# Local species assemblages are influenced more by past than current dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity

Martin Jung (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7569-1390) (M.Jung@sussex.ac.uk), School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK

Pedram Rowhani (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0709-9168), School of Global Studies, Department of Geography, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK

Tim Newbold (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7361-0051), Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, University College London, London, UK

Laura Bentley (http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5055-7673), Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Andy Purvis (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8609-6204), Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Ascot, UK

Jörn P. W. Scharlemann (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2834-6367) (J.Scharlemann@sussex.ac.uk), School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK

## Corresponding author: Martin Jung, Jörn P.W. Scharlemann

Keywords: land-use history, PREDICTS, ecological memory effects, time lags

## Abstract

Most land on Earth has been changed by humans and past changes of land can have lasting influences on current species assemblages. Yet few globally representative studies explicitly consider such influences even though auxiliary data, such as from remote sensing, are readily available. Time series of satellite-derived data have been commonly used to quantify differences in land-surface attributes such as vegetation cover, which will among other things be influenced by anthropogenic land conversions and modifications. Here we quantify differences in current and past (up to five years before sampling) vegetation cover, and assess whether such differences differentially influence taxonomic and functional groups of species assemblages between spatial pairs of sites. Specifically, we correlated between-site dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation (the Enhanced Vegetation Index) with the corresponding dissimilarity in local species assemblage composition from a global database using a common metric for both, the Bray-Curtis index. We found that dissimilarity in species assemblage composition was on average more influenced by dissimilarity in past than current photosynthetic activity, and that the influence of past dissimilarity increased when longer time periods were considered. Responses to past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity also differed among taxonomic groups (plants, invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals), with reptiles being among the most influenced by more dissimilar past photosynthetic activity. Furthermore, we found that assemblages dominated by smaller and more vegetation-dependent species tended to be more influenced by dissimilarity in past photosynthetic activity than preydependent species. Overall, our results have implications for studies that investigate species responses to current environmental changes and highlight the importance of past changes continuing to influence local species assemblage composition. We demonstrate how local species assemblages and satellite-derived data can be linked and provide suggestions for future studies on how to assess the influence of past environmental changes on biodiversity.

## Introduction

Throughout the Earth's history, land has changed constantly by a combination of natural and anthropogenic forces. Palaeontological evidence indicates that humans have transformed approximately 75 % of the land at least once (Ellis et al. 2010, Ellis 2011), with changes in many land-surface attributes, such as vegetation cover, accelerating since the beginning of the industrial revolution (Lambin and Geist 2006, Steffen et al. 2015). Changes in vegetation cover may be caused by climatic factors, such as CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization or altered precipitation patterns (Zhu et al. 2016), or anthropogenically caused land conversions, such as deforestation, re- and afforestation (Dupont et al. 2003, Hansen et al. 2013, Müller et al. 2014) or land modifications, such as degradation, intensification (Gibbs and Salmon 2015, Rufin et al. 2015) or return to less intensive forms of land use (Zomer et al. 2016). Over time, these changes have shaped both land and species assemblages in complex ways (Foster et al. 2003, Watson et al. 2014, Perring et al. 2016).

Most global meta-analyses investigating the influence of differences in vegetation cover on species assemblages have assumed that any difference in vegetation cover at the time of biodiversity sampling is the dominant influence (Stein et al. 2014, Newbold et al. 2014, 2015, Alroy 2017). However, this assumption might be incorrect as assemblages can be heavily influenced by legacy effects of past changes in vegetation cover (Foster et al. 2003, Watson et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015, Perring et al. 2016). For the recent past (e.g., up to five years prior to biodiversity sampling), ecological memory or carry-over effects, i.e. the capacity of past events to influence present and future ecological assemblages (Harrison et al. 2011, O'Connor et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015), have been proposed as mechanisms that shape species assemblages. These effects can arise through site-specific environmental factors, for instance altered conditions because of agricultural practices (Perring et al. 2016, 2018) or different sequences and successional recovery from changes in past vegetation cover (Johnson and Miyanishi 2008, Walker et al. 2010, Watson et al. 2014). No detailed global analysis to date has explicitly considered the influence of both current and past differences in vegetation cover on current species assemblages.

While some differences in species assemblages can be traced back to changes in vegetation cover in the late quaternary (Vegas-Vilarrúbia et al. 2011, McMichael et al. 2017), there is some evidence that changes in vegetation cover in the more recent past can influence plant (Jakovac et al. 2016), invertebrate (Valtonen et al. 2013) or vertebrate assemblages (Newton et al. 2014, Cole et al. 2015, Graham et al. 2017). However, this has—to our

knowledge—not been assessed comparatively across multiple taxonomic groups. Furthermore, it is likely that species with specific traits, such as certain body size and/or trophic level, may be differentially affected by past changes in vegetation cover because of differences in their metabolic rate (for animals), longevity or dispersal abilities (Sutherland et al. 2000, Brown et al. 2004, Speakman 2005, Thomson et al. 2011, De Palma et al. 2015). Depending on the type and magnitude of a past changes in vegetation cover (as a proxy for land-surface changes) plant assemblages can either be dominated by small, fast sprouting or taller, nutrient-demanding species (Jakovac et al. 2016, Perring et al. 2018). Until now, our understanding of the influence of past differences in vegetation cover on species assemblages has been limited to case studies focused on specific regions or certain taxonomic and functional groups. However, a recently published globally representative dataset on species assemblages of broad taxonomic coverage (Hudson et al. 2017) and globally available satellite-derived data enable us to consider explicitly both current and past differences in land-surface attributes.

Satellite-derived data can provide internally consistent estimates of how land differs across time and space (Pettorelli et al. 2005, Kennedy et al. 2014). Land-surface attributes such as photosynthetic activity of vegetation can be quantified using spectral indicators from satellite-derived data (Gamon et al. 1995, Zhang et al. 2006). Changes in photosynthetic activity of vegetation can be related to both climatic (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016) and anthropogenic factors such as land conversions and modifications (Lambin et al. 2003, Mueller et al. 2014). Subtle differences in vegetation dynamics (as measured by various satellitederived vegetation indices), such as faster greening rate or differing seasonal amplitude, between years have been used to characterize land change (Lambin and Strahler 1994, Linderman et al. 2005, Lupo et al. 2007). Recent studies have used such differences to identify changes in land use such as pasture use intensity (Rufin et al. 2015), fallow periods in croplands (Estel et al. 2015, Tong et al. 2017), small-scale deforestation (DeVries et al. 2015) and broad scale land degradation and intensification (de Jong et al. 2011, Mueller et al. 2014). Dissimilarity metrics describing the entirety of recent land history (e.g. including both differences in land use and land cover as well as climatic and site-specific factors) can be calculated between spatial pairs of time series as the overall dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Linderman et al. 2005, Lhermitte et al. 2011). Increasingly such methods have been linked to dissimilarity in local species assemblage composition (Rowhani et al. 2008, Goetz et al. 2014, Nieto et al. 2015, Hobi et al. 2017), however few studies have explicitly distinguished between current and past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity.

