### **Title page**

### **Title**

Frequency of post-stroke EEG epileptiform activity - a systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies

## **Authors**

Carla Bentes\*, MD<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Filipe B Rodrigues\*, MD<sup>4,5</sup>; Diana Sousa, MD<sup>1,3</sup>; Gonçalo S Duarte, MD<sup>4,5</sup>; Ana Catarina Franco, MD<sup>1</sup>; Raquel Marques, MD<sup>4,5</sup>; Hipólito Nzwalo, MD<sup>6</sup>; Ana Rita Peralta, MD<sup>1,2,3;</sup> José M Ferro<sup>1,3</sup>, PhD; João Costa, PhD <sup>4,5,7,8</sup> \*Equal contribution

### **Affiliations**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurosciences (Neurology), Hospital de Santa Maria – CHLN. Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> EEG/Sleep Laboratory, Department of Neurosciences. Hospital de Santa Maria –

CHLN. Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon. Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon. Lisboa, Portugal

- <sup>5</sup>Clinical Pharmacology Unit, Instituto de Medicina Molecular. Lisboa, Portugal
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences and Medicine. University of Faro. Faro, Portugal

<sup>7</sup> Center for Evidence-Based Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon. Lisboa, Portugal

8 Portuguese Collaborating Center of the IberoAmerican Cochrane Network, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon. Lisboa, Portugal

# **Corresponding Author**

Carla Bentes; Laboratório de EEG/Sono, Serviço de Neurologia, Hospital de Santa Maria, CHLN; Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-035 Lisboa, PT; ccbentes@gmail.com; +351919310122;

**Type of article:** Original Research

**Short title:** Frequency of post-stroke EEG epileptiform activity

## **Keywords:**

Epileptic seizures, stroke, [EEG,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/68004569) systematic review, meta-analysis

#### **Structured abstract:**

**Introduction**: Cerebrovascular diseases are the most frequent risk factor for epilepsy in the elderly, and epileptic phenomenon following stroke is known to worsen the prognosis. Although electroencephalography is the gold standard epilepsy biomarker, it is rarely used in post-stroke studies, and the frequency of poststroke epileptiform activity is still uncertain.

**Patients and methods**: We analysed studies indexed to MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, PsycINFO and OpenGrey (up to March 2015), reporting post-stroke EEG epileptiform activity frequency in adults. Epileptiform activity was classified as ictal (electrographic seizures) and interictal (non-periodic spikes and sharp waves). Data selection, extraction and appraisal were done in duplicate. Random-effects metaanalysis was used to pool frequencies.

**Results**: The pooled frequency of post-stroke ictal and interictal epileptiforme activity was 7% (95%CI 3%-12%) and 8% (95%CI 4%-13%), respectively. The use of continuous electroencephalography was not associated with an increased frequency of electrographic seizures (p=0.05), nor did the management setting (Intensive Care Unit [ICU] versus non-ICU, p=0.31). However, studies with continuous electroencephalography showed a higher frequency of interictal epileptiform activity  $(p=0.01)$ .

**Discussion:** This study provides the best available estimates of the frequency of post-stroke EEG epileptiform activity. Due to detection bias, it was not possible to correlate clinical and electrographic seizures.

**Conclusion**: The frequency of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity in the EEG was comparable with previous frequency analyses of clinical seizures. The frequency of ictal epileptiform activity did not change with continuous record or clinical setting,

while the frequency of ictal epileptiform activity increased with continuous record.

#### **Introduction**

Cerebrovascular diseases are the most frequent risk factor for epilepsy, <sup>1</sup> accounting for more than half of all cases in elderly patients. <sup>2</sup> On the other way, epileptic seizures following stroke<sup>3, 4</sup> and electrographic seizures and interictal epileptiform discharges in critically-ill patients are known to worsen the outcome.<sup>5-7</sup>

The current International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) definition of epilepsy<sup>8</sup> allows for the diagnosis of epilepsy after a single unprovoked seizure, provided that there is at least a 60% probability of recurrence, as it is the case of the first unprovoked seizure after the acute stroke phase. <sup>3</sup> This sensitivity-maximizing definition, and the fact that acute symptomatic seizures are described as risk factors for unprovoked seizures,<sup>9</sup> prompts discussion for the role of electroencephalogram (EEG) after stroke – as this technique can contribute to early and accurate detection of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity.

