

1 **Autism spectrum disorder and food neophobia: clinical and subclinical links**

2 Gregory L. Wallace, PhD¹, Clare Llewellyn, PhD², Alison Fildes, PhD^{2,3}, & Angelica

3 Ronald, PhD⁴

4

5 **Affiliations:** ¹Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, The George
6 Washington University, Washington, DC, USA; ²Department of Behavioural Science and
7 Health, University College London, London, UK; ³School of Psychology, University of
8 Leeds, Leeds, UK; ⁴Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development, Birkbeck, University
9 of London, London, UK

10 **Authors' Last Names:** Wallace, Llewellyn, Fildes, Ronald

11 **Address correspondence to:** Gregory L. Wallace, Hall of Government Room 211, 2115
12 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20052; gwallac1@gwu.edu; 202-994-8285

13 **Funding Source:** TEDS is funded by Medical Research Council grant G0901245, and
14 G0500079 to Robert Plomin.

15 **Short running head:** Autism and Food Neophobia

16 **Abbreviations:** ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder; BMI: Body Mass Index; FN: Food
17 Neophobia; TEDS: Twin Early Development Study; SES: Socioeconomic Status; CAST:
18 Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; DAWBA: Development and Well-Being Assessment

19

Abstract

20 **Background:** Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) has been linked with eating and feeding
21 related atypicalities, including food neophobia (refusal to try unfamiliar foods), since its
22 earliest description. Nevertheless, whether associations between ASD traits and food
23 neophobia extend subclinically into the broader population of children and their potential
24 additive health impacts remain unexplored. **Objective:** We examined ASD-control group
25 differences in food neophobia and ASD trait-food neophobia trait associations as well as
26 the ability of food neophobia and autistic traits to predict one index of later health-related
27 outcomes (body mass index). **Design:** Participants in the present study were a large
28 community-based sample of 8-11 year olds (n=4,564), including a relatively small group
29 of children diagnosed with ASD (n=37). Parents of these 8-11-year-old children
30 completed assessments of food neophobia and autistic traits, as well as providing height
31 and weight metrics at 12 years of age. **Results:** Children with ASD were rated as more
32 food neophobic than their same-age non-ASD peers (2.67+/-0.83 vs. 2.22 +/-0.73;
33 $p<.001$) and there were subclinical associations between food neophobia and ASD traits
34 (all three of social, communication, and restricted/repetitive behavior) in this community-
35 based sample of children ($ps<.05$). Moreover, while food neophobia alone predicted
36 lower body mass index, the interaction of food neophobia and ASD traits predicted
37 higher body mass index ($ps\leq.01$), suggesting that elevated ASD traits in combination
38 with food neophobia exert opposing influences on weight to food neophobia alone.

39 **Conclusions:** These findings implicate clinical and subclinical connections between ASD
40 traits and feeding behaviors that could impact health outcomes and therefore should be
41 further explored in future studies of shared etiology and intervention strategy.

42 **Keywords:** autism, autistic traits, food neophobia, food selectivity, picky eating, body
43 mass index

44 From its earliest description(1), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), a
45 neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by social-communication deficits and
46 presence of restricted and repetitive behaviors, has been linked with feeding-related
47 problems. Even with changing diagnostic conceptualizations of ASD during the
48 intervening 70+ years, food selectivity or ‘picky eating’ has remained highly prevalent
49 among individuals with ASD, with reports of as many as 90% of children with ASD
50 exhibiting these atypical feeding behaviors(2,3).

51 One core component of food selectivity is food neophobia (FN), the propensity to
52 refuse to try unfamiliar foods. Although a normative aspect of early child development,
53 when FN persists beyond later childhood, a dietary and nutritional cost is incurred. FN
54 limits dietary variety with particularly adverse impacts upon consumption of nutrient-rich
55 fruits and vegetables(4), which cascades to influence broader health and development.
56 There is mixed evidence as to whether FN is associated with body mass index
57 (BMI)(5,6). Moreover, efforts to explore obese versus normal weight differences in
58 eating patterns in the laboratory have sometimes been hampered because of difficulty
59 finding a test food that enough obese participants find acceptable(7). Data from early
60 animal studies also have supported this observation insofar as obesity-inducing lesions in
61 the ventromedial hypothalamus in rats produced ‘finicky’ behavior such that obese rats
62 would not consume bitter-tasting food, but would overeat the highly palatable food(8).
63 This suggests that children who are highly fussy about eating more nutrient dense and
64 less palatable foods (e.g., fruits and vegetables) are actually more at risk of
65 overweight/obesity than those who have a more varied diet. Taken together, this suggests
66 that further research is needed to elucidate the relationship between FN and BMI,

67 particularly among school-aged children for whom the likelihood of becoming
68 overweight/obese is increasingly common.

