www.nature.com/bmt

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Long-term outcome following cyclosporine-related neurotoxicity in paediatric allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Bone Marrow Transplantation (2017) **52,** 159–162; doi:10.1038/bmt.2016.232; published online 19 September 2016

With increasing numbers of children and adults undergoing allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), neurotoxicity is emerging as an important cause of transplant-related morbidity. 1-3 The calcineurin inhibitor cyclosporine A (CI CSA) is the most frequently used agent for prevention of GvHD in both adult and paediatric patients. CSA is an established cause of posttransplantation central nervous system (CNS) toxicity, typically characterised by posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES).⁴ Even though neurological complications of CSA seldom result in mortality, the necessitated withdrawal of this potent anti-GvHD agent can have major implications on clinical outcome particularly in the face of on-going GvHD. The spectrum of CSA-related neurological complications has been well characterised in several case series of paediatric HSCT recipients,^{5–7} however, longterm follow-up data of those children who experience neurotoxicity are lacking. Here, we present the outcome of 26 children who developed CSA-neurotoxicity following allogeneic HSCT.

From a cohort of 569 consecutive paediatric allogeneic HSCT recipients at our institution between 1 January 2003 and 31 December 2013, we retrospectively identified those children who developed neurological complications at any time after the start of conditioning whilst receiving CSA using a computerised search of our patient database. Children with clinical symptoms of PRES or neurological manifestations of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA)⁷ were included. Those with obvious alternate causes for neurotoxicity were excluded. All children who suffered CNS complications were clinically evaluated by a neurologist. Supplementary investigations such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of head, cerebrospinal fluid analysis and electroencephalogram were used as appropriate to identify the cause. PRES was diagnosed in accordance with its well described MRI appearance.8 Hypodense lesions in the parietooccipital areas were considered to be CT findings consistent with PRES. TMA was diagnosed based on clinical and laboratory features of microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia and thrombocytopenia. GvHD was diagnosed clinically and supported with histological confirmation where possible. 9 IV CSA administration at a dose of 5 mg/kg/day in two divided doses was commenced either on day -1 (2003–2008) or day -3 (2009 onwards). Levels were monitored twice weekly. Target trough serum levels were 100-150 ng/mL for HLA-identical sibling transplants and 150–200 ng/mL for unrelated donor transplants.

During the 11-year study period, 569 paediatric allogeneic HSCTs were performed at this centre. Twenty-six children developed neurotoxicity associated with CSA (4.6%). Their demographics, disease characteristics and transplant-related parameters are summarised in Table 1. Median age at HSCT was 8.3 years (range 0.5–12.8 years). CSA+MMF was the most commonly employed GvHD prophylaxis (n=19), followed by CSA+MTX (n=4) and CSA alone (n=3). In one patient (subject #19), CSA was changed to Tacrolimus because of renal toxicity before the development of

neurotoxicity that is, in this patient neurotoxicity occurred while being treated with Tacrolimus. Twenty-one children experienced Cl-related neurotoxicity during their primary transplant procedure and 5 children following their second HSCT, having tolerated CSA without adverse events during their first HSCT.

Median time from HSCT to neurotoxicity was 47.5 days (range day –1 to +545) (Table 1). Clinical symptoms of neurotoxicity and severity are listed in Table 1. Twenty-four out of 26 patients had radiological evaluation of the brain with MRI, CT or both; 19 had classical findings of PRES, 2 showed non-specific changes consistent with encephalopathy and 3 had normal appearances of the brain. CSA level in peripheral blood at the time of presentation with neurotoxicity ranged from 64 to 256 ng/mL (Table 1).

Management of CI-induced neurotoxicity in addition to supportive care consisted of discontinuation of CSA/Tacrolimus in 25/26 patients. Neurological symptoms fully resolved in all patients except in patient #9 who developed TMA and died shortly thereafter and patient #3 who continues to require anticonvulsants despite normalisation MRI appearance. One patient (#12) who presented with seizures on day +24 and had non-specific features on MRI with a normal EEG was continued on IV CSA along with anticonvulsants and had no further neurological events.