The frequency of seizures and interictal epileptiform activity after stroke is uncertain. <sup>10</sup> This is the case because EEG is seldom used in studies investigating the frequency of post-stroke seizures,<sup>11</sup> although being the gold standard for the identification of these phenomena, and as little as 10% of all seizures are recognised without EEG in critically ill patients.<sup>12</sup>

As so, we set out to estimate the frequency of post-stroke EEG epileptiform activity using meta-analytical techniques.

#### **Patients and methods**

**Protocol and registration:** The protocol followed the PRISMA-P guidelines and was registered at Prospero (CRD42015029362). We followed the MOOSE and PRISMA guidelines<sup>13</sup>. Statistical data reporting followed the SAMPL guidelines.

**Eligibility criteria:** We included published and unpublished (i.e. conference proceedings) observational studies reporting original data on the frequency of EEG epileptiform activity after stroke in adults (≥18 year-old). All observational study designs were accepted with the exception of case series with less than 10 participants to decrease the risk of selection bias. This threshold was stablished arbitrarily and excludes single case-reports and small case-series. Studies reporting on patients diagnosed with silent cerebral infarcts and haemorrhages were excluded due to the low specificity to determine a time sequence between the cause and the effect. No study was dismissed a priori due to poor quality, language, or length of follow-up. Epileptiform activity in the EEG was classified as ictal activity  $(electroographic)$  seizures<sup>14</sup>) and interictal activity (non-periodic spikes and sharp waves). <sup>15</sup> Stroke was defined as an episode of acute neurological dysfunction presumed to be caused by ischemia or haemorrhage, persisting ≥24 hours or until death. <sup>16</sup> For data extraction and analysis we followed the above-mentioned definitions.

**Information sources:** The electronic search was conducted in MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, and PsycINFO. Grey literature was searched via OpenGrey. No language, date/time, document type or publication type restriction was applied. The last search was done on 22 March 2015. Search results were de-duplicated in EndNote X7. Non-English reports were translated. Whenever needed, authors were

contacted for further data. The reference lists of included studies were crosschecked for additional studies.

**Search:** The search strategies developed combine the terms (Cerebrovascular disorder OR Stroke OR Brain Ischemia OR Brain Infarction OR Intracranial Embolism and Thrombosis OR Intracranial Haemorrhage OR Cerebral Haemorrhage OR Subarachnoid Haemorrhage OR Cerebral Infarction OR Cerebellar Infarction OR Cerebellar Haemorrhage OR Brain Stem Infarction OR Brain Stem Haemorrhage) with (Partial Epilepsy OR Generalized Epilepsy OR Post-Traumatic Epilepsy OR Reflex Epilepsy OR Seizure OR Status Epilepticus). A filter was adapted to avoid retrieval of non-observational studies. The search strategy was restricted to humans as participants. All terms were searched as free-text and controlled vocabulary. The search strategies can be found in the Supplemental methods.

**Study selection:** Reports retrieved through electronic identification were screened by title and abstract. The full-text of potentially eligible studies was screened for appropriateness for inclusion. Three independent screeners (CB, DS, and RP) conducted this process. Disagreements were solved by consensus, or by a forth party (FBR).

**Data collection process:** A pilot extraction form was tested with 5 studies by two independent reviewers (CB and FBR). Two independent parties (AF, DS, GSD, HN, RM, or RP) extracted data from included studies to an electronic predetermined and piloted form using the online-based software Covidence (https://www.covidence.org/). Disagreements were solved by an independent party (CB or FBR).

**Risk of bias in individual studies:** The risk of bias of individual studies was evaluated in accordance with the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale.<sup>17</sup>

Quality of reporting was independently analysed by two authors (AF, DS, GSD, HN, RM, or RP). Disagreements were solved by a third party (CB or FBR). Studies having a star rating of more than 60% were considered of low risk of bias, as assumed by others.

**Summary measures:** the primary outcomes were the frequency of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity (as defined above) in stroke patients EEG. To calculate frequencies we adopted a conservative approach by determining the number of events divided by the number of participants in the study. This method underestimates events, since not all participants were subject to EEG.

**Synthesis of results:** We used Stata/SE 14.0 software to conduct the analysis and to derive forest plots. Random-effects meta-analysis weighted by the inversevariance method was performed to estimate the pooled frequencies and respective 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). We used a random-effects model as substantial heterogeneity between studies results was expected. Heterogeneity was assessed with the I<sup>2</sup> test. The limit for statistical significance was established at 0.05.

