A high-fat, high-glycaemic index, low-fibre dietary pattern is prospectively associated with type 2 diabetes in a British birth cohort

Silvia Pastorino¹*, Marcus Richards¹, Mary Pierce¹ and Gina L. Ambrosini^{2,3}

¹MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, University College London, London WC1B 5JU, UK ²School of Population Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia ³MRC Human Nutrition Research, Cambridge CB1 9NL, UK

(Submitted 22 July 2015 - Final revision received 18 December 2015 - Accepted 19 January 2016 - First published online 9 March 2016)

Abstract

The combined association of dietary fat, glycaemic index (GI) and fibre with type 2 diabetes has rarely been investigated. The objective was to examine the relationship between a high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern across adult life and type 2 diabetes risk using reduced rank regression. Data were from the MRC National Survey of Health and Development. Repeated measures of dietary intake estimated using 5-d diet diaries were available at the age of 36, 43 and 53 years for 1180 study members. Associations between dietary pattern scores at each age, as well as longitudinal changes in dietary pattern *z*-scores, and type 2 diabetes incidence (*n* 106) from 53 to 60–64 years were analysed. The high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern was characterised by low intakes of fruit, vegetables, low-fat dairy products and whole-grain cereals, and high intakes of white bread, fried potatoes, processed meat and animal fats. There was an increasing trend in OR for type 2 diabetes with increasing quintile of dietary pattern *z*-scores at the age of 5.45 (95% CI 2.01, 14-79). Long-term increases in this dietary pattern, independently of BMI and waist circumference, were also detrimental among women: for each 1 sp unit increase in dietary pattern *z*-score between 36 and 53 years, the OR for type 2 diabetes was 1.67 (95% CI 1.20, 2.43) independently of changes in BMI and waist circumference in the same periods. A high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern was associated with increased type 2 diabetes risk in middle-aged British women but not in men.

Key words: Dietary patterns: Type 2 diabetes: Dietary fibre: Glycaemic index

Increasing evidence points to the role of certain dietary factors as key players in metabolic abnormalities, not only as contributors to body weight, a prominent risk factor for type 2 diabetes, but also as independent risk factors. For example, studies support the beneficial role of dietary fibre in reducing postprandial glycaemic response, improving insulin resistance and reducing inflammation^(1,2). Conversely, high-glycaemic index (GI) foods induce postprandial hyperglycaemia, which has been linked to type 2 diabetes risk^(3,4). Evidence also shows that increased fat intake can promote insulin resistance and inflammatory responses^(5,6). However, these dietary factors have been rarely examined simultaneously in relation to type 2 diabetes risk.

Over the past decade, dietary pattern analyses have increasingly been used to study associations between diet and disease risk. Dietary patterns may better describe the 'real-world' eating habits of free-living people, where nutrients are consumed together, and not in isolation^(7,8), and can therefore be used to create food-based public health guidance that is easier to interpret than nutrient-based advice.

Empirically defined dietary patterns defined as 'healthy' and high in fruit, vegetables and whole-grain foods, and low in red meat, added sugar and fried foods, have been linked with a reduced type 2 diabetes risk $^{(9-13)}$; however, the mechanisms or pathways between 'healthy' dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes risk are, as yet, unclear. Reduced rank regression (RRR)⁽¹⁴⁾ is a hypothesis-based statistical approach to identifying dietary patterns. The few studies so far that have applied RRR to examine diet and type 2 diabetes risk have mainly investigated dietary patterns related to inflammatory pathways^(15–17). To our knowledge, no study has used RRR to investigate dietary patterns characterised by dietary GI, fibre and fat intake to date, yet separately these dietary factors have been linked with diabetes risk. Furthermore, despite the increasing popularity of studying dietary patterns, most cohort studies use only a single measure of dietary intake at baseline. It is important to study

* Corresponding author: S. Pastorino, email Silvia.Pastorino@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk

Abbreviations: EER, estimated energy requirement; EI, energy intake; GI, glycaemic index; NSHD, National Survey of Health and Development; RRR, reduced rank regression; WC, waist circumference.

how changes in these patterns over the life-course affect disease risk and to what extent changing diet alters disease risk.

The aim of this study was to identify an RRR-derived dietary pattern characterised according to dietary fibre, GI and dietary fat, as these have been independently linked to increased type 2 diabetes risk, and to assess its longitudinal association with type 2 diabetes risk in the MRC National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD). It was hypothesised that repeated measures of a dietary pattern characterised by high intakes of fibre and low intakes of fat and low GI would be longitudinally and positively associated with type 2 diabetes risk over the life course, and independently of body weight and waist circumference (WC).

Methods

Participants

The MRC NSHD is a socially stratified sample of 5362 individuals (2547 male and 2815 female) born during one week in March 1946 in England, Scotland and Wales. The cohort has been followed-up twenty-three times to date, and the response rate throughout the study has been good, ranging between 78% at the age of 16–35 years and 95% at the age of 0–4 years⁽¹⁸⁾. At the latest data collection in 2006–2010 at the age of 60–64 years, 53% of the original cohort (n 2856) was eligible for inclusion after exclusion of those who had died (n 778), lived abroad (n 584), had previously refused consent (n 594) or were untraceable (n 550). The 2661 individuals who responded (49% of the original cohort and 84% of the target sample) had remained broadly representative of the white British population born in the early post-war years⁽¹⁹⁾. The present analysis includes data on diet at 36, 43 and 53 years of age and incident type 2 diabetes diagnosed between 53 and 60-64 years of age. Survey respondents who maintained at least a 3-d food record were included in these analyses. The number of respondents completing diet diaries for at least 3 d was 2441 at the age of 36 years, 3187 at the age of 43 years and 1776 at the age of 53 years corresponding to 45, 59 and 33%, respectively, of the original cohort. At all ages, individuals who completed diet diaries were more likely to be female, to be more educated, less likely to be in manual employment and to be smokers. We restricted all analyses to individuals with complete data on diet, as well as all variables needed. Complete data on diet, diabetes and all covariables were available for 1804 individuals at the age of 36 years, 2267 at the age of 43 years and 1478 at the age of 53 years.

