

## Is middle cerebral artery Doppler related to neonatal and 2-year infant outcome in early fetal growth restriction?

Tamara STAMPALIJA<sup>1</sup> MD, Birgit ARABIN<sup>2</sup> MD, Hans WOLF<sup>3</sup> MD, Caterina M. BILARDO<sup>4</sup> Prof, and Christoph LEES<sup>5,6</sup> Prof, on behalf of the TRUFFLE investigators

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Ultrasound and Prenatal Diagnosis, Institute for Maternal and Child Health, IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Philipps-University Marburg, Germany and Clara-Angela Foundation, Witten and Berlin, Germany;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics, University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, Netherlands;

<sup>5</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, UK;

<sup>6</sup>Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven;

**Conflict of interest:** The Authors report no conflict of interest.

**Source of funding:** TRUFFLE was supported by ZonMw, 2509 AE Den Haag, Netherlands (Grant number 94506556), in the Netherlands. In other countries, the study was not founded. A contribution was made to study funding from the Dr Hans Ludwig Geisenhofer Foundation, Munich, Germany.

**Declaration of interests:** CCL is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London.

**Corresponding author:**

Christoph Lees, Prof

Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

Work telephone: 0044 203 313 3586

Fax: 0044 208 383 3507

E-mail address: [Christoph.lees@imperial.nhs.uk](mailto:Christoph.lees@imperial.nhs.uk)

**Article type:** Original article

**Word count abstract:** 420

**Word count main text:** 2787

**Figure or Table for print issue:** Figure 2

**Condensation:** Middle cerebral artery Doppler and umbilico-cerebral ratio are less strongly associated with outcome than birth weight or gestation at delivery in early fetal growth restriction.

**Short version of title:** Middle cerebral artery Doppler in early fetal growth restriction.

## Abstract

### BACKGROUND

Reduced fetal middle cerebral artery Doppler impedance is associated with hypoxemia in fetal growth restriction. This finding is increasingly recommended to guide delivery timing decisions despite a paucity of evidence from prospective studies.

### OBJECTIVES

The aim of this study was to determine whether there is an association between middle cerebral artery Doppler impedance and its ratio with the umbilical artery in relation to neonatal and 2-year infant outcome. Additionally we sought to explore which ratio is more informative for clinical use.

### STUDY DESIGN

This is a secondary analysis from TRUFFLE, a prospective multicenter randomized management study on different antenatal monitoring strategies (ductus venosus Doppler changes and computerized cardiotocography short term variation) in fetal growth restriction diagnosed between 26<sup>+0</sup> and 31<sup>+6</sup> weeks. We analyzed women with middle cerebral artery Doppler measurement at study entry and within 1 week before delivery, and with complete postnatal follow-up (374/503). The primary outcome was survival without neurodevelopmental impairment at 2 years corrected for prematurity. Neonatal outcome was defined as survival until first discharge home without severe neonatal morbidity. Z-scores were calculated for middle cerebral artery pulsatility index and both umbilico-cerebral and cerebro-placental ratios. Odds ratios of Doppler parameter z-scores for neonatal and 2-year infant outcome were calculated by multivariable logistic regression analysis adjusted for gestational age and birth weight p50 ratio.

### RESULTS

Higher middle cerebral artery pulsatility index at inclusion but not within 1 week before delivery was associated with neonatal survival without severe morbidity (odds ratio 1.24; 95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.52). Middle cerebral artery pulsatility index Z-score and umbilico-cerebral ratio Z-score at inclusion were associated with 2-year survival with normal neurodevelopmental outcome (odds ratio 1.33; 95% confidence interval 1.03-1.72 and odds ratio 0.88; 95% confidence interval 0.78-0.99, respectively) as were gestation at delivery and birthweight p50 ratio (odds ratio 1.41; 95% confidence interval 1.20-1.66, and odds ratio 1.86; 95% confidence interval 1.33-2.60, respectively). When comparing cerebro-placental ratio against umbilico-cerebral ratio, the incremental range of the cerebro-placental ratio tended towards zero whereas the umbilico-cerebral ratio tended towards infinity as the values became more abnormal.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In a monitoring protocol based on ductus venosus and cardiotocography in early fetal growth restriction, the impact of middle cerebral artery Doppler and its ratios on outcome is modest and less marked than birth weight and delivery gestation. It is unlikely that middle cerebral artery Doppler and its ratios are informative in optimizing timing of delivery in early fetal growth restriction. The umbilico-cerebral ratio allows for a better differentiation in the abnormal range than the cerebro-placental ratio.

**Keywords:** middle cerebral artery, cerebro-placental ratio; CPR; Doppler velocimetry; umbilico-cerebral ratio; neonatal; intrauterine growth restriction.

