# High-resolution absorption measurements of $NH_3$ at high temperatures: $500 - 2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

Emma J. Barton, Sergei. N. Yurchenko, Jonathan Tennyson

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

### Sønnik Clausen, Alexander Fateev

Technical University of Denmark, Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

# Abstract

High-resolution absorption spectra of NH<sub>3</sub> in the region 500 - 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup> at temperatures up to 1027 °C and approximately atmospheric pressure (1013  $\pm$  20 mbar) are measured. NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations of 1000 ppm, 0.5% and 1% in volume fraction were used in the measurements. Spectra are recorded in high temperature gas flow cells using a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer at a nominal resolution of 0.09 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Measurements at 22.7 °C are compared to high-resolution cross sections available from PNNL. The higher temperature spectra are analysed by comparison to a variational line list, BYTe, and experimental energy levels determined using the MARVEL procedure. Approximately 2000 lines have been assigned, of which 851 are newly assigned to mainly hot bands involving vibrational states as high as  $v_2 = 5$ .

Key words:

High temperature, Ammonia, Absorption, FTIR spectroscopy,

Preprint submitted to Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative TransferJune 18, 2015

#### 1. Introduction

Hot planets, cool stars and high temperature industrial processes have molecules (and temperatures) of interest in common. NH<sub>3</sub> is one such molecule.

In smoke stacks NH<sub>3</sub> is used post-combustion to convert highly reactive nitrogen Oxides (NOx) into free nitrogen and water vapour through selective catalytic and non-catalytic reduction (SCR and SNCR) [1]. This process must be monitored closely as any un-reacted NH<sub>3</sub>, referred to as 'ammonia slip' [2], can cause fouling and corrosion of downstream components and contamination of fly ash [3]. NH<sub>3</sub> is also used throughout the chemical industry, its most important use being for the production of nitric acid [4].

From an astronomical perspective NH<sub>3</sub> has been detected in multiple environments. Cool environments include nearby molecular cloud MBM 12 [5], the comae of comet 10P/Tempel 2 [6], and solar system planets [7]. The presence of NH<sub>3</sub> in the atmospheres of Neptune and Jupiter suggests it is also likely to be present in the atmospheres of extrasolar giant planets [8]. Hot environments include brown dwarfs (see for example [9]). NH<sub>3</sub> is a significant source of opacity in the infrared spectra of late type T dwarfs, see for example [10], and is expected to be even more important in recently discovered Y dwarfs [11, 12]. In fact NH<sub>3</sub> features identified in the near-infrared spectrum of a T dwarf played a significant role in the justification of the Y spectral class [10, 11].

The conditions, chemical reactions and gas mixing in industrial processes involving combustion can be monitored by in situ measurements of gas temperature and composition. This can be done spectroscopically, though the result is highly dependent on the quality of reference data [13]. Analysis of brown dwarfs and other such objects using model atmospheres also requires reliable line lists [10].

A hot variational line list for NH<sub>3</sub> called BYTe is available [14] and has been proven an effective tool for the analysis of the tetraatomic molecules spectrum [15]. BYTe is a variationally computed line list for hot NH<sub>3</sub> that covers the range 0 - 12,000 cm<sup>-1</sup> and is expected to be fairly accurate for all temperatures up to 1500 K (1226 °C). It comprises of 1,138,323,251 transitions constructed from 1,373,897 energy levels lying below 18 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. It was computed using the NH3-2010 potential energy surface [16], the TROVE ro-vibrational computer program [17] and an *ab initio* dipole moment surface [18].

However there is motivation to improve the present line list which, in particular is known to be less accurate for higher frequency transitions [19, 20, 21]. During the data analysis presented below we note some frequency shifts and some systematic underestimation of intensities for strong lines in the BYTe lines in comparison to experiment (see Section 4.2). Assigned high resolution laboratory spectra are needed to refine and validate theoretical line positions and intensities.

Numerous studies have endeavoured to assign cold (for example [22, 23, 24]) and hot (for example [25, 26, 15]) NH<sub>3</sub> spectra, many of which are collected in the HITRAN database [27, 28]. This has proven to be a challenge due to the congested and complicated nature of the spectrum. A comprehensive compilation of all measured NH<sub>3</sub> rotational and ro-vibrational spectra

can be found in a recent MARVEL study [29]. The MARVEL (measured active rotation-vibration energy levels) algorithm [30, 31] simultaneously analyses all available assigned and labelled experimental lines, thus yielding the associated energy levels. The recent study for NH<sub>3</sub> analysed 29,450 measured transitions and yielded 4961 accurately-determined energy levels which mostly lie below 7000 cm<sup>-1</sup> [29].

High resolution emission spectra of NH<sub>3</sub> up to 1300 °C in the regions 740 -  $2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1650 - 4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  were recorded by Hargreaves *et al.* [32, 33]. Zobov *et al* [15] analysed the first region and presented assignments for strong lines whose upper levels belong to vibrational states with band origins up to  $2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The present work is complementary to these previous works, providing high resolution absorption spectra of NH<sub>3</sub> up to 1027 °C in the region  $500 - 2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  including assignments. A proportion of these line assignments are new, of which some lines are also present but unassigned in the emission spectra. The notable advantage of our measurements is the absolute intensity scale, as emission intensities are notoriously difficult to calibrate [32, 33, 34].