Here we use a time series dissimilarity metric (the Bray-Curtis index) to quantify dissimilarity in a land-surface attribute, e.g. photosynthetic activity of vegetation, among spatial pairs of sites in the Projecting Responses of Ecological Diversity In Changing Terrestrial Systems (PREDICTS) dataset (Hudson et al. 2017). We explicitly distinguish between dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity ( $BC_{EVI}$ ), defined here as the five years prior to the 'current' year, and assess how they influence compositional dissimilarity ( $BC_{Biodiversity}$ ) between species assemblages among paired sites. This pairwise comparison approach allows us to investigate (*i*) the overall influence of past relative to current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity on species assemblages where we hypothesize that the influence of past dissimilarity increases with longer past periods considered. Furthermore, we investigate (*ii*) whether different taxonomic groups respond differently to past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, and (*iii*) if species with particular functional characteristics, i.e., those that are smaller and/or more vegetation-dependent, are more affected by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity than others.

#### **Data and Methods**

#### **Remotely-sensed data**

A temporal profile of spectral reflectance values was derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensor on board NASA's Terra and Aqua satellites. Since the year 2000, MODIS has provided continuous spectral data of medium-scale resolution (nominal ~500 m resolution) with high temporal revisit rates (a global image collection is taken every day) (Schaaf et al. 2002). We used the Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function and Albedo (BRDF) product (MCD43A4.005), which aggregates the highest quality daily spectral reflectance values into 8-day composites of seven spectral bands (Schaaf et al. 2002). Google Earth Engine<sup>TM</sup> was used to download and process temporal profiles of all spectral bands for each site (Gorelick et al. 2017). We calculated a spectral index measuring photosynthetic activity (the two-band Enhanced Vegetation Index – EVI; Jiang et al. 2008), which is based on a ratio between the near-infrared (nir, 841-876 nm) and red (red, 620-670 nm) spectral band (*EVI* =  $2.5 \frac{nir-red}{nir+2.4red+1}$ ). We used the EVI as it has been designed to reduce atmospheric contamination and not to saturate in high biomass regions such as tropical rainforests (Huete et al. 2002, Jiang et al. 2008). We applied the following pre-processing steps

(also see flowchart in Supplementary Fig. 1) to the nir and red BRDF bands individually to fill missing observations and filter out extreme data points.

First, we detected and removed extreme outliers in the BRDF data that may have been introduced by cloud shadows, atmospheric haze, inversion errors or sensor failures. We calculated the absolute difference of all values from the median relative to the total median absolute deviation (MAD) of all values (Leys et al. 2013). Pixels which were more than a conservative threshold of two units deviation (but see Leys et al. 2013) away from the MAD as well as greater than 99 % of all other difference values were set to missing. This data-defined threshold removed only the most extreme outliers and retained fluctuations that are within the bounds of median conditions. We chose this procedure rather than using the MODIS BRDF quality data set (stored in the separate MCD43A2.005 product) to maintain the maximum number of observations assuming that bad quality inversions of the BRDF product are filtered and smoothed out by subsequent pre-processing steps.

Second, we interpolated missing values using a Kalman filter, a smoother for estimating missing data points based on preceding data (Kalman 1960). Previous studies have shown that Kalman filters perform well in filling gaps in BRDF time-series especially in data-poor regions (Samain et al. 2008). The best model for the Kalman filter for a given time-series was estimated using the "forecast" R package ("auto-arima" function) by selecting the model with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Hyndman and Khandakar 2008). We only interpolated consecutive gaps  $\leq$  40 days (i.e. five consecutive 8-day BRDF composites) as longer interpolations would reduce our ability to detect short-term changes in photosynthetic activity. We excluded all time-series from further analyses with more than 50 % remaining missing data (average proportion of missing data =  $6.32 \pm 10.31$  %) in the time period considered (see Supplementary Fig. 2).

Lastly, we applied a Savitzky-Golay filter (filter length = 5, "signal" R-package; Signal developers, 2014) to reduce the amount of random noise remaining in the time series, but retain small abrupt changes that might occur (Joensson and Eklundh 2004). The Savitzky-Golay filter performs well relative to other smoothing techniques in removing noise (Kandasamy et al. 2013). Our pre-processing steps aimed to remove influential outliers and random noise from each time series, but we cannot rule out that some non-informative noise has remained in the time series. From these pre-processed BRDF data we calculated the EVI for each 8-day composite (Jiang et al. 2008).

## Species assemblage data

We used data on species' abundance within local-scale assemblages from the PREDICTS database (Hudson et al. 2017; downloaded on 3 February 2016, see Supplementary Fig. 1), which is the largest global database investigating anthropogenic impacts on terrestrial species assemblages to date. The PREDICTS database has collated local-scale species assemblage records from the published literature (henceforth "sources") comparing observations among at least two localities (henceforth "sites") with differing land use or related pressures. Sources in the PREDICTS database having multiple sampling methodologies and taxonomic groups were split accordingly into different "studies". Wherever sampling effort differed among sites within a study, we followed the approach of Newbold et al. (2014) and adjusted abundance values assuming that recorded abundance increase linearly with effort. Each study was assigned to one of six higher taxonomic groups based on the sampled species identity (Plants, Invertebrates, Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds and Mammals). We grouped plants and invertebrates into single individual groups as there were insufficient data to divide them into smaller groups (e.g., functional divisions such as flying vs ground-living insects). Studies of fungi were dropped from the analyses because of insufficient data.

Of the 25224 sites with abundance data, we removed 6109 sites because their sampling durations spanned more than a year or because the start of biodiversity sampling differed by more than three months among sites within a study. This was done to avoid seasonal effects confounding any link between species assemblage composition and remote-sensing derived estimates. Furthermore, we removed 10248 sites from studies that sampled biodiversity before the 18<sup>th</sup> of February 2006 to ensure MODIS data availability for at least five years prior to biodiversity sampling. We chose to use a five-year period to allow sufficient MODIS coverage (since year 2000) for the majority of studies in the PREDICTS database (median biodiversity sampling start date = 2007-07-17). In total 8867 sites were suitable to be linked with MODIS remote-sensing data.