After a median of 11 days post onset of CSA neurotoxicity (range 0–597 days) a CI was reintroduced in 18 patients: in 10 patients CSA was used and in 12 patients Tacrolimus, 3 of whom had failed re-challenge with CSA (Table 2). One patient received Tacrolimus after having been successfully re-challenged with CSA (patient #25). Eight of 18 patients re-challenged with a CI had recurrent symptoms of neurotoxicity: 3 following re-challenge with CSA, 2 following re-challenge with Tacrolimus and 3 following re-challenge with CSA as well as Tacrolimus sequentially. In addition to attempted re-challenge with a CI, all but one patient with grade I skin GvHD only before the onset of neurotoxicity, received corticosteroids as GvHD prophylaxis/treatment. Other immunosuppressive agents used are listed in Table 2.

Despite these measures, 7/9 patients (78%) who had no prior GvHD, developed acute/late acute GvHD after onset of CSA neurotoxicity whereas 13/17 had recurrence, persistence or progression of acute/late acute GvHD. Twenty-three patients were evaluable for chronic GvHD as 2 died before day +100 and one patient had second HSCT before day +100. Eighteen out of 23 (78%) had chronic GvHD and this was extensive in 14 patients: 13 patients developed chronic GvHD following CSA-neurotoxicity whereas pre-existing chronic GvHD persisted or progressed in 5 patients (Table 2).

At a median of 176 days (range 7–1889 days) following development of CSA-induced neurotoxicity 15/26 (58%) patients died, most due to progressive GvHD or its complications (Table 2). There were no acute deaths from neurotoxicity except in one patient (subject #9) who had CSA-related TMA on day +61 and died of encephalopathy and respiratory failure on day +68. Currently, 11/26 (42%) of children are alive a median of 8.2 years (range 5.5–13.1 years) after HSCT and a median of 7.8 years (range 5.5–12.1 years) after development of CSA-neurotoxicity. In contrast, during the same study period in our institution, the overall survival at 5 years following allogeneic HSCT was 67.7% for haematological