This article has the following structure. Section 2 describes the experimental setup used for the measurements. Section 3 gives an overview of the method used to calculate experimental and theoretical absorbance spectra and the assignment procedure. Section 4 comes in three parts. The experimental spectrum at 22.7 °C is compared to high resolution PNNL spectra to verify the performance of the whole experimental set up in Section 4.1. The accuracy of BYTe is assessed in Section 4.2 by a direct comparison with the experimental spectra. A summary of all assignments is presented in Section

4.3. Finally Section 5 gives our conclusions and discusses avenues for further work.

# 2. Experimental Details

Measurements up to 500 °C were performed using a quartz high-temperature gas-flow cell (q-HGC) (see Figure 2) validated for high resolution measurements at temperatures up to 500 °C in the ultra-violet (UV) and infrared (IR) regions [35]. This q-HGC has previously been used to measure absorption cross-sections of various gases (e.g. SO<sub>2</sub>) up to 773 K (500 °C) [35]. Measurements above 500 °C were performed using a ceramic high-temperature gas-flow cell (c-HGC) (see Figure 2) that has also been used by the DTU group [36, 37, 38] to study e.g. hot CO and to validate HITEMP2010 [39] for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O respectively. This cell operates at temperatures up to 1873 K (1600 °C) [40].

Both cells have the same basic design, three sections separated by flow windows, a fully heated central part and two partially heated buffer parts with interchangeable optical windows at the ends. The buffer parts compensate for heat losses at the ends of the sample cell so as to obtain a uniform temperature along the length of the central part where absorption measurements are performed. Temperature stability along the axis of the hot part of the cell has been verified using thermocouple measurements and determined to be better than  $\pm$  1.84 °C [35] or  $\pm$  1 °C [38] for the q-HGC and c-HGC respectively.

The sample gas (e.g.  $N_2 + NH_3$ ) is preheated and fed into the middle part of the cell while the buffer parts are purged with carrier gas (e.g.  $N_2$ )

or dry air taken from a purge generator. The two gas flows meet and form flow windows between the central and outer parts. Here a laminar flow sheet is established meaning the sample gas can not reach, or react with or form deposits on, the optical windows [41]. The sample gas may still react with the internal surface of the gas cell. To minimise this the inner walls of the q-HGC are made from quartz and those of the c-HGC from high quality pure ceramic (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(99.5%)). Bottles of premixed gas mixtures,  $N_2 + NH_3$  (1000 ppm) and  $N_2 + NH_3$  (1%), were obtained from AirLiquid. The purity of the  $N_2$  and  $NH_3$  in the gas bottles was 99.1% with  $H_2O$  being the main impurity. High purity  $N_2$  (99.998%) has been used in reference measurements.

Further details on the q-HGC and its performance can be found in [35]. Further details on the c-HGC, its performance and a comparison with the other HGCs in the laboratory will be presented in [40]. For now the reader is referred to [38].

Figure 2 shows a principle scheme of the optical set up for the absorption measurements. An Aligent 660 FTIR spectrometer, linearised Mercury-Cadmium Telluride (MCT) detector and an external IR light source, which is Blackbody-like (BB) at 1500 K, was used in the measurements on the q-HGC. The optical absorption path length, as confirmed by previous measurements [35], is defined by the flow windows and has a value of 33.25 cm. In the measurements using the c-HGC an external IR light source at 1800 K was used. The absorption path length, again defined by the flow windows, has a value of 53.3 cm. In all experiments KBr windows have been used. These allow spectral measurements in the range 450 - 40 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Aligents ResolutionsPRO software (Aligent) calculates the single beam

(SB) spectra from the measured interferograms at a nominal resolution of 0.09 cm<sup>-1</sup> using Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) and certain apodization functions. Mertz phase correction is applied. Triangular and boxcar apodization functions were used. Boxcar apodization gives narrower peaks but results in more noise in the final spectra. Both sets of calculated SB spectra were used in the final analysis to ensure consistent results.

In accordance with the discussion in [38], measured wavenumbers were multiplied by a factor of 1.000059 to account for the linear wavenumber shift caused by beam divergence. The experimental uncertainties on absorbance measurements are estimated to be within 0.5% [38].




Figure 1: High temperature quartz gas flow cell (q-HGC) used in the experiments. The red arrows indicate the hot reactive gases, while the blue arrows show the colder buffer gas. Reproduced from [35].

## 3. Data Analysis

This study used the BYTe [14] variational line list and experimental energies determined using the MARVEL procedure [29].




Figure 2: High temperature ceramic gas flow cell (c-HGC) used in the experiments. Black arrows indicate flow direction. Reproduced from [38].




Figure 3: Experimental setup for the high-resolution measurements of  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  at high temperatures. Adapted from [38].

# 3.1. Calculating Experimental Absorption Spectra

The strategy for calculating experimental transmission spectra  $\tau_{\rm exp}(\nu, T)$  at a temperature T [K] and a frequency  $\nu$  [cm<sup>-1</sup>] follows [36]:

$$\tau_{\text{exp}}(\nu, T) = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{\text{gas}+\text{BB}} - \mathbf{I}_{\text{gas}}}{\mathbf{I}_{\text{ref}+\text{BB}} - \mathbf{I}_{ref}}$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{I}_{gas+BB}$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{gas}$  are SB sample spectra (N<sub>2</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub> mixture with and without signal from the BB (1500 K or 1800 K) and  $\mathbf{I}_{ref+BB}$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{ref}$  are SB reference spectra (pure N<sub>2</sub>) with and without signal from the BB. In the case of q-HGC the  $\mathbf{I}_{gas}$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{ref}$  have been measured by the blocking of the light by a beam-stopper at 23 °C, whereas in the case of c-HGC the moveable mirror in the BB adapter is used to redirect the light to the cold BB source. The absorption spectra are then calculated as:

$$A_{\rm exp}(\nu, T) = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{a_0}{a_1} \right] \tag{2}$$

where  $a_0$  (=  $\mathbf{I}_{ref+BB}$ - $\mathbf{I}_{ref}$ ) are the reference measurements and  $a_1$  (=  $\mathbf{I}_{gas+BB}$ - $\mathbf{I}_{gas}$ ) are the sample measurements.

