1 2

The history, geography and sociology of slums and the health problems of people who live in slums

3

4 Authors:

- 5 Dr Alex Ezeh, PhD^{1,2}
- 6 Dr Oyinlola Oyebode, PhD³
- 7 Dr David Satterthwaite, PhD⁴
- 8 Dr Yen-Fu Chen, PhD³
- 9 Dr Robert Ndugwa, PhD⁵
- 10 Jo Sartori, BA (Hons)³
- 11 Dr Blessing Mberu, PhD¹
- 12 Dr GJ Melendez-Torres, PhD³
- 13 Dr Tilahun Haregu, PhD¹
- 14 Dr Samuel I. Watson, PhD³
- 15 Prof Waleska Caiaffa, PhD⁶
- 16 Prof Anthony Capon, PhD⁷
- 17 Prof Richard J. Lilford, DSc (Hons)³
- 18
- 19 1. African Population and Health Research Centre, Manga Cl, Nairobi, Kenya.
- 20 2. School of Public Health, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- Warwick Centre for Applied Health Research and Delivery, University of Warwick,
 Coventry, CV4 7AL.
- 23 4. International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK.
- 5. Global Urban Observatory | Research and Capacity Development Branch ,United
- 25 Nations Human Settlements Programme, UN Avenue Gigiri, UN Complex, Block 4,
- South Wing, 2nd level P.O. Box 30030, GPO Nairobi 00100, Kenya.
- 27 6. School of Medicine, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil.
- 28 7. United Nations University, Kuala Lumpur.
- 29

30 Corresponding Author:

- 31 Richard J. Lilford
- 32 Warwick Centre for Applied Health Research and Delivery. Warwick Medical School.
- 33 University of Warwick
- 34 Coventry. CV4 7AL United Kingdom
- 35 Email: <u>R.J.Lilford@warwick.ac.uk</u> . Tel: +44 (0)24765 75884
- 36
- 37 Word count: 4,653
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41

42 Summary

43 Massive slums have become major features of cities in many low- and middle-income 44 countries. In this, the first in a series of two papers, we show why slums are unhealthy places with particularly high risks of infection and injury. We show that children are 45 especially vulnerable, and that the combination of malnutrition and recurrent diarrhoea leads 46 to stunted growth and longer term effects on cognitive development. We find that the 47 48 literature on slum health is underdeveloped in comparison to urban health, and poverty and 49 health. This is important because health is likely to be influenced by factors arising from the 50 shared physical and social environment, which have effects beyond those of poverty alone. 51 In the second paper we will consider what can be done to improve health and make 52 recommendations for the development of slum health as a field of study.

53

54 Introduction

55 Homo sapiens is undergoing a radical transformation of its ecology.¹ During the last two centuries the proportion of the world's population living in cities and towns has grown from 56 about 5% to more than 50%. This process of rapid 'urbanisation', which started in Europe 57 58 and North America following the Industrial Revolution, was accompanied by the development of large slums including famous examples, such as La Chapelle in Paris, 59 60 France, the Gorbals in Glasgow, Scotland, and Khitrov in Moscow, Russia. The last fifty years has seen massive urban growth in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) 61 characterised by sprawling slums that are now home to more than half of the population in 62 cities such as Mumbai, India, Nairobi, Kenya, and Mexico City, Mexico.² This dramatic 63 64 growth in slums has provoked increasing international interest, and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) specify a target to address the 'plight of slums'.³ 65 66 The broad purpose of this series of two articles is to investigate how this might be achieved 67 with respect to health. This, the first paper in the series, is organised as follows. First, we

provide some background to slums covering terminology and definitions, the size of slum populations, and the dynamics of their growth. Second, we make a theoretical argument that slum health should be a substantive topic for study, distinct from urban health, and from poverty and health. Third, we examine the extent and nature of previous research in slum health. Fourth, we describe the physical and social factors affecting health in slums. Fifth, we describe the particular health problems of people who live in slums, insofar as this can be discerned from the literature. Finally, we conclude.

75

76 Background

77 Terminology and Definitions

Concerns have been expressed that the term '*slum*' is emotive and pejorative.⁴ The term
'*informal settlement*' has been suggested as an alternative. However, the United Nations
continues to refer to '*slums*', for example in the 'Sustainable Development Goals'; 'informal
settlement' and 'slum' are not synonymous.

The United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) defines a 82 slum in terms of an urban space, as "a contiguous settlement where the inhabitants are 83 characterised as having inadequate housing and basic services".⁵ However, the most widely 84 used definition, promulgated by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-85 Habitat), is based on households where a slum household is defined as: "a group of 86 87 individuals that live under the same roof that lack one or more of the following conditions; access to improved water, access to improved sanitation, sufficient living space, durability of 88 housing and secure tenure".² Each of these five conditions is defined in more detail, for 89 example by specifying what type of sanitation qualifies as 'improved'. Two issues arise from 90 these contrasting definitions. First, 'slum' is a construct composed of many dimensions - five 91 in the case of the UN-Habitat definition – such that no single definition can be entirely 92 93 satisfactory. Second, while people intuitively think of slums as collections of dwellings, this

94 spatial construct is not included in the UN-Habitat definition. The concept of slums as spatial
95 entities is a unifying theme across both papers in this series.

96

97 **Population of Slums**

Measuring slum populations is not an exact science for reasons given in Panel A. The most 98 99 recent UN-Habitat estimates for slum populations suggest that 881 million people lived in 100 slums in the developing world in 2014,⁶ up from 689 million in 1990. The number of people 101 living in slums is increasing and remains particularly high in sub-Saharan Africa (56% of the urban population lives in slums) and Southern and Southeast Asia.⁶ It is estimated that by 102 2030, approximately 5 billion of the world's projected 8.1 billion people will live in urban 103 areas. Of these, about 2 billion will live in slums, primarily in Africa and Asia.² Most of this 104 105 growth will occur in smaller (tier two) cities where urbanisation continues without adequate 106 planning or expansion of infrastructure. What is driving this growth in slum populations?

107

108 Panel A: Counting people in slums

Data used by UN-Habitat to estimate slum populations emanate from two main sources –
population and housing censuses (conducted every ten years in most countries), and
national surveys that are often based on sampling frames from censuses. Making an
assessment of the size of slums is not an exact science because:

 There is more than one definition of a slum and any particular definition may be applied inconsistently. A given definition may change over time; for example, the living space threshold of the UN-Habitat definition was increased from over one to over two persons per room in 2008.

117 2. There are technical difficulties in the enumeration of slum populations; they are a 'hard
118 to reach' group since householders are frequently absent; people may rent rooms by the

night; illegal squatters may avoid surveys; census staff may be afraid to enter slums;
and because some countries do not have a census.

Many slum communities are not officially gazetted as residential areas and are therefore
 underrepresented in censuses and in national sampling frames.^{7, 8} China provides an
 example where many "城中村" – literally villages in the city – are populated by
 unregistered migrant workers.^{9, 10} In some cases, the exact opposite is witnessed, where
 governments over-count slum dwellers either for political motives/support, or for
 budgetary allocations related to service delivery.

4. Even where data for the UN definition of slums are available for a nation, they are only
collected every few years, so annual reporting of slum populations has to rely on
estimates and projections. Projecting the future size of slums is further complicated by
different rates at which slums are upgraded to non-slum across LMICs.

- 5. The threshold for definition of water supply and sanitation is set low, and the worldwide
 estimates of slum populations would inflate by several hundred million if the threshold
 were raised to a level sufficient to protect health.¹¹ In addition, applying the UN slum
- definitions to high-income nations may suggest that they have no slums but they may
- 135 still have significant proportions of their population living in 'inadequate housing'.
- 136 The population of slums can be stated as totals or as proportions in which case the
- 137 denominator can be either national or urban populations. These different methods can yield
- diverging trends. For example, in most regions of the world the percentage of the urban
- population living in slums has been declining since 1990, while the total numbers are rising.⁶
- 140

141 Dynamics and Underlying Causes of Slum Growth

142 Urbanisation can be prevented by restricting people's movement. For example, 'pass laws'

143 limited internal migration in many colonial countries, while the Chinese government went

- 144 further still by reversing the flow between countryside and city during the Cultural Revolution.
- 145 Removal of restrictions is typically followed by rapid urban migration as happened, for
- 146 example, following the abolition of slavery in Brazil in 1888.¹²
- 147 Once a population is free to move they will be motivated or constrained by many factors
- 148 (Table 1.1). The increase or decrease in slum populations is a dynamic process involving
- 149 flows of people from countryside and other city precincts, flows in the reverse directions,
- 150 conversion of city districts and peripheral land sites to slums (and vice versa), and the
- balance of births and deaths (natural growth) in the slum itself. As slums age, the proportion
- of growth that is natural (balance of births and deaths) increases, reaching figures as high as
- 153 75% in Mexico City.¹³ We model this dynamic process in Figure 1.1.
- 154

