# **NODE AND PLACE,**

A study on the spatial process of railway terminus area redevelopment in central London

Thesis submitted for the degree of PhD in Architecture

# Khaisri Paksukcharern Thammaruangsri

The Bartlett School of Graduate Studies University College London University of London

2003

#### **ABSTRACT**

Bertolini and Spit (1998) have argued that any significant transport node should ideally also be a significant place in the city. However, this rarely seems to be the case, and the resolution of this disparity, which they refer to as the 'node-place' problem, in practice means redesigning what are currently regional-to-local transport nodes to also function as local pedestrian nodes. This is a complex design task, made more difficult by the fact that termini, although often located in strategic inner urban areas, are also frequently scarred by railway structures and adjacent to large wastelands or blighted neighbourhoods. Not surprisingly, there are as yet few success stories, and conversely many cases where attempts to address this problem through design have fallen below expectations. This problem, of converting railway termini and their surrounding areas into urban places, is the subject of this thesis.

The argument proposes that the 'node-place' problem is fundamentally a spatial one. Using the methodology of space syntax, together with Hillier's compound theories of how vibrant urban places are progressively formed by the influence of the urban grid on natural movement (Hillier et al 1993), and the subsequent influence this has on land use patterns (Hillier 1996) and centre formation (Hillier 2000), the thesis investigates the spatial structure and functioning of eleven mainline railway terminus areas in central London. This is undertaken through a series of studies of increasing precision: historical figure-ground analyses of station areas; syntactic analysis of station contexts and the influence of the station on that context; detailed observation of movement patterns and rates in station contexts; and finally the synthesis of all data types into a single picture.

On the basis of the results of these studies, it is argued that the key to the successful creation of an urban place out of a transport node is the same as that which prevails in cities in general; namely that spatial configuration is critical, and that the spaces inside and outside railway termini have to become an 'integrated part' of the local system of pedestrian movement. In order to achieve this, space has to be re-engineered to overcome the current tendency of stations to work as urban 'negative attractors' through the effect of the large blockages they impose on the development of local patterns of natural movement, in spite of the station being in itself a 'point attractor'. A node can become a place when it also becomes a 'configurational attractor' in the local network.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| Abstract   | 2      |  |
|--|--------|--|
| Table of contents  | 3-7    |  |
| List of illustrations  | 8-20   |  |
| Acknowledgement  | 21     |  |
|  |        |  |
| CHAPTER ONE  |        |  |
| Introduction   |        |  |
| 1.1 Statement of the problem   | 22     |  |
| 1.2 The research scope   | 30     |  |
| 1.3 The structure of the argument and the theoretical framework                | 33     |  |
| 1.4 The thesis outline   | 37     |  |
| * Figure 1.1-1.13  | 41-46  |  |
|  |        |  |
| CHAPTER TWO  |        |  |
| Idea of node and place in the changing role of railway termini in cities       |        |  |
| The historical and literature review   |        |  |
| 2.1 Changing roles of railway termini in cities                                | 48     |  |
| 2.1.1 The first phase:   |        |  |
| the arrival of railways and the grand termini as the gateway to cities         | 49     |  |
| 2.1.2 The second phase:  |        |  |
| the modern railway termini amidst the blighted urban settings                  | 51     |  |
| 2.1.3 The third phase:   |        |  |
| the return of the railways era and the extensive plan for the terminus a       | rea    |  |
| redevelopment  | 54     |  |
| 2.2 The evolution of railway termini, summarising as a node-place diagrammatic | 2      |  |
| framework  | 58     |  |
| 2.3 An overview of some recent railway terminus area redevelopment projects    |        |  |
| and their distinctive node-place synergies                                     | 60     |  |
| 2.4 Morphological and empirical studies  |        |  |
| Ideas on the spatial relationship between local areas and the urban fabric a   | nd its |  |
| implication on pedestrian space use pattern                                    | 64     |  |
| 2.5 Space syntax methodology   | 68     |  |
| 2.5.1 Spatial representation   | 69     |  |
| 2.5.2 Syntactic measures   | 70     |  |
| 2.5.3 Measuring lively and quiet places  | 71     |  |
| 2.5.4 Space syntax applications on transport related projects                  | 73     |  |
| .6 Discussion and conclusions 79   |        |  |
| * Figure 2.1-2.14  | 83-91  |  |