Three different absorbance spectra were calculated:

$$A_{\text{exp}}^{a_0}(\nu, T) = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{a_0}{a_1} \right]$$
 (3)

$$A_{\text{exp}}^{a_0^t}(\nu, T) = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{a_0^t}{a_1} \right] \tag{4}$$

$$A_{\text{base}}(\nu, T) = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{a_0^t}{a_0} \right] \tag{5}$$

where  $a_0$  are reference measurements taken before the sample gas measurements,  $a_1$  are the sample gas measurements and  $a_0^t$  are reference measurements taken after the sample gas measurements.  $A_{\text{base}}$  was computed to check the baseline. Figure 4 shows the stability of the baseline before and after the sample gas measurements. The difference between NH<sub>3</sub> absorption spectra  $A_{\text{exp}}^{a_0}$  and  $A_{\text{exp}}^{a_0^t}$  is demonstrated in Figure 5. If the reference  $a_0$  spectrum is used to calculate NH<sub>3</sub> absorption spectrum, then some negative absorption is observed because of H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> lines. Whereas if the reference  $a_0^t$  spectrum is used to calculate NH<sub>3</sub> absorption spectrum, no negative signal is observed. The absence of negative features is preferable, as they can obscure the wings of positive features, however it is possible to use the negative H<sub>2</sub>O lines to fine-tune the positions of the positive NH<sub>3</sub> lines, if one believes the position accuracy for the H<sub>2</sub>O lines is high.

#### 3.2. Calculating Theoretical Absorption Spectra

Pressure-broadened NH<sub>3</sub> absorption cross-sections  $\sigma(\nu, T)$  were calculated using BYTe and the procedure laid out in [42] but replacing the Gaussian line shape with a Voigt line shape. Lorentz half-widths were estimated from the experimental spectra and with reference to measured widths compiled in the HITRAN database. A transmittance spectrum was calculated from the cross-sections, taking into account absorption path length l [cm<sup>-1</sup>] and NH<sub>3</sub> concentration c [cm<sup>-3</sup>]:

$$\tau_{\text{calc}}^{\text{true}}(\nu, T) = \exp\left(-\sigma(\nu, T)lc\right)$$
(6)




Figure 4: Stability of the base line before  $(a_0)$  and after  $(a_0^t)$  measurements with NH<sub>3</sub>. The base line (blue) is the same as a theoretical one (red i.e. 0), except for the H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> bands. The blue line with negative H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> trace absorption shows that during the measurements some traces of H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> have been removed from the gas cell/lines. Noisy parts ( $< 900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $6000 \text{ cm}^{-1} <$ ) are due to low signal level on the detector. Spectral resolution  $> 0.09 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .




Figure 5: Absorption spectra (Blue, higher spectra)  $A_{\exp}^{a_0}$  (upper plot) and  $A_{\exp}^{a_0^t}$  (lower plot) compared to  $A_{\text{base}}$  (red, lower spectra). Negative features due to  $H_2O$  are indicated

The transmittance spectrum was then convolved with the instrument line shape (ILS) function  $\Gamma(\nu - \nu_0)$ :

$$\tau_{\rm calc}^{\rm eff}(\nu, T) = \int_0^\infty \tau_{\rm calc}^{\rm true}(\nu_0, T) \Gamma(\nu - \nu_0) d\nu_0 \tag{7}$$

For boxcar apodization, the ILS is a sinc function:

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \Lambda \operatorname{sinc}(\Lambda \pi \nu) = \Lambda \frac{\sin(\Lambda \pi \nu)}{(\Lambda \pi \nu)}$$
(8)

For triangular apodization, the ILS is a sinc<sup>2</sup> function:

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \Lambda \operatorname{sinc}^{2}(\Lambda \pi \nu) = \Lambda \frac{\sin^{2}(\Lambda \pi \nu)}{(\Lambda \pi \nu)^{2}}$$
(9)

where  $\Lambda$  is commonly termed the FTIR retardation and is generally defined as the inverse of the nominal resolution of the spectrometer [43].

Finally the theoretical absorption spectrum was computed as:

$$A_{calc}(\nu, T) = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_{calc}^{\text{eff}}(\nu, T)} \right]$$
 (10)

### 3.3. The Assignment Procedure

Taking the interpreted accuracies of the measurements and BYTe into account (see Section 5), experimental and theoretical peaks were measured and coupled using python scripts to produce an initial assignment list. In cases where multiple BYTe lines corresponded to a single peak, the peak was assigned to the strongest line.

At this point in the analysis MARVEL energy levels [29] became available and were subsequently used to test the initial assignment list. Assignments

associated with energy levels already determined by experiment may be verified by comparing to the line frequency generated by subtracting upper and lower state energies.

Comparison with MARVEL frequencies led to the identification of several 'problem' BYTe state energies. These energies disagreed with the experimentally derived energies to the extent that their use generated false assignments. In these cases the first step of the assignment procedure was repeated with MARVEL frequencies and BYTe intensities to generate intrinsically verified assignments. This is known as trivial assignment.

Any assignments that could not be verified but where not disproved by the comparison to MARVEL frequencies were kept as proposed assignments. The reliability of these assignments is discussed in Section 4.3.

A list of all verified and proposed assignments, the final assignment list, was then compared to previous studies, namely those catalogued in the HI-TRAN database [28] and Hargreaves *et al*'s high-temperature study [15].