69 FN appears to be increased in ASD not only during childhood(9), but also
70 adolescence and young adulthood(10). The most frequently utilized approach to explore
71 links between FN and ASD is a case-control design. However, another approach involves
72 examining individual differences in these behavioral traits and their relationships to one
73 another, within a community-based, representative sample. There is ample evidence for
74 the dimensionality of autistic behavior, varying from subclinical traits to clinical
75 expression of symptoms(11-14). Thus, in the present study, we not only compared ASD
76 and control groups in their FN but also examined how individual differences in autistic
77 traits are predictive of individual differences in FN in a large and representative
78 community-based sample of children. Trait-based approaches avoid biases inherent to
79 clinical samples, including sidestepping the influences of frequently co-occurring
80 conditions with ASD (e.g., anxiety, depression, medical, metabolic, genetic disorders).
81 Moreover, most studies of children with ASD utilize clinic-based samples with potential
82 concerns over representativeness of the broader population, which can bias findings and
83 interpretation of results. Therefore, the purpose of the present investigation are threefold:
84 1) Establish whether FN is associated with autistic traits in a large community-based
85 sample. 2) Examine whether FN is atypical in children with ASD in comparison to same-
86 age peers. 3) Investigate associations between BMI and not only FN and autistic traits
87 separately, but also their interaction.

88

89

Methods

90 *Participants*

91 Parents and children in the current study participated in the Twins Early
92 Development Study (TEDS), a community-based sample of twins born between 1994-
93 1996 in England and Wales. This sample is representative of the broader population of
94 families with children in the United Kingdom in terms of maternal education (~38% A-
95 levels [i.e., subject-based qualifications needed for matriculation to university] or higher)
96 and race (~93% white). More details about TEDS can be found elsewhere(15). Children
97 were excluded if there were reports of extreme prenatal or perinatal difficulties or severe
98 medical disorders, sex or zygosity was unknown, or they were missing data from initial
99 TEDS contact. Note that individuals with ASD were not excluded in order to include the
100 full spectrum of autistic traits. As is standard for analyses requiring independent
101 individuals, one twin per pair (regardless of whether the twin had an autism spectrum
102 disorder) was chosen at random (based on random number generation with those selected
103 assigned a 1 and those unselected assigned a 0) for statistical analyses described below.

104 Children in TEDS were screened for possible ASD diagnoses using the Childhood
105 Autism Spectrum Test (CAST)(16) and separate questions concerning prior diagnoses of
106 autism or Asperger Syndrome at ages 7, 8, and 9 years. Also considered were families
107 who spontaneously contacted TEDS to report a suspicion or new diagnosis of ASD.
108 CAST screening scores of 15 or higher and those flagged by parents (upon questioning or
109 spontaneously) as having an ASD diagnosis were re-contacted, and phone interviewed
110 using the ASD module of the Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA)(17).
111 The ASD module of the DAWBA has been shown to be a reliable and valid instrument to
112 establish an ASD diagnosis. It demonstrates high correlations with both one of the gold

113 standard instruments in the field, the Autism Diagnostic Inventory(18,19), and ‘best
114 estimate research diagnosis’ which also includes information from the Autism Diagnostic
115 Observation Schedule and other gathered clinical information(20). Furthermore, the ASD
116 module of the DAWBA demonstrates excellent sensitivity and specificity(20). See **Table**
117 **1** for sample demographics and supplementary Table 1 for participant flowchart. Note
118 that this study does not prospectively assign participants to an intervention; thus, it is not
119 a clinical trial.

120 Ethical approval for the study was granted by the King’s College London Institute
121 of Psychiatry ethics committee. Parents provided informed consent at each data
122 collection wave.

123

124 *Measures*

125 Socioeconomic Status (SES)

126 At first contact (when twins were ~18 months old), parental education (highest
127 qualification) and occupation (highest job status) were obtained. An SES composite score
128 was derived by standardizing the education and occupation ratings (via the rank-based
129 van der Waerden transformation), summing these two weighted scores and then
130 standardizing this sum again(21).

131

132 Autistic Traits

133 The CAST(16) is a parent report autistic traits questionnaire designed to be completed in
134 non-clinical settings(22). The CAST is composed of 31 questions answered in a Yes/No
135 format and demonstrates good internal consistency in the TEDS sample (Cronbach’s

136 alpha=0.73)(14). The CAST provides not only a Total score indicative of overall autistic
137 traits, but also three components: Social, Communication, and Restricted/Repetitive
138 Behavior (RRB) traits(14). The CAST data used in the present study were collected at
139 age 8 years. Because autistic trait scores were skewed, log-transformed CAST scores
140 were used in all analyses.

