Pregnancy loss of control eating: a longitudinal study of maternal and child

outcomes

Micali, Al Essimii, Field, Treasure

Nadia Micali MD PhD<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> Haya Al Essimii MSc<sup>4,5</sup> Alison E. Field ScD,<sup>6,7</sup> Janet Treasure MD PhD<sup>8</sup>

1: Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Switzerland.

2: Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, Child and Adolescent Mental Health Palliative care and Pediatrics Section, UCL, London, UK.

3: Dept. of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

4: Department of Clinical Nutrition, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Umm Al-Qura University, Mecca, Saudi Arabia.

5: Metabolic Medicine Research Unit, Division of Medicine, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK

6: Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

7: Department of Pediatrics, Warren Alpert School of Medicine of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

8: Dept. of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neurosciences, King's College London, London, UK

\*: Corresponding author (Dr Nadia Micali, Dept. of Psychiatry, University of Geneva, and Service de Psychiatrie de L'Enfant et de l'adolescent, Département de l'enfant et de l'adolescent, Geneva, Switzerland, 2 Rue Verte, 1205, Switzerland; <u>nadia.micali@unige.ch;</u> Phone: +41 22 372 8955)

Sources of support: This research was specifically funded by a National Institute of

Health Research (NIHR) clinician scientist award to Dr N Micali (DHCS/08/08/012)

and by a Wellchild project grant (N/A). The UK Medical Research Council and

Wellcome (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core

support for ALSPAC.

Short running head: Outcomes of pregnancy loss of control eating

**Abbreviations:** ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children); BMI (Body Mass Index); FFQ (Food Frequency Questionnaire); GWG (gestational weight gain); IOM (Institute of Medicine); LOC (loss of control eating).

Registered on clinical.trials.gov as NCT03269253

## Abstract

**Background:** To our knowledge no previous studies have investigated longitudinal outcomes of maternal loss of control eating in pregnancy in a general population sample.

**Objective:** We aimed to determine whether pregnancy loss of control eating is associated with dietary, gestational weight gain, offspring birth-weight outcomes in a large population-based prospective study of pregnant women and their children. We also explored the association with offspring weight at age 15.5 years.

**Design:** Women (N=11,132) from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) were included. Crude and adjusted logistic and multinomial regression models were employed. Loss of control eating in pregnancy and diet at 32 weeks gestation were assessed by self-report. Pregnancy weight gain and birth-weight were obtained from obstetric records. Child weight and height were objectively measured at age 15.5 years.

**Results:** Loss of control eating in pregnancy was common (36.3%). Women with pregnancy loss of control eating reported higher total energy intake, consumed more snacks, and had lower Vitamin B6, A, and C intake compared to women without loss of control eating. Women with frequent loss of control eating had lower Vitamin B1 and folate intake (respectively: b=-0.05 (95%CI:-0.07, -0.02) and b=-7.1 (95%CI: - 11.8,-2.3) in adjusted analyses), and gained on average 3.74 Kg (95%CI:3.33,4.13) more than women without loss of control eating. Frequent and occasional loss of control eating were associated with higher birth-weight (respectively b=0.07 (95%CI:0.03, 0.1), b=0.04 (95%CI:0.02, 0.06)). Offspring of mothers with frequent pregnancy loss of control eating had two-fold increased odds of being overweight/obese at 15.5 years (OR=2.02 (95%CI:1.37, 3.01)).

**Conclusions:** Pregnancy loss of control eating is common and has adverse short and long-term impact on mother and offspring; but has received very limited attention. Our findings further the understanding of risk factors for obesity and highlight a need for improved identification of maternal pregnancy loss of control eating.

Key words: pregnancy, eating, loss of control, ALSPAC, adverse outcomes

## Introduction

Maternal eating and lifestyle patterns in pregnancy have an important influence on both mother and child obesity and metabolic outcomes(1-3). Maternal diet has been shown to affect child adiposity, growth, body composition, and might program child intake and appetite(4-6).

There is good evidence that excess weight and gestational weight gain (GWG) during pregnancy have short-term and long-lasting effects on child and maternal physical and psychological health, including gestational diabetes, heart disease, offspring obesity and metabolic abnormalities(7-9). Although maternal overweight and obesity have received much attention, disordered eating has been less studied. Loss of control over eating (LOC) is the subjective experience of feeling out of control whilst eating irrespective of the amount consumed, and it is a characteristic feature of binge eating. LOC affects between 9-30% of individuals in the community (10, 11) and is associated with higher Body Mass index (BMI), disordered eating, and psychiatric symptoms(12, 13). Amongst adults from community and clinical samples the experience of LOC has been shown to be a better predictor of distress and disability, psychopathology, and impairment in psychosocial functioning than the amount of food consumed during a LOC or a binge eating episode (14, 15). Two studies (one in Brazil and one in Canada) have investigated binge eating (LOC eating that results in abnormally large intake) in pregnancy and observed that it is positively associated with GWG (16) and macrosomia (17). A recent study of 200 overweight and obese women found a high prevalence of LOC eating during pregnancy, and an association between engaging in LOC eating, stress and depression(18). Despite LOC being common, no previous studies have investigated LOC in pregnancy in a population sample across the weight range, its dietary correlates and its effects on gestational

weight gain, and offspring weight. The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between LOC eating during pregnancy, dietary intake (particularly macronutrient and Vitamin intake) and patterns, gestational weight gain, weight at 8 weeks post-partum, offspring birth-weight, and adolescent weight in a large population-based birth cohort study.