# **CHAPTER THREE**

| The internal dynamics of railway termini                                       |        |
|--|--------|
| 3.1 Introduction   | 92     |
| 3.2 Programme of study   | 96     |
| 3.3 The case studies   | 98     |
| 3.3.1 Introduction to the station location, layout plan and functional arrange | ment   |
| 3.3.1a Victoria Station  | 99     |
| 3.3.1b Euston Station  | 101    |
| 3.4 The internal spatial representation  | 102    |
| 3.4.1 Methods  | 103    |
| 3.4.2 All line axial analysis: Spacebox  | 104    |
| 3.4.3 Convex shape analysis: Pesh  | 106    |
| 3.3.4 Discussion on the spatial models of Victoria and Euston Stations         | 107    |
| 3.5 The isovist analysis   |        |
| 3.5.1 Methodology  | 109    |
| 3.5.2 Point isovists   | 109    |
| 3.5.3 Moving isovists  | 110    |
| 3.5.4 Discussion on the isovist analysis of Victoria and Euston Stations       | 112    |
| 3.6 The empirical observation of space use patterns                            |        |
| 3.6.1 Methodology  | 113    |
| 3.6.2 Pedestrian movement dispersal pattern                                    | 114    |
| 3.6.3 Pedestrian stationary activity pattern                                   | 117    |
| 3.6.4 The relationship between moving and atatic activity patterns in Vi       | ctoria |
| and Euston Stations  | 118    |
| 3.7 Discussion and Conclusion  | 119    |
| * Figure 3.1-3.32  | 23-156 |
| CHAPTER FOUR   |        |
| The urban morphology of London's railway terminus areas                        |        |
| Their urban physical patterns  |        |
| 4.1 Development of London's mainline railway termini: a historical review      | 158    |
| 4.1.1 An overview on the development of London's railways                      | 158    |
| 4.1.2 The development sequence of London's manline railway termini in          |        |
| the city fabric  |        |
| 4.1.2a The first terminus  | 159    |
| 4.1.2b Railway Mania; series of termini are built up to the city's edg         | e 160  |
| 4.2.1c Invasion to the city at last  | 161    |
| 4.1.3 The relationship between London's termini and the city's global          |        |
| structure  | 163    |
| 4.2 The changing morphology of London's railway terminus areas                 | 164    |
| 4.2.1 Method and scope of study  | 164    |

|      | 4.2.2 The figure and ground study of London's railway terminus area    | s          |
|------|--|------------|
|      | 4.2.2a Euston Station area   | 167        |
|      | 4.2.2b King's Cross and St.Pancras Station area                        | 168        |
|      | 4.2.2c Liverpool Street Station area                                   | 170        |
|      | 4.2.2d Fenchurch Street Station area                                   | 172        |
|      | 4.2.2e London Bridge Station area                                      | 174        |
|      | 4.2.2f Cannon Street Station area                                      | 175        |
|      | 4.2.2g Waterloo Station area   | 177        |
|      | 4.2.2h Charing Cross Station area                                      | 179        |
|      | 4.2.2i Victoria Station area   | 180        |
|      | 4.2.2j Paddington Station area   | 181        |
|      | 4.2.2k Marylebone Station area   | 183        |
| 4.3  | Discussion   | 184        |
|      | 4.3.1 The morphology of London's railway terminus areas:               |            |
|      | the manifestation of the mutual influence of terminus structur         | es and     |
|      | their urban settings   | 184        |
|      | 4.3.2 The relationship between the urban pattern of London's termin    | nus areas  |
|      | and their current urban conditions                                     | 190        |
| 4.4  | Conclusion   | 193        |
| * Fi | gure 4.1-4.19  | 195-217    |
|      |  |            |
| СН   | APTER FIVE   |            |
| The  | e spatial configuration of London's railway terminus areas             |            |
| 5.1  | Railway termini and the spatial structure of their urban settings: The | local-to-  |
|      | global' effects and the 'global-to-local' redevelopment process.       | 219        |
| 5.2  | Programme of study   | 222        |
| 5.3  | The spatial morphological analyses                                     | 223        |
|      | 5.3.1 Axial Analysis: the location of mainline railway termini within  | n the      |
|      | spatial structure of London  | 223        |
|      | 5.3.2 Axial Analysis: London's railway terminus areas, the spatial s   | tructure   |
|      | and reflections on current urban condition and urban physical          | pattern225 |
|      | 5.3.2a Euston Station area   | 226        |
|      | 5.3.2b King's Cross and St.Pancras Station area                        | 228        |
|      | 5.3.2c Liverpool Street Station area                                   | 230        |
|      | 5.3.2d Fenchurch Street Station area                                   | 232        |
|      | 5.3.2e London Bridge Station area                                      | 233        |
|      | 5.3.2f Cannon Street Station area                                      | 235        |
|      | 5.3.2g Waterloo Station area   | 237        |
|      | 5.3.2h Charing Cross Station area                                      | 239        |
|      | 5.3.2i Victoria Station area   | 241        |
|      | 5.3.2j Paddington Station area   | 243        |
|      | 5.3.2k Marylebone Station area   | 247        |

