

Gene Transfer in the GI Tract and Oral Cavity

Peter Mullany

From the Department of Microbiology, Eastman Dental Institute, University of London, 256 Grays Inn Road, London WC1X 8LD, UK

Correspondence to: Peter Mullany, Department of Microbiology, Eastman Dental Institute, University of London, 256 Grays Inn Road, London WC1X 8LD, UK. Tel: +44 (0)20 7915 1223; Fax: +44 (0)20 7915 1127; E-mail: p.mullany@eastman.ucl.ac.uk

Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease 2000; Suppl 2: 73–80

Gene transfer is important in spreading antibiotic resistance and other traits such as virulence factors. In this review the molecular mechanisms of gene transfer are outlined and the biological consequences of bacterial gene transfer in the GI tract and the oral cavity (GIOC) are discussed. Finally areas of possible future research aimed at attaining a deeper understanding of the process of gene transfer and the potential for stopping or slowing unwanted transfer are discussed. *Key words*: gene transfer, conjugation, conjugative transposons, mobile genetic elements.

INTRODUCTION

Gene transfer is important in spreading antibiotic resistance and other traits such as virulence factors. Both the GI tract and the oral cavity (GIOC) contain a large and diverse community of micro-organisms. Although the bacteria in both sites are considered to be climax communities there are many transient bacteria that pass through, e.g. in food particles, and there is ample opportunity for oral and GI tract bacteria to mix, e.g. the swallowing of oral bacteria, vomiting, and for the flora of one person to mix with others e.g. kissing. This gives huge potential for genetic exchange.

There are three main modes of gene transfer in bacteria: transduction, transformation and conjugation. All the available evidence so far indicates that transformation and transduction are responsible for transferring genetic information between closely related bacteria; however, some of these transfer events do have important biological consequences (some of which will be reviewed below). On the other hand genes can be transferred by conjugation over large phylogenetic distances, for example, between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (1) and even between prokaryotes and eukaryotes (2).

MECHANISM OF CONJUGATION

Conjugation is defined as the direct transfer of DNA from the cytoplasm of a donor to the cytoplasm of a recipient. Conjugation is mediated by a variety of conjugative plasmids and conjugative transposons; these conjugal elements have also been shown to be capable of mobilising non-conjugative but mobilisable plasmids and transposons; finally

other mobile elements (non conjugative transposons, integrative plasmids, mobile introns and IS sequences) can integrate into the conjugative element and be transferred with the conjugal or mobilisable element. Some of these mobile genetic elements have also been used as tools for the genetic analysis of a variety of bacteria, including many organisms that are important pathogens. For example in *Clostridium difficile* the only means of genetic manipulation is to use the conjugative transposon Tn916 as a shuttle conjugative transposon (3).

The molecular mechanisms of conjugative transfer between Gram-negative bacteria have been well characterised (for a review see 4). The F-plasmid is the paradigm for the study of bacterial conjugation. This plasmid has approximately 40 genes in its transfer region, taking up 33.3 kb. A plasmid-encoded pilus is produced (sometimes called sex pili) which is required for conjugation. At least 15 genes are required for pilus production and assembly. The sex pili are required for establishing the first intercellular contact between the donor and recipient. After contact has been established the pili are thought to disassemble leading to the formation of a DNA transport pore across the cell envelopes. Most conjugative plasmids from Gram-negative organisms produce sex pili.

Transfer of the plasmid is initiated by strand- and sequence-specific cleavage at a site called *nic* in the origin of transfer *oriT*. A single strand is transferred to the recipient; second strand synthesis then occurs in donor and recipient. The nicking process at *oriT* requires the plasmid-encoded protein TraI (nicking and helicase activity); this protein also remains attached to the DNA and is trans-

Table I
Conjugative transposons

Element	Transfer capacity/host range	Size	Other genes	Reference
Tn916 family from Gram-positive organisms	Self transmissible/host range includes both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms	18–25 kb	<i>tet(M)</i> some also encode resistance to chloramphenicol, kanamycin, erythromycin	(16)
Tn5397	Self transmissible/capable of transfer to different Gram-positive organisms. Is related to Tn916 but has a different integration/excision system	22 kb	<i>tet(M)</i> also contains a group II intron	(21)
Tn5253	Self-transmissible, broad host range, often has a Tn916-like element integrated into it	> 60 kb	<i>tet(M)</i> <i>cat</i>	(40)
<i>V. cholerae</i> SXT element	Self-transmissible	62 kb	Smx and Tm resistance	(41)
Tc ^r Em ^r DOT	Self-transmissible	70 kb	<i>tetQ</i> , <i>ermF</i>	(42)
Tc ^r Em ^r 7853	Self-transmissible	70 kb	<i>tetQ</i> , <i>ermG</i>	(43)
XBU4422	Self-transmissible	60 kb	None known	(44)
Mobilisable transposons				
NBU1	Mobilised by conjugative transposons	11 kb	None known	(11)
Tn4555	Mobilised by conjugative transposons	12.5 kb	<i>cfxA</i>	(11)
Tn4451	Mobilised by RP4 found in <i>C. perfringens</i>	6.3 kb	<i>catP</i>	(8)
Tn4453	Isolated from <i>C. difficile</i>	6.3 kb	<i>catD</i>	(9), (45)

