



Measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration*



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 December 2017
 Received in revised form 19 March 2018
 Accepted 20 March 2018
 Available online 23 March 2018
 Editor: W.-D. Schlatter

ABSTRACT

A measurement of the production of three isolated photons in proton–proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV is reported. The results are based on an integrated luminosity of 20.2 fb^{-1} collected with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The differential cross sections are measured as functions of the transverse energy of each photon, the difference in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons, the invariant mass of pairs of photons, and the invariant mass of the triphoton system. A measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section is also reported. Next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD predictions are compared to the cross-section measurements. The predictions underestimate the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section and the differential measurements at low photon transverse energies and invariant masses. They provide adequate descriptions of the measurements at high values of the photon transverse energies, invariant mass of pairs of photons, and invariant mass of the triphoton system.

© 2018 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The production of three prompt photons in proton–proton (pp) collisions, $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma + X$, provides a testing ground for perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). This process is rare in the Standard Model (SM) since the leading-order (LO) contribution to triphoton production is of order α_{EM}^3 . The measurement of triphoton production can be performed in a broader range of kinematic regions than in $2 \rightarrow 2$ reactions such as inclusive-photon [1–4] and diphoton [5–7] production. This provides a complementary test of pQCD in processes with photons in the final state.

Precise measurements of triphoton production can be used to improve the description of this process in Monte Carlo (MC) models. In addition, SM triphoton production provides one of the main irreducible backgrounds for some beyond-the-SM (BSM) searches. Potential BSM processes include the associated production of a photon and an exotic neutral particle decaying into a photon pair ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow X^0\gamma$), where X^0 can be a Kaluza–Klein graviton (GKK) [8–10] or a pseudoscalar (a) [11]. Moreover, triphoton production is also the main background to the predicted decay of the Z boson into three photons. The current upper limit at 95% confidence level on the branching fraction for $Z \rightarrow 3\gamma$ is 2.2×10^{-6} [12].

Three photons can be produced via two main mechanisms: direct and fragmentation production. In the case of the direct

production process, three photons are produced in the hard interaction via the annihilation of an initial-state quark–antiquark pair ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$). In the fragmentation process, at least one of the photons arises from the fragmentation of a high-transverse-momentum (high- p_T) parton ($qg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma q[\gamma]$). Direct photons are typically isolated, while those originating from the fragmentation process are usually accompanied by nearby partons. Measurements of final-state photons include an isolation requirement to reduce background contributions from neutral-hadron decays into photons. As a consequence, signal processes with one or more fragmentation photons are also suppressed.

This Letter presents measurements of three-photon production. The analysis is performed using $20.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of ATLAS data at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [13]. The measurements study the topology and kinematics of the individual photons, pairs of photons, and the three-photon system. Differential cross sections are measured as functions of the transverse energy¹ of the leading photon ($E_T^{\gamma_1}$), the second-highest- E_T photon ($E_T^{\gamma_2}$) and the third-highest- E_T photon ($E_T^{\gamma_3}$); the difference

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis measured in radians. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. The transverse energy is defined as $E_T = E \sin \theta$, where E is the energy.

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons ($\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$); the invariant mass of pairs of photons ($m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$); and the invariant mass of the triphoton system ($m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$). A measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section is also reported. Photons are required to be isolated based on the amount of transverse energy, excluding the photon contribution, inside a cone of size $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\eta - \eta^\gamma)^2 + (\phi - \phi^\gamma)^2} = 0.4$ centred around each photon direction (defined by the photon pseudorapidity η^γ and azimuthal angle ϕ^γ). Finally, the measurements are compared to next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD calculations.

2. ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [14] is a multi-purpose detector with a forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry. The most relevant systems for the present measurement are the inner detector, immersed in a 2 T magnetic field produced by a thin superconducting solenoid, and the calorimeters. At small radii, the inner detector is made up of fine-granularity pixel and microstrip detectors. These silicon-based detectors cover the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. A gas-filled straw-tube transition radiation tracker complements the silicon tracker at larger radii in the range $|\eta| < 2.0$ and also provides electron identification capabilities based on transition radiation. The electromagnetic calorimeter is a lead/liquid-argon sampling calorimeter with accordion geometry. The calorimeter is divided into a barrel section covering $|\eta| < 1.475$ and two end-cap sections covering $1.375 < |\eta| < 3.2$. For $|\eta| < 2.5$ it is divided into three layers in depth, which are finely segmented in η and ϕ . A thin presampler layer, covering $|\eta| < 1.8$, is used to correct for fluctuations in upstream energy losses. The hadronic calorimeter in the region $|\eta| < 1.7$ uses steel absorbers with scintillator tiles as the active medium. Liquid-argon with copper absorbers is used in the hadronic end-cap calorimeters, which cover the region $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. Events are selected using a first-level trigger implemented in custom electronics, which reduces the event rate to a value of 75 kHz using a subset of detector information. Software algorithms with access to the full detector information are then used in the high-level trigger to yield a recorded event rate of about 400 Hz [15].

3. Monte Carlo simulations and theoretical predictions

3.1. Monte Carlo simulations

The MC samples were generated to study the characteristics of the signal and background events. The MC program MadGraph 5.1.4.4 [16] interfaced with Pythia 8.186 [17] was used to simulate signal events. The partonic subprocess was simulated by MadGraph to include the leading-order matrix element ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$), whereas Pythia was added to include the initial- and final-state parton showers and the fragmentation of partons into hadrons. The LO CTEQ6L1 parton distribution functions (PDFs) [18] are used to parameterise the parton momentum distributions in the proton. To study the effect of the contribution of photon fragmentation, a Pythia MC sample supplemented by QED final-state radiation was generated with LO CTEQ6L1 PDFs. This sample includes the LO diphoton, photon+jet and dijet processes with initial-state and final-state radiation modelled by the parton shower (PS).

The MC program Sherpa 1.4.1 [19] was used to estimate the background arising from electrons misreconstructed as photons. Three processes were simulated with at least one high- p_T electron and photon in the final state: $e^+e^-\gamma$, $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$, and $e^\pm\nu_e\gamma\gamma$. The matrix elements were calculated with up to three final-state partons at LO in pQCD and used the CT10 PDFs at NLO [20]. The

matrix elements were merged with the Sherpa parton-shower algorithm [21] following the ME+PS@LO prescription [22].

The generated signal and background event samples were passed through the Geant4-based [23] ATLAS detector and trigger simulation programs [24]. The signal and background samples include a simulation of the underlying event (UE) where Pythia event-generator parameters were set according to the “AU2” tune [25]. The generation of the simulated event samples includes the effect of multiple pp interactions per bunch crossing, as well as the effect of the detector response to interactions from bunch crossings before or after the one containing the hard interaction. These MC events were weighted to reproduce the distribution of the average number of interactions per bunch crossing observed in the data. The generated MC events are reconstructed and analysed with the same program chain as the data.

3.2. Next-to-leading-order pQCD predictions

The NLO pQCD predictions presented in this Letter are computed using the programs MCFM [26,27] and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [28]. The strong coupling constant is calculated at two loops with $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ and the electromagnetic coupling constant is set to $\alpha_{EM} = 1/137$. In addition, the number of massless quark flavours is set to five and the CT10 parameterisations of the proton PDFs at NLO are used.

The MCFM program includes NLO pQCD calculations of the direct contribution, whereas the production of a photon via parton fragmentation is estimated from the LO QCD matrix element multiplied by the BFG II parton-to-photon fragmentation functions [29]. The renormalisation scale μ_R , factorisation scale μ_F and fragmentation scale μ_f are chosen to be $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_f = m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. In addition, the MCFM calculations are performed using an isolation criterion which requires the total transverse energy from the partons inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon direction to satisfy $E_T^{\text{iso}} < 10$ GeV. The MCFM NLO pQCD predictions refer to the parton level while the measurements are performed at the particle level. Since the E_T^{iso} requirement at the particle level is applied after the subtraction of the UE transverse energy, it is expected that parton-to-hadron corrections to the NLO pQCD predictions are small. This is confirmed by computing the ratio of the particle-level cross section for a MadGraph sample interfaced with Pythia with UE effects to the computed cross section without hadronisation and UE effects. The ratio is consistent with unity over the measured range of the variables under study. Therefore, no correction is applied to the MCFM NLO pQCD calculations. Deviations from unity of $O(1\%)$ on the parton-to-hadron correction factors are found when the hadronisation and UE effects are included using Herwig++ 7.0.1 [30]. Predictions based on other proton PDF sets, namely MSTW2008 [31] and NNPDF2.1 [32], are also computed. Differences of $+5\%$ and $+6\%$ in the calculation of the inclusive fiducial cross section are found using the MSTW2008 and NNPDF2.1 PDF sets, respectively, whereas the dependence of the shape of the differential cross sections on the PDF sets is found to be small.

MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations include the NLO pQCD contribution of direct processes and apply a smoothly varying isolation cone to the photons [33]. This isolation requirement regularises the photon collinear divergences which appear in the calculation of the matrix element and removes the contribution of photons resulting from the fragmentation of a parton: $E_T^{\text{iso}}(\Delta R) < E_T^\gamma(1 - \cos R_0)/(1 - \cos R_0)$, where $R_0 = 0.4$ and $E_T^{\text{iso}}(\Delta R)$ is the sum of the transverse energies of the particles around the photon up to ΔR . The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations are interfaced with Pythia 8.212 [34] in the NLO+PS prescription to include the initial- and final-state parton showers and the hadroni-

sation [35]. The renormalisation and factorisation scales are chosen to be equal to the transverse mass of the clustered jets from the final state partons and photons defined in the matrix element. This choice follows the recommendations in Ref. [28] when interfacing the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations to Pythia. After the generation, the isolation value of the photon is computed by summing the transverse energy of all final-state particles (excluding muons and neutrinos) inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon candidate. Events with $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ for any of the photons are excluded.

4. Event selection

The data considered in this analysis were taken in stable beam conditions and satisfy detector and data-quality requirements. Events are recorded using a diphoton trigger with a transverse energy threshold of 20 GeV. The trigger efficiency for pairs of isolated photons with $E_T^\gamma > 22 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$ is higher than 99%. Events are required to have a reconstructed primary vertex with at least two associated tracks with $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$, consistent with originating from the same three-dimensional spot within the luminous region of the colliding proton beams. If multiple primary vertices are reconstructed, the one with the highest sum of the p_T^2 of the associated tracks is selected as the primary vertex.

Photon and electron candidates are reconstructed from clusters of energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter. Candidates without a matching track or reconstructed conversion vertex in the inner detector are classified as unconverted photons [36]. Those with a matching reconstructed conversion vertex or a matching track consistent with originating from a photon conversion are classified as converted photons. Photons reconstructed within $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$ are retained. Those in the transition region between the barrel and end-caps ($1.37 < |\eta^\gamma| < 1.56$) or regions of the calorimeter affected by read-out or high-voltage failures are not considered in the event reconstruction.

Photon candidates passing loose identification requirements, based on the energy leaking into the hadronic calorimeter and the lateral shower shape in the second layer of the electromagnetic calorimeter, are retained [1,2]. The photon cluster energies are corrected using an in situ calibration based on the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ reconstructed mass peak [37]. Once these corrections are applied, the three reconstructed photons with the highest transverse energies $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$ in each event are retained. Events with $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$ greater than 27 GeV, 22 GeV and 15 GeV, respectively, and with a ΔR distance in the $\eta\phi$ plane above 0.45 between pairs of photons, are selected. Additionally, the invariant mass of the triphoton system $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ is required to be above 50 GeV. This requirement corresponds to the minimum value of $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ predicted at particle level by the signal MC sample described in Section 3.

Two further criteria are used to define the signal region and the background-enriched regions used to estimate the jet-to-photon misidentification background. A tight photon-identification selection [36] is applied to reject hadronic jet background, by imposing requirements on nine discriminating variables (referred to as “shower shapes”) computed from the energy leaking into the hadronic calorimeter and the lateral and longitudinal shower development in the electromagnetic calorimeter. The efficiency of this selection for one photon is $\approx 67\%$ ($> 90\%$) for $E_T^\gamma \approx 15 \text{ GeV}$ ($> 100 \text{ GeV}$). For the MC simulations, the shower-shape variables are shifted to correct for small differences in the average values between data and the simulation. In addition, E_T^γ - and η^γ -dependent factors are applied to correct for the residual mismatch between the photon identification efficiencies in the simulation and the data. The isolation of the photon E_T^{iso} is based on the amount

of transverse energy inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ in the $\eta\phi$ plane around the photon candidates, excluding an area of size $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.125 \times 0.175$ centred on the photon energy cluster. The isolation transverse energy is computed from the topological clusters of calorimeter cells [38]. The measured E_T^{iso} is corrected for the leakage of the photon’s energy into the isolation cone and the estimated contributions from the UE and pile-up. These latter two corrections are computed simultaneously on an event-by-event basis and the combined correction is typically between 1.5 and 2.0 GeV [3]. The E_T^{iso} value for isolated photons is required to be lower than $E_T^{\text{iso}} = 0.025 \cdot E_T^\gamma + 2.7 \text{ [GeV]}$. The efficiency of the isolation requirement is typically above 80% and increases as a function of E_T^γ . The number of data events selected in the signal region is 1085. For background studies, two alternative categories of photons are defined. First, non-tight photon candidates are defined as those passing the loose selection but not satisfying the tight identification criteria for at least one of the shower-shape variables computed from the energy deposits in cells of the first layer of the EM calorimeter. Second, non-isolated photon candidates are defined to have $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 0.025 \cdot E_T^\gamma + 4.7 \text{ [GeV]}$.

5. Background estimation and signal extraction

The background contributions to the signal come from high- p_T jets and electrons that are misidentified as isolated photons (referred to as jet and electron backgrounds). The estimation of these backgrounds is explained in the following.

5.1. $e\gamma$ misidentification

The number of background events due to $e\gamma$ misidentification is estimated using the MC samples listed in Section 3.1. The Sherpa MC events were weighted to correct the $e\gamma$ misidentification rates to match those found in data (referred to as $e\gamma$ scale factors in the following). These weights were estimated from $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events where at least either the electron or the positron was reconstructed as a photon. The expected number of electron background events in the signal region is $71 \pm 2 \text{ (stat)}$, which corresponds to $(6.5 \pm 0.2)\%$ of the selected events. A systematic uncertainty is computed by propagating the uncertainty in the $e\gamma$ scale factors to the estimation of the yield (see Section 7).

The normalisation of the MC samples is tested by fitting the signal, $e\gamma$ and jet- γ misidentification contributions to the data as a function of $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ in the region $50 < m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} < 125 \text{ GeV}$. Since 86% of electron background events come from processes where a photon is emitted by an electron or positron originating from the decay of a Z boson ($pp \rightarrow Z \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$), a peak around $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \approx m_Z$ is expected. To enhance the relative contribution of electrons that are misidentified as photons, only events with at least one converted photon are considered. Signal and electron background MC events are used to describe the shape of the $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ distribution, whereas data events with at least one non-tight identified photon are used to describe the jet background contribution. The fit gives an electron background yield that is consistent with the MC estimation, since it predicts a correction factor equal to $1.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat)}$. Moreover, the result of the fit is found to be independent of the definition of non-tight identified photons and a change of $< 2\%$ is found when the isolation requirement is loosened by 1 GeV.

5.2. Jet- γ misidentification

A large background from jet- γ misidentification remains in the selected sample, even after imposing the tight identification and isolation requirements on the photons. The jet background originates from multi-jet (jjj), photon + jets (γjj), and diphoton +

jets ($\gamma\gamma j$) processes in which at least one jet is misidentified as a photon. The two-dimensional-sideband method exploited in Refs. [2,3,5,39–41] to measure the inclusive photon and diphoton differential cross sections is used to perform an *in situ* statistical subtraction of the background. The method uses the photon isolation energy and photon identification criteria to discriminate prompt photons from jets. It relies on the fact that the correlations between the isolation and identification variables in jet background events are small, and that the signal contamination in the non-tight or non-isolated control region is low.

The two-dimensional-sideband method counts all combinations of photons meeting or failing to meet the tight identification or isolation criteria. Four categories are defined for each photon, resulting in 64 categories of events where 63 of these categories correspond to jjj , γjj , and $\gamma\gamma j$ background-enriched regions. The inputs of the method are the number of events in each category, the correlation between the isolation and identification variables in jet background events (R^{bg}), the signal leakage fractions in non-tight and non-isolated regions, and the expected number of electron background events in each category. The correlation between the isolation and identification variables is taken to be negligible ($R^{bg} = 1.0$) based on studies in simulated background samples and on data in a background-dominated region [3]. The signal leakage fractions and electron-background events are estimated using the MC samples described in Section 3.1.