Dietary data

Study members were asked to complete a 5-d food diary at 36, 43 and 53 years of age, detailing all foods and drinks consumed over 5 consecutive days⁽²⁰⁾. Survey members were given guidance on household measures and photographs of portion sizes to aid completion by a research nurse who visited them at home. Food diaries were checked before coding and calculation of average daily nutrient intakes using an in-house program developed at the MRC Human Nutrition Research Unit⁽²¹⁾, which linked food diaries with

contemporaneous British food composition data. Food intakes were collapsed into forty-five food groups defined according to differences in GI and content of fat and fibre (Table 1). Dietary fibre density (g/4184 kJ or 1000 kcal) and fat density (g/4184 kJ or 1000 kcal) were calculated as total daily fibre (g: NSP) or fat (g) divided by total daily energy intake (EI; kJ/kcal) and

Table 1. Description of food groups included in the dietary pattern analyses

Food group name	Foods included
Pizza	Pizza
Pasta	Pasta and pasta dishes
Rice	Rice and rice dishes
Cereals other	Cereals other than pasta, bread and rice
High-fibre cereals	Breakfast/oat cereals with fibre content equal
riigh libro coroaio	or $>3 a/40 a portion$
Low-fibre cereals	Low-fibre cereals and breakfast bars
White bread	White bread
Wholemeal bread	Wholemeal, granary and brown bread
Crisp and other bread	Crisp bread (e.g. Ryvita, grissini) and other bread
Biscuit, pastry, cakes	Biscuits, pastries, buns, pies and cakes
Whole milk	Whole milk (cow or goat)
Skimmed milk	Skimmed milk, semi-skimmed milk and
	milk 1 %
Low-fat dairy desserts	Low-fat dairy desserts, low-fat ice cream and flavoured milk
Full-fat yogurt	Full-fat yogurt
Low-fat yogurt	Low-fat yogurt
Full-fat dairy desserts	Full-fat dairy desserts, ice cream and
	milk pudding
Cream	Cream
Butter and animal fat	Butter and animal fat
Cheese	Cheese
Eggs	Eggs
Oils	Vegetable oils
Plant fat solid	Plant-based fats (solid)
Plant fat solid low fat	Plant-based fats (solid), such as margarine
Fish	White fish, oily fish and shellfish
Red meat, offal	Beef, lamb, pork and other red meat (including dishes)
White meat	Chicken, turkey and other game birds
	(including dishes)
Processed meat	Bacon, ham, meat pies, sausages and other processed meats
Vegetables	Raw and cooked vegetables
Pulses	Pulses, lentils and baked beans
Fruit	Fresh, canned and dried fruits
Potatoes	Potatoes (not fried or roasted)
Fried potatoes	Fried and roasted potatoes
Nuts and seeds	Nuts and seeds (including peanut butter)
Soups	Canned, fresh and dried soup
Dressing and sauces	Dressings, mayonnaise, cooking sauces and other sauces
Jam and chutney	Jam, marmalade, chutney and pickles
Table sugar	Sucrose
Honey and syrup	Honey, syrup and other sugars (not pure sugar)
Confectionery	Chocolate products, sugar-based products, sorbets and lollies
Savoury snacks	Savoury biscuits, potato-, cereal- and vegetable-based snacks
Alcoholic drinks	Wine, beer, spirits, alcopops
Squashes and juices	Squashes and fruit concentrate,
	truit juice drinks
Pure fruit juice	Pure truit juice and smoothies
Soft drinks	Carbonated soft drinks
Coffee and tea	Cottee, tea, powdered beverages (e.g. Ovaltine)

1634

multiplied by 1000. GI values were assigned to each food using the methodology described in detail by Aston *et al.*⁽²²⁾. Briefly, all food codes with total carbohydrate >0.1 g/100 g were assigned a GI value, based on five levels of data confidence relating to source of the data used, with level 1 being the highest. The average GI of the daily diet was calculated by assigning a glycaemic load (GL) value for each food item, then summing the GL values for the day and dividing this by the total daily carbohydrates (g)⁽²³⁾.

To assess dietary misreporting, the ratio of EI:estimated energy requirement (EER) was calculated according to an individualised method⁽²⁴⁾. EER based on individual physical activity levels were calculated using equations from the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies⁽²⁵⁾. To account for the variability of the methods used to estimate EI and EER, a 95% CI for EI:EER was calculated⁽²⁶⁾. The 95% CI of EI:EER for the NSHD was 0.54 and 1.46. Individuals reporting EI <54% of their EER were classified as under-reporters, and those reporting >146% were classified as over-reporters. The percentage of plausible EI reporters was 83% at the age of 36 years, 84% at the age of 43 years and 88% at the age of 53 years. EI under-reporting was higher among overweight people and decreased with higher dietary pattern z-score. In all, 22 (125/581), 16 (168/1046) and 4% (38/875) of overweight or obese people under-reported their EI at 36, 43 and 53 years of age, respectively, compared with 9, 9 and 2% of normal-weight people. At the age of 43 years, EI under-reporting was higher among those diagnosed with type 2 diabetes between 53 and 60-64 years of age (20% compared with 13% of the remaining sample). Therefore, EI misreporting was included as a covariable in all analyses.

