The chemistry and saturation states of subsurface fluids during the in situ mineralisation of CO_2 and H_2S at the CarbFix site in SW-Iceland

Sandra Ó. Snæbjörnsdóttir

Institute of Earth Sciences

University of Iceland

Askja

Sturlugata 7

101 Reykjavík, Iceland

tel. 354-525-5414

fax. 354-525-4499

sos22@hi.is

The chemistry and saturation states of subsurface

fluids during the in situ mineralisation of CO2 and

H₂S at the CarbFix site in SW-Iceland

5 Sandra Ó. Snæbjörnsdóttir¹, Eric H. Oelkers^{1,2,3}, Kiflom Mesfin¹, Edda Sif Aradóttir⁴, Knud

- 6 Dideriksen⁵, Ingvi Gunnarsson⁴, Einar Gunnlaugsson⁴, Juerg M. Matter^{6,7}, Martin Stute⁷,
- 7 Sigurdur R. Gislason¹

8

1

2

3 4

- 9 ¹Institute of Earth Science, University of Iceland, Iceland
- 10 ²CNRS/UMR 5563, Université Paul Sabatier, France
- 11 ³Earth Science, University College London, UK
- 12 ⁴Reykjavik Energy, Iceland
- ⁵Nano-Science Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁶Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, UK
- ⁷Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, USA

16

17

Abstract

- 18 In situ carbonation of basaltic rocks could provide a long-term carbon storage solution, which is
- 19 essential for the success and public acceptance of carbon storage. To demonstrate the viability of
- 20 this carbon storage solution, 175 tonnes of pure CO₂ and 73 tonnes of a 75% CO₂-24% H₂S-1%
- 21 H₂-gas mixture were sequentially injected into basaltic rocks at the CarbFix site at Hellisheidi,
- 22 SW-Iceland from January to August 2102. This paper reports the chemistry and saturation states
- 23 with respect to potential secondary minerals of sub-surface fluids sampled prior to, during, and
- 24 after the injections. All gases were dissolved in water during their injection into permeable
- basalts located at 500-800 m depth with temperatures ranging from 20 to 50°C. A pH decrease
- and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) increase was observed in the first monitoring well, HN-04,
- about two weeks after each injection began. At storage reservoir target depth, this diverted
- 28 monitoring well is located ~125 m downstream from the injection well. A significant increase in
- 29 H₂S concentration, however, was not observed after the second injection. Sampled fluids from
- 30 the HN-04 well show a rapid increase in Ca, Mg, and Fe concentration during the injections with

a gradual decline in the following months. Calculations indicate that the sampled fluids are saturated with respect to siderite about four weeks after the injections began, and these fluids attained calcite saturation about three months after each injection. Pyrite is supersaturated prior to and during the mixed gas injection and in the following months. In July 2013, the HN-04 fluid sampling pump broke down due to calcite precipitation, verifying the carbonation of the injected CO₂. Mass balance calculations, based on the recovery of non-reactive tracers co-injected into the subsurface together with the acid-gases, confirm that more than 95% of the CO₂ injected into the subsurface was mineralised within a year, and essentially all of the injected H₂S was mineralised within four months of its injection. These results demonstrate the viability of the *in situ* mineralisation of these gases in basaltic rocks as a long-term and safe storage solution for CO₂ and H₂S.

Introduction

Attenuating the current increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration is one of the greatest challenges of this century (e.g. Broecker, 2007; Broecker and Kunzig, 2008; Global CCS Institute, 2015; Hoffert et al., 2002; International Energy Agency, 2015; IPCC, 2005, 2014; Lackner, 2003; Oelkers and Schott, 2005; Oelkers and Cole, 2008; Pacala and Socolow, 2004). One potential solution to this challenge is carbon capture and storage (CCS). A critical step in CCS is identifying locations and methods for secure subsurface CO₂ storage.

This paper follows two previous reports on the CarbFix injection, 1) a detailed description of the injection method and data from the injection well was presented by Sigfússon et al. (2015) and 2) the monitoring of tracers, carbon and pH in the first monitoring well downstream from the injection well was reported by Matter et al. (2016). The CarbFix project is focussed on CO₂ and H₂S injected into basaltic rocks. Carbon storage in basaltic rocks offers several advantages, due to their ability to promote permanent CO₂ storage by mineralisation and due to their large potential storage volume (Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; Goldberg and Slagle, 2009; Goldberg et al., 2010; McGrail et al., 2006; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2014). As such, a large number of past studies have focussed on developing the technology to safely store CO₂ in basaltic rocks (Assayag et al., 2009; Bacon et al., 2014; Flaathen et al., 2009; Galeczka et al., 2014; Goldberg et al., 2013; Goldberg et al., 2008; Gudbrandsson et al., 2011; Gysi and Stefánsson, 2012a; Matter et al., 2007; McGrail et

al., 2012; McGrail et al., 2006; McGrail et al., 2011; Rogers et al., 2006; Rosenbauer et al., 2012; Sigfusson et al., 2015; Stockmann et al., 2011; Van Pham et al., 2012). Basaltic rocks are rich in divalent cations such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Fe²⁺. Acidic gas-charged water accelerates the release of these metals, promoting the formation of carbonate minerals such as calcite, magnesite, and siderite (Gislason et al., 2014; Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; Olsson et al., 2014; Gislason et al., 66 2010; Gunnarsson et al., 2011; Oelkers et al., 2008; Stefánsson et al., 2011). About 10% of the 67 continents and most of the oceanic floor are comprised of basaltic rocks, including the mid-oceanic 68 ridges. The largest basaltic storage potential lies offshore; theoretically all CO₂ from the burning of fossil fuel carbon (~5000 GtC; Archer, 2005) could be stored by mineral carbonation along the mid-ocean ridges (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2014). The flanks of the ridges contain highly fractured 71 and permeable basaltic layers (Fisher, 1998) with a pervasive circulation of about 1,000 Gt 72 seawater/yr (Harris and Chapman, 2004). The potential for using these systems for carbon storage is confirmed by the results of Wolff-Boenisch et al. (2011), who demonstrated the rapid 75 dissolution basaltic rocks in CO₂ charged seawater.

76

77

78

79

80

81

About 90% of Icelandic bedrock is basaltic (Hjartarson and Sæmundsson, 2014). In total, Iceland produced 1.6 MtCO₂ by industrial processes in 2012 and about 0.2 MtCO₂ by geothermal energy production (Wöll et al., 2014). Iceland is the largest (103,000 km²) part of the mid-ocean ridge systems exposed above sea level. Iceland, therefore, provides an excellent opportunity to explore the feasibility of mineral storage of CO₂ and gas mixtures in basaltic rocks at the oceanic ridges since drilling and detailed monitoring of injected gas and water by reactive and non-reactive tracers is much less costly onshore than offshore.

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

The potential advantages in storing carbon by the *in situ* carbonation of Icelandic basalts motivated creation of the CarbFix project, which was designed to inject CO₂ into subsurface adjacent to the Hellisheidi geothermal power plant. Extensive research was carried out prior to the injection of acid gases at the CarbFix site. Gislason et al. (2010) described the thermodynamics and kinetic basis for carbon storage at this site. Alfredsson et al., (2013) characterised the geology, and rock and water chemistry of the CarbFix site. Wiese et al. (2008) determined the amount and spatial distribution of naturally mineralised CO₂ within the Icelandic geothermal systems. The dissolution and precipitation rates of the subsurface rocks at the site were investigated in mixed

flow reactors (e.g. Gudbrandsson et al., 2011; Gysi and Stefánsson, 2012a; Stockmann et al., 2013), in pressurised plug flow experiments (e.g. Galeczka et al., 2014), by hydrological modelling (Khalilabad et al., 2008), and using reactive transport modelling (Aradóttir et al., 2012).

The CarbFix project is unique in that it injects CO₂ into basalts as a dissolved aqueous phase. In contrast, most subsurface carbon storage projects have injected CO₂ as a separate phase into large sedimentary basins; this method requires high integrity cap-rocks to keep the injected buoyant gas in the subsurface (Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; Rutqvist et al., 2007). However, there are numerous advantages of injecting CO₂ into the subsurface within an aqueous phase. First, many of the risks associated with buoyancy can be mitigated by dissolving the gases into water during their injection (Gislason et al., 2010; Sigfusson et al., 2015). Once dissolved, the injected gases are no longer buoyant, making it possible to inject CO₂ into fractured rocks, such as basalts along the ocean ridges and on the continents. Furthermore, this injection method may also make it possible to simultaneously store a number of acid gases including SO₂ and H₂S as sulphide minerals such as pyrite and pyrrhotite, lowering substantially gas capture/storage costs (Gislason et al., 2014; Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; WorleyParsons and Schlumberger, 2011).

Large SO₂ emissions are associated with fossil fuel power production and heavy industry such as metal smelters (Smith et al., 2011). These emissions peaked in 1970-1980 at about 80 Mt per year in the USA and Europe leading to acid rain and Al mobilisation, degrading aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Gensemer and Playle, 1999; Gislason and Torssander, 2006). Due to intervening regulations, these emissions have been in decline, and were less than 11 Mt in 2011 (European Environment Agency, 2014; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2015) due, in large part due to SO₂ capture. This SO₂ capture could potentially be combined with CO₂ capture in water, and this water-soluble gas mixture injected into reactive rocks for mineral storage.

Emissions of H₂S are an inevitable consequence of geothermal energy exploitation, pulp and paper production and the use of fossil fuels (e.g.World Health Organization, 2000). Regulations for H₂S emissions have obliged Icelandic geothermal energy producers to reduce their emissions of this gas (Aradóttir et al., 2015; Gunnarsson et al., 2011). One mitigation option is to

capture H₂S and inject it into the subsurface. This approach has been adopted by an ongoing carbon storage project at Weyburn Canada in connection with enhanced oil recovery, which has been co-injecting supercritical CO₂ and H₂S into subsurface sedimentary rocks (Bachu and Gunter, 2005). The behaviour of co-injecting H₂S has not been studied to the same extent as injection of pure CO₂. Some work has, however, been done in terms of geochemical modelling and laboratory experiments (e.g. Bacon et al., 2014; Gudbrandsson and Stefánsson, 2014; Gunnarsson et al., 2011; Stefánsson et al., 2011; Knauss et al., 2005). One goal of the CarbFix project is to assess the feasibility of co-injecting dissolved H₂S and CO₂ into basalts which can provide a cost effective storage solution for both of these gases.

