Adeno-associated virus Rep proteins antagonize phosphatase PP1 to counteract KAP1 repression of the latent viral genome

3

4 Short title: KAP1 repression of the latent AAV genome

5

6 Sarah Smith-Moore^a, Stuart J. Neil^a, Cornel Fraefel^b, R. Michael Linden^a, Mathieu Bollen^c, Helen
7 M. Rowe^d, and Els Henckaerts^{a,1}

8

9 Author Affiliation: ^aDepartment of Infectious Diseases, School of Immunology and Microbial
10 Sciences, King's College London, Great Maze Pond, London, SE1 9RT, UK. ^bInstitute of Virology,
11 University of Zurich, Rämistrasse 71, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland. ^cKU Leuven Department of Cellular
12 and Molecular Medicine, University of Leuven, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. ^dCentre for Medical
13 Molecular Virology, Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, 90 Gower
14 Street, London WC1E 6BT.

15

¹Corresponding author: Els Henckaerts, Department of Infectious Diseases, School of Immunology
and Microbial Sciences, King's College London, Great Maze Pond, London, SE1 9RT, UK;
telephone number: +44 (0)207 848 9620; email address: els.henckaerts@kcl.ac.uk

19

20 Classification: Biological Sciences: Microbiology

21

22 Key words: adeno-associated virus, latency, KAP1, Rep, PP1, NIPP1

23 Abstract

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) is a small human dependovirus whose low immunogenicity and 24 25 capacity for long term persistence have led to its widespread use as vector for gene therapy. Despite 26 great recent successes in AAV-based gene therapy, further improvements in vector technology may 27 be hindered by an inadequate understanding of various aspects of basic AAV biology. AAV is unique 28 in that its replication is largely dependent on a helper virus and cellular factors, and in the absence of coinfection, wild type AAV establishes latency through mechanisms that are not yet fully understood. 29 Challenging the currently held model for AAV latency, we show here that the corepressor Krüppel-30 31 associated box domain-associated protein 1 (KAP1) binds the latent AAV2 genome at the rep ORF, 32 leading to trimethylation of AAV2-associated histone 3 lysine 9, and that the inactivation of KAP1 repression is necessary for AAV2 reactivation and replication. We identify a new viral mechanism 33 34 for the counteraction of KAP1, in which interference with the KAP1 phosphatase protein phosphatase 35 1 (PP1) by the AAV2 Rep proteins mediates enhanced phosphorylation of KAP1-S824, and thus 36 relief from KAP1 repression. Furthermore, we show that this phenomenon involves recruitment of the NIPP1 (nuclear inhibitor of PP1)-PP1 α holoenzyme to KAP1 in a manner dependent upon the 37 NIPP1 FHA domain, identifying NIPP1 as a novel interaction partner for KAP1 and shedding light 38 39 on the mechanism through which PP1 regulates cellular KAP1 activity.

40

41 Significance statement

In recent years, adeno-associated virus (AAV) has attracted considerable attention as a result of its success as a gene therapy vector. However, several aspects of its biology remain elusive. Given that AAV vectors mimic the latent phase of the viral life cycle, defining the mechanisms involved in the regulation of AAV latency is of particular importance. Our studies demonstrate, for the first time, that epigenetic processes are involved in the regulation of viral latency and reveal novel virus-host interactions and helper functions that are aimed at counteracting the epigenetic repression of the viral

48 genome during the lytic phase of the viral life cycle. These observations will inform the design of49 future AAV vector technologies.

50

51 **BODY**

52

53 Introduction

Adeno-associated virus serotype 2 (AAV2) is a small, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) parvovirus that 54 has evolved a unique biphasic life cycle in which replication is dependent on both cellular host factors 55 56 and coinfection by a helper virus such as adenovirus (Ad5) or herpesvirus (HSV-1) (1). Unable to 57 replicate autonomously, infection by AAV2 alone leads to the establishment of latency either through long term episomal persistence (2), or through preferential integration of the viral genome into 58 59 specific sites in the human genome (3-5). The current model for AAV2 latency states that the viral 60 genome is silenced through simultaneous binding of the viral p5 promoter by the AAV2 master 61 regulator Rep and the cellular transcription factors YY1 and MLTF, and that the induction of AAV2 62 gene expression upon helper virus coinfection occurs through interactions between these factors and the helper factor Ad5 E1A or HSV-1 ICP0 (6-8). Despite the widely accepted view that latent AAV2 63 64 is silenced exclusively through the binding of Rep and YY1 to p5, evidence that the viral genome assumes a chromatinized configuration shortly after infection (9, 10) suggests a role for epigenetic 65 modification in the establishment of latency and/or transcriptional regulation. The epigenetic 66 landscape of AAV remains unknown however. 67

Recent years have seen a rapidly expanding interest in the AAV field as a result of its widespread use as a vector for gene therapy. Despite great recent successes in AAV-based gene therapy (11–16), further improvements may be hindered by an inadequate understanding of various aspects of basic AAV biology. Given that AAV vectors likely mimic the latent phase of the viral life cycle, defining the mechanisms involved in the regulation of AAV latency is of particular importance for the future design and safety of improved vectors. In this study, we sought to gain insight into the regulation of AAV latency by using a screening approach known as BioID (17) to identify novel interaction partners for the AAV2 replication (Rep) proteins. BioID exploits the fusion of the promiscuous biotin ligase BirA* to a bait protein in order to trigger proximity-dependent biotinylation of neighboring proteins, thus allowing for the identification of a much broader scope of protein associations than achievable with conventional affinity purification.

79 Screens were performed using each of the four related Rep isoforms – Rep78, Rep68, Rep52, and Rep40 – which together orchestrate every aspect of the viral life cycle. The large Rep proteins 80 81 (Rep78/68) consist of an origin-binding domain (OBD) containing site-specific DNA binding and 82 endonuclease activity (18) and an ATPase domain with helicase activity (19), and are necessary for viral replication, integration, and transcriptional regulation (20-22). The small Rep proteins 83 (Rep52/40) share only the ATPase domain, and are involved mostly in viral packaging and 84 transcriptional regulation (23, 24). In addition, Rep52 and Rep78 share a C-terminal zinc finger 85 86 (ZNF) domain implicated in several protein interactions (25, 26). Our BioID screen identified the transcriptional corepressor Krüppel-associated box domain-associated protein 1 (KAP1/TRIM28/ 87 TIF1- β) as an interaction partner of the Rep proteins and led to the discovery that Rep78 and Rep52 88 89 interact with a protein complex containing KAP1, protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), and nuclear inhibitor of PP1 (NIPP1) in order to counteract KAP1-mediated repression of the latent viral genome. 90

91

92 **Results**

93 The latent AAV2 genome is repressed through KAP1 recruitment to the *rep* ORF and
94 subsequent histone methylation.

95 For the BioID screen, BirA* was fused to the N-terminus of each of the four Rep isoforms, and the 96 BirA*-Rep fusion proteins were expressed in 293T cells in the presence of free biotin. Biotinylated 97 proteins were affinity purified 48h after transfection and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Identified in

lysates from each of the four screens was the corepressor KAP1, which acts to form transcriptionally 98 99 repressive heterochromatin through the recruitment of chromatin-modifying proteins such as the 100 histone methyltransferase SETDB1 and the NuRD histone deacetylase complex containing CHD3 101 (27, 28). Peptides identified for KAP1 are shown in Table S1. Several known interaction partners of 102 the Rep proteins were also identified by BioID (Table S1), lending support to the quality and 103 coherence of our results. The well documented function of KAP1 as a mediator of heterochromatin 104 (29, 30) combined with a growing body of evidence demonstrating a role for KAP1 in the regulation 105 of viral elements (31-34) led us to focus our efforts on this candidate. With the exception of Rep68, 106 the physical interaction between each of the Rep proteins and KAP1 was confirmed using 107 biotinylation and immunoprecipitation assays (Fig. S1). Given that Rep40 represents the only shared domain between the Rep proteins, these results suggest that the central Rep ATPase domain is 108 sufficient to mediate a Rep-KAP1 interaction but that the C-terminal ZNF domain of Rep78 is 109 necessary to stabilize this interaction in the presence of an N-terminal OBD. 110

111 To explore the possible significance of the Rep-KAP1 interaction in the AAV life cycle, we 112 next performed viral replication experiments in cells depleted for KAP1. 293T cells were transduced 113 with lentiviral vectors expressing either a shRNA targeting the 3' UTR of KAP1 (shKAP1), or the 114 corresponding empty vector (shEMPTY). 48h later, cells were infected with Ad5 alone, AAV2 alone, or coinfected with Ad5 and AAV2 in order to initiate productive replication. Viral replication and 115 116 transcription were analyzed approximately 42h after infection, or when cells displayed optimal cytopathic effect. AAV2 replication was undetectable in either KAP1-depleted or control cells in the 117 118 absence of Ad5, however this was expected as AAV2 is known to be dependent on several helper 119 factors to initiate replication. In the context of coinfection however, a 5-6-fold enhancement in AAV2 120 genome replication, transcription, and protein expression was observed in KAP1-depleted cells as compared to controls (Fig. 1 A and B, Fig. S2), Importantly, complementation of KAP1-depleted 121

122 cells with exogenous *KAP1* restored baseline levels of AAV2 replication and protein expression (Fig.
123 1*C*, Fig. S2).