155 [Figure 1.1]

156

157 Table 1.1. Factors associated with rural/urban migration*

	Demand (pull) factors	S	upply side (push) factors
1.	Thriving informal economy.14	1.	Environmental degradation. ¹⁹
2.	Unrealistic expectations due to optimism bias,	2.	Famine. ¹⁹
	inadequate information, or distorted market	3.	Improved agricultural labour
	signals, but people and information travel back		productivity through
	and forth between countryside and city so this		mechanisation.19
	factor should not be over-emphasised.14	4.	Volatile commodity prices and
3.	Informed risk-taking, whereby people consciously		economic shocks. ¹⁹
	trade a small probability of large gains for the	5.	Ethnic violence.19
	status quo, or even ending up worse off.14	6.	Displacement, for example
4.	Altruistic desire to make reparations to family in		from 'development' projects,
	the countryside, ¹⁶ and to hedge urban and rural		such as construction of
	risks over the family. ¹³		dams. ¹⁹
5.	A sense of adventure and the desire to escape	7.	A desire to escape adverse
	the monotony of subsistence farming.17		social conditions, such as

6.	Lack of barriers to migration (such as a large	rural caste discrimination in
	family), and facilitators (such as an existing social	India. ²⁰
	network in the city to provide temporary	
	accommodation, support, and advice). ¹⁸	

158

*These factors do not, of course, explain growth and persistence of slums – see text.

159

160 Explaining what motivates individuals or families to move or stay where they are under 161 prevailing conditions, does not explain why the prevailing conditions are as they are. It does not account for the enormous size of slums, the number of people who become 'trapped' in 162 slums over generations,²¹ or the deepening poverty into which many people sink.^{22, 23} Nor 163 does it explain decoupling of economic and slum population growth - no less than 66 164 165 countries experienced a five year period of urbanisation without concurrent national economic growth between 1960 and 1995.²⁴ Many reasons have been proposed to explain 166 why slums form, persist, and grow including: national economic stagnation, failure of re-167 distribution, market distortion in favour of extractive elites, colonial legacies, lack of planning, 168 169 corruption, 'clientism', and anti-urban biases by national governments and international agencies. Fox provides a sure-footed account of how these factors have played out over 170 time;²⁵ Roy et al. offer a recent systematic review of models of slum growth under sub-171 optimal international and national policies;²⁶ and UN-Habitat has published a report on 172 173 factors that are associated with success in reducing slum growth among 100 countries over a 20 year period.²⁷ These macro-level factors must be left to further enquiry by historians, 174 political scientists, and economists while we will focus on the slums themselves. 175

176

177 Why Slum Health?

Not all people living in slums live in poverty and many who live in urban poverty reside
outside of slum areas. Over half of dwellings classified as 'slum households' (according to
the Un-Habitat definition) in Chennai, Delhi and Hyderabad, India fall outside of areas

classified as slums (according to the Indian definition of 60 contiguous slum households).²⁸
This means that the health of poor city dwellers is not necessarily a reflection of the health of
those who live in slums. There are three reasons why living in a slum and living in poverty
may produce different health outcomes:

- People who live in slums share environmental risks, such as those arising from poor
 sanitation they experience 'neighbourhood effects' (Panel B).^{29, 30}
- Likewise they benefit collectively from interventions, such as improved sanitation, in
 ways that will be explicated in paper two.
- Social and health improvement interventions that work in non-slum localities may not
 be transferrable to slum areas. For example, pit latrines are particularly unsuitable for
 slums (see paper two).

192 The health of people who congregate in slums should not be subsumed in urban health or in studies of poverty and health. Rather, slums should be studied as spatial entities. Yet, 193 194 censuses, in all but a small number of LMICs, do not identify slum from non-slum urban areas. The result is that national surveys, such as Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), 195 which are based on sampling frames derived from national censuses, do not distinguish 196 between households that are or are not located in a slum area of a city. Surveys based on 197 such censuses simply replicate the well-known association between poverty and health,^{22, 31} 198 ignoring the salience of space. We will argue in paper two that this should change and that 199 all countries should identify urban census tracts (enumeration areas) as slum or non-slum. 200

201

202 Panel B: Neighbourhood effects

A large body of literature attests to the existence of 'neighbourhood effects' on health.

204 Neighbourhood effects refer to factors that influence health at the community level

205 independent of individual household level factors, including individual household levels of

206 poverty/deprivation. They encompass pervasive effects operating across the spaces in

- 207 which people live. The mechanisms by which neighbourhoods exert their effects have been
- 208 classified in various ways. We provide examples of neighbourhood effects based on one
- 209 such classification system ³² in the Table.
- 210

211 Table: General and slum-specific evidence of neighbourhood effects

Type of neighbourhood effect ³²	Example	Example from slum context			
Physical environment	Indian families is more strongly	Slum environment and water supply is heavily contaminated with faeces in many slums. ³⁴			
Social interactions					
Geographic factors	USA have better health than equally poor people in poor	Many slums are exposed to geographic hazards, such as flooding, subsidence, and local pollution from factories. ³⁶			
Institutional factors	expectations of pupils who live in poor neighbourhoods. ³²	Some slums are stigmatised so that residents' rights are infringed to the point of expropriation. ³⁷			

212

Many authors ³⁸⁻⁴⁰ have examined slum effects in observational studies using multi-variate 213 214 modelling techniques to separate individual, household, and neighbourhood contributors to health. However, identification of neighbourhood effects from observational studies is fraught 215 216 with difficulty that might lead to under- and/or over-estimation.⁴¹ The study of neighbourhood 217 effects thus leans heavily on studies of underlying mechanisms (for example, showing that 218 soil and water are contaminated by faeces, or that overcrowding is associated with stress), 219 and studies where the environment is manipulated under experimental (or quasi-220 experimental) control (discussed in paper two). Rare instances exist where it has been

- possible to observe the effects of taking part in a lottery that allows some people to move to
 a new environment while others remain in their original neighbourhood the Moving to
 Opportunity experiments in the USA ³⁵ and India,⁴² for example.
- 224
- The idea of slums as spaces is central to the notion of slum health. That said, it is also the
- 226 case that while slums exist in space, these spaces are not homogenous but vary
- 227 considerably within and between slums in terms of population density, security of tenure,
- ²²⁸ official recognition, provision of services, topography, and social and economic make-up.⁴³
- 229 Context can have a large effect on the effectiveness of interventions as we discuss in paper
- 230 two.
- 231

232 Slum Health – a Neglected Subject?

233 We describe our literature retrieval algorithms in Panel C. Our intention was not only to

obtain literature to examine slum health but also, given the salience of neighbourhood

- effects, to compare and contrast this literature with the literature on urban health generally,
- rural health, and poverty and health.
- 237 Panel C: Search strategy and selection criteria

To gauge the relative attention the topic of slum health has received in medical research and
to characterise the nature of academic literature on slum health, we firstly carried out
bibliometric analyses of the relative volume of research studies concerning rural, urban and
slum settings (Web Appendix 1.2.1) and the number of registered clinical trials in these
settings in low- and middle-income countries (Web Appendix 1.2.2).
In order to identify key literature for the diffuse topic of slum health, we conducted a

- 244 systematic overview of reviews covering determinants of health in slum settings and/or
- 245 interventions that aim to improve the health of slum dwellers. Given that the identified

literature on determinants of health mainly draws evidence from cross-sectional studies that
are subject to selection effects as described in the text, we undertook a further systematic
review of cohort studies in slums. Acknowledging the important roles that international,
governmental and non-governmental organisations play in this area, we also systematically
searched the grey literature and reviewed relevant documents. Details of literature search
and study selection criteria for these reviews are provided in the text below.