This paper reports on our further efforts to develop the technology to store CO₂ through the *in situ* carbonation of basaltic rocks at the CarbFix storage site in southwest Iceland. Two field injections were carried out at this storage site. In January to March 2012, 175 tonnes of pure CO₂ were injected into the CarbFix site. In June to August 2012, 73 tonnes of a gas mixture from the Hellisheidi geothermal power plant were injected, consisting of 75 mol% CO₂, 24 mol% H₂S and 1 mol% H₂. In each case, the gases were dissolved into formation water during their injection, releasing a single aqueous fluid into the storage formation. Here we report the compositions and saturation states of fluid samples collected from a diverted monitoring well located 125 m in the down-flow direction of the injection well at target storage reservoir depth, before, during, and after the CO₂ and CO₂-H₂S injections, and use these results to better understand the fate of these injected gases in the subsurface.

Methods

Description of the CarbFix site

The CarbFix injection site is located in SW-Iceland, about 30 km east of Reykjavík. The site is ~260 m above sea level and located 3 km SW of the Hellisheidi geothermal power plant (Fig. 1), which is owned and operated by Reykjavík Energy. During 2015, the power plant generated 303 MW of electricity and 133 MW of thermal energy using hot water and steam from a high temperature reservoir located at 800-3000 m depth E and NE of the power plant. The

power plant annually produces 40,000 tonnes CO₂ and 12,000 tonnes H₂S. These gases are of magmatic origin produced as a by-product of the geothermal energy production.

Acidic gases injected at the CarbFix site were dissolved into water collected from HN-01, a well located about 1 km west of the 2001 m deep HN-02 injection well (Fig. 1). Well HN-01 is 1306 m deep; water collected from this well was transported via pipeline to HN-02 where the HN-01 water was injected through a pipe as described in detail by Sigfusson et al. (2015). The injected gas was released into the down flowing water via a sparger at a depth of 340 m. The gas dissolved in the water as it was carried down a mixing pipe to a depth of 540 m, where the hydrostatic pressure is above 40 bars, ensuring complete dissolution of the CO₂ before it was released into the subsurface rocks (Aradóttir et al., 2012; Gislason et al., 2010; Sigfusson et al., 2015).

The geology of the CarbFix site was described in detail by Alfredsson et al. (2013). The subsurface rocks at the injection site are primarily olivine tholeiite basalts, consisting of post-glacial lava flows and glassy hyaloclastite formations, formed beneath the ice-sheet during glaciations (Fig. 1). The bedrock down to about 200-300 m depth consists of relatively unaltered olivine tholeiite lava flows that host an oxygen-rich groundwater system with a static water table at about 100 m depth. Below the lava flows lies a 200 m thick, slightly altered hyaloclastite that separates the near surface water system from a deeper system, which is oxygen depleted. The site follows an approximately linear temperature gradient of 80°C/km. The target injection formation consists of a series of altered lava flows from about 400 m to 800 m depth overlain by the low permeability hyaloclastites (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Helgadóttir, 2011). The lateral and vertical intrinsic permeabilities of the storage formation were estimated to be 300 and 1700 x 10⁻¹⁵ m², respectively, having an effective matrix porosity of 8.5% and a 25 m/year estimated regional groundwater flow velocity (Aradóttir et al., 2012). The most abundant alteration minerals from 200 m to 1000 m depth are smectites, calcite, and Ca- and Na-rich zeolites (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Helgadóttir, 2011).

The injection site is equipped with eight monitoring wells ranging from 50 to 1300 m depth. Six of the eight wells are located downstream from the HN-02 injection well. Four of the

wells penetrate the groundwater system in the topmost 200-300 m and four are drilled down through the target storage formation. These deeper wells are cased down to 400 m depth and serve as monitoring wells of the deeper system. All monitoring wells were sampled during the experiment, but evidence of tracers from the injections has only been found, to date, in samples collected from well HN-04, which is the closest to the injection well as shown in Figure 1. Well HN-04 is located about 10 m west of HN-02 at the surface, but it is diverted in the subsurface such that the distance between the wells is 125 m at 520 m depth, where the target carbon storage aquifer is located (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Aradóttir et al., 2012). Field injections at the CarbFix site were performed from 2008 to 2012. Tracer tests were conducted both under natural and forced flow conditions from 2008 to 2011 to define the system hydrology and for scaling reactive transport models (Aradóttir et al., 2012; Gislason et al., 2010; Khalilabad et al., 2008; Matter et al., 2011).

Acid Gas Injections at the CarbFix site

The injection of acid gases at the CarbFix site was performed in two phases during 2012 (Table 1):

Phase I began in late January 2012 with the injection of 175 tonnes of pure CO₂. The CO₂ was stored in a 30 m³ reservoir tank pressurised at 26-28 bars and co-injected with water collected from well HN-01 into well HN-02, as described by Sigfusson et al. (2015). The predicted *in situ* pH and DIC concentrations of the injected fluid during Phase I were 3.85 and 0.823 mol kg⁻¹ respectively, based on the mass flow rates of water and gas into the injection well, chemical speciation calculations (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013), and direct measurement (Sigfusson et al., 2015). The chemical tracers listed in Table 1 were co-dissolved into the injected water as described by Sigfusson et al. (2015) to aid in determining the fate of the dissolved CO₂ as described by Matter et al. (2016). The Phase I injection ran continuously until it was terminated on the 9th of March 2012.

Phase II began in mid-June 2012 with the injection of 73 tonnes of a gas mixture containing 75 mol% CO₂, 24 mol% H₂S, and 1 mol% H₂ originating from the Hellisheidi power plant. The gas mixture was obtained by diverting power plant emissions to a gas abatement plant, where it was

separated into water soluble gases (CO₂, H₂S), and less soluble gases (N₂, CH₄, H₂, Ar). The power plant emission gas contained about 20% H₂; a small fraction of this dissolves in the water along with the CO₂ and H₂S according to the solubility and partial pressure of the gases. Subsequently the soluble gas mixture was co-injected into the surface with HN-01 water. The predicted *in situ* pH, DIC, H₂S, and H₂ concentrations of the injected water during the Phase II, based on the mass flow rates of water and gas into the injection well and chemical speciation calculations performed using PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) were 4.03, 0.43 mol kg⁻¹, 0.14 mol kg⁻¹ and less than 0.01 mol kg⁻¹, respectively. The chemical tracers listed in Table 1 were co-dissolved into the injected water as for the pure CO₂ injection to monitor subsurface reactivity. The gas mixture injection rate was less stable than that of the pure CO₂ injection and was stopped several times due to injection problems. The injection was terminated on the 1st of August 2012.

Analytical methods

Sampling of the fluids from the HN-04 first monitoring well began in 2008. Water samples for chemical analysis were collected several times prior to the Phase I injection in January 2012 (Alfredsson et al., 2013). During the injections and until mid-September 2012 this well was sampled twice weekly. Weekly sampling continued until mid-July 2013 with few exceptions.

Water was pumped from the monitoring well at the rate of 3.5 m³/h throughout this study, to maintain a constant head from the injection to the monitoring well. The pump used was a 163 cm long, submersible Grundfos model SP3A-60 made of stainless steel, located at 303 m depth and ~200 m below the water table. This pump was connected to a 53 mm diameter steel pipe to the surface where the effluent was deposed via a service pipe extending east of the injection site and eventually re-injected into a deep geothermal system.

Fluid samples were collected via a 10 m long, 10 mm diameter stainless steel pipe connected to the 53 mm diameter monitoring well lining pipe extending down to the pump. The 10 mm sample pipe was connected directly to a sampling valve inside an on-site field laboratory. After flushing the sampling pipe, the sampled waters were immediately filtered through 0.2 µm

Millipore cellulose acetate membranes using silicon tubing and a 140 mm Sartorius® polypropylene filter holder. All air in the filtration system was expelled through a valve prior to sampling and at least 3 L of water was pumped through the system before the samples were collected in distinct bottles depending on the subsequent chemical analysis. Amber glass bottles were used to collect samples for pH and alkalinity. Acid washed high density polyethylene bottles were used to collect samples for cations and trace metals. These samples were acidified using Suprapur® HNO₃, 1% (v/v). Acid washed low density polypropylene bottles were used to collect samples for Fe-species measurement. These samples were acidified with Suprapur® HCl, 1% (v/v) immediately after collection. Low density polypropylene bottles were used for collecting samples for anion concentration measurements. Acid washed polycarbonate bottles were used to collect samples for dissolved organic carbon (DOC). These samples were acidified with 1.2 M concentrated HCl 2% (v/v). All sample bottles were rinsed three times by half filling them with the filtrated water and then emptying them prior to sample collection.

Temperature and conductivity were measured at the sampling site using a Eutech Instruments Oakalon 2-cell Conductivity meter. The *in situ* temperature of the sampled fluid was determined using down-hole temperature logging at the depth of the main feed-point of well HN-04, at about 420 m depth (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Thorarinsson et al., 2006). The pH was determined on site with a Eutech InstrumentsTM CyberScan pH 110 electrode and again in the laboratory a few hours after sampling with a Cole Parmer combined glass electrode together with an Orion pH meter. The uncertainty of the analyses is estimated to be ±0.02. The pH was then recalculated at *in situ* conditions using PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013). Alkalinity was measured in the laboratory by alkalinity titration using the Gran function to determine the end point of the titration (Stumm and Morgan, 1996). Total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) was calculated with PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) using measured pH, alkalinity, temperature and total dissolved elements concentrations. The uncertainties of the DIC calculations are estimated to be within 10%.

Dissolved oxygen was fixed on site and later determined by Winkler titration. This method has a precision of 1 μ mol/L O₂ (0.03 ppm) for the 50 ml sample bottles, but there is a risk of atmospheric contamination for samples containing no or little oxygen. Such is the case for

the samples collected from HN-04, which are oxygen depleted. The O_2 concentrations of the sampled fluids ranged from 2-24 μ mol/L. The difference between the O_2 concentration in the samples and the reagents was determined using the method described by Arnórsson (2000). The results show that the oxygen measured in the samples is mostly derived from the reagents. Some oxygen contamination during sampling was also inevitable.

283

284

285

286

278

279

280

281

282

Dissolved hydrogen sulphide was measured by titration on site using mercury and dithizone as an indicator (Arnórsson et al., 2000). The sensitivity of this method is about 0.29 µmol/L H₂S (about 0.01 ppm) when using a 50 ml sample aliquot.

