65 patients
18
19 finding that 62% of patients were still limited in their activities of daily living 5 years
20
21 after diagnosis [6]. Galer *et al* surveyed 31 patients with a mean duration of
22
23 symptoms of 3.3 years [7]. Pain levels ranged between 3.9-7.3/10 with an average of
24
25 5.9. Field *et al* published a cohort of 100 Colles fracture patients with 55 having had a
26
27 follow-up at 10 years [8]. 6 patients were identified to have finger stiffness 12 weeks
28
29 after fracture. All 6 continued to have evidence of CRPS (algodystrophy) at 10 years.
30
31 Finally, de Mos *et al* identified 102 CRPS patients from the Dutch general
32
33 practitioners database who had had symptoms for an average of 5.8 years [9]. 16%
34
35 reported the CRPS as still progressive and 31% were incapable of working.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Taken together, these studies suggest a poor outcome for patients with CRPS existing
44
45 more than one year. Poor follow-up, small numbers and inexact diagnostic criteria
46
47 undermine these results. Research and diagnostic criteria have recently been
48
49 published allowing more uniformity of study patients and thus comparison across data
50
51 sets [10]. Patients' prognoses may have improved as newer therapies including
52
53 innovative rehabilitation strategies and pharmacotherapies become available.
54
55 Furthermore, increased clinician awareness following the publication of the CRPS-
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 UK Guidelines and earlier intervention may have improved the long term outcome for
4
5 patients with CRPS [11]. A larger and more contemporary study is needed.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **Methods**

12
13
14
15
16 The CRPS-UK registry is based on EDGE, a Secure Socket Layer encrypted web-
17
18 based research management resource developed by the Cancer Research UK Clinical
19
20 Centre, Clinical Informatics Research Unit, University of Southampton. Patients are
21
22 fully consented to provide personal contact details to be uploaded to the registry by a
23
24 clinician who has confirmed the clinical diagnosis. The CRPS-UK Registry is fully
25
26 approved by NRES (REC No: 08/H0306/38) and sponsored by Cambridge University
27
28 Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.
29
30
31
32

33
34 The diagnosis is confirmed by the application of the IASP (Budapest) diagnostic
35
36 criteria (Harden) by a clinician experienced in the diagnosis and management of
37
38 patients with CRPS.
39
40
41

42 **Diagnostic criteria for CRPS**

43
44
45 A history of symptoms or the presence of signs are assessed the following categories:

46
47 *Sensory:* Hypersensitivity to sensations such as light touch; temperature; deep
48
49 pressure; or pinprick. Allodynia or hyperalgesia.
50
51

52 *Vasomotor* Temperature asymmetry; skin colour changes; skin colour asymmetry
53

54 *Sudomotor* Oedema; sweating changes; sweating asymmetry
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *Motor/trophic* Decreased range of motion; motor dysfunction (weakness, tremor,
4
5 dystonia); trophic changes (hair, nail, skin)
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Diagnostic criteria for CRPS are met when symptoms in 3 domains are reported and
13
14 signs in 2 domains are witnessed.
15

16 Research criteria are met when symptoms in 4 domains are reported in the presence of
17
18 signs in at least 2 domains.
19

20
21
22
23 Patients with CRPS type 1 (no major nerve damage) and type 2 (major nerve damage)
24
25 are recruited. Children under the age of 18 years require the consent of the responsible
26
27 guardian or parent. It is recorded whether the patient meets diagnostic or research
28
29 criteria on the Budapest definitions. This recruitment strategy ensures a reliably
30
31 homogenous study population, although does not reflect the entirety of the population
32
33 who develop CRPS. Patients with self-limiting CRPS or non-specific limb pain in the
34
35 absence of vasomotor, trophic, motor and sudomotor changes are likely to be under-
36
37 represented in this Registry as they will be less likely to be referred to the centres who
38
39 are actively recruiting.
40
41
42
43
44

45 Up to 2013, recruitment to the registry was from 4 centres throughout the UK:
46
47 Department of Rheumatology, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Bath;
48
49 Department of Pain Medicine, Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool;
50
51 Department of Rheumatology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge; Pain Clinic,
52
53 Department of Anaesthetics, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth. All centres have local
54
55 R&D committee approval to contribute to the Registry.
56
57
58
59
60