The method allows the extraction of the number of true three-photon signal events ($N^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$), the number of events where at least one, two and three candidates are true jets and the tight and isolation efficiencies for fake photon candidates from jets (“fake rates”). The number of events in each category is expressed as a function of the following parameters: signal, electron- and jet-background yields, signal leakage fractions, fake rates, and R^{bg} . Then, the system of 64 independent equations is grouped into 21 dependent linear equations which are solved iteratively using a χ^2 minimisation procedure. The size of each bin of the observables under study is chosen to have a sufficiently large number of events to apply this method bin-by-bin. The statistical uncertainty of the signal and jet background-enriched regions is propagated to the estimation of the three-photon signal yield via pseudo-experiments.

The signal purity, defined as $N^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}/N_{SR}$, where N_{SR} is the number of selected events in the signal region, is found to be $(55 \pm 5)\%$ (stat), with a value of $\approx 45\%$ ($\approx 60\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ . The fractions of $\gamma\gamma j$, γjj and jjj events are $(33 \pm 2)\%$ (stat), $(5 \pm 2)\%$ (stat) and $(0.2 \pm 0.2)\%$ (stat) respectively. Systematic uncertainties are assigned to the modelling of the non-tight and non-isolated signal leakage fractions and to the value of R^{bg} (see Section 7).

6. Unfolding to particle level

The production cross section for three isolated photons is measured as functions of $E_T^{\gamma 1}$, $E_T^{\gamma 2}$, $E_T^{\gamma 3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma 1\gamma 2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma 1\gamma 3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma 2\gamma 3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma 1\gamma 2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma 1\gamma 3}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma 2\gamma 3}|$, $m^{\gamma 1\gamma 2}$, $m^{\gamma 1\gamma 3}$, $m^{\gamma 2\gamma 3}$ and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. The fiducial phase-space region is listed in Table 1. The predictions of the MC generators at particle level are defined using those particles with a lifetime τ longer than 30 ps; these particles are referred to as “stable”. The particles associated with the overlaid pp collisions are not considered. The particle-level isolation requirement on the photons is built by summing the transverse energy of all stable particles, except for muons and neutrinos, in a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon direction. The contribution from the UE is subtracted using the same procedure as applied to the data at the reconstruction level [3]. The data distributions after background subtraction are unfolded to the particle level using

Table 1
Fiducial phase-space region defined at particle level.

Requirements on	Phase-space region
E_T^γ	$E_T^{\gamma 1} > 27 \text{ GeV}$, $E_T^{\gamma 2} > 22 \text{ GeV}$, $E_T^{\gamma 3} > 15 \text{ GeV}$
$m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$	$m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} > 50 \text{ GeV}$
$\Delta R^{\gamma\gamma}$	$\Delta R^{\gamma\gamma} > 0.45$
$ \eta^\gamma $	$ \eta^\gamma < 2.37$ (excluding $1.37 < \eta^\gamma < 1.56$)
Isolation	$E_T^{\text{iso}} < 10 \text{ GeV}$

bin-by-bin correction factors determined using the signal MC sample. The correction factors take into account the efficiency of the event and photon selection criteria and the small migration effects. Of the signal events reconstructed in a given bin, the fraction that are generated in the same bin is typically found to be $> 93\%$. The data distributions are unfolded to the particle level via the formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dA}(i) = \frac{N^{\text{sig}}(i)C(i)}{\Delta A(i) \mathcal{L}},$$

where for a given bin i , $(d\sigma/dA)$ is the differential cross section as a function of observable A , N^{sig} is the number of background-subtracted data events, C is the correction factor, \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity and ΔA is the width of the bin. The correction factors are computed using the MC sample of events as $C(i) = N_{\text{part}}^{\text{MC}}(i)/N_{\text{reco}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$, where $N_{\text{part}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$ is the number of events which satisfy the kinematic constraints of the phase-space region at the particle level, and $N_{\text{reco}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$ is the number of events which fulfil all the selection criteria at the reconstruction level. The correction factors vary between 1.5 and 3.3 as functions of photon transverse energy, invariant mass of pairs of photons, and the invariant mass of the triphoton system, whereas they have a constant value close to 2.5 as functions of the difference in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons.

7. Experimental and theoretical uncertainties

7.1. Experimental uncertainties

The sources of experimental systematic uncertainty that affect the measurements are the photon energy scale and resolution, photon identification, jet and electron background subtraction, modelling of the photon isolation, the photon fragmentation contribution, the unfolding procedure and the luminosity.

- **Photon energy scale and resolution.** The uncertainty due to the photon energy scale is estimated by varying the photon energies in the MC simulation [37]. This uncertainty mostly affects the $C(i)$ correction factor. The effect of this variation on the estimation of the cross section is typically $< 2\%$. In addition, the uncertainty in the energy resolution is estimated by smearing photon energies in the MC simulation as described in Ref. [37]. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is typically $< 0.1\%$.
- **Photon identification efficiency.** The uncertainty in the photon identification efficiency is estimated from the effect of differences between shower-shape variable distributions in data and simulation [36]. This uncertainty affects the estimation of the non-tight signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factor and is fully correlated between photons. The correlation between tight and non-tight identification variables is also considered in the propagation of the uncertainty. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 10\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ .
- **Photon identification and isolation correlation in the background.** The photon isolation and identification variables used

to define the two-dimensional background sidebands are assumed to be independent in jet background events ($R^{\text{bg}} = 1.0$). Any correlation between these variables affects the estimation of signal purity and leads to systematic uncertainties in the background-subtraction procedure. The value of R^{bg} is estimated using background MC samples and is found to be consistent with unity within $\pm 10\%$ [3,41]. This value of R^{bg} is verified using background-enriched regions in data. The assumption of $R^{\text{bg}} = 1.0$ is found to hold within $\pm 10\%$ in the kinematic region of the measurements presented here. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 8\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ .

- **Photon isolation modelling.** Differences between data and signal MC events in the modelling of the isolation distribution can lead to systematic uncertainties in the estimation of the non-isolated signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factor. Two subsamples are selected from data by applying either the tight or non-tight identification criteria to each photon; the subsample selected with non-tight identification criteria is expected to be enriched in background candidates. The E_T^{iso} value for the non-tight candidates is scaled so that the integral for $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$, where the contribution from the signal is expected to be negligible, matches that of the tight candidates. The rescaled background distribution is subtracted from that of the tight photon candidates to extract the isolation profile of signal-like candidates. These distributions are used to derive Smirnov transformations [36]. The Smirnov transformation shifts the photon isolation values event-by-event in MC simulation to match the isolation distribution found in data. This Smirnov-transformed MC sample is used to estimate new differential cross sections. Differences from the nominal results are taken as systematic uncertainties. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 7\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ .

- **Photon fragmentation contribution.** The admixture of direct and fragmentation photons affects the estimation of the signal leakage fractions which are used in the jet background subtraction procedure and the $C(i)$ correction factor. A photon originating from the fragmentation of a parton can be modelled in the MC simulation by allowing the radiation of a photon by a parton. A sample of fragmentation photons is selected by applying the event selection to a diphoton MC sample (see Section 3.1). This selects three-photon events where at least one of the final-state photons results from fragmentation. The diphoton MC sample predicts that for more than 98% of the events the sub-sub-leading photon originates from parton bremsstrahlung. Differences in the isolation distributions between direct and fragmentation photons are expected. Therefore, a template fit to the sub-sub-leading photon isolation distribution is performed to determine the optimal admixture of the nominal and diphoton MC samples. The direct and fragmentation isolation templates are given by the nominal and diphoton MC samples respectively, whereas the jet background template is taken from a data control region where the sub-sub-leading photon candidate satisfies the non-tight selection. The fit estimates that about 40% of the sub-sub-leading photons originate from fragmentation, as modelled by the diphoton MC sample. This value is used to merge the nominal and diphoton MC samples. The new MC sample is used to estimate the signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factors. The deviation of the differential cross section from the value obtained using the Smirnov-transformed MC sample is taken as the systematic uncertainty. This avoids double counting the effect of the photon isolation modelling. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 4\%$.

Table 2

Breakdown of the relative systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section.

Source	Relative systematic uncertainty
Photon identification efficiency	7.9%
Identification and isolation correlation in the background	7.7%
Photon isolation modelling	5.8%
Photon fragmentation contribution	3.9%
Photon energy scale and resolution	1.6%
Unfolding	0.6%
$e-\gamma$ misidentification	0.1%
Measurement of the integrated luminosity	1.9%
Total	13%

- **$e-\gamma$ misidentification.** The uncertainty in the electron background contamination is estimated by propagating the uncertainty in the $e-\gamma$ scale factors (see Section 5.1), which affects the prediction of the $e-\gamma$ misidentification rates, to the estimation of the cross section. The resulting uncertainty is $\approx 0.1\%$.

• **Unfolding procedure.** The effect of unfolding is investigated by using smooth functions to re-weight the signal MC simulation to match the data distributions after background subtraction. The data are unfolded using this reweighted MC sample and the resulting cross sections are compared to the nominal measurements. The differential cross sections are found to differ by $< 1\%$.

• **Other sources.** The effect of different amounts of pile-up is estimated by comparing the ratio of data to MC simulated signal for high and low pile-up samples. No dependence of this ratio on pile-up conditions is found. In addition, the effect of the trigger efficiency on the estimation of the cross section is found to be $< 0.3\%$. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is 1.9% [13].

The total systematic uncertainty is computed by adding in quadrature the uncertainties from the sources listed above and is found to be $\approx 13\%$. It decreases as a function of E_T^γ from $\approx 15\%$ to $\approx 10\%$. For regions with $E_T^{\gamma_1} \gtrsim 50 \text{ GeV}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30 \text{ GeV}$, the uncertainty of the measurements is dominated by the statistical uncertainty of the data. Table 2 shows the breakdown of the systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section. The statistical uncertainty in the measured inclusive fiducial cross section is $\approx 9\%$.

7.2. Theoretical uncertainties

The following sources of uncertainty in the theoretical predictions are considered for the MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations.

- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to terms beyond NLO is estimated by repeating the calculations using values of μ_R , μ_F and μ_f scaled by factors 0.5 and 2. For the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations, only the μ_R and μ_F scales are varied. In addition, the scales are either varied simultaneously, individually or by fixing one and varying the other two. The final uncertainty is taken as the largest deviation of the possible variations with respect to the nominal value.
- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to uncertainties in the proton PDFs is estimated by repeating the calculations using the 52 additional sets from the CT10 error analysis [20].
- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to the value of $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ is estimated by repeating the calculations




Fig. 1. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, (b) $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and (c) $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For most of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible. (For interpretation of the colours in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

using two additional sets of proton PDFs [20] employing different values of $\alpha_S(m_Z)$, namely $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.116$ and 0.120 .

The dominant theoretical uncertainty in the predicted cross section arises from the missing terms beyond NLO and amounts to 10–12%. The uncertainty arising from the PDF variations amounts to 2–3% and the uncertainty arising from the value of $\alpha_S(m_Z)$ is below 2%. The total theoretical uncertainty is obtained by adding in quadrature the individual uncertainties listed above and amounts to 10–13%.

8. Results

The measured inclusive fiducial cross section for the production of three isolated photons in the phase-space region given in Table 1 is

$$\sigma_{\text{meas}} = 72.6 \pm 6.5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 9.2 \text{ (syst.) fb},$$

where “stat.” and “syst.” denote the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The fiducial cross sections predicted at NLO by MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are

$$\sigma_{\text{NLO}} = 31.5^{+3.2}_{-2.5} \text{ fb (MCFM)},$$

$$\sigma_{\text{NLO+PS}} = 46.6^{+5.7}_{-3.6} \text{ fb (MadGraph5_aMC@NLO)}.$$

The NLO QCD calculations underestimate the measured inclusive fiducial cross section by factors of 2.3 and 1.6 for MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO, respectively. The addition of the parton shower to the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO prediction improves the agreement with the measured value. The NLO electroweak corrections are small and cannot account for the observed differences between NLO QCD and the measurements [42]. Similar discrepancies between the NLO calculations and the measurements are found for the prediction of the inclusive fiducial cross section for $\gamma\gamma$, $W\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ production [5,43,44]. The NNLO calculations, which are available for the computation of $\gamma\gamma$ but not for $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production, significantly improve the description of the diphoton fiducial cross section [6,45].

Fig. 1 shows the three-isolated-photons differential cross sections as functions of $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. The measurements are compared to NLO QCD predictions from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO. The NLO QCD calculations fail to describe the regions of low $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. Differences of up to 60% are observed




Fig. 2. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $m_{\gamma\gamma_2}$, (b) $m_{\gamma\gamma_3}$, (c) $m_{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and (d) $m_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For most of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible.

between data and the predictions. The description of the measurements by the theory is improved at high E_T^γ . In particular, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations describe the measured cross sections for $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50$ GeV and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30$ GeV within the statistical and systematic uncertainties, whereas MCFM describes the data only at the highest values of $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$.

A comparison of the NLO calculations to the measurements as functions of $m_{\gamma\gamma_2}$, $m_{\gamma\gamma_3}$, $m_{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and $m_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ is shown in Fig. 2. The MCFM calculations underestimate the measurements by 50% in the low invariant mass regions, whereas the differences are 30–40% for $m_{\gamma\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150$ GeV, $m_{\gamma\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV, $m_{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV and $m_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150$ GeV. The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations also underestimate the data by 30–50% in the low invariant mass regions. However, they tend to give a better description of the measurements for $m_{\gamma\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150$ GeV, $m_{\gamma\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV, $m_{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV and $m_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150$ GeV. For such regions, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions are 25–30% higher than the MCFM estimates.

Fig. 3 shows the three-isolated-photons differential cross sections as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$. The theoretical calculations underestimate the normalisation of the measurements. This is due to the fact that these distributions are mainly populated by low- E_T^γ photons. Both NLO

QCD calculations give an adequate description of the shape of the differential cross sections as functions of $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$. A quantitative comparison of the NLO QCD predictions to the measurements as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ is performed with a χ^2 fit to the cross-section normalisation including both statistical and systematic uncertainties. This tests the description of the shape of the differential cross sections. The total systematic uncertainty is considered to be fully correlated across bins and is included in the χ^2 definition using nuisance parameters. After the χ^2 minimisation, scale factors equal to ≈ 1.6 (MadGraph5_aMC@NLO) and ≈ 2.3 (MCFM) are found for each angular distribution independently. Both theoretical predictions give an adequate description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 6/5$ and $7/5$ for MadGraph5_aMC@NLO and MCFM, respectively, where ndof is the number of degree of freedom). In addition, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations describe adequately the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 6/5$ and $7/5$, respectively) but not MCFM ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 13/5$ and $14/5$, respectively). This shows the importance of the addition of the parton shower to improve the description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$.




Fig. 3. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma\gamma_2}$, (b) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma\gamma_3}$, (c) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma\gamma_3}$, (d) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma\gamma_2}|$, (e) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma\gamma_3}|$ and (f) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma\gamma_1}|$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For some of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible.

9. Summary

A measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC is presented using a data set with an integrated luminosity of 20.2 fb^{-1} . Differential cross sections as functions of $E_T^{\gamma_1}, E_T^{\gamma_2}, E_T^{\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}, |\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|, |\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$, and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$ are measured for photons with $E_T^{\gamma_i} > 27 \text{ GeV}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2} > 22 \text{ GeV}$, $E_T^{\gamma_3} > 15 \text{ GeV}$, $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} > 50 \text{ GeV}$, and $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$, excluding the region $1.37 < |\eta^\gamma| < 1.56$. The distance between pairs of photons in the η - ϕ plane is required to be $\Delta R > 0.45$. The selection of isolated photons is ensured by requiring that the transverse energy in a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon is smaller than 10 GeV.

The inclusive fiducial cross section is measured to be $\sigma_{\text{meas}} = 72.6 \pm 6.5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 9.2 \text{ (syst.) fb}$. The NLO QCD calculations underestimate the measured inclusive fiducial cross section by a factor 2.3 for MCFM and 1.6 for MadGraph5_aMC@NLO. Both NLO QCD predictions underestimate the measurements in the low transverse energy and invariant mass regions. The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions give an adequate description of the measured cross-section distributions for $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30 \text{ GeV}$ and for $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150 \text{ GeV}$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75 \text{ GeV}$, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75 \text{ GeV}$ and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150 \text{ GeV}$. Both NLO calculations give an adequate description of the shape of the measured cross section as functions of $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$, whereas they underestimate the normalisation of the measurements. In addition, both theoretical predictions inadequately describe the normalisation of the measurements as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$. MCFM predictions give an adequate description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and fail to describe the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, whereas MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions give an adequate description of the shape of the measured cross sections as functions of all three angular variables. The measurements provide a test of pQCD for the description of the dynamics of triphoton production and indicate the need for improved modelling of this process in MC models.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, Canarie, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation,

Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [46].