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

The major elements Si, Ca, K, Mg, Na, and S and the trace metals Fe and Al were analysed using a Spectro Ciros Vision Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES) using an in-house multi-elements standard checked against the SPEX Certified Reference standard at the University of Iceland. The samples were analysed again using a Agilent 725 ICP-OES for major elements and an ELEMENT XR Inductively Coupled Plasma Sector Field Mass Spectrometer (ICP-SFMS) from ThermoScientific for the trace elements Fe and Al at ALS Scandinavia, Luleå, Sweden. Analytical measurements for the major elements had an inter-laboratory reproducibility within 12%. The average difference between corresponding concentration measurements is 3.7% with a standard deviation of 2.3%. Analytical measurements for the trace elements Fe and Al had an inter-laboratory reproducibility within 19%. The average difference in corresponding Fe and Al concentration measurements was 4.9%. Dissolved F⁻, Cl⁻, and SO₄⁻² concentrations were quantified using a DIONEX, ICS-2000 Ion Chromatograph. The addition of zinc-acetate to the SO₄ sample was not needed for its analysis since the H₂S concentrations were small compared to the SO₄ concentrations as shown below. Concentrations of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ were measured using a DIONEX IC-3000 Ion Chromatograph. Due to ambiguities in the Fe³⁺ measurements, the Fe²⁺ measurements were used along with the Fe_{total} concentrations measured by ICP-SFMS at ALS Scandinavia to calculate Fe³⁺ concentrations. Analysis of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was carried out at Umeå Marine Science Center in Umeå, Sweden using a Shimadzu TOC-VcPH total organic carbon analyser.

The precipitates collected from the pump recovered from the HN-04 monitoring well were analysed by X-ray Powder Diffraction (XRD) at ISOR, Iceland for phase identification. The samples were measured using a Bruker AXS D8 Focus X-ray diffractometer with Cu kα radiation at 1.54Å wavelength, set at 40 kV and 40 mA using 1° divergence and receiving slits. The chemical composition of the precipitates was also analysed by ALS, Scandinavia. The precipitates were digested in HNO₃ and HCl with a trace of HF in a microwave oven. The resulting fluids were then analysed using both ICP-OES and High Resolution Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS). Detection limits were in the range of 0.01 ppm for trace elements to single ppm for major elements, and uncertainties for concentrations 10 times these detection limits are within 10% of the reported value.

Precipitates from samples collected from an air-lift of the HN-02 injection well in June 2013, were analysed for phase identification by XRD at the University of Copenhagen, Denmark with a Bruker D8 Discover equipped with a Co tube. 1L slurries collected from the air-lift were sealed immediately after sampling, transported to Denmark, where they were kept in an anaerobic chamber prior to analysis to minimise oxidation. Within the chamber, the samples were centrifuged, dried, crushed and mounted on low-background sample holders that were then covered with X-ray transparent cups to minimise oxidation during measurements.

Mass balance calculations

The fate of injected gases in this study are evaluated with the aid of mass balance calculations based on the injected non-reactive tracers SF_6 and SF_5CF_3 (Assayag et al., 2009; Matter et al., 2007; Matter et al., 2016). All collected water samples consist of a mixture from three sources; the original groundwater, that injected during Phase 1 and that injected during Phase 2. In the absence of reactions that remove or add material to the mixed fluid, mass balance requires that the concentration of chemical component i in the monitoring well samples (c_i) to be

$$c_i = c_{i,GW} X_{GW} + c_{i,1} X_{i,1} + c_{i,2} X_2 \tag{1}$$

where $c_{i,GW}$, $c_{i,I}$, and $c_{i,2}$ refers to the concentration of the *i*th chemical component in the original groundwater, the Phase 1 injection and the Phase 2 injection, respectively, whereas and X_{GW} , X_{I} , and X_{2} designate the fraction of the these three fluid sources in each monitoring sample.

The fraction of each water source in each monitoring sample was determined from the measured concentrations of the two non-reactive tracers, SF₆ and SF₅CF₃ together with the requirement that

$$X_{GW} + X_1 + X_2 = 1 (2)$$

Comparison of values based on the assumption of non-reactive mixing, obtained from Eqns. (1) and (2), with those measured in the monitoring wells provides an estimate of the percentage of injected gases fixed by chemical reactions, and the mass of elements added or removed from the fluid by mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions due to the injections. The background concentration of SF₆ in Eqn. 1 ($c_{i,GW}$) was not constant with time since SF₆ had been used in previous hydrological tests. This background concentration was corrected by taking account of the sample sodium fluorescein tracer concentrations; this tracer was co-injected with the SF₆ in the previous tests as described by Matter et al. (2016).

Sample 12KGM06 (Table 2) of the injected water from well HN-01 was used to constrain the elemental concentrations of the injected fluid, apart from the elements C and S, which were determined by accounting for the concentration of CO₂ and H₂S added to these injected fluids. Sample 12KGM01 (Table A1 in the electronic supplements) collected from well HN-04 before injection was used for representing the ambient groundwater concentrations. Mass balance calculations were performed for the major elements Ca, Mg, Si, Na, K, and Cl, and the trace elements Fe and Al.

Geochemical modelling

Modelling of the water chemistry, including the calculation of percent error in charge balance, the *in situ* saturation state of the water with respect to mineral and gas phases, and the effect of CO₂ and CO₂-H₂S-H₂ gas injection on the aqueous chemistry of the subsurface fluids

was performed using PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013). In no case did the charge imbalance exceed 6.1%. The standard PHREEOC database was used in all calculations after including revised thermodynamic data on secondary minerals taken from Gysi and Stefánsson (2011), and pyrrotite and greigite taken from the MINTEQ and the llnl databases, respectively, as described in Alfredsson et al. (2013). Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) was calculated for each water sample using measured alkalinity, pH and temperature defined at 35°C at the in situ conditions. All saturation indices were calculated assuming the oxygen fugacity was controlled by equilibrium with the H₂S/SO₄² as a redox couple. For samples having no measured excess H₂S, the H₂S concentration was assumed to be equal to the detection limit of the H₂S titration, as geothermal waters always contain a small fraction of H₂S although below the detection limit.

Results

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

The compositions of all sampled fluids are shown in Figures 2, 3, and 8, Table 2 and Table A1 in the electronic supplements. An increase in the non-reactive sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆) tracer, indicating the initial arrival of the migrating dissolved CO₂ plume in the HN-04 monitoring well, occurred about two weeks after the start of the Phase I injection (Fig. 2a). The concentration of this tracer increased until a maximum 56 days after the Phase 1 injection started (Matter et al., 2016). The SF₆ tracer concentration again increased about 100 days after the injection started, reaching an overall maximum about 13 months after Phase I was started (see Fig 2a; Matter et al., 2016). This is the same pattern observed during the previous tracer test (Khalilabad et al., 2008), indicating that the storage formation consists of relatively homogenous porous media intersected by a low volume and fast flow path that channels about 3% of the tracer flow between wells HN-02 and HN-04. The same pattern was observed for Phase II, with the first arrival of the non-reactive trifluoromethyl sulphur pentafluoride (SF₅CF₃) tracer observed about two weeks after the start of the mixed-gas injection (Fig. 2a), with an initial smaller maximum about 60 days after the injection began (Matter et al., 2016). A further increase in SF₅CF₃ was noted about 150 days after Phase II injection began (Fig. 2a), consistent with the behaviour of SF₆ (Matter et al., 2016). The second SF₅CF₃ concentration maximum was not observed due to a breakdown of the submersible pump in the monitoring well HN-04, resulting in a three month gap in the monitoring data as described below.

Fluid pH, carbon, and sulphur

Prior to the injections, the pH of the HN-04 monitoring well samples was 9.5-9.6, the DIC was 1.3-1.4 mmol/L, and the total S concentration was 0.09-0.11 mmol/L (see Fig. 2b-c, Table A1 in the electronic supplements, and Alfredsson et al. (2013)). The measured pH and DIC before, during and after the two injection phases are shown in Figure 2b. The pH of the sampled fluids is extremely sensitive to the injection of dissolved gases. The pH *in situ* (35°C) decreases from 9.6 prior to each injection to approximately 7 near the end the injection then subsequently recovers to a value higher than 9. The decrease starts about two weeks after the start of Phase I, contemporary with the first arrival of the non-reactive tracer. The lowest pH following Phase I was 6.6 and occurred at the same time as the highest DIC value of 4.4 mmol/L, about 50 days after the Phase I injection was started, but ten days before the first reactive tracer maximum. Subsequently, both DIC and pH trended back towards their initial values (Fig. 2b). A similar pattern was observed during Phase II; the pH began to drop about two weeks after the injection was started, with the lowest pH of 7.1 measured at the same time as the highest DIC value of 3.3 mmol/L, about 60 days after the Phase II injection was started and concurrent the first SF₅CF₃ tracer maximum (see Fig 2).

No corresponding increase in DIC was observed during the second and larger SF₆ tracer maximum. This suggests significant mineral storage of the injected carbon; the difference between measured and calculated DIC indicate that >95% of the injected CO₂ was mineralised in less than two years, as previously reported by Matter et al. (2016). The second and larger SF₅CF₃ tracer maximum was not observed due to a pump failure in the HN-04 monitoring well, but an increase was noted in this concentration approximately one year after the start of the Phase II injection, consistent with the increase during the second breakthrough of SF₆. No corresponding increase in DIC was observed. Analysis of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) show continuous decrease in DOC concentrations from the start of Phase I, and throughout the monitoring period, except for a small increase shortly after the termination of the Phase II, from August to September 2012 (Table A1 in the electronic supplements). The measured DIC concentration is more than two orders of magnitude greater than the measured DOC concentration throughout most of the monitoring period after the Phase I injection (Fig. 2b and Table A1 in the electronic supplements).

The measured sulphur concentrations (SO_4^{-2} , H_2S , and S total) from before, during and after the Phase II injection are shown in Figure 2c and Table A1 in the electronic supplements. The concentrations are close to constant throughout this two year study. The average SO_4^{-2} concentration measured by IC-2000 during the period was 0.10 ± 0.01 mmol/L, with a standard deviation of 0.005. The average total S concentration measured by ICP-OES was 0.10 ± 0.02 mmol/L, with standard deviation of 0.003. The H_2S concentrations were, in most cases, close to the 0.3 μ mol/L detection limit. The highest H_2S concentration, 1.5 μ mol/L, was measured during the Phase II injection. The H_2S sulphur species always comprised less than 1.5% of the total dissolved S measured by the ICP-OES. This suggests an even more rapid mineralisation of the injected H_2S than the injected CO_2 ; no significant increase in sulphur concentrations was noted during this field injection experiment, indicating that all of the injected sulphur was mineralised before the first reactive tracer maximum of the SF_5CF_3 was observed in the monitoring well HN_2 04, or within 60 days of the start of the injection.