ferred to the recipient. Other plasmid encoded proteins TraM and TraY and the host factor IHF are required for this process.

Conjugative transfer between Gram-positive cells is not as well understood, although functional *oriT* sequences have been identified in the conjugative plasmid pIP501 (5) the conjugative transposon Tn916 (6) the staphylococcal plasmid, pGO1 (7) the mobilisable transposon from *Clostridium perfringens* Tn4451 (8) and the mobilisable transposon Tn4453 from *Clostridium difficile* (9).

The mechanism of conjugation in Gram-positive organisms may differ significantly from that observed in Gram-negative organisms as the transfer region is appreciably smaller, for example, in Tn916 the transfer region only consists of 11 genes, compared to 40 for F-like plasmids (10). Also pili do not appear to have a role in conjugation in Gram-positive organisms.

GENETIC ELEMENTS PROMOTING CONJUGATION

Plasmids

Plasmids are common in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms isolated from the GIOC (11). Some plasmids, such as members of the IncP group, have been shown to have a very large host range, being able to mobilise themselves and small non-autotransferring plasmids into both Gram-positive and Gram-negative hosts (12). However, members of this group do not normally replicate in Gram-positive organisms (12). Large conjugal plasmids have also been found in Gram-positive organisms (e.g. pAMβ1, pGO1 and pIP501). These plasmids have a broad host range in Gram-positive organisms and can mobilise other plasmids (see below) (12).

Conjugative transposons

Until recently plasmids were thought to be the only elements transferred by conjugation. However, there is at least one other type of conjugal element, the conjugative transposon (13, 14). Table I lists some of the conjugative transposons and the elements they mobilise. There is no hard and fast definition of what constitutes a conjugative transposon and many different types of elements from different bacteria have been termed conjugative transposons. However, the elements listed in Table I do have some features in common. Conjugative transposons are normally integrated into the host genome. To transfer they excise and form a circular intermediate, one strand of which transfers to the recipient organism, the remaining single strand is used as a template for second strand synthesis in both the donor and recipient. The element can now insert into the genome in both donor and recipient using an element encoded site-specific recombinase; this recombinase varies in different conjugative transposons (15, 14). Because most of the conjugative transposons examined to date have a very broad host range, the

site-specific recombinases must be functional in different genetic backgrounds. In fact conjugative transposons are probably the most promiscuous genetic elements known; for example, members of the Tn916 family have been found in, or transferred into at least 52 different genera (14, 16). These elements have been responsible for the rapid growth of antibiotic resistance in many different bacteria [(14, 16) and Table I].

The conjugative transposons are sociable elements. The presence of one conjugative transposon in the genome is no bar to related transposons entering the same genome. This is unlike plasmids, which exhibit the phenomenon of incompatibility and surface exclusion, and some non-conjugative transposons which can confer immunity to further transposition events. Therefore, conjugative transposons have the opportunity to interact with each other and some interesting composite elements can result from these interactions (see below). Another potential advantage that conjugative transposons have over plasmids is that when a plasmid arrives in a new cell it has to be able to stably replicate, whereas conjugative transposons integrate into the genome. This property extends the host range of conjugative transposons.

MOBILISABLE GENETIC ELEMENTS

Mobilisable genetic elements are not self-transmissible but can transfer between species via conjugation in the presence of a helper element. The basic requirement in order to be mobilised is the presence of an *oriT* and frequently a *mob* gene, which encodes a protein which specifically nicks the *oriT* site and is required to help form the relaxosome prior to transfer. Other transfer functions are provided by the mobilising element.

Mobilisable plasmids

Mobilisable plasmids have been found in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. They have been shown to be mobilised both by conjugative plasmids and conjugative transposons (14). Mobilisable plasmids can be mobilised in both *cis* and *trans*. When mobilised in *trans* they make use of the helper molecule's transfer functions without becoming physically linked to this molecule. However, when mobilised in *cis* they form a co-integrate (a process often mediated by non-conjugative transposons or IS sequences) with the conjugal molecule; this can then resolve in the recipient. In order to survive the new host the mobilisable plasmid must be able to replicate. Some mobilisable plasmids (e.g. members of the rolling circle family) can replicate in many different host genera (17).