Table 1. Pati	Patient characteristics	cteristics								
Patient no.	Sex/age at HSCT (years)	Diagnosis	Donor	Cell source	Conditioning regimen	GvHD prophylaxis	Day CSA neurotoxicity	CSA level at time Neurotoxicity (µg/L)	Presentation of neurotoxicity (grading) ^a	Description of neurotoxicity/ associated symptoms
2	M/9 M/10	Ph+ ALL MDS	qanw Wand _p	PBSC BM	Flu/TBI Flu/Cyclo/	CSA/MMF CSA	248 363	248 147	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 3)	Seizures Transient blindness, tremors,
w 4	M/4 F/8	MDS Relapsed ALL	MUD	PBSC BM	Campaun Flu/Mel/Campath Cyclo/TBl	CSA/MMF CSA	30	239 256	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 4)	Severe hypertension, seizures Status epilepticus, tremors Hypertension, reduced
6 5	F/8 M/5	Ph+ ALL AML	MSD MMUD	BM Cord	Cyclo/TBI Bu/Cyclo/Mel	CSA CSA/MMF	- 100 - 10	89 186	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 3)	consciousness Hypertension, syncopal attack Hypertension, headaches,
8	M/6 M/11	MDS monosomy 7 T-NHL	MSD MMUD	BM PBSC	Bu/Cyclo/Mel Cyclo/TBI/	CSA/MTX CSA/MMF	-1 115	ND [€] 124	PRES (grade 4) PRES (grade 3)	Seizure Seizures Seizures, tremors,
o	M/11	Relapsed AML	MSD	BM	Campaun Bu/Cyclo/Mel	CSA/MTX	61	161	TMA (grade 3)	nypertension Seizures, hypertension, encephalopathy, cytopenia,
10	M/5	JMML-AML	MMUD	Cord	Flu/Treo	CSA/MMF	28	137	PRES (grade 3)	Headache, encephalopathy,
11	M/12	AML	MMUD	BM	Bu/Cyclo/Mel/	CSA/MMF	181	201	PRES (grade 4)	Seizures, riyper tension Seizure, hypertension, visual impairment
12	M/11 M/7	XLP XIP	MMUD	PBSC	Flu/Mel/Campath	CSA/MMF	24	100	PRES (grade 3)	Seizure, hypertension Seizure, hypertension
7 7 1	M/5	표로	MMUD		Cyclo/Treo	CSA/MMF	34	106		Seizures, hypertension
16	F/0.5 F/0.5	SCID SCID	MUD	BW Cord	rlu/Treo Flu/Mel/Campath Flu/Treo	CSA/MMF CSA/MMF CSA/MMF	252 77 15	103 142	rkes (grade 2) PRES (grade 2) TMA (grade 3)	Seizures, nypertension Seizures, hypertension Headache, hypertension,
81	F/7 M/10	CID	MMUD	PBSC PBSC	Flu/Mel/Campath Flu/Treo/	CSA/MMF >	93 545	84 NU	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 2)	renal impairment Seizure Seizures, renal impairment
20 21	F/10 F/10	Schwachmann diamond Aplastic anaemia	MUD	PBSC BM	Caripaui Flu/Mel/Campath Cyclo	CSA/MTX	165 0	109 151	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 4)	Seizures, hypertension Seizures, hypertension,
22 23 24	M/10 F/4 F/3	Beta thalassaemia major Osteopetrosis Osteopetrosis	MSD ^b MSD MUD	PBSC BM PBSC	Mel Bu/Flu Flu/Treo/ Campath/	CSA/MMF CSA/MMF CSA/MMF	169 16 13	193 57 175	PRES (grade 4) PRES (grade 2) PRES (grade 4)	encephalopathy Seizures, encephalopathy Seizures, Seizures, hypertension,
25 26	F/10 M/8	Systemic JIA Adrenoleukodystrophy	MMUD ^b MUD	PBSC BM	Campata Thiotepa Flu/Mel/Campath Bu/Cyclo/ Campath	CSA/MMF CSA/MTX	35	155 83	PRES (grade 3) PRES (grade 2)	Seizures, encephalopathy Headaches, seizures

Abbreviations: ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; AML=acute myeloid leukemia; BM=bone marrow; Bu=busulphan; CGD=chronic granulomatous disease; CID=combined immunodeficiency; CSA=cyclosporine; Cyclo=cyclophosphamide; HLH=haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; JIA=juvenile idiopathic arthritis; MDS=myelodysplastic syndrome; Mel=melphalan; MMF=mycophenolate mofetil; MSD=matched sibling donor; MMUD=mismatched donor; MUD=mismatched unrelated donor; MTX=methotrexate; ND=not done; T-NHL=T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; Treo=treosulphan; UN=unknown; XLP=X-linked lymphoproliferative disease. ^aAs per Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. ^bSecond HSCT. ^cThis patient developed PRES on the day of starting CSA.