#### **Subjects and Methods**

## Participants and procedures

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a longitudinal, prospective study designed to examine the effects of environment, genetics and other factors on health and development(7). All pregnant women living in the geographical area of Avon, UK, who were expected to deliver their baby between 1<sup>st</sup> April 1991 and 31<sup>st</sup> December 1992, were recruited. 14,541 women were enrolled. Amongst these pregnancies, there were a total of 14,676 fetuses, resulting in 14,062 live births and 13,798 children who were alive at 1 year of age and were singletons. The ALSPAC study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data Dictionary (http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/).

Women were eligible to be included in the current study if they had completed the questionnaire at 32 weeks gestation including questions on the exposure (n=11,132). Figure 1 about here

## Measures

#### **Exposure**

At 32 weeks gestation women were asked to report whether they had experienced any loss of control over eating (LOC) during the current pregnancy ('Have you experienced a loss of control over eating during this pregnancy?'). Answers were coded on a 3-point Likert scale (Not at all, Yes occasionally, Yes most of the time). Hence we categorised this variable as frequent LOC, occasional LOC and no LOC. Data on loss of control in pregnancy were available on 11,132 women (91.4%). Women were also asked whether they had dieted during the current pregnancy, and whether they were dissatisfied with their shape.

## Diet during pregnancy

A Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) was sent to women at 32 weeks gestation, enquiring about the frequency of consumption of a wide variety of foods and drinks (for details about the questionnaire, and its validation see Micali et al. (19) and Northstone et al. (20)).

*Dietary patterns* during pregnancy were identified using Principal Components Analysis (PCA). This is described in detail in Northstone et al. (20). Each score had a mean of 0 and a higher score indicated closer adherence to that dietary pattern. Five components were identified: "health conscious"; "traditional"; "processed"; "snacking" and "vegetarian".

*Daily nutrient intakes* were estimated from the FFQ using the 5th edition of McCance and Widdowson's '*The Composition of Food*' and its supplements, based on standard portion sizes; detailed information on the methodology is published elsewhere (21, 22). Previous analysis of these data showed this questionnaire to produce mean nutrient intakes similar to those obtained for women in the British National Diet and Nutritional survey for adults(23).

#### <u>Outcomes</u>

### Pregnancy weight gain and postpartum weight

Net *weight gain in pregnancy* was derived from obstetric medical records by subtracting the first from the last weight measurement in pregnancy to derive total weight gain during the pregnancy(24).

The Institute of Medicine 2009 (IOM) recommendations were used to ascertain *adequacy of gestational weight gain* obtained from obstetric records, given prepregnancy BMI (see below) to derive three categories: weight gain as recommended, lower weight gain than recommended, higher weight gain than recommended (for a detailed description of these measures see Fraser et al. (24)).

Postpartum weight was obtained via questionnaire at 8 weeks postpartum.

#### Offspring birth-weight

*Birth-weight* was abstracted from obstetric records. Fifty-nine (n=59) women with gestational diabetes were excluded from these analyses, the prevalence of gestational diabetes did not vary across exposed and unexposed (those with and without LOC).

# Offspring adiposity at 15.5 years of age

Children still enrolled in the study were measured at the ALSPAC base at mean age 15.5 (n=5,515). Height was measured in standing position using a Harpenden stadiometer and weight was measured in light clothing on a mechanical scale. BMI was obtained as objective weight/ height<sup>2</sup>. Age and gender adjusted BMI Z-scores (using UK references) (25, 26) were obtained from the Stata user-defined program "Z-anthro". Age and gender adjusted cut-offs for adolescents (from the International Obesity Task Force)(25) were used to define overweight and obese categories.

## **Covariates**

Socio-demographic (maternal education, parity and maternal age), weight and height data were obtained by self-completion questionnaires at 12, and 18 weeks' gestation. Body Mass index (BMI) was calculated as pre-pregnant weight (in Kg.)/height (in m)<sup>2</sup>.

## <u>Attrition</u>

Complete data on pregnancy nutrient intake and pregnancy LOC were available on 9,903 women (89%); data on dietary patterns and pregnancy LOC eating on 9,911 women (89%). Data on pregnancy weight gain and adequacy of weight gain were available on 10,088 (90.6%) and 9,500 (85.3%) women respectively. Data on birthweight were available on 10,988 women (98.8%). Data on weight at 8 weeks postnatal were available on 8,530 women (76.6%).

A total of 1,205 (10.8%) of women had missing data on all covariates, missingness on covariates was not predicted by maternal exposure.

Women who reported frequent LOC in pregnancy were more likely to have missing data on absolute weight gain in pregnancy (13.1% vs. 9.2% in the no LOC group, p=0.007) and adequacy of pregnancy weight gain (18.0% vs. 14.7% in the no LOC group, p=0.04). LOC did not predict missingness on birth-weight or weight at 8 weeks post-natal.