# 4. Results and Discussion

The absorption measurements were performed at temperatures of 22.7, 300, 400, 500 and 1027 °C for the NH<sub>3</sub> volume concentrations of 1000 ppm (22.7), 0.5% (300, 400) and 1% (300, 500, 1027). The measurement at 22.7 °C was compared to a high resolution PNNL spectrum while the measurements at 300, 400, 500 and 1027 °C were used to test the accuracy of BYTe then analysed using BYTe to generate an assignment list for the data. The absorption spectra, a composite peak list (partially assigned) for the spectra measured at 1027 °C and new energy level information derived from the

assignments are presented in supplementary data.

## 4.1. High-resolution measurements at 22.7 C

The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) database [44] provides high quality reference cross sections for vapour phase infrared spectra obtained using FTIR spectroscopy and pure chemicals. For the data, calculated positional (wavenumber, cm<sup>-1</sup>) uncertainty is < 0.005 cm<sup>-1</sup> while the  $1\sigma$  statistical uncertainty in absorbance values in < 2%. The PNNL NH<sub>3</sub> spectrum at 25 °C is a composite of 12 measurements made at different NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations and a path length of 19.96 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Full details on sample conditions, instrument parameters and post-processing parameters are available from PNNL.

The experimental spectrum at 22.7 °C (only one NH<sub>3</sub> concentration) was scaled to the path length of the PNNL spectrum before comparison.

As is illustrated in Figure 6 there is excellent agreement between our measurements and the PNNL data, although our data are a little noisy because of the low signal level on the detector and the low NH<sub>3</sub> concentration. The measurements at 22.7 °C have been done in order to compare the current results with available data obtained with about the same class FTIR and (indirectly) check the performance of the whole system (i.e. gas mixing system, gas cell and optical set up).

## 4.2. Direct Comparison with BYTe

Since BYTe is designed to be used for all temperatures up to 1500 K (1773 °C) [14], it should be ideal for modelling FTIR spectra measured at temperatures of 1027 °C and below. However before proceeding with the




Figure 6: Comparison between absorption cross-sections at 22.7  $^{\circ}$ C (this work, blue) and reference absorption spectrum at 25  $^{\circ}$ C (PNNL, orange)

analysis it is pertinent to assess the accuracy of the line list. Experimental vs theoretical absorption spectra at 500 °C for the whole region (500 - 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is shown in Figure 7. In general, taking into account experimental noise, there is good agreement. However taking a closer look at the region  $1083 - 1106 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  where the experimental noise is relatively low (< 0.01 absorbance) suggests that BYTe is underestimating strong line intensities (by up to 30 %). Medium and weak line intensities are reproduced within 20 % and 40 % respectively. There are also some frequency shifts in line positions that are of the order  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  but reach up to  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in other regions. The comparison suggests that the BYTe line list is accurate to within 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> in frequency, at least in the region  $500 - 2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This is consistent with the expected accuracy of the line list [14]. Although it is possible to work with this accuracy it did lead to some false assignments in dense regions, which were identified and corrected partway through the study when the MARVEL results became available. The accuracy of BYTe intensities appears to vary with the strength of individual lines. As such experimental lines were coupled to BYTe lines within  $0.5~{\rm cm^{-1}}$  using intensity thresholds 30~%, 20~% and 40% for strong (> 0.4 absorbance), medium (> 0.1 absorbance) and weak (< 0.1 absorbance) lines respectively.

#### 4.3. Assignments

Out of 4309 measured experimental peaks 1967 lines have been assigned: about half of these lines are present in HITRAN. The remaining peaks either did not correspond to a BYTe line within the set wavenumber and intensity thresholds or corresponded to multiple BYTe lines with roughly equal contribution to the total intensity such that it could not be confidently assigned.




Figure 7: Comparison between experimental (blue) and calculated (BYTe, red) absorption spectra at 500  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  for the range 500 - 2100  ${\rm cm^{-1}}.$ 




Figure 8: Comparison between experimental (blue) and calculated (BYTe, red) absorption spectra at 500  $^{\circ}$ C for the range 1083 - 1106 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The partially assigned 1027 °C peak list is presented as supplementary data to this paper.

The comparison with previous studies, namely high temperature study [15] and studies compiled in the HITRAN database [28], is shown in Table 1. Of the 851 new assignments, 482 were also present, but unassigned, in [15].

Only 525 of the proposed assignments have the potential to provide new energy level information as, by definition, the upper and lower states of the MARVEL verified assignments are known experimentally. Hence this makes these proposed assignments the most interesting. For proposed assignments with an experimentally known lower energy state, energies for the upper state were computed using MARVEL energies and the frequency of the strongest assigned transition to that state. These are presented as supplementary data to this paper.

Lines were assigned to a large number of different bands including bending hot bands up to  $5v_2$ . While being unexpected this is not entirely surprising, as a detailed analysis of hot (1000 - 1500 °C) H<sub>2</sub>O spectra [45, 46, 47] produced similar results. Table 2 gives a summary of the observed bands including the number of lines assigned to each and, if the band was observed for the first time in this work, whether this number includes trivial assignments. For simplicity abbreviated vibrational labels  $(v_1v_2v_3^{L_3}v_4^{L_4}i)$  are used to identify bands in this table and only the highest value of the rotational quantum number J, assigned in this work for each band, is indicated. The full 26 quantum labels for each transition, 13 per vibration-rotation state as recommended by Down  $et\ al\ [27]$ , are given in the supplementary data.

Our 1027 °C spectrum of certain previously observed bands, such as

Table 1: Summary of  $NH_3$  lines assigned in the region 500-2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>

	Lines
Experimental	4309
HITRAN	1073
Hargreaves et al [15]	43
New trivial	326
New Line List	525
Total Assigned	1967

 $2v_2$  and  $v_4^1$ , has some higher J transitions than previously reported. For these MARVEL energies were used to provide a guideline observed-calculated difference to facilitate reliable line list (proposed) assignments beyond the known J values using the method of branches [48].