Type 2 diabetes

Ascertainment of type 2 diabetes at the age of 53 years was based on validated self-report. Self-reported diabetes was determined in response to a direct question and from all relevant medical information that study members reported (hospital attendances and medications). The validity of self-reported diabetes was assessed using general practitioners records, with a positive predictive value of 95%⁽²⁷⁾. In all, 100 cases of prevalent diabetes at the age of 53 years were excluded from these analyses. At the age of 60–64 years, diabetes was ascertained by both self-reported information and by analyses of fasting blood glucose and HbA1c from 50-ml blood samples collected between 2006 and 2011 in five clinical research facilities. A diagnosis of diabetes was established if fasting plasma glucose was \geq 7 mmol/l or HbA1c was \geq 6.5% (48 mmol/mol)⁽²⁸⁾.

Covariables

Occupational social class, educational attainment, smoking and physical activity, based on interview and questionnaire data, were included as possible confounding factors. BMI and WC were included as mediating variables, as it was hypothesised that body weight would partially explain the association between diet and type 2 diabetes. Data on lifetime occupational head of household social class at the age of 53 years (or earlier if this was unavailable) according to the UK Registrar-General⁽²⁹⁾ was coded into six categories: (I) professional, (II) managerial and technical, (III) skilled non-manual, (IV) skilled manual, (V) partly skilled manual and (VI) unskilled manual. The highest level of educational qualification achieved by the age of 26 years was grouped into three categories: none (none attempted), intermediate (GCE 'O' level or equivalent, or vocational) or advanced (GCE 'A' level or equivalent, or degree or equivalent).

Physical activity at 36, 43 and 53 years of age was coded as inactive (no participation), moderately active (participated one to four times) and most active (participated five or more times), in the previous month (36 years), per month (43 years) and in the previous 4 weeks (53 years). Smoking at each follow-up was categorised as current, ex and never smoker. The use of prescribed medicines was assessed at each follow-up by a questionnaire. The latest information on prescribed medication for hypertension and dyslipidaemia was available at the age of 53 years. At all ages (36, 43, 53 years), a trained research nurse measured height, weight and WC using standard protocols. BMI was calculated from weight (kg) divided by height squared (m²).

Statistical analyses

RRR was used to identify dietary pattern *z*-scores. RRR derives dietary patterns by extracting successive linear combinations of predictor variables (food groups) that explain as much variation as possible in another set of response variables, which are hypothesised to be on the pathway between the predictor variables and the outcome⁽¹⁴⁾. Dietary fibre density (g/4184 kJ or 1000 kcal), GI (units) and total dietary fat density (g/4184 kJ or 1000 kcal) were chosen as the response variables because, based on previous literature, they were hypothesised to be important dietary determinants of the risk of type 2 diabetes. The function PROC PLS in the software SAS was used to conduct all RRR analyses.

Initially, exploratory RRR analyses were conducted separately using dietary data collected at 36, 43 and 53 years of age. RRR derives as many dietary patterns as there are response variables, which in this case were three. At all ages the first dietary pattern derived from RRR analyses explained the greatest variation in all three response variables (29.8% at age 36 years, 31.8% at age 43 years and 37.9% at age 53 years) compared with the second and third patterns, which explained approximately 12-15 and 5%, respectively. Therefore, only the first dietary pattern was analysed further. Each study member received a z-score calculating the degree to which their dietary intake reflected this dietary pattern at 36, 43 and 53 years of age. To assess longitudinal associations between dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes, a z-score for exactly the same dietary pattern (based on the same covariance matrix) at 36, 43 and 53 years was required. To achieve this, confirmatory RRR analyses⁽³⁰⁾ were used to calculate dietary pattern z-scores at 36 and 43 years of age using scoring weights from the first dietary pattern identified at 53 years. The first dietary pattern at the age of 53 years was chosen because it explained the most amount of total variation in all response variables, and the factor loadings (foods) on this dietary pattern were consistent at all ages (not shown but available on request).

Multivariable logistic regression models were used to examine prospective associations between quintiles of dietary pattern *z*-scores at 36, 43 and 53 years of age and type 2 diabetes risk between 53 and 60–64 years of age. The diet *z*-score quintiles were entered as a categorical variable, with the lowest quintile used as the reference category. Analyses were adjusted for social class, education, smoking, physical activity, medications for hypertension and dyslipidaemia, EI and EI misreporting (model 1), and subsequently for BMI and WC (model 2). Interactions between the dietary pattern *z*-score and sex were tested using multiplicative interaction models.

To examine changes in dietary pattern z-scores between periods over the life course in relation to type 2 diabetes risk, a conditional model of change⁽³¹⁾ was used. Dietary pattern z-score changes for the periods 36-53, 36-43 and 43-53 years were calculated conditional on earlier z-score using the residual method. That is, dietary pattern z-score changes were estimated for each period by regressing each z-score measure on the earlier measures and saving the residuals; for example, the change between 36 and 43 years was estimated by regressing the z-score at 43 years on the z-score at 36 years. These residuals represent the change in dietary pattern z-score above or below what is expected given an earlier z-score. A positive change z-score value reflects a deterioration of diet quality; conversely, a negative change z-score represents an improvement of the diet. In a multivariate regression model, we modelled these residuals against the outcomes, adjusting for all the covariables described in model 1, as well as changes in BMI and WC during the same time period.

It has been reported that the detrimental effect of a high-GI diet might be more pronounced among overweight people who are often more insulin resistant than normal-weight individuals^(32,33). Therefore, to test this hypothesis, interactions between the dietary pattern and BMI at 36 years were tested in multiplicative interaction models.