## Statistical analyses

The distribution of covariates according to exposure was assessed using chi-square test or F-test depending on the variable type. Mean and standard deviations (SD) were estimated for all continuous variables, after checking for normality. Crude analyses were carried out using logistic regression for binary outcomes, multinomial logistic regression for categorical outcomes and linear models for continuous outcomes. Normality assumptions were checked prior to using linear regression models.

Multivariable adjusted analyses on maternal diet in pregnancy controlled for *a priori* confounders: maternal age, parity, education, and maternal BMI pre-pregnancy; analyses of macronutrient and vitamin intake were also adjusted for total energy intake, which is necessary because energy is highly correlated with most macro and mirconutrient intakes and individual body size. Multivariable adjusted analyses on pregnancy weight gain, and postpartum weight were additionally adjusted for gestational age; child birth-weight was additionally adjusted for child sex (*as an a priori confounder*). Analyses of child BMI at 15.5 years of age additionally adjusted for birth-weight.

Analyses were carried out on women who had complete data on outcomes and the exposure; given that data for this study were collected at various time-points complete data on exposure and outcome varied across outcomes studied.

Due to missing data on maternal education, parity, and ethnicity, multiple imputation by chained equation with 10 imputation sets was implemented in Stata 14 assuming missing at random(27). All predictors and outcome variables were used in the imputation model. Results obtained from imputation models were not different to those found when analyzing complete records only; therefore results obtained from MI models are reported throughout.

All analyses were carried out in Stata 14 (Stata Corp. 2014). All statistical tests presented are two sided, with a p<0.05 used to define significance.

10

## Ethical approval

The study was approved by the ALSPAC Ethics and Law committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. All women gave informed consent at enrolment in the study.

# Results

#### Socio-demographic data

Overall 5.2% (n=582) of women reported frequent LOC in pregnancy, 31.1% (n=3,466) occasional pregnancy LOC eating. Women with LOC did not differ from those with no LOC in relation to ethnicity, or age at delivery. Women with frequent LOC in pregnancy were more likely to be multiparous (58.1% vs. 53.5%) and less likely to be educated to secondary education level (24.9% vs. 37.6%), their BMI pre-pregnancy was on average ~1 unit higher compared to women with no LOC (see Table 1).

Women with frequent and occasional LOC in pregnancy had higher prevalence of dieting in pregnancy and being dissatisfied with their shape compared to those with no LOC (Table 1).

## Table 1 about here

## Pregnancy diet

Women with frequent and occasional LOC in pregnancy reported higher total energy (respectively mean: 7,786.6 kJ (SD=2,212), 7428.9 kJ (SD=1,970)), carbohydrate and fat intake compared to women with no LOC in crude analyses (mean energy intake: 7,283.7kJ (SD=1,954)) (Table 2). After adjusting for all covariates (maternal age, BMI pre-pregnancy, parity, maternal education, ethnicity, and total energy intake) the

association between frequent LOC in pregnancy and a higher energy and carbohydrate intake and lower protein intake persisted. Women with occasional LOC only differed from women who did not engage in LOC in relation to their total energy intake (higher).

Dietary patterns in pregnancy also differed in women with LOC in pregnancy (across both frequency levels) compared to those without; in adjusted analyses women with LOC in pregnancy had higher scores on the 'processed foods' and 'snacking' dietary pattern, and lower scores on the 'traditional' dietary pattern. Women with occasional LOC scored higher than controls on the 'snacking' dietary pattern in adjusted analyses (Table 2).

Differences were identified amongst women with occasional and frequent LOC in relation their vitamin intake (Supplemental Table 1); overall women with LOC had lower vitamin intake in pregnancy. Pregnancy intake Folate, Vitamin C and Thiamin (B1) was particularly low amongst women who reported frequent LOC in pregnancy (Folate: -7.1 (-11.8, -2.3), p<0.001; Vitamin C: -7.1(-10.0, -4.2), p<0.0001; B1: -0.05 (-0.07, -0.02, p<0.0001)). Pregnancy intake of, Pyridoxine, Vitamin A and Vitamin D was also lower in women with frequent pregnancy LOC compared to those with no LOC. Women with occasional LOC in pregnancy had lower Pyridoxine, Vitamin A, and C intake compared to those without LOC (Supplemental Table 1).

## Pregnancy weight gain, birth-weight and post-partum weight

Women with frequent LOC in pregnancy had higher gestational weight gain, with a mean difference of 3.74 Kg in absolute weight gain, compared to women with no LOC in adjusted analyses. They also had three-fold increased odds of gaining more weight than recommended by the IOM during gestation (adjusted OR=3.41 (2.73,

4.27)) (see Table 3). Women with occasional LOC in pregnancy had intermediate (albeit significantly higher compared to controls) levels of absolute weight gain in pregnancy, and 1.7 higher odds (OR=1.66 (1.58, 1.96)) of gaining more weight than recommended by the IOM in adjusted analyses (Table 3). Women's weight at 8 weeks post-partum was higher in women with pregnancy LOC compared to those without (by about 4 Kg amongst women with frequent and 1.6 Kg amongst those with occasional pregnancy LOC in adjusted analyses). Child birth-weight was higher in offspring of women with both frequent (mean difference=0.07 Kg (0.03, 0.1)) and occasional LOC eating in pregnancy (mean difference=0.04 Kg (0.02, 0.06)) compared to offspring of women without pregnancy LOC in adjusted analyses (see Table 3).