252

253 1. Systematic overview of reviews concerned with slum health

254 We searched the following eight databases in January 2016: MEDLINE, including in-process and non-indexed citations, Embase, PsycINFO, LILACS, SciELO, WHO Global Health 255 Library, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, maintained by the NHS Centre for 256 257 Reviews and Dissemination, and CINAHL (all but two of the reviews detailed here were 258 found in MEDLINE or Embase). We put no limits on dates covered. In order to make the 259 search as sensitive as possible we included a wide range of synonyms for slums, derived from a list in a UN-Habitat report ⁴⁴ and augmented by other terms we have encountered: 260 261 baladi, bandas de miseria, barraca, barrio marginal, barrio, bidonville, brarek, bustee, chalis, chereka bete, dagatan, estero, favela, galoos, gecekondu, ghetto, hrushebi, informal 262 settlement, ishash, karyan, katras, looban, loteamento, medina achouaia, morro, mudun safi, 263 musseque, shanty town, slum, solares, tanake, taudis, township, tugurio, udukku, 264 265 umjondolo, watta, and zopadpattis. We further broadened our search by combining free-text synonyms with controlled vocabulary for slums and, where supported in the database, filters 266 267 for systematic reviews. No language restrictions were applied. We examined the titles and 268 abstracts of unique records and selected reviews (both systematic and narrative reviews) 269 that: 1) specifically provided results for people who live in slums; 2) specifically included 270 people who live in slums but did not provide specific results for the sub-group; and 3) 271 included the urban poor and hence were likely to have included slum dwellers, although this

272 was not specified. We selected reviews dealing with: a) the distribution and determinants of health relevant to slum settings, which are included in the evidence base for paper one (for a 273 summary of identified studies see Web Appendix 1.4, Table A5); and b) interventions for 274 slum populations, reporting health outcomes, which are included in the evidence base for 275 276 paper two (for a summary of identified studies see Table 2.2, paper two). Please note that some of the identified reviews reported both on the epidemiology of health conditions, and 277 interventions to improve these health conditions, in which case they are included in the 278 279 evidence base for both papers. A flow diagram for study retrieval and selection is available in 280 Web Appendix 1.3.1

281

282 **2. Systematic review of primary cohort studies relating to slum health**

283 We searched for primary cohort studies using MEDLINE and Embase (which support the necessary search filter for cohort studies) relating to slum populations, using the same free-284 text and controlled vocabulary terms for slums as stated in search one above. After 285 examining the titles and abstracts of the unique records this search returned, we selected 286 relevant studies (studies that prospectively recruited people living in slums and observed 287 288 them over at least two occasions over time). We located 128 studies meeting this criteria and classified them by key themes (e.g. paediatric nutrition and diarrhea; injury), integrating 289 290 these throughout the text as appropriate with other relevant studies. There was only one 291 study found in search two that had been picked up by the reviews identified through search 292 one. The study retrieval and selection process is also shown in the flow diagram in Web 293 Appendix 1.3.1

294

3. Systematic review of the grey literature

We searched the grey literature by reviewing official reports from the publication databasesof the World Bank, World Health Organization, and UN-Habitat on the basis of expert advice

298 from the authors. We covered the literature from January 2010 to February 2016. Our search terms included synonyms for slums in searches one and two above. Eight hundred and 299 eighty-four results were returned, and after examining the titles, abstracts, and text of these 300 studies and reports we selected 245 publications that dealt partially or wholly with issues 301 302 arising in slums. For a breakdown of publications see Web Appendix 1.3.2. Many important 303 articles were found in this literature, including those relating to the economics of slum 304 formation, system level interventions (such as the effect of providing tenure/title), and certain 305 notable large scale studies, including a randomised trial of home improvement. 306 We supplement the above three reviews with additional searches as needed on the advice of experts (such as the searches for literature related to neighbourhood effects in slums, 307

308 Web Appendix 1.3.3), and further extended these with authors' collections of references and 309 additional papers identified by subject experts.

310

311 The bibliometric analysis supports the hypothesis that slum health has received scant 312 attention compared with rural health, urban health, and poverty and health:

Studies on slum health make up only a small proportion of the LMIC literature. For
 instance only 2.8% of LMIC papers on MEDLINE and Embase that stated where the
 study was carried out were based in a slum location (Web Appendix 1.2.1).

Only 7% LMIC trials registered on the WHO Clinical Trials Registry Platform that
 stated where the trial was carried out were based in a slum location and in many
 cases slums were chosen as a convenience sample, for instance to study the effects
 of a new vaccine, rather than to examine slum health or how to improve it (Web

320 Appendix 1.2.2).

321 3. There is no MeSH term for 'slum' or its synonyms on MEDLINE or Embase.

322 Further evidence that slum health is a neglected topic can be found by examining the

323 location of the 38 Demographic Surveillance Sites based in Africa; only one (the Nairobi

Urban Health and Demographic Surveillance System) is based *entirely* in a slum area. In
 addition, slums are not identified as a determinant of health in the influential Global Burden
 of Disease report.⁴⁵

327 Before moving on to discuss the findings on health and its determinants it is worth

328 mentioning the type of literature retrieved and its possible biases. Most literature on health

329 and welfare in slums is based on cross-sectional studies that are subject to selection effects,

including:

- Those who migrate are healthier on average than those who remain in rural settings
 (healthy mover effect).
- 333 2. Those who transition rapidly through the slums are under-represented relative to all
 334 who have been exposed to slums (a form of 'rate bias').

These factors may lead to potential bias when seeking to make an inference about the effect

of moving to a slum from another place, or the net effect of slums on health or wellbeing.

The second factor can be mitigated by use of longitudinal studies; the rationale for a specific

338 search for such studies (Panel C).

339

340 Living and Working Conditions in Slums

341 Slums are usually *formed* close to areas where work is available. Under population

342 pressures the slum pushes upward (stories added to dwellings) and outward. Competition

for sites close to places of work causes inflation in rents and land prices so that landlords in

344 central locations may end up quite well-off, while those at the periphery become

- progressively disadvantaged;^{46, 47} the Gini coefficient (a measure of income inequality) in
- Bangladesh is larger *within* slums than across the country as a whole.⁴⁸ This is important
- 347 because increasing poverty generates health inequality, which in turn leads to deeper
- 348 poverty creating a vicious circle (or poverty trap).³⁸

Security of tenure is a key issue for slum households. Slums are often set up on unclaimed 349 or municipal land.⁴⁹ It is then up to the authorities to decide whether or not to recognise the 350 slum and confer residency rights on citizens - such 'notified' locations make up only about 351 half of all slums in India, for example.⁵⁰ People with no rights have little incentive to invest in 352 353 healthier homes and may be evicted without compensation to provide more lucrative middleclass housing to the benefit of an 'extractive elite'; slum landlords and local government 354 officials may be one and the same.⁵¹ Large scale evictions have taken place under apartheid 355 in South Africa,⁵² state capitalism in China,⁵³ and even democratic local government in 356 Brazil.⁵⁴ The injustice and inhumanity of these evictions is compounded by the plight of the 357 displaced settlers who must move to new locations that are even more disadvantaged, in 358 359 terms of access to the labour market and environmental safety, than their original slum 360 habitat,⁵⁵ again widening inequalities.

Whether through eviction or a shortage of space, people in slums may inhabit dangerous 361 locations such as ravines, where they are subject to landslides (Caracas, Venezuela), flood 362 363 plains, where they are subject to drowning and loss of homes (Manila, Philippines), and 364 under power lines increasing the risk of fires (Nairobi). In Quito, Ecuador, people who live in slums have been forced above the 2850 metre city limit that marks the highest level that can 365 be serviced by the municipal water distribution system.⁵⁶ People who live in slums are also 366 367 especially vulnerable to the effects of global warming. For example, poorly constructed homes are ill-equipped to withstand the elements and mortality risk from tropical cyclones 368 369 (after controlling for storm intensity) is over a hundred times greater in low-income compared to high-income countries.⁵⁷ 370

Slums provide access to markets for millions of people and provide conditions where microenterprises become established.⁵⁸ The informal sector is worth US\$10 trillion per year
globally and employs 80% of the workforce in LMICs.⁵⁹ But people live hand to mouth as
day-to-day existence requires out-of-pocket payments, not just for food and accommodation,
but for basic amenities, such as water, access to toilets, cooking fuel, transportation, and

education. Informal sector workers with minimal statutory rights and who lose income when
they are absent from work ⁶⁰ are at a particular disadvantage if they live in slum areas with
long and costly commutes. Health facilities, if present, are closed when they return from
work and they cannot attend appointments for immunisation, antenatal care, or care of longterm conditions. Women, earn on average only a third of men's earnings in urban areas of
sub-Saharan Africa.⁶⁰