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ using 35 pb^{-1} of ATLAS data, Phys. Lett. B 706 (2011) 150, arXiv:1108.0253 [hep-ex].
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photons cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector using 4.6 fb^{-1} , Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 052004, arXiv:1311.1440 [hep-ex].
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 005, arXiv:1605.03495 [hep-ex].
- [4] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the isolated prompt photon production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 082001, arXiv:1012.0799 [hep-ex].
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of isolated-photon pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 086, arXiv:1211.1913 [hep-ex].
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of integrated and differential cross sections for isolated photon pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 112005, arXiv:1704.03839 [hep-ex].
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of differential cross sections for the production of a pair of isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3129, arXiv:1405.7225 [hep-ex].
- [8] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 263, arXiv:hep-ph/9803135.
- [9] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370, arXiv:hep-ph/9905221.
- [10] G. Das, P. Mathews, Neutral triple vector boson production in Randall-Sundrum Model at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 094034, arXiv:1507.08857 [hep-ph].
- [11] N. Toro, I. Yavin, Multiphotons and photon jets from new heavy vector bosons, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 055005, arXiv:1202.6377 [hep-ph].
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in events with at least three photons collected in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 210, arXiv:1509.05051 [hep-ex].
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 653, arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex].
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN large hadron collider, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2010, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1849, arXiv:1110.1530 [hep-ex].
- [16] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, T. Stelzer, MadGraph 5: going beyond, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2011) 128, arXiv:1106.0522 [hep-ph].
- [17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [18] J. Pumplin, et al., New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [19] T. Gleisberg, et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [20] H.L. Lai, et al., New parton distributions for collider physics, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [21] S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A parton shower algorithm based on Catani-Seymour dipole factorisation, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2008) 038, arXiv:0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [22] S. Höche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements and truncated showers, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2009) 053, arXiv:0903.1219 [hep-ph].
- [23] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 – a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].

- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>, 2012.
- [26] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Williams, MCFM v7.0: A Monte Carlo for FeMtobarn Processes at Hadron Colliders, Users Guide, 2015, <https://mcfm.fnal.gov/mcfm.pdf>.
- [27] J.M. Campbell, C. Williams, Triphoton production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 113001, arXiv:1403.2641 [hep-ph].
- [28] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [29] L. Bourhis, M. Fontannaz, J.Ph. Guillet, Quarks and gluon fragmentation functions into photons, Eur. Phys. J. C 2 (1998) 529, arXiv:hep-ph/9704447.
- [30] M. Bähr, et al., Herwig++ physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639, arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].
- [31] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [32] R.D. Ball, et al., Impact of heavy quark masses on parton distributions and LHC phenomenology, Nucl. Phys. B 849 (2011) 296, arXiv:1101.1300 [hep-ph].
- [33] S. Frixione, Isolated photons in perturbative QCD, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 369, arXiv:hep-ph/9801442.
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [35] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2002) 029, arXiv:hep-ph/0204244.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the photon identification efficiencies with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run-1 data, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 666, arXiv:1606.01813 [hep-ex].
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron and photon energy calibration with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run 1 data, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3071, arXiv:1407.5063 [hep-ex].
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 490, arXiv:1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [39] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 052005, arXiv:1012.4389 [hep-ex].
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the isolated diphoton cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 012003, arXiv:1107.0581 [hep-ex].
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration, Dynamics of isolated-photon plus jet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Nucl. Phys. B 875 (2013) 483, arXiv:1307.6795 [hep-ex].
- [42] N. Greiner, M. Schönher, NLO QCD+EW corrections to diphoton production in association with a vector boson, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2018) 079, arXiv:1710.11514 [hep-ex].
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence of $W\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 031802, arXiv:1503.03243 [hep-ex].
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $Z\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 112002, arXiv:1604.05232 [hep-ex].
- [45] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, Y. Li, C. Williams, Predictions for diphoton production at the LHC through NNLO in QCD, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2016) 148, arXiv:1603.02663 [hep-ph].
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements 2016–2017, ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

M. Aaboud ^{137d}, G. Aad ⁸⁸, B. Abbott ¹¹⁵, O. Abdinov ^{12,*}, B. Abeloos ¹¹⁹, S.H. Abidi ¹⁶¹, O.S. AbouZeid ¹³⁹, N.L. Abraham ¹⁵¹, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵⁵, H. Abreu ¹⁵⁴, Y. Abulaiti ^{148a,148b}, B.S. Acharya ^{167a,167b,a}, S. Adachi ¹⁵⁷, L. Adamczyk ^{41a}, J. Adelman ¹¹⁰, M. Adlersberger ¹⁰², T. Adye ¹³³, A.A. Affolder ¹³⁹, Y. Afik ¹⁵⁴, C. Agheorghiesei ^{28c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{128a,128f}, S.P. Ahlen ²⁴, F. Ahmadov ^{68,b}, G. Aielli ^{135a,135b}, S. Akatsuka ⁷¹, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁸⁴, E. Akilli ⁵², A.V. Akimov ⁹⁸, G.L. Alberghi ^{22a,22b}, J. Albert ¹⁷², P. Albicocco ⁵⁰, M.J. Alconada Verzini ⁷⁴, S. Alderweireldt ¹⁰⁸, M. Aleksa ³², I.N. Aleksandrov ⁶⁸, C. Alexa ^{28b}, G. Alexander ¹⁵⁵, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, M. Alhroob ¹¹⁵, B. Ali ¹³⁰, M. Aliev ^{76a,76b}, G. Alimonti ^{94a}, J. Alison ³³, S.P. Alkire ³⁸, C. Allaire ¹¹⁹, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁵¹, B.W. Allen ¹¹⁸, P.P. Allport ¹⁹, A. Aloisio ^{106a,106b}, A. Alonso ³⁹, F. Alonso ⁷⁴, C. Alpigiani ¹⁴⁰, A.A. Alshehri ⁵⁶, M.I. Alstaty ⁸⁸, B. Alvarez Gonzalez ³², D. Álvarez Piqueras ¹⁷⁰, M.G. Alviggi ^{106a,106b}, B.T. Amadio ¹⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{26a}, C. Amelung ²⁵, D. Amidei ⁹², S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{128a,128c}, S. Amoroso ³², C. Anastopoulos ¹⁴¹, L.S. Ancu ⁵², N. Andari ¹⁹, T. Andeen ¹¹, C.F. Anders ^{60b}, J.K. Anders ¹⁸, K.J. Anderson ³³, A. Andreazza ^{94a,94b}, V. Andrei ^{60a}, S. Angelidakis ³⁷, I. Angelozzi ¹⁰⁹, A. Angerami ³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov ^{111,c}, A. Annovi ^{126a}, C. Antel ^{60a}, M. Antonelli ⁵⁰, A. Antonov ^{100,*}, D.J. Antrim ¹⁶⁶, F. Anulli ^{134a}, M. Aoki ⁶⁹, L. Aperio Bella ³², G. Arabidze ⁹³, Y. Arai ⁶⁹, J.P. Araque ^{128a}, V. Araujo Ferraz ^{26a}, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁸, R.E. Ardell ⁸⁰, F.A. Arduh ⁷⁴, J-F. Arguin ⁹⁷, S. Argyropoulos ⁶⁶, A.J. Armbruster ³², L.J. Armitage ⁷⁹, O. Arnaez ¹⁶¹, H. Arnold ⁵¹, M. Arratia ³⁰, O. Arslan ²³, A. Artamonov ^{99,*}, G. Artoni ¹²², S. Artz ⁸⁶, S. Asai ¹⁵⁷, N. Asbah ⁴⁵, A. Ashkenazi ¹⁵⁵, L. Asquith ¹⁵¹, K. Assamagan ²⁷, R. Astalos ^{146a}, R.J. Atkin ^{147a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁶⁹, N.B. Atlay ¹⁴³, K. Augsten ¹³⁰, G. Avolio ³², B. Axen ¹⁶, M.K. Ayoub ^{35a}, G. Azuelos ^{97,d}, A.E. Baas ^{60a}, M.J. Baca ¹⁹, H. Bachacou ¹³⁸, K. Bachas ^{76a,76b}, M. Backes ¹²², P. Bagnaia ^{134a,134b}, M. Bahmani ⁴², H. Bahrasemani ¹⁴⁴, J.T. Baines ¹³³, M. Bajic ³⁹, O.K. Baker ¹⁷⁹, P.J. Bakker ¹⁰⁹, D. Bakshi Gupta ⁸², E.M. Baldin ^{111,c}, P. Balek ¹⁷⁵, F. Balli ¹³⁸, W.K. Balunas ¹²⁴, E. Banas ⁴², A. Bandyopadhyay ²³, Sw. Banerjee ^{176,e}, A.A.E. Bannoura ¹⁷⁷, L. Barak ¹⁵⁵, E.L. Barberio ⁹¹, D. Barberis ^{53a,53b}, M. Barbero ⁸⁸, T. Barillari ¹⁰³, M-S Barisits ⁶⁵, J.T. Barkeloo ¹¹⁸, T. Barklow ¹⁴⁵, N. Barlow ³⁰, S.L. Barnes ^{36b}, B.M. Barnett ¹³³, R.M. Barnett ¹⁶, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy ^{36c}, A. Baroncelli ^{136a}, G. Barone ²⁵, A.J. Barr ¹²², L. Barranco Navarro ¹⁷⁰, F. Barreiro ⁸⁵, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ^{35a}, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴⁵, A.E. Barton ⁷⁵, P. Bartos ^{146a}, A. Basalaev ¹²⁵, A. Bassalat ^{119,f}, R.L. Bates ⁵⁶, S.J. Batista ¹⁶¹, J.R. Batley ³⁰, M. Battaglia ¹³⁹, M. Bauce ^{134a,134b}, F. Bauer ¹³⁸, K.T. Bauer ¹⁶⁶, H.S. Bawa ^{145,g}, J.B. Beacham ¹¹³, M.D. Beattie ⁷⁵, T. Beau ⁸³, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁶⁵, P. Bechtle ²³, H.P. Beck ^{18,h}, H.C. Beck ⁵⁸, K. Becker ¹²², M. Becker ⁸⁶, C. Becot ¹¹², A.J. Beddall ^{20e}, A. Beddall ^{20b}, V.A. Bednyakov ⁶⁸,

- M. Bedognetti 109, C.P. Bee 150, T.A. Beermann 32, M. Begalli 26a, M. Begel 27, J.K. Behr 45, A.S. Bell 81, G. Bella 155, L. Bellagamba 22a, A. Bellerive 31, M. Bellomo 154, K. Belotskiy 100, N.L. Belyaev 100, O. Benary 155,* D. Benchekroun 137a, M. Bender 102, N. Benekos 10, Y. Benhammou 155, E. Benhar Noccioli 179, J. Benitez 66, D.P. Benjamin 48, M. Benoit 52, J.R. Bensinger 25, S. Bentvelsen 109, L. Beresford 122, M. Beretta 50, D. Berge 45, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann 168, N. Berger 5, L.J. Bergsten 25, J. Beringer 16, S. Berlendis 57, N.R. Bernard 89, G. Bernardi 83, C. Bernius 145, F.U. Bernlochner 23, T. Berry 80, P. Berta 86, C. Bertella 35a, G. Bertoli 148a, 148b, I.A. Bertram 75, C. Bertsche 45, G.J. Besjes 39, O. Bessidskaia Bylund 148a, 148b, M. Bessner 45, N. Besson 138, A. Bethani 87, S. Bethke 103, A. Betti 23, A.J. Bevan 79, J. Beyer 103, R.M. Bianchi 127, O. Biebel 102, D. Biedermann 17, R. Bielski 87, K. Bierwagen 86, N.V. Biesuz 126a, 126b, M. Biglietti 136a, T.R.V. Billoud 97, M. Bindi 58, A. Bingul 20b, C. Bini 134a, 134b, S. Biondi 22a, 22b, T. Bisanz 58, C. Bittrich 47, D.M. Bjergaard 48, J.E. Black 145, K.M. Black 24, R.E. Blair 6, T. Blazek 146a, I. Bloch 45, C. Blocker 25, A. Blue 56, U. Blumenschein 79, Dr. Blunier 34a, G.J. Bobbink 109, V.S. Bobrovnikov 111,c, S.S. Bocchetta 84, A. Bocci 48, C. Bock 102, D. Boerner 177, D. Bogavac 102, A.G. Bogdanchikov 111, C. Bohm 148a, V. Boisvert 80, P. Bokan 168,i, T. Bold 41a, A.S. Boldyrev 101, A.E. Bolz 60b, M. Bomben 83, M. Bona 79, J.S. Bonilla 118, M. Boonekamp 138, A. Borisov 132, G. Borissov 75, J. Bortfeldt 32, D. Bortoletto 122, V. Bortolotto 62a, D. Boscherini 22a, M. Bosman 13, J.D. Bossio Sola 29, J. Boudreau 127, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker 75, D. Boumediene 37, C. Bourdarios 119, S.K. Boutle 56, A. Boveia 113, J. Boyd 32, I.R. Boyko 68, A.J. Bozson 80, J. Bracinik 19, A. Brandt 8, G. Brandt 177, O. Brandt 60a, F. Braren 45, U. Bratzler 158, B. Brau 89, J.E. Brau 118, W.D. Breaden Madden 56, K. Brendlinger 45, A.J. Brennan 91, L. Brenner 109, R. Brenner 168, S. Bressler 175, D.L. Briglin 19, T.M. Bristow 49, D. Britton 56, D. Britzger 60b, I. Brock 23, R. Brock 93, G. Brooijmans 38, T. Brooks 80, W.K. Brooks 34b, E. Brost 110, J.H. Broughton 19, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom 42, D. Bruncko 146b, A. Bruni 22a, G. Bruni 22a, L.S. Bruni 109, S. Bruno 135a, 135b, BH Brunt 30, M. Bruschi 22a, N. Bruscino 127, P. Bryant 33, L. Bryngemark 45, T. Buanes 15, Q. Buat 144, P. Buchholz 143, A.G. Buckley 56, I.A. Budagov 68, F. Buehrer 51, M.K. Bugge 121, O. Bulekov 100, D. Bullock 8, T.J. Burch 110, S. Burdin 77, C.D. Burgard 109, A.M. Burger 5, B. Burghgrave 110, K. Burka 42, S. Burke 133, I. Burmeister 46, J.T.P. Burr 122, D. Büscher 51, V. Büscher 86, E. Buschmann 58, P. Bussey 56, J.M. Butler 24, C.M. Buttar 56, J.M. Butterworth 81, P. Butti 32, W. Buttinger 27, A. Buzatu 153, A.R. Buzykaev 111,c, S. Cabrera Urbán 170, D. Caforio 130, H. Cai 169, V.M.M. Cairo 2, O. Cakir 4a, N. Calace 52, P. Calafiura 16, A. Calandri 88, G. Calderini 83, P. Calfayan 64, G. Callea 40a, 40b, L.P. Caloba 26a, S. Calvente Lopez 85, D. Calvet 37, S. Calvet 37, T.P. Calvet 88, R. Camacho Toro 33, S. Camarda 32, P. Camarri 135a, 135b, D. Cameron 121, R. Caminal Armadans 89, C. Camincher 57, S. Campana 32, M. Campanelli 81, A. Camplani 94a, 94b, A. Campoverde 143, V. Canale 106a, 106b, M. Cano Bret 36b, J. Cantero 116, T. Cao 155, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido 32, I. Caprini 28b, M. Caprini 28b, M. Capua 40a, 40b, R.M. Carbone 38, R. Cardarelli 135a, F. Cardillo 51, I. Carli 131, T. Carli 32, G. Carlini 106a, B.T. Carlson 127, L. Carminati 94a, 94b, R.M.D. Carney 148a, 148b, S. Caron 108, E. Carquin 34b, S. Carrá 94a, 94b, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya 32, D. Casadei 19, M.P. Casado 13,j, A.F. Casha 161, M. Casolino 13, D.W. Casper 166, R. Castelijn 109, V. Castillo Gimenez 170, N.F. Castro 128a,k, A. Catinaccio 32, J.R. Catmore 121, A. Cattai 32, J. Caudron 23, V. Cavaliere 27, E. Cavallaro 13, D. Cavalli 94a, M. Cavalli-Sforza 13, V. Cavasinni 126a, 126b, E. Celebi 20d, F. Ceradini 136a, 136b, L. Cerdá Alberich 170, A.S. Cerqueira 26b, A. Cerri 151, L. Cerrito 135a, 135b, F. Cerutti 16, A. Cervelli 22a, 22b, S.A. Cetin 20d, A. Chafaq 137a, D. Chakraborty 110, S.K. Chan 59, W.S. Chan 109, Y.L. Chan 62a, P. Chang 169, J.D. Chapman 30, D.G. Charlton 19, C.C. Chau 31, C.A. Chavez Barajas 151, S. Che 113, A. Chegwidden 93, S. Chekanov 6, S.V. Chekulaev 163a, G.A. Chelkov 68,l, M.A. Chelstowska 32, C. Chen 36c, C. Chen 67, H. Chen 27, J. Chen 36c, J. Chen 38, S. Chen 35b, S. Chen 157, X. Chen 35c,m, Y. Chen 70, H.C. Cheng 92, H.J. Cheng 35a, 35d, A. Cheplakov 68, E. Cheremushkina 132, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli 137e, E. Cheu 7, K. Cheung 63, L. Chevalier 138, V. Chiarella 50, G. Chiarelli 126a, G. Chiodini 76a, A.S. Chisholm 32, A. Chitan 28b, Y.H. Chiu 172, M.V. Chizhov 68, K. Choi 64, A.R. Chomont 37, S. Chouridou 156, Y.S. Chow 109, V. Christodoulou 81, M.C. Chu 62a, J. Chudoba 129, A.J. Chuinard 90, J.J. Chwastowski 42, L. Chytka 117, D. Cinca 46, V. Cindro 78, I.A. Cioară 23, A. Ciocio 16, F. Cirotto 106a, 106b, Z.H. Citron 175, M. Citterio 94a, A. Clark 52, M.R. Clark 38, P.J. Clark 49, R.N. Clarke 16, C. Clement 148a, 148b, Y. Coadou 88, M. Cobal 167a, 167c, A. Coccaro 52, J. Cochran 67, L. Colasurdo 108, B. Cole 38, A.P. Colijn 109, J. Collot 57, P. Conde Muñoz 128a, 128b, E. Coniavitis 51, S.H. Connell 147b, I.A. Connelly 87, S. Constantinescu 28b, G. Conti 32, F. Conventi 106a,n, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar 122, F. Cormier 171, K.J.R. Cormier 161, M. Corradi 134a, 134b, E.E. Corrigan 84,