141

142 Internalizing Behavioral Traits

143 Internalizing traits were quantified at age 7 years using the emotional problems subscale
144 of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)(23), which is composed of five
145 items (two anxiety, two depression and one somatic related behaviors) on a three-point
146 Likert scale (never, sometimes, often) and demonstrates adequate internal consistency
147 (Cronbach's alpha=0.63)(24).

148

149 Food Neophobia (FN)

150 Parent reports of FN (on a four-point-scale ranging from 'strongly agree' to 'strongly
151 disagree') were obtained using the four-item version of the Child Food Neophobia
152 Scale(25) when twins were 8-11 years old. Items constituting this short form of the
153 instrument include the following: "My child is constantly sampling new and different
154 foods" (reversed), "My child doesn't trust new foods," "My child is afraid to eat things
155 s/he has never had before." and "If my child doesn't know what's in a food s/he won't try
156 it." The short form of the Child Food Neophobia Scale demonstrates good reliability and
157 validity, including high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha=0.88)(26). FN served as

158 the primary dependent variable for several (i.e., t-test, chi-square, and regression)
159 analyses described below.

160

161 Body Mass Index (BMI)

162 Parents reported their children's height and weight at age 12 years, which were used to
163 calculate BMI (BMI=weight in kilograms/height in meters²). Using the 1990 British
164 growth reference curves, BMI standard deviation scores (M=0, SD=1 at each age) were
165 calculated using Microsoft Excel Growth Macro software(27). BMI served as a primary
166 dependent variable for one of the regression analyses described below. The International
167 Obesity Task Force criteria, which identify BMI values for each age associated with
168 predicted BMIs of 25 and 30 at 18 years of age, were used to determine underweight
169 (non-ASD n=630; ASD n=6), healthy weight (non-ASD n=2763; ASD n=17), overweight
170 (non-ASD n=492; ASD n=3), and obese (non-ASD n=74; ASD n=0) status(28).

171

172 *Data Analysis*

173 Analyses were carried out using SPSS 24(29). To this end, an independent
174 samples t-test was used to examine ASD-control group differences in mean FN score.
175 Furthermore, chi-square analysis was used to examine whether children with ASD were
176 more likely to be food neophobic than non-ASD children. For the purposes of these
177 analyses, children were categorized as food neophobic at three different cutoffs of the
178 80th, 90th, and 95th percentiles on the Child Food Neophobia Scale, given variable
179 estimates of FN across child development.

180 Hierarchical multiple regressions were completed with FN score serving as the
181 dependent variable. In order to examine more specific links between autistic traits and
182 FN, demographic predictors (age, sex, SES) were entered in the first block, followed by
183 the autistic traits scores in the second block. Separate regression models were run for
184 each of the autistic traits scores (Total, Social, Communication, and Repetitive/Restricted
185 Behavior). To ensure that the potential associations between FN and autistic traits were
186 specific and not a product of elevated behavioral ratings overall, these same regression
187 models were run again, with SDQ internalizing behavioral trait scores (given the link
188 between anxiety/depression and food-related issues in the broader population(30) and
189 those with ASD(31)) added to the first block of demographic predictors described above.

190 Finally, the association between age- and sex-standardized BMI at age 12 years
191 and FN, autistic traits, and the interaction of FN and autistic traits were examined in a
192 separate hierarchical multiple regression after accounting for the effects of demographic
193 factors. Demographic predictors (age, sex, SES) were again entered in the first block,
194 followed by the overall autistic traits score (using the Total CAST score), FN ratings, and
195 the interaction of autistic traits and FN in the second block. Note that fewer families were
196 contacted at age 12 than age 8 resulting in a smaller sample size ($n=3,136$) for the
197 regression including BMI data.

198

199

Results

200 Children with ASD ($n=37$: 33 males and 4 females) were rated as demonstrating
201 significantly more trait-based FN than the non-ASD TEDS sample ($n=4564$: 2221 males
202 and 2343 females) (ASD $M=2.67$, $SD=0.83$ vs. non-ASD $M=2.22$, $SD=0.73$; $t=3.73$,

203 $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.57$; see **Figure 1**), and were significantly more likely to be rated as food
204 neophobic than their non-ASD peers at all three designations of the 80th ($X^2 = 12.23$,
205 $p < 0.001$), 90th ($X^2 = 11.29$, $p = 0.001$), and 95th ($X^2 = 12.26$, $p < 0.001$) percentile scorers (see
206 **Table 2**).

