Young people and physical activity: a systematic review matching their views to effective interventions

Rees R¹, Kavanagh J¹, Harden A¹, Shepherd J², Brunton G¹, Oliver S¹, Oakley A¹

¹Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and Co-ordinating Centre (EPPI-Centre), Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London, 18 Woburn Square, London, WC1H ONR, UK

² Wessex Institute for Health Research and Development (WIHRD), Mailpoint 728, Boldrewood, University of Southampton, SO16 7PX, UK.

Running title: Young people and physical activity: a systematic review Word count = 4,003 without abstract.

Abstract

A systematic review was conducted to examine the barriers to, and facilitators of, physical activity amongst young people (11-16 years). The review focused on the wider determinants of health, examining community and society level interventions. Four trials and 16 studies of young people's views were included. Evidence for the effectiveness of the interventions was limited, with some suggestions of improvements in knowledge and possible differences according to gender. Young women in particular identified barriers to physical activity associated with certain ways of providing Physical Education (PE) in schools. Young people in general identified a need for increased choice and facilities within the community and emphasised physical activity's social side. Some of the barriers and facilitators identified by young people had been addressed by 'soundly evaluated' effective interventions but significant gaps were identified where no evaluated interventions appear to have been published (e.g. initiatives explicitly addressing gender issues or the combination of sport and other leisure activities), or where there were no methodologically 'sound' evaluations. Rigorous evaluation is required particularly to assess initiatives that address the limited practical and material resources that young people identify as barriers to physical activity.

Introduction

Physical activity promotion is high on the health policy agenda. Low levels of physical activity in young people have been linked to increased rates of obesity, cardiovascular disease and poor mental health (1). Guidelines recommend that all young people should participate in physical activity, of at least moderate intensity, for one hour per day (2). Yet in the late 1990s only 58% of young men (aged 16 - 24 years) and 32% of young women achieved even 30 minutes on five or more days per week (3). Recent figures also reveal alarming levels of obesity and overweight in young people. A recent government report recognised that changing health behaviour is complex. It noted 'it is critical that obesity is tackled first and foremost at a societal rather than an individual level' (4). Effective promotion of physical activity remains a key strategy in achieving a mass shift in activity levels (5).

Policy makers and practitioners require evidence-based summaries of research on what helps and what hinders physical activity in order to plan effective interventions that are likely to bring about sustainable changes to activity levels and to identify future research needs. The objectives of the systematic review reported in this paper were:

- Systematically to <u>locate and characterise</u> the existing research literature on the barriers to, and facilitators of, physical activity amongst young people, especially those from socially excluded groups (e.g. low income, ethnic minority – in accordance with government health policy.);
- 2. To prioritise a sub-set of studies to review systematically in-depth;

- To <u>synthesise</u> what is known from these studies about the barriers to, and facilitators of, physical activity among young people, and how these can be addressed; and
- 4. To identify gaps in existing research evidence.

Method

This study followed standard procedures for a systematic review, which include transparent and principled methods for identifying, describing, appraising and collating research addressing a specified research question (6;7). It was innovative in that it aimed to answer not only questions about effectiveness, but also questions about the appropriateness of interventions in terms of whether they address the expressed needs of young people. These methods have been applied to other health areas, including the promotion of healthy eating (8; 9; 10; 11; 12).

The review adopted a conceptual framework of barriers to, and facilitators of, health, where interventions are thought of as aiming to modify or remove barriers and / or build upon existing facilitators. The review had two stages(13;14). First, systematic searches and screening identified research matching a broad review question. This research was classified so as to describe the range of existing research in a 'systematic map' (see Figure 1). The second stage was an in-depth review of a sub-set of this research. The in-depth review contained three syntheses:

1) of effectiveness studies (trials);

2) of data from surveys or interview-based studies of young people's experiences and perspectives (views studies); and

3) a 'cross-study synthesis', where trials data were juxtaposed with data from the views studies.

This approach aims to contextualise the findings of effectiveness studies, and to discover to what extent they address issues important to potential intervention recipients.

Literature searching and screening

Highly sensitive searches were run across a wide range of electronic databases (e.g. The Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, ERIC, and the Social Science Citation Index). A range of controlled and free-text terms for physical activity were combined with those for health promotion / determinants of health and young people. The searches covered the full range of publication years available in each database up to 2001 (when the review was completed). Full details of searches and all other methods are reported elsewhere (15).

Inclusion criteria were applied to each study. For a study to be included in the map it had to: focus on physical activity of any kind; include young people aged 11-16; be about the promotion of physical activity, and/or the barriers to, or facilitators of, physical activity; be a relevant study type (an outcome or process evaluation, a systematic review or a UK-based study that did not involve an intervention); and be published in the English language.

Selecting studies for the in-depth review.

The research topics covered in the map were discussed in a meeting with government policy makers. They identified several policy relevant questions and agreed that trials and UK-based non-intervention studies of young people's views should be prioritized for the in-depth review. The review team then drew up the following inclusion criteria for the in-depth review.

Trials were included in the in-depth review if they met the criteria for the map and:

- reported an intervention that aimed to make a change at the level of the community or society,
- used a comparison group design,
- reported both pre and post-test data,
- used random allocation or demonstrated equivalence between groups before intervention,
- measured either the behaviour or health of young people.

UK based non-intervention studies were included in the in-depth review, if, in addition to meeting the map criteria, they:

- studied young people's definitions of and/or ideas about physical activity or factors influencing their own or other young people's physical activity;
- presented views as data;
- were published after 1990 (to maximise the relevance of the review findings to current policy issues)

Data extraction and quality assessment

All studies meeting inclusion criteria for the in-depth systematic review underwent data extraction and quality assessment, using a standardised framework (16). Data for each study were entered independently by two researchers into a specialised computer database (17).

Trials were considered methodologically 'sound' for the purposes of this review if they reported:

- A control or comparison group equivalent to the intervention group on socio-demographic characteristics and pre-intervention outcome variables.
- Pre-intervention data for all individuals or groups recruited into the evaluation;
- (iii) Post-intervention data for all individuals or groups recruited into the evaluation; and
- (iv) Data on all outcomes described in the aims of the intervention.