124 We next asked if KAP1 could be repressing AAV2 through its recruitment to the viral genome 125 and subsequent formation of heterochromatin. We performed KAP1-specific chromatin 126 immunoprecipitation (ChIP) on 293T cells 2 days after infection with AAV2 alone and analyzed the 127 purified chromatin by qPCR using primers specific for various regions of the AAV2 genome. 128 GAPDH was used as a negative control, and two zinc finger genes, ZNF180 and ZNF274, as positive 129 controls (35). KAP1 binding was detected across rep, particularly at the 5' and middle regions (Fig. 130 1D, Fig. S3), corresponding with the genomic location of the AAV2 p19 promoter. Binding was 131 accordingly lost in KAP1-depleted cells, confirming the observed signal was KAP1-dependent. To determine the functional significance of this binding, we also performed ChIP-qPCR for H3K9me3, 132 a known marker for KAP1-mediated repression. H3K9me3 was enriched across the AAV2 genome 133 134 (Fig. 1E, Fig. S3), spreading downstream from KAP1 binding sites in rep. Furthermore, this 135 enrichment appeared to be KAP1-dependent as H3K9 trimethylation was lost in KAP1-depleted cells 136 at a ratio similar to what was observed for controls ZNF180 and ZNF274. Importantly, depletion of 137 CHD3 and SETDB1, two members of the KAP1 repressive complex (27, 28), independently and 138 cooperatively led to an enhancement in AAV2 replication and protein expression (Fig. 1 F, G, and H). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that KAP1 represses AAV2 through the binding of 139 AAV2 rep and the subsequent recruitment of histone and chromatin modifying proteins, which then 140 act together to methylate AAV2-associated H3K9. 141

142

143 Inactivation of KAP1 repression through phosphorylation of serine 824 is necessary to support 144 AAV2 transcriptional activation and lytic replication.

145 Upon DNA damage, ATM-dependent phosphorylation of KAP1 at serine 824 (p-KAP1-S824) results
146 in release of the repressive complex, relaxation of heterochromatin, and relief of transcriptional

repression (36, 37). We questioned whether AAV2 replication was associated with KAP1-S824 147 148 phosphorylation, which would suggest a requirement for the inactivation of KAP1 corepressor 149 activity. We first explored this possibility by monitoring levels of phosphorylated KAP1-S824 in 150 cells infected with increasing concentrations of either AAV2 or recombinant AAV2 (rAAV2) in the 151 presence of Ad5. rAAV2 is comprised of only the viral inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) flanking a 152 GFP transgene cassette, and as such is replication defective. However, the ITRs are known to recruit 153 components of the Mre11/Rad50/NBS1 (MRN) complex - the principal mediator of ATM activation 154 - and could potentially trigger KAP1-S824 phosphorylation (38). We therefore used rAAV2 to 155 control for the input of these structures.

156 A clear dose-dependent increase in KAP1-S824 phosphorylation was observed in 293T cells coinfected with Ad5 and increasing concentrations of AAV2 (Fig. 2A). This was not observed in 157 158 the presence of rAAV2, which is replication defective and therefore additionally requires Rep and 159 Cap *in trans* for replication (Fig. 2A), suggesting that active AAV2 replication is necessary to trigger 160 KAP1-S824 phosphorylation and not simply the viral structure represented by rAAV2. In addition, H3K9me3-specific ChIP performed in cells coinfected with AAV2 and Ad5 revealed a complete 161 162 loss of H3K9 trimethylation on lytic AAV2 genomes, indicating that release of the KAP1 repressive 163 complex is necessary to support lytic replication (Fig. 2B). The observation that AAV2 replication levels are reduced in cells overexpressing wild-type KAP1 (KAP1^{WT}) (Fig. 2C) and enhanced with 164 overexpression of the dominant negative phospho-mimetic KAP1-S824D mutant (KAP1^{S824D}) (Fig. 165 166 2D) further supports a functional role for KAP1-S824 phosphorylation in AAV2 replication. Furthermore, KAP1-depleted cells complemented with KAP15824D supported enhanced levels of 167 168 AAV2 replication and protein expression, which were comparable to those observed in KAP1depleted cells, whereas complementation with the phospho-ablatant mutant KAP1^{S824A} restored 169 170 replication and protein expression to baseline levels (Fig. 2 E and F).

171 To determine whether KAP1-S824 phosphorylation constitutes a viral reactivation switch, we 172 looked at the effect of KAP1-S824 phosphorylation on basal expression levels from the three AAV promoters in the absence of helper virus coinfection. AAV transcription during latency is virtually 173 174 undetectable, and furthermore it has been well established that a helper factor such as Ad5 E1A is 175 essential for activation of the p5 promoter (6). To observe measurable effects on basal AAV2 176 transcription, these experiments were therefore necessarily performed in 293T cells, which are 177 transformed with AdV E1/E2 and can thus support extremely low levels of AAV transcription without helper virus coinfection. Cells depleted for KAP1 and reconstituted with KAP1^{WT}, 178 KAP1^{S824D}, or KAP1^{S824A} were infected with AAV2 alone and harvested 14h post-infection for 179 transcriptional analysis. Both KAP1-depleted cells and cells reconstituted with KAP1^{S824D} supported 180 2- to 6-fold greater levels of basal transcription from the three AAV2 promoters, while 181 complementation with $KAP1^{WT}$ and $KAP1^{S824A}$ restored transcription to negligible levels (Fig. 2G). 182 183

184 Rep52 and Rep78 mediate phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 through interactions with the 185 protein phosphatase PP1.

186 We next performed a time course of lytic replication to determine if phosphorylation of KAP1-187 S824 could be related to the onset of Rep expression. Substantially elevated levels of p-KAP1-S824 were apparent 18h after infection with Ad5 and AAV2, correlating well with the onset of Rep 188 expression and leading us to ask if the Rep proteins might be directly modulating KAP1 activity 189 (Fig. 3A). To address this, cells expressing various mutants of the Rep proteins were monitored for 190 p-KAP1-S824 levels. The large Rep proteins possess endonuclease activity shown to trigger DNA 191 192 damage (25, 39), and all four Rep proteins share a helicase domain with the potential to also disrupt 193 DNA. In order to minimize the possibility of DDR-dependent induction of p-KAP1-S824 via ATM, endonuclease mutants (Y156F) of Rep68 and Rep78, and catalytic ATPase mutants (K340H) of all 194 195 four Rep proteins were used (40, 41). Substantially elevated levels of p-KAP1-S824 were apparent 196 in the presence of both Rep52 and Rep78, independently from either endonuclease or ATPase 197 activity (Fig. 3B). Basal levels of p-KAP1-S824 were also visible with Rep40 and Rep68 but were 198 3- to 6-fold lower than for Rep52 and Rep78. These data suggest that the Rep proteins, in particular 199 Rep52 and Rep78, actively mediate phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 via an unknown pathway, 200 independently from their ability to cause DNA damage. This idea was further supported by 201 transfection and infection experiments performed in the presence of an ATM inhibitor (ATMi), 202 which demonstrated that ATM activation is not necessary for the observed Rep-mediated 203 phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 (Fig. S4). Given that Rep52 and Rep78 share a C-terminal ZNF 204 domain not present in Rep40 or Rep68, we suspected this region might also be important for the 205 phosphorylation of KAP1-S824. Expression of a series of C-terminal truncation mutants, in which 206 the Rep52/Rep78 ZNF domain was progressively removed (Fig. S5), completely abrogated 207 phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 while having no effect on the Rep-KAP1 interaction (Fig. S5), 208 suggesting that the Rep proteins act through an intermediary protein(s).

209 Potential cellular factors that could be interacting with Rep to control the phosphorylation 210 state of KAP1-S824 include protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) and its specific regulators. Upon 211 completion of DNA repair, basal levels of p-KAP1-S824 are restored through the combined 212 activities of PP1 α/β and protein phosphatase 4 (42, 43). Several regulatory subunits of PP1 were 213 identified as interaction partners for Rep alongside KAP1 in our original BioID screen, leading us 214 to hypothesize that the Rep proteins could be interfering with this pathway and antagonizing PP1 activity. Co-IP experiments in cells expressing Rep52 and GFP-tagged PP1- α , - β , or - γ indicated a 215 physical interaction between Rep52, PP1a, and PP1y (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, AAV2 lytic 216 217 replication and transcription were enhanced with depletion of PP1 α but not PP1 β (Fig. 3 D, E, and F), supporting observations from the co-IP as well as a role for PP1 antagonism in the AAV life 218 219 cycle. Interestingly, this effect was most pronounced at early time points indicating that PP1 might 220 act predominantly during early infection events, such as transcriptional activation.

221 Using the conserved PP1 consensus binding sequence [KR][X₀₋₁][VI]{P}[FW] (44) as our 222 guideline, we identified one putative non-canonical binding site in the Rep ATPase domain (372KMVIW376), partially overlapping with the Walker B motif (Fig. S6). Co-IP experiments 223 224 using FLAG-tagged PP1 α confirmed a physical interaction between Rep52 and PP1 α (Fig. 3G). 225 Mutation of the first lysine in the putative binding site (K372A) did not affect this interaction 226 however (Fig. 3G), indicating this region may not represent a true PP1 binding site. Alternatively, 227 it is possible that this region acts together with the Rep ZNF domain to bind PP1. Interestingly 228 however, the K372A mutation completely abrogated Rep-mediated phosphorylation of KAP1-229 S824 (Fig. 3H) without affecting the ability of Rep to regulate the AAV2 p5 promoter (45) or 230 interact with KAP1 (Fig. S6). There is precedence to indicate that a functional interaction between 231 PP1 and its regulatory subunits is based on multiple points of interaction, only one of which necessarily consists of the conserved binding site. Furthermore it has been demonstrated that 232 233 mutation of only one interaction site may be sufficient to abolish the regulation of PP1 while being 234 insufficient to abolish the physical association between PP1 and its regulatory component (46, 47). 235 It is therefore possible that the mutation of additional yet unidentified interaction sites in Rep would be necessary to disrupt Rep-PP1 binding, while mutation of only the PP1 binding site is sufficient 236 237 to abolish regulation of PP1 by Rep.

238

The Rep proteins interfere with the formation of a KAP1-NIPP1-PP1 complex to inhibit KAP1S824 dephosphorylation by PP1.