## Introduction

There is renewed interest in the predictive value for adverse perinatal outcome of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler, particularly in the third trimester.<sup>1</sup> The so-called 'brain sparing' effect, as evidenced by a low pulsatility index (PI), refers to vasodilatation of cerebral vessels in response to fetal hypoxemia particularly in fetal growth restriction.<sup>2-4</sup> Ratios of cerebral (carotid or MCA) to central or peripheral (aortic or umbilical) impedance are more closely related to antenatal blood gases and pH,<sup>4</sup> discriminate better between normal and risk pregnancies<sup>5-7</sup> and are more predictive of perinatal fetal distress than individual Doppler parameters.<sup>8</sup> In order to consider the opposite changes occurring in the MCA (reduced impedance) and umbilical artery (increased impedance) with progressive hypoxemia, the umbilico-cerebral ratio<sup>5-7</sup> and its inverse, the cerebro-umbilical also known as the cerebro-placental ratio have been established.<sup>7,9-12</sup> Up to now, most publications report on the cerebro-placental rather than the umbilico-cerebral ratio but the advantage of one over the other is unclear.

Although brain sparing has been traditionally considered as a protective phenomenon in fetal hypoxemia, its presence or deterioration of the ratio of the MCA Doppler PI to umbilical artery may be associated with increased risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcome, both in term and preterm pregnancies with fetal growth restriction.<sup>13</sup> The interpretation of these findings is problematic, especially for preterm fetal growth restriction, due to paucity of prospective good-quality studies with adequate sample size and long-term follow-up. The aim of this study is to investigate the association of the MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios, normalized for gestational age, with neonatal and 2-year infant outcome in a large predefined group with early fetal growth restriction as part of the

multicenter TRUFFLE study.<sup>14,15</sup> We also investigated which MCA related ratio is most informative for clinical use.

## Material and methods

This is a secondary analysis of the association between MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios with the neonatal and 2-year infant outcome from the TRUFFLE study. The TRUFFLE study design has been described earlier.<sup>15</sup>

In short, this was a prospective multicenter randomized management trial conducted in 20 European tertiary care centers from 2005 to 2010. The randomized trial was registered with the International Standard Randomized controlled Trial Number Register – ISRCTN56204499). Eligible women had singleton pregnancies between 26 and 31<sup>+6</sup> gestational weeks diagnosed with fetal growth restriction, defined as a fetal abdominal circumference <10<sup>th</sup> centile<sup>16</sup> and abnormal umbilical artery Doppler (PI>95<sup>th</sup> centile)<sup>7</sup> with or without absent or reversed end-diastolic flow. Estimated fetal weight had to be >500 grams,<sup>17</sup> and the ductus venosus waveform normal with a PI<95<sup>th</sup> centile<sup>18</sup> and a normal computerized cardiotocography short term variation.<sup>19</sup> Following informed consent, participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups in a 1:1:1 ratio to determine the timing of delivery. These groups were: 1) monitoring and delivery according to computerized cardiotocography short term variation criteria (short term variation <3.5 milliseconds (msec) at <29 weeks or <4 msec at ≥29 weeks); 2a) delivery based on early changes in the ductus venosus PI (>95<sup>th</sup> centile); and 2b) delivery based on late changes of the ductus venosus (no or reverse A wave flow). For further details on patient monitoring in the TRUFFLE study see

Supplementary Appendix. The study was ratified by the ethics committees of all participating units, and all participants gave their written informed consent.

The primary outcome was survival without neurodevelopmental impairment at 2 years corrected for prematurity (Supplementary Appendix).

Neonatal outcome was defined as survival until first discharge home without severe neonatal morbidity (Supplementary Appendix).

For this analysis, we included only women who had measurements of the middle cerebral and umbilical arteries both at inclusion and within 1 week before delivery, and who had complete 2-year follow-up. If there were more MCA Doppler measurements within the last week before delivery only the last one was selected.

Z-scores were calculated for MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios using reference data by Arduini.<sup>20</sup> For birthweight classification an expected (median) weight was calculated, adjusted for gestational age, maternal weight, length and ethnic descent.<sup>21</sup> This allowed calculation of a birthweight to p50 ratio.

Both absolute values and Z-scores of MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios at study inclusion were compared for neonatal and 2-year infant outcome. This analysis was repeated using the last Doppler values. A delta value for the Doppler parameter Z-scores was calculated by subtracting the first measurement from the last, dividing this by the number of days in between and multiply this ratio by 100:  $\text{Delta ratio} = 100 \times (\text{last} - \text{first}) / \text{Interval in days}$ . This value was similarly compared for outcome.

Anova, Chi-square, Wilcoxon signed rank or Kruskal-Wallis test were used as appropriate. Levene test was used to assess for homogeneity of variables. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) of each MCA related Doppler Z-scores parameter for neonatal outcome (survival until the first discharge home without severe morbidity) and 2-year infant



outcome (survival without neurodevelopmental impairment at 2 years) were calculated by multivariable logistic regression analysis with adjustment for gestational age and birth weight p50 ratio. Data are presented as number, percentage (%), mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) as required. IBM SPSS statistics version 22 (New York, U.S.A.) was used for statistical calculation.

## Results

Table 1 shows the selection process for this post-hoc analysis. Women lost to follow up (60/503, 12%) were excluded. All women with known outcome (n=443; 88%) had MCA Doppler at inclusion, and 380 (76%) in the last week before delivery. Additionally, we excluded 4 patients with fetal death who had refused intervention, and 2 women whose infants died shortly after birth due to a lethal congenital abnormality (trisomy 18, complex cardiac abnormality) (“inevitable deaths”, Table 1). The study population available for analysis was 374 (74%) women.