## Offspring weight at 15.5 years

In exploratory analyses we investigated associations between LOC eating during pregnancy and offspring weight at age 15 years. Complete data on the outcome and exposure were available on 3,779 children. Children of mothers with frequent pregnancy LOC were more likely to be overweight or obese compared to children of mothers with no pregnancy LOC eating (OR=2.02 (1.37, 3.01), p<0.0001); whilst children of mothers with occasional pregnancy LOC eating did not differ from women with no pregnancy LOC in terms of weight status. We stratified for child sex; but results did not differ within strata (data not shown), therefore sex was included as a covariate in these analyses. In sensitivity analyses performed by restricting the analyses to women who were not obese pre-pregnancy and their children (n=3,482) the effect of maternal frequent pregnancy LOC persisted with similar magnitude (OR=1.92 (1.25, 2.94) p=0.003).

## Discussion

This is the first study to our knowledge to investigate short and long-term effects of maternal LOC during pregnancy on maternal (diet, pregnancy weight gain) and child (birth-weight and weight at 15.5 years) outcomes. LOC is relatively common in pregnancy, as shown in this large population-based study and in a smaller study of overweight/obese women(28), however no previous studies have investigated dietary and weight outcomes of pregnancy LOC, nor offspring outcomes. Women with LOC in pregnancy had lower educational attainment and were more likely to have had more than one pregnancy compared to women with no LOC in this study, suggesting that these factors might be associated with LOC in pregnancy.

There is evidence that LOC (outside of pregnancy) is associated with higher calorie intake from carbohydrates, lower protein intake and more snacks and dessert foods(29, 30). LOC is also prospectively associated with overweight and obesity in youth(31); and authors have argued its pivotal role as a behavioral marker of adverse outcomes both with (i.e. in the context of binge eating) and without objective overeating(31, 32). We observed that maternal pregnancy LOC was associated with diet in late pregnancy, maternal pregnancy weight gain and child weight both at birth and long-term. In particular women with pregnancy LOC reported a higher total energy and carbohydrate intake, lower protein intake, vitamin A, B6, and C even after adjusting for total energy intake. Their diet was also characterised by higher 'snack' scores, i.e. high intake of foods with added sugars such as chocolate, candy, cakes(19, 20). These findings complement findings of higher total energy intakes, and lower of folate, and vitamin C intakes from a large Norwegian population-based study on pregnancy diet in women with binge eating disorder (BED)(33). Higher maternal energy and carbohydrate intake may be risk factors for greater child adiposity and fat mass, and higher gestational weight gain(4, 34). It has been suggested that metabolic and appetitive fetal programming might also be affected by imbalanced maternal intake in pregnancy(4). In rodents, high-sugar diets in pregnancy have been shown to lead to altered metabolic and inflammatory pathways and higher oxidative stress in the offspring(35-37). Low intake of vitamins (particularly C and E) in pregnancy is likely to contribute to altered fetal programming and adverse perinatal outcomes via oxidative stress(38). Low folate, on the other hand, might contribute to altered DNA-methylation.

Maternal pregnancy LOC was prospectively associated with higher absolute weight gain in pregnancy, gaining more weight than recommended by the IOM, and higher weight at 8 weeks post-partum. Women with frequent LOC gained on average 3.7Kg more, they had a 3-fold increased odds of gaining more weight than recommended by the IOM, and weight on average almost 4 Kg more than those without LOC at 8 week post-partum. These differences persisted even after adjustment for pre-pregnancy BMI. This finding is consistent with evidence that women who engaged in binge eating (and those with frank binge eating disorder) in pregnancy had higher gestational weight gain(17, 39). Of note, one study did not find a correlation between number of binge eating episodes and GWG amongst overweight African American women, although low power limits confidence in these findings (40). Child weight was also higher at birth in women with pregnancy LOC compared to women with no LOC and these findings are consistent with extant research on birthweight in offspring of women with binge eating and binge eating disorder (BED)(17, 41). We observed a dose response effect of frequency of LOC in pregnancy on

offspring birth-weight. The effect of maternal LOC in pregnancy on offspring weight outcomes persisted into adolescence and exploratory analyses showed a two-fold higher odds of being overweight and obese in youth born to mothers who reported pregnancy LOC, even after adjustment for birth-weight.