207 Hierarchical multiple regressions revealed several significant associations with
208 food neophobia ratings (see **Tables 3-6**). Among the demographic factors examined in
209 model 1, age and sex were significantly associated with FN ($p < 0.05$) such that younger
210 children, and males had higher FN scores. In model 2, significant positive associations of
211 overall autistic trait ratings with FN score, above and beyond the influence of the
212 demographic factors (i.e., age, sex, SES) were found ($p < 0.001$), along with the
213 emergence of an association between higher SES and higher FN ratings not observed in
214 model 1 ($p < 0.05$). Follow-up hierarchical multiple regressions demonstrated that higher
215 scores for all three components of autistic traits (CAST Social, Communication, and
216 Repetitive/Restricted Behavior scores) were predictive of higher FN scores after taking
217 into account these demographic factors (see **Tables 3-6**; $p < 0.05$). After adding SDQ
218 internalizing behavioral trait ratings to the first model, subsequent hierarchical
219 regressions revealed the same pattern of results, except that CAST Repetitive/Restricted
220 Behavior scores were no longer a significant predictor of FN ratings.

221 Finally, a hierarchical multiple regression showed that even after accounting for
222 associated demographic factors, both FN alone as well as its interaction with autistic
223 traits were predictive of BMI at age 12 years (see **Table 7**). Specifically, higher FN was
224 associated with having a lower BMI, while the interaction of autistic and FN trait ratings
225 was associated with higher BMI. Two of the three demographic factors examined in

226 model 1 demonstrated significant associations with BMI ($p \leq 0.01$): sex (males having
227 lower BMI) and SES (negative correlation). In model 2, unlike autistic traits alone, higher
228 FN ratings alone ($p < 0.001$) were predictive of lower BMI at 12 years. In contrast, the
229 interaction of FN with autistic traits ($p = 0.01$) was predictive of higher BMI at age 12
230 years.

231

232

233

Discussion

234 This is the first study to examine links between FN and autistic behavior at the
235 clinical and subclinical levels in a large community-based sample. Based on these
236 findings, not only are children with ASD more likely to be food neophobic than their
237 same-age non-ASD peers, but this relationship extends subclinically. FN was positively
238 associated with overall autistic traits, as well as its three subcomponents (social,
239 communication, and restricted/repetitive behavior), in a community representative sample
240 of school-aged children. Furthermore, while autistic traits were not independently
241 associated with body weight, FN was negatively associated with BMI. However, the
242 interaction of FN and autistic traits was positively associated with BMI, suggesting that
243 neophobic children who also exhibit elevated levels of autistic traits may have mitigated
244 risk of underweight. This is both a novel and potentially clinically informative finding
245 requiring further investigation.

246 This study joins many others in demonstrating atypical eating-related behaviors in
247 ASD (for review, see(32,33)). While most other ASD-control group comparisons have
248 examined broader concepts like food selectivity and ‘picky’ eating, the current

249 investigation focused on FN specifically. Thus, this study replicates and extends the few
250 studies to demonstrate empirically increased FN in ASD, which have included samples of
251 children of the same age and younger than those studied here(9) as well as
252 adolescents/young adults(10). Combining the results here with these prior studies
253 suggests that FN is a stable and persistent eating behavioral trait in ASD across child and
254 adolescent development.

255 The current study also extends the relationship between ASD and FN to
256 subclinical levels in a large and representative community-based sample. Increases in
257 overall autistic trait ratings, as well as its three subcomponents (social, communication,
258 and restricted/repetitive behavior) were associated with increased FN in this large
259 community-based sample of children. The current study joins one other, which examined
260 the relationship between separate, but related food avoidant ‘picky’ eating behavior
261 (measured using two items asking parents if their child “does not eat well” or “refuses to
262 eat”), and ASD-like behavior in a large community-based sample of young children from
263 the Netherlands (n=3,748). Persistent ‘picky’ eating from 1.5-6 years was found to be
264 predictive of ASD behavior (unlike behavioral or emotional problems) at age 7(34).
265 Taken together, these studies indicate a broader population-wide linkage between ASD-
266 like behavior and atypical eating patterns characterized by food avoidance. Nevertheless,
267 longitudinal studies are needed to determine the directionality of the relationships of
268 these two early emerging classes of behavior. Furthermore, given the early emergence of
269 FN behaviors(35) and its linkage to ASD, its predictive power as an early marker of ASD
270 should be further investigated.