Absolute values and Z-scores of MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios are shown in Table 2 specified for 2-year infant outcome categories. Absolute values and Z-scores of all Doppler parameters at study inclusion were significantly different between outcome categories. However, notwithstanding the significant difference between first and last Doppler parameters, neither the difference between the first and last measurement, nor the last values were significantly associated with 2-year infant outcome. Other parameters that differed significantly among 2-year infant outcome groups were estimated fetal weight, birth weight, birth weight p50, gestational age at inclusion and at delivery, nulliparity, Apgar score at 5 minutes <7, infant sex, and severe neonatal morbidity (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows the Z-scores of the first and last Doppler measurements: the umbilico-cerebral ratio has a wider distribution in the abnormal range, with more abnormal outliers, than the cerebro-placental ratio. The median of the differences between the first and last measurement was significantly different from zero for all three Doppler parameters ( $p < 0.001$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Figure 2a shows the odds ratios for neonatal outcome adjusted for gestational age at delivery and birth weight p50 ratio (see also Table S1 in the Supplementary Appendix). The MCA PI Z-score at study inclusion was the only Doppler related parameter with a significant association with neonatal survival without severe morbidity (odds ratio 1.24; 95%CI 1.02 to 1.52) together with gestational age at delivery (odds ratio 1.69; 95%CI 1.46-1.95).

Figure 2b shows the adjusted odds ratios for 2-year infant outcome (see also Table S2 in the Supplementary Appendix). Among all Doppler criteria, only MCA PI Z-score and umbilico-cerebral ratio Z-score at study inclusion were significantly associated with 2-year infant survival with normal neurodevelopmental outcome (odds ratio 1.33; 95%CI 1.03-1.72 and odds ratio 0.88; 95%CI 0.78-0.99, respectively). Gestational age at delivery and birthweight p50 ratio were also significantly associated with a normal outcome (odds ratio 1.41; 95%CI 1.20-1.66, and odds ratio 1.86; 95%CI 1.33-2.60, respectively).

The cerebro-placental ratio did not reach statistical significance despite the fact that it is the inverse of the umbilico-cerebral ratio, and that Z-scores were calculated from the same reference table. To explore this further, we plotted umbilico-cerebral ratio against cerebro-placental ratio and observed that in the abnormal range for cerebro-placental ratio ( $< 1$ ), the incremental change is far more compressed than for umbilico-cerebral ratio ( $> 1$ ) (Figure 3).

## Comment

### ***Principal findings of the study***

We show that, for MCA related Doppler parameters, only MCA PI Z-score at study inclusion is associated with neonatal survival until discharge home without severe morbidity, and that MCA PI and the umbilico-cerebral ratio Z-scores at study inclusion are associated with 2-year infant survival without neurodevelopmental impairment. Cerebro-placental ratio Z-score at study inclusion, MCA PI, umbilico-cerebral and cerebro-placental ratio Z-scores shortly before birth and the change of these parameters with time were not associated with neonatal or 2-year infant outcome. Moreover, our data show that gestational age at delivery remains the most important factor in determining neonatal survival without adverse outcome and, together with birthweight, in determining 2-year infant outcome without neurodevelopmental impairment.

### ***Results of the study in the context of other observations***

*Brain sparing in early fetal growth restriction and neonatal and 2-year infant outcome.* In this study of fetuses whose mothers were enrolled into a large prospective randomized management trial of early fetal growth restriction, fetuses with less severe cerebral vasodilatation at study inclusion had a higher chance of avoiding mortality and severe neonatal morbidity, and of 2-year survival without neurodevelopmental impairment. This observation is consistent with recent reports of an increased prevalence of adverse outcomes in fetal growth restriction with cerebral vasodilatation,<sup>13</sup> suggesting that, although cerebral vasodilatation is thought to be protective, its presence reveals fetal hypoxemia<sup>22</sup> that might be associated with brain damage.

Importantly, in our study, gestational age and birth weight were the main factors in determining neonatal and infant outcome, respectively. Moreover, similarly to other studies that evaluated brain sparing and delivery in early growth restriction,<sup>23,24</sup> the MCA PI measured close to delivery and its change over time had no impact on neonatal or 2-year infant outcome. These findings confirm that in early fetal growth restriction the impact of placental disease on neonatal and infant outcome depends mainly on gestational age and severity of growth restriction.<sup>23,24</sup> The impact of MCA Doppler at study inclusion on neonatal and 2-year infant outcome though not strong was mechanistically interesting. Given that it is impossible to know in advance whether a MCA Doppler measurement is the last for a given fetus, the finding is likely to be of very limited clinical utility and apparently not useful for the decision when to deliver the fetus.

*Middle cerebral artery related ratios.* For MCA related ratios we found significant differences for absolute values and Z-scores at study inclusion, but these differences were not observed close to delivery. After adjustment for other relevant factors, a significant association persisted only between umbilico-cerebral ratio at study inclusion and 2-year survival without neurodevelopmental impairment, not for its inverse the cerebro-placental ratio.