The spatial extent of biodiversity sampling at PREDICTS sites typically differs from the resolution of MODIS data. We used the Maximum Linear Extent (MLE) information within the PREDICTS database, which summarises the spatial extent of sampling within a study in metres (Hudson et al. 2017). Sites from a few studies had large MLE (up to 40 km) and after visual exploration, we decided to keep only those sites that were within the 99 % quantile of all MLE values (MLE <  $Q_{99} = 3000$  m, removing 249 sites). Some studies had missing MLE information (25 % of all studies with abundance data, 728 sites), where no MLE estimate could be obtained during the PREDICTS data curation (Hudson et al. 2017). We filled missing MLE information with the average MLE estimate of each taxonomic group with corresponding sampling method, and any remaining missing MLE, for which no other combination of taxonomic group and sampling method had MLE estimates, with the average MLE for each taxonomic group. We tested the robustness of this assumption by removing 25 % of the existing MLE estimates at random and found interpolated MLE values to be reasonably accurate (r = 0.73, p < 0.001). We used the centre coordinates for the rest of the sites (mean MLE ± SD = 256.52 m ± 437.93 m) as their spatial extent roughly matched the nominal spatial resolution of the MODIS data (~500 m).

We excluded studies from our analyses where all study sites fell within a single MODIS grid cell, to suit our hierarchical statistical approach (see below). Some sites within a study could fall into the same MODIS grid cell, therefore for all further analyses we randomly selected one site per study per grid cell 100 times (See section on analysis – pairwise differences below for description of permutation procedure and Supplementary Fig. 3 for a schematic), resulting in 100 different subsets that we used for all further analyses. Our final dataset included data from 198 studies with 4053 sites per permutation and model covering all major continents and most taxonomic groups (Fig. 1a).

## **Species trait compilation**

A species' size and trophic level are two of the most basic traits for understanding differences in species assemblage structure (Speakman 2005, Terborgh 2015). We classified studies into size and trophic bins based on a simple majority: small (>0-9 g animal body mass or > 0-9 cm plant height), medium (10 – 99 g or 10-99 cm) or large species (>= 100 g or >= 100 cm), or predominantly herbivore, omnivore, carnivore or detritivore species, by estimating the dominant number of species (simple sum of measurement) within a study. Studies with species of predominantly unknown size or trophic level were removed from the analysis. We thus classified entire studies to the dominant bins as each study's methodology would likely constrain the average size of animals or plants that can be observed. Data on average adult body mass (in g) were collected for mammals (Jones et al. 2009) and birds (Myhrvold et al. 2015), while for plants we used height (in cm) data from the TRY database (Kattge et al. 2011). The estimates of species trophic levels originate from Kissling et al. (2014), Wilman et al. (2014) and other sources of the literature for invertebrates (mostly collected by L. Bentley). For species for which size or trophic level data were unavailable, we used the genus-wide average for size and the most common trophic level (at least 95 % of all species with data within a genus). We excluded studies (N=8) from further analyses where no clear majority of species (> 50 %) could be assigned to one of the bins (Supplementary Fig. 4), leaving a total of 65 studies with size information and 130 studies with trophic information.

#### Analysis – Pairwise dissimilarity

We linked dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation with compositional dissimilarity in species assemblages globally. Specifically, we examined the differential influence of "current" ( $yr_0$ , as the 365 days prior to species assemblage sampling) and "past" ( $yr_i$ , the *i* years prior to the current year, where *i* = 1,...,5) dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity between spatial pairs of sites (Fig 1b, Supplementary Fig 3). We separately considered past periods of increasing lengths (in years, so  $yr_1$ ,  $yr_{1:2}$ ,  $yr_{1:3}$ ,  $yr_{1:4}$ ,  $yr_{1:5}$ ). For example, if species assemblage sampling was conducted from the 1<sup>st</sup> of April until the 15<sup>th</sup> of July 2008,  $yr_0$  was the 365 days prior to 1<sup>st</sup> of April 2008, i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> April 2007 – 31<sup>th</sup> March 2008, and past *i* years as the period (number of full years *i*) before April 1<sup>st</sup> 2007.

We used the pairwise Bray-Curtis (BC) index, frequently used in community ecology studies, as a metric to quantify dissimilarity in species assemblage composition between sites (Bray and Curtis 1957, Faith et al. 1987, Su et al. 2004). We also considered the binary version of the BC index, the Sørensen similarity index, to assess whether our results are robust to metric choice. The BC index is a modified Manhattan distance, where the summed distances between values are standardised by the summed values of each site, thus quantifying pairwise dissimilarity from 0 (completely similar) to 1 (entirely different). We used the BC index to measure compositional dissimilarity in local species assemblages (BC<sub>Biodiversity</sub>) between sites within a PREDICTS study. We also applied the BC index to the EVI time series (BC<sub>EVI</sub>) to characterize the dissimilarity between sites in (inter- and intra-annual) vegetation dynamics measured through a proxy representing photosynthetic activity of vegetation in current ( $yr_0$ ) and past years ( $yr_i$ , where i = 1 - 5), which to our knowledge is the first time the BC index has been applied to assess dissimilarity between remotely-sensed time series.

The BC index is calculated between two pairs of sites with PREDICTS species assemblage records or two EVI time-series from sites x and y as follows:

$$BC_{xy} = \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k - y_k|}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k}\right)$$

For species assemblages, x and y are the abundances of observed species (n = total number of species) at both sites (non-occurring species were assumed to be absent and set to zero), while for the EVI time series x and y are observed EVI values on the same date (n = total number of dates) in the time series at both sites. The BC<sub>EVI</sub> was calculated on either single or multiple years (yr<sub>i</sub>, where i = 1 - 5) of EVI time series (Figure 1b, Supplementary Fig. 3).

Compared to other metrics quantifying dissimilarity between time-series based on remotely-sensed data (Lhermitte et al. 2011) the BC<sub>EVI</sub> index has the advantages of (a) taking the actual spectral values as well as distance between them into account, meaning it can be compared between different land-cover types, and (b) using the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species assemblages and between remote-sensing observations. In remote-sensing terms, for any vegetation index (such as EVI), the BC<sub>EVI</sub> index can be interpreted as a measure of absolute differences between two sites in the amount and timing of photosynthetic activity scaled by the total amount of photosynthetic activity available. By calculating the BC<sub>EVI</sub> index on temporal profiles of EVI measurements, we incorporate all differences in EVI between two sites into a single dissimilarity metric. No further scaling has been done as range and unit of the BC<sub>EVI</sub> index values were identical for current and past BC<sub>EVI</sub>.

#### Analysis – Statistical modelling

The aim of the statistical modelling is to estimate the influence of current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  on the  $BC_{Biodiversity}$  (Fig. 1b). For different time periods (0-5 years) we estimated this influence using separate models rather than an interaction term as current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  were highly collinear (Random permutation pick: Pearson's r > 0.9, df = 4046, p < 0.001). A hierarchical modelling approach using generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with Gaussian link function was used to fit models of current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  independently for each time period, taxonomic group, size and trophic bins. GLMMs account for differing sampling methodologies among the PREDICTS studies, by including the "study" as a random intercept in all models. We also allowed the effect of current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  to vary for each study by incorporating it as a random slope. From each model, we obtained the fixed effects (estimated slope) of the predicted  $BC_{Biodiversity}$  per unit of current and past  $BC_{EVI}$ .