Data validation

To determine the validity of the Registry data, a random sample of 20 patients (10 each from 2 centres) was selected in 2011. This was performed by obtaining the previous 10 records that were available from each of the 2 centres. Accuracy and completeness of all recorded variables were compared with the 'gold standard' medical records. Completeness was defined as the proportion of the data entered, and accuracy was defined as the percentage of the total data that correctly matched data from the medical record. In the CRPS-UK Registry, each patient has 37 variables recorded, thus giving a total of 740 data points to be analysed.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the demographic and baseline data of the cohort. Means, standard deviations and ranges were calculated for the continuous variable age; while medians, interquartile ranges (IQR) and ranges were calculated for the other variables since they exhibited a skewed distribution. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for the categorical variables. A binomial test was used to test against the null hypothesis that the percentage right handed in the CRPS registry is the same as that in the general population; and separately to test if the percentage of patients with diagonal collateral spread was significantly different from the percentage expected (33%). A Fisher's Exact test was used to investigate any association between hand dominance and the first limb affected with CRPS. Statistical significance was concluded if $p < 0.05$.

Results

In July 2013, 240 patients with CRPS had been recruited. All fulfilled the diagnostic Budapest criteria for CRPS and 58 (24.2%) met the tighter research criteria. The demographic and disease related results are displayed in Table 1 and the patient symptoms and signs at the time of recruitment are displayed in Table 2.

With respect to data validation, the completeness of the database was 95.6%, with an accuracy of 99.4%. This compares favourably with other published registries [12,13].

The CRPS UK Registry is predominantly a female cohort (72.5%, 2.6:1) with the average age of symptom onset at 43 years (SD 12.7) and recruitment into the registry at 46 years (SD 12.3). Patients had had symptoms for an average of 29 months (IQR 39.7) before being recruited into the Registry. The median self-reported time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis was 6 months (IQR 13.4).

It is clear that this group of patients have ongoing symptoms despite having more than 2 years of symptoms on average. More than 88% of the patients included in the database reported ongoing allodynia. A reduced range of movement and weakness were also very prevalent in this cohort with more than 89% of all patients reporting these symptoms and more than 83% of the cohort having these documented on examination. Dystonia was reported to be the least common motor symptom (27%), but when this was present only one patient reported a resolution. Vasomotor symptoms were again very common with more than 74% of patients reporting

1
2
3 oedema, skin colour and temperature asymmetry and this being witnessed in about
4
5 60% of patients. Sweating was the least reported and witnessed sudomotor feature.
6
7 Around one-third of patients reported trophic changes in each of the categories and
8
9 around 30% of patients were seen to have these changes in each of the trophic
10
11 categories upon recruitment.
12
13

14
15
16 Of the 160 patients in whom original hand dominance was recorded, 133 (83.1%) were
17
18 right handed. This is significantly lower than the average general population of 90%
19
20 ($p < 0.01$) [14]. CRPS affected 50.2% of the cohort's right side first and 48.1% had
21
22 their arm affected first rather than their leg. In the 133 right hand dominant patients,
23
24 26 (19.5%) had their left arm affected; 44 (33.1%) right arm; 35 (26.3%) left leg; and
25
26 28 (21.1%) right leg. In the 27 left hand dominant patients, 9 (33.3%) had their left
27
28 arm affected; 5 (18.5%) right arm; 8 (29.6%) left leg; and 5 (18.5%) right leg. Hence
29
30 it can be seen that there was no laterality preference, nor limb preference, dependent
31
32 upon whether a patient was right or left hand dominant. This was confirmed by a
33
34 Fisher's Exact test investigating if there was any association between hand dominance
35
36 and first area affected ($p = 0.302$).
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 CRPS was diagnosed in more than one limb for 30/240 (12.5%) of patients in this
44
45 cohort. Only 5/30 (16.7%) of patients had diagonal contralateral spread (e.g. right arm
46
47 and left leg), half of what was expected (33%, $p = 0.054$). 25/30 (83.3%) of patients
48
49 with two limbs involved had had either a unilateral or contralateral spread of CRPS.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The commonest trigger for CRPS was soft tissue injury (29.2%) followed by fracture
4 (28.0%) and then surgery (23.7%). There was a high reported 'spontaneous' onset of
5 CRPS (15.7%).
6
7
8