Several reports have shown the advantage of umbilico-cerebral<sup>5-6</sup> and cerebro-placental ratios<sup>7,25-29</sup> over an isolated MCA PI measurement in differentiating fetal growth restriction from small for gestational age or appropriately grown fetuses, and in predicting adverse neonatal outcome in fetal growth restriction. However, most studies were performed in both early and late fetal growth restriction while in our cohort only pregnancies with early (<32 weeks) fetal growth restriction were included, characterized by both smallness and umbilical Doppler abnormalities. Early and late fetal growth restriction are associated with different feto-placental hemodynamic profiles.

Though one study revealed an association between abnormal umbilico-cerebral ratio and adverse neurodevelopmental infant outcome,<sup>30</sup> our findings suggest that this association is dominated by gestational age and birthweight.

Interestingly, we found no association between change in MCA related Doppler parameters with time, or measured close to delivery, and neonatal or 2-year infant outcome suggesting that it is not the change in MCA related Doppler parameters that impacts on the outcome in early fetal growth restriction. In fact, it has been shown that the MCA PI may be abnormal for many weeks before the fetus becomes compromised.<sup>31,32</sup> Further, the fact that changes in MCA, the cerebro-placental or umbilico-cerebral ratios Z-scores with respect to time were not associated with normal outcome at 2 years suggests that there is no rationale for delivering babies on the basis of MCA Doppler impairment. It is in fact plausible that the reverse may be true given the stronger effect of gestational age and birth weight on outcome.

It might be of interest that the umbilico-cerebral ratio Z-score at study inclusion showed an association with the 2-year infant outcome, but the cerebro-placental ratio Z-score did not. We have shown that although both ratios describe the same phenomenon they behave differently in the abnormal range. This can be explained mathematically: as umbilical and MCA Doppler become abnormal, the umbilical artery PI increases and MCA PI falls. Therefore, the umbilico-cerebral ratio will increase and tend towards an asymptote leading to infinity, while the cerebro-placental ratio will tend towards zero (Figure 3). A worsening in the fetal condition will have a very small effect on the cerebro-placental ratio but conversely a large one on the umbilico-cerebral ratio. Therefore, the umbilico-cerebral ratio discriminates better in the context of progressive fetal hypoxia.

### ***Strengths and limitations***

Our results are robust for several reasons. First of all, this is by far the largest cohort (n=374) of early fetal growth restriction in which MCA related parameters were evaluated in relation to neonatal and 2-year infant outcome. Moreover, women enrolled in the TRUFFLE study were carefully phenotyped: the high majority of these babies represented a true growth restriction as reflected not only by the low estimated fetal weight but also a high umbilical artery PI. Furthermore, all women were followed by a clearly defined monitoring protocol and all investigators were experienced in fetal Doppler assessment. None of MCA related Doppler parameters played a part in obstetric decision-making and their values were adjusted for relevant parameters associated with neonatal and infant outcome, such as gestational age at study entry or at delivery, estimated fetal weight or birth weight, fetal sex, and others.

Factors affecting the generalizability of the results include that not all women entered into the study were considered as not all underwent MCA Doppler assessment within one week before delivery. Of eligible women, we were able to consider three quarters. Again, this is unlikely to be associated with a systematic bias as MCA Doppler was not a component of delivery decision-making.

The fact that all fetuses with early growth restriction already had increased umbilical artery PI at inclusion is different from a situation in late fetal growth restriction when umbilical artery waveforms may still be within the normal range. Therefore, we caution in generalizing these results to later gestation.

### **Conclusions and clinical implications**

In term pregnancies an association between cerebro-placental ratio and adverse neonatal outcome has been found not only in late fetal growth restriction,<sup>33,34</sup> but also in fetuses with

normal birth weight.<sup>9</sup> An association has been described between lower fetal cerebroplacental ratio and the need for operative delivery for fetal compromise at term with suspected late placental diffusion disturbances regardless of the fetal size.<sup>35</sup> We interpret these findings with caution since retrospective results showing a relationship between MCA Doppler related parameters and short-term outcome cannot be considered as robust evidence on how to influence perinatal practice.<sup>36</sup>

Several studies evaluated the association between MCA related Doppler parameters and short-term outcome in early fetal growth restriction.<sup>1,13,37</sup> However, there is a paucity of prospective studies that evaluated long-term neurodevelopmental outcome in a large cohort of preterm growth restricted babies.<sup>30</sup> In fact, the majority of studies examined the role of cerebral vasodilatation on long-term outcome in preterm fetuses, both growth restricted and appropriate for gestational age.<sup>38-40</sup>

Although this study was not designed to evaluate the role of MCA related Doppler parameters in delivery decision-making, based on our results there is no compelling evidence to support its use in the follow up or timing of delivery in early fetal growth restriction. Given that we have shown that monitoring and delivery based on late ductus venosus changes combined with computerized cardiotocography confers the best 2-year neurodevelopmental outcome,<sup>15</sup> it is important to wait if possible before delivering the fetus. Our data show that the gestational age at delivery in early fetal growth restriction remains the most important factor in determining neonatal and 2-year infant outcome. This accords with the findings of a study of 17,148 babies born at 22-32 weeks. Though not limited to growth restriction, gestation, birth weight and sex were the most important predictors of survival without morbidity.<sup>41</sup>

In conclusion, despite some associations with adverse outcome, it is unlikely that MCA PI, cerebro-placental and umbilico-cerebral ratios will be helpful for targeting the best time of delivery in early fetal growth restriction monitored by short term fetal heart rate variation and ductus venosus PI. Where indicated, the umbilico-cerebral ratio is preferable for clinical use over cerebro-placental ratio as this allows better differentiation in the abnormal range.