The free PP1 catalytic subunit has exceptionally broad substrate specificity. PP1 activity is therefore regulated through its interactions with numerous PP1-interacting-proteins (PIPs), which can inhibit PP1 or act as substrate specifiers (48). To further define a potential mechanism for the above observations, we next looked at the nuclear inhibitor of PP1 (NIPP1/PPP1R8), a major regulator of PP1 that was identified alongside KAP1 in our BioID screen. NIPP1 contains three 246 functional domains: (1) an N-terminal Forkhead Associated (FHA) protein interaction domain, (2) a 247 central PP1-binding domain containing the consensus PP1-binding motif, and (3) a multifunctional 248 C-terminal domain that binds RNA, has endoribonuclease activity, and inhibits PP1 activity via an 249 unknown mechanism (46, 49, 50). Cross-linked GFP-trap experiments in cells expressing Rep52 with 250 various NIPP1 mutants (Fig. 4A) fused to eGFP revealed that KAP1 and Rep are recruited to NIPP1 251 via the N-terminal FHA domain, forming a complex with the NIPP1-PP1 α holoenzyme (Fig. 4B). 252 PP1 α has previously been shown to form a constitutive unit with KAP1 at certain promoters (42). 253 Our results suggest however that, in this instance, the interaction between KAP1 and PP1 is mediated 254 through NIPP1. Recruitment was dependent upon the NIPP1 FHA domain, which binds 255 hyperphosphorylated proteins through a dipeptide motif consisting of phospho-threonines followed 256 by a proline. Although no function has yet been attributed to it, one such motif exists at threonine 257 541 of KAP1 suggesting that it may be directly recruited to NIPP1 via the FHA domain. Levels of 258 p-KAP1-S824 were elevated in cells overexpressing NIPP1-WT compared to cells expressing the 259 PP1-binding mutant NIPP1-RATA, supporting a role for the inhibition of PP1 by NIPP1 in KAP1 260 phosphorylation (Fig. 4 C and D). Surprisingly, p-KAP1-S824 levels were also elevated in the 261 presence of NIPP1-FHAm. It is possible that the residual binding observed between KAP1 and 262 NIPP1-FHAm (Fig. 4B) is sufficient to support the regulation of phosphorylation. Alternatively, overexpression of NIPP1-FHAm may act to constitutively inhibit the nuclear pool of PP1. In addition, 263 264 NIPP1-WT and NIPP1-FHAm, but not NIPP1-RATA, enhanced Rep-mediated phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 (Fig. 4 E and F), supporting the idea that this pathway is exploited by Rep to maintain 265 enhanced levels of p-KAP1-S824 during infection. 266

To further explore the role for Rep in this pathway, we performed cross-linked GFP-trap experiments in cells expressing NIPP1-WT-eGFP with either wild type Rep52, or the phosphorylation-deficient Rep52-K372A (Fig. 4*G*). Interestingly, recruitment of KAP1 to NIPP1 appeared to be independent of Rep52 entirely, while abundance of PP1 α in the complex was

significantly decreased in the presence of Rep52, but not Rep52-K372A. The loss of PP1 α was associated with increased p-KAP1-S824 and a concomitant loss of SETDB1, supporting the hypothesis that Rep52 mediates phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 through PP1 interference in order to counteract KAP1 repression. Furthermore, as loss of PP1 α from the complex was observed with Rep52 but not Rep52-K372A, these results suggest that the K372A mutation may in fact interfere with the Rep-PP1 interaction in the context of endogenous PP1 even though we did not observe an effect with overexpressed FLAG-PP1 α (Fig. 3*G*).

278

279 Targeting of KAP1 as a novel helper function for AAV2 replication.

280 Given that basal levels of Rep expression during latency are not sufficient to counteract KAP1 and that depletion of KAP1 alone is not sufficient to trigger AAV2 transcription and replication, we 281 hypothesized that AAV2 helper viruses might act as a biological switch necessary to allow for the 282 283 upregulation of *rep* expression prior to the onset of KAP1 phosphorylation. KAP1 protein levels were 284 significantly depleted in 293T and HeLa cells infected with increasing concentrations of Ad5 and 285 were restored in the presence of 5µM of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Fig. S7). Interestingly, 286 Ad5 E1B55K has been shown to interfere with KAP1 SUMOylation during early infection (51), 287 presenting the possibility that hypoSUMOvlated KAP1 may be targeted by the cell for proteosomal degradation. Similar results were also observed for HSV-1, another AAV helper virus (Fig. S7), 288 289 suggesting that KAP1 targeting may represent an unknown helper function for AAV2 replication 290 necessary to release the viral genome from its latent state.

291

292 Discussion

Significant breakthroughs in AAV vector design have previously been derived from an enhanced understanding of basic AAV biology. There are still many aspects of the AAV life cycle that remain elusive however. Of particular relevance to AAV vectors, whose biology may mimic that of latent viral genomes, the nature and contribution of epigenetic marks to the genome organization and temporal gene regulation of wild type AAV is not yet known. In the present study, we employed a BioID strategy to screen for novel interaction partners of the AAV2 Rep proteins in an effort to elucidate the mechanisms involved in the establishment of and release from AAV2 latency. This approach led to the discovery that the transcriptional corepressor KAP1 interacts with three of the four Rep proteins and is recruited to the latent AAV2 genome, where it mediates transcriptional repression through the formation of heterochromatin.

303 Our findings bear interesting parallels with observations made for the regulation of both 304 KSHV and CMV latency by KAP1. Recruitment of KAP1 to the CMV genome leads to H3K9me3 305 deposition across various lytic genes, while latency-associated genes remain free from repressive 306 marks (32). Similarly, latency associated nuclear antigen (LANA)-mediated recruitment of KAP1 to 307 the KSHV genome is essential for the shutdown of lytic gene expression during early stages of 308 infection (52). Here, we observed KAP1-dependent deposition of H3K9me3 across the latent AAV2 309 genome, spreading downstream from KAP1 recruitment sites near the p19 promoter in rep in a 310 manner consistent with the mechanism of long-range heterochromatin spreading shown to establish KAP1-mediated repression of KRAB-ZFP clusters (53). Interestingly, no enrichment for KAP1 or 311 312 H3K9me3 was detected at the p5 promoter, a region whose transactivating activity is necessary for initiation from all three viral promoters. It will be interesting to determine whether this region is 313 314 protected from repressive marks in order to ensure rapid and dynamic regulation of p5 upon reactivation. 315

In agreement with a role for KAP1 as a repressor of latent AAV2, both KAP1 depletion and expression of a repression-deficient phosphomimetic KAP1-S824D mutant resulted in enhanced lytic replication, transcription, and protein expression in cells coinfected with AAV2 and Ad5. This effect was not observed in cells infected with AAV2 alone however, reflecting the dependency of AAV2 on various helper factors to initiate replication and suggesting that KAP1 repression provides a

321 second layer of regulation, the antagonism of which is necessary but not sufficient for reactivation. 322 Similar observations were made for both KSHV and CMV. KSHV replication was enhanced, but not 323 triggered, by KAP1 depletion, both in the context of induced KRta expression and hypoxia-induced 324 KSHV reactivation (31, 54). Similarly, TNF α -mediated NF- κ B induction was necessary for the full 325 reactivation of CMV upon KAP1 depletion (32). It is well established that reactivation of AAV2 is 326 dependent upon interactions between helper factors such as Ad5 E1A and cellular factors YY1 and 327 MLTF bound to the p5 promoter region. Our observation that levels of basal AAV2 transcription in latently infected 293T cells were enhanced in cells either depleted for KAP1 or reconstituted with 328 329 KAP1-S824D however strongly indicates that KAP1 binding additionally serves to silence the viral 330 genome through histone methylation, even while the p5 promoter may be minimally activated by low 331 levels of E1A. We therefore propose that AAV2 reactivation is dependent upon the removal of 332 repressive H3K9me3 from the viral genome in order to render it transcriptionally competent and 333 allow for E1A-mediated activation of p5, and thus, transactivation of all three viral promoters.

334 It is also interesting to note that KSHV, CMV, and AAV2 are episomal viruses with the ability 335 to modulate KAP1 activity, which are clearly capable of replication without the need for KAP1 336 depletion, suggesting the intriguing possibility that these viruses have domesticated KAP1 repression 337 to their advantage. It is possible for example that heterochromatinization allows latent episomes to 338 better evade immune recognition, or that it may prevent deleterious recombination events and/or 339 genome degradation. Upon reactivation, KSHV directly mediates phosphorylation of KAP1 via its viral kinase vPk (31), and, although it is has not yet been established which CMV protein is 340 341 responsible, phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 was only ever observed in cells that were also positive 342 for CMV IE antigens (32). Here, we show that AAV2 Rep52 and Rep78 mediate the inactivation of 343 KAP1 repression by inhibiting its dephosphorylation by the phosphatase PP1 α . Rep expression 344 reduced the abundance of PP1 α from a complex comprised of KAP1, SETDB1, PP1 α , and NIPP1, 345 and this was dependent upon the presence of an intact putative PP1-binding site in Rep, suggesting

that Rep52 achieves enhanced phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 by sequestering PP1 from thecomplex.

348 Although we have shown that Rep can mediate the phosphorylation of KAP1 independently 349 from ATM activation, this does not exclude a role for the activation of effectors of the DDR in the 350 context of a productive infection. In fact, the nature of the mechanism outlined above presupposes 351 an initial trigger for KAP1 phosphorylation, and both helper virus and productive AAV2 infections 352 are known to trigger robust activation of DDR proteins (55, 56). Taken together, these data suggest 353 a two-part mechanism in which helper factors or the DDR upon viral infection trigger the initial 354 phosphorylation of KAP1, a signal then potentiated through Rep-mediated antagonism of PP1 during 355 lytic replication. We envision that the inactive NIPP1-PP1 holoenzyme forms a constitutive regulatory unit with KAP1, effectively serving to tether inactive PP1 to KAP1 for rapid regulation 356 357 (Fig. S8). NIPP1 does not systematically inhibit PP1 activity however. Rather, inhibition is dependent 358 upon interactions between PP1 bound to the central domain of NIPP1 and the inhibitory C-terminal 359 domain of NIPP1, which additionally has RNA binding and endoribonuclease activity (46, 47). This 360 interaction can be interrupted through the simultaneous phosphorylation of tyrosine 335 in the Cterminal domain and binding of RNA, effectively activating the PP1-NIPP1 holoenzyme (46). Upon 361 362 initiation of a DDR, when KAP1 is rapidly phosphorylated, NIPP1 inhibition of PP1 may be inactivated through RNA-binding and phosphorylation of the C-terminal region of NIPP1 by the 363 DDR-responsive tyrosine kinase Lyn (46), allowing PP1 to rapidly restore basal levels of p-KAP1-364 S824. The role of the Rep proteins might then be to sequester PP1, or compete for binding, in order 365 366 to sustain high levels of p-KAP1-S824 and support lytic infection (Fig. 5, Fig. S8).