As we are primarily interested in the influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  (of different periods) on differences in  $BC_{Biodiversity}$ , we incorporated the influence of current  $BC_{EVI}$  by transforming the average past  $BC_{EVI}$  effects (across all permutations) relative to current effects ( $\frac{Past}{Current} - 1$ ). The resulting ratio describes whether the explicit influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on  $BC_{Biodiversity}$  is larger (> 0) or smaller (< 0) than the influence of current  $BC_{EVI}$  (Figure 2). The precision estimates (predicted standard errors) of the effect of past  $BC_{EVI}$  were also transformed relative to the precision estimates of current  $BC_{EVI}$  ( $\frac{Imprecision past}{Imprecision current}$ ). This helps to visually assess the added imprecision after accounting for the imprecision already present in current  $BC_{EVI}$ .

Estimating pairwise comparisons in any regression model would imply substantial pseudo-replication. To account for this, we took the subdiagonal of 100 permuted site-by-site matrices (Supplementary Fig. 3) to construct the GLMMs of 100 separate permutations. This ensures that for each fitted GLMM, our pairwise comparisons are mutually independent subsets (Longacre et al. 2005, Newbold et al. 2016). Fixed effects and standard errors for both current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  were averaged across all permutations. Furthermore, for each model we calculated a marginal and conditional pseudo R-square (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013) and significance estimate (Halekoh and Højsgaard 2014), and averaged them across all permutations. As for the fixed effects and precision estimates, the differences in explained marginal variance of past  $BC_{EVI}$  were assessed relative to the explained marginal variance of current  $BC_{EVI}$ .

All analyses were performed in R (ver 3.2.2, R Core Team 2015) using lme4 (ver. 1.10, (Bolker et al. 2009, Bates et al. 2015) for modelling, and vegan (ver. 2.2.3, Oksanen et al. 2015) for the BC calculation of species assemblages data. The processed MODIS data and R-code for the analyses are available on GitHub (https://github.com/Martin-Jung/PastLandSurfaceConditions).

## Results

The compositional dissimilarity of species assemblages (BC<sub>Biodiversity</sub>) increased with betweensite dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity (BC<sub>EVI</sub>; current:  $\beta = 0.289$ ,  $\beta_{SE} =$  0.063, p < 0.001; past yr<sub>1:5</sub>:  $\beta = 0.334$ ,  $\beta_{SE} = 0.07$ , p < 0.001; Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). When the influence of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> was assessed relative to current BC<sub>EVI</sub>, the BC<sub>Biodiversity</sub> between sites was more pronounced – although the imprecision also increased - when longer time periods (of up to five years) of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> were considered (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the consideration of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> calculated up to five years prior to current BC<sub>EVI</sub> increased the relative explained marginal variance by 16.7 % (Supplementary Table 1). We ensured that the BC index was robust with regards to varying time period lengths (Supplementary Fig. 6), spatial autocorrelation (Supplementary Fig. 7) and other temporal and geographic biases (Supplementary Fig. 8). Similar results were found by using a different metric of species assemblage composition, the Sørensen similarity index, that does not require species abundance estimates (Supplementary Fig. 9).

The influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on species assemblages was found to vary among taxonomic groups and time periods considered (Fig. 4). Dissimilarity in plant, invertebrate, reptilian and bird assemblage composition increased with increasing  $BC_{EVI}$  of the past two to five years. In contrast, the influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on mammalian assemblages was greatest for the first two years relative to the influence of current  $BC_{EVI}$  but decreased when longer periods of three to five years of past  $BC_{EVI}$  were considered. Meanwhile, amphibian assemblages were more influenced by current than past  $BC_{EVI}$  between sites (Fig. 4).

The influence of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> differed with respect to body size (Fig. 5). Species assemblages that were dominated by small- (> 0-9 g body mass) and medium-sized (10-99 g) mammals were more influenced by differences in BC<sub>EVI</sub> over the past one to three years, while the influence on assemblages dominated by larger (>= 100 g) mammals increased with longer time periods. Compared to assemblages dominated by medium-sized birds, assemblages of large bird species were up to five times more influenced by past relative to current BC<sub>EVI</sub>. For plant assemblages with available information on size, we found that assemblages dominated by medium-sized plants were more influenced by past BC<sub>EVI</sub> compared to those assemblages dominated by past BC<sub>EVI</sub> compared to those assemblages dominated by larger plant species (Fig. 5).

Differences among trophic levels were also seen in the influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on  $BC_{Biodiversity}$  and increased with longer time periods considered (Fig. 6). Species assemblages dominated by omnivorous and herbivorous assemblages were more influenced by past  $BC_{EVI}$  of even one year relative to the influence of current  $BC_{EVI}$ , while detritivores assemblages were only more influenced by past  $BC_{EVI}$  if periods of the past three years were considered (Fig. 6). In contrast, studies with predominantly carnivorous species were more influenced by current  $BC_{EVI}$  and showed no overall trend with longer time periods of past  $BC_{EVI}$  considered (Fig. 6).

## Discussion

The main aim of this study was to investigate if between-site dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity of vegetation (BC<sub>EVI</sub>) can predict compositional dissimilarity in sites' species assemblages (BC<sub>Biodiversity</sub>). In contrast to previous PREDICTS-based studies that used discrete measures of current land use and land-use intensity (Newbold et al. 2015, 2016), we used a continuous measure of between-site dissimilarity in remotely-sensed photosynthetic activity that summarises (inter- and intra-annual) vegetation dynamics in a single metric (the BC<sub>EVI</sub>). We explicitly differentiated between current (the full year prior to species assemblage sampling) and past BC<sub>EVI</sub> (periods of up to five years before current) that could have influenced compositional dissimilarity in species assemblages. Similar to previous studies using the same dataset to analyse compositional differences with respect to land use (Newbold et al. 2016), we found that sites with more different current BCEVI also had more different species assemblages (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). However, the BC<sub>EVI</sub> calculated over five years prior to biodiversity sampling had, on average, an even greater influence on between-site dissimilarity in species assemblage composition compared to current BC<sub>EVI</sub> (Fig. 3). This pattern was consistent across most taxonomic (Fig. 4) and functional groups (Fig. 5 and 6). Here we discuss potential causes and implications of the observed patterns as well as factors that can affect the BC<sub>EVI</sub>.