Only studies meeting these criteria were used to draw conclusions about effectiveness. The results of the studies that did not meet these criteria were judged unclear and their findings were not used to inform conclusions about intervention effectiveness. Views studies were assessed according to a total of seven criteria common to those proposed by four research groups for qualitative research (18).

- An explicit account of theoretical framework and/or the inclusion of a literature review;
- (ii) Clearly stated aims and objectives;
- (iii) A clear description of context which includes detail on factors important for interpreting the results;
- (iv) A clear description of the sample;
- A clear description of methodology, including systematic data collection methods;
- (vi) Analysis of the data by more than one researcher; and
- (vii) The inclusion of sufficient original data to mediate between data and interpretation.

Data synthesis

Three types of analyses were performed:

- (i) narrative synthesis of trials;
- (ii) narrative synthesis of views studies; and
- (iii) synthesis of trials and views studies together.

In the second synthesis each study's findings were considered in relation to developing interventions for promoting participation in physical activity, using four separate questions (presented under results below). For the last synthesis a matrix was constructed which laid out the barriers and facilitators identified by young people alongside descriptions of the interventions included in the in-depth systematic review of trials (19).

Results

Of the total of 7,048 citations identified, 96 reports (describing 90 studies) were included in the descriptive map (figure i). A sub-set of 12 trials and 16 studies of young people's views entered the in-depth review.

<Figure i about here>

Trials

Twelve trials were included in the in-depth systematic review. Following quality assessment, eight were excluded from the synthesis since they did not meet the review's methodological quality criteria (20-27). Whilst their findings were not synthesised, we did refer to the interventions studied in these evaluations later in our cross-study synthesis (see below). For the remainder of this section we report only the results of the four evaluations that met the review's methodological quality criteria.

<Table i about here>

Table i reports the characteristics of the four studies. Three studies (reported in two papers) were conducted in the USA (28;29), and one in the UK (30). Two of the evaluations (29) studied the same intervention but in two very different parts of New York State. All the interventions took place in schools. Three of the evaluations were judged to have limited findings because they reported effects only for some of the outcomes measured, and we judged the findings of the fourth evaluation to be unclear.

The 'Wessex Healthy Schools Award' took a whole school approach that sought to make health-promoting changes in the ethos, organisation and curriculum (30). In a cluster controlled trial, eleven English intervention schools were compared to five control schools matched on area and socio-economic status. The intervention only appeared possibly to be effective for increasing reported physical activity levels in girls. Statistical significance is not reported.

The aim of the 'Know Your Body' programme was to promote good nutrition and physical activity and prevent smoking amongst children aged 9 years old (at the start of the 5 year study), with the objective of reducing future risk for cardiovascular disease and cancer (29). The programme was evaluated in two separate randomised controlled trials in demographically diverse areas of New York; the Bronx area, and Westchester County suburb. For the intervention group in the Bronx, there was a statistically significant net increase in knowledge about preventing heart disease and cancer. Net improvements were not seen in other measurements (including exercise recovery rate, blood cholesterol and blood pressure measures). While the authors reported favourable net effects of the intervention in Westchester County for knowledge and cholesterol levels, we judged the results of the evaluation to be unclear. Here the reviewers were concerned about a mismatch between the study's unit of assignment and unit of analysis (schools were assigned rather than pupils in this study).

A third US study, the 'Slice of Life' initiative, involved peer education and motivation for health behaviour change, with an emphasis on socio-cultural and environmental influences on healthy eating and physical activity (28). The study was undertaken in a suburban high school with 9th Grade (14-15 year old) students. Six measures of physical activity were assessed, incorporating knowledge, intentions and reported exercise. Significant differences between study groups at follow-up were seen only for young women on four of the six measures (knowledge of the benefits of exercising; taking regular exercise; intensity of exercise; and intentions to increase the frequency, intensity and duration of exercise). No significant differences were seen for time spent exercising or healthy exercise choices. The evaluation found no evidence of impact of the intervention on young men.

Young people's views

Table ii provides details of the 16 studies included in the synthesis of young people's views (31-45) (one report - (44) - contained two studies).

<Table ii about here>

The characteristics of the young people participating in these studies were not always easy to assess. Only age and sex were consistently reported. Most of the studies included a range of ages between 11 and 16. Three studies focused only on young women. Only five studies indicated participants' social class: two of these were with primarily working-class samples. Only six studies indicated that young people from ethnic minority groups were included. Most studies used school samples and collected data from young people when they were in school. The findings from these studies may therefore not be applicable to young people who are excluded from, infrequently attend, or have left, school.

The methodological quality of the studies was variable. Whilst the majority provided a clear description of study context and clearly stated their aims, only three reported any attempt to establish the reliability or validity of their data analysis.

The findings of the studies are grouped below under the four questions applied during synthesis. A common theme was young people's desire for autonomy, choice and respect. Young women were also regularly reported to have more negative perspectives.

What are young people's perceptions of and attitudes to physical activity? What does physical activity mean to young people?

All but one of the studies addressed one or both of these questions. Gender and current physical activity levels were key factors in understanding variation. The vast majority saw physical activity as important and had positive beliefs about its benefits. Physical activity was valued for increasing health and fitness (including mental health) and developing new skills and creating opportunities for socialising and enjoyment. Gentle *et al.* (34) found that young people with low activity levels had less positive beliefs about the social value of physical activity. Another study found no difference in reasons for exercising between different ethnic groups in north London (43). In three studies, young women particularly valued the role of physical activity in maintaining weight and a toned figure (40;42;43).

Preferred sports included badminton, tennis, swimming, football and basketball, with young women expressing a preference for more recent additions to the curriculum, such as cycling and aerobics (37;40;42). Other studies also reported varying views on preferences for competitive exercise, with young women and young men with low activity levels expressing a dislike for this kind of physical activity (34;41).

Whereas young men reported physical activity to fit comfortably within their leisure time both within and outside of school (33;41), in many studies it did not feature as part of young women's leisure time. Their descriptions of what it meant to 'become a woman' did not include physical activity, which they also saw as 'babyish'. For young men, participation confirmed their masculinity (33), and they were more likely than young women to see themselves as physically active and fit even if their activity levels were low. Physical activity and fitness were predominantly equated with sport and exercise. Both were seen as requiring hard work, as competitive and requiring considerable skill. Young men held negative stereotypes about young women's abilities in sport.