This work demonstrates not only the first example of PP1 targeting by a parvovirus, but also the first example of PP1 targeting for the purpose of regulating KAP1 activity. It will be interesting to determine whether this mechanism might extend to other members of the parvovirus family, or if known viral targets of KAP1 such as KSHV and CMV might also manipulate this pathway to achieve

371 relief from KAP1 repression. PP1 has previously been shown to form a constitutive unit with KAP1 372 at the *p21* promoter, where it is thought to set a basal transcription rate as well as to rapidly restore 373 KAP1 corepressor function after ATM activation by regulating KAP1-S824 phosphorylation (42). 374 There is no evidence for free cellular pools of PP1 however. Rather, PP1 constitutes the catalytic unit of a large array of multisubunit holoenzymes, which regulate and target PP1 activity to prevent 375 376 uncontrolled protein dephosphorylation and cell death (48). It has yet to be determined what PP1 377 interacting protein (PIP) is responsible for regulating PP1 activity towards KAP1. Our finding that 378 KAP1, PP1, and NIPP1 exist as a complex sheds light on this question and suggests that PP1 may be 379 targeted to KAP1 via the NIPP1 FHA domain where it is then dynamically regulated by NIPP1 to 380 maintain homeostatic levels of phosphorylated KAP1-S824.

381 This work further presents the first evidence that AAV2 latency is regulated in part 382 through the epigenetic modification of its genome, challenging the long-standing model for AAV 383 latency whereby the viral genome is silenced exclusively through binding of the p5 promoter by 384 cellular factors YY1 and MLTF, and the Rep proteins (6, 57). The findings presented here have 385 additional relevance for gene therapy, as our data highlight the possibility that current production 386 helper plasmids may lack helper genes that may be critical for navigating host responses. 387 Understanding the epigenetic control of AAV may also shed light on the intriguing and unexplained resistance of AAV gene therapy vectors to host shut off, and will undoubtedly contribute to 388 understanding the consequences of integrating wild type and recombinant viruses. In addition, the 389 390 recent controversial discovery of AAV2 sequences in human liver tumors (58) has caused some to 391 call into question the safety of rAAV vectors and has highlighted the need to further explore AAV2 392 regulation of latency. These findings may thus provide key insights into the impact and contribution 393 of AAV2 latency on the development of human diseases.

394

396 Methods

397 Cell lines and viruses

293T human embryonic kidney cells and HeLa human cervical epithelial cells were obtained from
the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's
(DMEM) (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen) plus 1%
Pen/Strep (Sigma) and were tested for mycoplasma once per month.

402 AAV2 and human adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) were produced and purified as previously described (59).403

404 BioID Screening

Ten 10cm dishes of 293T cells per BirA*-Rep construct were transfected using 6µg DNA and 50µlPEI in 500µl serum-free (SF) medium per dish. 6h post-transfection, the medium was replaced withfresh DMEM + 10% FBS, and D-Biotin (Life Technologies) was added to a final concentration of100µM. 48h post-transfection, cells were harvested for LC-MS/MS analysis as previously described(17). Mass spectrometry was performed by the KCL Proteomics Facility at Denmark Hill.

410

411 Immunoprecipitation

293T cells were transfected in a 6-well format with 200ng of Rep-expressing constructs, and 250ng
of FLAG-PP1α or FAG-GFP using 8μl PEI in 80μl serum free (SF) medium. 48h after transfection,
cells were lysed in RIPA buffer, and lysates were incubated with 2μg anti-FLAG (Sigma, F7425) for
1.5h on a rotator at 4°C. 40μl of protein G agarose beads (Pierce) were added and incubated a further
3h. Beads were washed 4 times in RIPA buffer, and proteins were eluted from beads by boiling at
95°C for 10 minutes in 60μl 2X Laemmli buffer.

418

419 Cross-linking Immunoprecipitation

420 293T cells were transfected in a 6-well format with 200ng of Rep-expressing constructs, and 750ng 421 of FLAG-GFP, FLAG-KAP1, or GFP-NIPP1 using 8µl PEI in 80µl SF medium. 48 hours after 422 transfection cells were fixed in 350µl 0.05% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at 37°C and then quenched 423 in 350µl 0.125M glycine, pH 7, for 5 minutes at room temperature before being lysed in 500µl cross-424 linking IP buffer (150mM NaCl, 10mM HEPES pH 7, 6mM MgCl₂, 2mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 1X 425 protease inhibitors, 200uM sodium orthovanadate) on ice for 10 minutes. Lysates were subjected to 426 three 10-second cycles of sonication (Branson Sonifier 250), output ~2, and clarified at 1000 x g for 427 10 minutes at 4°C. 40ml protein G agarose beads (Pierce) per sample were incubated with 2µg FLAG 428 antibody (Sigma, F7425) for 1.5h on a rotator at 4°C before being added to the cell lysates and 429 incubated a further 3-4 hours. Beads were harvested and washed 4 times in RIPA buffer, and cross-430 links were reversed in 25µl reverse cross-link buffer (10mM EDTA, 5mM DTT, 1% SDS) at 65°C 431 for 45 minutes. Proteins were eluted from beads by adding 3µl of 2X Laemmli SDS buffer and boiling 432 at 95°C for 10 minutes. For GFP-trap experiments, 293T cells were transfected with 800ng of Rep-433 and/or NIPP1-expressing constructs. 24h after transfection, cells were harvested as described above, 434 and cell lysates were incubated with 30µl NHS-activated sepharose (GEhealthcare) covalently linked 435 to GFP nanobodies. Beads were harvested as described above.

436

437 Infections

For KAP1 depletion, 293T cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing either a hairpin targeting the 3'UTR of KAP1, or the corresponding empty vector, 48h before infection with AAV2/Ad5. Cells were infected at ~80% confluency with 10 IU/cell of AAV2 unless stated otherwise in ~2/5 the normal well volume. 2h after AAV2 infection, Ad5 was added at an MOI of 2 PFU/cell, and medium was replaced with fresh DMEM + 10% FBS 1h after Ad5 infection. Cells were harvested for qPCR, RT-qPCR, or western blot ~42h after infection, or when they displayed optimal cytopathic effect (CPE). Optimal CPE is defined by cells that display a rounded and enlarged

445 phenotype, as opposed to the normal "star-shaped" morphology of HEK293T cells, and which are 446 beginning to detach but still appear bright and healthy. Cells that had completely lifted by the time 447 of harvest were deemed too advanced in the infection cycle and were excluded from analysis.

448

449 ChIP-qPCR

Cells were cross-linked in their medium in 1% formaldehyde (10' at room temperature) and 450 451 quenched with 0.125M glycine (5' at room temperature) before being lysed in 1mL/1x10⁸ cells lysis 452 buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 10mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 1x protease inhibitors) for 10' on ice. Lysates 453 were sonicated to obtain 200- to 500-bp fragments (15 x 30" cycles with 90" intervals, output \sim 2). 454 10ml of lysates were used to assess sonication efficiency by reverse cross-linking for 15' at 95°C and 455 then incubating with RNAse A for 30' at 37 degrees. DNA was extracted and visualized on a 1.5% 456 agarose gel. The remaining lysates were clarified at 13,000 rpm for 10' at 4 degrees. The equivalent of 2x10⁶ cells was diluted 25-fold in RIPA buffer (50mM Tris pH8, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, pH 457 458 8, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1x protease inhibitors) and pre-cleared with 459 80ml protein G agarose beads (pre-blocked in 0.1mg/mL BSA for 30') for 2h on a rotator at 4°C. For 460 the immunoprecipitation, antibodies were added to lysates and incubated with antibody for 1h on a 461 rotator at 4°C (5mg IgG [Abcam; ab37415], 4mg H3K9me3 [Abcam; ab8893], 1mg KAP1 [Abcam; ab10483]), before adding 80ml pre-blocked beads and incubating overnight as above. Beads were 462 463 harvested and washed 4 times in RIPA buffer, 4 times in high salt wash (20mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 1mM EDTA, 500mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1x protease inhibitors), 4 times in TE buffer (10mM Tris-464 465 HCl, pH8, 1mM EDTA), and eluted in 160ml elution buffer (100mM HaHCO₃, 1% SDS) for 15' at 466 30°C. Cross-links were reversed by adding NaCl to a final concentration of 0.2M and incubating 467 overnight at 67°C. Eluates were then incubated with 2ml RNase A (10mg/mL) and 2ml proteinase K (20mg/mL) at 45 degrees for 1h. DNA was extracted using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and 468 469 analyzed by qPCR using primers specific for GAPDH, ZNF180, ZNF274, or various regions of the

AAV2 genome. Purified chromatin was diluted 10-fold and quantified by real-time PCR using the
SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix for QPCR (Sigma-Aldrich) using an ABI PRISM system
(Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences are listed in the Extended Data Table 2. CT values for "10%
input" were adjusted by subtracting 3.322 cycles to correct for the 10-fold dilution factor
(https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/life-science/epigenetics-noncoding-rnaresearch/chromatin-remodeling/chromatin-immunoprecipitation-chip/chip-analysis.html). Percent

input was then calculated as follows: 100 x 2⁻(CT of adjusted 10% input - CT of ChIP-ed DNA).

477 Percent input for each antibody was then normalized to values for IgG to calculate final fold

478 enrichment.

479

476

Acknowledgements We thank M. Bardelli, G. Berger, R. Galão, T.Foster, and S. Pickering for their
technical assistance. We thank C. Swanson for his insightful comments and support. This work was
supported by UK MRC grants 1001764 to R.M.L., and MR/N022890/1 to E. H.

