

**CLATHRATE FORMATION IN THE NEAR-SURFACE ENVIRONMENT OF TITAN.** A. Dominic Fortes<sup>1</sup> and Ellen R. Stofan<sup>1, 2</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK. ([andrew.fortes@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:andrew.fortes@ucl.ac.uk)) , <sup>2</sup>Proxemy Research, 20528 Farcroft Lane, Laytonsville, MD 20882, USA ([ellen@proxemy.com](mailto:ellen@proxemy.com)).

**Introduction:** In terrestrial near-surface environments, alteration of rocks and sediments is mediated by water, producing hydrous minerals: we need not be concerned about water being consumed in this fashion since the Earth has plenty more in the oceans. On Titan, the liquid mediating alteration of the bedrock and regolith may be a mixture of light hydrocarbons - ethane and methane - the alteration product being the well-known inclusion compound known as a clathrate hydrate. Formation of hydrocarbon clathrates may be a concern for understanding the source of Titan's atmospheric methane, since recent Cassini data of Titan appear to indicate that there is not a vast abundance of liquid hydrocarbons (i.e., oceans) at the surface. Here, we briefly consider the formation not only of hydrocarbon clathrates but of air clathrates in the near surface environment of Titan.

**Hydrocarbon clathrates:** It is apparent from Cassini ISS [1], VIMS [2] and RADAR [3] observations, that there are not widespread bodies (large seas or oceans) of liquid hydrocarbons (ethane/methane) at Titan's surface, as had been expected; there do not even appear to be large crater lakes. However, the existence of liquids contained in crustal pore spaces – a so-called 'methanifer' – remains a viable hypothesis [4]. By analogy with the Earth's moon, we might expect Titan to possess an impact shattered megaregolith extending to depths of a few kilometres, providing ample pore space to conceal large volumes of liquid hydrocarbons. Assuming a typical thermal gradient in the crust of  $\sim 5 \text{ K km}^{-1}$  means that the boiling point of pure methane (111 K) occurs at a depth of just 3 km, and of pure ethane (189 K) at  $\sim 20$  km. Thus liquid hydrocarbons are likely confined to the upper 10-15 km of the crust and are actively circulating. Upwelling of warm ice, or liquid water, would vigorously boil these hydrocarbons, perhaps driving explosive geysering events. Consider, for example, a pluton of liquid water intruded into the upper crust at a depth of 5 km, and buffered at 273 K by the release of latent heat of crystallisation; the thermal gradient in the overlying crust is locally  $\sim 36 \text{ K km}^{-1}$ , meaning that even pure ethane will boil at depths of just 2.6 km. However, ethane-rich liquids in contact with ice at low temperatures and elevated pressures (at 3 km depth, the hydrostatic pressure is  $\sim 400$  bar) will form structure I clathrate hydrates (guest:host ratio = 1:5.75); methane-rich mixtures form structure II clathrates (guest:host ratio = 1:17) [5]. Indeed, ethane-bearing

clathrates are stable at lower pressures and higher temperatures than pure methane clathrates [6]. The formation of these clathrates will not clog up available pore spaces since the clathrates form by diffusion *into* the surrounding ice, but they will prevent liquid hydrocarbons from being able to resupply photolysed methane lost from the upper atmosphere. Formation of extensive clathrate deposits in the crust may also significantly change the thermal gradient in the crust, since clathrate hydrates are known to have thermal conductivities an order of magnitude lower than water ice [7].

If liquid hydrocarbons in the near surface environment of Titan do become locked up in clathrates, then the question remains as to the resupply mechanism for the atmospheric methane inventory. Breakdown of methane clathrates in Titan's deep mantle appears unlikely, as  $\text{CH}_4$  clathrates have been shown recently not to be decomposed by pressure but instead form high-pressure polymorphs (which are, in fact, even more  $\text{CH}_4$ -rich) [8]. Remaining hypotheses include  $\text{CH}_4$  production by methanogens in a subsurface ocean [9], and exogenic delivery by cometary impacts [10]: impactors could also dissociate any local clathrates in the target rocks, but the extensive hydrothermal systems likely to form under the new crater will favour the recrystallisation of clathrate hydrates. It is also a plausible hypothesis, though difficult to test at present, that Titan's atmospheric methane, its apparently young surface, and large orbital eccentricity, are the result of a geologically recent catastrophe.