tient Immune suppression Interval Post CSA toxicity starting CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, Siro MTX, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, Siro CSA/TAC ⁺ , CS, MMF TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF TAC, CS CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF MSC TAC, CS TA	Maximum GvHD pre CSA toxicity acute and chronic Grade II skin, extensive chronic skin, mouth Grade I skin Grade I skin Mil Grade IV skin, gut Nil Grade IV skin, gut, extensive	Acute/late acute Acute/late acute Chronic Chronic Nil Progressed to exte mouth and lung Progressed to Grade II skin Grade IV skin, gut recurred Developed extensi	st CSA neurotoxicity	Outcome/follow-up ^a cause c	Outcome/follow-up ^a cause death/current complications
TAC ^b , CS, mAb CS, MMF CSA/TAC ^c , CS NII TAC, CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF MTX, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF	ade II skin, extensive chronic n, mouth ade I skin and gut ade I skin ade IV skin, gut ade IV skin, gut, extensive	itil Itil rogressed to Grade II skin sirade IV skin, gut recurred			
TAC ^b , CS, mAb CS, MMF CSA/TAC ^c , CS Nil TAC, CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC ^c , CS, MMF, Siro CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF, TAC ^c , CS, MMF TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS, MMF TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS, MMF TAC, CS CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TG, MSC CSA/TGC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF TAC ^c , CS, MMF TAC ^c , CS, MMF TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS TAC ^c , CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS	ade II skin, extensive chronic n, mouth ade I skin ade I skin ade I skin ade IV skin, gut	iil rogressed to Grade II skin srade IV skin, gut recurred	Chronic		
CS, MMF CSA/TAC, CS Nil TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC', CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC', CS, MMF, TAC, CS AMF, CS CS, MMF, Siro CS, MMF, Siro CS, MMF, MAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, MMF, mAb CSA', CS, MMF, CS TAC', CS, MMF	ade I skin ade I skin ade I skin ade IV skin, gut	rogressed to Grade II skin srade IV skin, gut recurred	Progressed to extensive skin,	Alive +7.8 Extensive bronchiolitis obliterans	iolitis obliterans
Nil TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC, CS, MMF, TAC, CS MMF TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA, CS, MMF CSA, CS, MMF CSA, CS, MMF CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS MMF, mAb CSA, CS TAC, CS, MMF, CS CSA, CS, SIRO MAB CSA', CS TAC, CS, MMF	ade I V skin and gut ade I skin ade IV skin, gut ade IV skin, gut, extensive	arade IV skin, gut recurred	d skin	Alive +12.1 GvHD resolved	
Nil TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF, MTX, mAb TAC, CS CS, MMF Siro CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, MMF, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, MMF, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF TAC, CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, CS, CS TAC, CS, MMF	ade I skin ade IV skin, gut ade IV skin, gut, extensive		Developed extensive skin	years Alive +10.3 Extensive vitiligo, extensive vitiligo, extensive vitiliso, extensive vitilisor, extensive vitil	Extensive vitiligo, epilepsy following PRES needing
TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC', CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC', CS, MMF, TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA', CS, MMF CSA', CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CS, MMF, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA, CS, MMF, mAb TAC', CS, MMF, mAb CSA', CS, Siro mAb CSA', CS, Siro mAb CSA', CS TAC, CS, MMF	ade IV skin, gut ade IV skin, gut, extensive	Grade I skin recurred	Ϊ́Ν	+8.1	וון-בטובטווכז
TAC, CS, MMF, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF, TAC, CS CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF	extensive	Developed Grade III skin, liver	loped extensive skin,	+5.9	Bronchiolitis obliterans, bilateral avascular necrosis