### Potential drivers of dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity

Dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity can be caused by many natural (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016) and/or anthropogenic factors (Lambin and Geist 2006, Turner et al. 2007). The latter were likely the dominant cause of current differences between sites in our analyses, given that the PREDICTS database includes only studies of mostly small geographic extent with a difference in current human land use or land-use intensity (Hudson et al. 2017), however climatic factors likely influence the BC<sub>EVI</sub> as well. Dissimilarity metrics of photosynthetic activity can be considered a coarse approximation of overall differences in land use and land cover as well as in climatic and other abiotic factors between sites (Linderman et al. 2005, Lupo et al. 2007, Lhermitte et al. 2011). Past studies have linked differences in vegetation dynamics with the use intensity of agriculture (Estel et al. 2015, Tong et al. 2017), land-cover change such as deforestation events (Lambin and Strahler 1994, DeVries et al. 2015), or land

degradation and intensification (de Jong et al. 2011, Mueller et al. 2014). The BC<sub>EVI</sub>, similar to other metrics used to monitor remotely-sensed vegetation dynamics (Linderman et al. 2005, Rowhani et al. 2008, Lhermitte et al. 2011), quantifies dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity across different types of land cover, by exploiting both distance between time series (the absolute difference in EVI data) and amount (area under the time series) of photosynthetic activity. Besides differences in land use and land cover, dissimilarity metrics such as the BC<sub>EVI</sub> will also be affected by climatic differences in precipitation and radiation (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016), soil properties (Ahmed et al. 2017) or plant species composition (He et al. 2009). The BC<sub>EVI</sub> thus quantifies dissimilarity in vegetation dynamics caused by both natural and anthropogenic factors affecting EVI time-series.

However, some natural and anthropogenic factors cannot be directly quantified from remotely-sensed time series (Peres et al. 2006, Turner et al. 2007) and the BC<sub>EVI</sub> is limited to those aspects that affect photosynthetic activity of vegetation. Furthermore, because of the way the BC<sub>EVI</sub> is calculated, it can only represent overall dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity but cannot be used to infer directionality or timing of change (vegetation regrowth or loss, disturbances such as fires, etc.). By using entire periods (i.e. five full years, instead of the fifth year) it is not possible to disentangle overall dissimilarity and any 'change' in photosynthetic activity *per se* (cf. Linderman et al. (2005)). Calculating the BC<sub>EVI</sub> index on longer time periods did not affect the possible range of observed values (Supplementary Fig. 6), however it likely enhances our ability to capture aspects of past variability in vegetation dynamics caused by either natural and/or anthropogenic drivers. We recommend that future studies evaluate the performance of the BC<sub>EVI</sub> relative to other time-series dissimilarity metrics.

#### Influences of current and past dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity on biodiversity

Our results suggest that species assemblage composition was consistently more dissimilar between sites with greater current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation (as quantified by the  $BC_{EVI}$ ) (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). This is in line with previous studies that have correlated some measurement of dissimilarity in current 'environmental heterogeneity' with compositional dissimilarity in species assemblage composition (Buckley and Jetz 2008, He et al. 2009, Newbold et al. 2016). However species assemblages might also be explicitly influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Johnson and Miyanishi 2008, Watson et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015, Perring et al. 2016).

Differences in past BC<sub>EVI</sub> were on average more correlated with dissimilarity in species assemblages than differences in current BC<sub>EVI</sub> (Fig. 2-3). This could indicate that past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity continues to have a lasting influence or memory effect on species assemblages (Ogle et al. 2015), especially as the effect generally increased as longer periods of past  $BC_{EVI}$  were considered (Fig. 3), therefore increasing the likelihood that past changes in photosynthetic activity of vegetation have been captured. Longer periods of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> also increased the explained marginal variance (Supplementary Table 1), although most of the variance was already explained by differences in study identity (thus by sampling methods and local factors). The marginal variance explained was modest, but comparable to other broad-scale studies using the same species assemblage dataset (Newbold et al. 2014, De Palma et al. 2015, Jung et al. 2017). It is a limitation that we used data from a wide variety of sources (Hudson et al. 2017), which were typically not designed to study lag or memory effects of past changes in land-surface attributes such as photosynthetic activity. At many of the sites in our analyses inter-annual photosynthetic activity could have remained relatively stable during the past five years, which would reduce our ability to differentiate any effects of past BC<sub>EVI</sub>. Similarly, any dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity among pairs of sites could have been even greater before the monitoring period of MODIS (since year 2000), which we were unable to quantify using these data.

Notably, species assemblages of some taxonomic groups were more dissimilar in composition than others if past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity was considered (Fig. 4). The influence of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> on reptilian species assemblages was large (~35 % more different than current) even for the relatively short period of five years (Fig. 4). Potentially many of the sites of the reptilian studies have been subjected to relatively recent changes in photosynthetic activity of vegetation prior to species assemblage sampling. Indeed, in one of the studies, Woinarski et al. (2009) explicitly suggested an influence of past fires and varying grazing intensity on reptilian species assemblages. In contrast, we found that amphibian species assemblages were less influenced by past compared to current BC<sub>EVI</sub>, despite being more influenced by current BC<sub>EVI</sub> than all other taxonomic groups (Supplementary Fig. 5). An explanation could be that most compositional differences between amphibian assemblages that are attributable to past dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity are already explained by current dissimilarity in BC<sub>EVI</sub>. It may be that amphibian assemblages are more influenced by factors other than past photosynthetic activity (such as microclimatic conditions). Disentangling broad taxonomic groups into functional groups may assist in highlighting specific responses to past dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity.

Differences in functional traits can influence species responses to dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Newbold et al. 2013, De Palma et al. 2015) and we expect that on average smaller species would be more affected by recent dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (a few years before sampling). Our results confirm this assumption as species assemblages with predominantly small- or medium-sized plants, birds and mammals were relatively more influenced by past  $BC_{EVI}$  over two to three years prior to sampling than by current BC<sub>EVI</sub> (Fig. 5). Smaller species tend to live shorter lives and disperse less far than larger species (Brown et al. 2004, Thomson et al. 2011, Stevens et al. 2014), which might make them more susceptible to dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity shortly before sampling (Watson et al. 2014). Similar to previous studies (Jakovac et al. 2016) we showed that smaller plant species were more influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity over up to five years prior to sampling as quantified by the BC<sub>EVI</sub> (Fig. 5). For assemblages dominated by larger plants we did not detect such an influence and it is likely that the considered period (five years) was too short to show measurable influences. Overall our results indicate that assemblages dominated by smaller species might have been more influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, possibly because of carry-over or ecological memory effects (Harrison et al. 2011, Ogle et al. 2015). Other functional traits, such as generation time or dispersal capability (Watson et al. 2014), as well as better coverage of existing traits for underrepresented taxonomic groups could assist in further disentangling these influences especially given the large uncertainty across most influences (Fig. 5).