382 Not only is there an economic and social gradient within slums, but slums themselves may differ from each other, not just economically, but socially. This is illustrated with respect to 383 crime where some slums (e.g. Kumasi in Ghana and Surabaya in Indonesia²) have low 384 crime rates, while others are dominated by criminal gangs, as in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and 385 Caracas, Venezuela ^{61, 62} leading to the concept of 'slums of hope and slums of despair'.⁶³ It 386 would be useful if high risk localities could be identified on the basis of their characteristics 387 and a study across 48 slum areas in Mumbai, India identified maternal and child health risk 388 areas with high specificity but low sensitivity on the basis of access to water and sanitation, 389 housing quality, and tenancy status.⁶⁴ We have not located studies to identify risk by higher 390 391 level factors such as size of slums, and have cited limited information suggesting that large 392 established slums have lower social capital than newer slums. There is a positive correlation between average duration of residence in a slum and the prevalence of violence in that 393 394 slum.³⁸ Strong social pressures in slums can affect drug use and teenage sexual behaviour at the community level. We explore some of these through the words of mothers of teenage 395 children in Web Appendix 1.1. 396

Slum dwellings are loosely fitted together from available materials allowing easy access for vectors of disease. Under the sun corrugated iron dwellings become oppressively hot, while at night temperatures in high altitude cities can plummet to lows of -4.4°C in Mexico City, Mexico and -0.5°C in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Many slums households do not have piped water or lavatories. Pit-latrines contaminate the environment and the water supply is prone to contamination at multiple points. Homes are crowded and afford little privacy. Cooking

and heating with solid fuels in confined spaces pollutes the air with noxious fumes and
particulate matter. Streets and lanes are unpaved with no drainage and are therefore
converted to mud and stagnant pools when it rains. Garbage collects in huge, malodourous
piles and often contains excrement. There is little open space where children can play safely
or where adults can relax.

The determinants of health interact and are highly reinforcing.⁶⁵ For instance, poor maternal 408 409 mental health postnatally reduces willingness to breastfeed and also affects the mother's bonding to her child, placing the child at risk physically and socially. Early weaning, failure to 410 immunise, exposure to contaminated water, and malnutrition interact producing enteropathy 411 and stunting, which in turn predispose to reduced school performance, and reduced life 412 413 chances. If a mother does not breastfeed, her fertility will return quickly after childbirth, resulting in reduced spacing between children. As a result less time, money, and loving 414 support can be given to each child. If a parent develops a serious disease, such as recurrent 415 tuberculosis, the family will suffer catastrophic financial loss (due to cost of healthcare and 416 417 loss of earnings) reducing educational opportunities. It is time to examine in more detail how slum neighbourhoods predispose their inhabitants to disease. 418

419

420 Health in SlumsChild Mortality

421 It is difficult to measure the life expectancy of people who live in slums because they move to and fro and may return to rural areas to die. However, child mortality is easier to ascertain. 422 While child mortality is similar between rural and urban locations overall ⁶⁶ comparisons 423 between slum areas specifically and the countryside tell a different story. Higher infant 424 and/or neonatal mortality in slum versus rural areas has been found in Kenya, Ecuador, 425 Brazil, Haiti, and in the Philippines ⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹, although rural areas with particularly high malaria 426 427 exposures may experience even higher child mortality rates than high altitude slums.⁷⁰ We 428 have examined this issue further by analysing survey data from Bangladesh and Kenya; two

429	countries where the census distinguishes between slum and non-slum urban areas (Table
430	1.2). We find that slums have worse health outcomes for children than the rural populations
431	of both countries. Even if we define the rural poor as the lowest tertile by socioeconomic
432	status, children have higher mortality rates in the slums of Nairobi. Diarrhoea and
433	pneumonia are the two main worldwide killers of children under five years ^{71, 72} and there
434	seems little doubt that young children (under five years) are at particularly high risk in slums
435	as discussed below in more detail.

436

438 Table 1.2: Comparison of levels and trends in early childhood mortality among slum

439 and other sub-populations in Bangladesh and Kenya

BANGLADESH CHILD MORTALITY - 2006/07

	UHS* 2006	Demographic and Health Survey 2007				
	Urban Slums	All Rural	Rural Poor	All Urban	Dhaka	National
Neonatal Mortality rate	43.7	41	44.5	33	38	37
Infant Mortality rate	63-1	59	65.6	50	55	52
Under-five mortality rate	80.7	77	85.7	63	69	65

BANGLADESH CHILD MORTALITY - 2013/14

	UHS* 2013	Demographic and Health Survey 2014				
	Urban Slums	All Rural	Rural Poor	All Urban	Dhaka	National
Neonatal Mortality rate	31	31	41.2	21	25	28
Infant Mortality rate	49	40	54	34	35	38
Under-five mortality rate	57	49	63-9	37	41	46

KENYA CHILD MORTALITY - 2000-2003

	NCSS** 2000	Demographic and Health Survey 2003				
	Nairobi Slums	All Rural	Rural Poor	All Urban	Nairobi	National
Neonatal Mortality rate	30.4	34	35.5	26	32	33
Infant mortality rate	91	79	94	61	67	77
Under-five mortality rate	151	117	144-2	93	95	115

KENYA CHILD MORTALITY - 2012/13

	NCSS** 2012	Demographic and Health Survey 2014				
	Nairobi Slums	All Rural	Rural Poor	All Urban	Nairobi	National
Neonatal Mortality rate	14.4	21	20.5	26	39	22
Infant mortality rate	39-2	40	38-2	43	55	39
Under-five mortality rate	79.8	56	53.3	57	22	52

440 *Urban Health Survey

441 **Nairobi Cross-sectional Slum Survey

442 All mortality rates are per 1,000 live births.

443 Neonatal mortality rate: the probability of dying within the first month of life.

444 Infant mortality rate: the probability of dying before the first birthday,

445 Under-five mortality rate: the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday.

446

Table 1.2 Legend: For the comparison of early childhood mortality among slums, rural poor,
all urban, and national populations, we used data from slum surveys and the DHS. For
Kenya, data for slums were extracted from the Nairobi Cross-sectional Slum survey 2000,
and 2012,⁷³ and for all other residential domains, data were extracted from DHS 2003, and
2014.⁷⁴ In Bangladesh, indicators for slum population were extracted from the Urban Health
Survey 2006, and 2013.⁷⁵ The corresponding indicator data for other residential domains
were extracted from Bangladesh DHS 2007, and 2014.⁷⁶

456 This study was made possible by slum-specific indicators that are tagged on to residential domains in census and surveys in Bangladesh and Kenya. In Kenya, the selection of slums 457 for the survey was informed by 1999 and 2009 census listings that identified slum 458 enumeration areas. A weighted cross-sectional sample was designed, representative of 459 households in all slum clusters of Nairobi in 2000 and 2012. In the Urban Health Survey in 460 Bangladesh slums were defined as areas of concentrated vulnerability. Using satellite 461 images from census 2005 as a starting point, four criteria for identifying slums were used: 462 463 poor housing conditions, high overall density, poor environmental services, and high prevalence (over 75 percent) of people with income below the poverty level. The rural poor 464 465 were classified as the lower tertile of the rural population based on wealth scores data from the respective DHS. 466

It is noteworthy that mortality rates are declining in both countries in both rural and slum
areas. In Nairobi, however, the situation of children in the slum areas relative to rural poor
children appears to have worsened over time.

- 470
- 471
- 472

When children move to slums from the countryside they are most vulnerable immediately
following their arrival, presumably because they have little immunity to the organisms in their
new neighbourhood.⁷⁷ When compared to children whose parents do not leave for the city,
children left behind in the countryside have unchanged or even improved health – perhaps
as the result of remittances.^{78, 79}

479

480 Infectious diseases

481 Pit latrines with slabs qualify as 'improved sanitation' in the World Health Organization (WHO) / United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Joint Monitoring Programme definition.¹¹ 482 Yet such facilities are inappropriate in a crowded slum environment.⁸⁰ Even when judged 483 against this low standard, only 40% of the urban population in sub-Saharan Africa had 484 485 'improved sanitation', while 33% had piped water in their homes in 2015. The situation in slums specifically can only be worse. Gastrointestinal infections are highly prevalent in slums 486 ^{67, 81} and children under five years old are particularly vulnerable (see below). Two 487 systematic reviews of cholera outbreaks in Africa identified slum neighbourhoods as the 488 usual source of the epidemic.^{82, 83} Slum dwellers perceive water and sanitation as their most 489 pressing need.⁸⁴ Interestingly, slum life may protect children from the effects of polio 490 because the virus is likely to be contracted at a particularly early age in slum areas, and 491 hence at a stage when the baby is still protected by maternal antibodies.⁸⁵ 492

Accumulation of rubbish and poor housing provide breeding grounds for parasites and vectors of disease. Leptospirosis is a particular problem, resulting from the proliferation of rats in garbage and persistence of the bacterium in surface water and mud.^{86, 87} Dengue fever is one of the few infectious diseases that is increasing globally,⁴⁵ and its vector, the *Aedes* mosquito, is particularly adapted to survival in slum areas, in contrast to the *Anopheles* mosquito, which thrives in high sunlight and plentiful vegetation.⁸⁸