What do young people think stops them from taking part in physical activity?

Twelve studies addressed this question (31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45). Barriers identified by young people included: not feeling competent enough to take part; negative reactions from peers over skill and choice of activity; feelings of inertia and conflicting interests; self-consciousness about bodies; parental constraints, sometimes related to concerns about safety, or cultural restrictions; time and facilities; and dislike of highly structured activities or those organised by adults. Many of these issues were particularly problematic for young women. Consistent across a number of studies was a lack of practical and material resources needed for taking part or sustaining involvement in physical activity. Several studies reported that young people held negative perceptions of physical education at school. Participation in school PE was particularly problematic for young women. Whilst generally identifying a lack of choice of activities on offer and a lack of consultation in what activities they would like to do, many of the barriers identified by young women were to do with PE facilities and rules such as inadequate changing and showering facilities, a lack of time for changing, and unacceptable gym kits such as short skirts.

What do young people think helps them to take part in physical activity?

Six studies addressed this question (33;37-39;41;44). Many of the facilitators were identified by young people who were already physically active. Young people described a range of things to do with the self that helped or motivated them. These included a chance to show off their skills; enjoyment; and using exercise as a way of

relieving stress. Mulvihill *et al.* (41) identified different facilitators according to whether young people were active (social benefits; competitiveness; sense of achievement and feelings of confidence) or inactive (enjoyment; well-being; avoiding boredom; and help with losing weight for females). Parental support was important for creating opportunities for physical activity, encouragement and financial support; and social support from friends was important for young women, especially in terms of trying out a new activity. Liking and respecting PE teachers was described as helpful to participation at school (38).

What ideas do young people have for what could or should be done to promote their participation in physical activity?

Five studies addressed ways of facilitating participation in physical activity (31;35;40-42). The majority of the young people's suggestions were about increasing practical and material resources such as: creating more cycle lanes; making activities more affordable; increasing access to clubs for dancing; and provision of single sex physical activities in youth clubs alongside or followed by mixed sex (non-physical) activities (combining sports and leisure facilities). Young people suggested emphasising the fun and social aspects of physical activity. Young women's ideas reflected a desire for more equal opportunities.

Cross-study synthesis

Evaluations of interventions addressing the barriers or facilitators expressed by young people were identified in four areas: the school; physical and material resources; relationships with family and friends; and the self (see table iii).

In schools, young people identified specific barriers related to PE. For young women in particular, this included a dislike of activities they saw as too routine; inappropriate or inadequate facilities (e.g. gym kit, showers; the 'rules and arrangements' surrounding PE (e.g. lack of time for changing) and unsupportive teachers. None of the 'soundly evaluated' interventions directly addressed issues of gender and PE. One UK intervention using a 'whole school' approach was possibly effective in increasing participation in physical activity amongst women (30). Young people recommended that school PE should involve consultation over choice of activities and new activities such as aerobics and cycling. None of the 'soundly evaluated' interventions built on these facilitators.

Three interventions targeting young women whose evaluations were not judged 'sound' by our criteria addressed the suggestion from young women that they should be given more choice of activities in school (22;23;27). These all provided programmes of physical activity that included activities such as dancing, gymnastics and 'health hustles' (moving to music).

Relationships with family and friends presented both barriers and facilitators. Limiting factors included: parental constraint (due to safety concerns; monitoring of leisure time; particular cultural values); fear of negative evaluation from peers (particularly from young men); and young women prioritising boyfriends' preferences for leisure time activities. Parents and friends could also be a source of support for physical activity. Two interventions addressed these barriers and facilitators in ways that appear effective. One involved both parents and young people through family exercise days, and approach that was effective in improving knowledge in one setting (29). In another intervention, the aim was to increase peer support and to teach peer pressure resistance skills (28). This was effective in young women in terms of increasing knowledge of the benefits of physical activity and intentions to exercise. Young people also recommended that physical activity could be encouraged by combining sports and (non-active) leisure facilities for socialising. However, no effective interventions were identified which built on this facilitator.

That parents could be a source of support for physical activity was addressed by five evaluations judged not to be 'methodologically sound' (20;21;23;25;26). These involved educational programmes that either brought young people and their parents together to engage in physical activity, or educated parents separately about its benefits.

In relation to the self, young people identified as barriers: lack of confidence and competence; feelings of discomfort and self-consciousness about bodies (young women only); lack of motivation and 'inertia'; preference for other activities; and lack of knowledge about the benefits of physical activity. They also described the social and psychological benefits of exercise as motivators. Two effective interventions included educational components emphasising the benefits of physical

activity (both showed effects for young women only). It is not clear to what extent these also addressed other barriers such as lack of confidence. Young women endorsed magazine articles on women taking part in physical activity as a way of promoting physical activity, but no interventions were identified which built on this.

In terms of practical and material resources young people recommended: the creation of more cycle lanes; making activities more affordable; and providing more acceptable forms of physical activity (e.g. not highly structured and organised by adults). Although young people feel that they have enough information on the facilities available, some want more consensus about desirable levels of activity. No effective interventions were identified which built on these facilitators.

Discussion

This review has systematically identified the research on the barriers to, and facilitators of, participation in activity in young people. We found a lack of good quality studies evaluating the effectiveness of interventions. In the four high quality outcome evaluations identified the effectiveness of the interventions studied was limited.

One UK-based intervention, using a whole school approach, was possibly effective at increasing physical activity levels, but only for young women (30). One teacherled intervention from the USA was effective for increasing health-related knowledge in a low-income sample of mostly African American or Hispanic young people (29). However the effectiveness of the same intervention conducted in a more affluent neighbouring area was unclear (29). One peer-led intervention in the USA showed no apparent effect for reported time spent on physical activity or healthy exercise choices, though females reported more regular exercise, an increase in the intensity of physical activity, more favourable intentions to undertake physical activity and an increase in knowledge (28).