- F. Corriveau ^{90,o}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez ³², M.J. Costa ¹⁷⁰, D. Costanzo ¹⁴¹, G. Cottin ³⁰, G. Cowan ⁸⁰,
 B.E. Cox ⁸⁷, K. Cranmer ¹¹², S.J. Crawley ⁵⁶, R.A. Creager ¹²⁴, G. Cree ³¹, S. Crépé-Renaudin ⁵⁷, F. Crescioli ⁸³,
 M. Cristinziani ²³, V. Croft ¹¹², G. Crosetti ^{40a,40b}, A. Cueto ⁸⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹⁴¹,
 A.R. Cukierman ¹⁴⁵, J. Cummings ¹⁷⁹, M. Curatolo ⁵⁰, J. Cúth ⁸⁶, S. Czekierda ⁴², P. Czodrowski ³²,
 G. D’amen ^{22a,22b}, S. D’Auria ⁵⁶, L. D’eramo ⁸³, M. D’Onofrio ⁷⁷, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{128a,128b},
 C. Da Via ⁸⁷, W. Dabrowski ^{41a}, T. Dado ^{146a}, S. Dahbi ^{137e}, T. Dai ⁹², O. Dale ¹⁵, F. Dallaire ⁹⁷,
 C. Dallapiccola ⁸⁹, M. Dam ³⁹, J.R. Dandoy ¹²⁴, M.F. Daneri ²⁹, N.P. Dang ^{176,e}, N.S. Dann ⁸⁷,
 M. Danner ¹⁷¹, M. Dano Hoffmann ¹³⁸, V. Dao ³², G. Darbo ^{53a}, S. Darmora ⁸, J. Dassoulas ³,
 A. Dattagupta ¹¹⁸, T. Daubney ⁴⁵, W. Davey ²³, C. David ⁴⁵, T. Davidek ¹³¹, D.R. Davis ⁴⁸, P. Davison ⁸¹,
 E. Dawe ⁹¹, I. Dawson ¹⁴¹, K. De ⁸, R. de Asmundis ^{106a}, A. De Benedetti ¹¹⁵, S. De Castro ^{22a,22b},
 S. De Cecco ⁸³, N. De Groot ¹⁰⁸, P. de Jong ¹⁰⁹, H. De la Torre ⁹³, F. De Lorenzi ⁶⁷, A. De Maria ⁵⁸,
 D. De Pedis ^{134a}, A. De Salvo ^{134a}, U. De Sanctis ^{135a,135b}, A. De Santo ¹⁵¹, K. De Vasconcelos Corga ⁸⁸,
 J.B. De Vivie De Regie ¹¹⁹, C. Debenedetti ¹³⁹, D.V. Dedovich ⁶⁸, N. Dehghanian ³, I. Deigaard ¹⁰⁹,
 M. Del Gaudio ^{40a,40b}, J. Del Peso ⁸⁵, D. Delgove ¹¹⁹, F. Deliot ¹³⁸, C.M. Delitzsch ⁷, A. Dell’Acqua ³²,
 L. Dell’Asta ²⁴, M. Della Pietra ^{106a,106b}, D. della Volpe ⁵², M. Delmastro ⁵, C. Delporte ¹¹⁹, P.A. Delsart ⁵⁷,
 D.A. DeMarco ¹⁶¹, S. Demers ¹⁷⁹, M. Demichev ⁶⁸, S.P. Denisov ¹³², D. Denysiuk ¹³⁸, D. Derendarz ⁴²,
 J.E. Derkaoui ^{137d}, F. Derue ⁸³, P. Dervan ⁷⁷, K. Desch ²³, C. Deterre ⁴⁵, K. Dette ¹⁶¹, M.R. Devesa ²⁹,
 P.O. Deviveiros ³², A. Dewhurst ¹³³, S. Dhaliwal ²⁵, F.A. Di Bello ⁵², A. Di Ciaccio ^{135a,135b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵,
 W.K. Di Clemente ¹²⁴, C. Di Donato ^{106a,106b}, A. Di Girolamo ³², B. Di Micco ^{136a,136b}, R. Di Nardo ³²,
 K.F. Di Petrillo ⁵⁹, A. Di Simone ⁵¹, R. Di Sipio ¹⁶¹, D. Di Valentino ³¹, C. Diaconu ⁸⁸, M. Diamond ¹⁶¹,
 F.A. Dias ³⁹, M.A. Diaz ^{34a}, J. Dickinson ¹⁶, E.B. Diehl ⁹², J. Dietrich ¹⁷, S. Díez Cornell ⁴⁵, A. Dimitrievska ¹⁶,
 J. Dingfelder ²³, P. Dita ^{28b}, S. Dita ^{28b}, F. Dittus ³², F. Djama ⁸⁸, T. Djobava ^{54b}, J.I. Djuvsland ^{60a},
 M.A.B. do Vale ^{26c}, M. Dobre ^{28b}, D. Dodsworth ²⁵, C. Doglioni ⁸⁴, J. Dolejsi ¹³¹, Z. Dolezal ¹³¹,
 M. Donadelli ^{26d}, S. Donati ^{126a,126b}, J. Donini ³⁷, J. Dopke ¹³³, A. Doria ^{106a}, M.T. Dova ⁷⁴, A.T. Doyle ⁵⁶,
 E. Drechsler ⁵⁸, E. Dreyer ¹⁴⁴, M. Dris ¹⁰, Y. Du ^{36a}, J. Duarte-Campderros ¹⁵⁵, F. Dubinin ⁹⁸, A. Dubreuil ⁵²,
 E. Duchovni ¹⁷⁵, G. Duckeck ¹⁰², A. Ducourthial ⁸³, O.A. Ducu ^{97,p}, D. Duda ¹⁰⁹, A. Dudarev ³²,
 A.Chr. Dudder ⁸⁶, E.M. Duffield ¹⁶, L. Duflot ¹¹⁹, M. Dührssen ³², C. Dulsen ¹⁷⁷, M. Dumancic ¹⁷⁵,
 A.E. Dumitriu ^{28b,q}, A.K. Duncan ⁵⁶, M. Dunford ^{60a}, A. Duperrin ⁸⁸, H. Duran Yildiz ^{4a}, M. Düren ⁵⁵,
 A. Durglishvili ^{54b}, D. Duschinger ⁴⁷, B. Dutta ⁴⁵, D. Duvnjak ¹, M. Dyndal ⁴⁵, B.S. Dziedzic ⁴², C. Eckardt ⁴⁵,
 K.M. Ecker ¹⁰³, R.C. Edgar ⁹², T. Eifert ³², G. Eigen ¹⁵, K. Einsweiler ¹⁶, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁸, M. El Kacimi ^{137c},
 R. El Kosseifi ⁸⁸, V. Ellajosyula ⁸⁸, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁸, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁷, A.A. Elliot ¹⁷², N. Ellis ³², J. Elmsheuser ²⁷,
 M. Elsing ³², D. Emeliyanov ¹³³, Y. Enari ¹⁵⁷, J.S. Ennis ¹⁷³, M.B. Epland ⁴⁸, J. Erdmann ⁴⁶, A. Ereditato ¹⁸,
 S. Errede ¹⁶⁹, M. Escalier ¹¹⁹, C. Escobar ¹⁷⁰, B. Esposito ⁵⁰, O. Estrada Pastor ¹⁷⁰, A.I. Etienne ¹³⁸,
 E. Etzion ¹⁵⁵, H. Evans ⁶⁴, A. Ezhilov ¹²⁵, M. Ezzi ^{137e}, F. Fabbri ^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri ^{22a,22b}, V. Fabiani ¹⁰⁸,
 G. Facini ⁸¹, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹³², S. Falciano ^{134a}, R.J. Falla ⁸¹, J. Faltova ¹³¹, Y. Fang ^{35a}, M. Fanti ^{94a,94b},
 A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{136a}, E.M. Farina ^{123a,123b}, T. Farooque ⁹³, S. Farrell ¹⁶, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷³,
 P. Farthouat ³², F. Fassi ^{137e}, P. Fassnacht ³², D. Fassouliotis ⁹, M. Faucci Giannelli ⁴⁹, A. Favareto ^{53a,53b},
 W.J. Fawcett ¹²², L. Fayard ¹¹⁹, O.L. Fedin ^{125,r}, W. Fedorko ¹⁷¹, M. Feickert ⁴³, S. Feigl ¹²¹, L. Feligioni ⁸⁸,
 C. Feng ^{36a}, E.J. Feng ³², M. Feng ⁴⁸, M.J. Fenton ⁵⁶, A.B. Fenyuk ¹³², L. Feremenga ⁸,
 P. Fernandez Martinez ¹⁷⁰, J. Ferrando ⁴⁵, A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁸, P. Ferrari ¹⁰⁹, R. Ferrari ^{123a},
 D.E. Ferreira de Lima ^{60b}, A. Ferrer ¹⁷⁰, D. Ferrere ⁵², C. Ferretti ⁹², F. Fiedler ⁸⁶, A. Filipčič ⁷⁸, F. Filthaut ¹⁰⁸,
 M. Fincke-Keeler ¹⁷², K.D. Finelli ²⁴, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{128a,128c,s}, L. Fiorini ¹⁷⁰, C. Fischer ¹³, J. Fischer ¹⁷⁷,
 W.C. Fisher ⁹³, N. Flaschel ⁴⁵, I. Fleck ¹⁴³, P. Fleischmann ⁹², R.R.M. Fletcher ¹²⁴, T. Flick ¹⁷⁷, B.M. Flierl ¹⁰²,
 L.M. Flores ¹²⁴, L.R. Flores Castillo ^{62a}, N. Fomin ¹⁵, G.T. Forcolin ⁸⁷, A. Formica ¹³⁸, F.A. Förster ¹³,
 A. Forti ⁸⁷, A.G. Foster ¹⁹, D. Fournier ¹¹⁹, H. Fox ⁷⁵, S. Fracchia ¹⁴¹, P. Francavilla ^{126a,126b},
 M. Franchini ^{22a,22b}, S. Franchino ^{60a}, D. Francis ³², L. Franconi ¹²¹, M. Franklin ⁵⁹, M. Frate ¹⁶⁶,
 M. Fraternali ^{123a,123b}, D. Freeborn ⁸¹, S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu ³², B. Freund ⁹⁷, W.S. Freund ^{26a},
 D. Froidevaux ³², J.A. Frost ¹²², C. Fukunaga ¹⁵⁸, T. Fusayasu ¹⁰⁴, J. Fuster ¹⁷⁰, O. Gabizon ¹⁵⁴,
 A. Gabrielli ^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli ¹⁶, G.P. Gach ^{41a}, S. Gadatsch ⁵², S. Gadomski ⁸⁰, G. Gagliardi ^{53a,53b},
 L.G. Gagnon ⁹⁷, C. Galea ¹⁰⁸, B. Galhardo ^{128a,128c}, E.J. Gallas ¹²², B.J. Gallop ¹³³, P. Gallus ¹³⁰, G. Galster ³⁹,
 K.K. Gan ¹¹³, S. Ganguly ¹⁷⁵, Y. Gao ⁷⁷, Y.S. Gao ^{145,g}, F.M. Garay Walls ^{34a}, C. García ¹⁷⁰,
 J.E. García Navarro ¹⁷⁰, J.A. García Pascual ^{35a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁶, R.W. Gardner ³³, N. Garelli ¹⁴⁵,