TAC', CS, MMF, mab CSA/TAC', CS, MMF, MTX, mab TAC, CS CS, MMF, Siro CS, MMF, Siro CSA', CS, MMF CSA', CS, MMF TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mab, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mab, CS, MMF, mab TAC, CS, MMF, mab CS, MMF, mab TAC', CS, MMF, mab TAC', CS, MMF, mab CSA', CS, Siro mab CSA', CS, Siro mab CSA', CS TAC, CS, MMF	ade IV skin, gut, extensive	Grade IV skin, gut persisted	lung Developed limited skin, liver	years of the hip Deceased Liver GvHD	
CSA/TAC ^c , CS, MMF, MTX, mAb TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA ^c , CS, MMF, Siro CSA ^c , CS, MMF CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF		Developed Grade IV skin, gut	ed extensive skin,	+ i.8 years Deceased Bronchiolitis obliterans/infection	terans/infection
MTX, mAb TAC, CS CS, MMF CSA*, CS, MMF, Siro CSA*, CS, MMF CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CSA*, CS, Siro mAb CSA*, CS TAC, CS, MMF		N:I	d to extensive skin,		GvHD, infection (E. coli sepsis, pulmonary
CS, MMF CSA°, CS, MMF, Siro CSA°, CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, MSC MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC°, CS, MMF, mAb TAC°, CS, MMF, CSSA°, CS, Siro mAb CSA°, CS, Siro mAb CSA°, CS, MMF		Grade IV skin, gut, liver	gut, liver NE	+1.2 years Aspergillosis) Deceased Infection, TMA	
CSA ^c , CS, MMF, Siro CSA ^e , CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS TAC, CS, MAF, mAb, ATG, MSC CSA, MMF, mAb TTAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF	Ξ	persisted Developed Grade II gut	Developed limited gut	+68 days Deceased Acute liver necros	Acute liver necrosis, presumed infection
CSA°, CS, MMF CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS CSA, CS, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, mAb TAC, CS, MMF, mAb CSA°, CS TAC, CS, MMF	Grade II skin	Progressed to Grade III skin	NE ^d	+1.6 years Deceased Bone marrow apla	Bone marrow aplasia, fungal chest infection, CMV/
CS, MMF, mAb CS, Siro, mAb, MSC TAC, CS CSA/TAC ^c , CS, mAb, MSC MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS, MMF	Nii	Developed Grade II skin	Developed limited skin	+275 days adeno/HHV6 viraemia Alive +10.4 GvHD resolved	emia
CS, Siro, mab, MSC TAC, CS CSA/TAC ^c , CS, mab, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mab, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mab TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mab CSA ^c , CS, Siro mab CSA ^c , CS, Siro mab CSA ^c , CS, MMF	Grade II skin limited chronic skin	Progressed to Grade IV gut	Limited skin nersisted	years Alive +7 8 GvHD resolved	
TAC, CS CSA/TAC ^c , CS, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF	,	Grade IV aut persisted	jut		
CSA/TAC ^c , CS, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF	_	Progressed to Grade IV skin	ŧ		GVHD infertion (BSV pneumonitis)
CSA/TAC', CS, mAb, MSC CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC', CS, MMF, mAb CSA', CS, Siro mAb CSA', CS		gut	i i	10	
CSA, CS, MMF, mAb, ATG, MSC CS, MMF, mAb TAC ^c , CS, RMF, mAb CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF	Grade III skin, gut F	Progressed to Grade IV skin, aut. liver	Developed extensive skin, lact. liver	Deceased GvHD/infection +253 days	
CS, MMF, mab TAC', CS, MMF, mab CSA', CS TAC, CS, MMF CS, CS, MMF CS, MMF	Grade IV skin	Grade IV skin persisted		Deceased GvHD, pulmonary haemorrhage	/ haemorrhage
TAC ^c , CS, MMF, mab CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF CS, MMF	Grade I gut	Progressed to Grade III skin,	Developed extensive skin, gut	Deceased GvHD	
CSA ^c , CS, Siro mAb CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF CS, MMF	er, extensive	gut Nil	skin, gut, liver	+1.9 years Deceased Infection (Influenza pneumonitis)	za pneumonitis)
CSA ^c , CS TAC, CS, MMF CS, MMF	liver t, extensive	Grade III skin, gut recurred	d to extensive skin,	+1.9 years Deceased GvHD, infection (adenoviraemia)	adenoviraemia)
TAC, CS, MMF CS, MMF	chronic skin, gut Nil	ΞZ	gut, liver Nil	+304 days Deceased Pulmonary fibrosis	SI.
CS, MMF	Grade IV skin, gut	ΞZ	Developed extensive skin, gut	+5.2 years Alive +10.3 Extensive vitiligo	
	IIN	Developed Grade I skin		years Alive +5.5 GvHD resolved	
24 CS, MMF, Siro NA	Z.	ΙΞ	N.		Pulmonary vasculopathy, infection
25 CSA/TAC, CS, MMF, 5	Ni	Developed Grade IV skin, gut	Developed extensive gut, liver	+125 days Deceased Infection	
26 CSA, CS 20	J. N.	Developed Grade I skin	N	+1.0 years Alive +7.9 GvHD resolved	