Major and trace elements

The release of the divalent cations Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Fe²⁺ from the host basalt is essential for the mineralisation of the injected gases. The chemical compositions of the HN-04 monitoring samples demonstrate the rapid increase in Ca, Mg, and Fe concentration during the two injection phases with a gradual decline in the following weeks and months (see Fig. 3a-c). The increases in these concentrations were first observed concurrently with the first appearance of the non-reactive tracers. The Fe²⁺ was not detected in any sample after early April 2013, or about 6 weeks after the major part of the injected Phase 1 fluid arrived in well HN-04 and the Fe²⁺ concentrations were close to the detection limit for the two months following the start of the gas mixture injection.

In contrast, dissolved Si concentrations were close to constant throughout the monitoring period (Fig. 3d). An increase in Na concentration was most prominent at the beginning of the Phase I injection when its concentration increased from 2.1-2.2 mmol/L to about 2.3-2.4 mmol/L (Fig. 3e). Another increase was observed during the Phase II injection to about 2.5 mmol/L. The Na-concentration at the end of the monitoring period was about 2.6-2.7 mmol/L. A similar trend

is evident for K, but the increase in its concentration was somewhat lower than that of Na (Fig. 3f). The only major difference between the responses of these concentrations to the dissolved gas injections was the presence of a small concentration peak in K during October 2012. The origin of this peak in unclear. The Al concentrations were strongly pH dependent, consistent with its solubility dependence on pH from neutral to basic conditions (e.g. Drever, 1982). As such, a strong correlation was observed between Al concentrations and pH before, during, and after the injections (Fig. 3g). The Cl concentrations were generally constant throughout the monitoring period with a concentration of 2.4 mmol/L (Fig. h).

Calcite precipitates

In July 2013, about one and a half years after the start of the Phase I injection, the submersible pump in well HN-04 broke down. When the pump was brought to the surface, it was found to be clogged and coated with a green precipitate as shown in Figure 4. No precipitation was observed on the pump prior to the injections. The bulk chemical compositions of the precipitate samples are shown in Table 3. The cation concentration of the precipitates consisted mostly of calcium (>94%) with some iron (<3%), silica (<2%) and magnesium (<1%). The XRD-analysis (Fig. A2 in the electronic supplements) confirmed that calcite was the dominant mineral phase of this precipitate and no other crystalline phases were identified. A ¹⁴C analysis of the carbon in the precipitates confirms that they originated from the injected ¹⁴C labelled CO₂ (Matter et al., 2016).

Mineral saturation states of C- and S-bearing minerals

The saturation indices (SI) of calcite (CaCO₃), magnesite (MgCO₃) and siderite (FeCO₃), as calculated using PHREEQC, are shown in Figure 5a. Calculations show that calcite was saturated both before and after the Phase I and Phase II injections. This mineral was, however, strongly undersaturated just after these injections concurrent with the drop in monitoring fluid pH below 8, even though the DIC and Ca concentrations were relatively high (Figs. 2a and 3a). Note that calcite was identified by XRD-analysis on drill-cuttings from the area prior to the injections (Alfredsson et al., 2013) and within and on the pump in the monitoring well at the end of the monitoring period (Figs. 4 and A2 in the electronic supplements). The monitoring fluid samples attained calcite saturation at the end of April 2012, about seven weeks after the Phase I

injection was terminated, and at end of August, about four weeks after the Phase II injection was terminated, when the fluid pH had increased to >8. In contrast, the monitoring fluid samples were calculated to be supersaturated with respect to siderite shortly after both injections, whereas magnesite was strongly undersaturated during this time (Fig. 5a). Magnesite and siderite were not identified at the Hellisheidi site prior to the injections, but both minerals have been identified by XRD-analysis of drill-cuttings from the Svartsengi geothermal field in SW-Iceland (Franzson, 1983; Richter et al., 1999), which has a significantly higher salinity and higher temperature gradient than the CarbFix site. Magnesite-siderite solid solutions were identified in low temperature CO₂ metasomatised basalts in Nuussuaq, West Greenland (Rogers et al., 2006). There calcite appears at a relatively low CO₂ partial pressure, and magnesite-siderite at higher partial pressures (Fig. 6), as predicted by the PHREEQC modelling (Fig. 5a).

The calculated saturation indices of a number of other carbonate minerals are shown in Figure 5b. Ankerite (CaFe(CO₃)₂) is the only carbonate-phase that was supersaturated during the whole monitoring period, that is while Fe²⁺ concentrations are above the detection limit of the spectroscopic method. Ankerite has not been identified in the area. It was however identified during basaltic glass-CO₂ charged water interaction experiments performed at 75°C by Gysi and Stefánsson (2012b), and during basalt, water, supercritical CO₂ interaction experiments reported by McGrail et al. (2006). Similar to calcite, the sampled fluids were calculated to be supersaturated with respect to aragonite (CaCO₃) throughout the monitoring period, with the exception of several weeks near the end of, and shortly after both injections (see Fig. 5b). Aragonite was identified by XRD-analysis of drill-cuttings from the area prior to the injections (Alfredsson et al., 2013). Although the fluids were calculated to be supersaturated with respect to dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂) following both injections, this mineral has not been observed at the CarbFix site. It has been observed however by XRD-analysis as a secondary mineral in drillcuttings from the saline Svartsengi high-temperature geothermal field in SW-Iceland, as is the case for magnesite and siderite (Franzson, 1983). The calculated saturation indices of three different Ca-Mg-Fe-solid solutions are shown in Figure 5c. All three show similar trends as calcite and aragonite. The Mg_{0.50}-Fe_{0.50}-CO₃ is the least saturated of the three, but attained saturation after both injections.

The calculated saturation indices for some sulphur-bearing minerals are shown in Figure 5d. The monitoring well fluids were calculated to be undersaturated with respect to native sulphur during the whole monitoring period. In contrast, pyrite (FeS₂), which is one of the most abundant secondary minerals at Hellisheidi at elevated temperature, and was identified at 780 m depth within the HN-02 injection well (Helgadóttir, 2011), was calculated to be supersaturated in all the monitoring fluid samples, showing a slight decrease in its saturation index at the beginning of the Phase II injection and a peak mid-August 2012 concurrent with the first maximum in SF₅CF₃ concentration, indicating the initial breakthrough of the injected Phase II fluids (Fig. 5d). As previously mentioned, calcite was the only crystalline phase identified in the precipitates forming on the pump from well HN-04. Pyrite was, however, identified by XRDanalysis on samples collected from an airlift of the injection well HN-02, confirming formation of pyrite during or after the Phase II injection (Fig. A3 in the electronic supplement). Greigite (Fe₃S4) showed a similar behaviour as pyrite, as this mineral was supersaturated in all monitoring well fluid samples. This mineral was not identified in the area previously, and was not identified by XRD analysis on the airlift samples collected from the injection well HN-02. It is, however, a metastable phase that may be a precursor of pyrite (Deer et al., 1992). Pyrrhotite (Fe₇S₈- FeS) was slightly supersaturated in the fluids sampled during the first weeks of the Phase II injection but undersaturated in all other samples (Fig. 5d). Pyrrhotite was previously identified within the high-temperature system in the Hellisheiði area (e.g. Gunnarsdóttir, 2012), but was not found at the CarbFix site nor identified in XRD analysis on the airlift samples from the injection well HN-02 (Fig. A3 in the electronic supplements). Gunnlaugsson and Arnórsson (1982) reported that below 180°C, geothermal waters in Iceland equilibrate with marcasite (FeS₂) instead of pyrite; marcasite is a pyrite dimorph generally found at lower temperatures (Deer et al., 1992). There was no evidence of marcasite in samples from the CarbFix site, either prior to the injections or in the XRD-analysis from the airlift pumping of well HN-02 (Fig. A3 in the electronic supplement). Mackinawite ((Fe,Ni)₉S₈) became supersaturated in the fluids sampled at the beginning of the Phase II injection, during the initial breakthrough of the injected Phase II fluid, and it is near to saturation in some monitoring samples collected from October to April 2013 (Fig. 5d). Mackinawite was not been identified in the area, and was not detected by XRD-analysis on the airlift samples from HN-02 (Fig. A3 in the electronic supplement).

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

However, mackinawite typically forms as a nanocrystalline material, whose broad peaks in XRD would be complicated to identify.

Saturation indices for other minerals

Saturation indices for other selected minerals are shown in Figure 7. Chalcedony (SiO₂) was slightly undersaturated in the monitoring fluid samples prior to the injections, but becomes saturated during Phase I; it then remains saturated for the rest of the monitoring period (Fig. 7a). Chalcedony is a common secondary mineral in the area (e.g. Alfredsson et al., 2013)

The mineral saturation states for those zeolites that are common in the area are shown in Figure 7b. Analcime, a common Na-zeolite found as an alteration phase at the CarbFix site, was undersaturated in the sampled fluids until about two months after the beginning of the Phase II injection, and then it is subsequently saturated (Fig. 7b). The samples were supersaturated with respect to other zeolites previously found in the area; and the general trend was a decrease in the monitoring fluid saturation index during the Phase I injection with an increase 6-8 weeks after Phase I was started. A slight dip was observed during the Phase II injection and an increase was observed during the second breakthrough of the injected fluid from Phase I (Fig. 7b).

The mineral saturation states for common clay minerals are shown in Figure 7c. Kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅OH₄) remained strongly supersaturated in the fluids sampled during the entire monitoring period (Fig. 7c), but increasingly so when the samples had a pH <8, during the injections and in the first weeks thereafter. Kaolinite was identified as a surface alteration product in geothermal areas (e.g. Markússon and Stefánsson, 2011) but has not been identified in subsurface samples collected from wells at Hellisheidi. The saturation state of gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) is depicted with the clay minerals; its behaviour was similar to kaolinite, except that it was undersaturated prior to the injections and became saturated when pH dropped below 8 during Phase I. It remained slightly supersaturated during the rest of the monitoring period (Fig. 7c). The saturation states of two other members of the kaolinite group; imogolite (Al₂SiO₃OH₄) and allophane (Al₂O₃SiO₂*H₂O), were also calculated. Imogolite was undersaturated prior to the injections but became strongly supersaturated during Phase I when the pH drops below 8, and remained supersaturated for the rest of the monitoring period, but decreasingly so as the pH

increased (Fig. 7c). Allophane was undersaturated during the whole monitoring period. Smectite was supersaturated in all samples except for the samples taken during, and shortly after the two injections while the pH was <8 (Fig. 7c). Smectite is one of the most abundant secondary minerals in basaltic rocks and has been identified in all wells drilled at Hellisheidi (e.g. Schiffman and Fridleifsson, 1991).