Results

Characteristics of the three RRR-derived dietary patterns at 36, 43 and 53 years of age are shown in the online Supplementary Table S1. The dietary pattern used for confirmatory analyses was negatively associated with dietary fibre density (r - 0.70)and positively associated with fat density ($r \ 0.44$) and GI $(r \ 0.55)$. A higher z-score for this dietary pattern signifies a diet higher in GI and fat and lower in fibre. Factors loadings for this dietary pattern are shown in Fig. 1. A positive factor loading indicated that as the intake of that food increased so did the dietary pattern z-score, whereas foods with a negative factor loading decreased the z-score. The dietary pattern was characterised by low intake of fruit, vegetables, low-fat yogurt, wholemeal bread, high-fibre cereals and high intakes of white bread, processed meat, fried potatoes, butter and animal fat and added sugar. In total, 57% of the variation in dietary pattern z-score was explained by the top five and bottom five factor

loadings, with fresh fruit explaining most of the variation (23%), followed by white bread (8%), vegetables (6%), low-fat yogurt (5%) and processed meat (4%).

At all ages, people with higher *z*-scores for the high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern were significantly more likely to be in manual employment, to be smokers, physically inactive and to have no educational qualifications (Table 2). BMI and WC were positively associated with higher dietary pattern *z*-scores at the age of 53 years. Those with higher *z*-scores had greater intakes of energy (kJ/kcal), fat density, alcohol and a greater average daily GI, as well as lower intakes of dietary fibre density.

The number of incident cases of type 2 diabetes diagnosed between 53 and 60-64 years of age was 166 (ninety-four among men and seventy-two among women). Associations between diabetes risk and the dietary pattern are shown separately for men and women, as a significant interaction was observed between dietary pattern scores at the age of 43 years and sex on type 2 diabetes (P=0.02), although not at the age of 36 years (P=0.85) or 53 years (P=0.14). The dietary pattern was significantly associated with increased odds of diabetes among women at 43 and 53 years of age (Table 3). Among women, there was an increasing trend in OR for type 2 diabetes with increasing quintile of dietary pattern z-score. Those women in the highest z-score quintile at 43 years had an OR for type 2 diabetes of 5.45 (95% CI 2.01, 14.79); women in the highest quintile at 53 years had an OR of 3.22 (95% CI 1.08, 9.54). After adjustment for BMI and WC, the associations remained at the age of 43 years ($P_{\text{for trend}}$ across quintiles <0.01) but were no longer significant at the age of 53 years (P=0.05) (Table 3). No associations were observed for men.

Analyses of *z*-score changes in dietary pattern and type 2 diabetes were conducted for those who provided diet diaries at all three data collection years and had non-missing values for all covariables (*n* 1180). There were no significant differences in average score change between men and women (Fig. 2). However, people who developed type 2 diabetes between age 53 and 60–64 years increased their dietary pattern *z*-score on average, with an overall change between age 36 and 53 years of 0·27 sp units (95% CI 0·036, 0·496), compared with a change of -0.06 sp units (95% CI -0.125, 0·005) for the rest of the sample (*P*<0·01). No other statistically significant differences in score change were observed between other time points.

Multivariable regression models (Table 4) showed that, independently of simultaneous changes in BMI and WC, changes in dietary pattern *z*-scores between age 36 and 43 years were significantly associated with type 2 diabetes risk among women (OR 1.63; 95%CI 1.08, 2.46) but not among men; changes between 43 and 53 years of age were of borderline significance among women. The test for an interaction between BMI and dietary pattern interaction was not significant (P > 0.05); therefore, the results are presented without stratification for BMI.

Discussion

In this analysis of a large UK birth cohort, we identified a high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern that was



Fig. 1. Factor loadings for the high-fat, high-GI, low-fibre dietary pattern in the NSHD used in confirmatory dietary pattern analyses. GI, glycaemic index; NSHD, National Survey of Health and Development.

prospectively associated with type 2 diabetes risk. This dietary pattern was characterised by a high consumption of white bread, processed meat, fried potatoes, butter, animal fats and added sugar, and a low intake of fruits, vegetables, low-fat yogurt and high-fibre cereals. Higher *z*-scores for this dietary pattern at 43 and 53 years of age were associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes diagnosed between 53 and 60–64 years of age among women, but not among men. Among women, a gradually increasing *z*-score representing an increasingly unhealthy diet over the life course (36–53 years) was strongly associated with type 2 diabetes. This association was independent of a wide range of potential confounders, including other health-related behaviours, and of the potential mediation of BMI and WC. Dietary GI and fibre act on satiety signals, whereas foods high in fat are very energy-dense, therefore affecting EI. Thus, it was expected that a dietary pattern high in fat and GI and low in fibre would act partly through its effect on EI and weight gain. The fact that an independent association between dietary pattern and diabetes remained after adjustment for EI and BMI and WC changes suggests that this pattern also acts through alternative pathways. The postprandial hyperglycaemia induced by high-GI foods can

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Table 2. Study population characteristics by quintiles (Q) of the high-fat, high-glycaemic index (GI), low-fibre dietary pattern z-score at age 36 years (n 1804), 43 years (n 2267) and 53 years (n 1478) (Numbers and percentages; mean values and standard deviations; medians and interquartile ranges (IQR))