## Strengths and limitations

Our results need to be understood in the context of relevant strengths and limitations. This is the first study to investigate LOC during pregnancy and its effects on maternal diet, perinatal maternal and child weight outcomes and long-term child weight. Our data were collected as part of a large population-based community study, relying on objectively and prospectively collected data on maternal and child weight and BMI. Women enrolled in ALSPAC are representative of the population in its geographical catchment area, and its nature, a community-based sample, excludes selection bias common in studies on clinical populations. Generalizability might be limited, however, by the nature of the sample, which is representative of the area it was drawn from (Avon county) and largely the UK. Data on maternal diet were obtained using self-report, and it is possible that women with LOC might have misreported their intake. However, FFQs are a good measure of food intake in large population-based studies(42, 43). Unfortunately data on maternal LOC post-partum were not available, which might contribute to child overeating and weight gain throughout childhood. Thirdly, ALSPAC was set up in the 1990s, it is possible that the prevalence of pregnancy LOC might have increased since, due to the high obesity levels in gestation. However it is unlikely that secular trends might affect longitudinal associations seen in this study. Pre-pregnancy weight -used to calculate GWG- was estimated from models of weight gain during pregnancy; however, predicted and selfreported weight were highly correlated. LOC in pregnancy predicted missingness on

GWG data, therefore potentially leading to an underestimation of the effect of LOC on GWG. About 23% of women did not report their weight at 8 weeks postnatal, however no differential missingness was observed across exposure categories. Lastly, maternal BMI is a strong predictor of child adiposity(44), and evidence suggests this effect is mostly genetic(44). Given our main focus on establishing associations rather than causality, we did not set out to disentangle genetic vs. intra-uterine effects in this study. Future studies should investigate specific risk pathways.

#### **Conclusions**

This large population-based study provides initial and compelling evidence of short and long-term maternal and child effects of LOC in pregnancy. These findings are particularly relevant to obesity prevention in both mothers and their offspring, given the importance of GWG on later adiposity in women, and the limited efficacy of obesity treatment. This study adds to our currently limited understanding of the effects of maternal eating on maternal and child weight outcomes, as very few studies have investigated LOC and binge eating in pregnancy. Future research should aim to understand fetal programming and developmental offspring outcomes in women with pregnancy LOC.

Acknowledgements: We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

Conflict of Interest (COI) Statement: the authors report no conflict of interest.

**Authors' Contribution:** NM planned and designed the analyses and drafted this manuscript. HAE contributed to the analyses. HAE, AEF and JT contributed to final drafts of this paper.

# References

- 1. Abu-Saad K, Fraser D. Maternal Nutrition and Birth Outcomes. Epidemiologic Reviews 2010;32(1):5-25. doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxq001.
- Walsh JM, McAuliffe FM. Impact of maternal nutrition on pregnancy outcome – Does it matter what pregnant women eat? Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology;29(1):63-78. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2014.08.003.
- 3. Leddy MA, Power ML, Schulkin J. The Impact of Maternal Obesity on Maternal and Fetal Health. Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2008;1(4):170-8.
- 4. Chen L-W, Aris IM, Bernard JY, Tint M-T, Colega M, Gluckman PD, Tan KH, Shek LP-C, Chong Y-S, Yap F, et al. Associations of maternal macronutrient intake during pregnancy with infant BMI peak characteristics and childhood BMI. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2017;105(3):705-13. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.148270.
- Jen V, Erler NS, Tielemans MJ, Braun KV, Jaddoe VW, Franco OH, Voortman T. Mothers' intake of sugar-containing beverages during pregnancy and body composition of their children during childhood: the Generation R Study. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2017. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.147934.
- 6. Brion M-JA, Ness AR, Rogers I, Emmett P, Cribb V, Davey Smith G, Lawlor DA. Maternal macronutrient and energy intakes in pregnancy and offspring intake at 10 y: exploring parental comparisons and prenatal effects. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2010;91(3):748-56. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28623.
- 7. Leddy MA, Power ML, Schulkin J. The impact of maternal obesity on maternal and fetal health. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2008;1(4):170-8.
- 8. Boney CM, Verma A, Tucker R, Vohr BR. Metabolic syndrome in childhood: association with birth weight, maternal obesity, and gestational diabetes mellitus. Pediatrics 2005;115(3):e290-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-1808.
- 9. Catalano PM. The impact of gestational diabetes and maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring. J Dev Orig Health Dis 2010;1(4):208-15. doi: 10.1017/S2040174410000115.
- 10. Harrison C, Mond J, Rieger E, Rodgers B. Generic and eating disorderspecific impairment in binge eating disorder with and without overvaluation of weight or shape. Behav Res Ther 2015;72:93-9.
- Matherne CE, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Altschul AM, Shank LM, Schvey NA, Brady SM, Galescu O, Demidowich AP, Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. A Preliminary Examination of Loss of Control Eating Disorder (LOC-ED) in Middle Childhood. Eating behaviors 2015;18:57-61. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.04.001.
- 12. Morgan CM, Yanovski SZ, Nguyen TT, McDuffie J, Sebring NG, Jorge MR, Keil M, Yanovski JA. Loss of control over eating, adiposity, and psychopathology in overweight children. Int J Eat Disord 2002;31(4):430-41. doi: 10.1002/eat.10038.
- 13. Goldschmidt AB, Jones M, Manwaring JL, Luce KH, Osborne MI, Cunning D, Taylor KL, Doyle AC, Wilfley DE, Taylor CB. The clinical significance of

loss of control over eating in overweight adolescents. Int J Eat Disord 2008;41(2):153-8. doi: 10.1002/eat.20481.