Discussion

Concentrations for the major elements Ca, Mg, Si, Na, K and Cl and the trace elements Fe and Al calculated using equations (1) and (2), based on the assumption of non-reactive conservative fluid mixing, are shown in Figure 3 together with their corresponding measured concentrations. Corresponding plots for the injected constituents are shown in Figure 2. Measured concentrations, greater than those calculated based on conservative fluid mixing, suggest net dissolution, lower concentrations suggest net precipitation (i.e. "fixation"). Measured Ca, Mg, and Fe concentrations were much higher during the injections and the subsequent days and weeks than that calculated assuming non-reactive conservative mixing. This indicates a net-input of these elements to the fluid consistent with the dissolution of the basalt originally present in the reservoir. The measured concentrations of these elements eventually became lower, and in the case of Mg, measured concentrations became lower than that calculated from non-reactive mechanical mixing (Fig. 3b) about 300 days after the start of the Phase 1 injection, suggesting net-precipitation into secondary minerals after these times.

Measured and calculated non-reactive conservative mixing concentrations of Si were approximately identical during the first breakthrough of Phase I, but the measured concentrations were lower during the second breakthrough (Fig. 3d). Measured Na and K concentrations were higher than the calculated from non-reactive conservative mixing, with a continuous increase up until the second breakthrough of Phase I, indicating net-release of these elements from the rock to the fluid (Fig. 3e-f). Na and K are the most mobile major elements during the weathering and low temperature alteration of basaltic rocks (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Eiriksdottir et al., 2015; Gislason et al., 1996). Measured Al concentrations were much lower during Phase I than corresponding calculated non-reactive conservative mixing concentrations indicating net Al precipitation during the injection and during the following weeks, while the pH of the samples

from well HN-04 was below 8 (Fig. 3g). Subsequently, the measured Al concentration rises slowly, with a small drop during the Phase II injection. From about 300 days after the start of the Phase I injection, and throughout the sampling period, the measured Al concentration in the samples exceeded the corresponding calculated concentrations, indicating a net release of this element from the rocks. Chlorine is a trace element in basaltic rocks (Sigvaldason and Oskarsson, 1976), but is sparingly taken up by secondary minerals, providing an example of a mobile element that behaves conservatively during mechanical mixing and moderate water rock interactions (Arnórsson and Andrésdóttir, 1995; Gislason and Eugster, 1987; Olsson et al., 2014). Measured and calculated conservative mixing concentrations of Cl were approximately identical, except during the second breakthrough of Phase I, when the measured concentrations were slightly lower than the calculated values (Fig. 3h) suggesting its possible uptake into carbonates (Olsson et al., 2014).

The fate of the injected carbon

The results and calculations presented above provide insight into the fate of the injected dissolved CO₂ gas. As previously reported by Matter et al. (2016), the difference between the measured and calculated non-reactive mixing DIC concentration (Fig. 8a), indicates its loss along the flow-path towards the monitoring well. Matter et al. (2016) also suggest that the dissolution of pre-existing carbonates at the onset of the CO₂ injection may have contributed to the neutralisation of the injected CO₂-rich water, along with dissolution of other phases such as basaltic glass, primary minerals of the host rock and other secondary minerals. This liberation of cations and neutralization of the originally acidic gas-rich injected aqueous fluid lead to the precipitation of carbonate minerals; Matter et al. (2016) concluded that over 95% of the carbon injected during Phase I was fixed as carbonate minerals in less than two years.

These previous conclusions are supported by the observations reported in this study. Shortly after the injections, the measured concentrations of dissolved Mg, Fe, and Ca increased substantially (Fig. 3a-c), and were greatly above that computed for non-reactive mixing, consistent with the rapid dissolution of the original reservoir rock. The dissolved concentration of Ca in these fluids was far greater than that of Mg and Fe, suggesting the preferential dissolution of calcium bearing minerals, such as calcite, during and shortly after both injection

phases. Indeed, the saturation state of calcite, the major carbonate phase present in the basaltic reservoir became undersaturated during and just after the Phase I injection (Fig. 5a), consistent with the initial dissolution of the calcite originally present in the host rock. Approximately 100 days after the start of the Phase I injection the monitoring fluid samples became supersaturated with respect to calcite with a saturation index of 0.6; this degree of supersaturation would be sufficient to grow calcite on the surfaces of the silicate minerals present in the reservoir (Stockmann et al., 2014). A similar variation of the calcite saturation state was evident following the Phase II injection. Moreover, calcite was observed to have precipitated within the monitoring well following the injection.

The saturation state of the monitoring fluid samples with respect to the carbonate phases magnesite and dolomite followed a similar pattern as calcite (Fig. 5b), but these were not identified in the study area. Such minerals have been reported to be kinetically inhibited from forming abiotically at temperatures less than 80 °C (Higgins and Hu, 2005; Kessels et al., 2000; Lippmann, 1973; Saldi et al., 2009, 2012). Similarly, siderite was calculated to be supersaturated in the sampled fluid but has not been found at the study site to date.

The fate of the injected sulphur

A noteworthy observation in this study is that the dissolved sulphur concentrations in the monitoring well samples remained close to constant during and after the injection of the H₂S-rich phase II injection. In contrast, non-reactive mixing calculations suggest these concentrations should have been as high as 0.6 mmol/L in the absence of sulphur precipitation (Fig. 8b). This indicates that vast majority of the sulphur injected into the subsurface was fixed within several weeks, before the Phase II fluids arrived at the first monitoring well. Indeed, numerous sulphurbearing minerals, including pyrite, pyrrhotite, mackinawite, and greigite were supersaturated during the first weeks of Phase II injection (Fig. 5d).

Pyrite was strongly supersaturated favouring its nucleation and subsequent precipitation. The pyrite formation was confirmed by XRD-analysis on solids collected from the water samples taken during airlift from the injection well HN-02 in the spring of 2013. The analysis showed peaks from pyrite, amounting to 5-10 weight% of the solid material present in the air-lift

samples, based on Rietveld analysis using the software Topas (Fig. A3 in the electronic supplement). No other well-crystalline sulphides were identified in these airlift samples. Moreover, sulphide minerals were not identified in the precipitates recovered from the HN-04 monitoring well pump, which supports the conclusion that the H₂S mineralises prior to the arrival of the injection fluid at the first monitoring well. This rapid mineralisation of the injected H₂S is also in agreement with experimental studies on H₂S sequestration in basaltic rocks (Gudbrandsson and Stefánsson, 2014).

The timescale of carbon and sulphur mineralisation: Carbon storage in sedimentary basins versus basaltic rocks.

Carbon storage in sedimentary basins typically proceeds via the injection of pure CO₂ into porous sedimentary rocks (Fig. 9a). For common geothermal gradients, CO₂ is a supercritical fluid below 800 m in sedimentary basins. As supercritical CO₂ is less dense than the formation waters near this depth, it is buoyant and tends to rise to the surface. Ideally this CO₂ is trapped below an impermeable cap rock via structural or stratigraphic trapping. Eventually some of this CO₂ becomes stuck in small pores, limiting its mobility (residual trapping). Over time, CO₂ dissolves in the formation water (solubility trapping). As CO₂ charged water is denser than the original formation water, this CO₂-charged water will tend to sink. Some of this dissolved CO₂ may react to form stable carbonate minerals (mineral trapping). As one progresses from structural to mineral trapping, the CO₂ becomes more immobile and thus the storage more secure, though this process can take thousands of years or more as summarized in Figure 9a (Benson and Cole, 2008; Benson et al., 2005). Mineral trapping in sedimentary basins is slow and sometimes limited because of a lack of the calcium, magnesium, and iron bearing minerals required to mineralise the injected CO₂ (Gilfillan et al., 2009; Gislason and Oelkers, 2014).

In contrast during the CarbFix method, CO₂ is dissolved into water during its injection into porous basaltic rocks. No cap rock is required because the dissolved CO₂ is not buoyant and will not tend to migrate back to the surface. Solubility trapping occurs within 5 minutes during the CO₂ injection process (Sigfusson et al., 2015), and due to the reactivity of the basaltic rocks the bulk of the carbon is trapped in minerals within two years as shown in Figure 9b (this study; Matter et al., 2016). This rapid carbonation of injected CO₂ provides a permanent and safe

carbon storage option; once fixed into a carbonate mineral, the risk of leakage is minimal and little if any further monitoring of the site will be necessary.

The results of this study suggest that the co-injection of H₂S with CO₂ into the subsurface both rapidly fixes this gas through pyrite precipitation and does not detrimentally effect the carbonation of the injected CO₂. Indeed, the results from this study indicate that this pyrite mineralization is even faster than the carbonate mineralization; the bulk of the sulphur is trapped in minerals within four months from injection. The co-injection of these two acid gases may provide a number of advantages, most notably, it may lower substantially the energy and cost required to capture and separate the CO₂ from industrial exhaust. This possibility is now being explored in the SulFix-CarbFix project, where a CO₂-H₂S gas mixture is being captured and separated from the gas stream of the Hellisheidi power plant by its dissolution in water at the surface at about 5 bars pressure and 20°C. The resulting gas charged water is directly injected to 700 m depth and 200 - 270°, aiming to store 8,000 - 10,000 tonnes of the gas mixture annually.

The degree to which the CarbFix method can be applied at other sites will depend on the availability of suitable host-rocks, sufficient water to dissolve the CO₂ during its injection, and economic considerations. This on-shore CarbFix project, demonstrates the feasibility of carbon storage in basaltic rocks. Nevertheless, the largest geological storage potential for CO₂ lies offshore (Goldberg and Slagle, 2009; Goldberg et al., 2010; Goldberg et al., 2008; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2014), where the mid-oceanic ridges contain permeable basaltic layers and the oceans provide an unlimited reservoir for the required water (Snæbjörnsdóttir and Gislason, 2016).