483

484 Author Contributions S.S conceived and performed experiments, and wrote the manuscript. S.N.,
485 M.B., and H.M.R. provided reagents, expertise, and feedback. C.F. provided reagents. R.M.L.
486 provided expertise and feedback, and secured funding. E.H. conceived experiments, wrote the
487 manuscript, and secured funding.

488

489 References

Henckaerts E, Linden RM (2010) Adeno-associated virus: a key to the human genome?
 Futur Virol 5(5):555–574.

Schnepp BC, Jensen RL, Chen CL, Johnson PR, Clark KR (2005) Characterization of
adeno-associated virus genomes isolated from human tissues. *J Virol* 79(23):14793–14803.

494 3. Petri K, et al. (2015) Presence of a trs-Like Motif Promotes Rep-Mediated Wild-Type

- 495 Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2 Integration. *J Virol* 89(14):7428–32.
- 496 4. Janovitz T, et al. (2013) High-throughput sequencing reveals principles of adeno-associated
 497 virus serotype 2 integration. *J Virol* 87(15):8559–68.
- 498 5. Huser D, Gogol-Doring A, Chen W, Heilbronn R (2014) Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2
- 499 Wild-Type and Vector-Mediated Genomic Integration Profiles of Human Diploid
- 500 Fibroblasts Analyzed by Third-Generation PacBio DNA Sequencing. J Virol
- 501 88(19):11253–11263.
- 502 6. Chang LS, Shi Y, Shenk T (1989) Adeno-associated virus P5 promoter contains an
- adenovirus E1A-inducible element and a binding site for the major late transcription factor.
- 504 *J Virol* 63(8):3479–3488.
- 505 7. Geoffroy, Epstein, Toublanc, Moullier (2004) Herpes simplex virus type 1 ICP0 protein
 506 mediates activation of adeno-associated virus type 2 rep *J Virol.*
- 507 doi:10.1128/JVI.78.20.10977.
- 508 8. Shi Y, Seto E, Chang LS, Shenk T (1991) Transcriptional repression by YY1, a human
- 509 GLI-Kruppel-related protein, and relief of repression by adenovirus E1A protein. *Cell*
- 510 67(2):377–388.
- 511 9. Marcus-Sekura CJ, Carter BJ (1983) Chromatin-like structure of adeno-associated virus
 512 DNA in infected cells. *J Virol* 48(1):79–87.
- 513 10. Penaud-Budloo M, et al. (2008) Adeno-associated virus vector genomes persist as episomal
 514 chromatin in primate muscle. *J Virol* 82(16):7875–7885.
- 515 11. Nathwani AC, et al. (2014) Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Factor IX Gene Therapy in
 516 Hemophilia B. *N Engl J Med* 371(21):1994–2004.
- 517 12. Bainbridge JWB, et al. (2015) Long-Term Effect of Gene Therapy on Leber's Congenital
 518 Amaurosis. *N Engl J Med* 372(20):1887–97.
- 519 13. Bennet J, et al. (2016) Safety and durability of effect of contralateral-eye administration of

- 520 AAV2 gene therapy in patients with childhood-onset blindness caused by RPE65
 521 mutations: a follow-on phase 1 trial. *Lancet*.
- 522 14. Mendell JR, et al. (2017) Single-Dose Gene-Replacement Therapy for Spinal Muscular
 523 Atrophy. *N Engl J Med* 377(18):1713–1722.
- 524 15. George LA, et al. (2017) Hemophilia B Gene Therapy with a High-Specific-Activity Factor
 525 IX Variant. *N Engl J Med* 377(23):2215–2227.
- 526 16. Perry D, et al. (2017) AAV5–Factor VIII Gene Transfer in Severe Hemophilia A. 1–12.
- 527 17. Roux KJ, Kim DI, Raida M, Burke B (2012) A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein
- 528 identifies proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. J Cell Biol 196(6):801–
- **529 810**.
- Im DS, Muzyczka N (1990) The AAV origin Bonding protein Rep 68 is an ATP-dependent
 site-specific endonuclease with DNA helicase activity. *Cell* 61:447–457.
- 53219.Im DS, Muzyczka N (1992) Partial purification of adeno-associated virus Rep78, Rep52,
- and Rep40 and their biochemical characterization. *J Virol* 66(2):1119–1128.
- 534 20. Chejanovsky N, Carter BJ (1989) Mutagenesis of an AUG codon in the adeno-associated
 535 virus rep gene: Effects on viral DNA replication. *Virology* 173(1):120–128.
- 536 21. Surovsky R, et al. (1997) Adeno-associated virus Rep proteins target DNA sequences to a
 537 unique locus in the human genome. *J Virol* 71(10):7951–7959.
- 538 22. Pereira DJ, Muzyczka N (1997) The cellular transcription factor SP1 and an unknown
- cellular protein are required to mediate Rep protein activation of the adeno-associated virus
 p19 promoter. *J Virol* 71(3):1747–1756.
- 541 23. King JA, Dubielzig R, Grimm D, Kleinschmidt JA (2001) DNA helicase-mediated
- packaging of adeno-associated virus type 2 genomes into preformed capsids. *EMBO J*20(12):3282–3291.
- 544 24. Kyöstiö SR, et al. (1994) Analysis of adeno-associated virus (AAV) wild-type and mutant

- 545 Rep proteins for their abilities to negatively regulate AAV p5 and p19 mRNA levels. J
 546 *Virol* 68(5):2947–57.
- 547 25. Berthet C, Raj K, Saudan P, Beard P (2005) How adeno-associated virus Rep78 protein
 548 arrests cells completely in S phase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(38):13634–13639.
- 549 26. Di Pasquale G, Stacey SN (1998) Adeno-associated virus Rep78 protein interacts with
 550 protein kinase A and its homolog PRKX and inhibits CREB-dependent transcriptional
 551 activation. *J Virol* 72(10):7916–7925.
- 552 27. Schultz DC, Friedman JR, Rauscher 3rd FJ (2001) Targeting histone deacetylase
- 553 complexes via KRAB-zinc finger proteins: the PHD and bromodomains of KAP-1 form a
- cooperative unit that recruits a novel isoform of the Mi-2alpha subunit of NuRD. *Genes Dev* 15(4):428–443.
- 556 28. Schultz DC, Ayyanathan K, Negorev D, Maul GG, Rauscher 3rd FJ (2002) SETDB1: a
- 557 novel KAP-1-associated histone H3, lysine 9-specific methyltransferase that contributes to
- 558 HP1-mediated silencing of euchromatic genes by KRAB zinc-finger proteins. *Genes Dev*559 16(8):919–932.
- 560 29. Ivanov A V., et al. (2007) PHD Domain-Mediated E3 Ligase Activity Directs
- 561 Intramolecular Sumoylation of an Adjacent Bromodomain Required for Gene Silencing.
- 562 *Mol Cell* 28(5):823–837.
- 563 30. Sripathy SP, Stevens J, Schultz DC (2006) The KAP1 corepressor functions to coordinate
 564 the assembly of de novo HP1-demarcated microenvironments of heterochromatin required
 565 for KRAB zinc finger protein-mediated transcriptional repression. *Mol Cell Biol*
- 566 26(22):8623–38.
- 567 31. Chang PC, et al. (2009) Kruppel-associated box domain-associated protein-1 as a latency
- regulator for Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and its modulation by the viral
- 569 protein kinase. *Cancer Res* 69(14):5681–5689.

- 570 32. Rauwel B, et al. (2015) Release of human cytomegalovirus from latency by a
- 571 KAP1/TRIM28 phosphorylation switch. *Elife* 4(4). doi:10.7554/eLife.06068.
- 572 33. Rowe HM, et al. (2013) TRIM28 repression of retrotransposon-based enhancers is
- 573 necessary to preserve transcriptional dynamics in embryonic stem cells. *Genome Res*574 23(3):452–461.
- 575 34. Wolf D, Goff SP (2007) TRIM28 mediates primer binding site-targeted silencing of murine
 576 leukemia virus in embryonic cells. *Cell* 131(1):46–57.
- 577 35. Frietze S, O'Geen H, Blahnik KR, Jin VX, Farnham PJ (2010) ZNF274 recruits the histone
- 578 methyltransferase SETDB1 to the 39 ends of ZNF genes. *PLoS One* 5(12).
- 579 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015082.
- 580 36. Goodarzi AA, Kurka T, Jeggo PA (2011) KAP-1 phosphorylation regulates CHD3
- nucleosome remodeling during the DNA double-strand break response. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*18(7):831–839.
- 583 37. Li X, et al. (2007) Role for KAP1 serine 824 phosphorylation and
- 584 sumoylation/desumoylation switch in regulating KAP1-mediated transcriptional repression.
- 585 *J Biol Chem* 282(50):36177–36189.
- 586 38. Schwartz RA, et al. (2007) The Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 complex limits adeno-associated virus
 587 transduction and replication. *J Virol* 81(23):12936–12945.
- Schmidt M, Afione S, Kotin RM (2000) Adeno-associated virus type 2 Rep78 induces
 apoptosis through caspase activation independently of p53. *J Virol* 74(20):9441–9450.
- 590 40. Davis MD, Wu J, Owens R a (2000) Mutational analysis of adeno-associated virus type 2
- 591 Rep68 protein endonuclease activity on partially single-stranded substrates. *J Virol*
- 592 74(6):2936–2942.
- 593 41. Chejanovsky N, Carter BJ (1990) Mutation of a consensus purine nucleotide binding site in
- the adeno-associated virus rep gene generates a dominant negative phenotype for DNA

595 replication. *J Virol* 64(4):1764–1770.