- V. Garonne ¹²¹, K. Gasnikova ⁴⁵, A. Gaudiello ^{53a,53b}, G. Gaudio ^{123a}, I.L. Gavrilenco ⁹⁸, C. Gay ¹⁷¹,
 G. Gaycken ²³, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee ¹³³, J. Geisen ⁵⁸, M. Geisen ⁸⁶, M.P. Geisler ^{60a},
 K. Gellerstedt ^{148a,148b}, C. Gemme ^{53a}, M.H. Genest ⁵⁷, C. Geng ⁹², S. Gentile ^{134a,134b}, C. Gentsos ¹⁵⁶,
 S. George ⁸⁰, D. Gerbaudo ¹³, G. Geßner ⁴⁶, S. Ghasemi ¹⁴³, M. Ghneimat ²³, B. Giacobbe ^{22a},
 S. Giagu ^{134a,134b}, N. Giangiacomi ^{22a,22b}, P. Giannetti ^{126a}, S.M. Gibson ⁸⁰, M. Gignac ¹³⁹, M. Gilchriese ¹⁶,
 D. Gillberg ³¹, G. Gilles ¹⁷⁷, D.M. Gingrich ^{3,d}, M.P. Giordani ^{167a,167c}, F.M. Giorgi ^{22a}, P.F. Giraud ¹³⁸,
 P. Giromini ⁵⁹, G. Giugliarelli ^{167a,167c}, D. Giugni ^{94a}, F. Giuli ¹²², M. Giulini ^{60b}, S. Gkaitatzis ¹⁵⁶,
 I. Gkialas ^{9,t}, E.L. Gkougkousis ¹³, P. Gkountoumis ¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin ¹⁰¹, C. Glasman ⁸⁵, J. Glatzer ¹³,
 P.C.F. Glaysher ⁴⁵, A. Glazov ⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ²⁵, J. Godlewski ⁴², S. Goldfarb ⁹¹, T. Golling ⁵²,
 D. Golubkov ¹³², A. Gomes ^{128a,128b,128d}, R. Gonçalo ^{128a}, R. Goncalves Gama ^{26a}, G. Gonella ⁵¹,
 L. Gonella ¹⁹, A. Gongadze ⁶⁸, F. Gonnella ¹⁹, J.L. Gonski ⁵⁹, S. González de la Hoz ¹⁷⁰,
 S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁵², L. Goossens ³², P.A. Gorbounov ⁹⁹, H.A. Gordon ²⁷, B. Gorini ³², E. Gorini ^{76a,76b},
 A. Gorišek ⁷⁸, A.T. Goshaw ⁴⁸, C. Gössling ⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin ⁶⁸, C.A. Gottardo ²³, C.R. Goudet ¹¹⁹,
 D. Goujdami ^{137c}, A.G. Goussiou ¹⁴⁰, N. Govender ^{147b,u}, C. Goy ⁵, E. Gozani ¹⁵⁴, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{41a},
 P.O.J. Gradin ¹⁶⁸, E.C. Graham ⁷⁷, J. Gramling ¹⁶⁶, E. Gramstad ¹²¹, S. Grancagnolo ¹⁷, V. Gratchev ¹²⁵,
 P.M. Gravila ^{28f}, C. Gray ⁵⁶, H.M. Gray ¹⁶, Z.D. Greenwood ^{82,v}, C. Grefe ²³, K. Gregersen ⁸¹, I.M. Gregor ⁴⁵,
 P. Grenier ¹⁴⁵, K. Grevtsov ⁵, J. Griffiths ⁸, A.A. Grillo ¹³⁹, K. Grimm ⁷⁵, S. Grinstein ^{13,w}, Ph. Gris ³⁷,
 J.-F. Grivaz ¹¹⁹, S. Groh ⁸⁶, E. Gross ¹⁷⁵, J. Grosse-Knetter ⁵⁸, G.C. Grossi ⁸², Z.J. Grout ⁸¹, A. Grummer ¹⁰⁷,
 L. Guan ⁹², W. Guan ¹⁷⁶, J. Guenther ³², A. Guerguichon ¹¹⁹, F. Guescini ^{163a}, D. Guest ¹⁶⁶, O. Gueta ¹⁵⁵,
 R. Gugel ⁵¹, B. Gui ¹¹³, T. Guillemin ⁵, S. Guindon ³², U. Gul ⁵⁶, C. Gumpert ³², J. Guo ^{36b}, W. Guo ⁹²,
 Y. Guo ^{36c,x}, R. Gupta ⁴³, S. Gurbuz ^{20a}, G. Gustavino ¹¹⁵, B.J. Gutelman ¹⁵⁴, P. Gutierrez ¹¹⁵,
 N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz ⁸¹, C. Gutschow ⁸¹, C. Guyot ¹³⁸, M.P. Guzik ^{41a}, C. Gwenlan ¹²², C.B. Gwilliam ⁷⁷,
 A. Haas ¹¹², C. Haber ¹⁶, H.K. Hadavand ⁸, N. Haddad ^{137e}, A. Hadef ⁸⁸, S. Hageböck ²³, M. Hagihara ¹⁶⁴,
 H. Hakobyan ^{180,*}, M. Haleem ¹⁷⁸, J. Haley ¹¹⁶, G. Halladjian ⁹³, G.D. Hallewell ⁸⁸, K. Hamacher ¹⁷⁷,
 P. Hamal ¹¹⁷, K. Hamano ¹⁷², A. Hamilton ^{147a}, G.N. Hamity ¹⁴¹, K. Han ^{36c,y}, L. Han ^{36c}, S. Han ^{35a,35d},
 K. Hanagaki ^{69,z}, M. Hance ¹³⁹, D.M. Handl ¹⁰², B. Haney ¹²⁴, R. Hankache ⁸³, P. Hanke ^{60a}, E. Hansen ⁸⁴,
 J.B. Hansen ³⁹, J.D. Hansen ³⁹, M.C. Hansen ²³, P.H. Hansen ³⁹, K. Hara ¹⁶⁴, A.S. Hard ¹⁷⁶, T. Harenberg ¹⁷⁷,
 F. Hariri ¹¹⁹, S. Harkusha ⁹⁵, P.F. Harrison ¹⁷³, N.M. Hartmann ¹⁰², Y. Hasegawa ¹⁴², A. Hasib ⁴⁹,
 S. Hassani ¹³⁸, S. Haug ¹⁸, R. Hauser ⁹³, L. Hauswald ⁴⁷, L.B. Havener ³⁸, M. Havranek ¹³⁰, C.M. Hawkes ¹⁹,
 R.J. Hawkings ³², D. Hayden ⁹³, C.P. Hays ¹²², J.M. Hays ⁷⁹, H.S. Hayward ⁷⁷, S.J. Haywood ¹³³, T. Heck ⁸⁶,
 V. Hedberg ⁸⁴, L. Heelan ⁸, S. Heer ²³, K.K. Heidegger ⁵¹, S. Heim ⁴⁵, T. Heim ¹⁶, B. Heinemann ^{45,aa},
 J.J. Heinrich ¹⁰², L. Heinrich ¹¹², C. Heinz ⁵⁵, J. Hejbal ¹²⁹, L. Helary ³², A. Held ¹⁷¹, S. Hellman ^{148a,148b},
 C. Helsens ³², R.C.W. Henderson ⁷⁵, Y. Heng ¹⁷⁶, S. Henkelmann ¹⁷¹, A.M. Henriques Correia ³²,
 G.H. Herbert ¹⁷, H. Herde ²⁵, V. Herget ¹⁷⁸, Y. Hernández Jiménez ^{147c}, H. Herr ⁸⁶, G. Herten ⁵¹,
 R. Hertenberger ¹⁰², L. Hervas ³², T.C. Herwig ¹²⁴, G.G. Hesketh ⁸¹, N.P. Hessey ^{163a}, J.W. Hetherly ⁴³,
 S. Higashino ⁶⁹, E. Higón-Rodriguez ¹⁷⁰, K. Hildebrand ³³, E. Hill ¹⁷², J.C. Hill ³⁰, K.H. Hiller ⁴⁵, S.J. Hillier ¹⁹,
 M. Hils ⁴⁷, I. Hinchliffe ¹⁶, M. Hirose ⁵¹, D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁷⁷, B. Hiti ⁷⁸, O. Hladík ¹²⁹, D.R. Hlaluku ^{147c},
 X. Hoad ⁴⁹, J. Hobbs ¹⁵⁰, N. Hod ^{163a}, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹⁴¹, A. Hoecker ³², M.R. Hoeferkamp ¹⁰⁷,
 F. Hoenig ¹⁰², D. Hohn ²³, D. Hohov ¹¹⁹, T.R. Holmes ³³, M. Holzbock ¹⁰², M. Homann ⁴⁶, S. Honda ¹⁶⁴,
 T. Honda ⁶⁹, T.M. Hong ¹²⁷, B.H. Hooberman ¹⁶⁹, W.H. Hopkins ¹¹⁸, Y. Horii ¹⁰⁵, A.J. Horton ¹⁴⁴,
 J-Y. Hostachy ⁵⁷, A. Hostiuc ¹⁴⁰, S. Hou ¹⁵³, A. Hoummada ^{137a}, J. Howarth ⁸⁷, J. Hoya ⁷⁴, M. Hrabovsky ¹¹⁷,
 J. Hrdinka ³², I. Hristova ¹⁷, J. Hrivnac ¹¹⁹, T. Hrynevich ⁹⁶, P.J. Hsu ⁶³, S.-C. Hsu ¹⁴⁰, Q. Hu ²⁷,
 S. Hu ^{36b}, Y. Huang ^{35a}, Z. Hubacek ¹³⁰, F. Hubaut ⁸⁸, F. Huegging ²³, T.B. Huffman ¹²², E.W. Hughes ³⁸,
 M. Huhtinen ³², R.F.H. Hunter ³¹, P. Huo ¹⁵⁰, A.M. Hupe ³¹, N. Huseynov ^{68,b}, J. Huston ⁹³, J. Huth ⁵⁹,
 R. Hyneman ⁹², G. Iacobucci ⁵², G. Iakovidis ²⁷, I. Ibragimov ¹⁴³, L. Iconomidou-Fayard ¹¹⁹, Z. Idrissi ^{137e},
 P. Iengo ³², O. Igonkina ^{109,ab}, R. Iguchi ¹⁵⁷, T. Iizawa ¹⁷⁴, Y. Ikegami ⁶⁹, M. Ikeno ⁶⁹, D. Iliadis ¹⁵⁶, N. Ilic ¹⁴⁵,
 F. Iltzsche ⁴⁷, G. Introzzi ^{123a,123b}, M. Iodice ^{136a}, K. Iordanidou ³⁸, V. Ippolito ⁵⁹, M.F. Isacson ¹⁶⁸,
 N. Ishijima ¹²⁰, M. Ishino ¹⁵⁷, M. Ishitsuka ¹⁵⁹, C. Issever ¹²², S. Istin ^{20a}, F. Ito ¹⁶⁴, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ^{62a},
 R. Iuppa ^{162a,162b}, H. Iwasaki ⁶⁹, J.M. Izen ⁴⁴, V. Izzo ^{106a}, S. Jabbar ³, P. Jackson ¹, R.M. Jacobs ²³, V. Jain ²,
 G. Jakel ¹⁷⁷, K.B. Jakobi ⁸⁶, K. Jakobs ⁵¹, S. Jakobsen ⁶⁵, T. Jakoubek ¹²⁹, D.O. Jamin ¹¹⁶, D.K. Jana ⁸²,
 R. Jansky ⁵², J. Janssen ²³, M. Janus ⁵⁸, P.A. Janus ^{41a}, G. Jarlskog ⁸⁴, N. Javadov ^{68,b}, T. Javůrek ⁵¹,
 M. Javurkova ⁵¹, F. Jeanneau ¹³⁸, L. Jeanty ¹⁶, J. Jejelava ^{54a,ac}, A. Jelinskas ¹⁷³, P. Jenni ^{51,ad}, C. Jeske ¹⁷³,

- S. Jézéquel ⁵, H. Ji ¹⁷⁶, J. Jia ¹⁵⁰, H. Jiang ⁶⁷, Y. Jiang ^{36c}, Z. Jiang ¹⁴⁵, S. Jiggins ⁸¹, J. Jimenez Pena ¹⁷⁰,
 S. Jin ^{35b}, A. Jinaru ^{28b}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵⁹, H. Jivan ^{147c}, P. Johansson ¹⁴¹, K.A. Johns ⁷, C.A. Johnson ⁶⁴,
 W.J. Johnson ¹⁴⁰, K. Jon-And ^{148a,148b}, R.W.L. Jones ⁷⁵, S.D. Jones ¹⁵¹, S. Jones ⁷, T.J. Jones ⁷⁷,
 J. Jongmanns ^{60a}, P.M. Jorge ^{128a,128b}, J. Jovicevic ^{163a}, X. Ju ¹⁷⁶, A. Juste Rozas ^{13,w}, A. Kaczmarska ⁴²,
 M. Kado ¹¹⁹, H. Kagan ¹¹³, M. Kagan ¹⁴⁵, S.J. Kahn ⁸⁸, T. Kaji ¹⁷⁴, E. Kajomovitz ¹⁵⁴, C.W. Kalderon ⁸⁴,
 A. Kaluza ⁸⁶, S. Kama ⁴³, A. Kamenshchikov ¹³², L. Kanjir ⁷⁸, Y. Kano ¹⁵⁷, V.A. Kantserov ¹⁰⁰, J. Kanzaki ⁶⁹,
 B. Kaplan ¹¹², L.S. Kaplan ¹⁷⁶, D. Kar ^{147c}, K. Karakostas ¹⁰, N. Karastathis ¹⁰, M.J. Kareem ^{163b},
 E. Karentzos ¹⁰, S.N. Karpov ⁶⁸, Z.M. Karpova ⁶⁸, V. Kartvelishvili ⁷⁵, A.N. Karyukhin ¹³², K. Kasahara ¹⁶⁴,
 L. Kashif ¹⁷⁶, R.D. Kass ¹¹³, A. Kastanas ¹⁴⁹, Y. Kataoka ¹⁵⁷, C. Kato ¹⁵⁷, A. Katre ⁵², J. Katzy ⁴⁵, K. Kawade ⁷⁰,
 K. Kawagoe ⁷³, T. Kawamoto ¹⁵⁷, G. Kawamura ⁵⁸, E.F. Kay ⁷⁷, V.F. Kazanin ^{111,c}, R. Keeler ¹⁷², R. Kehoe ⁴³,
 J.S. Keller ³¹, E. Kellermann ⁸⁴, J.J. Kempster ¹⁹, J. Kendrick ¹⁹, H. Keoshkerian ¹⁶¹, O. Kepka ¹²⁹,
 B.P. Kerševan ⁷⁸, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁷, R.A. Keyes ⁹⁰, M. Khader ¹⁶⁹, F. Khalil-zada ¹², A. Khanov ¹¹⁶,
 A.G. Kharlamov ^{111,c}, T. Kharlamova ^{111,c}, A. Khodinov ¹⁶⁰, T.J. Khoo ⁵², V. Khovanskiy ^{99,*}, E. Khramov ⁶⁸,
 J. Khubua ^{54b,ae}, S. Kido ⁷⁰, M. Kiehn ⁵², C.R. Kilby ⁸⁰, H.Y. Kim ⁸, S.H. Kim ¹⁶⁴, Y.K. Kim ³³,
 N. Kimura ^{167a,167c}, O.M. Kind ¹⁷, B.T. King ⁷⁷, D. Kirchmeier ⁴⁷, J. Kirk ¹³³, A.E. Kiryunin ¹⁰³,
 T. Kishimoto ¹⁵⁷, D. Kisielewska ^{41a}, V. Kitali ⁴⁵, O. Kivernyk ⁵, E. Kladiva ^{146b}, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus ⁵¹,
 M.H. Klein ⁹², M. Klein ⁷⁷, U. Klein ⁷⁷, K. Kleinknecht ⁸⁶, P. Klimek ¹¹⁰, A. Klimentov ²⁷, R. Klingenberg ^{46,*},
 T. Klingl ²³, T. Klioutchnikova ³², F.F. Klitzner ¹⁰², E.-E. Kluge ^{60a}, P. Kluit ¹⁰⁹, S. Kluth ¹⁰³, E. Kneringer ⁶⁵,
 E.B.F.G. Knoops ⁸⁸, A. Knue ⁵¹, A. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁷, D. Kobayashi ⁷³, T. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁷, M. Kobel ⁴⁷,
 M. Kocian ¹⁴⁵, P. Kodys ¹³¹, T. Koffas ³¹, E. Koffeman ¹⁰⁹, N.M. Köhler ¹⁰³, T. Koi ¹⁴⁵, M. Kolb ^{60b},
 I. Koletsou ⁵, T. Kondo ⁶⁹, N. Kondrashova ^{36b}, K. Köneke ⁵¹, A.C. König ¹⁰⁸, T. Kono ^{69,af},
 R. Konoplich ^{112,ag}, N. Konstantinidis ⁸¹, B. Konya ⁸⁴, R. Kopeliansky ⁶⁴, S. Koperny ^{41a}, K. Korcyl ⁴²,
 K. Kordas ¹⁵⁶, A. Korn ⁸¹, I. Korolkov ¹³, E.V. Korolkova ¹⁴¹, O. Kortner ¹⁰³, S. Kortner ¹⁰³, T. Kosek ¹³¹,
 V.V. Kostyukhin ²³, A. Kotwal ⁴⁸, A. Koulouris ¹⁰, A. Kourkoumelis-Charalampidi ^{123a,123b},
 C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, E. Kourlitis ¹⁴¹, V. Kouskoura ²⁷, A.B. Kowalewska ⁴², R. Kowalewski ¹⁷²,
 T.Z. Kowalski ^{41a}, C. Kozakai ¹⁵⁷, W. Kozanecki ¹³⁸, A.S. Kozhin ¹³², V.A. Kramarenko ¹⁰¹, G. Kramberger ⁷⁸,
 D. Krasnopevtsev ¹⁰⁰, M.W. Krasny ⁸³, A. Krasznahorkay ³², D. Krauss ¹⁰³, J.A. Kremer ^{41a},
 J. Kretzschmar ⁷⁷, K. Kreutzfeldt ⁵⁵, P. Krieger ¹⁶¹, K. Krizka ¹⁶, K. Kroeninger ⁴⁶, H. Kroha ¹⁰³, J. Kroll ¹²⁹,
 J. Kroll ¹²⁴, J. Kroseberg ²³, J. Krstic ¹⁴, U. Kruchonak ⁶⁸, H. Krüger ²³, N. Krumnack ⁶⁷, M.C. Kruse ⁴⁸,
 T. Kubota ⁹¹, S. Kuday ^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler ¹⁷⁷, S. Kuehn ³², A. Kugel ^{60a}, F. Kuger ¹⁷⁸, T. Kuhl ⁴⁵, V. Kukhtin ⁶⁸,
 R. Kukla ⁸⁸, Y. Kulchitsky ⁹⁵, S. Kuleshov ^{34b}, Y.P. Kulinich ¹⁶⁹, M. Kuna ⁵⁷, T. Kunigo ⁷¹, A. Kupco ¹²⁹,
 T. Kupfer ⁴⁶, O. Kuprash ¹⁵⁵, H. Kurashige ⁷⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov ^{163a}, Y.A. Kurochkin ⁹⁵, M.G. Kurth ^{35a,35d},
 E.S. Kuwertz ¹⁷², M. Kuze ¹⁵⁹, J. Kvita ¹¹⁷, T. Kwan ¹⁷², A. La Rosa ¹⁰³, J.L. La Rosa Navarro ^{26d},
 L. La Rotonda ^{40a,40b}, F. La Ruffa ^{40a,40b}, C. Lacasta ¹⁷⁰, F. Lacava ^{134a,134b}, J. Lacey ⁴⁵, D.P.J. Lack ⁸⁷,
 H. Lacker ¹⁷, D. Lacour ⁸³, E. Ladygin ⁶⁸, R. Lafaye ⁵, B. Laforge ⁸³, S. Lai ⁵⁸, S. Lammers ⁶⁴, W. Lampl ⁷,
 E. Lançon ²⁷, U. Landgraf ⁵¹, M.P.J. Landon ⁷⁹, M.C. Lanfermann ⁵², V.S. Lang ⁴⁵, J.C. Lange ¹³,
 R.J. Langenberg ³², A.J. Lankford ¹⁶⁶, F. Lanni ²⁷, K. Lantzsch ²³, A. Lanza ^{123a}, A. Lapertosa ^{53a,53b},
 S. Laplace ⁸³, J.F. Laporte ¹³⁸, T. Lari ^{94a}, F. Lasagni Manghi ^{22a,22b}, M. Lassnig ³², T.S. Lau ^{62a},
 A. Laudrain ¹¹⁹, A.T. Law ¹³⁹, P. Laycock ⁷⁷, M. Lazzaroni ^{94a,94b}, B. Le ⁹¹, O. Le Dortz ⁸³, E. Le Guiriec ⁸⁸,
 E.P. Le Quilleuc ¹³⁸, M. LeBlanc ⁷, T. LeCompte ⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁵⁷, C.A. Lee ²⁷, G.R. Lee ^{34a}, S.C. Lee ¹⁵³,
 L. Lee ⁵⁹, B. Lefebvre ⁹⁰, M. Lefebvre ¹⁷², F. Legger ¹⁰², C. Leggett ¹⁶, G. Lehmann Miotto ³², X. Lei ⁷,
 W.A. Leight ⁴⁵, A. Leisos ^{156,ah}, M.A.L. Leite ^{26d}, R. Leitner ¹³¹, D. Lellouch ¹⁷⁵, B. Lemmer ⁵⁸, K.J.C. Leney ⁸¹,
 T. Lenz ²³, B. Lenzi ³², R. Leone ⁷, S. Leone ^{126a}, C. Leonidopoulos ⁴⁹, G. Lerner ¹⁵¹, C. Leroy ⁹⁷, R. Les ¹⁶¹,
 A.A.J. Lesage ¹³⁸, C.G. Lester ³⁰, M. Levchenko ¹²⁵, J. Levêque ⁵, D. Levin ⁹², L.J. Levinson ¹⁷⁵, M. Levy ¹⁹,
 D. Lewis ⁷⁹, B. Li ^{36c,x}, C.-Q. Li ^{36c}, H. Li ^{36a}, L. Li ^{36b}, Q. Li ^{35a,35d}, Q. Li ^{36c}, S. Li ⁴⁸, X. Li ^{36b}, Y. Li ¹⁴³,
 Z. Liang ^{35a}, B. Liberti ^{135a}, A. Liblong ¹⁶¹, K. Lie ^{62c}, A. Limosani ¹⁵², C.Y. Lin ³⁰, K. Lin ⁹³, S.C. Lin ¹⁸²,
 T.H. Lin ⁸⁶, R.A. Linck ⁶⁴, B.E. Lindquist ¹⁵⁰, A.E. Lioni ⁵², E. Lipeles ¹²⁴, A. Lipniacka ¹⁵, M. Lisovskyi ^{60b},
 T.M. Liss ^{169,ai}, A. Lister ¹⁷¹, A.M. Litke ¹³⁹, B. Liu ⁶⁷, H. Liu ⁹², H. Liu ²⁷, J.K.K. Liu ¹²², J.B. Liu ^{36c}, K. Liu ⁸³,
 M. Liu ^{36c}, P. Liu ¹⁶, Y.L. Liu ^{36c}, Y. Liu ^{36c}, M. Livan ^{123a,123b}, A. Lleres ⁵⁷, J. Llorente Merino ^{35a}, S.L. Lloyd ⁷⁹,
 C.Y. Lo ^{62b}, F. Lo Sterzo ⁴³, E.M. Lobodzinska ⁴⁵, P. Loch ⁷, F.K. Loebinger ⁸⁷, A. Loesle ⁵¹, K.M. Loew ²⁵,
 T. Lohse ¹⁷, K. Lohwasser ¹⁴¹, M. Lokajicek ¹²⁹, B.A. Long ²⁴, J.D. Long ¹⁶⁹, R.E. Long ⁷⁵, L. Longo ^{76a,76b},
 K.A.Looper ¹¹³, J.A. Lopez ^{34b}, I. Lopez Paz ¹³, A. Lopez Solis ⁸³, J. Lorenz ¹⁰², N. Lorenzo Martinez ⁵,