9 **Discussion**

10
11
12
13
14 The inception of the CRPS-UK Registry following full ethical approval has been
15 successful and this Registry intends to continue to recruit patients with chronic
16 disease who are being managed in centres experienced in this condition. As from mid-
17 2013, the Registry has recruited 240 patients. These patients, with a mean age at
18 symptoms onset of 43 years, had chronic disease (median duration 29 months); tended
19 to be female (2.6:1), and were left-handed more than expected (21.8% versus 10% in
20 the general population). Patients in the Registry often reported a delayed diagnosis,
21 with the median time between symptom onset and diagnosis of 6 months. Thirty
22 patients (12.5%) had multiple limb involvement and the spread affected the
23 contralateral diagonal limb less frequently than expected, although not significantly
24 so. Most patients reported ongoing pain and signs and symptoms consistent with their
25 diagnosis despite the average symptom duration of nearly two and a half years.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 In the Netherlands, de Mos *et al* reported that the average age of their cohort of 238
44 patients was 52.7 (range 7-90 years old) with 77.3% female. Of this total, 74% were
45 identified to be in secondary care and this group were slightly younger than those
46 patients who remained in primary care (mean age 51.3 compared to 56.6 years).
47 CRPS type II (underlying neural involvement) accounted for 2.9% of the cohort with
48 the ratio of arm:leg involvement being 1.5:1. Fractures accounted for 44% of triggers.
49 In 10.1% of the cohort, no obvious cause could be found to explain the onset of
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CRPS. In the US, Sandroni *et al* reported the average age of their cohort of 74
4
5 patients with CRPS type I was 46.9 years (range 15-86 years) with 81.1% of the
6
7 cohort female (4:1). 4.1% of the cohort had CRPS diagnosed at multiple sites and the
8
9 ratio of arm:leg involvement was 2:1. A further 11 patients with CRPS type II were
10
11 identified (12.9%). Fractures as the initial trigger accounted for 46%. No spontaneous
12
13 onset cases were reported. In both studies, the peak incidence was between the ages of
14
15 50-70 years old.
16
17

18
19
20 When comparing the CRPS UK Registry to these two community-based studies, it is
21
22 important to remember that these studies were designed to detect incident cases
23
24 whereas the average duration of symptoms in the CRPS UK Registry population was
25
26 nearly 3 years. Any potential differences between the community studies and the
27
28 CRPS UK Registry population may therefore relate to poor prognostic factors. There
29
30 are several notable differences. The CRPS UK Registry has a younger population
31
32 with more male representation and more patients with multiple limb involvement. The
33
34 CRPS-UK Registry also contains more patients with a spontaneous onset of their
35
36 symptoms and a smaller proportion of patients with a fracture as a trigger. Finally, the
37
38 CRPS-UK Registry has a greater proportion of patients with leg involvement (ratio of
39
40 arm:leg 1:1). As expected, the Registry therefore differs from the community-based
41
42 studies and suggests that risk factors for poor prognosis may include males; younger
43
44 age of onset; spontaneous onset; leg; and multiple limb involvement.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51
52 In the published literature, 28 prognostic factors, both physical and psychological,
53
54 identified from 12 studies are known to be associated with the outcome of patients
55
56 with CRPS [15]. These include age less than 40 years; an initial presentation with a
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cold limb; the affected limb being a leg; more than 1 limb affected [16]; depression
4
5 [17]; perceived lack of social support [17]; and the use of anger as an emotional
6
7 regulator [18].
8
9