- Li X, et al. (2010) SUMOylation of the transcriptional co-repressor KAP1 is regulated by
 the serine and threonine phosphatase PP1. *Sci Signal* 3(119):ra32.
- 598 43. Pfeifer GP (2012) Protein phosphatase PP4: role in dephosphorylation of KAP1 and DNA
 599 strand break repair. *Cell Cycle* 11(14):2590–2591.
- 44. Meiselbach H, Sticht H, Enz R (2006) Structural analysis of the protein phosphatase 1
- docking motif: molecular description of binding specificities identifies interacting proteins. *Chem Biol* 13(1):49–59.
- 45. Dutheil N, et al. (2014) Adeno-associated virus Rep represses the human integration site
 promoter by two pathways that are similar to those required for the regulation of the viral
- 605 p5 promoter. *J Virol* 88(15):8227–8241.
- 606 46. Beullens M, et al. (2000) The C-terminus of NIPP1 (nuclear inhibitor of protein
- 607 phosphatase-1) contains a novel binding site for protein phosphatase-1 that is controlled by
- 608 tyrosine phosphorylation and RNA binding. *Biochem J* 352 Pt 3:651–658.
- 609 47. O'Connell N, et al. (2012) The molecular basis for substrate specificity of the nuclear
- 610 NIPP1:PP1 holoenzyme. *Structure* 20(10):1746–1756.
- 48. Verbinnen I, Ferreira M, Bollen M (2017) Biogenesis and activity regulation of protein
 phosphatase 1. *Biochem Soc Trans* 45(September 2016):89–99.
- 49. Jagiello I, Beullens M, Stalmans W, Bollen M (1995) Subunit structure and regulation of
 protein phosphatase-1 in rat liver nuclei. *J Biol Chem* 270(29):17257–63.
- 50. Jagiello I, et al. (1997) NIPP-1, a nuclear inhibitory subunit of protein phosphatase-1, has
 RNA-binding properties. *J Biol Chem* 272(35):22067–71.
- 617 51. Bürck C, et al. (2015) KAP1 is a host restriction factor that promotes HAdV E1B-55K
 618 SUMO modification. *J Virol* 90(2):JVI.01836-15.
- 619 52. Sun R, Liang D, Gao Y, Lan K (2014) Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-encoded

- 620 LANA interacts with host KAP1 to facilitate establishment of viral latency. *J Virol*621 88(13):7331–7344.
- 622 53. Groner AC, et al. (2010) KRAB-zinc finger proteins and KAP1 can mediate long-range
 623 transcriptional repression through heterochromatin spreading. *PLoS Genet* 6(3):e1000869.
- 624 54. Zhang L, et al. (2014) Inhibition of KAP1 enhances hypoxia-induced Kaposi's sarcoma-
- associated herpesvirus reactivation through RBP-Jkappa. *J Virol* 88(12):6873–6884.
- 626 55. Schwartz RA, Carson CT, Schuberth C, Weitzman MD (2009) Adeno-associated virus
- 627 replication induces a DNA damage response coordinated by DNA-dependent protein
- 628 kinase. *J Virol* 83(12):6269–6278.
- 629 56. Collaco RF, Bevington JM, Bhrigu V, Kalman-Maltese V, Trempe JP (2009) Adeno-
- associated virus and adenovirus coinfection induces a cellular DNA damage and repair
- response via redundant phosphatidylinositol 3-like kinase pathways. *Virology* 392(1):24–
 33.
- 633 57. Seto E, Shi Y, Shenk T (1991) YY1 is an initiator sequence-binding protein that directs and
 634 activates transcription in vitro. *Nature* 354:241–245.
- 635 58. Nault J-C, et al. (2015) Recurrent AAV2-related insertional mutagenesis in human
 636 hepatocellular carcinomas. *Nat Genet* 47(10):1–15.
- 637 59. Zeltner N, Kohlbrenner E, Clement N, Weber T, Linden RM (2010) Near-perfect
- 638 infectivity of wild-type AAV as benchmark for infectivity of recombinant AAV vectors.
 639 *Gene Ther* 17(7):872–879.
- 640 60. Morita E, Arii J, Christensen D, Votteler J, Sundquist WI (2012) Attenuated protein
 641 expression vectors for use in siRNA rescue experiments. *Biotechniques*:1–5.
- 642 61. Grimm D, Kern A, Rittner K, Kleinschmidt JA (1998) Novel tools for production and
- 643 purification of recombinant adenoassociated virus vectors. *Hum Gene Ther* 9(18):2745–
- 644 2760.

645 62. Hörer M, et al. (1995) Mutational analysis of adeno-associated virus Rep protein-mediated
646 inhibition of heterologous and homologous promoters. *J Virol* 69(9):5485–96.

647

648 Figure Legends

649 Fig. 1. The latent AAV2 genome is repressed through KAP1 recruitment to the rep ORF and 650 subsequent histone methylation. (A-C), AAV2 replication in control (shEMPTY) or KAP1-depleted 651 (shKAP1) 293T cells. (A), Viral genome replication. (B), Rep and cap transcripts. Transcript levels 652 represent fold changes over control cells infected with AAV2 + Ad5. Data are reported as 653 mean±SEM, n=5. (C), AAV2 replication in KAP1-depleted cells complemented with exogenous 654 KAP1. Data are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. (D), ChIP-qPCR performed on control or KAP1-655 depleted 293T cells infected with AAV2 (100 IU/cell) using anti-KAP1 antibody or IgG. Purified chromatin was analyzed by qPCR using primers for the viral p5 promoter or various regions of the 656 657 rep and cap ORFs (right panel). GAPDH was used as a negative control, and the zinc finger genes 658 ZNF180 and ZNF274 were used as positive controls (left panel). (E), ChIP-qPCR performed as described above, using anti-H3K9me3 antibody. Values represent fold enrichment over IgG. Values 659 are reported as mean±SEM for 3 independent experiments. (F-H), AAV2 replication and protein 660 661 expression in 293T cells depleted for CHD3 (siCHD3) and/or SETDB1 (siSETDB1). (F), AAV2 capsid (VP) protein expression and depletion of CHD3 and SETDB1 analyzed by western blotting. 662 (G), Quantification of VP3 levels using ImageJ software. (H), Viral genome replication analyzed by 663 real time qPCR. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. Statistical significance was determined by 664 665 unpaired t test, *P < 0.05.

666

Fig. 2. Phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 is necessary for AAV2 transcription and replication. (*A*), pKAP1-S824 in 293T cells infected with 10-10,000 gcp/cell of either AAV2 or rAAV2 in the presence
of Ad5. (*B*), ChIP-qPCR performed as described for Fig. 1 D, E in 293T cells infected with AAV2

(100 IU/cell), with or without Ad5, n=1. (C-D), AAV2 genome replication in 293T cells 670 overexpressing KAP1^{WT}, (C), or KAP1^{S824D}, (D). Values are reported as mean \pm SEM, n=4. (E-F), 671 AAV2 replication in control cells and KAP1-depleted cells reconstituted with KAP1^{WT}, KAP1^{S824D}, 672 KAP1^{S824A}, or treated with an empty vector control (EV). (E), Viral genome replication. Values are 673 674 reported as mean±SEM, n=4. (F), AAV2 capsid (VP) and KAP1 protein levels. (G), AAV2 transcription in control cells and KAP1-depleted cells complemented with KAP1^{WT}, KAP1^{S824D}, or 675 KAP1^{S824A}, or treated with an empty vector control (EV) 16h after infection with AAV2 (1 IU/cell) 676 677 alone. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired 678 t test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < .001.

679

Fig. 3. Rep52 and Rep78 mediate phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 through interactions with the 680 protein phosphatase PP1. (A), p-KAP1-S824 in 293T cells infected with Ad5 alone, or coinfected 681 682 with Ad5 and either AAV2 or rAAV2 (1,000 gcp/cell) monitored at 4, 18, 24, and 42 h post infection. 683 (B), p-KAP1-S824 in 293T cells expressing the indicated Rep proteins. Values are reported as mean±SEM n=3. (C), GFP trap performed in 293T cells expressing Rep52 and GFP-tagged PP1 α , 684 PP1 β , PP1 γ , or a GFP control. (*D-F*), AAV2 replication and transcription at different time points 685 in 293T cells depleted for PP1 α (siPP1 α), PP1 β (siPP1 β), or both (siPP1 α /siPP1 β). (D), PP1 686 depletion analyzed by western blotting. (E), AAV2 genome replication. Values are reported as 687 688 mean \pm SEM, n=7. (F), transcription from the three AAV2 promoters. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. (G), Co-IP of FLAG-tagged proteins from 293T cells expressing FLAG-PP1 α or 689 690 a FLAG-GFP control and the indicated Rep proteins. (H), Immunoblot of p-KAP1-S824 in 293T cells transfected with either Rep52, or Rep52K372A. Protein levels were quantified using ImageJ 691 692 software. Values are reported as mean±SEM n=3. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired t test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < .001. 693

695 Fig. 4. Rep proteins interfere with NIPP1-PP1 complex to antagonize KAP1-S824 696 dephosphorylation. (A), Schematic representation of NIPP1 mutants. The N-terminal FHA domain is 697 shown in blue, and FHAm denotes the FHA binding mutant, which is unable to recruit 698 hyperphosphorylated proteins. The central PP1 binding domain containing the consensus PP1 699 binding site RVTF is shown in yellow. RATA denotes the PP1 binding mutant. The C-terminal PP1 700 interaction and inhibitory domain is shown in green, and the RNA binding region is shown in lime. 701 (B), Cross-linked GFP trap performed in cells expressing Rep52 and each of the GFP-tagged NIPP1 702 constructs, or a GFP control. (C), Immunoblot of p-KAP1-S824 in cells expressing NIPP1-WT, -703 RATA, -FHAm or empty vector (EV) control. (D), Quantification of p-KAP1-S824 levels in C. 704 Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. (E), Immunoblot of p-KAP1-S824 in cells expressing Rep52 and NIPP1-WT, -RATA, -FHAm or an empty vector (EV) control (F), Quantification of p-KAP1-705 S824 levels in e. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=5. (G), Cross-linked GFP trap performed in 706 cells expressing NIPP1-WT-GFP and T7-tagged Rep52, Rep52K372A or a Renilla control. Statistical 707 708 significance was determined by unpaired t test, *P < 0.05.