N⁵ British Journal of Nutrition

	Q	1	Q	2	Q	3	Q	4	Q	5	
	п	%	п	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P *
36 years 43 years 53 years	361 454 296	3.8	361 453 296	3.8	361 454 295	3.8	361 453 296	3.8	360 453 295	3.8	
	%	5	%)	%	, o	%	D	%		
Type 2 diabetes†	7. 7. 5.	.7 .9 7	9. 6. 7	-7 -6 -4	8 10 7	·8 ·5	10 10 11	·2 ·1	10· 12· 13	2 1	0.22 <0.01
Male sex	49- 46- 44-	.0 .7 .9	49- 50- 43-	-4 -3 -7 -2	46 48 45	·4 ·5 ·7 ·7	44 45 49	.0 .2 .6	48· 47· 42·	2 3 6 7	0.59 0.53 0.46
Manual SEP	23- 21- 23-	·2 ·5 ·6	43 23 27 23	-5 -1 -6	-3 26 28 27	-5 -1 -8	-3 32 34 33	.4 .4 .7	43- 43- 45- 41-	, 0 0	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001
No education	21-	·6	21-	6	30	·1	36	•0	48-	8	<0.001
	24-	·0	26-	9	31	·7	37	•0	49-	6	<0.001
	17-	·2	25-	0	26	·4	33	•1	43-	7	<0.001
Physically inactive	29-	.0	28	-8	32	-4	37	·6	44-	1	<0.001
	37-	.8	42	-1	48	-9	55	·8	63-	5	<0.001
	34-	.8	36	-8	48	-8	50	·5	44-	3	<0.001
Current smoker	16	-3	21	0	23	-5	28	-2	43.	3	<0·001
	12	-7	20	0	22	-4	27	-5	45.	4	<0·001
	6	-0	10	1	11	-5	19	-9	35.	9	<0·001
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
BMI (kg/m ²)	24-3	3·8	23·8	3·2	23.7	3·2	24.0	3.5	23·5	3·1	0.07
	25-1	3·7	25·0	3·6	25.3	3·8	25.2	3.7	25·3	4·4	0.30
	26-3	4.3	26·7	4.1	27.1	4.5	27.2	4.6	27.4	4.9	<0.01
WC (cm)	82·1	11.6	82.4	11.7	81·3	11.7	82.9	12·3	82·2	12-0	0.35
	83·0	12.3	83.4	11.8	84·5	12.0	84.1	12·4	84·7	12-8	<0.01
	87·2	12.7	89.0	12.9	90·5	12.6	91.1	12·8	91·3	13-3	<0.001
Energy (kJ)	7468 7669 7812	2381 2372 1992	8117 8092 8004	2402 2377 1908	8385 8694 8364	2301 2389 1983	8640 8908 8498	2305 2381 2096	9929 10142 8858	2841 2962 2151	
Energy (kcal)	1785	569	1940	574	2004	550	2065	551	2373	679	<0·001
	1833	567	1934	568	2078	571	2129	569	2424	708	<0·001
	1867	476	1913	456	1999	474	2031	501	2117	514	<0·001
EE:EER	0.65	0·1	0·71	0·1	0.75	0·1	0.78	0·2	0.91	0·2	<0.001
	0.69	0·1	0·74	0·2	0.80	0·2	0.84	0·2	0.97	0·2	<0.001
	0.70	0·1	0·73	0·1	0.78	0·1	0.78	0·1	0.83	0·2	<0.001
Fibre density‡	8·4	3.4	6.6	2·3	5·7	2·0	5·1	2·0	4·3	1.6	<0.001
	7·6	2.8	6.0	2·0	5·6	1·6	5·1	1·2	4·6	1.1	<0.001
	9.8	3.3	8.2	2·3	7·0	2·1	6·4	1·9	5·5	1.5	<0.001
GI	61·3	10-0	63.1	3.0	64·4	3.3	65·5	3.6	66·5	2.9	<0.001
	60·4	4-8	63.0	4.7	63·8	4.5	65·1	4.2	66·8	3.8	<0.001
	58·8	3-5	60.3	3.2	61·6	3.3	63·5	3.3	64·9	3.5	<0.001

Table 2. Continued											
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Fat density	41.6	5.9	43.7	5.4	44.3	5.9	44.6	5.2	45.1	4.9	<0.001
	38.6	7.3	41.7	5.6	43.3	5.6	44.9	5.7	46.5	5.1	<0.001
	32.6	6·8	36.1	5.6	38.8	5.7	39-4	5.4	42.3	5.9	<0.001
Alcohol‡ (g/d)	5.5	15.3	6.7	17.7	7.5	18-0	8 [.] 2	21-4	6.2	21.3	0.01
•	5.4	15-9	7.7	18-0	6.4	17.6	6.1	17.3	6.8	15.9	<0.01
	7.5	17-4	8.1	17.5	9.7	21.9	12.3	25-6	9.2	26.6	0-01
SEP, socio-economic po: * Test for trend by linear	sition; WC, waist circu	umference; EER, e: with control for se;	stimated energy req x.	luirement.							

Diagnosed between 53 and 60–64 years of age Medians and IQR.

S. Pastorino et al.

affect β -cell functions and insulin resistance both directly and indirectly by inducing a counter-regulatory hormone response, which increases circulating levels of free fatty acids^(3,34). Free fatty acids, which are elevated when excess energy content and fat are consumed, increase insulin resistance by disrupting insulin signals in the gut and promote β -cell dysfunction through their lipotoxic effect in the pancreas⁽³⁵⁾. Dietary fibre might reduce type 2 diabetes risk though its anti-inflammatory properties and its effect on glycaemia⁽³⁶⁾.