**Additional analysis:** Pre-specified sensitivity analyses were conducted by excluding: studies at high and unclear risk of bias; studies without continuous EEG (cEEG); and studies in settings other than the intensive care unit (ICU). We planned to estimate the frequency of events in different subgroups of patients accordingly to study site, year, stroke type and location. Unfortunately we could not retrieve enough data for the latter analysis. Two post-hoc analyses were done: the first excluding studies only reporting on subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH), to study the effect of this aetiology on the overall frequency of events; and the second solely including studies where EEG was performed in a consecutive cohort of stroke patients, to study the effect of selection bias.

#### **Results**

**Study selection:** The last electronic search was run from inception to March 22, 2015. A total of 2871 references were retrieved (MEDLINE 1985, Embase 425, PsycINFO 66, Web of Science 394, Open Grey 1). Two studies were included via hand-search. After de-duplication, 2527 titles and abstracts were screened, and 2226 were excluded, as they were not relevant to our research question. We selected 301 studies for full text assessment, and 284 studies were removed due to failure to comply with inclusion criteria. A total of 17 studies where included (Figure 1, Table 1 and Supplemental References).



**Figure 1 – PRISMA flow chart**







**continuous EEG; n – number of patient enrolled per study.**

**Risk of bias within studies:** Four (23.5%) studies did not meet our definition of low risk of bias. The remaining were assessed as being at a low risk of bias. Only 2

(11.7%) included studies attained the maximum quality score (low risk of bias in all domains).

**Synthesis of results:** The pooled frequency of ictal epileptiforme activity (electrographic seizures) in the EEG was  $7\%$  (95% CI 3% to 12%,  $1^2$ = 93.5%, 14 studies, n=2711, Figure 2), without significant differences when considering only studies at low risk of bias (13 studies), studies exclusively enrolling participants with haemorrhagic stroke (8 studies), studies including ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke (6 studies), or after excluding studies where only SAH were captured (13 studies). Studies where EEG was performed in a consecutive cohort of stroke patients showed a smaller frequency of events  $(4\%, 95\% \text{ CI } 0\%$  to  $12\%$ ,  $1^2=82.5\%$ , 4 studies, n=339). No study enrolled exclusively participants with ischemic stroke. Studies including exclusively SAH patient did not differ from the other included studies (p=0.77). The use of cEEG was not associated with an increased frequency of detected electrographic seizures (p=0.05), nor did the setting where the patients were tested (ICU versus non-ICU, p=0.31), or the year of publication (before versus after 2007, a threshold generated by slipping the included studies in two groups according with the year of publication) (p=0.72). Studies performed in the USA showed a higher frequency of electrographic seizures than studies performed outside the USA (13% (95% CI 10% to 17%) versus 1% (95% CI 0% to 2%); p<0.001).



**Figure 2 – Pooled frequency of ictal activity (electrographic seizures). This graph shows the results of each individual study in each of the lines, and the results of the meta-analysis in the last line (lozenge). The left column presents the surname of the first author of each study, the year of publication, and the country where the study was performed in, with the exception of the last line, where the results of the employed tests of statistical heterogeneity are presented. The two middle columns show, from the left to the right, the graphical (forest plot) and numerical representation (percentage of participants with ictal activity and a 95% confidence interval [95% CI]) of the results of each individual study and, on the last line (lozenge), of the metaanalysis. The doted vertical line represents the central estimate of effect. Finally, the right column depicts the weight each of the studies had on the meta-analysis.**

The pooled frequency of interictal epileptiform activity (non-periodic spikes and sharp waves) was 8% (95% CI 4% to 13%,  $I^2 = 86.0$ %, 7 studies, n=1874, Figure 3). When only analysing trials at low risk of bias, the estimated frequency increased to 10% (95% CI 5% to 16%,  $I^2=85.48\%$ , 6 studies, n=1810). We found no difference for studies including ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke (8%, 95% CI 3% to 13%, I <sup>2</sup>=87.2%, 5 studies, n=1839), though we found an increased frequency among studies exclusively enrolling haemorrhagic stroke patients (12%, 95% CI 7% to 13%, I <sup>2</sup>=96.8%, 2 studies, n=240). No study reported solely on patients with SAH. Studies where EEG was performed in a consecutive cohort of stroke patients showed a higher frequency of events (14%, 95% CI 9% to 21%,  $1^2$ =0.0%, 2 studies, n=132). Studies with cEEG showed a higher frequency of detection (14%, 95% CI 10% to 20% vs. 6%, 95% CI 3% to 10%; p=0.01). No study performed in ICU reported these events and no differences were found between study site (p=0.26) or year of publication (p=0.29).