- M. Losada ²¹, P.J. Lösel ¹⁰², X. Lou ^{35a}, A. Lounis ¹¹⁹, J. Love ⁶, P.A. Love ⁷⁵, H. Lu ^{62a}, N. Lu ⁹², Y.J. Lu ⁶³, H.J. Lubatti ¹⁴⁰, C. Luci ^{134a,134b}, A. Lucotte ⁵⁷, C. Luedtke ⁵¹, F. Luehring ⁶⁴, W. Lukas ⁶⁵, L. Luminari ^{134a}, B. Lund-Jensen ¹⁴⁹, M.S. Lutz ⁸⁹, P.M. Luzi ⁸³, D. Lynn ²⁷, R. Lysak ¹²⁹, E. Lytken ⁸⁴, F. Lyu ^{35a}, V. Lyubushkin ⁶⁸, H. Ma ²⁷, LL. Ma ^{36a}, Y. Ma ^{36a}, G. Maccarrone ⁵⁰, A. Macchiolo ¹⁰³, C.M. Macdonald ¹⁴¹, B. Maćek ⁷⁸, J. Machado Miguens ^{124,128b}, D. Madaffari ¹⁷⁰, R. Madar ³⁷, W.F. Mader ⁴⁷, A. Madsen ⁴⁵, N. Madysa ⁴⁷, J. Maeda ⁷⁰, S. Maeland ¹⁵, T. Maeno ²⁷, A.S. Maevskiy ¹⁰¹, V. Magerl ⁵¹, C. Maidantchik ^{26a}, T. Maier ¹⁰², A. Maio ^{128a,128b,128d}, O. Majersky ^{146a}, S. Majewski ¹¹⁸, Y. Makida ⁶⁹, N. Makovec ¹¹⁹, B. Malaescu ⁸³, Pa. Malecki ⁴², V.P. Maleev ¹²⁵, F. Malek ⁵⁷, U. Mallik ⁶⁶, D. Malon ⁶, C. Malone ³⁰, S. Maltezos ¹⁰, S. Malyukov ³², J. Mamuzic ¹⁷⁰, G. Mancini ⁵⁰, I. Mandić ⁷⁸, J. Maneira ^{128a,128b}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{26b}, J. Manjarres Ramos ⁴⁷, K.H. Mankinen ⁸⁴, A. Mann ¹⁰², A. Manousos ³², B. Mansoulie ¹³⁸, J.D. Mansour ^{35a}, R. Mantifel ⁹⁰, M. Mantoani ⁵⁸, S. Manzoni ^{94a,94b}, G. Marceca ²⁹, L. March ⁵², L. Marchese ¹²², G. Marchiori ⁸³, M. Marcisovsky ¹²⁹, C.A. Marin Tobon ³², M. Marjanovic ³⁷, D.E. Marley ⁹², F. Marroquim ^{26a}, Z. Marshall ¹⁶, M.U.F Martensson ¹⁶⁸, S. Marti-Garcia ¹⁷⁰, C.B. Martin ¹¹³, T.A. Martin ¹⁷³, V.J. Martin ⁴⁹, B. Martin dit Latour ¹⁵, M. Martinez ^{13,w}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn ⁸⁹, S. Martin-Haugh ¹³³, V.S. Martoiu ^{28b}, A.C. Martyniuk ⁸¹, A. Marzin ³², L. Masetti ⁸⁶, T. Mashimo ¹⁵⁷, R. Mashinistov ⁹⁸, J. Masik ⁸⁷, A.L. Maslennikov ^{111,c}, L.H. Mason ⁹¹, L. Massa ^{135a,135b}, P. Mastrandrea ⁵, A. Mastroberardino ^{40a,40b}, T. Masubuchi ¹⁵⁷, P. Mättig ¹⁷⁷, J. Maurer ^{28b}, S.J. Maxfield ⁷⁷, D.A. Maximov ^{111,c}, R. Mazini ¹⁵³, I. Maznas ¹⁵⁶, S.M. Mazza ¹³⁹, N.C. Mc Fadden ¹⁰⁷, G. Mc Goldrick ¹⁶¹, S.P. Mc Kee ⁹², A. McCarn ⁹², T.G. McCarthy ¹⁰³, L.I. McClymont ⁸¹, E.F. McDonald ⁹¹, J.A. Mcfayden ³², G. Mchedlidze ⁵⁸, S.J. McMahon ¹³³, P.C. McNamara ⁹¹, C.J. McNicol ¹⁷³, R.A. McPherson ^{172,o}, Z.A. Meadows ⁸⁹, S. Meehan ¹⁴⁰, T.J. Megy ⁵¹, S. Mehlhase ¹⁰², A. Mehta ⁷⁷, T. Meideck ⁵⁷, K. Meier ^{60a}, B. Meirose ⁴⁴, D. Melini ^{170,aj}, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{147c}, J.D. Mellenthin ⁵⁸, M. Melo ^{146a}, F. Meloni ¹⁸, A. Melzer ²³, S.B. Menary ⁸⁷, L. Meng ⁷⁷, X.T. Meng ⁹², A. Mengarelli ^{22a,22b}, S. Menke ¹⁰³, E. Meoni ^{40a,40b}, S. Mergelmeyer ¹⁷, C. Merlassino ¹⁸, P. Mermod ⁵², L. Merola ^{106a,106b}, C. Meroni ^{94a}, F.S. Merritt ³³, A. Messina ^{134a,134b}, J. Metcalfe ⁶, A.S. Mete ¹⁶⁶, C. Meyer ¹²⁴, J-P. Meyer ¹³⁸, J. Meyer ¹⁰⁹, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen ^{60a}, F. Miano ¹⁵¹, R.P. Middleton ¹³³, S. Miglioranzi ^{53a,53b}, L. Mijović ⁴⁹, G. Mikenberg ¹⁷⁵, M. Mikestikova ¹²⁹, M. Mikuž ⁷⁸, M. Milesi ⁹¹, A. Milic ¹⁶¹, D.A. Millar ⁷⁹, D.W. Miller ³³, A. Milov ¹⁷⁵, D.A. Milstead ^{148a,148b}, A.A. Minaenko ¹³², I.A. Minashvili ^{54b}, A.I. Mincer ¹¹², B. Mindur ^{41a}, M. Mineev ⁶⁸, Y. Minegishi ¹⁵⁷, Y. Ming ¹⁷⁶, L.M. Mir ¹³, A. Mirto ^{76a,76b}, K.P. Mistry ¹²⁴, T. Mitani ¹⁷⁴, J. Mitrevski ¹⁰², V.A. Mitsou ¹⁷⁰, A. Miucci ¹⁸, P.S. Miyagawa ¹⁴¹, A. Mizukami ⁶⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark ⁸⁴, T. Mkrtchyan ¹⁸⁰, M. Mlynarikova ¹³¹, T. Moa ^{148a,148b}, K. Mochizuki ⁹⁷, P. Mogg ⁵¹, S. Mohapatra ³⁸, S. Molander ^{148a,148b}, R. Moles-Valls ²³, M.C. Mondragon ⁹³, K. Mönig ⁴⁵, J. Monk ³⁹, E. Monnier ⁸⁸, A. Montalbano ¹⁵⁰, J. Montejo Berlingen ³², F. Monticelli ⁷⁴, S. Monzani ^{94a}, R.W. Moore ³, N. Morange ¹¹⁹, D. Moreno ²¹, M. Moreno Llácer ³², P. Morettini ^{53a}, M. Morgenstern ¹⁰⁹, S. Morgenstern ³², D. Mori ¹⁴⁴, T. Mori ¹⁵⁷, M. Morii ⁵⁹, M. Morinaga ¹⁷⁴, V. Morisbak ¹²¹, A.K. Morley ³², G. Mornacchi ³², J.D. Morris ⁷⁹, L. Morvaj ¹⁵⁰, P. Moschovakos ¹⁰, M. Mosidze ^{54b}, H.J. Moss ¹⁴¹, J. Moss ^{145,ak}, K. Motohashi ¹⁵⁹, R. Mount ¹⁴⁵, E. Mountricha ²⁷, E.J.W. Moyse ⁸⁹, S. Muanza ⁸⁸, F. Mueller ¹⁰³, J. Mueller ¹²⁷, R.S.P. Mueller ¹⁰², D. Muenstermann ⁷⁵, P. Mullen ⁵⁶, G.A. Mullier ¹⁸, F.J. Munoz Sanchez ⁸⁷, P. Murin ^{146b}, W.J. Murray ^{173,133}, M. Muškinja ⁷⁸, C. Mwewa ^{147a}, A.G. Myagkov ^{132,al}, J. Myers ¹¹⁸, M. Myska ¹³⁰, B.P. Nachman ¹⁶, O. Nackenhorst ⁴⁶, K. Nagai ¹²², R. Nagai ^{69,aj}, K. Nagano ⁶⁹, Y. Nagasaka ⁶¹, K. Nagata ¹⁶⁴, M. Nagel ⁵¹, E. Nagy ⁸⁸, A.M. Nairz ³², Y. Nakahama ¹⁰⁵, K. Nakamura ⁶⁹, T. Nakamura ¹⁵⁷, I. Nakano ¹¹⁴, R.F. Naranjo Garcia ⁴⁵, R. Narayan ¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar ^{60a}, I. Naryshkin ¹²⁵, T. Naumann ⁴⁵, G. Navarro ²¹, R. Nayyar ⁷, H.A. Neal ⁹², P.Yu. Nechaeva ⁹⁸, T.J. Neep ¹³⁸, A. Negri ^{123a,123b}, M. Negrini ^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic ¹⁰⁸, C. Nellist ⁵⁸, M.E. Nelson ¹²², S. Nemecek ¹²⁹, P. Nemethy ¹¹², M. Nessi ^{32,am}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁶⁹, M. Neumann ¹⁷⁷, P.R. Newman ¹⁹, T.Y. Ng ^{62c}, Y.S. Ng ¹⁷, T. Nguyen Manh ⁹⁷, R.B. Nickerson ¹²², R. Nicolaïdou ¹³⁸, J. Nielsen ¹³⁹, N. Nikiforou ¹¹, V. Nikolaenko ^{132,al}, I. Nikolic-Audit ⁸³, K. Nikolopoulos ¹⁹, P. Nilsson ²⁷, Y. Ninomiya ⁶⁹, A. Nisati ^{134a}, N. Nishu ^{36b}, R. Nisius ¹⁰³, I. Nitsche ⁴⁶, T. Nitta ¹⁷⁴, T. Nobe ¹⁵⁷, Y. Noguchi ⁷¹, M. Nomachi ¹²⁰, I. Nomidis ³¹, M.A. Nomura ²⁷, T. Nooney ⁷⁹, M. Nordberg ³², N. Norjoharuddeen ¹²², O. Novgorodova ⁴⁷, R. Novotny ¹³⁰, M. Nozaki ⁶⁹, L. Nozka ¹¹⁷, K. Ntekas ¹⁶⁶, E. Nurse ⁸¹, F. Nuti ⁹¹, K. O'Connor ²⁵, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴⁴, A.A. O'Rourke ⁴⁵, V. O'Shea ⁵⁶, F.G. Oakham ^{31,d}, H. Oberlack ¹⁰³, T. Obermann ²³, J. Ocariz ⁸³, A. Ochi ⁷⁰, I. Ochoa ³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux ^{34a}, S. Oda ⁷³, S. Odaka ⁶⁹, A. Oh ⁸⁷, S.H. Oh ⁴⁸, C.C. Ohm ¹⁴⁹, H. Ohman ¹⁶⁸, H. Oide ^{53a,53b}, H. Okawa ¹⁶⁴,