| 5.3.3 Spatial Analysis: the internal embedding of London's railway termini | 254   |
|--|-------|
| 5.4 Discussions and conclusions  | 258   |
| 5.4.1 The spatial categorisation of London's railway terminus areas        | 258   |
| 5.4.2 The spatial related urban phenomena at London's railway terminus     | 200   |
| areas  | 262   |
|  | 7-282 |
|  | 202   |
| CHAPTER SIX  |       |
| Patterns of pedestrian movement in London's railway terminus areas         |       |
| 6.1 The station-related movement patterns: railway termini as 'attractors' |       |
| and 'negative attractors'  | 284   |
| 6.1.1 Method of the empirical study  | 286   |
| 6.1.2 Findings of the empirical observation                                | 287   |
| 6.1.2a Euston Station area   | 288   |
| 6.1.2b Liverpool Street Station area                                       | 290   |
| 6.1.2c Fenchurch Street Station area                                       | 291   |
| 6.1.2d Cannon Street Station area  | 292   |
| 6.1.2e London Bridge Station area  | 293   |
| 6.1.2f Waterloo Station area   | 295   |
| 6.1.2g Charing Cross Station area  | 296   |
| 6.1.2h Victoria Station area   | 298   |
| 6.1.2i Paddington Station area   | 299   |
| 6.1.2j Marylebone Station area   | 300   |
| 6.1.3 Summary and analysis of the empirical findings: the effects of Londo | n's   |
| terminus structures as movement attractors and negative attractors         | 302   |
| 6.2 The urban grid-related movement pattern: the statistical analysis      | 305   |
| 6.2.1 Methods of the statistical analysis                                  | 306   |
| 6.2.2 The regression analysis  | 310   |
| 6.2.2a Euston Station area   | 310   |
| 6.2.2b King's Cross and St.Pancras Station area                            | 311   |
| 6.2.2c Liverpool Street Station area                                       | 312   |
| 6.2.2d Fenchurch Street Station area                                       | 314   |
| 6.2.2e Cannon Street Station area  | 315   |
| 6.2.2f London Bridge Station area  | 315   |
| 6.2.2g Waterloo Station area   | 316   |
| 6.2.2h Charing Cross Station area  | 317   |
| 6.2.2i Victoria Station area   | 318   |
| 6.2.2j Paddington Station area   | 319   |
| 6.2.2k Marylebone Station area   | 321   |
| 6.3 Discussions and conclusions  | 322   |
| * Figure 6.1-6.11 332  | 2-352 |

# **CHAPTER SEVEN**

| Гhе          | spatial process of creating placeS out of nodes in railway terminus areas   |     |
|--------------|---|-----|
| 7.1          | The final regression analysis:  |     |
|              | the relationship between spatial embedding values and non-transport related $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right$ |     |
|              | space uses of the railway terminus buildings  | 354 |
| 7.2          | London's mainline railway terminus areas:   |     |
|              | a review of their node and place characteristics and development potential  | 359 |
| 7.3          | Epilogue  | 375 |
| * <b>B</b> ] | IBLIOGRAPHY   | 378 |
| * <b>A</b> ] | <b>ppendix A:</b> A brief investigation on the current urban condition of eleven railway terminus   |     |
| ar           | eas in central London   | 387 |
| * <b>A</b> j | ppendix B: Types of railway stations  | 413 |
| * <b>A</b> J | ppendix C:  |     |
| C1           | List of 90 surveyed railway station area redevelopment projects   | 417 |
| C2           | 2 Illustration of some major projects   | 424 |
| * <b>A</b> j | ppendix D: Key station user statistic   | 437 |
| * <b>A</b> j | ppendix E: Gate observation on pedestrian movement rates: Victoria and Euston Stations  | 439 |
| * <b>A</b> ] | ppendix F: Spatial data from the axial analysis of the modelling area of London's railway   |     |
| te           | rminus areas  | 442 |
| * <b>A</b> ] | ppendix G: Sectional gate count database  | 444 |
| * <b>A</b> j | ppendix H: Database table for movement and spatial variables of eleven London's railway   |     |
| te           | rminus areas  | 465 |
| * <b>A</b> ] | ppendix I: The allocation of sub-areas around London's railway terminus areas for the   |     |
| sta          | atistical analysis  | 473 |