Mobilisable transposons

So far mobilisable transposons have only been found in *Bacteroides* species and in *Clostridium perfringens* and *Clostridium difficile* (11, 8, 9). Five *Bacteroides* mo-

bilisable transposons have been found; these range in size from 9 to 12 kb (11). The Clostridial mobilisable transposons are around 6 kb and are all closely related to each other (8, 9).

Mobilisable transposons have to excise from the genome and form a circular intermediate that does not appear to be capable of independent replication. The circular form is the transpositional and conjugal intermediate. Although transfer is dependant on the conjugal molecule, integration and excision is not (11, 8, 9). The mobilisable elements can integrate into the chromosome of the recipient in the absence of the conjugal molecule. The mechanism of insertion and excision differs in the different mobilisable transposons. Also some of the mobilisable transposons carry other genes not concerned with transfer (e.g. antibiotic resistance genes).

PATHOGENICITY ISLANDS

Virulence determinants are often located on the chromosome of particular pathogens where they are situated together in blocks, so called virulence cassettes or virulence blocks. Particular regions of chromosomally encoded virulence genes have also been termed pathogenicity islands (Pais) (18). Pais are defined according to the following criteria.

1. Carriage of virulence genes
2. Presence in pathogenic strains and absence or sporadic distribution in less-pathogenic strains of one species or a related species
3. Different G + C content in comparison to DNA of host bacteria
4. Occupation of large chromosomal regions (often > 30 kb)
5. Represents compact, distinct genetic units, often flanked by direct repeats
6. Associated with tRNA genes and/or insertion sequence (IS) elements at their boundaries. As the sequence of tRNA genes are conserved in different hosts, the ability to enter these genes potentially gives Pais a broad host range
7. Presence of (often cryptic) mobility genes (IS elements integrases, transposases, origins of plasmid replication)
8. Instability

Elements fitting this criteria have been found extensively in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens (18, 19). Pais therefore contribute to the genetic flexibility of certain bacterial species and are likely to be involved in bacterial evolution. It is also speculated that genetic elements similar to Pais exist which have roles in secretion (secretion islands), resistance to antibiotics (resistance islands) or in the physiology of micro-organisms (metabolic islands).

Table II*Composite genetic elements*

Name	Elements involved	Properties	Reference
Tn3872	Tn916-like and Tn917-like	So far has been found in <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> where it confers tetracycline and erythromycin resistance	(27)
Tn5385	Tn916, Tn4001, Tn552, IS1216	Large composite element that confers resistance to tetracycline, erythromycin, mercury, gentamicin, β -lactamase and streptomycin	(28)
Tn5253	Tn916-like and a large conjugative transposon	Confers tetracycline resistance, originally found in the pneumococcus	(46)
	R751, Tc ^r ERL, Tn4351	Association of a conjugative plasmid, conjugative transposon and non-conjugative transposon. This association increases the host range of both plasmid and conjugative transposon	(44)
pTB19	Theta type plasmid and pTB913	Association between a rolling circle and a theta type of conjugative plasmid	(47)

OTHER MOBILE ELEMENTS

Other than the self transferable and mobilisable plasmids and transposons there are genetic elements which can 'hitch-hike' on these elements by integrating into the transferable elements.

Integrans

Integrans are genetic elements that contain the determinants of a site-specific recombination system that recognises and captures mobile gene cassettes. These cassettes are often antibiotic resistance genes. In this way integrans can build up an array of antibiotic resistance genes (20). Integrans can be maintained in both plasmids and transposons. So far integrans have only been found in Gram-negative organisms.

Non conjugative transposons

The properties of non-conjugative transposons have been reviewed extensively elsewhere. They have been found in all bacteria for which they have been sought and have been found to contain genes encoding antibiotic resistance, virulence factors and the ability to metabolise certain exotic substrates.

Mobile introns

Group I and group II introns were originally found in organelle genomes. Over the last few years these elements have been increasingly found in bacteria. In bacteria the introns are almost invariably associated with other mobile genetic elements, including conjugative transposons (21) conjugative plasmids (22) non-conjugative transposons (23) and other mobile elements (24, 25). Recently it has been proven that the bacterial introns are capable of homing (transposition to an intronless allele) (26).