The trials indicate differences in effectiveness between genders. The synthesis of young people's views also revealed gender to be an important factor in the promotion of physical activity. Young women in particular identified specific barriers related to the way PE is provided in schools, including a dislike for activities run too many times, inappropriate or inadequate facilities, the rules and arrangements surrounding PE and unsupportive or insensitive teachers. One of the key recommendations from young people about how physical activity might be promoted was that there should be increased choice. They emphasised the need to develop the social side of physical activity and provide more opportunities for activities that are fun, with space and time for both single-sex and mixed activities.

Our findings bring together several pertinent research gaps on: community-based interventions; the promotion of active recreation or active lifestyles and the reduction of sedentary behaviour; and promoting physical activity amongst socially excluded groups. Our systematic searches highlighted that there has been very little research on physical activity that attends to social diversity among young people.

Searches for systematic reviews and trials published since this review was conducted indicate that these research gaps are yet to be filled. We have identified one systematic review that complements this one in that it looks beyond our focus on community or society-level changes to include interventions that work solely with young people's knowledge, attitudes or skills (46). Further physical activity promoting initiatives are likely to be underway, some of which may match the needs identified by studies of young people's views. It is important for all physical activitypromoting initiatives to be thoroughly evaluated using, where possible, controlled trials, preferably randomized, with comprehensive formative and process evaluation. Such evaluations should be included in a future updated systematic review.

Conclusion

On the basis of the evidence identified so far, the promotion of physical activity at the community-and society level has uncertain benefits. The essential components for success are unclear, and may not translate to long-term participation in physical activity. A multi-faceted or whole school approach might be promising. Other promising approaches include those that aim to support teachers to foster supportive and sensitive teaching strategies that include activities other than competitive sports and team games.

Interventions which take into account young people's views and so require rigorous evaluation include those which aim to: increase the range of 'free' diverse activities through after-school clubs and community-based initiatives; provide community and school facilities for safe bicycling; improve PE facilities at school; provide young people with choices about types of physical activity; and emphasise the fun and social aspects of sport and exercise. Future initiatives to promote physical activity among young people should also take their views as a starting point.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Chris Bonell and Dina Kiwan for undertaking data extraction in this report. We would also like to acknowledge the invaluable help of Amanda Nicholas, James Thomas, Elaine Hogan, Sue Bowdler and Salma Master at the EPPI Centre for support and helpful advice throughout the preparation and write up of this report.

The Department of Health, England, funds a specific programme of health promotion work at the EPPI-Centre. The views expressed in the report are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health.

References

- Riddoch C. Relationships between physical activity and health in young people. In: Health Education Authority (ed). *Young and Active? Young People and Health-Enhancing Physical Activity - Evidence and Implications*. London: Health Education Authority, 1998.
- 2. Biddle S, Cavill N, Sallis J. Health enhancing physical activity for young people: statement of the United Kingdom Expert Consensus Conference. *Paediatric Exercise Science* 2001;**13**:12-25.
- 3. Department of Health. *Health Survey for England: the health of young people 1995-97.* Department of Health: London, 2000.
- 4. House of Commons Health Committee. *Obesity. Third report of session 2003-2004, Volume 1.* The Stationary Office: London, 2004.
- 5. Department of Health. *Choosing Health: making healthier choices easier* (*Public Health White Paper*). The Stationary Office: London, 2004.
- 6. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Altman D. Systematic reviews in health care: meta analysis in context. London: BMJ Books, 2001.
- 7. Oliver S, Peersman G. *Using research for effective health promotion*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 2001.
- 8. Shepherd J, Harden A, Rees R, Brunton G, Garcia J, Oliver S, Oakley A.. Young people and healthy eating: a systematic review of research on barriers and facilitators. *Health Education Research* Oct 26 2005; [Epub ahead of print]
- 9. Harden A, Rees R, Shepherd J, Brunton G, Oliver S, Oakley A. *Young People and Mental Health: A Systematic Review of Research on Barriers and Facilitators.* EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education: London, 2001.
- 10. Brunton G, Thomas J, Harden A, Rees R, Kavanagh J, Oliver S, Shepherd J, Oakley A. Promoting physical activity amongst children outside of physical education classes: a systematic review integrating intervention studies and qualitative studies. *Health Education Journal* 2005;64(4):323-338.
- Rees R, Kavanagh J, Burchett H, Shepherd J, Brunton G, Harden A, Thomas J, Oliver S, Oakley A. *HIV Health Promotion and Men who have Sex with Men* (*MSM*): A systematic review of research relevant to the development and implementation of effective and appropriate interventions. The EPPI Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London: London, 2004.
- 12. Thomas J, Sutcliffe K, Harden A, Oakley A, Oliver S, Rees R, Brunton G, Kavanagh J. *Children and Healthy Eating: A systematic review of barriers and*

facilitators. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London: London, 2003.

- 13. Harden A. The fine detail: conducting a systematic review. In: Oliver S, Peersman G (eds). *Using research for effective health promotion*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 2001.
- 14. Peersman G, Harden A, Oliver S. *Effectiveness Reviews in Health Promotion*. EPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education: London, 1999.
- 15. Rees R, Harden A, Shepherd J, Brunton G, Oliver S, Oakley A. *Young people and physical activity: a systematic review of research on barriers and facilitators.* EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London: London, 2001.
- 16. Peersman G, Oliver S, Oakley A. *EPPI-Centre Review Guidelines*. EPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education: London, 1997.
- 17. Thomas J. *EPPI-Reviewer* 2.0 (*Web edition*). *EPPI-Centre Software*. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London: London, 2002.
- Harden A, Garcia J, Oliver S, Rees R, Shepherd J, Brunton G, Oakley A. Applying systematic review methods to studies of people's views: an example from public health research. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2004;**58**:794-800.
- 19. Thomas J, Harden A, Oakley A, Oliver S, Sutcliffe K, Rees R, Brunton G, Kavanagh J. Integrating qualitative research with trials in systematic reviews. *British Medical Journal* 2004;**328:**1010-1012.
- 20. Baranowski T, Simons-Morton B, Hooks P, Henske J, Tiernan K, Dunn JK. A center-based programme for exercise change among Black-American families. 17: 179-96. *Health Education Quarterly* 1990;**17:**179-96.
- 21. Bush PJ, Zuckerman AE, Taggart VS, Peleg EO, Smith SA. Cardiovascular risk factor prevention in black school children: two year results of the "know your body" programme. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1989;**129**:466-482.
- 22. Flores R. Dance for health: Improving fitness in African American and Hispanic adolescents. *Public Health Reports* 1995;**110**:189-193.
- 23. Hopper CA, Gruber MB, Munoz KD, Herb RA. Effect of including parents in a school-based exercise and nutrition programme for children. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 1992;63:315-321.
- 24. Kelder SH, Perry CL, Klepp KL. Community-wide youth exercise promotion -Long-term outcomes of the Minnesota Heart Health Programme and the class of 1989 study. *Journal of School Health* 1993;**63**:218-223.
- 25. Nader PR, Sallis JF, Patterson TL, Abramson IS, Rupp JW, Senn KL, Atkins CJ, Roppe BE, Morris JA, Wallace JP, Vega WA. A family approach to