**Nitrogen clathrates:** Another possible source of active clathrate formation is at the surface. Cassini RADAR images appear to show lobate features which may be cryovolcanic flows [11], consisting perhaps of pure water, or some solution containing ammonia and/or methane. Watery fluids that are erupted onto the surface of Titan will experience rapid cooling at the air-water interface from contact with very cold (90 K) nitrogen gas. If chemical interaction with the atmosphere is ignored, one would expect water ice to crystallise and form a crust. However, at a pressure of 1.5 bar,  $\text{N}_2$ -clathrate could form directly at the air-water interface at temperatures below 160 K [12], or may form by the reaction of  $\text{N}_2$  with a relatively warm ice crust. The latter process occurs by the initial formation of a thin porous clathrate rind, followed by diffusion-limited growth into the ice [13]. Data on the kinetics of these processes do not exist at such low

temperatures and low  $N_2$  fugacities; however one can presume that the reaction, whilst very slow in the laboratory, will proceed quickly on geological timescales. If it is assumed that 10% of Titan's heat flow, taken to be  $\sim 5 \times 10^{11}$  W [14], is used in melting of ammonia hydrates (heat of fusion  $\sim 150$  J  $g^{-1}$ ), and that  $\sim 20\%$  of this makes it to the surface, then the mass of erupted melt is  $6.6 \times 10^4$  kg  $s^{-1}$  ( $2 \times 10^{12}$  kg  $yr^{-1}$ ). Even if only 10% of the erupted liquid is converted to  $N_2$ -clathrate, and the cage-filling efficiency under 1.5 bar of  $N_2$  is just 5%, then the total mass of  $N_2$  clathrated over 4.5 Ga is  $1.3 \times 10^{19}$  kg; *this is equivalent to the quantity of  $N_2$  in the present atmosphere*. Thus, if Titan were indeed being actively resurfaced by cryovolcanism, this mechanism could consume a large quantity of atmospheric nitrogen.

**Summary:** Formation of hydrocarbon and air clathrates on Titan may be an active process which affects models of atmospheric evolution. Presently, little is known about the kinetics of clathrate formation, particularly at low temperatures and gas fugacities; certainly further research in this area is warranted. It is unlikely that the spectral signature of clathrated  $N_2$  or  $CH_4$  molecules will be detectable through an atmosphere containing both species; detection of clathrates may require in situ sampling of the surface.

**References:** [1] McEwen et al., (2004) Eos Trans. AGU, 85(47) Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract P41B-01. [2] Sotin et al., (2004) Eos Trans. AGU, 85(47) Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract P53A-1440. [3] Elachi et al., (2004) Eos Trans. AGU, 85(47) Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract P41B-02. [4] Eluskiwicz and Stevenson (1990) LPSC 21, p323; Kossacki and Lorenz (1996) Planet. Space Sci. 44, 1029-1037. [5] Subramanian et al., (2000) Chem. Eng. Sci. 55, 1981-1999; Klauda and Sandler (2003) Fluid Phase Equil. 206, 117-126. [6] Baker (1972) In, *Natural Gases in Marine Sediments*, pp227-234. Plenum Press, New York. [7] Ross et al., (1981) Nature (London) 290, 322. [8] Hirai et al., (2004) J. Phys. Chem. Solids 65, 1555-1559. [9] Fortes (2000) Icarus 146, 444-452. [10] Kress and McKay (2004) Icarus 168, 475-483. [11] Stofan et al., (2005) LPSC XXXVI. [12] Kuhs et al., (1997) J. Incl. Phenom. Mol. Recog. Chem. 29, 65-77. [13] Staykova et al., (2003) J. Phys. Chem. B 107, 10299-10311. [14] Sohl et al., (1995) Icarus 115, 278-294.