Composite genetic elements

As noted above, non-conjugative elements form associations with conjugative elements (e.g. the insertion of a transposon into a plasmids). However, conjugative ele-

ments also form physical associations with each other. Some of the types of genetic associations are shown in Table II. As can be seen from the table, different types of conjugative transposons have been shown to associate with each other, with plasmids and other mobile elements. This association between different mobile elements can broaden each others' host range, giving the new composite element access to a vast amount of genetic information and the potential to generate more novel elements (27–29). This type of co-operation between mobile elements is a powerful means of generating rapid evolutionary change.

HORIZONTAL GENE TRANSFER IN THE GIOC

From the above discussion it can be appreciated that there are many different gene transfer elements in bacteria. These elements play a critical role in the emergence of new pathogenic organisms by the dissemination of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes. In this section of the review we will examine the evidence that horizontal transfer has taken place in the GIOC and then examine host factors that modulate gene transfer.

Evidence for horizontal gene transfer in the GIOC

Examples of proven gene transfer in the GIOC include the finding that enterotoxin plasmids can be transferred between two *E. coli* strains in the intestines of newly weaned guinea pigs (30); that the conjugative transposon Tn916 can be transferred between different genera of Gram-positive bacteria in the GI tract of germ-free mice (31); lysogenic conversion of *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* in humans (32); and the demonstration that the conjugative transposon Tn5397 can be transferred in a model oral biofilm to different Gram-positive oral bacteria (33). Other examples of gene transfer *in vivo* have been reviewed by Mel and Mekalanos (34).

Another line of evidence supporting horizontal gene transfer in the GIOC comes from the finding that the same antibiotic resistance genes are found in different hosts. Due to the degenerate nature of the genetic code, if copies

of the same gene in different bacterial species are 95–100% identical at the DNA sequence level, they are virtually guaranteed to have been acquired by horizontal gene transfer. Some examples of transfer of antibiotic resistance genes between bacteria from diverse habitats are shown in Table III. As can be seen resistance genes from bacteria used in the production of foodstuff can find their way into intestinal bacteria as can resistance genes from animal origin and from oral bacteria. This shows that gene transfer can and does take place both between GIOC bacteria and between these bacteria and organisms from other sources. Often the genes were associated with transmissible agents and could be transferred in the laboratory. In other cases the genes could not be transferred in the laboratory either because the mobile element that was originally responsible for transfer had been lost or because the conditions required for transfer could not be repeated in the laboratory (see below for more details of the conditions required for gene transfer).

The above experiments show beyond doubt that gene transfer takes place in the GIOC. This introduces the very real possibility that normal commensal organisms can act as reserves of antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors.

SIGNALS THAT INDUCE GENE TRANSFER

Expression of the genes required for horizontal transfer are tightly regulated. Mobile elements have evolved control mechanisms that minimise the metabolic and other burdens (e.g. phage sensitivity) on the host. These control mechanisms also ensure that gene transfer occurs at the most beneficial time for the element. This section of the review discusses what is known about some of these control mechanisms. This information is important so that an appreciation can be gained of the link between environmental conditions and gene transfer frequency.

Table III

Examples of virtually identical antibiotic resistance genes in found in distantly related species

Gene	Species	Site of isolation
<i>tetQ</i>	<i>Bacteroides spp</i>	Human colon
	<i>Porphyromonas spp</i>	Human mouth
	<i>Prevotella ruminicola</i>	Bovine rumen
<i>ermG</i>	<i>Bacteroides spp</i>	human colon
	<i>Bacillus sphaericus</i>	soil
<i>tetK</i>	<i>Staphylococcus xylos</i>	cheese
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	human infection
<i>tetS</i>	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	cheese
	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	human infection
<i>cat</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	sausage
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	human Infection

Adapted from (38) and (48).

F-like plasmid transfer

F-like plasmids are frequently responsible for the spread of antibiotic resistance genes in the enterobacteriaceae. The F-like systems are normally switched off by FinOP, an antisense RNA transcript. Initiation of transfer gene expression may be triggered by direct signals through activator proteins. These proteins respond to certain physiological conditions such as oxygen availability, cAMP levels, temperature and growth phase. Also apparently occasional random perturbations of the expression of the regulatory proteins can induce transfer. In the new recipients there will be a burst of activity of the transfer genes before the negative regulators have time to repress the process. This will allow a plasmid to spread through a population of suitable recipients until all bacteria contain the element. The exact physiological conditions that favour transfer have not yet been worked out.

IncP plasmids

These plasmids have complex regulatory circuits that are thought to ensure that the plasmid transfer genes are expressed at low level that does not add a significant burden to the host cell. There is little data available about the environmental signals that promote transfer.