17 bands have been observed for the first time in this work, although some of the energy levels involved are experimentally known from measurements of other bands.

If many lines (>10) were assigned to a new band, we were able to track observed-calculated differences through the band. As these remained relatively stable we have confidence in our assignments. Furthermore if a subset of the assignments were trivial we were able to use the same technique as for previously observed bands to ensure our proposed assignments were sensible.

New bands to which only a few assignments could be made are more tentative.

Table 2: Summary of observed bands in order of theoretical (BYTe) vibrational band centre (VBC = VBO' - VBO" where VBO = vibrational band origin) with abbreviated  $(v_1v_2v_3^{L_3}v_4^{L_4}i)$ , vibrational labels and maximum upper and lower J rotational ( $J'_{max}$  and  $J''_{max}$  respectively) quantum number. N is the number of lines assigned to the band. If  $J_{max}$  in this work is higher than given in the literature, the previously known Jmax is given in parentheses. iMV indicates a new band with trivial assignments. \* VBO of 0+ is set to 0.0000000 cm<sup>-1</sup> in line with the MARVEL study [29].

		_					
Band	VBC	N			$J'_{max}$	$J"_{max}$	N
0+ - 0-	-0.793016*	1	28	27			
0 0+	0.793016	2	27	26			
$2v_2^+$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	254.80606	5	16	15			
$2v_2^-$ - $2v_2^+$	284.696502	14	19	18			
$(2v_2 + v_4^1)^ (2v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	313.043262	1	10	9	New	Band	
$(3v_2 + v_4^1)^ 4v_2^-$	466.768801	1	9 (None)	8	New	Band	
$3v_2^+$ - $2v_2^-$	501.978729	58	23 (14)	23(22)			
$(3v_2 + v_4^1)^+ - (2v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	504.649129	11	17 (None)	17	New	Band	
$3v_2^+$ - $3v_2^-$	511.366446	89	22 (13)	22 (14)	New	Band iMV	
$(3v_2 + v_4^1)^ (3v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	522.929054	13	16 (None)	16 (None	) New	Band	

Continued on next page

 ${\bf Table}\ 2-{\it Continued\ from\ previous\ page}$ 

Band	VBO	N	J'max	J"max	Note
$\frac{1}{(3v_2+v_4^1)^+ - 4v_2^-}$	544.263409	2	12 (None)	12 (7)	New Band
$4v_2^-$ - $(2v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	560.066366	4	10 (None)	10	New Band
$4v_2^+$ - $3v_2^-$	566.943220	72	21 (7)	21 (13)	New Band iMV
$4v_2^-$ - $4v_2^+$	599.680646	14	16 (None)	16 (7)	New Band
$(2v_2 + v_4^1)^+ - (v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	602.916749	34	17 (11)	17 (13)	
$(2v_2 + v_4^1)^ 3v_2^-$	606.552500	1	13	13	New Band
$2v_2^+$ - $v_2^-$	629.360589	120	24 (20)	24	
$5v_2^+$ - $4v_2^-$	633.065737	4	10 (None)	9 (7)	New Band
$v_4^{1,+}$ - $v_2^-$	658.157170	1	17	16	
$v_4^{1,-}$ - $v_2^-$	659.253867	50	21 (18)	20	
$5v_2^+$ - $(3v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	688.482974	1	11 (None)	10 (None)	New Band
$2v_{2}^{-}$ - $v_{2}^{-}$	896.853859	2	16	15	
$(v_2 + 2v_4^0)^+ - 2v_4^{0,-}$	898.073060	2	7	6	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^+$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	913.167342	68	19 (13)	18	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^+ - v_4^{1,+}$	914.264039	2	19 (13)	18	
$(2v_2 + v_4^1)^ (v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	915.960012	24	16	16 (13)	
$4v_2^+$ - $(v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	922.721046	1	13 (7)	13	New Band
$v_2^+$ - $0^-$	932.438362	208	24	23	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^+ - 2v_2^+$	948.056868	14	18 (13)	18	
$2v_2^-$ - $v_2^+$	949.745375	158	23 (22)	23	
$(v_2 + 2v_4^2)^-$ - $2v_4^{2,+}$	952.951385	2	7	6	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^ v_4^{1,-}$	958.749640	2	14 (13)	13	

Continued on next page

Table 2 – Continued from previous page

Band	VBO	N	J'max	J"max	Note
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^ v_4^{1,+}$	959.846337	62	18 (13)	17	
$v_2^-$ - $0^-$	967.329878	2	15	14	
$v_2^-$ - $0^+$	968.122894	141	23	22	
$(v_2 + v_3^1)^ v_3^{1,+}$	972.941917	4	8	8	
$(v_1 + v_2)^ v_1^+$	983.959104	4	10	9	
$(v_2 + v_3^1)^+ - v_3^{1,-}$	991.824695	2	9	8	
$3v_2^-$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	1267.97613	4	14	14	New Band iMV
$3v_2^-$ - $2v_2^+$	1301.041678	23	15 (13)	15	
$3v_2^+$ - $v_2^-$	1398.03582	32	18 (14)	18	
$(v_2 + 2v_4^0)^+$ - $(v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	1575.075800	2	9 (8)	8	
$4v_2^+$ - $2v_2^-$	1580.288396	2	10 (7)	9	New Band iMV
$(v_2 + 2v_4^0)^-$ - $(v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	1587.123794	1	6	6	
$2v_4^{0,+}$ - $v_4^{1,+}$	1589.719282	9	12	13	
$2v_4^{0,-}$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	1590.170082	6	12	13	
$(2v_2+v_4^1)^+$ - $2v_2^+$	1591.555915	7	19	18	New Band
$(v_2 + 2v_4^2)^+$ - $(v_2 + v_4^1)^+$	1595.391899	9	17 (7)	16 (13)	
$2v_2^+$ - $0^-$	1597.487235	23	18	18	
$(v_2 + 2v_4^2)^-$ - $(v_2 + v_4^1)^-$	1607.009156	1	11 (8)	10	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^+ - v_2^+$	1608.105741	67	18 (13)	19	
$2v_4^{2,+}$ - $v_4^{1,+}$	1613.904108	20	14 (11)	15	
$2v_4^{2,-}$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	1614.198476	28	17 (13)	18	
$(v_2 + v_4^1)^ v_2^-$	1617.999755	89	19 (13)	20	