- 14. Latner JD, Clyne C. The diagnostic validity of the criteria for binge eating disorder. Int J Eat Disord 2008;41(1):1-14. doi: 10.1002/eat.20465.
- 15. Mond JM, Latner JD, Hay PH, Owen C, Rodgers B. Objective and subjective bulimic episodes in the classification of bulimic-type eating disorders: another nail in the coffin of a problematic distinction. Behav Res Ther 2010;48(7):661-9. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2010.03.020.
- Park CK, Krebs L, Lutsiv O, van Blyderveen S, Schmidt LA, Beyene J, McDonald SD. Binge Eating Predicts Excess Gestational Weight Gain: A Pilot Prospective Cohort Study. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2015;37(6):494-507.
- 17. Nunes MA, Pinheiro AP, Camey SA, Schmidt MI. Binge eating during pregnancy and birth outcomes: a cohort study in a disadvantaged population in Brazil. Int J Eat Disord 2012;45(7):827-31. doi: 10.1002/eat.22024.
- 18. Kolko RP, Emery RL, Marcus MD, Levine MD. Loss of control over eating before and during early pregnancy among community women with overweight and obesity. Int J Eat Disord 2017;50(5):582-6. doi: 10.1002/eat.22630.
- 19. Micali N, Northstone K, Emmett P, Naumann U, Treasure JL. Nutritional intake and dietary patterns in pregnancy: a longitudinal study of women with lifetime eating disorders. Br J Nutr 2012;108(11):2093-9. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512000256.
- 20. Northstone K, Emmett PM, Rogers I. Dietary patterns in pregnancy and associations with nutrient intakes. Br J Nutr 2008;99(2):406-15. doi: 10.1017/S0007114507803977.
- 21. Rogers I, Emmett P. Diet during pregnancy in a population of pregnant women in South West England. ALSPAC Study Team. Avon Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood. Eur J Clin Nutr 1998;52(4):246-50.
- Emmett PM, Jones LR, Northstone K. Dietary patterns in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Nutrition Reviews 2015;73(Suppl 3):207-30. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuv055.
- 23. Gregory J, Foster K, Tyler H, Wiseman M. Classification and types of diet. The dietary and nutritional survey of British adults, OPCS. London: HMSO, 1990.
- 24. Fraser A, Tilling K, Macdonald-Wallis C, Hughes R, Sattar N, Nelson SM, Lawlor DA. Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass index, waist circumference, and blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2011;93(6):1285-92. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.008326.
- 25. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ 2000;320(7244):1240-3.
- 26. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: international survey. BMJ 2007;335(7612):194. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39238.399444.55.
- 27. Little RJAaR, D. B., ed. Statistical Analysis with Missing Data: Wiley, New York. Louis, T. A., 1987.
- 28. Kolko RP, Emery RL, Marcus MD, Levine MD. Loss of control over eating before and during early pregnancy among community women with overweight

and obesity. International Journal of Eating Disorders 2016:n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1002/eat.22630.

- Tanofsky-Kraff M, McDuffie JR, Yanovski SZ, Kozlosky M, Schvey NA, Shomaker LB, Salaita C, Yanovski JA. Laboratory assessment of the food intake of children and adolescents with loss of control eating. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2009;89(3):738-45. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.26886.
- 30. Hilbert A, Tuschen-Caffier B, Czaja J. Eating behavior and familial interactions of children with loss of control eating: a laboratory test meal study. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2010;91(3):510-8. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28843.
- 31. Sonneville KR, Horton NJ, Micali N, Crosby RD, Swanson SA, Solmi F, Field AE. Longitudinal associations between binge eating and overeating and adverse outcomes among adolescents and young adults: does loss of control matter? JAMA Pediatr 2013;167(2):149-55. doi: 10.1001/2013.jamapediatrics.12.
- 32. Shomaker LB, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Elliott C, Wolkoff LE, Columbo KM, Ranzenhofer LM, Roza CA, Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. Salience of loss of control for pediatric binge episodes: does size really matter? Int J Eat Disord 2010;43(8):707-16. doi: 10.1002/eat.20767.
- 33. Siega-Riz AM, Haugen M, Meltzer HM, Von Holle A, Hamer R, Torgersen L, Knopf-Berg C, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Bulik CM. Nutrient and food group intakes of women with and without Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder during pregnancy. The American journal of clinical nutrition 2008;87(5):1346-55.
- 34. Okubo H, Crozier SR, Harvey NC, Godfrey KM, Inskip HM, Cooper C, Robinson SM. Maternal dietary glycemic index and glycemic load in early pregnancy are associated with offspring adiposity in childhood: the Southampton Women's Survey. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100(2):676-83. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.084905.
- 35. Rodriguez L, Panadero MI, Roglans N, Otero P, Rodrigo S, Alvarez-Millan JJ, Laguna JC, Bocos C. Fructose only in pregnancy provokes hyperinsulinemia, hypoadiponectinemia, and impaired insulin signaling in adult male, but not female, progeny. Eur J Nutr 2016;55(2):665-74. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-0886-1.
- 36. Rodriguez L, Panadero MI, Roglans N, Otero P, Alvarez-Millan JJ, Laguna JC, Bocos C. Fructose during pregnancy affects maternal and fetal leptin signaling. J Nutr Biochem 2013;24(10):1709-16. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2013.02.011.
- 37. Rodriguez L, Panadero MI, Rodrigo S, Roglans N, Otero P, Alvarez-Millan JJ, Laguna JC, Bocos C. Liquid fructose in pregnancy exacerbates fructoseinduced dyslipidemia in adult female offspring. J Nutr Biochem 2016;32:115-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2016.02.013.
- Mistry HD, Williams PJ. The importance of antioxidant micronutrients in pregnancy. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2011;2011:841749. doi: 10.1155/2011/841749.
- 39. Siega-Riz AM, Von Holle A, Haugen M, Meltzer HM, Hamer R, Torgersen L, Berg CK, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Bulik CM. Gestational weight gain of women with eating disorders in the Norwegian pregnancy cohort. Int J Eat Disord 2011;44(5):428-34. doi: 10.1002/eat.20835.