The pheromone responding plasmids of Enterococci

These plasmids respond to pheromones that are secreted by plasmid free recipients (35). The pheromones trigger the donor cell to express transfer functions. Thus pheromone accumulation indicates to donors that recipients are in close proximity. The plasmids prevent their host mating with other plasmid-positive bacteria by blocking pheromone activity by inhibition of its synthesis. Some of the pheromone responding plasmids encode virulence factors and there are also suggestions that transfer of these plasmids is enhanced when the *Enterococci* are in their mammalian host.

Staphylococcal and Streptococcal plasmids

Although some details of the control mechanisms of these plasmids have been established, there is little information regarding the environmental signals to which they respond. Study of the control mechanisms do indicate that these plasmids have complex regulatory systems (12).

Conjugative transposons

The conjugative transposons Tn916 and Tn5397 are very closely related but have a completely different integration and excision system (15). However, the control mechanisms are likely be similar in the two (36). Both elements respond to the presence of tetracycline by an increase in expression of the excision and transfer genes. This results from a tetracycline induced increase in expression of the *tet(M)* gene, presumably by an attenuation mechanism,

which reads though into 2 positive regulatory genes and negative regulatory gene (the latter gene is transcribed in the opposite direction to the majority of genes in Tn916). This results in increased excision frequency of the transposon. The *Bacteroides* conjugative transposons have been shown to increase their frequency of transfer, in the presence of tetracycline, by more than 100-fold (14). The regulatory system behind this phenomenon has not been completely elucidated but it requires the participation of a two-component regulatory system encoded by the *rte* (sensor kinase) and *rtb* (regulator genes). These genes are part of an operon in which the tetracycline resistance gene *tetQ* is the first gene. The *Bacteroides* conjugative transposons also mobilise other mobile elements in the presence of tetracycline. Thus, in the case of the conjugative transposons, antibiotics not only select for resistant strains but stimulate transfer of resistance genes. Therefore, the use of low levels of antibiotics may have a greater effect on the resident microflora than originally thought.

Gene transfer in Vibrio cholera in the mammalian intestine

This system will be discussed as it provides an example of a well characterised system in which the environment of the host modulates gene transfer.

The CTX element of *V. cholerae* is a filamentous phage which contains the genes for several virulence factors of *V. cholerae*, including the cholera toxin (CT) (34). The attachment site for the phage is the toxin co-regulated pilus (TCP). TCP is only produced efficiently in the mammalian intestine and is required for attachment of *V. cholerae* to the intestinal epithelium. In the mammalian gut therefore non-toxigenic strains can undergo a lysogenic conversion to CT+ when there is a mixed infection with a strain containing the CTX phage. The lysogenic conversion occurs up 10⁶ times more efficiently in the intestine than in vivo. Thus the CTX phage is a highly evolved transmissible agent which can spread virulence factors in the GI tract.

The TCP is a member of a large family of pili (type IV) that are involved in virulence, typically by promoting adhesion. These pili are also often involved in DNA uptake or DNA transfer events. Furthermore, type IV pili are preferentially expressed in vivo. Therefore, it follows that a variety of horizontal gene transfer events dependant on this class of proteins are probably enhanced in the host and modulated by host environmental signals.

USE OF CONJUGAL ELEMENTS IN GENETIC ANALYSIS OF BACTERIA

Mobile elements have been used extensively in genetic analysis of bacteria and other organisms. Conjugal elements have been used to transfer genes and vectors of interest into a wide-range of different bacteria. Of particular use in this regard has been the broad host range

conjugal plasmid RP4 and the conjugative transposon Tn916. The use of mobile elements has allowed a revolution in the genetic analysis of very many different organisms.

QUESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Approaches for future work on gene transfer can be divided into three general areas: 1) Mechanistic; 2) Discovery; 3) Ecological. It is expected that there will be some overlap between these areas and it is important workers with expertise in these different areas work closely together.

Mechanistic

Further characterisation of the mobility mechanisms, the specific questions are outlined below.

Much more knowledge is needed on the conjugation process itself, particularly in Gram-positive organisms and in the conjugative transposons. For example, what are the environmental signals that modulate gene transfer and how are these signals sensed by mobile elements?

What are the host factors that conjugal molecules require? This is important as knowledge of host factors will allow us to anticipate which organisms particular genetic elements can move into. Related to this we need to know the host range of different mobile elements.

Discovery

Have we found all the mobile elements that are out there? Would it be worth carrying out a systematic program of discovery to look for new gene transfer elements and new gene transfer mechanisms?

What type of genes are carried on mobile elements? DNA sequencing projects might answer some of these questions?