The response of species assemblages to dissimilarities in past photosynthetic activity also differed between trophic bins. Except for carnivores, species assemblage composition of all trophic bins were on average more influenced by longer periods of past rather than by current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, as measured by  $BC_{EVI}$  (Fig. 6). Yet we found noticeable lags in the observed influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  with varying time periods. Relative to the influence of current  $BC_{EVI}$ , the influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  was larger for assemblages dominated by autotrophs, herbivores, omnivores and detritivores (Fig. 6). Notably, detritivores were more correlated with past  $BC_{EVI}$  only if past periods of three to four years were considered. This supports previous studies which have shown that plant-dependant species are highly sensitive to variability in current and past photosynthetic activity as quantified by remote sensing (Pettorelli et al. 2006, Newton et al. 2014). In contrast, we found predominantly carnivorous assemblages to be less influenced by past  $BC_{EVI}$  compared to current  $BC_{EVI}$ regardless of the considered time period. Possibly, carnivore abundance was more influenced by contemporary prey density (Terborgh 2015) than past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Fig. 6). Because of a lack of data for carnivores and herbivores co-occurring at the same site, we were unable to investigate such interactions.

## Study implications and conclusions

Knowledge about past dissimilarities in land-surface attributes, such as photosynthetic activity, and their influence on species assemblages is important for both the design of ecological studies and interpretation of dissimilarities in current composition of species assemblages. We found that sites with more dissimilar past than current photosynthetic activity (as quantified by the BC<sub>EVI</sub>) were more strongly correlated with compositional dissimilarity in local species assemblages among spatial pairs of nearby sites. Ignoring such past influences can lead to biased biodiversity estimates by not accounting for extinction debts or immigration credits still to be paid (see Tilman et al. 1994) or lasting ecological memory and carry-over effects because of higher variability in past photosynthetic activity (Rowhani et al. 2008, Harrison et al. 2011, Ogle et al. 2015). We suggest that future broad scale studies investigating biodiversity responses to environmental changes should explicitly consider legacy effects that influence species assemblages in a study area and we demonstrate how remote sensing can help to quantify such effects globally. Our approach could be extended to incorporate differences in the vegetation dynamics of the surrounding landscape. There is some evidence that landscapewide temporal differences in photosynthetic activity can affect species assemblage composition in the wider landscape (Manning et al. 2009, Fernández et al. 2016). In conclusion, we have demonstrated that compositional dissimilarity of species assemblages, of various taxonomic and functional groups, are not only influenced by dissimilarity in current photosynthetic activity, but also by dissimilarity in past photosynthetic activity over the last five years. Future studies should investigate the influence of disturbance events and directionality of changes in photosynthetic activity for more than five years before local biodiversity sampling.

*Acknowledgements* - We thank all PREDICTS data contributors for their biodiversity data, which was collated using support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC, grant number: NE/J011193/2). PREDICTS is endorsed by the GEO BON. This study has benefited from publicly available data from the TRY initiative on plant traits (<u>http://www.try-db.org</u>).

*Data availability* – Extracted MODIS data and pairwise biodiversity permutations are available on GitHub. A 2016 snapshot of the PREDICTS database has been openly released earlier (<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5519/0066354</u>).

*Funding* - We acknowledge the University of Sussex, School of Life Sciences for a doctoral training grant for MJ and for providing computing facilities.

Conflicts of interest - None

## References

- Ahmed, M. et al. 2017. Dynamic response of NDVI to soil moisture variations during different hydrological regimes in the Sahel region. Int. J. Remote Sens. 38: 5408–5429.
- Alroy, J. 2017. Effects of habitat disturbance on tropical forest biodiversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114: 6056–6061.
- Bates, D. M. et al. 2015. lme4: linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes. J. Stat. Softw. 67: 1–48.
- Bolker, B. M. et al. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24: 127–135.
- Bray, R. J. and Curtis, J. T. 1957. An ordination of the upland forest communities of southern Winsconin. - Ecol. Monogr. 27: 325–349.
- Brown, J. H. et al. 2004. Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology 85: 1771–1789.
- Buckley, L. B. and Jetz, W. 2008. Linking global turnover of species and environments. -Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105: 17836–17841.
- Cole, E. F. et al. 2015. Predicting bird phenology from space: satellite-derived vegetation green-up signal uncovers spatial variation in phenological synchrony between birds and their environment. Ecol. Evol. 5: 5057–5074.
- de Jong, R. et al. 2011. Analysis of monotonic greening and browning trends from global NDVI time-series. Remote Sens. Environ. 115: 692–702.
- De Palma, A. et al. 2015. Ecological traits affect the sensitivity of bees to land-use pressures in European agricultural landscapes. J. Appl. Ecol. 52: 1567–1577.
- Developers, S. 2014. signal: Signal processing.
- DeVries, B. et al. 2015. Robust monitoring of small-scale forest disturbances in a tropical montane forest using Landsat time series. Remote Sens. Environ. 161: 107–121.
- Dupont, Y. L. et al. 2003. Structure of a plant-flower-visitor network in the high-altitude subalpine desert of Tenerife, Canary Islands. - Ecography (Cop.). 26: 301–310.
- Ellis, E. C. 2011. Anthropogenic transformation of the terrestrial biosphere. Philos. Trans.R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 369: 1010–1035.

- Ellis, E. C. et al. 2010. Anthropogenic transformation of the biomes, 1700 to 2000. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 19: 589–606.
- Estel, S. et al. 2015. Mapping farmland abandonment and recultivation across Europe using MODIS NDVI time series. Remote Sens. Environ. 163: 312–325.
- Faith, D. P. et al. 1987. Compositional dissimilarity as a robust measure of ecological distance. - Vegetatio 69: 57–68.
- Fensholt, R. et al. 2012. Greenness in semi-arid areas across the globe 1981–2007 an Earth Observing Satellite based analysis of trends and drivers. - Remote Sens. Environ. 121: 144–158.
- Fernández, N. et al. 2016. Variability in primary productivity determines metapopulation dynamics. - Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283: 20152998.
- Foster, D. et al. 2003. The Importance of Land-Use Legacies to Ecology and Conservation. -Bioscience 53: 77–88.
- Gamon, J. A. et al. 1995. Relationships Between NDVI, Canopy Structure, and Photosynthesis in Three Californian Vegetation Types. - Ecol. Appl. 5: 28–41.
- Gibbs, H. K. and Salmon, J. M. 2015. Mapping the world's degraded lands. Appl. Geogr. 57: 12–21.
- Goetz, S. J. et al. 2014. The relative importance of climate and vegetation properties on patterns of North American breeding bird species richness. Environ. Res. Lett. 9: 034013.
- Gorelick, N. et al. 2017. Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. Remote Sens. Environ. 202: 18–27.
- Graham, C. T. et al. 2017. Implications of afforestation for bird communities: the importance of preceding land-use type. Biodivers. Conserv. 26: 3051–3071.
- Halekoh, U. and Højsgaard, S. 2014. A Kenward-Roger Approximation and Parametric
  Bootstrap Methods for Tests in Linear Mixed Models The R Package pbkrtest. J. Stat.
  Softw. 59: 1–32.
- Hansen, M. C. et al. 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. -Science 342: 850–3.