The positive associations between the dietary pattern and diabetes risk observed among women and not among men in this study may be explained because of several reasons. There might be biological sex differences in the responses to certain nutrients and the way these are disposed of and stored in the postprandial state. For example, it is known that sex-specific hormones can influence insulin receptors and lipid removal⁽³⁷⁾, and that men oxidise a higher percentage of ingested fat than women⁽³⁸⁾. It is unlikely that the sex difference could be because of different food choices, as there were no major sex differences in intake of the main foods characterising the dietary patterns. Hormonal changes associated with menopause might also explain the higher relative risk for type 2 diabetes with longer-term increases in dietary pattern z-score in women: it is possible that the cumulative influence of an unhealthy diet (as well as other lifestyle factors) on metabolic functions could come into play in the perimenopausal years, which is when women become more susceptible to chronic diseases associated with ageing⁽³⁹⁾.

Few cohort studies of this type have investigated men and women separately, and this is a strength of the current study. In the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study⁽¹¹⁾, the association between a dietary pattern characterised by meats and fatty fried foods and diabetes was significantly stronger among women, whose risk for the disease was nearly 4-fold in the highest quintile of intake compared with the lowest quintile. Conversely, the risk among men in the highest quintile of intake was 2-fold compared with the lowest quintile and borderline significant⁽¹¹⁾. In the Nurses' Health Studies⁽¹⁵⁾, the relative risk of diabetes from intakes of an RRR-derived dietary pattern high in processed meat, refined grains and soft drinks was particularly high; on the other hand, a similarly characterised dietary pattern showed comparatively weaker associations in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, which included male study members⁽¹²⁾.

Previous studies have found that protective dietary patterns identified with factor and cluster analyses, often labelled 'healthy' or 'prudent', tend to include fruits, vegetables, whole grains, whole bread and low-fat dairy products, whereas dietary patterns associated with increased type 2 diabetes risk tend to be high in red and processed meat, refined grains, fried foods, high-fat dairy products and sugar^(9–13). However, these dietary patterns were identified using purely exploratory methods, which do not necessarily identify disease-specific dietary patterns, and therefore their mechanisms of action may be difficult to elucidate. On the contrary, this study used RRR and incorporated hypothesised knowledge about pathways to disease, thus providing insight into the possible biological pathways that link these

¥,

NS British Journal of Nutrition

N⁵ British Journal of Nutrition

K

				Qu	intiles of dietary patte	erns z-score			_	
	1		2		3		4		5	
	OR	OR	95 % CI	OR	95 % CI	OR	95 % CI	OR	95 % CI	P *
Men							·			
Age 36 years (<i>n</i> 856)										
No. of cases	16		20		16		20		22	
Model 1†	1.00	1.52	0.70, 3.29	1.27	0.56, 2.89	1.39	0.61, 3.14	1.58	0.65, 3.85	0.44
Model 2 [±]	1.00	1.46	0.67, 3.18	1.23	0.53, 2.83	1.36	0.59, 3.11	1.48	0.60, 3.66	0.51
Age 43 years (n 1080)										
No. of cases	28		20		26		24		26	
Model 1†	1.00	0.71	0.35, 1.42	1.21	0.63, 2.32	1.01	0.50, 2.04	1.10	0.53, 2.28	0.54
Model 2 [±]	1.00	0.68	0.33, 1.40	1.23	0.62, 2.42	1.01	0.49, 2.09	1.08	0.51, 2.28	0.55
Age 53 years (n 669)										
No. of cases	11		12		12		18		18	
Model 1†	1.00	1.01	0.41, 2.47	0.96	0.39, 2.36	1.44	0.62, 3.36	1.66	0.67, 4.09	0.17
Model 2 [±]	1.00	0.94	0.37, 2.35	0.92	0.37, 2.33	1.29	0.54, 3.06	1.58	0.62, 3.98	0.22
Women										
Age 36 years (<i>n</i> 948)										
No. of cases	11		16		14		18		13	
Model 1†	1.00	1.95	0.81, 4.52	2.02	0.84, 4.84	2.32	0.99, 5.46	2.01	0.77, 5.27	0.11
Model 2 [±]	1.00	2.27	0.93, 5.54	2.33	0.94, 5.78	2.53	1.05, 6.09	2.26	0.83, 6.10	0.11
Age 43 years (n 1187)										
No. of cases	8		11		23		20		29	
Model 1†	1.00	1.77	0.62, 5.07	3.78	1.46, 9.79	3.74	1.42, 9.81	5.45	2.01, 14.79	<0.001
Model 2 [±]	1.00	1.77	0.61, 5.14	3.56	1.36, 9.35	3.77	1.41, 10.02	4.95	1.77, 13.84	<0.01
Age 53 years (n 809)										
No. of cases	5		9		10		17		19	
Model 1†	1.00	1.92	0.62, 5.91	1.74	0.55, 5.43	3.10	1.05, 9.12	3.22	1.08, 9.54	0.01
Model 2‡	1.00	1.94	0.59, 6.49	1.64	0.49, 5.49	2.82	0.89, 8.97	2.83	0.88, 9.09	0.05

Table 3. Associations at each age between a high-fat, high-glycaemic index, low-fibre dietary pattern z-score and incident type 2 diabetes between 53 and 60–64 years of age (Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals)

* P_{for trend} across quintiles of z-score.

† Model 1: adjusted for socio-economic position, education, energy intake, energy under-reporting, smoking, physical activity, medications for hypertension and dyslipidaemia.

‡ Model 2: as model 1 + adjusted for BMI and waist circumference.

Change in dietary pattern and type 2 diabetes

S. Pastorino et al.

food groups with type 2 diabetes. This allowed us to investigate the synergistic action of dietary fibre, GI and dietary fat, individual factors for which there is increasing evidence of a link with type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, food-based public health recommendations based on key diabetesrelevant nutrients can be provided.