- Y. Okumura 157, T. Okuyama 69, A. Olariu 28b, L.F. Oleiro Seabra 128a, S.A. Olivares Pino 34a,
 D. Oliveira Damazio 27, J.L. Oliver 1, M.J.R. Olsson 33, A. Olszewski 42, J. Olszowska 42, A. Onofre 128a, 128e,
 K. Onogi 105, P.U.E. Onyisi 11, an, H. Oppen 121, M.J. Oreglia 33, Y. Oren 155, D. Orestano 136a, 136b,
 E.C. Orgill 87, N. Orlando 62b, R.S. Orr 161, B. Osculati 53a, 53b, *, R. Ospanov 36c, G. Otero y Garzon 29,
 H. Otono 73, M. Ouchrif 137d, F. Ould-Saada 121, A. Ouraou 138, K.P. Oussoren 109, Q. Ouyang 35a,
 M. Owen 56, R.E. Owen 19, V.E. Ozcan 20a, N. Ozturk 8, K. Pachal 144, A. Pacheco Pages 13,
 L. Pacheco Rodriguez 138, C. Padilla Aranda 13, S. Pagan Griso 16, M. Paganini 179, F. Paige 27, G. Palacino 64,
 S. Palazzo 40a, 40b, S. Palestini 32, M. Palka 41b, D. Pallin 37, E.St. Panagiopoulou 10, I. Panagoulias 10,
 C.E. Pandini 52, J.G. Panduro Vazquez 80, P. Pani 32, D. Pantea 28b, L. Paolozzi 52, Th.D. Papadopoulou 10,
 K. Papageorgiou 9, t, A. Paramonov 6, D. Paredes Hernandez 62b, B. Parida 36b, A.J. Parker 75, M.A. Parker 30,
 K.A. Parker 45, F. Parodi 53a, 53b, J.A. Parsons 38, U. Parzefall 51, V.R. Pascuzzi 161, J.M. Pasner 139,
 E. Pasqualucci 134a, S. Passaggio 53a, Fr. Pastore 80, S. Pataraia 86, J.R. Pater 87, T. Pauly 32, B. Pearson 103,
 S. Pedraza Lopez 170, R. Pedro 128a, 128b, S.V. Peleganchuk 111, c, O. Penc 129, C. Peng 35a, 35d, H. Peng 36c,
 J. Penwell 64, B.S. Peralva 26b, M.M. Perego 138, D.V. Perepelitsa 27, F. Peri 17, L. Perini 94a, 94b,
 H. Pernegger 32, S. Perrella 106a, 106b, V.D. Peshekhonov 68, *, K. Peters 45, R.F.Y. Peters 87, B.A. Petersen 32,
 T.C. Petersen 39, E. Petit 57, A. Petridis 1, C. Petridou 156, P. Petroff 119, E. Petrolo 134a, M. Petrov 122,
 F. Petrucci 136a, 136b, N.E. Pettersson 89, A. Peyaud 138, R. Pezoa 34b, T. Pham 91, F.H. Phillips 93,
 P.W. Phillips 133, G. Piacquadio 150, E. Pianori 173, A. Picazio 89, M.A. Pickering 122, R. Piegaia 29,
 J.E. Pilcher 33, A.D. Pilkington 87, M. Pinamonti 135a, 135b, J.L. Pinfold 3, M. Pitt 175, M.-A. Pleier 27,
 V. Pleskot 86, E. Plotnikova 68, D. Pluth 67, P. Podberezko 111, R. Poettgen 84, R. Poggi 123a, 123b,
 L. Poggioli 119, I. Pogrebnyak 93, D. Pohl 23, I. Pokharel 58, G. Polesello 123a, A. Poley 45,
 A. Policicchio 40a, 40b, R. Polifka 32, A. Polini 22a, C.S. Pollard 45, V. Polychronakos 27, D. Ponomarenko 100,
 L. Pontecorvo 134a, G.A. Popeneciu 28d, D.M. Portillo Quintero 83, S. Pospisil 130, K. Potamianos 45,
 I.N. Potrap 68, C.J. Potter 30, H. Potti 11, T. Poulsen 84, J. Poveda 32, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga 32, P. Pralavorio 88,
 S. Prell 67, D. Price 87, M. Primavera 76a, S. Prince 90, N. Proklova 100, K. Prokofiev 62c, F. Prokoshin 34b,
 S. Protopopescu 27, J. Proudfoot 6, M. Przybycien 41a, A. Puri 169, P. Puzo 119, J. Qian 92, Y. Qin 87,
 A. Quadt 58, M. Queitsch-Maitland 45, A. Qureshi 1, V. Radeka 27, S.K. Radhakrishnan 150, P. Rados 91,
 F. Ragusa 94a, 94b, G. Rahal 181, J.A. Raine 87, S. Rajagopalan 27, T. Rashid 119, S. Raspopov 5,
 M.G. Ratti 94a, 94b, D.M. Rauch 45, F. Rauscher 102, S. Rave 86, I. Ravinovich 175, J.H. Rawling 87,
 M. Raymond 32, A.L. Read 121, N.P. Readioff 57, M. Reale 76a, 76b, D.M. Rebuzzi 123a, 123b, A. Redelbach 178,
 G. Redlinger 27, R. Reece 139, R.G. Reed 147c, K. Reeves 44, L. Rehnisch 17, J. Reichert 124, A. Reiss 86,
 C. Rembser 32, H. Ren 35a, 35d, M. Rescigno 134a, S. Resconi 94a, E.D. Resseguei 124, S. Rettie 171,
 E. Reynolds 19, O.L. Rezanova 111, c, P. Reznicek 131, R. Richter 103, S. Richter 81, E. Richter-Was 41b,
 O. Ricken 23, M. Ridel 83, P. Rieck 103, C.J. Riegel 177, O. Rifki 115, M. Rijssenbeek 150, A. Rimoldi 123a, 123b,
 M. Rimoldi 18, L. Rinaldi 22a, G. Ripellino 149, B. Ristić 32, E. Ritsch 32, I. Riu 13, J.C. Rivera Vergara 34a,
 F. Rizatdinova 116, E. Rizvi 79, C. Rizzi 13, R.T. Roberts 87, S.H. Robertson 90, o, A. Robichaud-Veronneau 90,
 D. Robinson 30, J.E.M. Robinson 45, A. Robson 56, E. Rocco 86, C. Roda 126a, 126b, Y. Rodina 88, ao,
 S. Rodriguez Bosca 170, A. Rodriguez Perez 13, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez 170, A.M. Rodríguez Vera 163b,
 S. Roe 32, C.S. Rogan 59, O. Røhne 121, R. Röhrlig 103, J. Roloff 59, A. Romaniouk 100, M. Romano 22a, 22b,
 S.M. Romano Saez 37, E. Romero Adam 170, N. Rompotis 77, M. Ronzani 51, L. Roos 83, S. Rosati 134a,
 K. Rosbach 51, P. Rose 139, N.-A. Rosien 58, E. Rossi 106a, 106b, L.P. Rossi 53a, J.H.N. Rosten 30, R. Rosten 140,
 M. Rotaru 28b, J. Rothberg 140, D. Rousseau 119, D. Roy 147c, A. Rozanov 88, Y. Rozen 154, X. Ruan 147c,
 F. Rubbo 145, F. Rühr 51, A. Ruiz-Martinez 31, Z. Rurikova 51, N.A. Rusakovich 68, H.L. Russell 90,
 J.P. Rutherford 7, N. Ruthmann 32, E.M. Rüttinger 45, Y.F. Ryabov 125, M. Rybar 169, G. Rybkin 119, S. Ryu 6,
 A. Ryzhov 132, G.F. Rzehorz 58, A.F. Saavedra 152, G. Sabato 109, S. Sacerdoti 29, H.F-W. Sadrozinski 139,
 R. Sadykov 68, F. Safai Tehrani 134a, P. Saha 110, M. Sahinsoy 60a, M. Saimpert 45, M. Saito 157, T. Saito 157,
 H. Sakamoto 157, G. Salamanna 136a, 136b, J.E. Salazar Loyola 34b, D. Salek 109, P.H. Sales De Bruin 168,
 D. Salihagic 103, A. Salnikov 145, J. Salt 170, D. Salvatore 40a, 40b, F. Salvatore 151, A. Salvucci 62a, 62b, 62c,
 A. Salzburger 32, D. Sammel 51, D. Sampsonidis 156, D. Sampsonidou 156, J. Sánchez 170,
 A. Sanchez Pineda 167a, 167c, H. Sandaker 121, R.L. Sandbach 79, C.O. Sander 45, M. Sandhoff 177,
 C. Sandoval 21, D.P.C. Sankey 133, M. Sannino 53a, 53b, Y. Sano 105, A. Sansoni 50, C. Santoni 37, H. Santos 128a,
 I. Santoyo Castillo 151, A. Sapronov 68, J.G. Saraiva 128a, 128d, O. Sasaki 69, K. Sato 164, E. Sauvan 5,

- P. Savard ^{161,d}, N. Savic ¹⁰³, R. Sawada ¹⁵⁷, C. Sawyer ¹³³, L. Sawyer ^{82,v}, C. Sbarra ^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi ^{22a,22b}, T. Scanlon ⁸¹, D.A. Scannicchio ¹⁶⁶, J. Schaarschmidt ¹⁴⁰, P. Schacht ¹⁰³, B.M. Schachtner ¹⁰², D. Schaefer ³³, L. Schaefer ¹²⁴, J. Schaeffer ⁸⁶, S. Schaepe ³², U. Schäfer ⁸⁶, A.C. Schaffer ¹¹⁹, D. Schaile ¹⁰², R.D. Schamberger ¹⁵⁰, V.A. Schegelsky ¹²⁵, D. Scheirich ¹³¹, F. Schenck ¹⁷, M. Schernau ¹⁶⁶, C. Schiavi ^{53a,53b}, S. Schier ¹³⁹, L.K. Schildgen ²³, C. Schillo ⁵¹, E.J. Schioppa ³², M. Schioppa ^{40a,40b}, K.E. Schleicher ⁵¹, S. Schlenker ³², K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld ¹⁰³, K. Schmieden ³², C. Schmitt ⁸⁶, S. Schmitt ⁴⁵, S. Schmitz ⁸⁶, U. Schnoor ⁵¹, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁸, A. Schoening ^{60b}, E. Schopf ²³, M. Schott ⁸⁶, J.F.P. Schouwenberg ¹⁰⁸, J. Schovancova ³², S. Schramm ⁵², N. Schuh ⁸⁶, A. Schulte ⁸⁶, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{60a}, M. Schumacher ⁵¹, B.A. Schumm ¹³⁹, Ph. Schune ¹³⁸, A. Schwartzman ¹⁴⁵, T.A. Schwarz ⁹², H. Schweiger ⁸⁷, Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁸, R. Schwienhorst ⁹³, J. Schwindling ¹³⁸, A. Sciandra ²³, G. Sciolla ²⁵, M. Scornajenghi ^{40a,40b}, F. Scuri ^{126a}, F. Scutti ⁹¹, L.M. Scyboz ¹⁰³, J. Searcy ⁹², P. Seema ²³, S.C. Seidel ¹⁰⁷, A. Seiden ¹³⁹, J.M. Seixas ^{26a}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{106a}, K. Sekhon ⁹², S.J. Sekula ⁴³, N. Semprini-Cesari ^{22a,22b}, S. Senkin ³⁷, C. Serfon ¹²¹, L. Serin ¹¹⁹, L. Serkin ^{167a,167b}, M. Sessa ^{136a,136b}, H. Severini ¹¹⁵, T. Šfiligoj ⁷⁸, F. Sforza ¹⁶⁵, A. Sfyrla ⁵², E. Shabalina ⁵⁸, J.D. Shahinian ¹³⁹, N.W. Shaikh ^{148a,148b}, L.Y. Shan ^{35a}, R. Shang ¹⁶⁹, J.T. Shank ²⁴, M. Shapiro ¹⁶, P.B. Shatalov ⁹⁹, K. Shaw ^{167a,167b}, S.M. Shaw ⁸⁷, A. Shcherbakova ^{148a,148b}, C.Y. Shehu ¹⁵¹, Y. Shen ¹¹⁵, N. Sherafati ³¹, A.D. Sherman ²⁴, P. Sherwood ⁸¹, L. Shi ^{153,ap}, S. Shimizu ⁷⁰, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁷⁹, M. Shimojima ¹⁰⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey ¹²², S. Shirabe ⁷³, M. Shiyakova ^{68,aq}, J. Shlomi ¹⁷⁵, A. Shmeleva ⁹⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi ⁹⁷, M.J. Shochet ³³, S. Shojaii ⁹¹, D.R. Shope ¹¹⁵, S. Shrestha ¹¹³, E. Shulga ¹⁰⁰, P. Sicho ¹²⁹, A.M. Sickles ¹⁶⁹, P.E. Sidebo ¹⁴⁹, E. Sideras Haddad ^{147c}, O. Sidiropoulou ¹⁷⁸, A. Sidoti ^{22a,22b}, F. Siegert ⁴⁷, Dj. Sijacki ¹⁴, J. Silva ^{128a,128d}, M. Silva Jr. ¹⁷⁶, S.B. Silverstein ^{148a}, L. Simic ⁶⁸, S. Simion ¹¹⁹, E. Simioni ⁸⁶, B. Simmons ⁸¹, M. Simon ⁸⁶, P. Sinervo ¹⁶¹, N.B. Sinev ¹¹⁸, M. Sioli ^{22a,22b}, G. Siragusa ¹⁷⁸, I. Siral ⁹², S.Yu. Sivoklokov ¹⁰¹, J. Sjölin ^{148a,148b}, M.B. Skinner ⁷⁵, P. Skubic ¹¹⁵, M. Slater ¹⁹, T. Slavicek ¹³⁰, M. Slawinska ⁴², K. Sliwa ¹⁶⁵, R. Slovak ¹³¹, V. Smakhtin ¹⁷⁵, B.H. Smart ⁵, J. Smiesko ^{146a}, N. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, Y. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, L.N. Smirnova ^{101,ar}, O. Smirnova ⁸⁴, J.W. Smith ⁵⁸, M.N.K. Smith ³⁸, R.W. Smith ³⁸, M. Smizanska ⁷⁵, K. Smolek ¹³⁰, A.A. Snesarev ⁹⁸, I.M. Snyder ¹¹⁸, S. Snyder ²⁷, R. Sobie ^{172,o}, F. Socher ⁴⁷, A.M. Soffa ¹⁶⁶, A. Soffer ¹⁵⁵, A. Søgaard ⁴⁹, D.A. Soh ¹⁵³, G. Sokhrannyi ⁷⁸, C.A. Solans Sanchez ³², M. Solar ¹³⁰, E.Yu. Soldatov ¹⁰⁰, U. Soldevila ¹⁷⁰, A.A. Solodkov ¹³², A. Soloshenko ⁶⁸, O.V. Solovyanov ¹³², V. Solovyev ¹²⁵, P. Sommer ¹⁴¹, H. Son ¹⁶⁵, W. Song ¹³³, A. Sopczak ¹³⁰, F. Sopkova ^{146b}, D. Sosa ^{60b}, C.L. Sotropoulou ^{126a,126b}, S. Sottocornola ^{123a,123b}, R. Soualah ^{167a,167c}, A.M. Soukharev ^{111,c}, D. South ⁴⁵, B.C. Sowden ⁸⁰, S. Spagnolo ^{76a,76b}, M. Spalla ¹⁰³, M. Spangenberg ¹⁷³, F. Spanò ⁸⁰, D. Sperlich ¹⁷, F. Spettel ¹⁰³, T.M. Spieker ^{60a}, R. Spighi ^{22a}, G. Spigo ³², L.A. Spiller ⁹¹, M. Spousta ¹³¹, R.D. St. Denis ^{56,*}, A. Stabile ^{94a,94b}, R. Stamen ^{60a}, S. Stamm ¹⁷, E. Stanecka ⁴², R.W. Stanek ⁶, C. Stanescu ^{136a}, M.M. Stanitzki ⁴⁵, B.S. Stapf ¹⁰⁹, S. Stapnes ¹²¹, E.A. Starchenko ¹³², G.H. Stark ³³, J. Stark ⁵⁷, S.H. Stark ³⁹, P. Staroba ¹²⁹, P. Starovoitov ^{60a}, S. Stärz ³², R. Staszewski ⁴², M. Stegler ⁴⁵, P. Steinberg ²⁷, B. Stelzer ¹⁴⁴, H.J. Stelzer ³², O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{163a}, H. Stenzel ⁵⁵, T.J. Stevenson ⁷⁹, G.A. Stewart ³², M.C. Stockton ¹¹⁸, G. Stoicea ^{28b}, P. Stolte ⁵⁸, S. Stonjek ¹⁰³, A. Straessner ⁴⁷, M.E. Stramaglia ¹⁸, J. Strandberg ¹⁴⁹, S. Strandberg ^{148a,148b}, M. Strauss ¹¹⁵, P. Strizenec ^{146b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁷⁸, D.M. Strom ¹¹⁸, R. Stroynowski ⁴³, A. Strubig ⁴⁹, S.A. Stucci ²⁷, B. Stugu ¹⁵, N.A. Styles ⁴⁵, D. Su ¹⁴⁵, J. Su ¹²⁷, S. Suchek ^{60a}, Y. Sugaya ¹²⁰, M. Suk ¹³⁰, V.V. Sulin ⁹⁸, DMS Sultan ⁵², S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁷¹, S. Sun ⁹², X. Sun ³, K. Suruliz ¹⁵¹, C.J.E. Suster ¹⁵², M.R. Sutton ¹⁵¹, S. Suzuki ⁶⁹, M. Svatos ¹²⁹, M. Swiatlowski ³³, S.P. Swift ², A. Sydorenko ⁸⁶, I. Sykora ^{146a}, T. Sykora ¹³¹, D. Ta ⁵¹, K. Tackmann ⁴⁵, J. Taenzer ¹⁵⁵, A. Taffard ¹⁶⁶, R. Tafirout ^{163a}, E. Tahirovic ⁷⁹, N. Taiblum ¹⁵⁵, H. Takai ²⁷, R. Takashima ⁷², E.H. Takasugi ¹⁰³, K. Takeda ⁷⁰, T. Takeshita ¹⁴², Y. Takubo ⁶⁹, M. Talby ⁸⁸, A.A. Talyshев ^{111,c}, J. Tanaka ¹⁵⁷, M. Tanaka ¹⁵⁹, R. Tanaka ¹¹⁹, R. Tanioka ⁷⁰, B.B. Tannenwald ¹¹³, S. Tapia Araya ^{34b}, S. Tapprogge ⁸⁶, A.T. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ⁸³, S. Tarem ¹⁵⁴, G. Tarna ^{28b,q}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{94a}, P. Tas ¹³¹, M. Tasevsky ¹²⁹, T. Tashiro ⁷¹, E. Tassi ^{40a,40b}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{128a,128b}, Y. Tayalati ^{137e}, A.C. Taylor ¹⁰⁷, A.J. Taylor ⁴⁹, G.N. Taylor ⁹¹, P.T.E. Taylor ⁹¹, W. Taylor ^{163b}, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁸⁰, D. Temple ¹⁴⁴, H. Ten Kate ³², P.K. Teng ¹⁵³, J.J. Teoh ¹²⁰, F. Tepel ¹⁷⁷, S. Terada ⁶⁹, K. Terashi ¹⁵⁷, J. Terron ⁸⁵, S. Terzo ¹³, M. Testa ⁵⁰, R.J. Teuscher ^{161,o}, S.J. Thais ¹⁷⁹, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ⁴⁵, F. Thiele ³⁹, J.P. Thomas ¹⁹, J. Thomas-Wilsker ⁸⁰, P.D. Thompson ¹⁹, A.S. Thompson ⁵⁶, L.A. Thomsen ¹⁷⁹, E. Thomson ¹²⁴, Y. Tian ³⁸, R.E. Ticse Torres ⁵⁸, V.O. Tikhomirov ^{98,as}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{111,c}, S. Timoshenko ¹⁰⁰, P. Tipton ¹⁷⁹, S. Tisserant ⁸⁸, K. Todome ¹⁵⁹, S. Todorova-Nova ⁵,