271 Although autistic traits alone were not predictive of later BMI in the present
272 study, there was evidence that autistic traits not only mitigate the association between
273 increased FN and decreased BMI but also exert an opposing influence. One possibility is
274 that elevated autistic traits might lessen the impact of FN on food intake. There is
275 emerging evidence that some children with ASD exhibit a greater propensity to overeat (a
276 risk factor for overweight/obesity in the general population) compared to typically
277 developing children(36), in spite of the increased prevalence of co-occurring FN and
278 other food selectivity patterns. Speculatively, within this interactive effect, increasing FN
279 could serve to limit the dietary repertoire while increasing autistic traits could drive this
280 limited diet towards more palatable and calorie-rich foods via sensory-related
281 mechanisms(32), which might then counteract the negative impact of FN on BMI.
282 Regardless, other health-related impacts of FN may be exacerbated by elevated autistic
283 traits. FN presents barriers to adequate consumption of fruits and vegetables(4), and thus
284 to adequate nutritional intake. Inadequate macronutrient and micronutrient intake has
285 been observed among children with ASD(3), suggesting that autistic traits may imbue
286 their own as well as additive risks for poor nutrition in the general population; a
287 possibility that should be investigated in future research.

288 It is becoming increasingly clear that health outcomes in ASD are poor across the
289 board with elevated rates of risk factors for cardiovascular disease (among many others)
290 during adolescence (e.g., dyslipidemia)(37) and well into adulthood (e.g., diabetes, high
291 blood pressure)(38). One of the most salient and well-replicated health-related risk
292 factors in ASD is elevated rates of obesity during childhood and adolescence(39). It is
293 possible that in the context of ASD, FN alone, and in conjunction with other factors

294 (behavioral, metabolic, pharmacological, etc.), leads to risk for overeating of desired
295 foods (e.g., high fat, high carbohydrate foods) that cascades to risks of becoming
296 overweight/obese. Learning more about the health implications of FN and related eating
297 atypicalities in ASD and those with elevated ASD traits is critical. Unfortunately, the
298 limited size of the ASD sample in the current study prevented us from examining
299 associations between FN and BMI in this group, but future research, including large
300 studies like those from the Healthy Weight Research Network(40), might endeavor to
301 answer such unresolved questions.

302 Although the present study relies upon a large representative community-based
303 sample, limitations should be considered, such as generalizability concerns. For example,
304 twins may be more likely to experience feeding difficulties and have lower birth weights
305 than their singleton peers. However, feeding concerns typically associated with twin
306 births as well as lower weights would be largely resolved by the 8-12 year age range
307 investigated here, though the group as a whole remains fairly lean. It is also important to
308 note that autistic trait ratings do not differ for twins and singletons based on findings
309 from at least one large study(41). Another potential limitation is the reliance upon parent
310 report for these data. However, such an approach conveys considerable advantages to in-
311 person testing, including enabling data collection from large samples and facilitating
312 observation of consistently expressed behavioral traits across contexts and time, thus
313 providing an accurate picture of everyday behavior. Finally, inclusion of other body
314 composition indices (e.g., % body fat) and dietary information (e.g., food diaries) would
315 have been helpful to assess potential links with nutritional intake. Future research should
316 endeavor to address these shortcomings.

317 In conclusion, the current study demonstrated associations between not only an
318 ASD diagnosis and FN but also dimensional autistic traits in the general population and
319 FN (i.e., greater endorsement of autistic traits, more food neophobic behavior).
320 Additionally, increased FN alone was associated with decreased BMI while the
321 combination of increased autistic traits and increased FN was linked with increased BMI.
322 This suggests that FN might not exert similar influences on health-related factors in the
323 context of ASD. Further work is needed to clarify the health implications, both short-term
324 and long-term, of FN and related food selectivity in ASD.

325 **Acknowledgments:** The authors have neither financial relationships nor conflicts of
326 interest relevant to this article to disclose. Dr. Wallace conceptualized and designed the
327 study, drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript.
328 Drs. Ronald, Llewellyn, and Fildes conceptualized and designed the study, and critically
329 reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and
330 agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

References

1. Kanner L. Autistic disturbances of affective contact. *Nerv Child*. 1943;2:217-250.
2. Ahearn WH, Castine T, Nault K, Green G. An assessment of food acceptance in children with autism or pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2001;31:505-511.
3. Sharp WG, Berry RC, McCracken C, Nuhu NN, Marvel E, Saulnier CA, Klin A, Jones W, Jaquess DL. Feeding problems and nutrient intake in children with autism spectrum disorders: A meta-analysis and comprehensive review of the literature. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2013;43:2159-2173.
4. Oliveira A, Jones L, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Emmett P, Moreira P, Charles MA, Lopes C. Early problematic eating behaviours are associated with lower fruit and vegetable intake and less dietary variety at 4-5 years of age. A prospective analysis of three European birth cohorts. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;114:763-771.
5. Cole NC, An R, Lee S, Donovan SM. Correlates of picky eating and food neophobia in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev*. 2017;75:516-532.
6. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and weight in children. *Int J Obes*. 2009;33:21-28.
7. Guss J, Kissileff H. Microstructural analyses of human ingestive patterns: From description to mechanistic hypotheses. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2000;24:261-268.
8. Schachter S. Some extraordinary facts about obese humans and rats. *Am Psychol*. 1971;26:129-144