- 40. Allison KC, Wrotniak BH, Pare E, Sarwer DB. Psychosocial Characteristics and Gestational Weight Change among Overweight, African American Pregnant Women. Obstet Gynecol Int 2012;2012:878607. doi: 10.1155/2012/878607.
- 41. Bulik CM, Von Holle A, Siega-Riz AM, Torgersen L, Lie KK, Hamer RM, Berg CK, Sullivan P, Reichborn-Kjennerud T. Birth outcomes in women with eating disorders in the Norwegian Mother and Child cohort study (MoBa). Int J Eat Disord 2009;42(1):9-18. doi: 10.1002/eat.20578.
- 42. Freedman LS, Schatzkin A, Thiebaut AC, Potischman N, Subar AF, Thompson FE, Kipnis V. Abandon neither the food frequency questionnaire nor the dietary fat-breast cancer hypothesis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16(6):1321-2. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0179.
- 43. Emmett P. Dietary assessment in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009;63 Suppl 1:S38-44. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2008.63.
- 44. Richmond RC, Timpson NJ, Felix JF, Palmer T, Gaillard R, McMahon G, Davey Smith G, Jaddoe VW, Lawlor DA. Using Genetic Variation to Explore the Causal Effect of Maternal Pregnancy Adiposity on Future Offspring Adiposity: A Mendelian Randomisation Study. PLOS Medicine 2017;14(1):e1002221. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002221.

	LOC eating in Pregnancy				
	Frequent	Occasional	None (Ref.)		
	5.2% (n=582)	31.1% (n=3,466)	63.6% (n=7,084)		
Age at delivery, Mean (SD)	27.2 (5.2)	28.2 (4.8)	28.5 (4.8)		
Body Mass Index pre-pregnancy, Mean (SD)	23.9 (4.1)	23.0 (3.6)	22.8 (3.9)		
missing	43 (7.4%)	218 (6.3%)	517 (7.3%)		
Parity (multiparous), N (%)	338 (58.1%)	1,850(53.4%)	3,790(53.5%)		
missing	18 (3.0%)	96 (2.8%)	191 (2.7%)		
Ethnicity (White), N (%)	557 (95.7%)	3,368 (97.2%)	6,841 (96.6%)		
missing	6 (1.0%)	33 (0.9%)	75 (1.06%)		
Education (A-levels or higher vs.	145 (24.9%)	1,237 (35.7%)	2,667 (37.6%)		
up to O-levels), N (%)					
missing	5 (0.9%)	15 (0.4%)	42 (0.6%)		
Any dieting in pregnancy, N (%)	46 (7.9%)	109 (3.1%)	158 (2.2%)		
missing	0	19 (0.6%)	26 (0.4%)		
Dissatisfied with Shape, N (%)	518 (89.0%)	2,259 (65.2%)	3,299 (46.6%)		
missing	0	8 (0.2%)	18 (0.2%)		

Table 1: Socio-demographic data and correlates of Loss of Control Eating in pregnancy amongst 11,132 women from ALSPAC