- Harrison, X. a. et al. 2011. Carry-over effects as drivers of fitness differences in animals. J. Anim. Ecol. 80: 4–18.
- He, K. S. et al. 2009. Linking variability in species composition and MODIS NDVI based on beta diversity measurements. Acta Oecologica 35: 14–21.
- Hobi, M. L. et al. 2017. A comparison of Dynamic Habitat Indices derived from different MODIS products as predictors of avian species richness. - Remote Sens. Environ. 195: 142–152.
- Hudson, L. N. et al. 2017. The database of the PREDICTS (Projecting Responses of Ecological Diversity In Changing Terrestrial Systems) project. - Ecol. Evol. 7: 145–188.
- Huete, a. et al. 2002. Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. - Remote Sens. Environ. 83: 195–213.
- Hyndman, R. J. and Khandakar, Y. 2008. Automatic Time Series Forecasting: The forecast Package for R. - J. Stat. Softw. 27: 1–22.
- Jakovac, C. C. et al. 2016. Land use as a filter for species composition in Amazonian secondary forests. J. Veg. Sci. 27: 1104–1116.
- Jiang, Z. et al. 2008. Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation index without a blue band. Remote Sens. Environ. 112: 3833–3845.
- Joensson, P. and Eklundh, L. 2004. TIMESAT a program for analysing time-series of satellite sensor data. Comput. Geosci. 30: 833–845.
- Johnson, E. a. and Miyanishi, K. 2008. Testing the assumptions of chronosequences in succession. - Ecol. Lett. 11: 419–431.
- Jones, K. E. et al. 2009. PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. Ecology 90: 2648–2648.
- Jung, M. et al. 2017. Local factors mediate the response of biodiversity to land use on two African mountains. Anim. Conserv. 20: 370–381.
- Kalman, R. E. 1960. A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems. J. Basic Eng. 82: 35–45.
- Kandasamy, S. et al. 2013. A comparison of methods for smoothing and gap filling time series of remote sensing observations application to MODIS LAI products. -

Biogeosciences 10: 4055–4071.

- Kattge, J. et al. 2011. TRY a global database of plant traits. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17: 2905–2935.
- Kennedy, R. E. et al. 2014. Bringing an ecological view of change to Landsat-based remote sensing. - Front. Ecol. Environ. 12: 339–346.
- Kissling, W. D. et al. 2014. Establishing macroecological trait datasets: digitalization, extrapolation, and validation of diet preferences in terrestrial mammals worldwide. -Ecol. Evol. 4: 2913–2930.
- Lambin, E. F. and Strahler, A. H. 1994. Indicators of land-cover change for change-vector analysis in multitemporal space at coarse spatial scales. - Int. J. Remote Sens. 15: 2099– 2119.
- Lambin, E. and Geist, H. 2006. Land-Use and Land-Cover Change (EF Lambin and H Geist, Eds.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Lambin, E. F. et al. 2003. Dynamics of Land-use and Land -Cover Change in Tropical Regions. - Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 28: 205–241.
- Leys, C. et al. 2013. Detecting outliers: Do not use standard deviation around the mean, use absolute deviation around the median. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 49: 764–766.
- Lhermitte, S. et al. 2011. A comparison of time series similarity measures for classification and change detection of ecosystem dynamics. Remote Sens. Environ. 115: 3129–3152.
- Linderman, M. et al. 2005. Land-cover change and vegetation dynamics across Africa. J. Geophys. Res. D Atmos. 110: 1–15.
- Longacre, A. et al. 2005. Linear independence of pairwise comparisons of DNA microarray data. J. Bioinform. Comput. Biol. 03: 1243–1262.
- Lupo, F. et al. 2007. Categorization of land-cover change processes based on phenological indicators extracted from time series of vegetation index data. - Int. J. Remote Sens. 28: 2469–2483.
- Manning, A. D. et al. 2009. Landscape fluidity a unifying perspective for understanding and adapting to global change. J. Biogeogr. 36: 193–199.

McMichael, C. N. H. et al. 2017. Ancient human disturbances may be skewing our

understanding of Amazonian forests. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114: 522–527.

- Mueller, T. et al. 2014. Human Land-Use Practices Lead to Global Long-Term Increases in Photosynthetic Capacity. Remote Sens. 6: 5717–5731.
- Müller, D. et al. 2014. Regime shifts limit the predictability of land-system change. Glob. Environ. Chang. 28: 75–83.
- Myhrvold, N. P. et al. 2015. An amniote life-history database to perform comparative analyses with birds, mammals, and reptiles. Ecology 96: 3109.
- Nakagawa, S. and Schielzeth, H. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R 2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4: 133–142.
- Newbold, T. et al. 2013. Ecological traits affect the response of tropical forest bird species to land-use intensity. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280: 20122131.
- Newbold, T. et al. 2014. A global model of the response of tropical and sub-tropical forest biodiversity to anthropogenic pressures. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281: 20141371–20141371.
- Newbold, T. et al. 2015. Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. Nature 520: 45–50.
- Newbold, T. et al. 2016. Global patterns of terrestrial assemblage turnover within and among land uses. Ecography (Cop.). 39: 1151–1163.
- Newton, E. J. et al. 2014. Remote sensing reveals long-term effects of caribou on tundra vegetation. Polar Biol. 37: 715–725.
- Nieto, S. et al. 2015. Can temporal and spatial NDVI predict regional bird-species richness? -Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 3: 729–735.
- O'Connor, C. M. et al. 2014. Biological carryover effects: linking common concepts and mechanisms in ecology and evolution. Ecosphere 5: 28.
- Ogle, K. et al. 2015. Quantifying ecological memory in plant and ecosystem processes. -Ecol. Lett. 18: 221–235.
- Oksanen, J. et al. 2015. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Packag. version 2.3-1: 264.
- Peres, C. A. et al. 2006. Detecting anthropogenic disturbance in tropical forests. Trends

Ecol. Evol. 21: 227–9.