cardiovascular risk reduction: results from the San Diego Family Health Project. *Health Education Quarterly* 1989;**16**:229-244.

- 26. Petchers MK, Hirsh EZ, Bloch BA. The impact of parent participation on the effectiveness of a heart health curriculum. *Health Education Quarterly* 1987;**14**:449-460.
- 27. Vandongen R, Jenner DA, Thompson C, Taggart AC, Spickett EE, Burke V, Beilin LJ, Milligan RA, Dunbar DL. A controlled evaluation of a fitness and nutrition intervention programme on cardiovascular health in 10- to 12-year-old children. *Preventive Medicine* 1995;**24**:9-22.
- 28. Perry CL, Klepp KL, Halper A, Dudovitz B, Golden D, Griffin G, Smyth M. Promoting healthy eating and physical activity patterns among adolescents: a pilot study of "Slice of Life". *Health Education Research* 1987;**2**:93-103.
- 29. Walter HJ. Primary prevention of chronic disease among children: the schoolbased "Know Your Body" intervention trials. *Health Education Quarterly* 1989;**16:**201-214.
- Moon AM, Mullee MA, Rogers L, Thompson RL, Speller V, Roderick P. Helping schools to become health-promoting environments - an evaluation of the Wessex Healthy Schools Award. *Health Promotion International* 1999;**14**:111-122.
- 31. Balding J, Gimber P, Regis D, Wise A. A quarter of year 7 young men want to cycle to school. *Education and Health* 1997;**15**:49-52.
- 32. Birtwistle GE, Brodie DA. Children's attitudes towards activity and perceptions of physical education. *Health Education Research* 1991;**6**:465-478.
- Coakley J, White A. Making decisions gender and sport participation among British adolescents. In: Coakley J, Donnely P (eds). *Inside Sports*. London: Routledge, 1999.
- 34. Gentle P, Caves R, Armstrong N, Balding J, Kirby B. High and low exercisers among 14- and 15-year-old children. *Journal of Public Health Medicine* 1994;**16**:186-194.
- 35. Harris J. Young people's perceptions of health, fitness and exercise: implications for the teaching of health-related exercise. *Physical Education Research Supplement* 1993;**13:**5-9.
- 36. Hopwood T, Carrington B. Physical education and femininity. *Educational Research* 1994;**36**:237-246.
- 37. Kincey J, Amir Z, Gillespie B, Carleton E, Theaker T. A study of self-esteem, motivation and perceived barriers to participation in sport and exercise among secondary school pupils. *Health Education Journal* 1993;**52:**241-245.
- 38. Mason V. Young People and Sport in England, 1994: The views of teachers and children. Sports Council: London, 1995.

- 39. Miller B. Femininity, physical activity and the curriculum. In: McFee G, Tomlinson A (eds). *Education, Sport and Leisure: Connections and Controversies*. Eastbourne: University of Brighton, 1993.
- 40. Mitchell K. *Encouraging Adolescent Young women to Participate in Physical Activity: Can Teenage Magazines Play a Role?* London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.: London, 1996.
- 41. Mulvihill C, Rivers K, Aggelton P. *Physical Activity 'At Our Time'*. Health Education Authority: London, 2000.
- 42. Orme J. Adolescent young women and exercise: too much of a struggle? *Education and Health* 1991;**9:**76-80.
- 43. Rogers A, Adamson JE, McCarthy M. Variations in health behaviours among inner city 12-year-olds from four ethnic groups. *Ethnicity and Health* 1997;**2:**309-316.
- 44. Sports Council for Wales. A Matter of Fun and Games: Children's Participation in Sport. Sports Council for Wales: Cardiff, 1994.
- 45. Warburton S. Catch 'em young... Fit for Life Project... NT/3M National Nursing Awards. *Nursing Times* 1998;**94:**46-47.
- 46. Timperio A, Salmon J, Ball K. Evidence-based strategies to promote physical activity among children, adolescents and young adults: review and update. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport* 2004;**7:**20-29.

Figure i: The review process



Synthesis across study types to answer, 'to what extent do interventions address the barriers to, and facilitators of, physical activity?'

| Author / | Population | Setting | Objectives | Providers | Programme Content |
|--|-----------------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Country / | | | | | |
| Design | | | | | |
| Moon <i>et al.</i> , | Year 8 and | Secondary | To give schools the | Teachers | The 'Wessex Healthy Schools Award' |
| 1999 (30) | year 11 pupils | schools | support they need to | and key school | • The award scheme provides structured frameworks, health |
| UK | (aged 11-16 years) | | promote good health, both in school and in the local community | staff. Members of the school | related targets and external support to help schools become health promoting. The scheme covers 9 key areas: 1/ the curriculum; 2/ links |
| CT (+ PE) | | | Following areas | community | with the wider community; 3/ a smoke-free school; 4/ healthy |
| Sub-group analyses evaluated effects for young women and men separately | | | covered in scheme: 1/ the curriculum; 2/ links with the wider community; 3/ a smoke-free school; 4/ healthy food choices; 5/ physical activity; 6/ responsibility for health; 7/ health promoting workplace; 8/ environment; and 9/ equal opportunities and access to health | ('holistic' approach) | food choices; 5/ physical activity; 6/ responsibility for health; 7/ health promoting workplace; 8/ environment; and 9/ equal opportunities and access to health. Approximate 15 month gap between baseline and follow-up measurements during which intervention took place |