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## Introduction

| Fig | <br>es: |
|-----|---------|
|     | <br>    |

| Figure 1.1  | Some examples of railway terminus area redevelopment projects | 39 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 1.2  | The location of all mainline railway termini in London        | 40 |
| Figure 1.3  | Liverpool Street Station area: current urban condition        | 41 |
| Figure 1.4  | Charing Cross Station area: current urban condition           | 41 |
| Figure 1.5  | Cannon Street Station area: current urban condition           | 41 |
| Figure 1.6  | Euston Station area: current urban condition                  | 42 |
| Figure 1.7  | Victoria Station area: current urban condition                | 42 |
| Figure 1.8  | London Bridge Station area: current urban condition           | 42 |
| Figure 1.9  | Fenchurch Street Station area: current urban condition        | 43 |
| Figure 1.10 | Waterloo Station area: current urban condition                | 43 |
| Figure 1.11 | Paddington Station area: current urban condition              | 43 |
| Figure 1.12 | Marylebone Station area: current urban condition              | 44 |
| Figure 1.13 | King's Cross Station area: current urban condition            | 44 |

# **CHAPTER TWO**

# Idea of node and place in the changing role of railway termini in cities The historical and literature review

# Figures:

| Figure 2.1  | The City's Gateway  | 79 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.2  | Railway termini in the early days built in variations of Classical  |    |
| style       |   | 79 |
| Figure 2.3  | The Railway Station, a painting by William Powell Frith (1819-1909) | 79 |
| Figure 2.4  | Examples of major railway Wastelands in Europe                      | 80 |
| Figure 2.5  | Examples of The late 20 <sup>th</sup> century railway terminus      | 81 |
| Figure 2.6  | Examples of recent railway terminus area redevelopment projects in  |    |
| Europe      |   | 82 |
| Figure 2.7  | Multi-level spatial organisation of railway stations                | 83 |
| Figure 2.8  | The evolution of railway terminus area, node and place diagrammatic |    |
| framework   |   | 84 |
| Figure 2.9  | Bertolini and Spit's idea of the railway station                    | 85 |
| Figure 2.10 | Various node and place synergies                                    | 85 |
| Figure 2.11 | Examples proposed by Unwin for a station place                      | 86 |

| Figure 2.12 | Concept of spatial configuration   | 87 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.13 | Axial line, convex space, convex isovist                                 | 87 |
| Figure 2.14 | Convex and axial representation, the village of the Var region of France | 87 |

#### **CHAPTER THREE**

Figures:

Figure 3.21

Figure 3.22

Figure 3.23

Figure 3.24

Figure 3.25 pattern

Figure 3.26

Figure 3.27

## The internal dynamics of railway termini

| Figure 3.1      | Victoria Station, local map around the terminus building             | 118      |
|-----------------|--|----------|
| Figure 3.2      | Victoria Station, main concourse level layout plan and functional    |          |
| arrangement     |  | 118      |
| Figure 3.3      | Victoria Station, interior space                                     | 119      |
| Figure 3.4      | Euston Station, local map around the terminus building               | 120      |
| Figure 3.5      | Euston Station, main concourse level layout plan and functional arr  | angement |
| Figure 3.6      | Euston Station, interior space                                       | 121      |
| Figure 3.7      | Victoria Station, all line axial analysis/integration-N              | 122      |
| Figure 3.8      | Euston Station, all line axial analysis/integration-N                | 123      |
| Figure 3.9      | Victoria Station, convex shape analysis or 'Pesh'                    | 124      |
| Figure 3.10     | Euston Station, convex shape analysis or 'Pesh'                      | 125      |
| Figure 3.11     | Victoria Station, point isovists from all station entrances          | 126      |
| Figure 3.12     | Euston Station, point isovists from all station entrances            | 127      |
| Figure 3.13     | Victoria Station, moving isovists along an inbound route from Wilton | n Road   |
| into the Easter | rn concourse hall  | 128      |
| Figure 3.14     | Victoria Station, moving isovists along an outbound route from Plati | form     |
| Fifteen to the  | Underground entrance   | 129      |
| Figure 3.15     | Euston Station, moving isovists along an inbound route from Euston   | n Road   |
| into the main   | concourse hall   | 130      |
| Figure 3.16     | Euston Station, moving isovists along an outbound route from Platfo  | orm One  |
| to the Underg   | round entrance   | 131      |
| Figure 3.17     | Victoria Station, serial photographic view                           | 132      |
| Figure 3.18     | Euston Station, serial photographic view                             | 132      |
| Figure 3.19     | Victoria Station, movement dispersal pattern on one weekday          | 133      |
| Figure 3.20     | Euston Station, movement dispersal pattern on one weekday            | 137      |

Victoria Station, combined movement dispersal pattern for all gates

Euston Station, combined movement dispersal pattern for all gates

Victoria Station, combined movement dispersal and stationary activity

Victoria Station, combined Spacebox and movement dispersal pattern

Euston Station, combined movement dispersal and stationary activity pattern

Victoria Station, static snapshots (one weekday)

Euston Station, static snapshots (one weekday)