Continued on next page

Table 2 – Continued from previous page

Band	VBO	N	J'max	J"max	Note
$2v_4^{0,-}$ - $2v_2^+$	1619.266592	1	8	9	
$(2v_2 + v_4^1)^ 2v_2^-$	1619.902676	5	11	12	New Band
$v_4^{1,+}$ - $0^-$	1625.485696	2	17	17	
$v_4^{1,+}$ - $0^+$	1626.280064	247	20 (18)	20	
$v_4^{1,-}$ - $0^-$	1626.582393	186	21 (18)	22	
$v_4^{1,-}$ - $0^+$	1627.376761	1	6	7	
$2v_4^{2,-}$ - $2v_2^+$	1643.294986	2	14 (13)	13	
$v_1^-$ - $v_4^{1,-}$	1709.695131	1	9	10	
$v_1^+$ - $v_4^{1,+}$	1701.788598	2	9	10	
$v_3^{1,+}$ - $v_4^{1,+}$	1817.344229	1	6	5	

# 5. Summary

High-resolution absorption measurements of  $\mathrm{NH_3}$  in the region 500 - 2100  $\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$  at atmospheric pressure and temperature of 300, 400, 500 and 1027 °C have been reported and analysed. A comparison of the measurements at 22.7°C with the composite  $\mathrm{NH_3}$  spectrum from the PNNL database shows excellent agreement.

A comparison between the measurements and BYTe shows in general good agreement through there are some frequency shifts in line position (up to  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and BYTe has some difficulty reproducing strong line intensities. Work towards a new NH<sub>3</sub> line list is currently being carried out as part of

the ExoMol project [49].

The use of BYTe and MARVEL has allowed the assignment of 1967 lines. 1116 lines were previously assigned, 1073 by studies included in the HITRAN database and an additional 43 by high temperature study [15]. 851 lines have been assigned for the first time in this work, 482 were also present but unassigned in the spectra analysed by [15]. The 326 new assignments verified by comparison with MARVEL frequencies, also known as trivial assignments, are secure as the accuracy of MARVEL energies is at least 10<sup>-4</sup>. Of the 525 proposed assignments, those associated with bands which have verified assignments in this work or numerous assignments should be reliable because the observed-calculated differences remain relatively stable within a given band. The remaining assignments should also be valid, as the method used in this work has proven effective at generating valid assignments and reproducing previous work. However caution is advised on the basis that an accuracy of 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> in frequency (the accuracy of BYTe) does not rule out miss-assignments.

The NH<sub>3</sub> spectrum between 500 - 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup> has now been comprehensively studied using both hot emission [15] and hot absorption (this work) spectra. It is the intention of the authors perform a similar analysis for high resolution absorption measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> in the region 1650 - 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> and potentially the hot emission spectra in this region presented by Hargreaves et~al~[33] which, to the best of our knowledge, has yet to be analysed.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from Energinet.dk project N. 2013-1-1027, by UCL through the Impact Studentship Program and the European Research Council under Advanced Investigator Project 267219.

#### References

- D. C. Trimble, AIR QUALITY: Information on Tall Smokestacks and Their Contribution to Interstate Transport of Air Pollution, Tech. rep., GAO U.S. Government Accountability Office, published: May 11. Publicly Released: Jun 10 (2011).
- [2] J. E. Staudt, Measureing Ammonia Slip from Post Combustion NOx Reduction System, Tech. rep., Andover Technology Partners (2000).
- [3] G. Knight, Selective Catalytic Reduction Technology for the Control of Nitrogen Oxide Emissions from Coal-Fired Boilers, DIANE Publishing, 2008.
- [4] E. Comission, Large Volume Inorganic Chemicals Ammonia, Acids and Fertilisers Industries, Tech. rep., Joint Research Centre, reference Document (2007).
- [5] J. F. Gomez, J. Trapero, S. Pascual, N. Patel, C. Morales, J. M. Torrelles, Ammonia observations of the nearby molecular cloud MBM 12, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 314 (2000) 743–746.
- [6] N. Biver, J. Crovisier, D. Bockele-Morvan, S. Szutowicz, D. Lis, P. Hartogh, M. de Val-Borro, R. Moreno, J. Boissier, M. Kidger, M. Kppers,