## Acknowledgments

**Group information.** The other TRUFFLE Group member authors are as follows: C. Brezinka (Department of Gynecological Endocrinology and Reproductive Medicine, Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria); J.B. Derks (Department of Perinatal Medicine, University Medical Center, Utrecht, Netherlands); A. Diemert (Department of Obstetrics and Fetal Medicine, University Medical Center, Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany); J.J. Duvekot (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands); E. Ferrazzi (Children's Hospital Buzzi, University of Milan, Milan, Italy); T. Frusca (Maternal-Fetal Medicine Unit, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy/University of Parma, Parma, Italy); W. Ganzevoort (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands); K. Hecher (Department of Obstetrics and Fetal Medicine, University Medical Center, Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany); J. Kingdom (University of Toronto and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada); N. Marlow (Department of Academic Neonatology, UCL Institute for Women's Health, London, UK); K. Marsal (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden); P. Martinelli (Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy); E. Ostermayer (Division of Perinatal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Technical University, Munich, Germany); A.T. Papageorgiou (St George's, University of London, London, UK); D. Schlembach (Department of Obstetrics, Friedrich Schiller University of Jena, Jena, Germany); K.T.M. Schneider (Division of Perinatal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Technical University, Munich, Germany); B. Thilaganathan (St George's, University of London, London, UK); J. Thornton (Division of Child Health, Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK); T. Todros (Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin Sant'Anna Hospital, Turin, Italy); A. Valcamonico

(Maternal-Fetal Medicine Unit, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy); H. Valensise (University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy); A van Wassenaer-Leemhuis (Department of Neonatology, Emma Children's Hospital Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands); G.H.A. Visser (Department of Perinatal Medicine, University Medical Center, Utrecht, Netherlands).

We thank the TRUFFLE Group collaborating authors for assisting in recruitment and follow-up in their centre: A. Aktas (Centre for Mother and Child of the Philips University, Marburg, Germany); S. Borgione (University of Turin, Sant'Anna Hospital, Turin, Italy); R. Chaoui (Center of Prenatal Diagnosis and Human Genetics, Berlin, Germany); J.M.J. Cornette (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands); T. Diehl (University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany); J van Eyck (Department of Perinatology, Isala Clinics, Zwolle, Netherlands); N. Fratelli (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy); I.C. van Haastert (Department of Neonatology, Division Woman and Baby, UMC Utrecht, Netherlands); S. Lobmaier (Frauenklinik and Poliklinik Klinikum rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität, Munich, Germany); E. Lopriore (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands); H. Missfelder-Lobos (Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK); G. Mansi (Department of Translational Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy); P. Martelli (Department of Child Neuropsychiatry, Spedali Civili Brescia, Italy); G. Maso (Institute for Maternal and Child Health, IRCCS-Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy); U. Maurer-Fellbaum (Medical University of Graz, Austria); N. Mensing van Charante (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands); S. Mulder-de Tollenaar (Isala Clinics, Zwolle, Netherlands); R. Napolitano (Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy); M Oberto (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Turin, Italy); D. Oepkes

(Department of Obstetrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands); G. Ogge (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy); J.A.M. van der Post (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands); F. Prefumo (Maternal-Fetal Medicine Unit, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy); L. Preston (Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK); F. Raimondi (Department of Translational Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy); I.K.M. Reiss (Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands); L.S. Scheepers (Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands); A. Skabar (Institute for Maternal and Child Health, IRCCS-Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy); M. Spaanderman (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital St Radboud, Nijmegen, Netherlands); N. Weisglas-Kuperus (Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands); A. Zimmermann (Frauenklinik and Poliklinik Klinikum rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität, Munich, Germany).

The Authors report no conflict of interest.

## References

1. DeVore GR. The importance of the cerebroplacental ratio in the evaluation of fetal well-being in SGA and AGA fetuses. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2015;213:5-15.
2. Wladimiroff JW, Tonge HM, Stewart PA. Doppler ultrasound assessment of cerebral blood flow in the human fetus. *British journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 1986;93:471-5.
3. Arabin B, Bergmann PL, Saling E. Simultaneous assessment of blood flow velocity waveforms in uteroplacental vessels, the umbilical artery, the fetal aorta and the fetal common carotid artery. *Fetal therapy* 1987;2:17-26.
4. Bilardo CM, Nicolaides KH, Campbell S. Doppler measurements of fetal and uteroplacental circulations: relationship with umbilical venous blood gases measured at cordocentesis. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1990;162:115-20.
5. Arduini D, Rizzo G. Prediction of fetal outcome in small for gestational age fetuses: comparison of Doppler measurements obtained from different fetal vessels. *Journal of perinatal medicine* 1992;20:29-38.
6. Hecher K, Spernal R, Stettner H, Szalay S. Potential for diagnosing imminent risk to appropriate- and small-for-gestational-age fetuses by Doppler sonographic examination of umbilical and cerebral arterial blood flow. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1992;2:266-71.
7. Harrington K, Carpenter RG, Nguyen M, Campbell S. Changes observed in Doppler studies of the fetal circulation in pregnancies complicated by pre-eclampsia or the delivery of a small-for-gestational-age baby. I. Cross-sectional analysis. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1995;6:19-28.
8. Arabin B, Mohnhaupt A, Becker R, Weitzel HK. Comparison of the prognostic value of pulsed Doppler blood flow parameters to predict SGA and fetal distress. *Ultrasound in obstetrics &*

gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 1992;2:272-8.