140

140

141

144

146

147

| Figure 3.28     | Euston Station, combined Spacebox and movement dispersal pattern     | 147     |
|-----------------|--|---------|
| Figure 3.29     | Victoria Station, combined Spacebox and movement dispersal pattern   |         |
| (internal and i | nternal/external routes)   | 149     |
| Figure 3.30     | Euston Station, combined Spacebox and movement dispersal pattern (in | nternal |
| and internal/e  | external routes)   | 149     |
| Figure 3.31     | Victoria Station, combined Pesh and static activity pattern          | 150     |
| Figure 3.32     | Euston Station, combined Pesh and static activity pattern            | 150     |
| Table:          |  |         |
| Table 3.1       | Key Station user statistics  | 95      |

# **CHAPTER FOUR**

# The urban morphology of London's railway terminus areas Their urban physical patterns

# Figures:

| Figure 4.1    | History of London railway network   | 187 |
|---------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.2    | The Elliptical Ring. A diagram indicating the approximate position of           |     |
| mainline rai  | lway termini in relation to metropolitan London in the 19 <sup>th</sup> century | 188 |
| Figure 4.3    | Urban growth and the development of London's railway system                     | 188 |
| Figure 4.4    | London in 1835, before the Railway Era  | 189 |
| Figure 4.5    | London in 1851, showing most of the newly built railway termini being           |     |
| located at th | e city's edge   | 190 |
| Figure 4.6    | London in 1905: showing both mainline and underground railway                   | 191 |
| Figure 4.7    | Central London at present, showing mainline railway termini have bee            | n   |
| assimilated i | nto the dense urban grid and now locate at the very heart of city               | 192 |
| Figure 4.8    | London's mainline railways and termini in relation to the urban superg          | rid |
| Figure 4.9    | Euston Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps                      | 194 |
| Figure 4.10   | King's Cross and St.Pancras Station area, local maps and figure and gro-        | und |
| maps          |   | 195 |
| Figure 4.11   | Liverpool Street Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps            | 196 |
| Figure 4.12   | Fenchurch Street Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps            | 198 |
| Figure 4.13   | London Bridge Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps               | 199 |
| Figure 4.14   | Cannon Street Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps               | 201 |
| Figure 4.15   | Waterloo Station area, local maps and figures and ground maps                   | 202 |
| Figure 4.16   | Charing Cross Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps               | 204 |
| Figure 4.17   | Victoria Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps                    | 205 |
| Figure 4.18   | Paddington Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps                  | 206 |
| Figure 4.19   | Marylebone Station area, local maps and figure and ground maps                  | 208 |

#### **CHAPTER FIVE**

Figures:

## The spatial configuration of London's railway terminus areas

| Figure 5.1    | London axial map with the location of all mainline railway termini         | 256     |
|---------------|--|---------|
| Figure 5.2    | London axial map, global Integration (Log-intN)                            | 257     |
| Figure 5.3    | London axial map, local Integration (Log-int3)                             | 258     |
| Figure 5.4    | Euston Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the sta | ation's |
| internal spac | e included)  | 259     |
| Figure 5.5    | King's Cross/St. Pancras Station area, axial analysis: global integration  | n-intN  |
| (with the sta | tion's internal space included)  | 260     |
| Figure 5.6    | Liverpool Street Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (w  | ith the |

station's internal space included)

Figure 5.7 Fenchurch Street Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with

the station's internal space included)

Figure 5.8 London Bridge Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included)

262

Figure 5.9 Cannon Street Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included) 264

Figure 5.10 Waterloo Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included) 265

Figure 5.11 Charing Cross Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included) 266

Figure 5.12 Victoria Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included)

Figure 5.13 Paddington Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included) 268

Figure 5.14 Marylebone Station area, axial analysis: global integration-intN (with the station's internal space included) 271

#### Tables:

| Table 5.1     | Spatial embedding of eleven London's railway terminus buildings, global   |     |  |  |
|---------------|---|-----|--|--|
| and local int | regration   | 244 |  |  |
| Table 5.2     | Spatial embedding of eleven London's railway terminus buildings in global |     |  |  |
| and local int | egration value descending order   | 245 |  |  |
| Table 5.3     | Spatial embedding and intelligibility values in descending order          | 246 |  |  |