Table i - Soundly evaluated outcome evaluations: study characteristics

KEY RCT = Randomised Controlled Trial CT = Controlled trial (no randomisation) PE = Process evaluation

| Author / Country / Design | Population | Setting | Objectives | Providers | Programme Content |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---|---|
| Perry <i>et al.</i> , 1987 (28) | 9th Grade (14-15 year old pupils) | Suburban high school | • To establish positive eating and physical activity patterns and | Teachers administered tprogramme in | The 'Slice of Life' programme. 10 session high school curriculum designed to promote physical activity and healthy eating patterns amongst young |
| USA | | | behavioural goalsTo decrease salt and | general, with 30 class elected | people. Intervention lasted between Fall 1984 and Winter 1985. |
| RCT (+ PE) | | | saturated fat intake and increase intake of | peer leaders leading the | Intervention covered knowledge about benefits of fitness; characteristics of a heart healthy diet; social influences on |
| Sub-group | | | complex carbohydrates | class based | eating and exercise habits, and issues to do with weight |
| evaluated | | | physical activity | 505510115 | food options in school canteen) were identified and strategies |
| effects for | | | | | for improvement were presented to school personnel. |
| young | | | | | |
| women and | | | | | |
| separately | | | | | |

 Table i - Soundly evaluated outcome evaluations: study characteristics cont.

| Author / Country / Design | Population | Setting | Objectives | Providers | Programme Content |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Walter, 1989 (29) USA RCT (+ PE) No sub- group analyses were performed (results are for young women and men combined) | 4th grade (mean age 9 years at start) 5 year longitudinal cohort intervention | Elementary and junior high schools N.B. separate evaluations of same intervention in two populations in New York (the Bronx and Westchester County) | To favourably modify the population distributions of risk factors for coronary heart disease and cancer through changes in diet. | Teachers delivered the classroom component Health and education professionals conducted risk factor examination screening | The 'Know Your Body' programme. Classroom component: 2 hours a week of education on physical activity, promotion of physical activity, and targeting of beliefs and attitudes around smoking, endurance exercises to build skills and strength. Parental involvement component: Parents receive newsletters their children's activities; take part in food surveys and family exercise days, as well as evening seminars. Risk factor examination component: Students' height, weight, skinfold thickness, blood pressure, post exercise pulse rate and cholesterol levels were measured and results fed back to them. Teachers discuss the results with the pupils in the classroom in terms of setting behavioural goals. |

Table i - Soundly evaluated outcome evaluations: study characteristics cont.

| Study | Aims and Objectives | Sample characteristics |
|---|---|--|
| Balding <i>et</i> | *To examine the travel patterns and aspirations | Location: Secondary schools and community |
| <i>al.</i> , 1997 (31) | of young people on the home to school journey | colleges in Avon. UK |
| , | *To inform ways of reducing the number of | Sample number: 3447 |
| | cars taking young people to school. | Age range: 11 to 15 years |
| | | Gender: Both |
| | | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| Birtwistle | *To investigate the perceptions of PE held by | Location: UK |
| and Brodie | UK school children in both primary and | Sample number: 607 |
| 1991 (32) | secondary phases of education and the socio- | Age range: 7 to 14 years |
| 1771 (52) | demographic variables that might influence | Gender: 293 females 324 males |
| | children's feelings about activity and the | Class : middle class/working class |
| | reasons for being active | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | Tousons for boing douve | Other information : pupils from literacy sets 1 |
| | | to 4 were included around 25% in each set |
| | | Fyclusions: None |
| Coakley and | *To explore how young people make decisions | Location: Industrial area of South Fast |
| White 1992 | about participating or not participating in sport | Lordon LIK |
| (33) | about participating of not participating in sport | Sample number: 60 |
| (33) | | A ge range: 13 to 23 years |
| | | Conder: 26 female: 34 male |
| | | Class : 75% from working class families |
| | | Ethnicity: 85% described as 'native Britons': |
| | | 15% other ethnic backgrounds |
| | | Other information: Included both active and |
| | | inactive young people |
| | | Evaluations: None stated |
| Contlo at al | *To investigate factors associated with the | Exclusions . None stated |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{Gentie et al.,} \\ 1004 (24) \end{array}$ | motivations to everying | schools in two morket towns in Deven UK |
| 1994 (34) | *To work out weys to encourage young people | Semple number: 426 (Note evaluations below) |
| | to participate in physical activity especially | A ge range: 14, 15 years |
| | those with lower activity levels | Conder: 107 male: 185 female |
| | those with lower activity levels | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity : Not stated |
| | | Other information: Exercise level (based on |
| | | mean number of activities carried out at least |
| | | twice a weak): low $(n-08)$: madium low |
| | | ($n=07$); madium high ($n=90$); high ($n=07$) |
| | | Evaluations: These who did not complete |
| | | Exclusions. Those who did not complete question naire correctly $(n-44)$; these who were |
| | | questionnane confective (n=44), those who were |
| | | absent from school (reported to be only a small |
| Hamia 1002 | *To overlano voue a nonlo? a attitudo a vierva | Inumber). |
| Harris, 1993 | * 10 explore young people's attitudes, views | Location : I wo large comprehensive schools in |
| (33) | and beliefs with respect to health, fitness and | Statiordsnire and wittsnire, UK |
| | exercise | Sample number: 61 |
| | 1 o explore whether perceptions varied on the | Age range: 11 and 13 years |
| | basis of age and gender | Gender: Both |
| | | Class: Not stated - aim was for a mix of socio- |
| | | economic backgrounds |