9. Prior T, Mullins E, Bennett P, Kumar S. Prediction of intrapartum fetal compromise using the cerebroumbilical ratio: a prospective observational study. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2013;208:124 e1-6.

10. Khalil AA, Morales-Rosello J, Morlando M, et al. Is fetal cerebroplacental ratio an independent predictor of intrapartum fetal compromise and neonatal unit admission? American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2015;213:54 e1- e10.

11. Akolekar R, Sarno L, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Fetal middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery pulsatility index: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2015;45:402-8.

12. Akolekar R, Syngelaki A, Gallo DM, Poon LC, Nicolaides KH. Umbilical and fetal middle cerebral artery Doppler at 35-37 weeks' gestation in the prediction of adverse perinatal outcome. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2015;46:82-92.

13. Meher S, Hernandez-Andrade E, Basheer SN, Lees C. Impact of cerebral redistribution on neurodevelopmental outcome in small for gestational age or growth restricted babies: a systematic review. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2015.

14. Lees C, Marlow N, Arabin B, et al. Perinatal morbidity and mortality in early-onset fetal growth restriction: cohort outcomes of the trial of randomized umbilical and fetal flow in Europe (TRUFFLE). Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2013;42:400-8.

15. Lees CC, Marlow N, van Wassenaer-Leemhuis A, et al. 2 year neurodevelopmental and intermediate perinatal outcomes in infants with very preterm fetal growth restriction (TRUFFLE): a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2015;385:2162-72.
16. Snijders RJ, Nicolaides KH. Fetal biometry at 14-40 weeks' gestation. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1994;4:34-48.
17. Hadlock FP, Harrist RB, Sharman RS, Deter RL, Park SK. Estimation of fetal weight with the use of head, body, and femur measurements--a prospective study. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1985;151:333-7.
18. Hecher K, Campbell S, Snijders R, Nicolaides K. Reference ranges for fetal venous and atrioventricular blood flow parameters. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1994;4:381-90.
19. Snijders RJ, Ribbert LS, Visser GH, Mulder EJ. Numeric analysis of heart rate variation in intrauterine growth-retarded fetuses: a longitudinal study. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1992;166:22-7.
20. Arduini D, Rizzo G. Normal values of Pulsatility Index from fetal vessels: a cross-sectional study on 1556 healthy fetuses. *Journal of perinatal medicine* 1990;18:165-72.
21. Gardosi J, Mongelli M, Wilcox M, Chang A. An adjustable fetal weight standard. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1995;6:168-74.
22. Vyas S, Nicolaides KH, Campbell S. Doppler examination of the middle cerebral artery in anemic fetuses. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1990;162:1066-8.
23. Baschat AA, Viscardi RM, Hussey-Gardner B, Hashmi N, Harman C. Infant neurodevelopment following fetal growth restriction: relationship with antepartum surveillance parameters. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2009;33:44-50.

24. Torrance HL, Bloemen MC, Mulder EJ, et al. Predictors of outcome at 2 years of age after early intrauterine growth restriction. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2010;36:171-7.
25. Gramellini D, Folli MC, Raboni S, Vadora E, Merialdi A. Cerebral-umbilical Doppler ratio as a predictor of adverse perinatal outcome. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 1992;79:416-20.
26. Arias F. Accuracy of the middle-cerebral-to-umbilical-artery resistance index ratio in the prediction of neonatal outcome in patients at high risk for fetal and neonatal complications. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1994;171:1541-5.
27. Bahado-Singh RO, Kovanci E, Jeffres A, et al. The Doppler cerebroplacental ratio and perinatal outcome in intrauterine growth restriction. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1999;180:750-6.
28. Makhseed M, Jirous J, Ahmed MA, Viswanathan DL. Middle cerebral artery to umbilical artery resistance index ratio in the prediction of neonatal outcome. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2000;71:119-25.
29. Ebrashy A, Azmy O, Ibrahim M, Waly M, Edris A. Middle cerebral/umbilical artery resistance index ratio as sensitive parameter for fetal well-being and neonatal outcome in patients with preeclampsia: case-control study. *Croatian medical journal* 2005;46:821-5.
30. Rep A, Ganzevoort W, Van Wassenaer AG, Bonsel GJ, Wolf H, De Vries JI. One-year infant outcome in women with early-onset hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 2008;115:290-8.
31. Baschat AA, Gembruch U, Reiss I, Gortner L, Weiner CP, Harman CR. Relationship between arterial and venous Doppler and perinatal outcome in fetal growth restriction. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2000;16:407-13.