499

Social factors affect transmission of disease. Sojourns in rural areas bring 'rural' diseases
(e.g. schistosomiasis) into the city.⁸⁹ Overcrowding contributes to the high prevalence of
tuberculosis. Slum residents are a young, highly mobile population contributing to the higher
incidence of HIV in slums compared to non-slum city areas.⁹⁰ In the recent Ebola epidemic in
West Africa, slum conditions amplified spread of the disease.⁹¹

505

506 Under-nutrition and malnutrition

507 Under-nutrition is the leading indirect cause of childhood mortality and morbidity in sub-508 Saharan Africa.⁹² Recent surveys of food insecurity specifically in slums found rates of 85% 509 of households in Nairobi,⁹³ 77% in Northern India,⁹⁴ and 74% in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.⁹⁵ 510 Three reviews examining diet and nutrition in slums ^{67, 96, 97} all showed that people who live in 511 slums were at a nutritional disadvantage compared with other urban residents. People who 512 live in slums rely on street vendors of pre-cooked foods for about a fifth of their calorie 513 intake.⁹⁸

Under-nutrition interacts with recurrent diarrhoea ⁹⁹⁻¹⁰¹ in children leading to stunted 514 growth.¹⁰² A systematic review reports that across multiple regions (including the Democratic 515 Republic of Congo, Bolivia, India, Bangladesh, and Kenya) the rate of stunting in children 516 residing in slums is higher than in non-slum urban or rural areas.^{23, 77} Early childhood 517 diarrhoea also impacts child cognitive development,¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁶ the economic consequences of 518 which ¹⁰⁷ are overlooked in cost-effectiveness studies of slum improvement (paper two). 519 520 Exclusive breastfeeding to age six months and partial breastfeeding from 6-23 months reduce incidence of, and mortality from, diarrhoea and pneumonia, and also reduces all-521 cause mortality in LMICs.¹⁰¹ Breastfeeding rates are low in slums,¹⁰⁸ partly due to labour 522 market conditions that make it difficult for mothers to either stay at home or take their babies 523 524 to work with them.

525

526 Injury, accidents and violence

Trauma accounts for 10% of deaths worldwide and this proportion is increasing.⁴⁵ According to a recent study in Nairobi in slums, injury accounted for 22% of all deaths among adults, over a half of all deaths among men under 35 years, and 69% of deaths in young men aged 15-19. Over half of all injury related deaths resulted from assault.¹⁰⁹ Although data are not available for control areas, we have noted that the social environment differs greatly across slums and this is likely to influence crime and hence injury rates as discussed earlier.

non-slum urban, or rural areas,⁷⁷ largely due to cooking methods. A cohort study of children
in the Kibera slum, Kenya found an incidence of burns that was ten times higher than across
LMICs as a whole.¹¹⁰

537

538 Mental health

Neuropsychiatric conditions are, according to one estimate, the single leading cause of 539 years of life lost to ill-health, disability, or early death (DALYs) worldwide.¹¹¹ The living and 540 working conditions in slums predisposes to stress (as described above) and stress leads to 541 psychological disorders¹¹² such as those seen among workers in garment factories in 542 Bangladesh.¹¹³ We found one systematic review which reported that children living in slums 543 experience more behavioural and emotional problems than children living in rural or non-544 slum urban areas.⁷⁷ Our principle finding is that there is very little direct literature on slum 545 546 mental health or how it may be affected by the social milieu in slum neighbourhoods.

547

548 Non-communicable diseases

Non-communicable diseases now outweigh communicable diseases as a cause of loss of
 life years even in LMICs.⁴⁵ Just two reviews examined non-communicable diseases in

slums, both focussed on the high prevalence of childhood asthma.^{114, 115} Indoor cooking with 551 solid fuels is a cause of respiratory disease in poor households generally,¹¹⁶ and the 552 unsanitary conditions in slums are associated with up-regulation of inflammatory responses 553 554 leading to a high prevalence of non-atopic asthma, in contrast to high-income countries 555 where, according to the hygiene hypothesis, allergy results from excessive cleanliness.¹¹⁴ Rates for hypertension were slightly lower in slums than in other populations both in a 556 Kenyan¹¹⁷ and Brazilian study¹¹⁸. The former study also examined treatment and control of 557 hypertension, which was less comprehensive in the slum setting – a finding consistent with 558 the above mentioned problem of accessing healthcare in slums. With respect to other major 559 non-communicable diseases, cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes, the risk among 560 561 people who live in slums is poorly documented. Cigarettes are unaffordable to many and there is evidence that the number of cigarettes consumed by smokers in slums is very much 562 lower than smokers in general.⁹³ Women and men in slums are heavier and exercise less 563 rural dwellers but have more exercise and are less obese than non-slum urban controls.¹¹⁹ 564

565

566 **Conclusion**

567 Nearly a billion people live in slums and this is projected to double by 2030. This is not reflected in the literature, which is rudimentary when compared to the heft of the literature on 568 569 urban health generally, rural health, and the relationship between poverty and health. Yet there are good reasons to study slum health specifically, since slums are spaces where 570 neighbourhood effects are likely to exist, mediated through factors such as faecal 571 contamination of the environment, garbage mountains, stagnant ground water, 572 573 overcrowding, poorly constructed homes, physical hazards (such as burns, scalds, and accidental fires), and indoor and outdoor pollution. More generic determinants of health 574 575 include job insecurity, lack of tenure/title, poor transport networks, stigmatisation, and the social structures within slums that vary from supportive to highly toxic. 576

577 Given these determinants it comes as no surprise that people in slums have much worse 578 health than those in non-slum urban areas. More controversial is the effect of slum versus 579 rural habitation. Here we find that the so-called 'urban bias' in favour of urban areas, does 580 not necessarily extend to slums, and that, at least in some slums and on some dimensions 581 of health, people who live in slums have worse health than the rural poor. This, of course, 582 does not mean that people have made a miscalculation in moving to slums because those 583 with short sojourn times are under-represented in cross-sectional samples. However, those 584 who remain in slums can enter a downward spiral of ill health and financial distress leading to 'poverty traps' from which escape is difficult, as Jeffrey Sachs has shown.^{23, 120} 585

586 Another important finding relates to the particular vulnerability of children in slums. They are 587 more susceptible to infections, such as diarrhoea, and suffer long-term consequences in terms of health and life chances – the topic of a recent systematic review.¹⁰⁷ It is for this 588 reason that we will stress the plight of children in paper two. Another particularly stark finding 589 590 is the high rate of violent death among young adult males – a topic worthy of further enquiry. 591 The literature on mental health and chronic disease in slum populations is disproportionately small. Such evidence as we have been able to glean suggests that hypertension, an 592 593 enormous emerging problem in sub-Saharan Africa is, in fact, less prevalent in slums than in other urban areas. Likewise, smokers tend to smoke a considerably smaller number of 594 595 cigarettes in slums than elsewhere. We have not examined evidence on obesity and diabetes in slum populations, although we have received anecdotal reports that this is a 596 rising problem. 597

In paper two we will turn our attention to what can be done to improve health in slums and to show that neighbourhood effects can be turned to advantage when interventions are promulgated. We will also make positive suggestions to make slums more visible to policymakers and to enhance the depth and breadth of research in support of people who live in slums.

603 Key messages

- The population of slums has increased massively in size over the last 60 years and
 slums now dominate many cities in LMICs, and are increasing in total population
 size, particularly in Africa.
- Slum health issues are widely subsumed in urban health and the relationship
 between poverty and health. Failure to recognise slums as spatial entities obscures
 neighbourhood effects that are likely to have potential influence on health in slums.
- 3. There is a long and unfortunate history in which people in slums have been
- 611 marginalised and even stigmatised with the result that they experience expropriation

of property, displacement, and denial of access to basic services.

- 4. People in slums frequently live hand to mouth so that when illness occurs the victim
 is likely to fall into extreme poverty, which in turn leads to worse health leading to
 extreme inequality and poverty traps.
- 5. Inadequate water supply, sanitation, drainage, and garbage collection in a crowded
 environment predisposes to recurrent diarrhoea and diseases such as typhoid, hook
 worm, and cholera.
- 619 6. Children are especially vulnerable in slums because of low breastfeeding rates,
- 620 under-nutrition, and poor sanitation, predisposing to chronic diarrhoea, stunting, and
- 621 impaired cognitive development. Child health was found to be even worse in slums
- than among the rural poor in numerous studies.
- 7. Reservoirs and vectors for infectious diseases such as dengue, leishmaniasis, andleptospirosis flourish in slum environments.
- 8. The shared physical and social environment of slums exposes residents to health
 risks of injury from fire, extreme weather, and crime.
- 9. Insufficient attention has been paid to mental health and non-communicable diseases
 in stressful slum environments, or of how slum characteristics might influence health
 outcomes.