Table ii - Characteristics of young people's views studies

| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| Honwood | *To investigate boys' and girls' attitudes to | Location: Two urban high schools in North of |
| and | PF | England |
| Carrington | *To investigate claims that girls' attitudes to | Sample number: 280 |
| 1004(36) | PE might be becoming more positive and look | A go rongo: 11, 12, 15 years |
| 1994 (30) | at girls' percentions of their femininity in | Age range. 11, 15, 15 years |
| | at girls perceptions of their ferminity in relation to sport participation | Class: not stated |
| | relation to sport participation | Ethnicity comple from "all white" cohoola |
| | | Other information: outhers simed for range in |
| | | advectional ability |
| | | Evaluational admity |
| Vincer et al | *To examine the internal stick ships hot we are | Exclusions: none |
| Kincey <i>et al.</i> , $1002(27)$ | * To examine the interrelationships between: | Location: Schools within three health |
| 1993 (37) | self-esteem, motivation for and barriers to | authority districts in Manchester, UK |
| | sports and exercise participation | Sample number: 485 |
| | | Age range: 14-15 years |
| | | Gender: Both |
| | | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: Health Authority districts were |
| | | judged to reflect a range of ethnic and cultural |
| | | groups |
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions : No details but response rate of |
| | | 81% |
| Mason, 1995 | *To complement a national survey of sports | Location: England-wide |
| (38) | participation rates | Sample number: 23 young people (children |
| | *To expore young people's views on | and PE teachers also interviewed) |
| | participation in more detail | Age range: sample of young people aged 11 - |
| | *To investigate the "school effect" and other | 15 |
| | factors which affect participation | Gender: Both |
| | | Class : Not stated - aim was for a mix of socio- |
| | | economic backgrounds |
| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| Miller, 1993 | *To assess the extent of conflicts or | Location: Two schools in one town in Sussex, |
| (39) | ambiguities between perceptions of femininity | UK |
| | and a commitment to an active lifestyle | Sample number: between 44 and 66 |
| | *To assess differences in relation to the above | Age range: Not stated |
| | according to dance and sports | Gender: Female |
| | | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | | Other information : All participants physically |
| | | active in either sports or dance |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| | | |
| Mitchell, | *To explore the role of teenage magazines in | Location: Secondary school in South East |
| 1996 (40) | shaping attitudes to physical activity amongst | London, UK |
| | young women | Sample number: 21 |
| | *To explore the potential for using teenage | Age range: 14 to 15 years |
| | magazines to promote physical activity | Gender: Female |
| | | Class: School described as located in a |
| | | "relatively poor area" |

| | | Ethnicity: Breakdown according to school |
|------------------------|---|--|
| | | overall: 41% 'White'; 24% 'Black'; 25% other |
| | | ethnic groups |
| | | Other information : Academic record of |
| | | school below average |
| | | Exclusions : Those participating in school |
| | | musical sample restricted to those who formed |
| | | the first four focus groups |
| Mulvihill et | to explore: | Location : schools, shopping malls and youth |
| $al_{2000}(41)$ | *what constitutes physical activity / beliefs | clubs in urban and rural sites in North |
| <i>ui.</i> , 2000 (41) | about physical activity | Midlands and South LIK |
| | *preferred activities (physical & non physical) | Sample number: 96 |
| | *relationships between physical activity and | A ge range: 11-15 years |
| | other health behaviours | Conder: Both |
| | *role of friends and gender differences in | Class: Authors use definition of 25% free |
| | Porception and participation | school mools = poor area. The six sites had |
| | *the role of percents and the school | school means $-$ pool area. The six sites had |
| | *homions and motivations | 50 |
| | * barriers and motivations | J%. Ethericites anthony state these sizes of the manual terms |
| | *Ways of overcoming barriers and ideas for | Ethnicity : authors state they affect to recruit |
| | promoting greater involvement | Others informations Calculations |
| | | Other Information : School-based sample |
| | | (n=61) made up of 43 inactive and 18 active |
| | | young people |
| 0 1001 | | Exclusions: none |
| Orme, 1991 | *To identify the influences and constraints on | Location : Two secondary schools in Avon, |
| (42) | participation in physical activity amongst 14 | UK |
| | year old girls. | Sample number: 10 |
| | | Age range: 14 years |
| | | Gender: Female |
| | | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| Rogers et al., | * To examine in detail the effects of ethnicity | Location : Camden and Islington, London, UK |
| 1997 (43) | on the health behaviours, knowledge and | Sample number: 373 approached, 158 |
| | attitudes of young people from different ethnic | consented to participate |
| | groups | Age range: 12 years |
| | | Gender: Both |
| | | Class: included a substantial minority of low |
| | | income families |
| | | Ethnicity: 25.8% Bangladeshi, 25.3% Black |
| | | African, 17% Black Caribbean 31.6% White |
| | | (as reported by author) |
| | | Other information: 98 were parents were |
| | | interviewed |
| | | Exclusions: |
| Sports | *To obtain information on involvement of | Location: All counties in Wales |
| Council for | secondary school children in curricular PE, | Sample number: 2873 |
| Wales, 1994 | extracurricular sport and sport in the | Age range : 11 - 16 |
| - study one | community | Gender: Both |
| (44) | *To investigate issues of availability of | Class: Not stated |
| | opportunities, access to facilities, attitudes | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | towards sport and influences on decisions to | Other information: None |
| | participate | Exclusions: No details |

| Sports | *To examine young people's feelings to and | Location: Pontypool, Haverfordwest, |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Council for | attitude about sport | Wrexham, Swansea and Maesteg, Wales |
| Wales, 1994 | *To establish some of the meanings young | Sample number: 60 |
| study two | people give to sporting activity and how they | Age range : 11 - 16 |
| (44) | view their own involvement and the | Gender: Both |
| | involvement of others | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: None of participants came from |
| | | ethnic minority groups. Minority were Welsh |
| | | speaking |
| | | Other information: Sample over represented |
| | | young women and aimed to include those less |
| | | committed to sport |
| | | Exclusions: No details |
| Warburton, | *To inform the development of an intervention | Location: Two secondary schools in Greater |
| 1998 (45) | to promote participation in physical activity | Manchester, UK |
| | | Sample number: Not stated |
| | NB: this was not explicitly stated by the author | Age range: 14 to 15 years |
| | but inferred by the reviewers | Gender: Both |
| | | Class: Not stated |
| | | Ethnicity: Not stated |
| | | Other information: None |
| | | Exclusions: None given |