32. Ferrazzi E, Bozzo M, Rigano S, et al. Temporal sequence of abnormal Doppler changes in the peripheral and central circulatory systems of the severely growth-restricted fetus. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2002;19:140-6.
33. Cruz-Martinez R, Figueras F, Hernandez-Andrade E, Oros D, Gratacos E. Fetal brain Doppler to predict cesarean delivery for nonreassuring fetal status in term small-for-gestational-age fetuses. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2011;117:618-26.
34. Figueras F, Savchev S, Triunfo S, Crovetto F, Gratacos E. An integrated model with classification criteria to predict small-for-gestational-age fetuses at risk of adverse perinatal outcome. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2015;45:279-85.
35. Khalil A, Morales-Rosello J, Townsend R, et al. Value of third-trimester cerebroplacental ratio and uterine artery Doppler indices as predictors of stillbirth and perinatal loss. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2016;47:74-80.
36. Ghi T, Frusca T, Lees CC. Cerebroplacental ratio in fetal surveillance: an alert bell or a crash sound? *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2016;214:297-8.
37. Hernandez-Andrade E, Stampalija T, Figueras F. Cerebral blood flow studies in the diagnosis and management of intrauterine growth restriction. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2013;25:138-44.
38. Scherjon SA, Oosting H, Smolders-DeHaas H, Zondervan HA, Kok JH. Neurodevelopmental outcome at three years of age after fetal 'brain-sparing'. *Early human development* 1998;52:67-79.
39. Scherjon S, Briet J, Oosting H, Kok J. The discrepancy between maturation of visual-evoked potentials and cognitive outcome at five years in very preterm infants with and without hemodynamic signs of fetal brain-sparing. *Pediatrics* 2000;105:385-91.
40. Leppanen M, Ekholm E, Palo P, et al. Abnormal antenatal Doppler velocimetry and cognitive outcome in very-low-birth-weight infants at 2 years of age. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology :*



the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology  
2010;36:178-85.

41. Shah PS, Ye XY, Synnes A, Rouvinez-Bouali N, Yee W, Lee SK; Canadian Neonatal Network.  
Prediction of survival without morbidity for infants born under 33 weeks of gestational age: a user-  
friendly graphical tool. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2012;97(2):F110-5.

## Tables

**Table 1. Selection of the final study group (n=374) by stepwise application of the inclusion criteria**

	cCTG-STV	DV p95	DV no A	Total
All (Row%)	166 (33%)	167 (33%)	170 (34%)	503
With known outcome at 2 years	144 (87%)	142 (85%)	157 (92%)	443 (88%)
Perinatal and infant death <2 years †	13 (9%)	11 (8%)	17 (11%)	41 (9%)
Neurological impairment*	20 (15%)	12 (9%)	7 (5%)	39 (10%)
Doppler within 1 week before delivery	121 (73%)	118 (71%)	141 (83%)	380 (76%)
Inevitable death excluded	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	4 (3%)	6 (2%)
Study population	120 (72%)	117 (70%)	137 (81%)	374 (74%)
Perinatal and infant death <2 years †	10 (8%)	10 (9%)	12 (9%)	32 (9%)
Neurological impairment*	15 (14%)	11 (10%)	6 (5%)	32 (10%)

† Including 2 deaths after first discharge home and before 2 years.

\*The denominator is represented by infants with known outcome minus perinatal and infant death <2 years.

cCTG-STV: computerized cardiotocography short-term variation; DV p95: early changes in the ductus venosus (DV-PI>95<sup>th</sup> percentile); DV no A: late changes in ductus venosus (no or reverse A wave flow).

**Table 2. Demographic, obstetric, Doppler and neonatal data specified for 2-year infant outcome categories for women with a Doppler measurement at the inclusion and within 1 week before delivery**