- 630 10. Slum health should be distinguished from urban health and mainstreamed in the
- 631 implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals and the New Urban Agenda.

635 **Contributors**

- This series on slum health has been an international collaboration led by the University of
- 637 Warwick, African Population and Health Research Centre, United Nations Human
- 638 Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat), International Institute for Environment and
- 639 Development, United Nations University, and the Federal University of Minas Gerais. The
- 640 idea for this series came from RJL and AE who jointly conceptualised the framework and
- 641 initial draft of this paper. GJMT, JS and YFC conducted the systematic review and OO led
- on the health aspects. All authors provided references and material and contributed actively
- to the drafting and reviewing of the manuscript.

644

645 **Declaration of interests**

- 646 The authors declare no competing interests
- 647

648 Acknowledgements

- The authors would like to acknowledge, Aileen Clarke, Trevor Hancock, Trudy Harpham,
- and Christine MacArthur for their useful review comments during the writing process. We
- would also like to acknowledge Peter Chilton for his help with references, and preparing the
- figures and manuscript for publication.
- 653 Prof Richard Lilford and Dr Oyinlola Oyebode are supported by the National Institute for
- Health Research (NIHR) Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care
- 655 (CLAHRC) West Midlands initiative. Prof Waleska T. Caiaffa is supported by the Brazilian
- 656 National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq). The African
- 657 Population and Health Research Centre (APHRC) team are supported in part from core
- 658 support grants from the Hewlett Foundation, the Swedish International Development
- 659 Cooperation Agency (Sida) and an anonymous funder. This paper presents independent

- 660 research and the views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of
- the funding sources, the National Health Service (NHS), or the UK Department of Health.

662

664 Figure Legends

Figure 1.1: Basic model depicting population flows between countryside and the city and between formal versus slum precincts of the city*

667 * Use of yearly transition rates enable dynamic flow to be modelled net of seasonal 668 fluctuations.

A key transition in the generation of slums is movement between countryside and city $-t_1$

and t₃. According to a famous model from Harris and Todaro ¹⁴ migration from the

671 countryside is propelled by surplus labour on the land in the run up to the demographic

transition and a growing demand for labour in the cities, which generates a gap in expected

673 wealth.¹⁴

674 Transitions from city to countryside are represented by t₂ and t₄. A sustained period (five

years or more) where migration from city to countryside exceeded migration from

676 countryside to city (t_2 and t_4) > (t_1 and t_3) happened in only five LMICs in a 35 year period

677 (1960-1995) and these include the massive upheavals in China and Cambodia.

678 People move from formal city precincts to slums because of their financial circumstances,

but this transition (t_5) also occurs when previously better off areas fall into decay through

economic recession and middle-class flight, as happened in previously fashionable precincts

of Lima, Peru.¹⁵ The reverse transition (t₆) can also come about because people move from

slum to formal precincts or because a slum is upgraded to a non-slum area. The balance

 $between t_5 and t_6 is$, of course, critical to the question of whether slums expand or contract,

684 as discussed in the text.

685

686

688 **References**

- McMichael AJ. The urban environment and health in a world of increasing globalization:
 issues for developing countries. *Bull World Health Organ* 2000; 78(9): 1117-26.
- 691 2. UN-Habitat. The Challenge of the Slums. Global Report on Human Settlements 2003.
 692 London, UK: Earthscan Publications Ltd, 2003.
- 693 3. United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals 2015. URL:
- 694 https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/?menu=1300 (accessed 21 March 2016).
- Gilbert A. The Return of the Slum: Does Language Matter? Int J Urban Regional 2007;
 31(4): 697-713.
- 5. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation. Slum profile in human
 settlements. 2009. URL:
- 699 http://webworld.unesco.org/water/wwap/wwdr/indicators/pdf/C3_Slum_profile_in_human 700 _settlements.pdf (accessed 21 March 2016).
- 6. UN-Habitat. World Cities Report 2016: Urbanization and Development Emerging
 Futures. Nairobi, Kenya: UN-Habitat, 2016.
- 703 7. Carr-Hill R. Missing Millions and Measuring Development Progress. World Dev 2013;
 704 46: 30-44.
- 8. Government of India. Report of the Committee on Slum Statistics/Census. New Delhi,
 India: Government of India, 2010.
- Ma LJC, Xiang B. Native place, migration and the emergence of peasant enclaves in
 Beijing. *China* Q 1998; 155: 546-81.
- Wong T-C. Developmental Idealism: Building Cities Without Slums in China. In: Wong
 T-C, ed. Population Mobility, Urban Planning and Management in China. Switzerland:
 Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- Satterthwaite D. Missing the Millennium Development Goal targets for water and
 sanitation in urban areas. *Environ Urban* 2016: 1-20.
- 12. Xavier HN, Magalhães F. Urban slums report: The case of Rio de Janeiro 2003.
 London, UK: Development Planning Unit, University College London, 2003.
- Lucas REB. Internal migration and urbanization: Reent contributions and new evidence.
 Institute for Economic Development Discussion Paper Series Number 91. Boston, MA:
 Boston University, 1998.
- Harris JR, Todaro MP. Migration, Unemployment and Development: A Two-Sector
 Analysis. *Am Econ Rev* 1970; 60(1): 126-42.
- 15. Lloyd P. The 'Young Towns' of Lima. Aspects of Urbanization in Peru. Cambridge, UK:
 Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- Beegle K, De Weerdt J, Dercon S. Migration and Economic Mobility in Tanzania:
 Evidence from a Tracking Survey. *Rev Econ Stat* 2011; 93(3): 1010-33.
- 17. Banerjee AV, Duflo E. The Economic Lives of the Poor. J Econ Perspect 2007; 21(1):
 141-67.
- 18. Munshi K. Community Networks and Migration. 2014. URL:
- http://www.histecon.magd.cam.ac.uk/km/handbooknetworks1.pdf (accessed 25 April 2016).
- Tacoli C. Crisis or adaption? Migration and climate change in a context of high mobility.
 Environ Urban 2009; 21(2): 513-25.
- Aiyar SSA. Slums are hubs of hope, progress and dignity. 2013. URL:
 http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2013-03-31/news/38163286_1_slumhouseholds-villages-rural-india (accessed 24 Mar 16).
- Perlman J. FAVELA: Four decades of living on the edge in Rio de Janeiro. New York,
 NY: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- 737 22. Mitlin D, Satterthwaite D. Urban Poverty in the Global South. London, UK: Routledge,
 738 2013.

