

Hospital mortality league tables

Bobbie Jacobson, Jenny Mindell and Martin McKee

BMJ 2003;326;777-778 doi:10.1136/bmj.326.7393.777

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7393/777

These include:

Data supplement "Extra references"

http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7393/777/DC1

References This article cites 8 articles, 7 of which can be accessed free at:

http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7393/777#BIBL

5 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at: http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7393/777#otherarticles

Rapid responses 2 rapid responses have been posted to this article, which you can access for

free at:

http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7393/777#responses

You can respond to this article at:

http://bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/326/7393/777

Email alerting Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the

Topic collections Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

box at the top right corner of the article

Other management (249 articles)
Other Medical Informatics (283 articles)
Other Public Health (2333 articles)

Other Statistics and Research Methods: descriptions (528 articles)

Notes

service

of advice and support, but effective communication between general practitioners and occupational doctors is unfortunately uncommon.¹⁰ A number of interventions to change workplace factors that have been shown to reduce psychological ill health include counselling, training to manage stress, cognitive behavioural therapy, and workplace support programmes.

The medical profession is under ever increasing public scrutiny, and levels of accountability continue to rise. However, statistics from the UK national workplace bullying advice line show that 20% of cases are from the education sector, 12% from health care, 10% from social services, and 6-8% from the voluntary sector.6 We need to set our own house in order and should all be striving to foster working environments free of bullies, whether in our hospitals, practices, professional organisations, or colleges.

Those of us involved in teaching medical students and registrars should be mindful of the powerful effects of role modelling on impressionable learners. The authors of a survey of medical students in the United States, along with others, believe that the use of aversive methods to make students learn to behave is likely to foster insensitive and punitive behaviours that are passed down from the teacher to learner, a transgenerational legacy that leads to future mistreatment of others by those who themselves have been mistreated. This undesirable result is compounded when these behaviours are adopted and directed towards patients and colleagues.11 If we are to avoid perpetuating the harrowing experiences of bullying recently described in the BMJ by a surgical trainee in the NHS,12 we need to lead by example.

Brian R McAvoy deputy director

National Cancer Control Initiative, 1 Rathdowne Street, Carlton, Victoria 3053, Australia (brian.mcavoy@ncci.org.au)

John Murtagh adjunct professor of general practice

Monash University, Department of General Practice, 867 Centre Road, East Bentleigh, Victoria 3165, Australia (john.murtagh@med.monash.edu.au)

Competing interests: None declared.

- Lyons R, Tivey H, Ball C. Bullying at work: how to tackle it. A guide for MSF representatives and members. London: Manufacturing Science Finance Union, 1995.
- Rayner C, Hoel H. A summary review of the literature relating to
- workplace bullying. *J Appl Soc Psychology* 1997;7:181-91.

 South Australian employees bullied out of work. *Bullies down under.* www-bulliesdownunder.com (accessed 10 Feb 2003).
- Einarssen S, Skogstad Å. Bullying at work: epidemiological findings in public and private organisations. Eur J Work Organ Psychol 1996;5:185-
- Rayner C. The incidence of workplace bullying. J Comm Appl Soc Psychol
- 1997;7:199-208.

 UK National Workplace Bullying Advice Line. Bully OnLine. www.successunlimited.co.uk (accessed 10 Feb 2003).
- Quine L. Workplace bullying in NHS community trust: staff questionnaire study. BMJ 1999;318:228-32.
- Quine L. Workplace bullying in junior doctors: questionnaire survey. BMJ
- Hoel H, Sparks K, Cooper CL. The cost of violence/stress at work and the benefits of a violence/stress-free working environment. Report commis sioned by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) Geneva: ILO, 2002. www.ilo.org/public/english/protection/safework/whpwb/econo/ costs.pdf (accessed 10 Feb 2003).
- 10 Anema JR, van Der Giezen AM, Buijs PC, van Mechelen W. Ineffective disability management by doctors is an obstacle for return-to-work: a cohort study on low back pain patients sicklisted for 3-4 months. *Occup* Environ Med 2002;59:729-33.
- 11 Kassbaum DG, Cutler ER. On the culture of student abuse in medical chool. Acad Med 1998;73:1149-58
- 12 Bullying in medicine. BMJ 2001;323:1314.