- G. Paubert, N. D. Russo, R. Vervack, H. Weaver, H. team, Ammonia and other parent molecules in comet 10P/Tempel 2 from Herschel/HIFI and ground-based radio observations, Astron. Astrophys. 539 (2012) A68.
- [7] J. H. Woodman, L. Trafton, T. Owen, The abundances of ammonia in the atmospheres of Jupiter, Saturn, and Titan, Icarus 32 (1977) 314–320.
- [8] D. Sudarsky, A. Burrows, I. Hubeny, Theoretical Spectra and Atmospheres of Extrasolar Giant Planets, Astrophys. J. 588 (2003) 1121.
- [9] K. J. Zahnle, M. S. Marley, Methane, Carbon Monoxide, and Ammonia in Brown Dwarfs and Self-Luminous Giant Planets, Astrophys. J.In press.
- [10] J. I. Canty, P. W. Lucas, J. Tennyson, S. N. Yurchenko, S. K. Leggett, C. G. Tinney, H. R. A. Jones, B. Burningham, D. J. Pinfield, R. L. Smart, Methane and Ammonia in the near-infrared spectra of late T dwarfs, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 450 (2015) 454–480. doi:10.1093/ mnras/stv586.
- [11] M. C. Cushing, J. D. Kirkpatrick, C. R. Gelino, R. L. Griffith, M. F. Skrutskie, A. Mainzer, K. A. Marsh, C. A. Beichman, A. J. Burgasser, L. A. Prato, R. A. Simcoe, M. S. Marley, D. Saumon, R. S. Freedman, P. R. Eisenhardt, E. L. Wright, The Discovery of Y Dwarfs using Data from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE), Astrophys. J. 743 (2011) 50.
- [12] P. W. Lucas, C. G. Tinney, B. Burningham, S. K. Leggett, D. J. Pinfield, R. Smart, H. R. A. Jones, F. Marocco, R. J. Barber, S. N. Yurchenko,

- J. Tennyson, M. Ishii, M. Tamura, A. C. Day-Jones, A. Adamson, F. Allard, D. Homeier, The discovery of a very cool, very nearby brown dwarf in the Galactic plane, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 408 (2010) L56–L60.
- [13] A. Fateev, S. Clausen, High-resolution spectroscopy of gases at elevated temperatures for industrial applications, in: 22nd UCL Astrophysics Colloquium: Opacities in Cool Stars and Exoplanets, 2012.
- [14] S. N. Yurchenko, R. J. Barber, J. Tennyson, A variationally computed hot line list for NH<sub>3</sub>, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 413 (2011) 1828–1834.
- [15] N. F. Zobov, S. V. Shirin, R. I. Ovsyannikov, O. L. Polyansky, S. N. Yurchenko, R. J. Barber, J. Tennyson, R. Hargreaves, P. Bernath, Analysis of high temperature Ammonia spectra from 780 to 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 269 (2011) 104–108.
- [16] S. N. Yurchenko, R. J. Barber, J. Tennyson, W. Thiel, P. Jensen, Towards efficient refinement of molecular potential energy surfaces: Ammonia as a case study, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 268 (2011) 123–129.
- [17] S. N. Yurchenko, W. Thiel, P. Jensen, Theoretical ROVibrational Energies (TROVE): A robust numerical approach to the calculation of rovibrational energies for polyatomic molecules, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 245 (2007) 126–140. doi:10.1016/j.jms.2007.07.009.
- [18] S. N. Yurchenko, R. J. Barber, A. Yachmenev, W. Thiel, P. Jensen, J. Tennyson, A variationally computed T=300 K line list for NH<sub>3</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 11845–11855.

- [19] X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, T. J. Lee, Rovibrational spectra of ammonia. i. unprecedented accuracy of a potential energy surface used with nonadiabatic corrections, J. Chem. Phys. 134 (2011) 044320.
- [20] X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, T. J. Lee, Rovibrational spectra of ammonia. II. Detailed analysis, comparison, and prediction of spectroscopic assignments for <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>, <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>, and <sup>14</sup>ND<sub>3</sub>, J. Chem. Phys. 134 (2011) 044321.
- [21] K. Sung, L. R. Brown, X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, T. J. Lee, S. L. Coy, K. K. Lehmann, Extended line positions, intensities, empirical lower state energies and quantum assignments of nh<sub>3</sub> from 6300 to 7000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 113 (2012) 1066–1083.
- [22] H. Sasada, Y. Endo, E. Hirota, R. L. Poynter, J. S. Margolis, Microwave and fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy of the  $v_4 = 1$  and  $v_2 = 2$  states of nh<sub>3</sub>, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 151 (1992) 33–53.
- [23] I. Kleiner, L. R. Brown, G. Tarrago, Q.-L. Kou, N. Picqué, G. Guelachvili, V. Dana, J.-Y. Mandin, Positions and intensities in the  $2\nu_4/\nu_1/\nu_3$  vibrational system of <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> near 3  $\mu$ m, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 193 (1999) 46–71.
- [24] C. Cottaz, I. Kleiner, G. Tarrago, L. R. Brown, J. S. Margolis, R. L. Poynter, H. M. Pickett, T. Fouchet, P. Drossart, E. Lellouch, Line positions and intensities in the  $2\nu_2/\nu_4$  vibrational system of <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> near 57  $\mu$ m, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 203 (2000) 285–309.