709

Fig. 5. Model for release of AAV2 from KAP1-mediated latency. (A) Incoming AAV2 genomes 710 711 undergo second-strand synthesis, concatamerization, and chromatinization upon nuclear entry. KAP1 is recruited to the rep ORF via an unknown binding partner where it forms a scaffold for the 712 713 recruitment of SETDB1 and CHD3, leading to the methylation of AAV2-associated histones. NIPP1 is recruited to KAP1 via the FHA domain, where it serves to tether inactive PP1 to KAP1. (B), Upon 714 715 coinfection, KAP1 repression is partially lifted through several potential mechanisms -(1) helper-716 mediated degradation of KAP1 as we have observed, (2) interference with KAP1 SUMOylation as observed by others(51), and/or (3) phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 triggered by initial helper- or 717 AAV-mediated stress response - allowing for, (C), upregulation of rep by Ad5 E1A. Unknown 718 719 cellular factors/RNA binding inactivates NIPP1 allowing PP1 to restore baseline levels of p-KAP1-

- 720 S824. (D), Sequestration of PP1 by Rep sustains enhanced levels of phosphorylated KAP1-S824 to
- 721 support lytic replication.











1 Supporting Information

2 SI Materials and Methods

3 Plasmids

pcDNA-mycBirAR118G, pCMV-Rep40 (pND229), pCMV-Rep52 (pND230), pCMV-Rep68 4 5 Y156F M225G (pND226), and pCMV-Rep78 Y156F M225G (pND227) have been previously described (45). BirA* and each of the Rep sequences were amplified by PCR, after which 6 overlapping PCR was used to fuse the BirA* fragment to the N-terminus of each Rep fragment. The 7 resulting amplicons were cloned into pcDNA3.1+. FLAG- and T7-tagged Rep proteins were 8 generated by cloning of Rep PCR products into either the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech) containing 9 N-terminal FLAG tag or T7 tag, respectively. K372A mutants were generated by site-directed 10 mutagenesis. ZNF truncation mutants were generated by PCR amplification of aa 1-529 (Δ 91/87), 1-11 558 ($\Delta 63$), or 1-577 ($\Delta 44$), using either pND230 or pND227 as a template. The amplified fragments 12 were then cloned into the N-terminal T7 vector described above. FLAG-PP1a was generated by 13 14 cloning of PCR-amplified PP1a from an EST clone obtained from Genome Cube (Clone 15 IRAUp969F0817D) into the N-terminal FLAG-vector described above. pC1-FLAG-wtKAP1 was provided by Helen Rowe and was used for cloning of PCR-amplified wtKAP1 into untagged 16 17 pcDNA3.1+. PP1y-NIPP1, and NIPP1-WT, -RATA, -FHAm, -aa1-142, -aa143-224, -aa225-251, aa1-224, and -aa143-351 fused to eGFP were provided by Mathieu Bollen. 18

19

20 Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer, and proteins were separated on a 6-12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C Extra nitrocellulose, Amersham Biosciences).
Membranes were blocked with either 5% nonfat dry milk or 2.5% BSA (for phospho-antibodies) in PBS containing 0.5% Tween-20 (PBST) for 45 minutes at RT and then incubated with primary antibody for 2h at room temperature. The membranes were then washed 3x10' in PBST and incubated

with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (BioRad) for 1h at RT. After 3x10' washes in 26 PBST, membranes were developed using West Pico ECL reagent (Thermo Scientific). The following 27 primary antibodies were used: HSP90 (Santa Cruz, sc-69703; 1:10,000), KAP1 (Chemicon, 28 29 MAB3662; 1:000), p-KAP1-S824 (Bethyl, A300-767A; 1:2000), VP (ARP, 03-61058; 1:500), Rep 30 (Progen, 61069; 1:100), dsRed (Clontech, 632496; 1:2000), CHD3 (Bethyl, A301-219A; 1:4000), 31 SETDB1 (Abcam, ab12317; 1:1000), FLAG (Sigma, F1804; 1:1000), T7 (Merck Millipore, 69522-3; 1:10,000), pChk2 (NEB, 2661S; 1:1000), GFP (Roche, 11814460001; 1:5000), PP1α (Cambridge 32 Bioscience, A300-904A; 1:1000), PP1β (Cambridge Bioscience, A300-905A; 1:1000), PP1γ (Santa 33 34 Cruz, sc-6108; 1:1000), and avidin peroxidase (Sigma; 1:8000).

35

36 Lentiviral transductions

37 pC-SIREN-based lentiviral vectors expressing either a hairpin targeting the 3'UTR of KAP1 (shKAP1; GATCCGCCTGGCTCTGTTCTCTGTCCTTTCAAGAGAAGGA CAGAGAACAGAG 38 39 CCAGGTTTTTTACGCGTG) or the corresponding empty vector (shEMPTY) were provided by 40 Helen Rowe. pCSIG-eGFP lentiviral vectors provided by Stuart Neil were modified to contain the truncated CMVA5 promoter (60) in place of the SFFV promoter, and to express KAP1-WT, KAP1-41 42 S824D, or KAP1-S824A. Lentiviral vector-containing supernatants were produced by the common triple transfection method using the VSV-G plasmid, HIV-Gag/Pol/Rev/Tat packaging plasmid, and 43 the lentiviral transfer plasmid in a 3:2:1 molar ratio. Supernatants were harvested 48 and 72 hours 44 after transfection, pooled, filtered, and frozen at -80°C until use. For transduction, 1 x 10⁶ 293T cells 45 were transduced in a 6-well format using 0.5-1.6 mL of particle-containing supernatant diluted with 46 47 the appropriate amount of DMEM + 10% FBS. For complementation experiments, cells were transduced with pCSIG-based expression constructs and either shKAP1 or shEMPTY 72h later. Cells 48 49 were then infected with AAV and Ad5 48h after knockdown.

51 Immunofluorescence

52 293T cells were seeded at a density of $2x10^{5}$ /mL on poly-L-lysine (Sigma) coated coverslips in 24-53 well plates the day prior to transfection. 4h prior to transfection, DMSO or ATMi was added to the appropriate wells to a final concentration of 10µM. Cells were then transfected with 20ng of empty 54 55 vector or pRep78-GFP using 2µl Lipofectamine 2000 in 50µl serum-free Opti-mem. The next day, cells were infected with Ad5 (2 PFU/cell) in a total volume of 160µl for 1 h, after which the medium 56 was replaced with fresh DMEM + 10% FBS. Cells were fixed 24h after Ad5 infection in 4% PFA 57 for 10' at room temperature, washed in PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton-X-100 for 10' at room 58 temperature, and washed again in PBS. Cells were then incubated with primary antibody (a-p-KAP1-59 60 S824 antibody; 1:1000) diluted in PBS + 1% BSA for 2h at room temperature, washed, and then incubated with secondary antibody (Biolegend; rabbit IgG2b-AlexaFluor 594, 1 µg/ml (1:1000) 61 62 diluted in PBS for 1h at room temperature. Cells were then washed a final time and mounted in Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen). Images were visualized using an Eclipse Ti-E Inverted 63 64 confocal microscope and analyzed with NIS Elements C software.

65

siRNA transfections. In a 24-well format, 2 x 10⁵ cells were transfected with 50nM (siKAP1.2 66 67 [GAAAUGUGAGCGUGUACUG] and siKAP1.4 [GAACGAGGCCUUCG GUGAC]) or 100nM (siCHD3 [Dharmacon, L-005046-00-0005] and siSETDB1 [Dharmacon, L-020070-00-0005]) 68 69 siRNA using 2ul Dharmafect (Dharmacon) in 50ul Optim-mem (Gibco). 6h later, medium was replaced with fresh DMEM + 10% FBS. 24h after transfection, cells were re-plated into 12-well 70 71 format. 36h after transfection, cells were subjected to a second transfection as described above, using 72 4ul Dharmafect in 100ul Opti-mem. 4h after the second transfection, cells were infected with 10 73 IU/cell AAV2 and 2 PFU/cell of Ad5 as described for the viral replication experiments. For PP1 74 depletion experiments, cells were transfected only once with a total of 40nM siPP1a (Dharmacon, L- 75 008927-00-0005), siPP1β (Dharmacon, L-008685-00-0005), or both as described above. 24h after
76 transfection, cells were re-plated into a 12-well format for infection the next day as described above.
77

78 Real-time PCR

79 For analysis of viral replication, total DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue 80 DNA extraction kit. Viral DNA was quantified by real-time PCR using the SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix for qPCR (Sigma-Aldrich) using an ABI PRISM system (Applied Biosystems). Cap 81 and Ad5 100kd-specific primers and a pDG-based (61) standard curve were used for absolute 82 83 quantification; the signal was normalised to cyclophilin. Primers: Cap FW (5' TTCTCAGATGCTGC GTACCGGAAA 3'), RV (5' 84 Cap TCTGCCATTGAGGTGGTACTTGGT 100kd FW 85 3'), Ad5 (5'-TCATTACCCAGGGCCACATT - 3'), Ad5 100kd RV (5' - CCTCGTCCAAAACCTCCTCT - 3'), 86 cyclophilin FW (5' - TGCTGGACCCAAC ACAAATG - 3'), cyclophilin RV (5' -87 88 TGCCATCCAACCACTCAGTCT - 3').

89

90 qRT-PCR

91 Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) after DNAseI (Qiagen) treatment for 15 minutes at 37°C. Reverse transcription was performed using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription 92 kit (Applied Biosystems). cDNA was quantified by real-time qPCR on an ABI PRISM system 93 (Applied Biosystems) using the TaqMan Universal PCR master mix (Life Technologies and custom 94 95 designed primer-probe mixes (Eurofins). Primers: p5 FW (5'- AACAAGGTGGTGGATGAGT - 3'), 96 p5 RV (5' CGTTTACGCTCCGTGAGATT -3'), p40 FW (5' _ GGAAGCAAGGCTCAGAGAAA -3') and p40 RV (5' - CCTCTCTGGAGGTTGG TAGATA -97 3'). Probes: p5 (5' - FAM-ACGTGGTTGAGGTGGAGCATGAT-TAM - 3'), and p40 (5' - FAM-98 99 AGGAAATCAGGACAA CCAATCCCGT-TAM - 3'). Relative expression levels were determined

100 with the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct quantification method using 18s ribosomal RNA (Taqman Pre-developed assay 101 reagents, human 18S rRNA, Applied Biosystems) as a housekeeping reference gene.