Conclusions

This paper reported the chemical composition and mineral saturation states of fluids collected prior to, during and after the injection of 175 tonnes of pure CO₂ and 73 tonnes of a gas-mixture consisting of 75 mol% CO₂, 24 mol% H₂S and 1 mol% H₂, into basaltic rocks at the CarbFix site in SW-Iceland. All results indicate that the vast majority of injected CO₂ and H₂S were rapidly fixed within minerals in subsurface basalts. The results presented above confirm that this fixation occurred by the initial dissolution of the host basalts due to the injection of

acidic gas-charged water; mass balance indicates the net input from host rock dissolution of Mg, Fe, and Ca following each injection. The dissolution of host basalts and fluid mixing neutralized the pH of the injected fluid such that calcite became supersaturated approximately 100 days after the start of each injection favouring the fixation of the injected CO₂ within this mineral. This results, which supports those of Matter et al. (2016) who concluded that CO₂ mineralization fixed over 95% of the injected carbon within 2 years, was further validated by observations of calcite precipitation within the monitoring well itself. Although other metal carbonate minerals, notably, ankerite, siderite and mixed Ca, Mg, Fe-carbonates, were also supersatutated in the monitoring fluids these were not observed to form during this study.

Similar results support the even more rapid mineralization of injected H₂S as pyrite, as this mineral is supersaturated before, during and after the injection of a mixed CO₂-H₂S charged water into the basalts. The rapid fixation of H₂S into this mineral is further evidenced by the observation of pyrite precipitation in the injection well but not in the first monitoring well. Such observations suggest that H₂S fixation by pyrite precipitation was essentially complete before the injected mixed-gas plume arrived at the monitoring well. Notably there appears to have been little difference in the chemical response in the subsurface of the mixed H₂S-CO₂ gas mixture injection compared to that of the pure CO₂ injection. Their similar success towards the CO₂ mineralization suggests that the injection of mixed gases might prove to be a simpler and more cost-effective approach to subsurface carbon storage than the injection of pure CO₂.

Acknowledgement

- We acknowledge funding from the Reykjavik Energy; Environmental Fund of Reykjavik
- Fig. 2 Energy; the European Commission through the projects CarbFix (EC coordinated action
- 763 283148), Min-GRO (MC-RTN-35488), Delta-Min (PITN-GA-2008-215360), and CO₂-REACT
- 764 (EC Project 317235); the U.S. Department of Energy under award number DE-FE0004847;
- Nordic fund 11029-NORDICCS; and the Icelandic GEORG Geothermal Research fund (09-02-
- 766 001). We are indebted to Hólmfríður Sigurðardóttir and Bergur Sigfússon at Reykjavík Energy,
- Magnús Þór Arnarson at Mannvit Engineering, Domenik Wolff-Boenisch at Curtin University in
- Australia, Helgi A. Alfreðsson at the University of Iceland and Wallace S. Broecker at Columbia
- University for their contributions to the CarbFix project. We thank Einar Örn Prastarson, Trausti

770	Kristinsson, Vordís Eiríksdóttir, Halldór Bergmann, and Þorsteinn A. Þorgeirsson at Reykjavík
771	Energy; Vigdís Harðardóttir, Finnbogi Óskarsson, Kristján Hrafn Sigurðsson and Steinþór
772	Níelsson at ISOR; Jennifer Hall at Columbia University, and Þorsteinn Jónsson, Sveinbjörn
773	Steinþórsson, Iwona Galezcka, Eydís S. Eiríksdóttir, Deirdre Clark, Chris Grimm and Flora
774	Brocza at the University of Iceland for helping the injection and sampling campaign. We also are
775	grateful for the assistance of Rósa Ólafsdóttir at the University of Iceland. Finally, the first
776	author would like to thank Becca Neely for all her help and assistance in the field, in the lab and
777	in our office.
778	

789

790

791

792

793

794

795 796

797

798

799

800

801

802 803

804 805

- Alfredsson, H. A., Oelkers, E. H., Hardarsson, B. S., Franzson, H., Gunnlaugsson, E., and Gislason, S. R.,
 2013. The geology and water chemistry of the Hellisheidi, SW-Iceland carbon storage site:
 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 12, p. 399-418.
- Aradóttir, E. S. P., Gunnarsson, I., Sigfússon, B., Gislason, S. R., Oelkers, E. H., Stute, M., Matter, J. M.,
 Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., Mesfin, K. G., Alfredsson, H. A., Hall, J., Arnarsson, M. T., Dideriksen,
 K., Júlíusson, B. M., Broecker, W. S., and Gunnlaugsson, E., 2015. Towards Cleaner Geothermal
 Energy: Subsurface Sequestration of Sour Gas Emissions from Geothermal Power Plants,
 Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2015: Melbourne, Australia, 19-25 April 2015.
 - Aradóttir, E. S. P., Sonnenthal, E. L., Björnsson, G., and Jónsson, H., 2012. Multidimensional reactive transport modeling of CO₂ mineral sequestration in basalts at the Hellisheidi geothermal field, Iceland: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 9, p. 24-40.
 - Archer, D., 2005. Fate of fossil fuel CO₂ in geologic time: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 110, p. C09S05.
 - Arnórsson, S., and Andrésdóttir, A., 1995. Processes controlling the distribution of boron and chlorine in natural waters in Iceland: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 59, no. 20, p. 4125-4146.
 - Arnórsson, S., D'Amore, F., and Gerardo-Abaya, J., 2000. Isotopic and geochemical techniques in geothermal exploration, development and use: Sampling methods, data handling, interpretation. Arnórsson, S. (Ed.), International Atomic Energy Agency Publication, Vienna.
 - Assayag, N., Matter, J., Ader, M., Goldberg, D., and Agrinier, P., 2009. Water–rock interactions during a CO₂ injection field-test: Implications on host rock dissolution and alteration effects: Chemical Geology, v. 265, no. 1–2, p. 227-235.
 - Bachu, S., and Gunter, W. D., 2005. Overview of acid-gas injection operations in Western Canada, *in* Wilson, E. S., Rubin, D. W., Keith, C. F., Gilboy, M., Thambimuthu, T., Morris, J., and Gale, K., eds., Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies 7: Oxford, Elsevier Science Ltd, p. 443-448.
 - Bacon, D. H., Ramanathan, R., Schaef, H. T., and McGrail, B. P., 2014. Simulating geologic cosequestration of carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide in a basalt formation: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 21, p. 165-176.
- Benson, S.M. and Cook, P., Coordinating Lead Authors. Anderson, J., Bachu,S., Nimir, H.B., Basu, B.,
 Bradshaw, J., Deguchi, G., Gale, J., von Goerne, G., Heidug, W., Holloway, S., Kamal, R., Keith,
 D., Lloyd, P., Rocha, P., Senior, B., Thomson, J., Torp, T., Wildenborg, T., Wilson, M., Zarlenga,
 F., and Zhou, D, Lead Authors. Celia, S.M., Gunter, B., Ennis King, J., Lindegerg, E., Lombardi,
 S., Oldenburg, C., Pruess, K., Rigg, A., Stevens, S., Wilson, E., Whittaker, S., 2005.
 Underground Geological Storage, IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage,
 Chapter 5. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
 U.K.
- Benson, S. M., and Cole, D. R., 2008. CO₂ Sequestration in Deep Sedimentary Formations: Elements, v. 4, p. 325-331
- Broecker, W., 2007. Climate change: CO₂ arithmetic: Science, v. 315, p. 1371.
- Broecker, W. S., and Kunzig, R., 2008. Fixing climate. The story of climate science—and how to stop global warming. Green Profile.
- Deer, W. A., Howie, R. A., and Zussman, J., 1992. An introduction to the rock forming minerals. 2nd edition, Harlow, England, Pearson, Prestice Hall, 696 p.
- Drever, J. I., 1982. The Geochemistry of Natural Waters, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall.
- Eiriksdottir, E. S., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2015. Direct evidence of the feedback between climate and nutrient, major, and trace element transport to the oceans: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 166, p. 249-266.
- European Environment Agency, 2014. Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) emissions (APE 001) Assessment published in Jan 2014. Volume 2015, European Environment Agency.

- Fisher, A. T., 1998. Permeability within basaltic oceanic crust: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 36, no. 2, p. 143-182.
- Flaathen, T. K., Gislason, S. R., Oelkers, E. H., and Sveinbjörnsdóttir, Á. E., 2009. Chemical evolution of the Mt. Hekla, Iceland, groundwaters: A natural analogue for CO₂ sequestration in basaltic rocks: Applied Geochemistry, v. 24, no. 3, p. 463-474.
- Franzson, H., 1983. Svartsengi, well SG-12: Drilling, stratigraphy and aquifers (in Icelandic): National Energy Authority of Iceland, OS/83003/JHD-02.

836

837

838 839

840

844 845

846

847

848 849

850

851 852

853

854

856

857 858

859

860

861

862 863

864

865

866

867

- Galeczka, I., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Oelkers, E. H., and Gislason, S. R., 2014. An experimental study of basaltic glass—H₂O—CO₂ interaction at 22 and 50°C: Implications for subsurface storage of CO₂: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 126, p. 123-145.
 - Gensemer, R. W., and Playle, C., 1999, The bioavailability and toxicity of aluminum in aquatic environments: Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, v. 29, no. 4, p. 315-450
- Gilfillan, S. M. V., Lollar, B. S., Holland, G., Blagburn, D., Stevens, S., Schoell, M., Cassidy, M., Ding,
 Z., Zhou, Z., Lacrampe-Couloume, G., and Ballentine, C. J., 2009. Solubility trapping in
 formation water as dominant CO₂ sink in natural gas fields: Nature, v. 458, no. 7238, p. 614-618.
 - Gislason, S. R., Arnórsson, S., and Ármannsson, H., 1996. Chemical weathering of basalt in SW Iceland: Effects of runoff, age of rocks and vegetative/glacial cover: American Journal of Science, v. 296, p. 837-907.
 - Gislason, S. R., Broecker, W. S., Gunnlaugsson, E., Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., Mesfin, K. G., Alfredsson, H. A., Aradottir, E. S., Sigfusson, B., Gunnarsson, I., Stute, M., Matter, J. M., Arnarson, M. T., Galeczka, I. M., Guðbrandsson, S., Stockman, G., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Stefansson, A., Ragnheidardottir, E., Faathen, T., Gysi, A. P., Olssen, J., Didriksen, K., Stippe, S., Menez, B., and Oelkers, E. H., 2014. Rapid solubility and mineral storage of CO₂ in basalt: Energy Procedia, v. 63, p. 4561-4574.
 - Gislason, S. R., and Eugster, H. P., 1987. Meteoric water-basalt interactions. I: A laboratory study: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 51, no. 10, p. 2827-2840.
- 685 Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2014. Carbon Storage in Basalt: Science, v. 344, p. 373-374.
 - Gislason, S. R., and Torssander, P., 2006. Response of Sulfate Concentration and Isotope Composition in Icelandic Rivers to the Decline in Global Atmospheric SO₂ emissions into the North Atlantic Region: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 40, p. 680-686.
 - Gislason, S. R., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Stefansson, A., Oelkers, E. H., Gunnlaugsson, E., Sigurdardottir, H., Sigfusson, B., Broecker, W. S., Matter, J., Stute, M., Axelsson, G., and Fridriksson, T., 2010.