**Figure 3 – Pooled frequency of interictal activity (non-periodic spikes and sharp waves). This graph shows the results of each individual study in each of the lines, and the results of the meta-analysis in the last line (lozenge). The left column presents the surname of the first author of each study, the year of publication, and the country where the study was performed in, with the exception of the last line, where the results of the employed tests of statistical heterogeneity are presented. The two middle columns show, from the left to the right, the graphical (forest plot) and numerical representation (percentage of participants with interictal activity and a 95% confidence interval [95% CI]) of the results of each individual study and, on the last line (lozenge), of the meta-analysis. The doted vertical line represents the central estimate of effect. Finally, the right column depicts the weight each of the studies had on the meta-analysis.**

#### **Discussion**

This systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies shows that 7% and 8% of patients following a stroke have epileptiform ictal and interictal activity, respectively, in the EEG. To the best of our knowledge, the frequency of post-stroke EEG events in observational studies was never pooled, and such results should prompt further discussion as to whether EEG should be used more frequently after stroke as a biomarker for epileptic manifestations.

Previous systematic reviews have shown that intracerebral hemorrhages and SAH are associated with a significantly greater probability of epileptic seizures<sup>18, 19</sup>. In our study, studies exclusively enrolling haemorrhagic stroke patients showed a higher frequency of interictal epileptiform activity but not of electrographic seizures. Furthermore, the frequency of events was not different in studies solely focused on SAH. Importantly, the absence of studies exclusively enrolling ischemic stroke patients may bias these results, since mixed population studies (i.e. including both ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke) are expected to set the bar for this comparison much higher, and decrease the likelihood of finding a statistically significant difference between populations. Also, the low number of studies analysing epileptiform activity in haemorrhagic stroke in general, and in SAH in specific, can contribute as well to the uncertainty and unexpected results, as there is a pathophysiological rational for these stroke subtypes to be associated with more epileptiform activity.

As expected, in studies where consecutive stroke patients underwent an EEG, the frequency of ictal events was lower than our general estimate. This analysis

subtracts from the selection bias introduced by retrospective studies where it is methodologically difficult to avoid an systematic error of including participants more likely to have epileptiform activity, such as those with clinical seizures or with a lower or fluctuating consciousness level. Unfortunately, our confidence in these results is low due to limited statistical power and low precision. Unexpectedly, the frequency of interictal events in these studies overcomes the general estimate, though the reason for this finding may be the lack of power, since only 2 studies were included.

In our study, cEEG did not increase the likelihood of detection of ictal epileptiform activity, which agrees with previous studies<sup>20</sup>, where it was stated that insufficient data exists to support the benefit of cEEG over spot EEG recordings. That being said, the detection rate of interictal epileptiforme activity with cEEG was twice as high without cEEG.

The frequency of electroencephalography seizures was not different in ICU and non-ICU patients. However, the scarcity of studies and the imbalances between population characteristics may bias these results.

Not unexpectedly, the year of publication did not influenced the frequency of events. On the other side, it was interesting to note that studies conducted in the US showed a statistically significant higher frequency of ictal events. We hypothesise that this can be explained by the population characteristics, since a great majority of the North American studies were based in an intensive care setting, while this was not true for the other studies.

Finally, it is important to note that this study has several limitations. The quality assessment showed that almost 80% of the studies were at low risk of bias but only 12% had a high quality standard. Our methodological options probably underestimate EEG epileptiform activity. EEG is more likely to be requested if there is a clinical suspicion of seizures. This selection bias by indication is only avoidable in prospective studies where EEG is performed in all patients regardless of the clinical features. Studies reporting EEG epileptiform activity are not controlled with a group of participants without the pathological condition of interest, but who were subject to the same kind of clinical and diagnostic procedures. This invalidates the possibility of studying risks of events instead of frequency as we did. It would be interesting to understand how the frequency of clinical seizures relates to the frequency of electrographic ones. Unfortunately, due to diagnostic bias, since not all enrolled participants were subject to EEG, the available literature cannot reliably answer this question. Finally, we would like to have included the timing of the EEG in our analyses, since this variable seems to be closely related with the likely of detection of epileptiform events. Unfortunately this data was rarely available.

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the frequency of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity in the EEG was comparable with previous frequency analyses of clinical seizures. The frequency of ictal epileptiform activity did not change with continuous record or clinical setting, while the frequency of interictal epileptiform activity increased with continuous recordings.