- [25] C. Cottaz, G. Tarrago, I. Kleiner, L. R. Brown, Assignments and intensities of <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> hot bands in the 5- to 8- $\mu$ m (3 $\nu_2 \nu_2$ ,  $\nu_2 + \nu_4 \nu_2$ ) and 4- $\mu$ m (4 $\nu_2 \nu_2$ ,  $\nu_1 \nu_2$ ,  $\nu_3 \nu_2$  and 2 $\nu_4 \nu_2$ ) regions, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 209 (2001) 30–49.
- [26] S. Yu, J. C. Pearson, B. J. Drouin, K. Sung, O. Pirali, M. Vervloet, M.-A. Martin-Drumel, C. P. Endres, T. Shiraishi, K. Kobayashi, F. Matsushima, Submillimeter-wave and far-infrared spectroscopy of high-j transitions of the ground and  $\nu_2 = 1$  states of ammonia, J. Chem. Phys. 133 (2010) 174317.
- [27] M. J. Down, C. Hill, S. N. Yurchenko, J. Tennyson, L. R. Brown, I. Kleiner, Re-analysis of ammonia spectra: Updating the HITRAN <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 130 (2013) 260– 272.
- [28] L. S. Rothman, I. E. Gordon, Y. Babikov, A. Barbe, D. C. Benner, P. F. Bernath, M. Birk, L. Bizzocchi, V. Boudon, L. R. Brown, A. Campargue, K. Chance, E. A. Cohen, L. H. Coudert, V. M. Devi, B. J. Drouin, A. Fayt, J.-M. Flaud, R. R. Gamache, J. J. Harrison, J.-M. Hartmann, C. Hill, J. T. Hodges, D. Jacquemart, A. Jolly, J. Lamouroux, R. J. Le Roy, G. Li, D. A. Long, O. M. Lyulin, C. J. Mackie, S. T. Massie, S. Mikhailenko, H. S. P. Müller, O. V. Naumenko, A. V. Nikitin, J. Orphal, V. Perevalov, A. Perrin, E. R. Polovtseva, C. Richard, M. A. H. Smith, E. Starikova, K. Sung, S. Tashkun, J. Tennyson, G. C. Toon, V. G. Tyuterev, G. Wagner, The HITRAN 2012 molecular spectro-

- scopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 130 (2013) 4 50. doi:10.1016/jqsrt.2013.07.002.
- [29] A. R. Al Derzi, T. Furtenbacher, S. N. Yurchenko, J. Tennyson, A. G. Császár, MARVEL analysis of the measured high-resolution spectra of <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 161 (2015) 117–130. doi: 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2015.03.034.
- [30] T. Furtenbacher, A. G. Császár, J. Tennyson, MARVEL: measured active rotational-vibrational energy levels, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 245 (2007) 115–125.
- [31] T. Furtenbacher, A. G. Császár, MARVEL: measured active rotational-vibrational energy levels. II. Algorithmic improvements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 113 (2012) 929–935.
- [32] R. J. Hargreaves, G. Li, P. F. Bernath, Ammonia line lists from 1650 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 113 (2012) 670–679.
- [33] R. J. Hargreaves, G. Li, P. F. Bernath, Hot NH<sub>3</sub> spectra for astrophysical applications, Astrophys. J. 735 (2012) 111.
- [34] R. Nassar, P. Bernath, Hot methane spectra for astrophysical applications, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 82 (2003) 279–292. doi:10.1016/S0022-4073(03)00158-4.
- [35] H. Grosch, A. Fateev, K. L. Nielsen, S. Clausen, Hot gas flow cell for optical measurements on reactive gases, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 130 (2013) 392–399.

- [36] V. Evseev, A. Fateev, S. Clausen, High-resolution transmission measurements of  $CO_2$  at high temperatures for industrial applications, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 113 (2012) 2222–2233.
- [37] V. Bercher, S. Clausen, A. Fateev, H. Spliethoff, Oxyfuel combustion, Int. Greenhouse Gas control 5 (2011) S76–99.
- [38] M. Alberti, R. Weber, M. Mancini, A. Fateev, S. Clausen, Validation of HITEMP-2010 for carbon dioxide and water vapour at high temperatures and atmospheric pressure in 450-7600cm<sup>-1</sup> spectral range, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 157 (2015) 14-33.
- [39] L. S. Rothman, I. E. Gordon, R. J. Barber, H. Dothe, R. R. Gamache, A. Goldman, V. I. Perevalov, S. A. Tashkun, J. Tennyson, HITEMP, the High-Temperature Molecular Spectroscopic Database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 111 (2010) 2139–2150.
- [40] S. Clausen, K. A. Nielsen, A. Fateev, Ceramic gas cell operating up to 1873 K, Meas. Sci. Technol., in preparation.
- [41] A. Fateev, S. Clausen, Online non-contact gas analysis, Tech. rep., Technical University of Denmark, contract no.: Energinet.dk no. 2006 1 6382. (2008).
- [42] C. Hill, S. N. Yurchenko, J. Tennyson, Temperature-dependent molecular absorption cross sections for exoplanets and other atmospheres, Icarus 226 (2013) 1673–1677.
- [43] P. R. Griffiths, J. A. de Haseth, Fourier transform infrared spectrometry: chemical analysis, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1986.

- [44] S. W. Sharpe, T. J. Johnson, R. L. Sams, P. M. Chu, G. C. Rhoderick, P. A. Johnson, Gas-phase databases for quantitative infrared spectroscopy, Appl. Spectrosc. 58 (2004) 1452–1461. doi:10.1366/0003702042641281.
- [45] O. L. Polyansky, N. F. Zobov, J. Tennyson, J. A. Lotoski, P. F. Bernath, Hot bands of water in the  $\nu_2$  manifold up to  $5\nu_2 4\nu_2$ , J. Mol. Spectrosc. 184 (1997) 35–50.
- [46] N. F. Zobov, O. L. Polyansky, J. Tennyson, J. A. Lotoski, P. Colarusso, K.-Q. Zhang, P. F. Bernath, Hot bands of water up to  $6\nu_2 5\nu_2$  in the 933 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 193 (1999) 118–136.
- [47] N. F. Zobov, S. V. Shirin, O. L. Polyansky, J. Tennyson, P.-F. Coheur, P. F. Bernath, M. Carleer, R. Colin, Monodromy in the water molecules, Chem. Phys. Lett. 414 (2005) 193–197.
- [48] O. L. Polyansky, N. F. Zobov, S. Viti, J. Tennyson, P. F. Bernath, L. Wallace, K band spectrum of water in sunspots, Astrophys. J. 489 (1997) L205–L208.
- [49] J. Tennyson, S. N. Yurchenko, ExoMol: molecular line lists for exoplanet and other atmospheres, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 425 (2012) 21–33.