- Marx B, Stoker T, Suri T. The Economics of Slums in the Developing World. *J Econ Perspect* 2013; 27(4): 187-210.
- Fay M, Opal C. Urbanization without Growth: A Not-So-Uncommon Phenomenon. Policy
 Research Working Paper 2412. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 2000.
- Fox S. The Political Economy of Slums: Theory and Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa.
 World Devel 2013; 54: 191-203.
- Roy D, Lees MH, Palavalli B, Pfeffer K, Sloot MA. The emergence of slums: A
 contemporary view on simulation models. *Environ Modell Softw* 2014; 59: 76-90.
- 27. UN-Habitat. State of the World's Cities 2010/2011 Cities for All: Bridging the Urban
 Divide. Nairobi, Kenya: UN-Habitat, 2010.
- 28. Lucci P, Bhatkal T, Khan A. Are we underestimating urban poverty? London, UK:
 Overseas Development Institute, 2016.
- van Ham M, Manley D, Bailey N, Simpson L, Maclennan D. Neighbourhood effects
 research: new perspectives. London, UK: Springer, 2012.
- Meijer M, Rohl J, Bloomfield K, Grittner U. Do neighborhoods affect individual mortality?
 A systematic review and meta-analysis of multilevel studies. *Soc Sci Med* 2012; 74(8):
 1204-12.
- Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S, et al. The Association Between Income and Life
 Expectancy in the United States, 2001-2014. JAMA 2016; 315(16): 1750-66.
- 32. Galster G. The Mechanism(s) of Neighbourhood Effects: Theory, Evidence and Policy
 Implications. In: van H, M., Manley D, Bailey N, Simpson L, Maclennan D, eds.
 Neighbourhood Effects Research: New Perspectives. Dordrecht, the Netherlands:
 Springer, 2012.
- 33. Geruso M, Spears D. Sanitation and health externalities: Resolving the Muslim mortality
 paradox. Working Paper. Austin, TX: University of Texas, 2014.
- 34. Bain R, Cronk R, Hossain R, et al. Global assessment of exposure to faecal
 contamination through drinking water based on a systematic review. *Tropical Med Int Health* 2014; 19(8): 917-27.
- 35. Chetty R, Hendren N, Katz L. The Effects of Exposure to Better Neighbourhoods on
 Children: New Evidence from the Moving to Opportunity Experiment. *Am Econ Rev* 2016; 106(4): 855-902.
- 36. Landrigan PJ, Fuller R, Horton R. Environmental pollution, health, and development: a
 Lancet-Global Alliance on Health and Pollution-Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai
 Commission. *Lancet* 2015; 386(10002): 1429-31.
- 37. Shiree-DSK. Moving Backwards: Korail Slum Eviction. 2012. URL:
 http://r4d.dfid.gov.uk/PDF/Outputs/EEP/Korail-Eviction-Report.pdf (accessed 7 May 2016).
- Parks MJ. Urban Poverty Traps: Neighbourhoods and Violent Victimisation and
 Offending in Nairobi, Kenya. *Urban Studies* 2014; 51(9): 1812-32.
- 39. Gruebner O, Khan MM, Lautenbach S, et al. Mental health in the slums of Dhaka a
 geoepidemiological study. *BMC Public Health* 2012; 12: 177.
- 40. Nolan LB. Slum Definitions in Urban India: Implications for the Measurement of Health
 Inequalities. *Popul Dev Rev* 2015; 41(1): 59-84.
- 782 41. Oakes JM. The (mis)estimation of neighborhood effects: causal inference for a
 783 practicable social epidemiology. Soc Sci Med 2004; 58(10): 1929-52.
- 42. Barnhardt S, Field E, Pande R. Moving to Opportunity or Isolation? Network Effects of a
 Randomised Housing Lottery in Urban India. NBER Working Paper 21419.
 Massachusetts, CA: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2015.
- 43. Harpham T. Urban health in developing countries: what do we know and where do we
 go? *Health Place* 2009; 15(1): 107-16.
- 44. UN-Habitat. Slums of the World: The Face of Urban Poverty in the New Millennium.
 Nairobi, Kenya: UN-Habitat, 2003.

- 45. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national
 incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases
 and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
 Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015; 386(9995): 743-800.
- 46. Shami M, Majid H. The Political Economy of Public Goods Provision in Slums. Working
 Paper July 2014. London, UK: The International Growth Centre, London School of
 Economics, 2014.
- 47. Gulyani S, Talukdar D. Slum Real Estate: The Low-Quality High-Price Puzzle in
 Nairobi's Slum Rental Market and its Implications for Theory and Practice. *World Dev*2008; 36(10): 1916-37.
- 48. Jahan N, Howlader S, Sultana N, Ishaq F, Sikder M, Rahman T. Health Care Seeking
 Behavior of Slum-Dwellers in Dhaka City. Dhaka, Bangladesh: University of Dhaka,
 2015.
- 49. Turner JFC. Uncontrolled urban settlement: problems and policies. New York, NY:
 805 United Nations, 1967.
- Subbaraman R, O'Brien J, Shitole T, et al. Off the map: the health and social
 implications of being a non-notified slum in India. *Environ Urban* 2012; 24(2): 643-63.
- 808 51. Rashid SF. Strategies to reduce exclusion among populations living in urban slum
 809 settlements in Bangladesh. *J Health Pop Nutr* 2009; 27(4): 574-86.
- Muller G. The legal-historical context of urban forced evictions in South Africa.
 Fundamina 2013; 19(2): 367-96.
- 812 53. Westendorff DG. Security of housing tenure in the People's Republic of China:
 813 Background, trends and issues. Nairobi, Kenya: UN-Habitat, 2007.
- 54. Douglas B. Brazil officials evict families from homes ahead of 2016 Olympic games.
 2015. URL: http://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/oct/28/brazil-officials-evictingfamilies-2016-olympic-games (accessed 10 May 2016).
- 817 55. Mabogunje A. Global urban poverty research: The African case. Washington D.C:
 818 Woodrow Wilson Center for Scholars, 2007.
- 56. Glasser DE. The growing housing crisis in Ecuador. In: Spontaneous Shelter:
 International Perspectives and Prospects. Patton C, ed. pp: 147-67. Philidelphia, PA:
 Temple University Press, 1988.
- 57. Peduzzi P, Chatenoux H, Dao A, et al. Global trends in tropical cyclone risk. *Nature Climate Change* 2012; 2: 289-94.
- 58. Gulyani S, Talukdar D. Inside Informality: The Links Between Poverty, Microenterprises, and Living Conditions in Nairobi's Slums. *World Dev* 2010; 38(12): 1710-26.
- 826 59. Neuwirth R. Stealth of Nations: The Global Rise of the Informal Economy. New York,
 827 NY: Anchor Books, 2012.
- 60. De Vreyer P, Roubaud F. Urban Labor Markets in Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington
 D.C.: The World Bank, 2013.
- 830 61. Rohter L. Rio Journal; At Your Great Peril, Defy the Lords of Slums. New York, NY: The
 831 New York Times, 2002.
- Molina T. Mega-Gangs the New Plague in Venezuela: Organized Crime Takes Root in
 Neglected Slums. 2015. URL: https://panampost.com/thabata-molina/2015/07/24/mega gangs-the-new-plague-in-venezuela/ (accessed 2 September 2016).
- 63. Clinard MB, Abbott DJ. A Comparative Perspective. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1973.
- 64. Osrin D, Das S, Bapat U, Alcock GA, Joshi W, More NS. A rapid assessment scorecard
 to identify informal settlements at higher maternal and child health risk in Mumbai. J
 Urban Health 2011; 88(5): 919-32.
- Bahlgren G, Whitehead M. Tackling inequalities in health: what can we learn from what
 has been tried. Ditchley Park, Oxfordshire, UK: King's Fund, 1993.
- 66. Van de Poel E, O'Donnell O, Van Doorslaer E. Are urban children really healthier?
 Evidence from 47 developing countries. *Soc Sci Med* 2007; 65(10): 1986-2003.

- 844 67. Harpham T, Stephens C. Urbanization and health in developing countries. *World Health* 845 *Stat* 1991; 44(2): 62-9.
- 68. Garenne M. Urbanisation and child health in resource poor settings with special
 reference to under-five mortality in Africa. *Arch Disc Child* 2010; 95(6): 464-8.
- Bicego G, Chahnazarian A, Hill K, Cayemittes M. Trends, age patterns and differentials
 in childhood mortality in Haiti (1960-1987). *Pop Stud* 1991; 45(2): 235-52.
- Feikin DR, Olack B, Bigogo GM, et al. The burden of common infectious disease
 syndromes at the clinic and household level from population-based surveillance in rural
 and urban Kenya. *PLoS One* 2011; 6(1): e16085.
- 853 71. Sverdlik A. III health and poverty: a literature review on health in informal settlements.
 854 Environ Urban 2011; 23(1): 123-55.
- 855 72. Black RE, Morris SS, Bryce J. Where and why are 10 million children dying every year?
 856 Lancet 2003; 361(9376): 2226-34.
- APHRC. Population and Health Dynamics in Nairobi's Informal Settlements: Report of
 the Nairobi Cross-sectional Slums Survey (NCSS) 2012. Nairobi, Kenya: APHRC, 2014.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Health [Kenya], National AIDS Control
 Council [Kenya], Kenya Medical Research Institute, National Council for Population and
 Development [Kenya], The DHS Program, ICF International [USA]. Kenya Demographic
 and Health Survey 2014. Nairobi, Kenya: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, 2015.
- 75. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), International Centre
 for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, MEASURE Evaluation. Bangladesh Urban Health
 Survey 2013. Dhaka, Bangladesh and UNC-Chapel Hill, NC USA: NIPORT, and
 Measure Evaluation, 2015.
- 867 76. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT). NIoPRaT, Associates.
 868 Ma, International. I. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Dhaka,
 869 Bangladesh, and Rockville, MD USA: NIPORT, Mitra and Associates, and ICF
 870 International, 2016.
- 871 77. Ernst KC, Phillips BS, Duncan BD. Slums are not places for children to live:
 872 Vulnerabilities, health outcomes, and possible interventions. *Adv Pediat* 2013; 60: 53873 87.
- Archambault CS, de Laat J, Zulu EM. Urban Services and Child Migration to the Slums
 of Nairobi. *World Dev* 2012; 40(9): 1854-69.
- Ku Y. Internal migration, international migration, and physical growth of left-behind
 children: A study of two settings. *Health Place* 2015; 36: 118-26.
- 878 80. Nakagiri A, Niwagaba CB, Nyenje PM, Kulabako RN, Tumuhairwe JB, Kansiime F. Are
 879 pit latrines in urban areas of Sub-Saharan Africa performing? A review of usage, filling,
 880 insects and odour nuisances. *BMC Public Health* 2016; 16(1): 120.
- 81. Lima AA, Guerrant RL. Persistent diarrhea in children: epidemiology, risk factors,
 82. pathophysiology, nutritional impact, and management. *Epidemiol Rev* 1992; 14: 222-42.
- 82. Rebaudet S, Sudre B, Faucher B, Piarroux R. Cholera in coastal Africa: a systematic
 review of its heterogeneous environmental determinants. *J Infect Dis* 2013; 208(s1):
 S98-106.
- 886
 83. Rebaudet S, Sudre B, Faucher B, Piarroux R. Environmental determinants of cholera
 outbreaks in inland africa: A systematic review of main transmission foci and
 propagation routes. *J Infect Dis* 2013; 208: S46-S54.
- 889 84. Parikh P, Parikh H, McRobie A. The role of infrastructure in improving human 890 settlements. *Urban Design Planning*, 2012; 166; 101-18.
- 85. Groce NE, Banks LM, Stein MA. Surviving polio in a post-polio world. *Soc Sci Med* 2014; 107: 171-8.
- 86. Hagan JE, Moraga P, Costa F, et al. Spatiotemporal determinants of urban leptospirosis
 transmission: four-year prospective cohort study of slum residents in Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2016; 10(1): e0004275.