	u aujus	teu- mear reg			100	· · · · ·			D
		LOC	eating in Preg	nancy	LOC eat	LOC eating in Pregnancy			n Pregnancy
			Mean (SD)		(Unadjusted mean difference (95%CI))			(Adjusted mean difference (95%CI))) <sup>1</sup>	
	N	Frequent	Occasional	None	Frequent	Occasional	None	Frequent	Occasional
		N=473	N=3,055	N=6,375	N=473	N=3,055	N=6,375	n=473	N=3,055
Energy and macronutrients	9,903								
Total energy (KJ)	9,903	7786.6 (2212)	7428.9 (1971)	7283.7 (1954)	502.9(318.6,687.1)***	145.2(60.1, 230.3)**	Ref.	550.6 (367.9, 733.3)***	147.9 (63.8, 232.0)**
Carbohydrates (g)	9,903	235.8 (71.1)	223.2 (61.3)	218.8 (62)	16.9 (11.1,22.8)***	4.3 (1.6, 7.0)**	Ref.	2.00 (0.1, 4.1)*	-0.01 (-1.0, 1.0)
Fat (g)	9,903	76.2 (25.2)	72.0 (23.2)	70.3 (22.4)	5.8 (3.7,7.9)***	1.7 (0.7, 2.6)**	Ref.	0.1 (-0.7, 0.9)	0.05 (-0.3, 0.4)
Protein (g)	9,903	70.3 (20.3)	70.2 (18.9)	69.3 (19)	0.9 (-0.9,2.7)	0.8 (0.1, 1.7)*	Ref.	-2.1 (-3.1, -1.1)***	-0.1 (-0.6, 0.3)
Dietary patterns	11,102								
Health-conscious	11,102	-0.12 (0.9)	0.06 (0.9)	0.09 (0.1)	-0.2 (-0.3,-0.1)***	-0.03 (-0.1, 0.01)	Ref.	-0.04 (-0.1, 0.03)	0.01 (-0.03, 0.04)
Processed	11,102	0.23 (1.1)	0.01 (0.9)	0.01(0.9)	0.2 (0.1,0.3)***	-0.01 (-0.05, 0.03)	Ref.	0.08 (0.01, 0.2)*	-0.03 (-0.1, 0.01)
Traditional British	11,102	-0.1 (1.0)	0.03 (0.9)	0.04 (0.1)	-0.1 (-0.2,-0.02)*	-0.005 (-0.04, 0.03)	Ref.	-0.01 (-0.2, -0.02)*	-0.003 (-0.04, 0.04)
Snacking (Confectionery)	11,102	0.4 (1.3)	0.1 (1.0)	-0.05 (0.9)	0.5 (0.4,0.6)***	0.2(0.1, 0.2)***	Ref.	0.5 (0.4, 0.6)***	0.2 (0.1, 0.3)***

Table 2: Maternal dietary intake in pregnancy amongst women from the ALSPAC cohort: mean differences and 95% confidence intervals from unadjusted and adjusted<sup>1</sup> linear regression

<sup>1:</sup> adjusted for maternal age, BMI pre-pregnancy, parity, maternal education, ethnicity; NB: Total carbohydrate, fat and protein intake are additionally adjusted for total energy intake

p values for comparisons between index groups and controls (no LOC): \*:p≤0.05, \*\*:p≤0.01, \*\*\*: p≤0.001

g: grams

KJ: KiloJoules

Table 3: Pregnancy weight gain and offspring birth weight: mean differences and odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) from unadjusted and
adjusted <sup>1</sup> linear and logistic regression (in italics)

	N	LOC eating in Pregnancy			LOC eating in Pregnancy (Unadjusted)			LOC eating in Pregnancy (Adjusted) <sup>1</sup>	
			Mean (SD)						
Absolute weight gain in	10,088	Frequent (n=506)	Occasional (n=3,147)	None (n=6,435)	Frequent (n=506)	Occasional (n=3,147)	None (n=6,435)	Frequent (n=506)	Occasional (n=3,147)
pregnancy in Kg		(II=300)	(II = 3, 147)	(11-0,455)		(11-3,147)			
		15.5 (5.8)	13.6 (4.5)	11.8 (1.4)	3.63 (3.19, 4.07)***	1.82 (1.62, 2.02)***	Ref	3.74 (3.33, 4.13)***	1.77 (1.58, 1.96)***
GWG	9,500	Frequent	Occasional	None	Frequent (n=477)	Occasional	None (n=6,004)	Frequent (n=477)	Occasional (n=2,979)
(more than recommended		(n=477)	(n=2,979)	(n=6,004)		(n=2,979)			
vs. recommended) OR		-	-	-	3.59 (2.85, 4.52)***	1.56 (1.39, 1.75)***	1.0	3.41 (2.73, 4.27)***	1.66 (1.48, 1.85)***
Weight at 8 weeks	8,530	Frequent	Occasional	None	Frequent (n=441)	Occasional	None (n=5,402)	Frequent (n=441)	Occasional (n=2,687)
postpartum (Kg)		(n=441)	(n=2,687)	(n=5,402)		(n=2,687)			
		70.1 (12.3)	66.3 (10.3)	64.3 (10.6)	5.82 (4.74, 6.89)***	2.00(1.49, 2.51)***	Ref	3.92 (3.18, 4.66)***	1.60 (1.24, 1.95)***
Birth weight <sup>2</sup> (Kg)	10,988	Frequent	Occasional	None	Frequent (n=573)	Occasional	None (n=7,006)	Frequent (n=573)	Occasional (n=3,419)
		(n=573)	(n=3,419)	(n=7,006)		(n=3,419)			
1. adjusted for maternal and I		3.50 (0.5)	3.47 (0.5)	3.41 (0.5)	0.09 (0.04, 0.1)***	0.05 (0.03, 0.07)***		,	0.04 (0.02, 0.06)***

1: adjusted for maternal age, BMI pre-pregnancy, parity, maternal education, length of gestation; 2: additionally adjusted for offspring gender

p values for comparisons between index groups and controls: \*:p<0.05, \*\*:p≤0.001, \*\*\*: p≤0.0001

Figure 1: Flowchart of participating women