Abbreviations: CS=corticosteroids; CSA=cyclosporine; mAb=monoclonal antibodies including infliximab, daclizumab and/or basiliximab; MMF=mycophenolate mofetil; MSC=mesenchymal stem cells; MTX=methotrexate; NA=not applicable; NE=not evaluable; RSV=respiratory syncytial virus; Siro=sirolimus; TAC=Tacrolimus. ^aAs of 1 April 2016 except for patient #22 for whom last follow-up was 27 September 2012. ^bTacrolimus started to assess if tolerated in context of potential lung transplantation. ^aCSA and/or Tacrolimus restarted but not tolerated. ^aNE as received second HSCT. ^aCSA continued with clobazam.

malignancy, 82.1% for immunodeficiency and 87.2% for metabolic disorders. Of the 11 children who survived despite developing CSA-related neurotoxicity, 4 (36%) have a significantly impaired quality-of-life due to sequelae of extensive chronic GvHD.

This case series of paediatric HSCT recipients who developed CSA-related neurotoxicity illustrates that outcome following this complication is notably poor: high non-relapse mortality of 58% and significant morbidity with 36% of survivors living with late effects of extensive chronic GvHD. These findings are similar to those in large case series of adult allogeneic HSCT recipients who developed CSA-related neurotoxicity reporting 43–52% mortality due to progressive GvHD/infection. ^{10,11} In our study, outcome was particularly poor in the 10 patients who had severe GvHD (Grade III/IV) before the development of CSA-neurotoxicity: 8/10 died and 2 are alive with extensive vitiligo. In the same time period at our institution, other patients with severe GvHD, but without the added complication of CSA neurotoxicity had a far superior survival rate of 70%. 12 Hence it would seem that the development of CSA neurotoxicity and consequent inability to tolerate CI, adversely affected their prognosis. In future studies with larger patient numbers, it would be useful to substantiate our findings with multivariate analyses.

Development of CSA-related neurotoxicity poses a complex clinical management situation as one of the most effective drugs in the treatment and prevention of GvHD needs to be discontinued promptly; sometimes in patients with on-going GvHD. As symptoms of CSA-neurotoxicity usually resolve over several days, re-challenge could be a viable option. Tacrolimus has been used as alternative agent in the event of CSA-related neurotoxicity but as it is also a CI, its use is similarly associated with significant neurotoxicity ^{7,13,14} as seen in 5 patients in our case series. Nevertheless, re-challenge with a CI was tolerated in 56% of patients in this series, a similar proportion as that reported by others. ¹⁰ In those patients where re-challenge resulted in recurrence of symptoms and use of CI was precluded permanently, outcome appears particularly dismal with overall survival of 13% (1/8) in this case series.

Evidence for the optimal approach of prophylaxis/management of GvHD when use of CI is contra-indicated is not available. In this case series, all but one patient received corticosteroids. In addition, as the combination of CSA and MMF is the most common GvHD prophylaxis regimen in our centre, the majority of patients were already receiving MMF at the time of development of CSA neurotoxicity. The efficacy of MMF as sole anti-GvHD agent is limited, ¹³ and on its own it does not provide satisfactory GvHD prophylaxis or treatment. Sirolimus, which has a completely different mechanism of action to the CI provides another option. Although Sirolimus can also cause neurotoxicity, this has mostly been reported when it has been used in combination with CSA. ¹⁵ In this series, Sirolimus was only used in five patients but this agent may potentially be increasingly used in this clinical situation in future.