# **CHAPTER SIX**

# Patterns of pedestrian movement in London's railway terminus areas

# Figures:

| Figure 6.1      | King's Cross-St. Pancras Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates        |      |
|-----------------|--|------|
| (weekday / 12   | :00-18:00 )  | 319  |
| Figure 6.2a     | Euston Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus structure | es   |
| and allocation  | of gates for movement observation  | 320  |
| Figure 6.2b     | Euston Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                          |      |
| (weekday / 12   | :00 to 18:00 )   | 321  |
| Figure 6.3a     | Liverpool Street Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminu- | s    |
| structures and  | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 322  |
| Figure 6.3b     | Liverpool Street Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                |      |
| (weekday / 12   | :00 to 18:00 )   | 323  |
| Figure 6.4a     | Fenchurch Street Station area, key pedestrian routes around the termina  | 15   |
| structures and  | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 324  |
| Figure 6.4b     | Fenchurch Street Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                |      |
| (weekday / 12   | :00 to 18:00)  | 325  |
| Figure 6.5a     | Cannon Street Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus    |      |
| structures and  | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 326  |
| Figure 6.5b     | Cannon Street Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                   |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00 )  | 327  |
| Figure 6.6a     | London Bridge Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus    | E    |
| structures and  | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 328  |
| Figure 6.6b     | London Bridge Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                   |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00 )  | 329  |
| Figure 6.7a     | Waterloo Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus struct  | ures |
| and the allocat | tion of gates for movement observation                                   | 330  |
| Figure 6.7b     | Waterloo Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                        |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00 )  | 331  |
| Figure 6.8a     | Charing Cross Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus    |      |
| structures and  | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 332  |
|                 | Charing Cross Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                   |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00 )  | 333  |
| Figure 6.9a     | Victoria Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus structu | res  |
| and the allocat | tion of gates for movement observation                                   | 334  |
| Figure 6.9b.    | Victoria Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                        |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00 )  | 335  |
| Figure 6.10a    | Paddington Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus       |      |
| 1.50            | the allocation of gates for movement observation                         | 336  |
| Figure 6.10b    | Paddington Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates                      |      |
| (weekday / 12   | 2:00 to 18:00)   | 337  |

| Figure 6.11a     | Marylebone Station area, key pedestrian routes around the terminus  |       |
|------------------|---|-------|
| structures and   | the allocation of gates for movement observation  | 338   |
| Figure 6.11b     | Marylebone Station area, mean pedestrian flow rates   |       |
| (weekday / 12    | ::00 to 18:00 )   | 339   |
| Tables:          |   |       |
| Table 6.1        | Stepwise regression analysis: global integration values and time-periody  | vise  |
| movement var     |   | 296   |
| Table 6.2        | Stepwise regression analysis: local integration values and time-periodwi  | ise   |
| movement var     |   | 296   |
| Table 6.3        | Stepwise regression analysis: connectivity values and time-periodwise   |       |
| movement var     | riables   | 296   |
| Table 6.4        | Stepwise regression analysis: mean movement rates (2-4pm) and three   |       |
| spatial variable | es  | 297   |
| Plates:          |   |       |
| Plate 6.1        | Regression analysis   | 294   |
| Plate 6.2        | Scattergrams of mean movement rates (2-4 pm) and the two spatial varia  | ables |
| in equation      | (-1-)   | 297   |
| Plate 6.3        | Euston Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 298   |
| Plate 6.4        | King's Cross Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 299   |
| Plate 6.5        | Liverpool Street Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   | d     |
| movement         |   | 301   |
| Plate 6.6        | Fenchurch Street Station area, regression analysis of local integration ar  | nd    |
| movement         |   | 302   |
| Plate 6.7        | Cannon Street Station area, regression analysis of local integration and  |       |
| movement         | NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY | 303   |
| Plate 6.8        | London Bridge Station area, regression analysis of local integration and  |       |
| movement         |   | 304   |
| Plate 6.9        | Waterloo Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 305   |
| Plate 6.10       | Charing Cross Station area, regression analysis of local integration and  |       |
| movement         |   | 306   |
| Plate 6.11       | Victoria Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 307   |
| Plate 6.12       | Paddington Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 308   |
| Plate 6.13       | Marylebone Station area, regression analysis of local integration and   |       |
| movement         |   | 309   |

#### CHAPTER SEVEN

# The spatial process of creating placeS out of nodes in railway terminus areas

| _ |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| • | - | ы |   | • | ٠ |
|   | а | v | C | 3 | i |

| Table 7.1    | Spatial embedding values and internal pedestrian space use data of London's |                    |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| railway term | nini  | 343                |
| Table 7.2    | Stepwise regression analysis, percentage of non-passenger                   | inside London's    |
| railway term | nini and spatial embedding variables  | 344                |
| Table 7.3    | Stepwise regression analysis, percentage of retail and cater                | ring spaces inside |
| London's rai | ilway termini and spatial embedding variables                               | 344                |