9. Martins, Y., Young, R. L., & Robson, D. C. (2008). Feeding and eating behaviors in children with autism and typically developing children. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 38, 1878-1887.
10. Kuschner ES, Eisenberg IW, Orionzi B, Simmons WK, Kenworthy L, Martin A, Wallace GL. A preliminary study of self-reported food selectivity in adolescents and young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Res Autism Spectr Disord.* 2015;15-16:53-59.
11. Lundström S, Chang Z, Råstam M, Gillberg C, Larsson H, Anckarsäter H, Lichtenstein P. Autism spectrum disorders and autistic like traits: similar etiology in the extreme end and the normal variation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2012;69:46-52.
12. Robinson EB, Koenen KC, McCormick MC, Munir K, Hallett V, Happé F, Plomin R, Ronald A. Evidence that autistic traits show the same etiology in the general population and at the quantitative extremes (5%, 2.5%, and 1%). *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2011;68:1113-1121.
13. Robinson EB, St Pourcain B, Anttila V, Kosmicki JA, Bulik-Sullivan B, Grove J, Maller J, Samocha KE, Sanders SJ, Ripke S, Martin J, Hollegaard MV, Werge T, Hougaard DM, iPSYCH-SSI-Broad Autism Group, Neale BM, Evans DM, Skuse D, Mortensen PB, Børglum AD, Ronald A, Smith GD, Daly MJ. Genetic risk for autism spectrum disorders and neuropsychiatric variation in the general population. *Nat Genet.* 2016;48:552-555.
14. Ronald A, Happé F, Bolton P, Butcher LM, Price TS, Wheelwright S, Baron-Cohen S, Plomin R. Genetic heterogeneity between the three components of the autism spectrum: a twin study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2006;45:691-699.

15. Haworth CM, Davis OS, Plomin R. Twins Early Development Study (TEDS): a genetically sensitive investigation of cognitive and behavioral development from childhood to young adulthood. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2013;16:117-125.
16. Scott FJ, Baron-Cohen S, Bolton P, Brayne C. The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): preliminary development of a UK screen for mainstream primary-school-age children. *Autism.* 2002;6:9-31.
17. Dworzynski K, Happé F, Bolton P, Ronald A. Relationship between symptom domains in autism spectrum disorders: A population based twin study. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2009;39:1197-1210.
18. Le Couteur A, Rutter M, Lord C, Rios P, Robertson S, Holdgrafer M, McLennan J. Autism Diagnostic Interview - a standardized investigator-based instrument. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1989;19:363-387.
19. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised – a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994;24:659-685.
20. McEwen FS, Stewart CS, Colvert E, Woodhouse E, Curran S, Gillan N, Hallett V, Lietz S, Garnett T, Ronald A, Murphy D, Happé F, Bolton P. Diagnosing autism spectrum disorder in community settings using the Development and Well-Being Assessment: Validation in a UK population-based twin sample. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2016;57:161-70.
21. Hanscombe KB, Trzaskowski M, Haworth CMA, Davis OSP, Dale PS, Plomin R. Socioeconomic status (SES) and children's intelligence (IQ): In a UK-representative sample SES moderates the environmental, not genetic, effect on IQ. *PLoS One.* 2012;7.

22. Williams J, Scott F, Stott C, Allison C, Bolton P, Baron-Cohen S, Brayne C. The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Test accuracy. *Autism*. 2005;9:45-68.
23. Goodman R, Ford T, Simmons H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. Using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to screen for child psychiatric disorders in a community sample. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2000;177:534-539.
24. Hallett V, Ronald A, Rijdsdijk F, Happé F. Association of autistic-like and internalizing traits during childhood: A longitudinal twin study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167:809-817.
25. Pliner P, Hobden K. Development of a scale to measure the trait of food neophobia in humans. *Appetite*. 1992;19:105-120.
26. Cooke L, Haworth CM, Wardle J. Genetic and environmental influences on children's food neophobia. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2007;86:428-433.
27. Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Arch Dis Child*. 1995;73:25-29.
28. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey. *BMJ*. 2000;20:1240-1243.
29. IBM Corp. IBM SPSS statistics for windows (Version 24). 2016;Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
30. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th Ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
31. Twachtman-Reilly J, Amaral SC, Zebrowski PP. Addressing feeding disorders in children on the autism spectrum in school-based settings: physiological and behavioral issues. *Lang Speech Hear Serv Sch*. 2008;39:261-272.