We should address various strengths and weaknesses of this study. Unlike most other prospective cohort studies, which rely on FFQ, the NSHD uses diet diaries, which do not rely on dietary recall. Prospectively recorded diet diaries correlate significantly better with biomarkers of intake, and are subject to substantially less regression dilution than FFQ⁽⁴⁰⁾. However, despite their value in providing detailed records of dietary intake, diet diaries, similar to all dietary assessments, are subject to error and dietary under-reporting. However, we attempted to adjust for dietary under-reporting in all our analyses using an accepted method. GI values were assigned by rigorous methodology and, where possible, GI values were sourced from the UK or from European studies. This ensured that the GI values in the NSHD were countryspecific and as accurate as possible.

A particular strength was the use of repeated measures of dietary intake to investigate adult life-course changes in dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes risk; this has rarely been addressed in epidemiological studies, and most studies of dietary patterns assume that eating behaviours remain stable over the adult life



Fig. 2. Mean change in dietary pattern *z*-score across the adult life course (36–53 years) by type 2 diabetes diagnosis (diagnosed between 53 and 60–64 years of age) and sex. Student's *t* test was used to test for differences in *z*-score changes; age 36–43 years (\square): *P*=0.50 for men and <0.01 for women; age 43–53 years (\blacksquare): *P*=0.39 for men and <0.01 for women; age 36–53 years (\blacksquare): *P*=0.29 for men and <0.01 for women.

course. Other strengths of this study were the use of a validated diabetes outcome measure.

On the other hand, loss to follow-up in NSHD might have introduced some degree of bias. Those providing dietary data were healthier and more likely to be women compared with those who did not complete diet diaries. Loss to follow-up of those less socially advantaged and less healthy may have resulted in under-estimation of effect sizes⁽⁴¹⁾, although we have no reason to suspect that this would have altered the pattern of these associations. Reflecting the ethnic make-up of Britain in the 1940, the NSHD exclusively comprises Caucasians. Therefore, the findings from this paper might not be generalisable to cohorts of different ethnic groups. It is also important to recognise the potential measurement error associated with dietary assessment. The use of conditional change models might be associated with error when applied to repeated measures that are measured with some degree of error, as it is with diet.

In conclusion, a dietary pattern characterised by high-fat, high-GI and low-fibre intakes was prospectively associated with type 2 diabetes risk among women, and this association was independent of EI, BMI and WC. This association was robust when the dietary pattern was examined longitudinally over the life course (36–53 years), suggesting that the cumulative effects of changes in diet over a long-term period are particularly important for type 2 diabetes for women.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the NSHD study members and the MRC staff responsible for the data collection of the NSHD over the past 68 years. The authors also thank the staff at MRC HNR, Cambridge for their collaboration in deriving GI values used in this study.

This study was conducted with funding from the Medical Research Council, UK (grant codes: MC_UU_12019/1 and 12019/4).

Authors' contributions were as follows – S. P. and G. L. A. conceived and designed the study; S. P. analysed the data; all authors interpreted the data and oversaw the study; S. P. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors revised and contributed to the final manuscript.

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Table 4. Associations between changes in dietary pattern z-score through the adult life course and type 2 diabetes between 53 and 60–64 years of age* (Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals)

		Me	en (<i>n</i> 524)			Women (<i>n</i> 655)				
	n	OR	95 % CI	Р	n	OR	95 % CI	Р		
Dietary pattern z-score change										
Multivariate adjusted†	524				655					
36–43 years		1.09	0.75, 1.57	0.63		1.63	1.08, 2.46	0.01		
43–53 years		1.14	0.80, 1.63	0.44		1.45	0.98, 2.15	0.05		
36–53 years		1.19	0.84, 1.68	0.30		1.65	1.12, 2.42	0.01		

* OR of type 2 diabetes for a 1 sp increase in dietary patterns z-score in each interval conditional on previous dietary pattern z-score.

† Adjusted for socio-economic position, education, energy intake, energy under-reporting, smoking, physical activity, medications for hypertension and dyslipidaemia conditional BMI change and conditional waist circumference change.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material/s referred to in this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1017/S0007114516000672