- Perring, M. P. et al. 2016. Global environmental change effects on ecosystems: the importance of land-use legacies. Glob. Chang. Biol. 22: 1361–71.
- Perring, M. P. et al. 2018. Global environmental change effects on plant community composition trajectories depend upon management legacies. - Glob. Chang. Biol. 24: 1722–1740.
- Pettorelli, N. et al. 2005. Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological responses to environmental change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 20: 503–510.
- Pettorelli, N. et al. 2006. Using a proxy of plant productivity (NDVI) to find key periods for animal performance: the case of roe deer. Oikos 112: 565–572.
- R Core Team 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Rowhani, P. et al. 2008. Variability in Energy Influences Avian Distribution Patterns Across the USA. Ecosystems 11: 854–867.
- Rufin, P. et al. 2015. Land use intensity trajectories on Amazonian pastures derived from Landsat time series. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 41: 1–10.
- Samain, O. et al. 2008. Use of a Kalman filter for the retrieval of surface BRDF coefficients with a time-evolving model based on the ECOCLIMAP land cover classification. -Remote Sens. Environ. 112: 1337–1346.
- Schaaf, C. B. et al. 2002. First operational BRDF, albedo nadir reflectance products from MODIS. - Remote Sens. Environ. 83: 135–148.
- Speakman, J. R. 2005. Body size, energy metabolism and lifespan. J. Exp. Biol. 208: 1717– 1730.
- Steffen, W. et al. 2015. The trajectory of the Anthropocene: The Great Acceleration. Anthr. Rev. 2: 81–98.
- Stein, A. et al. 2014. Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales (H Arita, Ed.). Ecol. Lett. 17: 866–880.
- Stevens, V. M. et al. 2014. A comparative analysis of dispersal syndromes in terrestrial and semi-terrestrial animals. - Ecol. Lett. 17: 1039–1052.

- Su, J. C. et al. 2004. Beyond Species Richness: Community Similarity as a Measure of Cross-Taxon Congruence for Coarse-Filter Conservation. - Conserv. Biol. 18: 167–173.
- Sutherland, G. D. et al. 2000. Scaling of natal dispersal distances in terrestrial birds and mammals. Conserv. Ecol. 4: 16.
- Terborgh, J. W. 2015. Toward a trophic theory of species diversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112: 11415–11422.
- Thomson, F. J. et al. 2011. Seed dispersal distance is more strongly correlated with plant height than with seed mass. J. Ecol. 99: 1299–1307.
- Tilman, D. et al. 1994. Habitat destruction and the extinction debt. Nature 371: 65–66.
- Tong, X. et al. 2017. Revisiting the coupling between NDVI trends and cropland changes in the Sahel drylands: A case study in western Niger. - Remote Sens. Environ. 191: 286– 296.
- Turner, B. L. et al. 2007. The emergence of land change science for global environmental change and sustainability. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104: 20666–20671.
- Valtonen, A. et al. 2013. Tropical phenology: bi-annual rhythms and interannual variation in an Afrotropical butterfly assemblage. Ecosphere 4: 36.
- Vegas-Vilarrúbia, T. et al. 2011. Quaternary palaeoecology and nature conservation: a general review with examples from the neotropics. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30: 2361–2388.
- Walker, L. R. et al. 2010. The use of chronosequences in studies of ecological succession and soil development. - J. Ecol. 98: 725–736.
- Watson, S. J. et al. 2014. Land-use change: Incorporating the frequency, sequence, time span, and magnitude of changes into ecological research. Front. Ecol. Environ. 12: 241–249.
- Wilman, H. et al. 2014. EltonTraits 1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and mammals. Ecology 95: 2027–2027.
- Woinarski, J. C. Z. et al. 2009. Fauna assemblages in regrowth vegetation in tropical open forests of the Northern Territory, Australia. Wildl. Res. 36: 675.
- Zhang, X. et al. 2006. Global vegetation phenology from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS): Evaluation of global patterns and comparison with in situ measurements. - J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 111: 1–14.

Zhu, Z. et al. 2016. Greening of the Earth and its drivers. - Nat. Clim. Chang. 6: 791–795.

Zomer, R. J. et al. 2016. Global Tree Cover and Biomass Carbon on Agricultural Land: The contribution of agroforestry to global and national carbon budgets. - Sci. Rep. 6: 29987.

## **Figure legends**

Figure 1: (a) Locations of 198 species assemblage studies (centroid of each study) coloured by taxonomic group. (b) Diagram of the modelling approach to investigate influences of current and past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity on species assemblages. The Bray-Curtis index  $(BC_{EVI})$  was calculated between pairs (blue arrows) of remote-sensing time series (black solid lines) and of species assemblages (BC<sub>Biodiversity</sub>) collected at paired sites. Independent statistical models were constructed for both current (*i* - black) and past BC<sub>EVI</sub> of varying length (*ii* - orange) and their model effects compared (*iii* – Estimated fixed effects).

Figure 2: Shows the estimated influence of current (black) and past (orange; assessed over the past five years)  $BC_{EVI}$  on differences in species assemblages (N = 198 studies). Rugs show the distribution of values from a single randomly selected permutation. The difference between the slopes (arrow) is the relative influence (as ratio) shown in Figures 3-6. Shading shows the predicted standard error.

Figure 3: Overall influence on species assemblage composition of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> relative to current BC<sub>EVI</sub>, estimated individually for past periods of differing length ( $yr_1$  to  $yr_{1:5}$ , representing 1 year and up to 5 years current BC<sub>EVI</sub>). The predicted effects and their precision (standard error) of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> ( $yr_{1:5}$ ) on dissimilarity in species assemblages were transformed relative to the effects and precision of current BC<sub>EVI</sub> ( $yr_0$ ). Note that error bars indicate the predicted precision of differences in past BC<sub>EVI</sub> relative to the precision of differences in current BC<sub>EVI</sub>. Positive values indicate that differences in past BC<sub>EVI</sub>.

Figure 4: Influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on species assemblage composition across different taxonomic groups. Visualized as relative influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  compared to current  $BC_{EVI}$  as described in Figure 3. The number of studies and contributing sites (N | N<sub>Sites</sub>) is indicated for each group.

Figure 5: Influence of past BC<sub>EVI</sub> on species assemblages (N = 65) of predominantly small (> 0 - 9), medium (10 - 99) and large sized animals and plants ( $\geq$  100). Available size was measured as adult body mass (in g) for all birds (blue) and mammals (red) and height for plants (green, in cm). Within each study all species were binned into one size group and the study categorized based on which size group is predominant across all sites. The bar chart shows the

number of studies that contributed to each taxonomic group and body size bin. Visualized as relative influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  compared to current  $BC_{EVI}$  as described in Fig. 3 and methods.

Figure 6: Influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  on trophic bins across studies (N = 130). Within each study all species were categorized as one trophic level and the study categorized based on which level is predominant across all sites. Colours indicate the influence of current and past  $BC_{EVI}$  for autotroph plants (light green, N=28), herbivores (dark green, N=49), omnivores (yellow, N= 29), carnivores (red, N=13) and detritivores (brown, N=9). Visualized as relative influence of past  $BC_{EVI}$  compared to current  $BC_{EVI}$  as described in Fig. 3.





Past periods of  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{EVI}}$  Current  $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{EVI}}$  Sampling

Sampling time period