32. Cermak SA, Curtin C, Bandini LG. Food selectivity and sensory sensitivity in children with autism spectrum disorders. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2010;110:238-246.
33. Kral TV, Eriksen WT, Souders MC, Pinto-Martin JA. Eating behaviors, diet quality, and gastrointestinal symptoms in children with autism spectrum disorders: a brief review. *J Pediatr Nurs.* 2013;28:548-556.
34. Cardona Cano S, Hoek HW, van Hoeken D, de Barse LM, Jaddoe VW, Verhulst FC, Tiemeier H. Behavioral outcomes of picky eating in childhood: a prospective study in the general population. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2016;57:1239-1246.
35. Blossfeld I, Collins A, Kiely M, Delahunty C. Texture preferences of 12-month-old infants and the role of early experiences. *Food Qual Prefer.* 2007;18:396-404.
36. Hess JA, Matson JL, Dixon DR. Psychiatric symptom endorsements in children and adolescents diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders: a comparison to typically developing children and adolescents. *J Dev Phys Disabil.* 2010;22:485-496.
37. Davignon MN, Yinge Q, Massolo M, Croen L. Psychiatric and medical conditions in transition-aged individuals with ASD. *Pediatrics.* 2018;141:S335-S345.
38. Croen LA, Zerbo O, Qian Y, Massolo ML, Rich S, Sidney S, Kripke C. The health status of adults on the autism spectrum. *Autism.* 2015;19:814-823.
39. Phillips KL, Schieve LA, Visser S, Boulet S, Sharma AJ, Kogan MD, Boyle CA, Yeargin-Allsopp M. Prevalence and impact of unhealthy weight in a national sample of US adolescents with autism and other learning and behavioral disabilities. *Maternal and Child Health Journal.* 2014;18:1964-1975.

40. Curtin C, Must A, Phillips S, Bandini L. The healthy weight research network: a research agenda to promote healthy weight among youth with autism spectrum disorder and other developmental disabilities. *Pediatr Obes.* 2017;12:e6-e9.
41. Curran S, Dworzynski K, Happé F, Ronald A, Allison C, Baron-Cohen S, Brayne C, Bolton PF. No major effect of twinning on autistic traits. *Autism Res.* 2011;4:377-382.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and summary scores for the study groups: mean (standard deviation) and range.

| | <u>Non-ASD Sample (max n=4,564)</u> | | <u>ASD Sample (max n=37)</u> | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Mean (SD) | Range | Mean (SD) | Range |
| Age | 9.88 (0.87) | 8.32- 11.61 | 10.05 (0.91) | 8.67-11.39 |
| SES | 0.26 (0.97) | -2.49-2.65 | 0.37 (0.99) | -1.54-2.03 |
| Mean Food Neophobia Score | 2.22 (0.73) | 1-4 | 2.67 (0.83) | 1-4 |
| CAST Total | 4.91 (3.25) | 0-19 | 17.08 (4.09) | 3-28 |
| CAST Social | 1.56 (1.49) | 0-11 | 6.22 (2.58) | 1-11 |
| CAST Communication | 1.91 (1.76) | 0-10 | 7.06 (2.23) | 1-11 |
| CAST RRB | 1.45 (1.23) | 0-7 | 3.81 (1.63) | 0-7 |
| SDQ Internalizing Behaviors | 2.26 (1.88) | 0-10 | 2.14 (2.01) | 0-7 |
| BMI | 17.77 (3.01) | 12.08- 39.39 | 16.84 (2.60) | 12.82- 22.48 |

Note: SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; RRB=Restricted/Repetitive Behavior; SDQ=Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; BMI=Body Mass Index

Table 2. Food neophobia rates at various cutoff scores on the Child Neophobia Scale for the autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and non-ASD general community samples.

| | 80 th Percentile Scorers (Neophobic:Not Neophobic)* | 90 th Percentile Scorers (Neophobic:Not Neophobic)* | 95 th Percentile Scorers (Neophobic:Not Neophobic)* |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| Non-ASD Sample | 915:3649 | 464:4100 | 314:4250 |
| ASD Sample | 16:21 | 10:27 | 8:29 |

Note: Analysis completed using Chi-square. * $p \leq 0.001$

Table 3. Food neophobia ratings regressed onto age, sex, socioeconomic status, and overall autistic trait ratings.