 Mineral sequestration of carbon dioxide in basalt: A preinjection overview of the CarbFix project: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 4, p. 537-545.
 - Global CCS Institute, 2015. The global Status of CCS 2015 summary report. Melbourne, Australia.
 - Goldberg, D., Lackner, K., Han, P., and Wang, T., 2013, Co-Location of Air Capture, Subseafloor CO₂ Sequestration, and Energy Production on the Kerguelen Plateau: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 47, no. 13.
 - Goldberg, D., and Slagle, A. L., 2009. A global assessment of deep-sea basalt sites for carbon sequestration: Energy Procedia, v. 1, p. 3675-3682.
- Goldberg, D. S., Kent, D. V., and Olsen, P. E., 2010. Potential on-shore and off-shore reservoirs for CO₂
 sequestration in Central Atlantic magmatic province basalts: Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America Sciences, v. 107, p. 1327-1332.
- Goldberg, D. S., Takahashi, T., and Slagle, A. L., 2008. Carbon dioxdie sequestration in deep-sea basalt: PNAS, v. 105, no. 29, p. 9920-9925.
- Gudbrandsson, S., and Stefánsson, A., 2014. Experimental study of H₂S sequestration in geothermal systems, RH-14-2014.
- Gudbrandsson, S., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2011. An experimental study of crystalline basalt dissolution from 2 < pH < 11 and temperatures from 5 to 75 °C: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 75, no. 19, p. 5496-5509.

- Gunnarsdóttir, S. H., 2012. The Geology and Hydrothermal Alteration near the Mt. Reykjafell area in the Hellisheidi Geothermal Field. (MSc thesis). University of Iceland.
- Gunnarsson, I., Sigfusson, B., Stefansson, A., Arnorsson, S., Scott, S., and Gunnlaugsson, E., 2011.
 Injection of H₂S from Hellisheiði Power Plant, Iceland, Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir
 Engineering: Stanford, California.

886

887

888

889 890

891

892 893

894

895 896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907 908

909

910

911

912

- Gunnlaugsson, E., and Arnòrsson, S., 1982. The chemistry of iron in geothermal systems in iceland: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 14, no. 3–4, p. 281-299.
 - Gysi, A. P., and Stefánsson, A., 2011. CO₂—water—basalt interaction. Numerical simulation of low temperature CO₂ sequestration into basalts: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 75, no. 17, p. 4728-4751.
 - -, 2012a. CO₂-water-basalt interaction. Low temperature experiments and implications for CO₂ sequestration into basalts: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 81, p. 129-152.
 - -, 2012b. Mineralogical aspects of CO₂ sequestration during hydrothermal basalt alteration An experimental study at 75 to 250°C and elevated pCO₂: Chemical Geology, v. 306–307, p. 146-159.
 - Harris, R. N., and Chapman, D. S., 2004. Deep-seated oceanic heat flux, heat deficits and hydrothermal circulation, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, Hydrogeology of the Oceanic Lithosphere.
 - Helgadóttir, H. M., 2011, Stratigraphy and hydrothermal alteration of the Gráuhnúkar geothermal system in the southern part of the Hengill area. (MSc Thesis). University of Iceland, 123 p.
 - Higgins, S. R., and Hu, X., 2005. Self-limiting growth on dolomite: Experimental observations with *in situ* atomic force microscopy: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 69, p. 2085-2094.
 - Hjartarson, Á., and Sæmundsson, K., 2014. Geological Map of Iceland. Bedrock. 1:600 000: Iceland GeoSurvey.
 - Hoffert, M., Caldeira, K., Benford, G., Criswell, D., Green, C., Herzog, H., Jain, A., Kheshgi, H., Lackner, K., Lewis, J., Lightfood, H., Manheimer, W., Mankins, J., Mauel, M., Perkins, L., Schlesinger, M., Volk, T., and Wigley, T., 2002, Advanced technology paths to global climate stability: energy for a greenhouse planet.: Science, v. 298, no. 981-987.
 - International Engy Agency, 2015. Mobilising Innovation to Accelerate Climate Action, Executive Summary.
 - IPCC, 2005. IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. Prepared by Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change . Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H.C., Loos, M., and Meyer, L. A. (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 442 pp.
- -, 2014. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Kessels, L. A., Sibley, D. F., and Nordeng, S. H., 2000. Nanotopography of synthetic and natural
 dolomite crystals.: Sedimentology, v. 47, p. 173-186.
- Khalilabad, M. R., Axelsson, G., and Gislason, S. R., 2008. Aquifer characterization with tracer test
 technique; permanent CO₂ sequestration into basalt, SW Iceland: Mineralogical Magazine, v. 72,
 p. 121-125.
- Knauss, K.G., Johnson, J.W., Steefel, C.I., 2005. Evaluation of the impact of CO₂, co-contaminant gas,
 aqueous fluid and reservoir rock interactions on the geologic sequestration of CO₂. Chemical
 Geology, v. 217, no. 3–4, p. 339–350.
- 922 Lackner, K., 2003. A guide to CO₂ sequestration.: Science v. 300, p. 1677–1678.
- 923 Lippmann, F., 1973. Sedimentary carbonate minerals., New York, Springer-Verlag.
- Markússon, S. H., and Stefánsson, A., 2011. Geothermal surface alteration of basalts, Krýsuvík Iceland—
 Alteration mineralogy, water chemistry and the effects of acid supply on the alteration process:
 Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 206, no. 1–2, p. 46-59.
- 927 Matter, J., Takahashi, T., and Goldberg, D., 2007. Experimental evaluation of *in situ* CO₂-water-rock 928 reactions during CO₂ injection in basaltic rocks: Implications for geological CO₂ sequestration: 929 Geochem Geophy Geosy 6, v. 8, no. 2, p. 19.

- Matter, J. M., Broecker, W., Gislason, S. R., Gunnlaugsson, E., Oelkers, E., Stute, M., Sigurdardóttir, H.,
 Stefansson, A., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Axelsson, G., and Sigfússon, B., 2011. The CarbFix Pilot
 Project Storing Carbon Dioxide in Basalt: Energy Procedia v. 4, p. 5579-5585.
- Matter, J. M., Stute, M., Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., Oelkers, E. H., Gislason, S. R., Aradottir, E. S.,
 Sigfusson, B., Gunnarsson, I., Sigurdardottir, H., Gunnlaugsson, E., Axelsson, G., Alfredsson, H.
 A., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Mesfin, K., Fernandez de la Reguera Taya, D., Hall, J., Dideriksen, K.,
 and Broecker, W. S., 2016. Rapid carbon mineralisation for permanent and safe disposal of
 anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions: Science. Accepted.
- 938 McGrail, B. P., Freeman, C. J., Brown, C. F., Sullivan, E. C., White, S. K., Reddy, S., Garber, R. D.,
 939 Tobin, D., Gilmartin, J. J., and Steffensen, E. J., 2012. Overcoming business model uncertainty in
 940 a carbon dioxide capture and sequestration project: Case study at the Boise White Paper Mill:
 941 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 9, p. 91-102.

943 944

945

946

951

952 953

954 955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964 965

966

967

968

969

- McGrail, B. P., Schaef, H. T., Ho, A. M., Chien, Y.-J., Dooley, J. J., and Davidson, C. L., 2006. Potential for carbon dioxide sequestration in flood basalts: Journal of Geophysical Research v. Solid Earth (111), no. B12201.
- McGrail, B. P., Spane, F. A., Sullivan, E. C., Bacon, D. H., and Hund, G., 2011. The Wallula basalt sequestration pilot project: Energy Procedia, v. 4, p. 5653-5660.
- Oelkers, E., and Schott, J., 2005. Geochemical aspects of CO₂ sequestration.: Chemical Geology, v. 217, p. 183–186.
- Oelkers, E. H., and Cole, D. R., 2008. Carbon dioxide sequestration: a solution to a global problem.: Elements, v. 4, p. 305–310.
 - Oelkers, E. H., Gislason, S. R., and Matter, J., 2008. Mineral carbonation of CO₂: Elements, v. 4, p. 331–335.
 - Olsson, J., Stipp, S. L. S., Makovicky, E., and Gislason, S. R., 2014. Metal scavenging by calcium carbonate at the Eyjafjallajökull volcano: A carbon capture and storage analogue: Chemical Geology, v. 384, p. 135-148.
 - Pacala, S., and Socolow, R., 2004. Stabilization wedges: solving the climate problem for the next 50 years with current technologies: Science, v. 305, no. 968-971.
 - Parkhurst, D. L., and Appelo, C. A. J., 2013. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3—A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations, U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, v. chap. A43, 497 p.
 - Richter, B., Guðlaugsson, S. Þ., Steingrímsson, B., Björnsson, G., Bjarnason, J. Ö., and Þórhallson, S., 1999. Svartsengi well SJ-18: Drilling, research and production (in Icelandic), OS-99117.
 - Rogers, K. L., Neuhoff, P. S., Pedersen, A. K., and Bird, D. K., 2006. CO₂ metasomatism in a basalt-hosted petroleum reservoir, Nuussuaq, West Greenland: Lithos, v. 92, no. 1–2, p. 55-82.
 - Rosenbauer, R. J., Thomas, B., Bischoff, J. L., and Palandri, J., 2012. Carbon sequestration via reaction with basaltic rocks: Geochemical modelling and experimental results: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 89, p. 116–133.
 - Rutqvist, J., Birkholzer, J., Cappa, F., and Tsang, C.-F., 2007. Estimating maximum sustainable injection pressure during geological sequestration of CO₂ using coupled fluid flow and geomechanical fault-slip analysis. : Energy Conversion and Management, v. 48, p. 1798–1807.
- 971 Saldi, D., Jordan, G., Schott, J., and Oelkers, E. H., 2009. Magnesite growth rates as a function of temperature and saturation state.: Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta v. 73, p. 5646–5657.
- Saldi, G. D., Schott, J., Pokrovsky, O. S., Gautier, Q., and Oelkers, E. H., 2012. An experimental study of magnesite precipitation rates at neutral to alkaline conditions and 100–200 C as a function of pH, aqueous solution composition and chemical affinity: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 83, p. 93-109.
- 977 Schiffman, P., and Fridleifsson, G. O., 1991. The smectite-chlorite transition in drillhole NJ-15, 978 Nesjavellir Geothermal Field, Iceland: XRD, BSE and electron microprobe investigations: 979 Journal of Metamorphic Geology, v. 9, no. 6, p. 679-696.