	Normal neuro-development outcome	Abnormal neuro-development outcome	Death < 2 years	Total
Number of women (Row%)	310 (83%)	32 (9%)	32 (9%)	374
Allocation				
cCTG-STV	95 (31%)	15 (13%)	10 (8%)	120 (32%)
DV p95	96 (31%)	11 (9%)	10 (9%)	117 (31%)
DV noA	119 (38%)	6 (4%)	12 (9%)	137 (37%)
Maternal age	31 (5)	31 (5)	30 (6)	31 (5)
Nulliparous*	192 (62%)	16 (50%)	27 (84%)	235 (63%)
Gestational age at inclusion*	29.3 (28.0-30.2)	29.0 (26.9-30.7)	27.9 (27.0-28.7)	29.1 (27.9-30.1)
Estimated fetal weight*	895 (213)	831 (237)	718 (182)	875 (218)
Estimated fetal weight p50 ratio *	0.65 (0.10)	0.62 (0.08)	0.61 (0.08)	0.64 (0.10)
Gestational hypertensive disease	237 (77%)	25 (78%)	24 (75%)	286 (77%)
Doppler parameters at study inclusion				
MCA-PI *	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.4 (1.1-1.5)	1.4 (1.2-1.7)
MCA-PI Z-score *	-2.0 (-2.6 to -1.1)	-2.2 (-3.3 to -1.7)	-2.1 (-3.1 to -1.6)	-2.0 (-2.7 to -1.1)
UCR *	1.3 (1.1-1.6)	1.6 (1.2-1.9)	1.4 (1.2-2.2)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)
UCR Z-score *	2.7 (1.8-3.9)	3.6 (2.1-5.0)	3.0 (2.2-5.9)	2.8 (1.9-4.2)
CPR *	0.7 (0.6-0.9)	0.6 (0.5-0.8)	0.7 (0.5-0.8)	0.7 (0.6-0.9)
CPR Z-score *	-2.0 (-2.4 to -1.7)	-2.3 (-2.6 to -1.8)	-2.1 (-2.7 to -1.8)	-2.1 (-2.4 to -1.7)
Doppler parameters (last) within 1 week before delivery †				
MCA-PI	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.4 (1.1-1.5)	1.3 (1.1-1.6)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)
MCA-PI Z-score	-2.3 (-2.9 to -1.5)	-2.2 (-3.2 to -1.7)	-2.4 (-3.1 to -1.5)	-2.3 (-2.9 to -1.6)
UCR	1.5 (1.2-2.0)	1.6 (1.2-2.3)	1.7 (1.3-2.4)	1.5 (1.2-2.1)
UCR Z-score	3.4 (2.4-5.3)	3.8 (2.3-6.3)	4.0 (2.5-6.6)	3.5 (2.4-5.6)
CPR	0.7 (0.5-0.8)	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	0.6 (0.4-0.8)	0.7 (0.5-0.8)

CPR Z-score	-2.2 (-2.6 to -1.9)	-2.3 (-2.7 to -1.9)	-2.4 (-2.8 to -2.0)	-2.2 (-2.6 to -1.9)
Doppler difference between last and first, adjusted for number of days in between and multiplied by 100				
Delta MCA-PI ratio Z-score	0.0 (-8.3 to 0.0)	0.0 (-2.9 to 1.3)	0.0 (-0.9 to 2.3)	0.0 (-6.6 to 0.0)
Delta UCR Z-score	0.0 (0.0-16.3)	0.0 (0.0-18.1)	0.0 (0.0-18.2)	0.0 (0.0-16.8)
Delta CPR Z-score	0.0 (-4.9 to 0.0)	0.0 (-2.9 to 0.0)	0.0 (-4.9 to 0.0)	0.0 (-4.5 to 0.0)
Neonatal outcome				
Fetal death	----	----	7 (22%)	7 (2%)
Gestational age at delivery *	30.6 (29.1-32.0)	30.1 (28.4-31.4)	28.6 (27.8-29.8)	30.4 (29.0-31.9)
Birth weight *	1000 (269)	870 (266)	759 (233)	968(276)
Birth weight p50 ratio*	0.59 (0.09)	0.55 (0.08)	0.56 (0.10)	0.59 (0.10)
Male sex*	149 (48%)	20 (63%)	18 (56%)	187 (50%)
Apgar score 5' <7 *	24 (8%)	8 (25%)	5 (16%)	37 (10%)
pH <7.0 (n=359)	2 (1%)	1 (4%)	1(5%)	4 (1%)
Severe neonatal morbidity*	80 (26%)	14 (44%)	24 (75%)	118 (32%)
Neonatal death			24 (75%)	24 (6%)
Late death <2 years			1 (3%)	1 (0%)

Data are presented as number (percentage), mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range).

\*p<0.05 (Anova, Chi-square or Kruskal-Wallis test).

† Last measurements differing significantly from the first measurements (p<0.05; Wilcoxon signed rank test).

cCTG-STV: computerized cardiotocography short-term variation; DV p95: early changes in the ductus venosus (DV-PI>95<sup>th</sup> percentile);

DV no A: late changes in ductus venosus (no or reverse A wave flow);

MCA-PI: middle cerebral artery pulsatility index;

UCR: umbilico-cerebral ratio;

CPR: cerebro-placental ratio.

## Figure legends

### **Figure 1. Box plots of middle cerebral artery related Doppler parameters Z-scores.**

The umbilico-cerebral ratio (UCR), the cerebro-placental ratio (CPR) and middle cerebral artery (MCA) pulsatility index at inclusion (first) and within 1 week before delivery (last), n=374.

Differences between first and last measurements are all statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test).

### **Figure 2. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals for neonatal and 2-year infant outcome.**

The upper panel (a) represents odds ratios for neonatal outcome (survival until the first discharge home without severe morbidity) and the lower panel (b) represents odds ratios for 2-year infant outcome (survival without neurological impairment at 2 years) of the Z-scores of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) pulsatility index, umbilico-cerebral ratio (UCR) and cerebro-placental ratio (CPR) at inclusion (first) and within one week before delivery (last), adjusted for birthweight p50 ratio and gestational age. The odds ratios of the adjusting parameters are shown below the horizontal line.

DV p95: early changes in the ductus venosus (DV-PI > 95th percentile);

DV no A: late changes in ductus venosus (no or reverse A wave flow).

### **Figure 3: Umbilico-cerebral ratio (UCR) versus cerebro-placental ratio (CPR) at study inclusion in the TRUFFLE study (n=374).**

The shaded area defines an abnormal test with a cut-off at 1.0.