10
11 The self-reported delay between the symptom onset and diagnosis is long on average
12
13 and highly variable in the CRPS-UK Registry. This did not vary significantly between
14
15 centres, so that local factors are not suspected. This does support the accepted view
16
17 that a delay in diagnosis predisposes a patient to enter secondary and tertiary care for
18
19 the management of their CRPS. This is an area that is worthy of further study. Given
20
21 that community-based studies report that the symptoms of CRPS appear to self-limit
22
23 in 70-80% of patients within the first year of onset, it would be important to make the
24
25 correct diagnosis within 12 months to allow effective treatments and self-management
26
27 to occur. Expert consensus states that early treatment is likely to improve long term
28
29 outcomes although there is a paucity of data regarding this [19]. With the publication
30
31 of the CRPS-UK Guidelines in 2011 (Royal College of Physicians), awareness and
32
33 earlier diagnosis may be improved. It would be interesting to see whether the delay to
34
35 diagnosis changes over time in the CRPS-UK Registry over the next five years.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Patients with spontaneous onset of CRPS are recognised. This has previously been
44
45 reported at the level of 5-10% [20]. Our data has a slightly higher prevalence of this
46
47 group (15.7%). Perhaps this could be explained due to the chronic nature of this
48
49 cohort of patients, suggesting a more severe phenotype. This is preliminary data and
50
51 will need to be confirmed but perhaps suggests a different phenotype in this group of
52
53 patients. This is supported by de Mos' data, suggesting that patients with fracture
54
55 have a better outcome than patients who have CRPS unrelated to a fracture [9].
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 The aetiology of CRPS has remained elusive. Neurological hypotheses have been
6 proposed [21]. In the propagation of CRPS to multiple limbs, contralateral diagonal
7 spread would be expected to occur less commonly. This was suggested by the CRPS-
8 UK Registry cohort and has previously been reported in a separate cohort [22]. Our
9 data would therefore support a role for neural transmission in the spread of CRPS.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17
18 The CRPS-UK Registry is only one a number of international registries. In the
19 Netherlands, the TREND initiative has developed a network of interested clinicians
20 and researchers with an active database of more than a thousand patients
21 (www.trendconsortium.nl). In Switzerland, a specific CRPS registry has been
22 established to capture patients who develop CRPS following trauma or orthopaedic
23 procedures [23]. In the USA, the Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy Syndrome
24 Association sponsors a self-registry web portal for patients with CRPS to register with
25 the intention of following them up for 20-years. The CRPS-UK Registry will
26 contribute to these differing approaches to recruiting patients with CRPS and will
27 provide novel data as well as allow the testing of hypotheses by recruiting patients
28 into further studies. It is important to recognise the different patient populations that
29 each Registry represents and this must be borne in mind when comparisons between
30 data sets are made.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Despite the good recruitment into the CRPS-UK Registry there will be concerns over
51 the future validity of the data generated and its generalisability. Patients enrolled into
52 the CRPS-UK Registry have had the condition for nearly three years and are younger
53 than expected. This is therefore a chronic cohort and not representative of all patients
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 who are diagnosed with CRPS, as the majority improve within the first year of
4 symptom onset. Recruitment will need to be sustained over more than a decade to
5 provide meaningful results.
6
7
8
9

10
11 In conclusion, the CRPS-UK Registry is actively recruiting well-characterised
12 patients with CRPS to provide further information on the long term outcome. The
13 cohort demonstrates that most continue to have ongoing symptoms and signs of the
14 condition. Analysis demonstrates a well-validated cohort of patients who are willing
15 to engage in being followed up. We hope that this will stimulate further research
16 efforts in the UK for advancing the understanding and management of this
17 challenging condition, and for the Registry to be available for comparisons with other
18 international registries in due course.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **References**