## Plates:

| Plate 7.1         | Regression analysis, percentage of non-passenger inside London's ra      | nway |
|-------------------|--|------|
| termini and       | their local embedding variables  | 345  |
| Plate 7.2         | Regression analysis, percentage of retail and catering spaces inside the | he   |
| railway term      | nini and local spatial embedding variables                               | 345  |
| Plate 7.3         | The ideal model of node-place synergy in railway terminus area           | 347  |
| Plate 7.4         | Diagram of Liverpool Street Station area redevelopment strategy          | 349  |
| Plate 7.5         | Diagram of Victoria Station area redevelopment strategy                  | 351  |
| Plate 7.6         | Diagram of Euston Station area redevelopment strategy                    | 353  |
| Plate 7.7         | Diagram of Charing Cross Station area redevelopment strategy             | 355  |
| Plate 7.8         | Diagram of Cannon Street Station area redevelopment strategy             | 355  |
| Plate 7.9         | Diagram of Fenchurch Street Station area redevelopment strategy          | 355  |
| Plate 7.10        | Diagram of London Bridge Station area redevelopment strategy             | 357  |
| <b>Plate 7.11</b> | Diagram of Waterloo Station area redevelopment strategy                  | 357  |
| <b>Plate 7.12</b> | Diagram of Paddington Station area redevelopment strategy                | 359  |
| Plate 7.13        | Diagram of Marylebone Station area redevelopment strategy                | 360  |
| Plate 7.14        | Diagram of King's Cross Station area redevelopment strategy              | 361  |

#### APPENDIX A

A brief investigation on the current urban condition of eleven railway terminus areas in central London

## Plates:

| Plate A.1 | Cannon Street Station area: current urban condition    | 389 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Plate A.2 | Fenchurch Street Station area: current urban condition | 390 |
| Plate A.3 | Liverpool Street Station area: current urban condition | 391 |
| Plate A.4 | Euston Station area: current urban condition           | 392 |
| Plate A.5 | Marylebone Station area: current urban condition       | 393 |
| Plate A.6 | Charing Cross Station area: current urban condition    | 394 |

Plate C.1e

Plate C.1f Plate C.2

Plate C.3

Plate C.4

Plate C.5

Plate C.6

Plate C.7

| Plate A.7   | Waterloo Station area: current urban condition                  | 395 |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Plate A.8   | London Bridge Station area: current urban condition             | 396 |
| Plate A.9   | Victoria Station area: current urban condition                  | 397 |
| Plate A.10  | Paddington Station area: current urban condition                | 398 |
| Plate A.11  | King's Cross Station area: current urban condition              | 399 |
|             |   |     |
| APPENDIX    | В   |     |
| Types of ra | ailway stations   |     |
|             |   |     |
| Plates:     |   |     |
| Plate B.1   | The terminus  | 401 |
| Plate B.2   | The route spanning track station                                | 401 |
| Plate B.3   | The route lineside station                                      | 401 |
| Plate B.4   | The route lineside station with branch                          | 401 |
| Plate B.5   | The route station wit island platform                           | 401 |
| Plate B.6   | The combined through terminal and branch line terminal          | 402 |
|             |   |     |
| APPENDIX    | c   |     |
| Archive of  | railway station area redevelopment projects                     |     |
| Table:      |   |     |
| Table C.1   | List of 90 surveyed railway station area redevelopment projects | 404 |
| Plates:     |   |     |
| Plate C.1a  | Euralille, Lille, France  | 411 |
| Plate C.1b  | Euralille, Lille, France: ground level plan                     | 413 |
| Plate C.1c  | Eualille, Lille, France: project components                     | 413 |
| Plate C.1d  | Euralille, Lille, France: figure-ground close up                | 414 |

Euralille, Lille, France: pedestrian quality

Utretch Zentrum, Utretch, The Netherlands Stockholm City West, Stockholm, Sweden

Zentrum Zurich Nord:Zurich, Switzerland

King's Cross and St.Pancras, London, UK

Basel Euroville, Basel, Switzerland

Euralille, Lille, France: people movement sample record

Amsterdam Zuidas, Amsterday, The Netherlands

415

415

416 417

418

419

420

421

#### APPENDIX D

# Key station user statistic

| _ |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| т | - | h |   | 0 | ۰ |
|   | а | u | ı | c |   |

Table D.1 Key Station User Statistics

425

# APPENDIX E

# Gate observation on pedestrian movement rates: Victoria and Euston Stations

## Tables:

| Table E.1 | Victoria Station | 427 |
|-----------|------------------|-----|
| Table E.2 | Euston Station   | 427 |

#### Plates:

| Plate E.1    | 1 Victoria Station, mean movement level of the morning and the evening pea |    |  |
|--------------|--|----|--|
| periods (thr | ee minutes) 4  | 28 |  |
| Plate E.2    | Euston Station, mean movement level of the morning and the evening pea     | ık |  |
| periods (thr | ee minutes) 4  | 28 |  |

#### APPENDIX F

# Spatial data from the axial analysis of the modelling area of London's railway terminus areas

#### Table:

| Table F.1     | Spatial data from the axial analysis of the modelling area of London's |     |
|---------------|--|-----|
| railway termi | nus areas  | 430 |