Table iii - Synthesis matrix

| Young people's views on barriers and facilitators | | Interventions which address barriers or build on facilitators identified by young people | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Physical activity and the school | | | | |
| Barriers | Facilitators | 'Soundly evaluated' interventions (n=4) | Other evaluated interventions (n=8) | |
| Inappropriate activities and lack of choice/consultation over activities PE environment' and 'rules and arrangements' surrounding PE (Both young women only) (33, 41, 42): Unsupportive attitudes of teachers (33, 38, 41, 42, 44) Lack of facilities for leaving bicycles at school (31, 41) Complex rules for "games" (38) | Positive experiences of PE at school. (33) Respect for PE teachers (38) (Both young men only) Choice of 'non-traditional' activities (33, 41, 42) Consultation in choice of activities (41) (Both young women only) Mixed sex activities and, for some young women, the chance to participate in activities traditionally seen as being for young men (33, 41, 42) | Wessex Healthy School. Unclear what changes were implemented. Found tendency towards increases in self-reported physical activity amongst females (30) Slice of Life. Included young people lobbying for environmental changes in their schools. Intervention was effective in young women for some physical activity outcomes but not others. There was no evidence of effects for young men (28) Know Your Body. Included teacher-led classroom education and endurance exercises to build skills and strength. Unclear what approaches were used. Intervention effective for health related knowledge but no evidence of effect for other physical activity measures. Effects unclear in a second evaluation in another population (29). No interventions looked specifically at gender and PE, changing environment or rules and arrangements, or storage facilities for bicycles at school. | Increasing the range of activities such as dancing, gymnastics, 'jazzercise', weight lifting etc in the PE curriculum. Unclear in their effects. (20, 22, 23, 27). No interventions looked specifically at gender and PE, changing environment or rules and arrangements, or storage facilities for bicycles at school. | |

| Physical activity and family and friends | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Parental constraint s on young women Walking to school (31) Concerns about safety (e.g. staying late at after school activity clubs) (43) Monitoring leisure time to ensure that have time to do homework and domestic chores (33) Disapproval of exercise (Bangladeshi and Muslim young women) (39, 43) Peer constraints Boyfriends' preferences for leisure time activities are put first (33) Fear of negative evaluation from peers (33, 38) Prejudiced attitudes of boys (39) | Parental support (e.g. encouragement and material resources) (33, 38, 44) Social aspects of physical activity motivates increased participation (e.g. chance to make new friends) (37, 41) Social support from friends is important for young women (33, 35) Young people say to: Emphasise fun and social aspects (41) Combine sports and (non-active) leisure facilities to emphasis socializing (41, 42) | Know Your Body. Included parental involvement. Intervention was effective for improving knowledge in young people in one population but its effect was unclear in another population (29). No interventions addressed parental restrictions on young women's leisure time. Slice of Life. A goal was to create peer support for participation in physical activity. Not clear if achieved. Effective for some physical activity outcomes in young women only, no evidence of effect for other outcomes. There was no evidence of effects for young men (28) No interventions directly addressed boyfriends as a barrier but 'Slice of Life' involved teaching skills to resist peer pressure to engage in unhealthy behaviours (see above for outcomes affected) No interventions that aim to provide access to combined sports and leisure facilities. | Four interventions encouraged parents and young people to undertake exercise together. Often a small component in a larger intervention. Unclear in their effects (20, 23, 25, 26). No interventions addressed parental restrictions on young women's leisure time. Class of 89'. Included social support for physical activity as a component of larger intervention. Unclear how achieved. Unclear in its effects (24). No interventions which directly address boyfriends as a barrier No interventions which aim to provide access to combined sports and leisure facilities | | |

| Physical activity and the self | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Lack of confidence in skills and ability to take part (33, 37) Feelings of discomfort during physical activity (young women only) (42) Feelings of inertia/lack of motivation (40, 41) Preference for other activities/ conflicting interests (40, 41) Lack of knowledge about benefits of physical activity (35) Self-consciousness about bodies / appearance (young women only) (41, 42) | Personal competence is motivation to take part (e.g. chance to show off skills) (33) Using physical activity to increase feelings of well-being (e.g. relieve stress; forget troubles; increase confidence) (37) Enjoyment and fun (37) Motivators for inactive: feelings of wellbeing; enjoyment; avoiding boredom; help with losing weight (latter for young women only) (41) Motivators for active: social benefits; competitiveness; being part of a team; sense of achievement (41) Young women considered it to be acceptable for 'teenage' magazines to contain articles promoting physical activity (40) Young people felt there was enough literature on the availability of current opportunities for physical activity (41) | Slice of Life. Emphasized cardiovascular and weight control benefits of fitness and exercise. Effects of the intervention were found on some, but not all physical activity outcomes in young women. There was no evidence of effects for young men (28). Know Your Body. Provided an educational curriculum, emphasizing an endurance exercise programme and prudent diet, and screening for cardiovascular risk factors. Effective for improving knowledge in one population but effect unclear in another population (29). No interventions directly addressed the other barriers or built on the other facilitators listed in this area | • All of the interventions judged to be not sound included educational components that aim to increase knowledge and foster positive attitudes towards exercise. It is not clear to what extent interventions focused on the specific barriers and facilitators identified by the young people (20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27) |

| Physical activity and practical and material resources | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Lack of time (35, 37, 40, 41, 43) Lack of money (33, 37, 41) Provision of 'childish' activities, which are highly structured, or organised by adults (for young women) (33) | Creation of more cycle lanes (31) Make activities more affordable (41) Increasing access to clubs for young people to dance (41) Single sex physical activities at youth clubs with mixed sex (non-physical) activities afterwards (41) Provision of more acceptable forms of physical activity such as aerobics (45) More consensus about desirable health behaviour (35) | • None of the soundly evaluated interventions appear to have directly addressed the barriers or built on the facilitators listed in this area | One intervention offered free baby sitting and support with transport to help families participate in exercise sessions (20). The reviewers judged this intervention to be unclear in its effects. No other interventions identified | | |