103 Analysis of p-KAP1-S824 levels

Phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 was investigated in 293T cells that were either infected with AAV2/Ad5 or transfected with various Rep-expressing constructs using linear PEI or NIPP1-expressing constructs using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus). Where relevant, cells were pretreated with either DMSO or 10µM ATMi 4h prior to infection/transfection, and inhibitors were maintained throughout. Infections were performed as described above, and transfections were performed at \sim 70% confluency using 1µg DNA/8x10⁵ cells and 4µl PEI/µg DNA. Medium was changed 6h after transfection, and cells were harvested for western blot 27h after infection/transfection.

125 SI Figure Legends

126 Fig. S1. The AAV2 Rep Proteins Physically Interact with KAP1. (A) Immunoblot of biotinylated proteins purified from BirA*-Rep52 BioID screen using anti-KAP1. (B), Verification of BioID using 127 128 exogenous FLAG-KAP1; purified biotinylated proteins from 293T cells expressing FLAG-KAP1 129 with either empty vector (EV) or BirA*-Rep52 were analyzed for Rep and KAP1 by western blot. 130 (C), Cross-linked co-IP for FLAG-tagged proteins from 293T cells expressing FLAG-KAP1 or a 131 FLAG-GFP control and each of the four Rep proteins. (D), Cross-linked co-IP for FLAG-tagged proteins from lysates of 293T cells expressing FLAG-GFP, FLAG-Rep40, FLAG-Rep52, FLAG-132 133 Rep68, or FLAG-Rep78 and KAP1 from transfected 293T cells.

134

135 Fig. S2. AAV2 replication and protein expression in KAP1-depleted cells. (A) Rep and capsid protein (VP) expression, and KAP1 knockdown efficiency in AAV2 and Ad5 infected control 136 137 (shEMPTY) and KAP1-depleted (shKAP1) 293T cells. Data are reported as mean±SEM, n=3. (B), 138 AAV2 replication in control (siCTRL) or KAP1-depleted (siKAP1.2/siKAP1.4) cells. Viral genome 139 replication was analyzed by qPCR, and KAP1 knockdown efficiency was analyzed by western blot. Data are reported as mean±SEM, n=4. (C), AAV2 capsid (VP) and KAP1 protein levels at different 140 141 time points in control cells and KAP1-depleted cells complemented with shRNA-resistant KAP1 or an empty vector control (EV) and coinfected with AAV2 and Ad5. 142

143

Fig. S3. ChIP-qPCR performed on control or KAP1-depleted 293T cells infected with AAV2 (100
IU/cell). Purified chromatin was analyzed by qPCR using primers for the viral p5 promoter or various
regions of the *rep* and *cap* ORFs. *GAPDH* was used as a negative control, and the zinc finger genes *ZNF180* and *ZNF274* were used as positive controls. Each of the three independent repeats are shown
for (*A*) KAP1-specific and (*B*) H3K9me3-specific ChIP experiments (Fig. 1 *D* and *E*).

Fig. S4. Phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 by the Rep proteins is independent from ATM activation. (*A*), p-KAP1-S824 localization in 293T cells pretreated with ATMi and expressing Rep78-GFP with and without Ad5 infection (left and right panel, respectively). (*B-C*), p-KAP1-S824 levels analyzed in 293T cells pretreated with ATMi. (*B*), Cells were transfected with EV, Rep52, or Rep78. p-Chk2 was monitored to assess efficiency of ATM inhibition. p-KAP-S824 levels were normalized to Rep levels to correct for differences in transfection efficiency as a result of pretreatment with ATMi. (*C*), Cells were infected with AAV2 and Ad5. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=4.

157

158 Fig. S5. Phosphorylation of KAP1-S824 in the presence of Rep52 and Rep78 is dependent on the 159 Rep C-terminal zinc finger domain. (A), Schematic diagram representing full length Rep52, 160 comprising an ATPase domain (AAA+) and zinc finger domain (ZNF), and the C-terminal truncation mutants in which the ZNF domain is progressively removed. Black bars indicated a CXXC zinc-161 162 binding motif, and red bars a CXXH zinc-binding motif. (B), p-KAP1-S824 in 293T cells transfected 163 with full length Rep52 and Rep78, or truncation mutants in which the C-terminal ZNF domain is 164 progressively removed. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=3. (C), Cross-linked co-IP for FLAG-165 tagged proteins from 293T cells expressing FLAG-KAP1, or a FLAG-GFP control, with full length 166 Rep52 or each of the Rep52 C-terminal ZNF truncation mutants. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired t test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < .001. 167

168

Fig. S6. Validation of Rep-K372A PP1-binding mutant. (*A*), Depiction of the PP1-binding site in the Rep ATPase domain. The Walker B motif is outlined in black, and the partially overlapping consensus binding site is outlined in pink. Lysine 372 alone was subjected to mutagenesis in order to preserve Rep ATPase/helicase function. (*B*), Rep-mediated repression of AAV2 p5 is dependent on a functional ATPase/helicase domain (62). To verify ATPase activity of Rep52^{K372A}, 293T cells cotransfected with a p5-mCherry reporter construct and Rep52 or Rep52^{K372A} expression plasmids were analyzed for p5 activity by western blotting for mCherry (45). Protein levels were quantified using
Image J software. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=3. (*C*), Cross-linked co-IP for FLAG-tagged
proteins from 293T cells expressing FLAG-KAP1, or a FLAG-GFP control, with the various Rep
proteins.

179

Fig. S7. Ad5 and HSV-1 infection leads to KAP1 degradation. (*A-B*), Immunoblot of KAP1 in 293T cells (*A*) and HeLa cells (*B*) infected with Ad5 at the stated MOI (PFU/cell). Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=3. (*C*), Immunoblot of KAP1 in HeLa cells treated with MG132 at the stated concentrations and infected with 10 PFU/cell of Ad5. (*D-E*), Immunoblot of endogenous KAP1 in 293T, (*D*), and HeLa, (*E*), cells infected with HSV-1. Values are reported as mean±SEM, n=3. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired t test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < .001.

186

187 Fig. S8. Model for regulation of KAP1-S824 phosphorylation by NIPP1, PP1, and Rep. Schematic 188 representation of a model for the regulation of KAP1-S824 phosphorylation by NIPP1, PP1, and Rep. 189 The upper series represents regulation in the absence of AAV2 infection. Inactive NIPP1-PP1 is 190 recruited to KAP1 via the NIPP1 FHA domain. Initiation of a DNA damage response (DDR) leads 191 to phosphorylation of KAP1-S824, allowing for heterochromatin relaxation and repair. The DDR 192 also leads to the inactivation of NIPP1 through phosphorylation of the C-terminal region, possibly through the tyrosine kinase Lyn, allowing PP1 to restore homeostatic levels of p-KAP1-S824. In the 193 194 event of AAV2/Ad5 coinfection however, Rep interferes with this pathway by competing for PP1 and thus acts to maintain high levels of p-KAP1-S824 triggered by infection. 195

196

SI Table 1. Peptides identified by BioID for KAP1 and various known interaction

partners of the Rep proteins.

Identified	Accession	Bait	Unique	Sequence	Protein ID
Protein	Number	Protein	Peptides	Coverage	Probability
KAP1	Q13263	BirA*-Rep40	2	3.1%	100%
		BirA*-Rep52	8	12.9%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	3	6.0%	100%
		BirA*-Rep78	1	1.3%	100%
RUVBL1	Q9Y265	BirA*-Rep40	2	7.2%	100%
		BirA*-Rep52	7	22.1%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	1	2.9%	99%
		BirA*-Rep78	1	2.9%	99%
MRE11	P49959	BirA*-Rep40	1	2.0%	99%
		BirA*-Rep52	8	19%	100%
SNW1	Q5R7R9	BirA*-Rep40	5	23.7%	100%
		BirA*-Rep52	9	24.1%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	1	2.8%	100%
		BirA*-Rep78	2	4.3%	100%
MDC1	Q14676	BirA*-Rep40	2	2.0%	100%
		BirA*-Rep52	4	3.3%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	1	0.5%	100%

TAF1/SET	Q01105	BirA*-Rep40	1	4.8%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	1	4.8%	100%
NUCLEOLIN	P19338	BirA*-Rep40	1	2%	99%
		BirA*-Rep52	10	16.2%	100%
		BirA*-Rep68	13	18%	100%
		BirA*-Rep78	4	6.2%	100%

SI Table 2. ChIP-qPCR primers

Gene	FW	RV		
GAPDH	CACCGTCAAGGCTGAGAACG	ATACCCAAGGGAGCCACACC		
ZNF180	TGATGCACAATAAGTCGAGCA	TGCAGTCAATGTGGGAAGTC		
ZNF274	GGAGAAATCCCATGAGGGTAA	GGCTTTTGTGAGAATGTTTTCC		
p5	CTGTATTAGAGGTCACGTGAGTG	TCAAACCTCCCGCTTCAAA		
Rep 5'	CCGAGAAGGAATGGGAGTT	CCATTCCGTCAGAAAGTCG		
Rep middle	GCCTTGGACAATGCGGGAAAGATT	TGTCGACACAGTCGTTGAAGGGAA		
Rep 3'	TTCCCGTGTCAGAATCTCAA	CCAAATCCACATTGACCAGA		
Cap 5'	GACAGTGGTGGAAGCTCAAA	TTGTACCCAGGAAGCACAAG		
Cap middle	TTCTCAGATGCTGCGTACCGGAAA	TCTGCCATTGAGGTGGTACTTGGT		
Cap 3'	GTCAGCGTGGAGATCGAGT	AGGCTCTGAATACACGCCAT		