- 44 1. De Mos M, de Bruijn AG, Huygen FJ, Dieleman JP, Stricker BH and
45 Sturkenboom MC. The incidence of complex regional pain syndrome: a
46 population-based study. *Pain* 2007;129:12-20.
47
48
- 49 2. Sandroni P, Benrud-Larson LM, McClelland RL, Low PA. Complex
50 regional pain syndrome type I: incidence and prevalence in Olmsted
51 county, a population-based study. *Pain* 2003;103:199-207.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 3. Vaneker M, Wilder-Smith OHG, Schrombges P, Oerlemans HM.
4
5 Impairments as measured by ISS do not greatly change between one and
6
7 eight years after CRPS diagnosis. *Eur J Pain* 2006;10:639-644.
8
- 9
10 4. Kemler MA, de Vet HCW, Barendse GAM, *et al.* Effect of spinal cord
11
12 stimulation for chronic complex regional pain syndrome Type I: five-year
13
14 final follow-up of patients in a randomized controlled trial. *Neurosurg*,
15
16 2008;108:292-298.
17
- 18
19 5. Zyluk A. The natural history of post-traumatic reflex sympathetic
20
21 dystrophy. *J Hand Surg* 1998;23:20-23.
22
- 23
24 6. Geertzen JHB, Dijkstra PU, van Sonderen ELP, *et al.* Relationship
25
26 between impairments, disability and handicap in reflex sympathetic
27
28 dystrophy patients: a long-term follow-up study. *Clin Rehab* 1998;12:402-
29
30 412.
31
- 32
33 7. Galer BS, Henderson J, Perander J, Jensen MP. Course of symptoms and
34
35 quality of life measurement in complex regional pain syndrome: a pilot
36
37 survey. *J Pain Sympt Manag* 2000;20:4:286-292.
38
- 39
40 8. Field J, Warwick D, Bannister GC, Gibson AGF. Long-term prognosis of
41
42 displaced Colles' fracture: a 10-year prospective review. *Injury*
43
44 1992;23:529-523.
45
- 46
47 9. de Mos M, Huygen FJP, van der Hoeven-Borgman M, *et al.* Outcome of
48
49 the Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. *Clin J Pain* 2009;25:590-597.
50
- 51
52 10. Harden RN, Bruehl SP, Stanton-Hicks M, Wilson P. Proposed new
53
54 diagnostic criteria for complex regional pain syndrome. *Pain Med* 2007;
55
56 8:326-331.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 11. Turner-Stokes L, Goebel A. UK Guidelines on CRPS. *Clin Med*
4
5 2011;11:596-600.
6
- 7
8 12. Lamberg AL, Cronin-Fenton D, Olesen AB. Registration in the Danish
9
10 Regional Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer Dermatology Database:
11 completeness of registration and accuracy of key variable. *Clin Epidem*
12 2010;2:123-136.
13
- 14
15 13. Harboe KM, Anthonsen K, Bardram L. Validation of data and indicators in
16
17 the Danish Cholecystectomy Database. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2009;21:
18 160-168.
19
- 20
21 14. Peters M, Reimers S, Manning JT. Hand preference for writing and
22
23 associations with selected demographic and behavioural variables in
24
25 255,100 subjects: the BBC internet study. *Brain Cogn* 2006;62:177-189.
26
27
- 28
29 15. Wertli M, Bachmann LM, Weiner SS, Brunner F. Prognostic factors in
30
31 Complex Regional Pain Syndrome 1: A systematic review. *J Rehab Med*
32 2013;45:225-233.
33
- 34
35 16. Veldman PH, Goris RJ. Multiple reflex sympathetic dystrophy. *Pain*
36
37 1996;64:463-466.
38
- 39
40 17. Feldman SI, Downey G, Schaffer-Neitz R. Pain, negative mood, and
41
42 perceived social support in chronic pain patients: a daily diary study of
43
44 people with reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome. *J Consult Clin Psy*
45
46 1999;67:776-785.
47
- 48
49 18. Bruehl S, Chung OY, Burns JW. Differential effects of expressive anger
50
51 regulation on chronic pain in CRPS and non-CRPS limb pain patients.
52
53 *Pain* 2003;104:647-654.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
19. Geertzen JHB, de Bruijn H, de Bruijn-Kofman AT, *et al.* Reflex sympathetic dystrophy: early treatment and psychological aspects. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1994;75:442–446.
 20. De Rooij AM, Perez RSGM, Huygen FJ, *et al.* Spontaneous onset of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. *Eur J Pain* 2010;14:510-3.
 21. Marinus J, Moseley GL, Birklein F, *et al.* Clinical features and pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;637-648.
 22. van Rijn MA, Marinus J, Putter H, *et al.* Spreading of complex regional pain syndrome: not a random process. *J Neural Transm* 2011;118:1301-9.
 23. Brunner F, Bachmann LM, Weber U *et al.* Complex Regional Pain Syndrome 1 – the Swiss cohort study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2008;9:92.