- Sigfusson, B., Gislason, S. R., Matter, J. M., Stute, M., Gunnlaugsson, E., Gunnarsson, I., Aradottir, E. S.,
 Sigurdardottir, H., Mesfin, K. G., Alfredsson, H. A., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Arnarson, M. T., and
 Oelkers, E. H., 2015. Solving the carbon-dioxide buoyancy challenge: The design and field
 testing of a dissolved CO₂ injection system: Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 37, p. 213-219.
- 984 Sigvaldason, G. E., and Oskarsson, N., 1976. Chlorine in basalts from Iceland: Geochim. Cosmochim. 4cta, v. 40, p. 777-789.
- Smith, S. J., Van Aardenne, J., Klimont, Z., Anders, R. J., Volke, A., and Delgato Arias, S., 2011.
 Anthropogenic sulfur dioxide emissions 1850-2005: Amospheric Chemistry and Physics, v. 11, p. 1101-1116.
- 989 Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., and Gislason, S. R., 2016. CO₂ storage potential of basaltic rocks offshore Iceland: 990 Energy Procedia, v. 86, p. 371-380.

993 994

995

996 997

998

999

1000

1001 1002

1006

1011 1012

1013

1014 1015

1016

- Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., Wiese, F., Fridriksson, T., Ármannsson, H., Einarsson, G. M., and Gislason, S. R., 2014. CO₂ storage potential of basaltic rocks in Iceland and the oceanic ridges: Energy Procedia, v. 63, p. 4585-4600.
- Stefánsson, A., Arnórsson, S., Gunnarsson, I., Kaasalainen, H., and Gunnlaugsson, E., 2011. The geochemistry and sequestration of H₂S into the geothermal system at Hellisheidi, Iceland: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 202, no. 3–4, p. 179-188.
- Stockmann, G. J., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Bovet, N., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2014. The role of silicate surfaces on calcite precipitation kinetics: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 135, p. 231-250.
- Stockmann, G. J., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2011. Do carbonate precipitates affect dissolution kinetics? 1: Basaltic glass: Chemical Geology, v. 284, no. 3–4, p. 306-316.
- Stockmann, G. J., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2013. Do carbonate
 precipitates affect dissolution kinetics?: 2: Diopside: Chemical Geology, v. 337–338, p. 56-66.
 Stumm, W., and Morgan, J. J., 1996. Aquatic Chemistry: Chemical equilibria and rates in natural water
 - Stumm, W., and Morgan, J. J., 1996. Aquatic Chemistry: Chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters (third ed.), John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1022 p.:
- Thorarinsson, S. B., Helgadóttir, H. M., Franzson, H., Harðarson, B. S., Hjartarson, A., Ásmundsson, R., and Sigurdsson, G., 2006. Hellisheidi well HN-04. 1st to 3rd stages: Drilling of 18 5/8" security casing in 105 m, production casing in 400 m and 12 1/4" production part in 1204 m: ISOR, Iceland GeoSurvey, ISOR-2006/055.
 - United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2015. The National Emissions Inventory. National Summary of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions, NEI 2011 v2 GPR.
 - Van Pham, H., Aagaard, P., and Hellevang, H., 2012. On the potential for CO₂ mineral storage in continental flood basalts PHREEQC batch and 1D diffusion reaction simulations: Geochemical Transactions, v. 13, no. 5, p. 12.
 - Wiese, F., Fridriksson, T., and Armannsson, H., 2008. CO₂ Fixation by Calcite in High-temperature Geothermal Systems in Iceland: ISOR, Iceland Geosurvey, ISOR–2008/003
- Wolff-Boenisch, D., Wenau, S., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H., 2011. Dissolution of basalts and
 peridotite in seawater, in the presence of ligands, and CO₂: Implications for mineral sequestration
 of carbon dioxide: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 75, no. 19, p. 5510-5525.
- World Health Organization, 2000. WHO air quality guidelines for Europe, 2nd edition: WHO regional office for Europe.
- WorleyParsons, and Schlumberger, 2011. Assessment of Carbon Capture and Storage Technologies:
 2011, update: Global CCS Institute.
- Wöll, C., Hallsdóttir, B. S., Guðmundsson, J., Snorrason, A., Þórsson, J., Jónsson, P. V. K., Andrésson,
 K., and Einarsson, S., 2014. Emissions of greenhouse gases in Iceland from 1990 to 2012.
- National Inventory report 2014.: Environment Agency of Iceland, UST-2014-2.

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the two gas injections into the CarbFix storage site considered in this study.

	Phase I: Injection of 100% CO ₂	Phase II: Injection of 75% CO ₂ , 24% H ₂ S, 1% H ₂
Period:	24 th of January to 9th March 2012	15 th of June to 1 st of August 2012
Injection period	45	48
(days)	Active: 40	Active: 29
Mass of injected gas	175	73
(Tonnes)		
Tracers:		
Reactive	¹⁴ C	¹⁴ C
Concentration:	40.0 Bq/L*	6 Bq/L*
¹⁴ C: ¹² C ratio	2.16 x 10 ⁻¹¹ *	6.5 x 10 ⁻¹² *
Non-reactive	SF ₆	SF ₅ CF ₃
Concentration:	2.33 x 10 ⁻⁸ ccSTP/cc*	2.24 x 10 ⁻⁸ ccSTP/cc*

^{*}From Matter et al. 2016

Table 2. The measured chemical composition of water collected from well HN-01, and coinjected with pure CO₂ gas or CO₂/H₂S gas mixtures into the CarbFix storage site.

Date	Sample ID	pН	Conduc tivity	H ₂ S	O_2	Alk.	DIC	$S_{(total)}$
			μs/cm	μmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L
3.2.2012	12KGM06	9.29	292	0.45	0.051	2.109	1.460	0.118
4.7.2012	12SOS03	9.21	300	0.32*	0.082	2.046	1.550	0.085

Date	Sample ID	pН	Ca	Mg	Fe	Si	Na	K	Al	Cl
			mmol/L	mmol/L	μmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	μmol/L	mmol/L
3.2.2012	12KGM06	9.29	0.13	0.16	0.021	0.59	2.04	0.024	1.19	0.31
4.7.2012	12SOS03	9.21	0.15	0.20	0.068	0.39	1.83	0.024	0.65	0.25

*Measured on 12th of July

Table 3. The measured chemical composition of the major elements of two solid samples collected from the water pump recovered from well HN-04 on the 13th of August 2013.

Si	Na	K	Ca	Mg	S	Al	Fe
mmol/L							
185	14.8	1.00	9482	136	10.0	0.03	286.5
171	11.3	0.49	10230	123	5.3	0.02	197.0

Figures




Figure 1. Geological cross section of the CarbFix injection site, modified from Alfredsson et al. (2013). Blue indicates lava flows and brown indicates hyaloclastic (glassy) formations. The CO₂-H₂S-H₂ gas mixture used in the second injection was separated from other geothermal gases at the power plant and transported via gas pipe to the injection site where it was dissolved in water from well HN-01 within the injection well HN-02. The gas charged water enters the basalts as a single phase. Water was pumped from well HN-01 to the injection well HN-02 at the rate of 7.2 m³/h. Water was pumped from the monitoring well at the rate of 3.5 m³/h, throughout this study. Graphic work by Sölvi Snæbjörnsson.




Figure 2. Concentrations of a) SF₆ and SF₅CF₃ non-reactive tracers; b) dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) along with fluid pH calculated at *in situ* temperature (35°C), c) total dissolved sulphur and $H_2S_{(aq)}$ in samples from monitoring well HN-04 prior to, during, and after the injection of pure CO_2 and mixed CO_2/H_2S gas into the CarbFix Storage site. The timing of both gas injections is indicated by grey bars. The detection limit of the H_2S concentration measurements is 0.3 µmol/L and is indicated as a dotted line.




Figure 3. Concentrations of Ca, Mg, Fe, Si, Na, K, Al, Cl and F collected from monitoring well HN-04 prior to, during, and after the injection of CO₂ and CO₂/H₂S into the CarbFix Storage site. The timing of both gas injections is indicated by grey bars. Note the pH of the fluid samples is plotted together with the Al concentrations. The results of mass balance calculations depicting expected values for these concentrations, assuming pure mechanical mixing of the injected solution is also shown in these plots.



Figure 4. Photograph illustrating the presence of precipitates on the water sampling pump recovered from monitoring well HN-04 on the 13th of August 2013. The diameter of the pump is 101 mm.




Figure 5. Saturation indices (SI) of collected HN-04 well water samples with respect to A) magnesite siderite and calcite; B) dolomite, aragonite and ankerite, C) Mg-Fe and Ca-Mg-Fe solid solutions, and D) pyrrhotite, pyrite, sulphur and mackinawite prior to, during, and after the injection of pure CO₂ and a CO₂/H₂S gas mixture into the CarbFix Storage site. All saturation indices were calculated assuming the oxygen fugacity was controlled by equilibrium of the H₂S/SO₄²⁻ as a redox couple. Note that positive, negative, and zero SI values correspond to aqueous fluids that are supersaturated, undersaturated, and at equilibrium with the indicated mineral. The timing of both gas injections is indicated by grey bars.




Figure 6. Partial pressures of CO₂ and H₂S prior to, during and after both injection experiments.




Figure 7. Saturation indices (SI) of collected HN-04 well water samples with respect to A) chalcedony, B) the zeolites previously identified in the area, and C) selected clay-minerals prior to, during, and after the injection of pure CO₂ and a CO₂/H₂S gas mixture into the CarbFix Storage site. Note that positive, negative, and zero SI values correspond to aqueous fluids that are supersaturated, undersaturated, and at equilibrium with the indicated mineral. The timing of both gas injections is indicated by grey bars.




Figure 8. Comparison of measured and calculated non-reactive mixing concentrations of DIC and sulphur – see text. The timing of both gas injections is indicated by grey bars.




Figure 9. Schematic illustration of the contribution of various trapping mechanisms to the geologic storage as a function of time, a) injection of buoyant supercritical CO₂ into sedimentary rocks, modified from Benson et al. (2005), b) injection of CO₂ dissolved in water into basaltic rocks via the CarbFix method.