Hospital mortality league tables

Question what they tell you—and how useful they are

ast week (6 April) the Sunday Times published the latest annual assessments of hospital performance compiled by the Dr Foster organisation. Dr Foster claims to provide the "only authoritative and independent guides to UK health services in the public and private sectors" and seeks to "empower consumers and their doctors to make the best possible choices." Dr Foster has brought together a wealth of information, including equipment and services available at each hospital and how the hospital performs on waiting lists and complaints, but its hospital mortality figures will arouse the most interest. Many in the NHS and elsewhere will be asking themselves how they should respond to these data.

Four main questions need a response. Firstly, what do the data actually mean? A hospital does much more than treat inpatients. Over the past decade the scope and nature of ambulatory care provided in hospitals has changed enormously, not only in surgery but also in other specialties such as oncology, where increasingly sophisticated treatments involve a complex mix of inpatient and outpatient episodes.^{w1} Moreover, there is good evidence that as the length of the average hospital inpatient episode falls, an increasing proportion of deaths occur outside the hospital.2 Consequently, a measure of outcome looking only at inpatients is a highly selective view of the overall picture.

Secondly, are the results a valid measure of what they purport to be? Compared with previous years^{w2} Dr Foster has done much to enhance the quality of the data used since it published its first guide. It has changed the way it deals with in-hospital transfers and excludes people who are recorded more than once as having died. Of course, this means that rankings this year are not comparable with those in previous years-so all changes in rankings need to be interpreted with caution. But the Dr Foster method cannot avoid the probably insoluble problem arising from the continuing use of finished consultant episodes-the NHS's measure of hospital activity.^{w3} Since a patient's stay in hospital might include several finished consultant episodes these need conversion to hospital spells, and assumptions have to be made about which episode's main diagnosis to use. This method could be improved if supported by an audit of case notes, but this would need to be led by clinicians. In addition, the meaning of a hospital spell for someone suffering multiple complications of a chronic disease, possibly requiring several admissions over the course of a year, remains unclear.

Extra references appear on bmj.com

BMJ 2003;326:777-8

Thirdly, there is the question of primary diagnosis. Diagnostic criteria change, as illustrated by the 58% increase in the incidence of myocardial infarctions as a result of using new, troponin based investigations.3 As the additional patients have worse outcomes, there is an incentive for hospitals not to invest in the new diagnostic protocols.

Fourthly, even if the data were accurate, what value would they add to our understanding of hospital performance? A hospital may have a high inpatient mortality rate because of factors related to circumstances before or during admission, to care provided during the stay itself, or to arrangements for discharge. In Scotland, inpatient mortality rates from myocardial infarction are influenced by the extent to which people die before reaching hospital.4 There are large variations in admission rates for many common conditions, not explained by differences in prevalence of disease, w4 but which seem to reflect differences in admission thresholds, and thus in severity. Ideally, Dr Foster should adjust for severity and comorbidity, perhaps using secondary diagnoses; although the variable quality of recording in the UK makes that impossible at present,⁵ adjustment for deprivation could be made. Hospitals also differ in the availability of places for people to be discharged to, such as nursing homes or hospices. Hospital death rates will be higher where these are less available.ws

Assuming that the figures are an effective measure of overall hospital performance, what action should then follow? Hospitals are complex systems that are part of larger systems and also contain subsystems.^{w6} Where does a suspected failure lie and who should be called to account? Might failures in one system within the hospital be missed because they are compensated for by good performance in another? Then there is the matter of timeliness, with data relating to events up to three years previously. Finally, given the wide scope of the government's agenda for quality in the NHS, wi what value does publication of these measures in a newspaper add?