Key Messages

- 32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Patients with Complex Regional Pain Syndrome can be recruited to the CRPS-UK Registry
 - CRPS that lasts for more than one year continues with pain and associated signs
 - Chronic CRPS appears to occur more frequently in left-handed individuals

Table 1 Demographics and Baseline data of cohort

	No. of recruited pts (%)
Gender [N=240]	
Male	66 (27.5)
Female	174 (72.5)
Age at onset of symptoms (years) [N=239]	Mean 43 years (range 12-78, SD 12.7)
Duration of symptoms at recruitment (months) [N=237]	Median 29 months (range 0-297, IQR 39.7)
Delay between onset and diagnosis (months) [N=209]	Median 6 months (range 0-129, IQR 13.4)
Trigger [N=236]	
Fracture	66 (28.0)
Soft tissue injury	69 (29.2)
Surgery	56 (23.7)
Spontaneous	37 (15.7)
Nerve injury	5 (2.1)
Electric injury	1 (0.4)
Other	2 (0.8)
First limb affected [N=239]	
Right arm	65 (27.2)
Left arm	50 (20.9)
Right leg	55 (23.0)
Left leg	69 (28.9)
Hand dominance (original) [N=160]	
Right	133 (83.1)

Left	27 (16.9)
Hand dominance (current) [N=124]	
Right	97 (78.2)
Left	27 (21.8)
Second area (if any) affected [N=30]	
Right arm	3 (10.0)
Left arm	6 (20.0)
Right leg	10 (33.3)
Left leg	10 (33.3)
Right eye and neck	1 (3.3)
Time between onset of symptoms in first limb and second area (months) [N=26]	Median 15 months (range 0-130, IQR 29.5)

Table 2 Symptoms and signs at recruitment to the CRPS UK Registry

	Symptoms (%)				Signs (%)			
	N	Now	Previous	Never	N	Now	Absent	Not tested
Sensory								
Allodynia	232	206 (88.8)	19 (8.2)	7 (3.0)	237	205 (86.5)	28 (11.8)	4 (1.7)
Vasomotor								
Temperature asymmetry	232	204 (87.9)	18 (7.8)	10 (4.3)	238	147 (61.8)	76 (31.9)	15 (6.3)
Skin colour asymmetry	233	204 (87.6)	18 (7.7)	11 (4.7)	239	160 (66.9)	73 (31.6)	6 (2.5)
Oedema	230	172 (74.8)	49 (21.3)	9 (3.9)	234	137 (58.5)	93 (39.8)	4 (1.7)
Sweating asymmetry	232	118 (50.9)	55 (23.7)	59 (25.4)	236	88 (37.3)	130 (55.1)	18 (7.6)
Motor								
	N	Now	Previous	Never	N	Now	Absent	Not tested
Reduced ROM	230	206 (89.5)	16 (7.0)	8 (3.5)	239	208 (87.0)	27 (11.3)	4 (1.7)
Weakness	228	205 (89.9)	13 (5.7)	10 (4.4)	238	198 (83.2)	29 (12.2)	11 (4.6)
Tremor	229	83 (36.3)	57 (24.9)	89 (38.8)	237	67 (28.3)	147 (62.0)	23 (9.7)
Dystonia	227	62 (27.3)	57 (25.1)	108 (47.6)	236	60 (25.4)	150 (63.6)	26 (11.0)
Trophic								
	N	Now	Previous	Never	N	Now	Absent	Not tested
Hair changes	231	71 (30.7)	73 (31.6)	87 (37.7)	235	66 (28.1)	148 (63.0)	21 (8.9)
Nail changes	233	94 (40.3)	61 (26.2)	78 (33.5)	237	72 (30.4)	143 (61.3)	22 (9.3)
Skin changes	230	101 (43.9)	54 (23.5)	75 (32.6)	238	91 (38.2)	128 (53.8)	19 (8.0)

ROM – range of movement