- 87. Felzemburgh RDM, Ribeiro GS, Costa F, et al. Prospective study of leptospirosis
 transmission in an urban slum community: role of poor environment in repeated
 exposures to the Leptospira agent. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2014; 8(5): e2927.
- 899 88. Eisenstein M. Disease: Poverty and pathogens. *Nature* 2016; 531(7594): S61-3.
- 89. Kloos H, Correa-Oliveira R, Oliveira Quites HF, Caetano Souza MC, Gazzinelli A.
 Socioeconomic studies of schistosomiasis in Brazil: A review. Acta Trop 2008; 108: (2-

902 3); 194-201.

- 90. Van Renterghem H, Colvin M, De Beer I, et al. The urban HIV epidemic in eastern and
 southern Africa: Need for better KYE/KYR to inform adequate city responses. [Abstract]
 Intern AIDS Society 2012; 15: 88.
- 906 91. Snyder RE, Marlow MA, Riley LW. Ebola in urban slums: the elephant in the room.
 907 Lancet Glob Health 2014; 2(12): e685.
- 908
 92. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; 380(9859): 2224-60.
- 93. Kimani-Murage EW, Schofield L, Wekesah F, et al. Vulnerability to food insecurity in
 urban slums: experiences from Nairobi, Kenya. *J Urban Health* 2014; 91(6): 1098-113.
- 914 94. Chinnakali P, Upadhyay RP, Shokeen D, et al. Prevalence of household-level food
 915 insecurity and its determinants in an urban resettlement colony in north India. *J Health*916 *Pop Nutr* 2014; 32(2): 227-36.
- 917 95. Birhane T, Shiferaw S, Hagos S, Mohindra KS. Urban food insecurity in the context of
 918 high food prices: a community based cross sectional study in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
 919 BMC Public Health 2014; 14: 680.
- 920 96. McHiza ZJ, Steyn NP, Hill I, et al. A review of dietary surveys in the adult South African 921 population from 2000 to 2015. *Nutrients* 2015; 7: 8227-50.
- 922 97. Torheim LE, Ferguson EL, Penrose K, Arimond M. Women in resource-poor settings are 923 at risk of inadequate intakes of multiple micronutrients. *J Nutr* 2010; 140(11): 2051s-8s.
- 98. van 't Riet H, den Hartog AP, Mwangi AM, Mwadime RK, Foeken DW, van Staveren
 WA. The role of street foods in the dietary pattern of two low-income groups in Nairobi. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001; 55(7): 562-70.
- 99. Moore SR, Lima NL, Soares AM, et al. Prolonged episodes of acute diarrhea reduce
 growth and increase risk of persistent diarrhea in children. *Gastroenterol* 2010; 139(4):
 1156-64.
- 100. Kattula D, Ajjampur S, Sarkar R, Naumova E, Kang G, Ward H. The burden of
 cryptosporidiosis and its effect on growth in a birth cohort of children in an urban slum of
 south india. [Abstract] Am J Trop Med Hyg 2012; 1: 314.
- 101. Kattula D, Sivarathinasamy P, Sarkar R, et al. Effect of diarrhea on growth in infants in
 urban slum of South India. [Abstract] Am J Trop Med Hyg 2011; 1: 389-90.
- 935 102. Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, Glewwe P, Richter L, Strupp B.
 936 Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet*937 2007; 369(9555): 60-70.
- 938 103. Guerrant DI, Moore SR, Lima AA, Patrick PD, Schorling JB, Guerrant RL. Association of
 939 early childhood diarrhea and cryptosporidiosis with impaired physical fitness and
 940 cognitive function four-seven years later in a poor urban community in northeast Brazil.
 941 Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999; 61(5): 707-13.
- 104. Niehaus MD, Moore SR, Patrick PD, et al. Early childhood diarrhea is associated with
 diminished cognitive function 4 to 7 years later in children in a northeast Brazilian
 shantytown. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002; 66(5): 590-3.
- 945 105. Patrick PD, Oria RB, Madhavan V, et al. Limitations in verbal fluency following heavy
 946 burdens of early childhood diarrhea in Brazilian shantytown children. *Child* 947 *Neuropsychol* 2005; 11(3): 233-44.

- 106. Lorntz B, Soares AM, Moore SR, et al. Early childhood diarrhea predicts impaired
 school performance. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2006; 25(6): 513-20.
- 107. Currie J, Vogl T. Early-Life Health and Adult Circumstance in Developing Countries. Ann Rev Econ 2013; 5(1): 1-36.
- 108. Kimani-Murage EW, Wekesah F, Wanjohi M, et al. Factors affecting actualisation of the
 WHO breastfeeding recommendations in urban poor settings in Kenya. *Maternal Child Nutr* 2015; 11(3): 314-32.
- 109. Mberu B, Wamukoya M, Oti S, Kyobutungi C. Trends in Causes of Adult Deaths among
 the Urban Poor: Evidence from Nairobi Urban Health and Demographic Surveillance
 System, 2003-2012. J Urban Health 2015; 92(3): 422-45.
- 110. Wong JM, Nyachieo DO, Benzekri NA, et al. Sustained high incidence of injuries from
 burns in a densely populated urban slum in Kenya: An emerging public health priority.
 Burns 2014; 40(6): 1194-200.
- 961 111. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. *Lancet* 2007;
 962 370(9590): 859-77.
- 963 112. Satterthwaite D. The Impact on Health of Urban Environment. *Health Environ* 1993;
 964 5(2): 87-111.
- 113. Steinisch M, Yusuf R, Li J, et al. Work stress: its components and its association with
 self-reported health outcomes in a garment factory in Bangladesh-Findings from a
 cross-sectional study. *Health Place* 2013; 24: 123-30.
- 114. Cooper PJ, Rodrigues LC, Barreto ML. Influence of poverty and infection on asthma in
 Latin America. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 12(2): 171-8.
- 115. Da Cunha SS, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Barreto ML, Genser B, Rodrigues LC. Ecological
 study of socio-economic indicators and prevalence of asthma in schoolchildren in urban
 Brazil. *BMC Public Health* 2007; 7: 205.
- 973 116. Gordon SB, Bruce NG, Grigg J, et al. Respiratory risks from household air pollution in
 974 low and middle income countries. *Lancet Resp Med* 2014; 2(10): 823-60.
- 975 117. van de Vijver SJ, Oti SO, Agyemang C, Gomez GB, Kyobutungi C. Prevalence,
- awareness, treatment and control of hypertension among slum dwellers in Nairobi,
 Kenya. *J Hypertens* 2013; 31(5): 1018-24.
- 118. Unger A, Felzemburgh RD, Snyder RE, et al. Hypertension in a Brazilian urban slum
 population. J Urban Health 2015; 92(3): 446-59.
- 119. Yadav K, Krishnan A. Changing patterns of diet, physical activity and obesity among
 urban, rural and slum populations in north India. *Obes Rev* 2008; 9(5): 400-8.
- 120. Sachs JD. The End of Poverty. New York, NY: The Penguin Press, 2006.