Since the key to improving performance lies in partnership between those who provide and monitor the services and those who use them, a start might be made in future of providing more than four working days for trusts to check mortality data before publication. This would avoid the anger the first Dr Foster report generated when some trusts found that their data were incorrect. There is no substitute, however, for involvement of clinicians and users in discussions of how their data are to be used and presented. Without this, the key to effective further action will be lost once the oxygen of publicity is cut off. The London Health Observatory has provided a briefing and commentary on the new Dr Foster's Guide to help trusts to interpret their own findings and decide whether further investigation is warranted.

Maybe we should not worry as the cost of the activity is borne by Dr Foster and the Sunday Times? However the cost of dealing with questions arising from their publications is considerable. But will publication lead to genuine attempts to identify examples of poor practice and to address them? Evidence from the United States is not encouraging. In New York, after such information was made available, some surgeons with very low operating volumes and poor outcomes stopped operating, and death rates after cardiac surgery fell.⁷ But rates fell equally rapidly in states such as Massachusetts that did not publish death rates.8

What is clear is that publication leads to unintended changes in behaviour: cardiac surgeons were reported to be less willing to operate on high risk cases, a finding supported by cardiologists, who had more difficulty getting such patients treated.9 Publication also led to changes in data recording: for example, almost threefold increases in recorded rates of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and over fourfold rises in congestive heart failure served to reduce severity adjusted mortality rates.¹⁰ Apparent improvements in recorded performance may be equally illusory in Britain-as shown by the recent frenetic activity to meet targets for waits in emergency departments; these lasted only for the week in which activity was recorded.w

Bobbie Jacobson director

(bobbie.jacobson@lho.org.uk)

Jenny Mindell deputy director

London Health Observatory, London W1G 0AN (jenny.mindell@lho.org.uk)

Martin McKee professor of European public health

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT (martin.mckee@lshtm.ac.uk)

Competing interest: MM has undertaken research using NHS data for many years with the goal of finding a valid and robust way to assess performance. He has yet to succeed. He has also collaborated as a researcher with CHKS, a company undertaking benchmarking work, but has never derived financial gain from this relationship. The London Health Observatory receives core funding from the Department of Health and London's primary care trusts and has received specific funding from London's mental health trusts to develop a model for benchmarking indicators of mental health. It is also involved in a number of pieces of work developing and interpreting indicators for primary care trusts and local strategic partnerships.

- Dr Foster Ltd. www.drfoster.co.uk (accessed 2 April 2003). Goldacre MJ, Griffith M, Gill L, Mackintosh A. In-hospital deaths as fraction of all deaths within 30 days of hospital admission for surgery: analysis of routine statistics. *BMJ* 2002;324:1069-70.
- Pell JP, Simpson E, Rodger JC, Finlayson A, Clark D, Anderson J, et al. Impact of changing diagnostic criteria on incidence, management, and outcome of acute myocardial infarction: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2003:326:134-5.
- Leyland AH, Boddy FA. League tables and acute myocardial infarction. Lancet 1998:351:555-8.
- McKee M, Coles J, James P. "Failure to rescue" as a measure of quality of hospital care: the limitations of secondary diagnosis coding in English hospital data. *J Public Health Med* 1999;21:453-8.
- Mindell J. Dr Foster Sunday Times "Good Hospital Guide": a briefing for the NHS by the London Health Observatory. http://www.lho.org.uk/hil/pcts/ drfoster (accessed 4 April 2003). Hannan EL, Kilburn H, Racz M, Shields E, Chassin MR. Improving the
- outcomes of coronary artery bypass surgery in New York State. JAMA 1994;271:761-6.
- Ghali WA, Ash AS, Hall RE, Moskowitz MA. Statewide quality improvement initiatives and mortality after cardiac surgery. JAMA 1997;277:379-82.
- 9 Schneider EC, Epstein AM. Influence of cardiac-surgery performance reports on referral practices and access to care: a survey of cardiovascular specialists. N Engl J Med 1996;335:251-6.
 10 Green J, Wintfeld N. Report cards on cardiac surgeons: assessing New York State's approach. N Engl J Med 1995;332:1229-32.