# **Acknowledges:**

We would like to thank the Sociedade Portuguesa do AVC (SPAVC) for their support in this research.

## **Declarations:**

**Conflicting interests:** JMF has received personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim. The remaining authors declare that they is no conflict of interest. **Funding:** This work was supported by the "2012 Research Grant in Cerebrovascular Diseases" (sponsor: Sociedade Portuguesa do AVC (SPAVC) / funder: Tecnifar). **Informed consent:** Not applicable. **Ethical approval:** Not applicable. **Guarantor:** JC and JMF **Contributorship:** CB and JC conceived the study. FBR and JC were involved in protocol development. DS, GSD, ACF, RM, HN and ARP performed the systematic review. FBR performed the data analysis. CB, FBR and GSD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank the Sociedade Portuguesa do AVC (SPAVC) for their support in this research.

#### **References**

1. Hauser WA, Annegers JF and Kurland LT. Incidence of epilepsy and unprovoked seizures in Rochester, Minnesota: 1935-1984. *Epilepsia*. 1993; 34: 453- 68.

2. Paradowski B and Zagrajek MM. Epilepsy in middle-aged and elderly people: a three-year observation. *Epileptic disorders : international epilepsy journal with videotape*. 2005; 7: 91-5.

3. Hesdorffer DC, Benn EK, Cascino GD and Hauser WA. Is a first acute symptomatic seizure epilepsy? Mortality and risk for recurrent seizure. *Epilepsia*. 2009; 50: 1102-8.

4. Huang CW, Saposnik G, Fang J, Steven DA and Burneo JG. Influence of seizures on stroke outcomes: a large multicenter study. *Neurology*. 2014; 82: 768- 76.

5. Young GB, Jordan KG and Doig GS. An assessment of nonconvulsive seizures in the intensive care unit using continuous EEG monitoring: an investigation of variables associated with mortality. *Neurology*. 1996; 47: 83-9.

6. Oddo M, Carrera E, Claassen J, Mayer SA and Hirsch LJ. Continuous electroencephalography in the medical intensive care unit. *Critical care medicine*. 2009; 37: 2051-6.

7. Kamel H, Betjemann JP, Navi BB, et al. Diagnostic yield of electroencephalography in the medical and surgical intensive care unit. *Neurocrit Care*. 2013; 19: 336-41.

8. Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014; 55: 475-82.

9. Roivainen R, Haapaniemi E, Putaala J, Kaste M and Tatlisumak T. Young adult ischaemic stroke related acute symptomatic and late seizures: risk factors. *European journal of neurology*. 2013; 20: 1247-55.

10. Pitkanen A, Roivainen R and Lukasiuk K. Development of epilepsy after ischaemic stroke. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2015.

11. Staba RJ, Stead M and Worrell GA. Electrophysiological biomarkers of epilepsy. *Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics*. 2014; 11: 334-46.

12. Claassen J, Mayer SA, Kowalski RG, Emerson RG and Hirsch LJ. Detection of electrographic seizures with continuous EEG monitoring in critically ill patients. *Neurology*. 2004; 62: 1743-8.

13. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2009; 151: W65-94.

14. Hirsch LJ, LaRoche SM, Gaspard N, et al. American Clinical Neurophysiology Society's Standardized Critical Care EEG Terminology: 2012 version. *Journal of clinical neurophysiology : official publication of the American* 

*Electroencephalographic Society*. 2013; 30: 1-27.

15. Noachtar S, Binnie C, Ebersole J, Mauguiere F, Sakamoto A and Westmoreland B. A glossary of terms most commonly used by clinical electroencephalographers and proposal for the report form for the EEG findings. The International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. *Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology Supplement*. 1999; 52: 21-41.

16. Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation*. 2013; 44: 2064-89.

17. GA Wells BS, D O'Connell, J Peterson, V Welch, M Losos, P Tugwell. Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses: (accessed 01/11/2014).

18. Zhang C, Wang X, Wang Y, et al. Risk factors for post-stroke seizures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsy research*. 2014; 108: 1806-16.

19. Zou S, Wu X, Zhu B, Yu J, Yang B and Shi J. The pooled incidence of poststroke seizure in 102 008 patients. *Topics in stroke rehabilitation*. 2015; 22: 460-7.

20. Claassen J and Vespa P. Electrophysiologic monitoring in acute brain injury. *Neurocrit Care*. 2014; 21 Suppl 2: S129-47.