## APPENDIX G

## Sectional gate count database

## Tables:

| Table G.1 | Sectional gate count database: Euston Station                | 432 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table G.2 | Sectional gate count database: Liverpool Street Station area | 435 |
| Table G.3 | Sectional gate count database: Fenchurch Street Station area | 438 |
| Table G.4 | Sectional gate count database: Cannon Street Station area    | 440 |
| Table G.5 | Sectional gate count database: London Bridge Station area    | 441 |
| Table G.6 | Sectional gate count database: Waterloo Station area         | 443 |
| Table G.7 | Sectional gate count database: Charing Cross Station area    | 445 |

| Table G.8  | Sectional gate count database: Victoria Station area   | 446 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table G.9  | Sectional gate count database: Paddington Station area | 448 |
| Table G.10 | Sectional gate count database: Marylebone Station area | 450 |

## APPENDIX H

Database table for movement and spatial variables of eleven London's railway termini

#### Table:

**Table H.1** Database on movement and spatial variables of eleven London's mainline railway terminus areas 453

## APPENDIX I

The allocation of sub-areas around London's railway terminus areas for the statistical analysis

#### Plates:

| Plate I.1  | King's Cross and St.Pancras Station area | 461 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Plate I.2  | Euston Station area                      | 462 |
| Plate I.3  | Liverpool Street station area            | 462 |
| Plate I.4  | Fenchurch Street station area            | 463 |
| Plate I.5  | Cannon Street Station area               | 463 |
| Plate I.6  | London Bridge Station area               | 464 |
| Plate I.7  | Waterloo Station area                    | 464 |
| Plate I.8  | Charing Cross Station area               | 465 |
| Plate I.9  | Victoria Station area                    | 465 |
| Plate I.10 | Paddington Station area                  | 466 |
| Plate I.11 | Marylebone Station area                  | 466 |

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I can only say that I enjoyed most parts of the long process of my PhD thesis. I wish to thank specially my supervisor Professor Bill Hillier whom I have learned much along the way, from start to finish, through his wisdom, guidance and support. I am also grateful to Alan Penn, my second supervisor, for his sharp criticism and technical support.

It is my pleasure and privileged to be a part of an active academic environment of the Advanced Architectural Studies programme at the Bartlett School of Graduate Studies, University College London, contributed by the 1997's MSc students, all PhD colleagues, including researchers and staffs at the Space Syntax Limited. Special thanks go to Dr.Beatriz Campos and Dr.Laura Vaughan for their advises and tutorials. I would like to acknowledge the help of Pornpimon Piumpongsuk and Prawat Uahpat. for lending their hands on my fieldwork. I am also very much grateful to all my editors: Mr.Tom Mcmanamon, Montira Horayangura, Joel Kennedy, and especially Dr.Theodore Michell who helped perfect my English writing with great patience.

My thesis would never be grounded without valuable information and kind co-operation from several personnels at the Railtrack. I would like to thank Mr.Clive Brandon, Mr.Richard Goldings, Mr.John Fellows, Mr.Chris Paxman, and the station managers at all eleven London's railway termini for their knowledge and insights on the topic.

I derived much of my emotional support from my Thai colleagues who, on the same boat a long way from home, had been through together good and bad times during these long years in London. On the top of the list is Pranom Tansukanun, my birthday mate, who always lended her support in almost everything. I value her true friendship and owe much to her good nature. Special thank goes to Apiradee Kasemsook who never said no to all my peculiar requests at unusual times and to answer all my questions regarding everything. I also very much enjoyed accompanies of Sant Suwatcharapinun and Nuttinee Karnchanaporn, and all of my housemates: Komson Theerapabwongse, Denphong Duangpatra, Makakrai Sutadarat, Chokeanand Bussracumpakorn, Sanaphorn Srisoonthornsrisoon, and Warisa Liangchaikul. Without them, my time in London would be much less enjoyable.

My research would not be possible without a sponsorship from Chulalongkorn University. I would like to specially thank Dr. Vira Sajakul, Dean of the Faculty of Architecture, Dr. Nopanant Tapananont, Head of the Department of Urban and Regional Planning, and Professor Vimolsiddhi Horayangura for their supports and goodwill. For taking good care of everything from administrative to personal issues since the first until the last day in London, I express my sincere gratitude to all personnels at the respectable Office of Educational Affairs, and the Royal Thai Embassy in London.

Most importantly, I dedicate this thesis to my family, especially to my mother and father. I would have never made everything possible without their unconditional love, support and great patience. I deeply value a true love and concern of my husband, Anusorn Paksukcharern, who always inspires me to be a better person. Special regards go to Jikko, Ando, Gaston, and Ngao, all my pets who have been patiently waiting at home and keeping me sane throughout.