In conclusion, this case series illustrates the dismal prognosis in patients following the development of CI-related neurotoxicity and the complexities of managing GvHD in this situation. There is a need for further studies to determine the optimal treatment approach to improve outcome following this rare but serious complication.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

KS, PA and ZA performed the data collection and analysis, KS and PA wrote the manuscript. JS, ON, RC, PV, PJA and KR edited the manuscript and provided clinical care for the patients included in this study, KR designed the study.

K Straathof, P Anoop, Z Allwood, J Silva, O Nikolajeva, R Chiesa, P Veys, PJ Amrolia and K Rao Department of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Great Ormond Street Hospital for children, London, UK E-mail: kanchan.rao@gosh.nhs.uk

REFERENCES

- 1 Weber C, Schaper J, Tibussek D, Adams O, Mackenzie CR, Dilloo D et al. Diagnostic and therapeutic implications of neurological complications following paediatric haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2008; 41: 253–259.
- 2 Masetti R, Cordelli DM, Zama D, Vendemini F, Biagi C, Franzoni E et al. PRES in Children undergoing hematopoietic stem cell or solid organ transplantation. Pediatrics 2015; 135: 890–901.
- 3 Faraci M, Lanino E, Dini G, Fondelli MP, Morreale G, Dallorso S *et al.* Severe neurologic complications after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children. *Neurology* 2002; **59**: 1895–1904.
- 4 Hinchey J, Chaves C, Appignani B, Breen J, Pao L, Wang A et al. A reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome. N Engl J Med 1996; 334: 494–500.
- 5 Uckan D, Cetin M, Yigitkanli I, Tezcan I, Tuncer M, Karasimav D et al. Life-threatening neurological complications after bone marrow transplantation in children. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005: 35: 71–76.
- 6 Noè A, Cappelli B, Biffi A, Chiesa R, Frugnoli I, Biral E et al. High incidence of severe cyclosporine neurotoxicity in children affected by haemoglobinopaties undergoing myeloablative haematopoietic stem cell transplantation: early diagnosis and prompt intervention ameliorates neurological outcome. *Ital J Pediatr* 2010; 36: 14.
- 7 Barba P, Piñana JL, Valcárcel D, Querol L, Martino R, Sureda A et al. Early and late neurological complications after reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2009; 15: 1439–1446
- 8 Trullemans F, Grignard F, Van Camp B, Schots R. Clinical findings and magnetic resonance imaging in severe cyclosporine-related neurotoxicity after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Eur J Haematol* 2001; **67**: 94–99
- 9 Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, Socie G, Wingard JR, Lee SJ *et al.* National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: I. diagnosis and staging working group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2005; **11**: 945–956.
- 10 Chohan R, Vij R, Adkins D, Blum W, Brown R, Tomasson M et al. Long-term outcomes of allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients after calcineurin inhibitorinduced neurotoxicity. Br J Haematol 2003; 123: 110–113.
- 11 Siegal D, Keller A, Xu W, Bhuta S, Kim DH, Kuruvilla J et al. Central nervous system complications after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: incidence, manifestations, and clinical significance. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2007; 13: 1260, 1270.
- 12 Rao K, Rao A, Karlsson H, Jagani M, Veys P, Amrolia PJ. Improved survival and preserved antiviral responses after combination therapy with daclizumab and infliximab in steroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2009; 31: 456–461.
- 13 Bechstein WO. Neurotoxicity of calcineurin inhibitors: impact and clinical management. Transpl Int 2000; 13: 313–326.
- 14 Kanekiyo T, Hara J, Matsuda-Hashii Y, Fujisaki H, Tokimasa S, Sawada A et al. Tacrolimus-related encephalopathy following allogeneic stem cell transplantation in children. Int J Hematol 2005; 81: 264–268.
- 15 Furlong T, Kiem H-P, Appelbaum FR, Carpenter PA, Deeg HJ, Doney K et al. Sirolimus in combination with cyclosporine or tacrolimus plus methotrexate for prevention of graft-versus-host disease following hematopoietic cell transplantation from unrelated donors. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2008; 14: 531–537.

International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

© The Author(s) 2017