Do metabolic, resistance and secretion islands exist and if so what is their host range?

Ecological

What are the factors that drive gene transfer in nature?

What are the selective pressures that lead to transfer of mobile elements, both containing antibiotic resistance genes and containing genes for virulence factors and other specialised metabolic functions? Can we predict what conditions will lead to gene transfer and if so can we then manipulate the environment to stop unwanted transfer and encourage desirable transfer events?

How typical are antibiotic resistance genes as markers of horizontal gene transfer? These genes are found in mobile elements and may also have evolved promoters that express in many different hosts, is this also true of genes on mobile elements that encode virulence factors? This question may be answered through the analysis of genome sequence data bases, as more bacterial genomes are se-

quenced we can see how many and what type of genes are subject to horizontal gene transfer.

More *in vivo* work in animal models should be done using bacteria that have been marked with different genetic elements and then following them through model systems. The animals can be treated with different antibiotics and other conditions to see what effect these have on the transfer of different genetic elements.

At a recent meeting of the group Reservoirs of Antibiotic Resistance (ROAR <http://www.roar.antibiotic.org>) the following questions were identified: 1) To what extent do commensal bacteria exchange resistance genes with each other and how likely are such transfers to have an effect on human health; 2) What human practises make the greatest contribution to increasing resistance levels in the commensal bacteria, stimulating the transfer of resistance genes; 3) What are the best ways to monitor the movement of genes in strains of resistant commensal bacteria; 4) How should the data be interpreted? Is it possible to develop methods for predicting the emergence of a resistance problem in a bacterial population?

How does one monitor gene transfer in natural situations? Is there any new technology available to monitor this? Some of the technology being used by environmental microbiologists may be useful here (e.g. see 37).

We need to know if antibiotic resistance genes are present in probiotics etc. and if they can transfer to human commensals and then on to human pathogens. There is some evidence that this can happen. There is evidence that bacteria in cheese can transfer antibiotic resistance genes to intestinal organisms (38).

What is the role of mobile elements, including pathogenicity islands, in mediating the spread of virulence factors and the generation of new pathogens?

What effect does the potential for gene transfer have on the release of genetically modified organisms?

CONCLUDING REMARKS

This review has shown that in nature there are a vast number of mobile elements that are capable of mediating gene transfer. We have also seen that many of these elements get together to form new and more promiscuous elements given the right selective pressures. There is now overwhelming evidence that bacteria from food products, soil and other environmental sources can exchange genetic information with the flora in the GIOC.

As well as antibiotic resistance determinants, virulence factors have been shown to be spread by horizontal gene transfer and in some cases these transfer events are promoted by host factors. Furthermore, some virulent organisms use conjugation-like system to export molecules that interact with the host (39). Host factors may also modulate the transfer of antibiotic resistance determinants. Thus horizontal gene transfer contributes both to the generation

of new pathogens and to the generation of both old and new pathogens that are antibiotic resistant.

The next challenge is to understand what implications this exchange has for human health and what we can do about it. This requires systematically measuring the rate of genetic exchange in the GIOC, seeing how this exchange is mediated, and implementing strategies to minimise gene exchange (if required). This requires expertise in bacterial genetics, ecology, biochemistry and the access to patients and animal models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This review has been carried out with financial support from the Commission of the European Communities, Agriculture and Fisheries (FAIR) specific RTD programme PL98 4230 'Intestinal Flora: Colonisation Resistance and Other Effects'. It does not necessarily reflect its views and in no way anticipates the commission's future policy in this area.