| n=4,245 | <i>R</i> ² | <i>F</i> Change | <i>B</i> | <i>SE B</i> | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Predictor | Food Neophobia | | | | | |
| <i>Model 1</i> | 0.007 | 9.91 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.11 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.11 | 0.02 | 4.74 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.46 | 0.15 |
| <i>Model 2</i> | 0.015 | 32.90 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -1.98 | 0.05 |
| Sex | | | 0.08 | 0.02 | 3.43 | 0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.44 | 0.02 |
| CAST Total | | | 0.26 | 0.05 | 5.74 | <0.001 |

Note: Analysis completed using hierarchical multiple regression. SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; 1=male, 0=female

Table 4. Food neophobia ratings regressed onto age, sex, socioeconomic status, and autistic social trait ratings.

| n=4,246 | <i>R</i> ² | <i>F</i> Change | <i>B</i> | <i>SE B</i> | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Predictor | Food Neophobia | | | | | |
| <i>Model 1</i> | 0.007 | 9.94 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.11 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.11 | 0.02 | 4.75 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.46 | 0.14 |
| <i>Model 2</i> | 0.011 | 15.60 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.11 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.08 | 0.02 | 3.56 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.79 | 0.07 |
| CAST Social | | | 0.19 | 0.05 | 3.95 | <0.001 |

Note: Analysis completed using hierarchical multiple regression. SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; 1=male, 0=female

Table 5. Food neophobia ratings regressed onto age, sex, socioeconomic status, and autistic communication trait ratings.

| n=4,245 | <i>R</i> ² | <i>F</i> Change | <i>B</i> | <i>SE B</i> | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Predictor | Food Neophobia | | | | | |
| <i>Model 1</i> | 0.007 | 9.90 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.12 | 0.03 |
| Sex | | | 0.11 | 0.02 | 4.74 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.45 | 0.15 |
| <i>Model 2</i> | 0.016 | 36.99 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.02 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.09 | 0.02 | 4.11 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.47 | 0.01 |
| CAST Communication | | | 0.26 | 0.04 | 6.08 | <0.001 |

Note: Analysis completed using hierarchical multiple regression. SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; 1=male, 0=female

Table 6. Food neophobia ratings regressed onto age, sex, socioeconomic status, and autistic repetitive behavior trait ratings.

| n=4,238 | <i>R</i> ² | <i>F</i> Change | <i>B</i> | <i>SE B</i> | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Predictor | Food Neophobia | | | | | |
| <i>Model 1</i> | 0.007 | 9.99 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.10 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.11 | 0.02 | 4.77 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.47 | 0.14 |
| <i>Model 2</i> | 0.008 | 4.42 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.01 | -2.02 | 0.04 |
| Sex | | | 0.10 | 0.02 | 4.58 | <0.001 |
| SES | | | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.66 | 0.10 |
| CAST RRB | | | 0.10 | 0.05 | 2.10 | 0.04 |

Note: Analysis completed using hierarchical multiple regression. SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; 1=male, 0=female

Table 7. Body mass index standard deviation scores at 12 years regressed onto age, sex, socioeconomic status, overall autistic trait ratings, food neophobia ratings, and the interaction of autistic traits and food neophobia scores.

| n=3,136 | <i>R</i> ² | <i>F</i> Change | <i>B</i> | <i>SE B</i> | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Predictor | Body Mass Index Standard Deviation Scores at 12 years | | | | | |
| <i>Model 1</i> | 0.012 | 13.19 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.03 | -1.23 | 0.22 |
| Sex | | | 0.15 | 0.04 | 3.31 | 0.001 |
| SES | | | -0.12 | 0.02 | -5.30 | <0.001 |
| <i>Model 2</i> | 0.021 | 9.05 | | | | |
| Age | | | -0.03 | 0.03 | -1.37 | 0.17 |
| Sex | | | -0.15 | 0.05 | 3.26 | 0.001 |
| SES | | | -0.11 | 0.02 | -4.90 | <0.001 |
| CAST Total | | | -0.50 | 0.28 | -1.78 | 0.08 |
| Food Neophobia | | | -0.35 | 0.09 | -3.75 | <0.001 |
| CAST Total x Food Neophobia | | | 0.29 | 0.12 | 2.43 | 0.01 |

Note: Analysis completed using hierarchical multiple regression. SES=Socioeconomic Status; CAST=Childhood Autism Spectrum Test; 1=male, 0=female

Figure Legend.

Figure 1. Significant differences in food neophobia scores for the autism spectrum disorder (ASD; n=37) and non-autism spectrum disorder (non-ASD; n=4,564) groups.

Note: Analysis was completed using an independent samples t-test.