- S. Todt ⁴⁷, J. Tojo ⁷³, S. Tokár ^{146a}, K. Tokushuku ⁶⁹, E. Tolley ¹¹³, M. Tomoto ¹⁰⁵, L. Tompkins ^{145,at},
 K. Toms ¹⁰⁷, B. Tong ⁵⁹, P. Tornambe ⁵¹, E. Torrence ¹¹⁸, H. Torres ⁴⁷, E. Torró Pastor ¹⁴⁰, J. Toth ^{88,au},
 F. Touchard ⁸⁸, D.R. Tovey ¹⁴¹, C.J. Treado ¹¹², T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁸, F. Tresoldi ¹⁵¹, A. Tricoli ²⁷, I.M. Trigger ^{163a},
 S. Trincaz-Duvold ⁸³, M.F. Tripiana ¹³, W. Trischuk ¹⁶¹, B. Trocmé ⁵⁷, A. Trofymov ⁴⁵, C. Troncon ^{94a},
 M. Trovatelli ¹⁷², L. Truong ^{147b}, M. Trzebinski ⁴², A. Trzupek ⁴², K.W. Tsang ^{62a}, J.C.-L. Tseng ¹²²,
 P.V. Tsiareshka ⁹⁵, N. Tsirintanis ⁹, S. Tsiskaridze ¹³, V. Tsiskaridze ⁵¹, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{54a},
 I.I. Tsukerman ⁹⁹, V. Tsulaia ¹⁶, S. Tsuno ⁶⁹, D. Tsybychev ¹⁵⁰, Y. Tu ^{62b}, A. Tudorache ^{28b}, V. Tudorache ^{28b},
 T.T. Tulbure ^{28a}, A.N. Tuna ⁵⁹, S. Turchikhin ⁶⁸, D. Turgeman ¹⁷⁵, I. Turk Cakir ^{4b,av}, R. Turra ^{94a},
 P.M. Tuts ³⁸, G. Ucchielli ^{22a,22b}, I. Ueda ⁶⁹, M. Ughetto ^{148a,148b}, F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁴, G. Unal ³², A. Undrus ²⁷,
 G. Unel ¹⁶⁶, F.C. Ungaro ⁹¹, Y. Unno ⁶⁹, K. Uno ¹⁵⁷, J. Urban ^{146b}, P. Urquijo ⁹¹, P. Urrejola ⁸⁶, G. Usai ⁸,
 J. Usui ⁶⁹, L. Vacavant ⁸⁸, V. Vacek ¹³⁰, B. Vachon ⁹⁰, K.O.H. Vadla ¹²¹, A. Vaidya ⁸¹, C. Valderanis ¹⁰²,
 E. Valdes Santurio ^{148a,148b}, M. Valente ⁵², S. Valentineti ^{22a,22b}, A. Valero ¹⁷⁰, L. Valéry ¹³, A. Vallier ⁵,
 J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁷⁰, W. Van Den Wollenberg ¹⁰⁹, H. van der Graaf ¹⁰⁹, P. van Gemmeren ⁶,
 J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁴⁴, I. van Vulpen ¹⁰⁹, M.C. van Woerden ¹⁰⁹, M. Vanadia ^{135a,135b}, W. Vandelli ³²,
 A. Vaniachine ¹⁶⁰, P. Vankov ¹⁰⁹, R. Vari ^{134a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, C. Varni ^{53a,53b}, T. Varol ⁴³, D. Varouchas ¹¹⁹,
 A. Vartapetian ⁸, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵², J.G. Vasquez ¹⁷⁹, G.A. Vasquez ^{34b}, F. Vazeille ³⁷, D. Vazquez Furelos ¹³,
 T. Vazquez Schroeder ⁹⁰, J. Veatch ⁵⁸, L.M. Veloce ¹⁶¹, F. Veloso ^{128a,128c}, S. Veneziano ^{134a},
 A. Ventura ^{76a,76b}, M. Venturi ¹⁷², N. Venturi ³², V. Vercesi ^{123a}, M. Verducci ^{136a,136b}, W. Verkerke ¹⁰⁹,
 A.T. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁹, J.C. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁹, M.C. Vetterli ^{144,d}, N. Viaux Maira ^{34b}, O. Viazlo ⁸⁴, I. Vichou ^{169,*},
 T. Vickey ¹⁴¹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹⁴¹, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹²², S. Viel ¹⁶, L. Vigani ¹²², M. Villa ^{22a,22b},
 M. Villaplana Perez ^{94a,94b}, E. Vilucchi ⁵⁰, M.G. Vincter ³¹, V.B. Vinogradov ⁶⁸, A. Vishwakarma ⁴⁵,
 C. Vittori ^{22a,22b}, I. Vivarelli ¹⁵¹, S. Vlachos ¹⁰, M. Vogel ¹⁷⁷, P. Vokac ¹³⁰, G. Volpi ¹³,
 S.E. von Buddenbrock ^{147c}, E. von Toerne ²³, V. Vorobel ¹³¹, K. Vorobev ¹⁰⁰, M. Vos ¹⁷⁰, J.H. Vossebeld ⁷⁷,
 N. Vranjes ¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁴, V. Vrba ¹³⁰, M. Vreeswijk ¹⁰⁹, R. Vuillermet ³², I. Vukotic ³³,
 P. Wagner ²³, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁷, J. Wagner-Kuhr ¹⁰², H. Wahlberg ⁷⁴, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁷, K. Wakamiya ⁷⁰,
 J. Walder ⁷⁵, R. Walker ¹⁰², W. Walkowiak ¹⁴³, V. Wallangen ^{148a,148b}, A.M. Wang ⁵⁹, C. Wang ^{36a,q},
 F. Wang ¹⁷⁶, H. Wang ¹⁶, H. Wang ³, J. Wang ^{60b}, J. Wang ¹⁵², Q. Wang ¹¹⁵, R.-J. Wang ⁸³, R. Wang ⁶,
 S.M. Wang ¹⁵³, T. Wang ³⁸, W. Wang ^{153,aw}, W. Wang ^{36c,ax}, Z. Wang ^{36b}, C. Wanotayaroj ⁴⁵,
 A. Warburton ⁹⁰, C.P. Ward ³⁰, D.R. Wardrope ⁸¹, A. Washbrook ⁴⁹, P.M. Watkins ¹⁹, A.T. Watson ¹⁹,
 M.F. Watson ¹⁹, G. Watts ¹⁴⁰, S. Watts ⁸⁷, B.M. Waugh ⁸¹, A.F. Webb ¹¹, S. Webb ⁸⁶, M.S. Weber ¹⁸,
 S.M. Weber ^{60a}, S.A. Weber ³¹, J.S. Webster ⁶, A.R. Weidberg ¹²², B. Weinert ⁶⁴, J. Weingarten ⁵⁸,
 M. Weirich ⁸⁶, C. Weiser ⁵¹, P.S. Wells ³², T. Wenaus ²⁷, T. Wengler ³², S. Wenig ³², N. Wermes ²³,
 M.D. Werner ⁶⁷, P. Werner ³², M. Wessels ^{60a}, T.D. Weston ¹⁸, K. Whalen ¹¹⁸, N.L. Whallon ¹⁴⁰,
 A.M. Wharton ⁷⁵, A.S. White ⁹², A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{34b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁶⁶, B.W. Whitmore ⁷⁵,
 F.J. Wickens ¹³³, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁷⁶, M. Wielers ¹³³, C. Wiglesworth ³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ⁵¹,
 A. Wildauer ¹⁰³, F. Wilk ⁸⁷, H.G. Wilkens ³², H.H. Williams ¹²⁴, S. Williams ³⁰, C. Willis ⁹³, S. Willocq ⁸⁹,
 J.A. Wilson ¹⁹, I. Wingerter-Seez ⁵, E. Winkels ¹⁵¹, F. Winklmeier ¹¹⁸, O.J. Winston ¹⁵¹, B.T. Winter ²³,
 M. Wittgen ¹⁴⁵, M. Wobisch ^{82,v}, A. Wolf ⁸⁶, T.M.H. Wolf ¹⁰⁹, R. Wolff ⁸⁸, M.W. Wolter ⁴²,
 H. Wolters ^{128a,128c}, V.W.S. Wong ¹⁷¹, N.L. Woods ¹³⁹, S.D. Worm ¹⁹, B.K. Wosiek ⁴², K.W. Wozniak ⁴²,
 M. Wu ³³, S.L. Wu ¹⁷⁶, X. Wu ⁵², Y. Wu ^{36c}, T.R. Wyatt ⁸⁷, B.M. Wynne ⁴⁹, S. Xella ³⁹, Z. Xi ⁹², L. Xia ^{35c},
 D. Xu ^{35a}, L. Xu ²⁷, T. Xu ¹³⁸, W. Xu ⁹², B. Yabsley ¹⁵², S. Yacoob ^{147a}, K. Yajima ¹²⁰, D.P. Yallup ⁸¹,
 D. Yamaguchi ¹⁵⁹, Y. Yamaguchi ¹⁵⁹, A. Yamamoto ⁶⁹, T. Yamanaka ¹⁵⁷, F. Yamane ⁷⁰, M. Yamatani ¹⁵⁷,
 T. Yamazaki ¹⁵⁷, Y. Yamazaki ⁷⁰, Z. Yan ²⁴, H. Yang ^{36b}, H. Yang ¹⁶, S. Yang ⁶⁶, Y. Yang ¹⁵³, Z. Yang ¹⁵,
 W.-M. Yao ¹⁶, Y.C. Yap ⁴⁵, Y. Yasu ⁶⁹, E. Yatsenko ⁵, K.H. Yau Wong ²³, J. Ye ⁴³, S. Ye ²⁷, I. Yeletskikh ⁶⁸,
 E. Yigitbasi ²⁴, E. Yildirim ⁸⁶, K. Yorita ¹⁷⁴, K. Yoshihara ¹²⁴, C. Young ¹⁴⁵, C.J.S. Young ³², J. Yu ⁸, J. Yu ⁶⁷,
 S.P.Y. Yuen ²³, I. Yusuff ^{30,ay}, B. Zabinski ⁴², G. Zacharis ¹⁰, R. Zaidan ¹³, A.M. Zaitsev ^{132,al},
 N. Zakharchuk ⁴⁵, J. Zalieckas ¹⁵, A. Zaman ¹⁵⁰, S. Zambito ⁵⁹, D. Zanzi ³², C. Zeitnitz ¹⁷⁷, G. Zemaityte ¹²²,
 J.C. Zeng ¹⁶⁹, Q. Zeng ¹⁴⁵, O. Zenin ¹³², T. Ženiš ^{146a}, D. Zerwas ¹¹⁹, D. Zhang ^{36a}, D. Zhang ⁹², F. Zhang ¹⁷⁶,
 G. Zhang ^{36c,ax}, H. Zhang ¹¹⁹, J. Zhang ⁶, L. Zhang ⁵¹, L. Zhang ^{36c}, M. Zhang ¹⁶⁹, P. Zhang ^{35b}, R. Zhang ²³,
 R. Zhang ^{36c,q}, X. Zhang ^{36a}, Y. Zhang ^{35a,35d}, Z. Zhang ¹¹⁹, X. Zhao ⁴³, Y. Zhao ^{36a,y}, Z. Zhao ^{36c},
 A. Zhemchugov ⁶⁸, B. Zhou ⁹², C. Zhou ¹⁷⁶, L. Zhou ⁴³, M. Zhou ^{35a,35d}, M. Zhou ¹⁵⁰, N. Zhou ^{36b}, Y. Zhou ⁷,
 C.G. Zhu ^{36a}, H. Zhu ^{35a}, J. Zhu ⁹², Y. Zhu ^{36c}, X. Zhuang ^{35a}, K. Zhukov ⁹⁸, V. Zhulanov ¹¹¹, A. Zibell ¹⁷⁸,

D. Ziemińska⁶⁴, N.I. Zimine⁶⁸, S. Zimmermann⁵¹, Z. Zinonos¹⁰³, M. Zinser⁸⁶, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴³, L. Živković¹⁴, G. Zobernig¹⁷⁶, A. Zoccoli^{22a,22b}, R. Zou³³, M. zur Nedden¹⁷, L. Zwalski³²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States

³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada

⁴ (a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; (b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; (c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States

⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States

⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece

¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States

¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan

¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

¹⁶ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States

¹⁷ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany

¹⁸ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

¹⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

²⁰ (a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (d) Istanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (e) Bahcesehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey

²¹ Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia

²² (a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

²³ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²⁴ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States

²⁵ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States

²⁶ (a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; (b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (c) Federal University of São João del Rei (UFSJ), São João del Rei; (d) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

²⁷ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States

²⁸ (a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; (b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; (d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; (e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania

²⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

³⁰ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

³¹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada

³² CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

³³ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States

³⁴ (a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile

³⁵ (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; (c) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084; (d) University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China

³⁶ (a) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; (b) School of Physics and Astronomy, Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China; (c) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui, China

³⁷ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States

³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴⁰ (a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy

⁴¹ (a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; (b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

⁴² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland

⁴³ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States

⁴⁴ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States

⁴⁵ DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany

⁴⁶ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

⁴⁷ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

⁴⁸ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States

⁴⁹ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁵⁰ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

⁵¹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany

⁵² Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland

⁵³ (a) INFN Sezione di Genova; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

⁵⁴ (a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁵⁵ II Physikalisch Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany

⁵⁶ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

⁵⁷ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France

⁵⁸ II Physikalisch Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany

⁵⁹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States

⁶⁰ (a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

⁶¹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan

⁶² (a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; (b) Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; (c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

⁶³ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

⁶⁴ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States

⁶⁵ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria

⁶⁶ University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States

- ⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States
⁶⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
⁶⁹ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
⁷⁰ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
⁷¹ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
⁷² Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
⁷³ Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
⁷⁴ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
⁷⁵ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁷⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
⁷⁷ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
⁷⁸ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
⁷⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
⁸⁰ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
⁸¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
⁸² Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States
⁸³ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
⁸⁴ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
⁸⁵ Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
⁸⁶ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
⁸⁷ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁸⁸ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
⁸⁹ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States
⁹⁰ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
⁹¹ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
⁹² Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States
⁹³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States
⁹⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
⁹⁵ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
⁹⁶ Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus
⁹⁷ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
⁹⁸ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
⁹⁹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁰ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰¹ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰² Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
¹⁰³ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
¹⁰⁴ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
¹⁰⁵ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
¹⁰⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States
¹⁰⁸ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
¹⁰⁹ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹¹⁰ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States
¹¹¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
¹¹² Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States
¹¹³ Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States
¹¹⁴ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
¹¹⁵ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States
¹¹⁶ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States
¹¹⁷ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
¹¹⁸ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States
¹¹⁹ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
¹²⁰ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
¹²¹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹²² Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
¹²³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
¹²⁴ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States
¹²⁵ National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
¹²⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
¹²⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States
¹²⁸ ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada; ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
¹²⁹ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³⁰ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³¹ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
¹³² State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
¹³³ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
¹³⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
¹³⁵ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
¹³⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
¹³⁷ ^(a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucléaires, Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
¹³⁸ DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
¹³⁹ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States
¹⁴⁰ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States
¹⁴¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

- ¹⁴² Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁴³ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁴⁴ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada
¹⁴⁵ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States
¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
¹⁴⁷ ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
¹⁴⁸ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁴⁹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁵⁰ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States
¹⁵¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵² School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵³ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁵⁵ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁵⁷ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁸ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶⁰ Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
¹⁶¹ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada
¹⁶² ^(a) INFN-TIFPA; ^(b) University of Trento, Trento, Italy
¹⁶³ ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada
¹⁶⁴ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
¹⁶⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States
¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States
¹⁶⁷ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States
¹⁷⁰ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia – CSIC, Spain
¹⁷¹ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada
¹⁷² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada
¹⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
¹⁷⁴ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁷⁵ Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
¹⁷⁶ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States
¹⁷⁷ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
¹⁷⁸ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
¹⁷⁹ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States
¹⁸⁰ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
¹⁸¹ Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
¹⁸² Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada.^e Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States.^f Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.^g Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States.^h Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.ⁱ Also at II Physisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany.^j Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.^k Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.^l Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.^m Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing, China.ⁿ Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.^o Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.^p Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania.^q Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.^r Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.^s Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York City, United States.^t Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece.^u Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa.^v Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States.^w Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.^x Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States.^y Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.^z Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.^{aa} Also at Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany.^{ab} Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands.^{ac} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.^{ad} Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.^{ae} Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.^{af} Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan.^{ag} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States.

- ah* Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece.
ai Also at The City College of New York, New York NY, United States.
aj Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Portugal.
ak Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA, United States.
al Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.
am Also at Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
an Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States.
ao Also at Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain.
ap Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.
aq Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria.
ar Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
as Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.
at Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States.
au Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
av Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey.
aw Also at Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu, China.
ax Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
ay Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- * Deceased.