References

- 1. Slyper AH (2013) The influence of carbohydrate quality on cardiovascular disease, the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and obesity an overview. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* **26**, 617–629.
- Cho SS, Qi L, Fahey GC Jr, *et al.* (2013) Consumption of cereal fibre, mixtures of whole grains and bran, and whole grains and risk reduction in type 2 diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* **98**, 594–619.
- Aston LM (2006) Glycaemic index and metabolic disease risk. *Proc Nutr Soc* 65, 125–134.
- Dong JY, Zhang L, Zhang YH, *et al.* (2011) Dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load in relation to the risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br J Nutr* **106**, 1649–1654.
- Nappo F, Esposito K, Cioffi M, *et al.* (2002) Postprandial endothelial activation in healthy subjects and in type 2 diabetic patients: role of fat and carbohydrate meals. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **39**, 1145–1150.
- Esposito K, Nappo F, Giugliano F, et al. (2003) Meal modulation of circulating interleukin 18 and adiponectin concentrations in healthy subjects and in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Clin Nutr 78, 1135–1140.
- 7. Hu FB (2002) Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidiol* **13**, 3–9.
- Van Dam RM (2005) New approaches to the study of dietary patterns. Br J Nutr 93, 573–574.
- Brunner EJ, Mosdol A, Witte DR, et al. (2008) Dietary patterns and 15-y risks of major coronary events, diabetes, and mortality. Am J Clin Nutr 87, 1414–1421.
- Hodge AM, English DR, O'Dea K, *et al.* (2007) Dietary patterns and diabetes incidence in the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**, 603–610.
- Montonen J, Knekt P, Harkanen T, *et al.* (2005) Dietary patterns and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Epidemiol* 161, 219–227.
- van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC, *et al.* (2002) Dietary patterns and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in U.S. men. *Ann Int Med* **136**, 201–209.
- 13. Villegas R, Yang G, Gao YT, *et al.* (2010) Dietary patterns are associated with lower incidence of type 2 diabetes in middleaged women: the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Int J Epidemiol* **39**, 889–899.
- Hoffmann K, Schulze MB, Schienkiewitz A, et al. (2004) Application of a new statistical method to derive dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology. Am J Epidemiol 159, 935–944.
- Schulze MB, Hoffmann K, Manson JE, *et al.* (2005) Dietary pattern, inflammation, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 82, 675–684; quiz 714–715.
- Heidemann C, Hoffmann K, Spranger J, *et al.* (2005) A dietary pattern protective against type 2 diabetes in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) – Potsdam Study cohort. *Diabetologia* 48, 1126–1134.
- Liese AD, Weis KE, Schulz M, *et al.* (2009) Food intake patterns associated with incident type 2 diabetes: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care* 32, 263–268.
- Wadsworth ME, Kuh D, Richards M, *et al.* (2006) Cohort profile: the 1946 National Birth Cohort (MRC National Survey of Health and Development). *Int J Epidemiol* **35**, 49–54.

- Stafford M, Black S, Shah I, *et al.* (2013) Using a birth cohort to study ageing: representativeness and response rates in the National Survey of Health and Development. *Eur J Ageing* 10, 145–157.
- Price GM, Paul AA, Key FB, *et al.* (1995) Measurement of diet in a large national survey: comparison of computerized and manual coding of records in household measures. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 8, 417–428.
- Fitt E, Cole D, Ziauddeen N, et al. (2014) DINO (Diet In Nutrients Out) – an integrated dietary assessment system. Public Health Nutr 18, 234–241.
- Aston LM, Jackson D, Monsheimer S, *et al.* (2010) Developing a methodology for assigning glycaemic index values to foods consumed across Europe. *Obes Rev* 11, 92–100.
- Wolever TM & Jenkins DJ (1986) The use of the glycaemic index in predicting the blood glucose response to mixed meals. *Am J Clin Nutr* 43, 167–172.
- Rennie KL, Coward A & Jebb SA (2007) Estimating under-reporting of energy intake in dietary surveys using an individualised method. *Br J Nutr* 97, 1169–1176.
- Trumbo P, Schlicker S, Yates AA, *et al.* (2002) Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fibre, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. *J Am Diet Assoc* **102**, 1621–1630.
- Black AE & Cole TJ (2000) Within- and between-subject variation in energy expenditure measured by the doubly-labelled water technique: implications for validating reported dietary energy intake. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 54, 386–394.
- Pastorino S, Richards M, Hardy R, *et al.* (2015) Validation of self-reported diagnosis of diabetes in the 1946 British birth cohort. *Prim Care Diabetes* 9, 397–400.
- World Health Organization (2011) Use of Glycated Haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus. Geneva: WHO.
- 29. Centre for Longitudinal Study Information and User Support (CeLSIUS) (2012) Socio-economic indicators. http://celsius.lshtm. ac.uk/modules/socio/se040100.htm (accessed April 2014).
- Imamura F, Lichtenstein AH, Dallal GE, *et al.* (2009) Generalizability of dietary patterns associated with incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 1075–1083.
- 31. Wills J & Tilling K (2014) Modelling repeat exposures: some examples from life course epidemiology. In *A Life Course Approach to Healthy Ageing*, pp. 91–108 [D Kuh, R Cooper, R Hardy, M Richards and Y Ben-Shlomo, editors]. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Schulze MB, Liu S, Rimm EB, et al. (2004) Glycaemic index, glycaemic load, and dietary fibre intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in younger and middle-aged women. Am J Clin Nutr 80, 348–356.
- 33. Villegas R, Liu S, Gao YT, *et al.* (2007) Prospective study of dietary carbohydrates, glycaemic index, glycaemic load, and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in middle-aged Chinese women. *Arch Intern Med* **167**, 2310–2316.
- Augustin LS, Franceschi S, Jenkins DJ, *et al.* (2002) Glycaemic index in chronic disease: a review. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 56, 1049–1071.
- Gastaldelli A (2011) Role of beta-cell dysfunction, ectopic fat accumulation and insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **93**, Suppl. 1, 860–865.
- Kolb H & Mandrup-Poulsen T (2010) The global diabetes epidemic as a consequence of lifestyle-induced low-grade inflammation. *Diabetologia* 53, 10–20.

1642

- 37. DeFronzo RA & Ferrannini E (1991) Insulin resistance. A multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovas-cular disease. *Diabetes Care* **14**, 173–194.
- Hebert JR, Ma Y, Clemow L, *et al.* (1997) Gender differences in social desirability and social approval bias in dietary selfreport. *Am J Epidemiol* **146**, 1046–1055.
- Sowers M, Derby C, Jannausch M, et al. (2003) Insulin resistance, hemostatic factors, and hormone interactions in pre- and perimenopausal women: SWAN. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 88, 4904–4910.
- Prentice RL (2010) Dietary assessment and the reliability of nutritional epidemiology reports. *Natl Cancer Inst* **102**, 583–585.
- Kristman V, Manno M & Côté P (2004) Loss to follow-up in cohort studies: how much is too much? *Eur J Epidemiol* 19, 751–760.