REFERENCES

1. Trieu-Cuot P, Carlier C, Martin P, Courvalin P. Plasmid transfer by conjugation from *Escherichia coli* to Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1987; 8: 289–94.
2. Heinemann JA, Sprague GF Jr. Bacterial conjugative plasmids mobilise DNA transfer between bacteria and yeast. Nature 1989; 340: 205–9.
3. Mullany P, Wilks M, Puckey L, Tabaqchali S. Gene cloning in *Clostridium difficile* using Tn916 as a shuttle conjugative transposon. Plasmid 1994; 31: 320–3.
4. Lanka E, Wilkins BM. DNA processing reactions in bacterial conjugation. Annu Rev Biochem 1995; 64: 141–69.
5. Wang A, Macrina FL. Streptococcal plasmid pIP501 has a functional *oriT* site. J Bacteriol 1995; 177: 4199–206.
6. Jaworski DD, Clewell DB. A functional origin of transfer (*oriT*) on the conjugative transposon Tn916. J Bacteriol 1995; 177: 6644–51.
7. Climo MW, Sharma VK, Archer GL. Identification and characterisation of the origin of transfer (*oriT*) and a gene(*nes*) encoding a single stranded endonuclease on the staphylococcal plasmid pGO1. J Bacteriol 1996; 178: 4975–83.
8. Crellin PK, Rood JI. Tn4451 from *Clostridium perfringens* is a mobilisable transposon that encodes the functional Mob protein, TnpZ. Mol Microbiol 1998; 27: 631–42.
9. Lyras D, Storie C, Huggins AS, Crellin PK, Bannam TL, Rood JI. Chloramphenicol resistance in *Clostridium difficile* is encoded on Tn4453 transposons that are closely related to Tn4451 from *Clostridium perfringens*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 23: 784–6.
10. Flannagan SE, Zitzow LA, Su YA, Clewell DB. Nucleotide sequence of the 18 kb conjugative transposon Tn916 from *Enterococcus faecalis*. Plasmid 1994; 32: 350–4.
11. Smith CJ, Tribbble GD, Bayley DP. Genetic elements of *Bacteroides* species: a moving story. Plasmid 1998; 40: 12–29.
12. Zatyka M, Thomas CM. Control of genes for conjugative transfer of plasmids and other mobile elements. FEMS Microbiol Rev 1998; 21: 291–319.
13. Clewell DB, Flannagan SE. The conjugative transposons of Gram-positive bacteria. In: Clewell DB, ed. Bacterial conjugation. New York: Plenum Press, 1993: 369–93.
14. Salyers AA, Shoemaker NB, Stevens AM, Lhing-Yew L. Conjugative transposons: an unusual and diverse set of inte-

- grated gene transfer elements. *Microbiol Rev* 1995; 59: 579–90.
15. Wang H, Roberts AP, Lyras D, Rood JI, Wilks M, Mullany P. Characterisation of the ends and target sites of the novel conjugative transposon Tn5397 from *Clostridium difficile*: excision and circularisation is mediated by the large resolvase TndX. *J Bacteriol* 2000; 182: 3775–83.
 16. Rice LB. Tn916 family of conjugative transposons and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance determinants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; 42: 1871–7.
 17. Charpentier E, Gerbaud G, Courvalin P. Conjugative mobilisation of the rolling circle plasmid pIP823 from *Listeria monocytogenes* BM4293 among Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. *J Bacteriol* 1999; 181: 3368–74.
 18. Hacker J, Blum-Oehler G, Mühldorfer I, Tschäpe H. Pathogenicity islands of virulent bacteria: structure, function and impact on microbial evolution. *Mol Microbiol* 1997; 23: 1087–9.
 19. Lindsay JA, Ruzin A, Ross HF, Kurepina N, Novick RP. The gene for toxic shock toxin is carried by a family of mobile pathogenicity islands in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Mol Microbiol* 1998; 29: 527–43.
 20. Recchia GD, Hall RM. Origins of the mobile gene cassettes found in integrons. *Trends Microbiol* 1997; 5: 389–94.
 21. Mullany P, Pallen M, Wilks M, Tabaqchali S. A Group II intron in a conjugative transposon from the Gram-positive bacterium, *Clostridium difficile*. *Gene* 1996; 174: 145–50.
 22. Yeo CC, Tham JM, Yap MWC, Poh CL. Group II intron from *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* NCIB 9867 (P25X): Entrapment in plasmid RP4 and sequence analysis. *Microbiology* 1997; 143: 2833–40.
 23. Huang C-C, Narita M, Yamagata T, Itoh Y, Endo G. Structure analysis of a class II transposon encoding the mercury resistance of the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus megaterium* MB1, a strain isolated from Minamata Bay, Japan. *Gene* 1999; 234: 361–9.
 24. Mills DA, McKay LL, Dunny GM. Splicing of a group II intron involved in the conjugative transfer of pRS01 in *Lactococci*. *J Bacteriol* 1996; 178: 3531–8.
 25. Shearman C, Godon JJ, Gasson M. Splicing of a group II intron in a functional transfer gene of *Lactococcus lactis*. *Mol Microbiol* 1996; 21: 45–53.
 26. Cousineau B, Smith D, Lawrence-Cavanagh S, Mueller JE, Yang J, Mills D, Manias D, Dunny G, Lambowitz AM, Belfort M. Retrohoming of a bacterial group II intron: mobility via complete reverse splicing, independent of homologous recombination. *Cell* 1998; 94: 451–62.
 27. McDougal LK, Tenover FC, Lee LN, Kamile J, Patterson JE, Jorgensen JH, LeBlanc DJ. Detection of Tn917-like sequences within a Tn916-like conjugative transposon (Tn3872) in erythromycin-resistant isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; 42: 2312–8.
 28. Rice LB, Carias LL. Transfer of Tn5385, a composite multiresistance chromosomal element from *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Bacteriol* 1998; 180: 714–21.
 29. Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. Facilitated transfer of IncPβ R751 derivatives from the chromosome of *Bacteroides uniformis* to *Escherichia coli* recipients by a conjugative *Bacteroides* tetracycline resistance element. *J Bacteriol* 1987; 169: 3160–7.
 30. Gyles C, Falkow S, Rollins L. *In vivo* transfer of an *Escherichia coli* enterotoxin plasmid possessing genes for drug resistance. *Am J Vet Res* 1978; 39: 1438–41.
 31. Doucet-Populaire F, Trieu-Cuot P, Dosbaa I, Andremont A, Courvalin P. Conjugal transfer of plasmid DNA from *Enterococcus faecalis* to *Escherichia coli* in digestive tracts of gnotobiotic mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1992; 35: 185–7.
 32. Pappenheimer AM Jr, Murphy JR. Studies on the molecular epidemiology of diphtheria. *Lancet* 1983; 2: 923–6.
 33. Roberts AP, Pratten J, Wilson M, Mullany P. Transfer of a conjugative transposon, Tn5397 in a model oral biofilm. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1999; 177: 63–6.
 34. Mel SF, Mekalanos JJ. Modulation of horizontal gene transfer in pathogenic bacteria by *in vivo* signals. *Cell* 1996; 87: 795–8.
 35. Dunny G, Yuhasz M, Ehrenfeld E. Genetic and physiological analysis of conjugation in *Streptococcus faecalis*. *J Bacteriol* 1982; 151: 855–9.
 36. Celli J, Trieu-Cuot P. Circularisation of Tn916 is required for expression of the transposon-encoded transfer functions: characterisation of long tetracycline-inducible transcripts reading through the attachment site. *Mol Microbiol* 1998; 28: 103–17.
 37. Droge M, Puhler A, Selbitschka W. Horizontal gene transfer in terrestrial and aquatic habitats as assessed by microcosm and field studies. *Biol Fert Soils* 1999; 29: 221–54.
 38. Teuber M, Perreten V, Wirsching F. Antibiotikumresistente bakterien: eine neue dimension in der lebensmittel-mikrobiologie. *Lebensmittel-Technologie* 1996; 29: 182–99.
 39. Segal G, Shuman HA. Intracellular multiplication and human macrophage killing by *Legionella pneumophila* are inhibited by conjugal components of IncQ plasmids RSF1010. *Mol Microbiol* 1998; 30: 197–208.
 40. Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. Dnase resistant transfer of chromosomal *cat* and *tet* insertions by filter mating in pneumococcus. *Plasmid* 1980; 3: 80–7.
 41. Waldor MK, Tschape H, Mekalanos JJ. A new type of conjugative transposons encodes resistance to sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and streptomycin in *Vibrio cholerae* O139. *J Bacteriol* 1996; 178: 4157–65.
 42. Stevens AM, Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. Tetracycline regulation of genes on *Bacteroides* conjugative transposons. *J Bacteriol* 1993; 175: 6134–41.
 43. Cooper AJ, Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. The erythromycin resistance gene from the *Bacteroides* conjugative transposon Tc^rEm^r 7853 is nearly identical to *ermG* from *Bacillus sphaericus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1996; 40: 506–8.
 44. Shoemaker NB, Salyers AA. A cryptic 65-kilobase pair transposon-like element isolated from *Bacteroides uniformis* has homology with *Bacteroides* conjugal tetracycline resistance elements. *J Bacteriol* 1990; 172: 1694–702.
 45. Wren B, Mullany P, Clayton C, Tabaqchali S. Molecular cloning and genetic analysis of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase determinant from *Clostridium difficile*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1988; 32: 1213–7.
 46. Ayoubi P, Kilic OA, Vijayakumar MN. Tn5253, the pneumococcal (*cat tet*) BM6001 element is a composite structure of two conjugative transposons, Tn5251 and Tn5252. *J Bacteriol* 1991; 173: 1617–22.
 47. Oskam L, Hillenga DJ, Venema G, Bron S. The integrated state of the rolling-circle plasmid pTB913 in the composite *Bacillus* plasmid pTB19. *Mol Gen Genet* 1992; 233: 462–8.
 48. Salyers AA, Shoemaker NB. Resistance gene transfer in anaerobes: new insights, new problems. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996; 23 (Suppl): S36–43.