MNRAS **483**, 19–37 (2019) Advance Access publication 2018 November 3

doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2954

The role of galaxies and AGNs in reionizing the IGM – II. Metal-tracing the faint sources of reionization at $5 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$

Romain A. Meyer[®],* Sarah E. I. Bosman[®], Koki Kakiichi and Richard S. Ellis

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Accepted 2018 October 30. Received 2018 October 26; in original form 2018 July 20

ABSTRACT

We present a new method to study the contribution of faint sources to the ultraviolet background using the 1D correlation of metal absorbers with the intergalactic medium transmission in a quasi-stellar object (QSO) sightline. We take advantage of a sample of 25 high signal-to-noise ratio QSO spectra to retrieve 150 triply-ionized carbon (CIV) absorbers at $4.5 \leq z \leq 6.2$, of which 37 systems whose expected HI absorption lie in the Lyman- α forest. We derive improved constraints on the cosmic density of CIV at 4.3 < z < 6.2 and infer from abundance matching that C IV absorbers trace $M_{\rm UV} \lesssim -16$ galaxies. Correlation with the Lyman- α forest of the QSOs indicates that these objects are surrounded by a highly opaque region at $r \lesssim 5$ cMpc h^{-1} followed by an excess of transmission at $r \gtrsim 10$ cMpc h^{-1} detected at 2.7 σ . This is in contrast to equivalent measurements at lower redshifts where only the opaque trough is detected. We interpret this excess as a statistical enhancement of the local photoionization rate due to clustered faint galaxies around the C IV absorbers. Using the analytical framework described in Paper I of this series, we derive a constraint on the average product of the escape fraction and the Lyman continuum photon production efficiency of the galaxy population clustered around the C IV absorbers, $\log \langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle / [erg^{-1}Hz] = 25.01^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$. This implies that faint galaxies beyond the reach of current facilities may have harder radiation fields and/or larger escape fractions than currently detected objects at the end of the reionization epoch.

Key words: galaxies: evolution – galaxies: high-redshift – intergalactic medium – quasars: absorption lines – dark ages, reionization, first stars.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cosmic reionization has been the object of much scrutiny in the last decades, resulting in remarkable progress on the determination of its timing. The period during which most of the cosmic neutral hydrogen gas was reionized is now constrained to lie in the redshift interval $6 \leq z \leq 15$ (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016). Evidence suggests that during this time it is actively star-forming galaxies that produced most of the required ionizing photons (e.g. Robertson et al. 2013; Puchwein et al. 2018), although some debate currently exists around a possible contribution of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) at $z \sim 6$ (Giallongo et al. 2015; Chardin, Puchwein & Haehnelt 2017; D'Aloisio et al. 2017; Parsa, Dunlop & McLure 2018)

The major challenge in investigating the physical processes governing cosmic reionization is the difficulty of probing the nature of the ionizing radiation from sources at z > 7. Deep surveys with the *Hubble Space Telescope* combined with the magnification of gravitational lensing by large clusters have recently pushed the census of galaxies to $z \sim 10$ (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2007; Ellis et al. 2013; Atek et al. 2015; Bouwens et al. 2015; Ishigaki et al. 2015; McLeod et al. 2015; Livermore, Finkelstein & Lotz 2017; Atek et al. 2018; Ishigaki et al. 2018). However, at redshifts beyond $z \ge 7$, only a handful of galaxies and AGNs have been spectroscopically confirmed and studied in any detail (e.g. Mortlock et al. 2011; Laporte et al. 2015; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2016; Laporte et al. 2017a,b; Bañados et al. 2018). A major impasse in understanding the role of star-forming galaxies is the uncertain fraction of ionizing photons that can escape into the intergalactic medium (IGM). Using the demographics of galaxies observed out to redshifts $z \simeq 10$ and the likely spectral energy distributions of young, metal-poor stellar populations, Robertson et al. (2015) estimate an average escape fraction of $f_{\rm esc} \simeq$ 10-20 per cent is required. Direct measures of the escape fraction are only possible at redshifts below $z \simeq 3$ because Lyman continuum (LyC) photons emitted from star-forming galaxies are increasingly absorbed by the IGM at higher redshift.

In the meantime, the number and quality of quasi-stellar object (QSO) sightlines probing the IGM at the end of the reionization era have multiplied quickly following systematic searches in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Jiang et al. 2016), Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System data (Kaiser et al. 2010; Bañados

^{*} E-mail: r.meyer.17@ucl.ac.uk

et al. 2016), Dark Energy Survey-Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA) Hemisphere Survey (DES-VHS; Reed et al. 2015), Subaru High-z Exploration of Low-Luminosity Quasars Survey (Matsuoka et al. 2016), VISTA Kilo-Degree Infrared Galaxy Survey (Venemans et al. 2013; Carnall et al. 2015), and UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (Venemans et al. 2007; Mortlock et al. 2009, 2011). QSO sightlines offer a powerful tool to study the IGM at the end of the reionization era (e.g. Fan et al. 2006; Becker, Bolton & Lidz 2015a) and the cosmic metal enrichment history (e.g. Becker, Rauch & Sargent 2009; Ryan-Weber et al. 2009; D'Odorico et al. 2010, 2013). As a result of the increased number of sightlines now available (Bosman et al. 2018), a large scatter in the IGM opacity at $z \sim 6$ has been revealed with individual cases showing unexpected large opaque regions (Becker et al. 2015b, 2018). The physical origin of these features remains unclear, although a late reionization model powered by galaxies claims to address these (Kulkarni et al. 2018).

In Kakiichi et al. (2018, thereafter Paper I), we proposed a new method to directly measure the escape fraction of faint galaxies based on their clustering around luminous spectroscopically confirmed galaxies which provide a local enhancement of transmission in the Lyman- α forest. By charting the distribution of galaxies close to the sightline of a bright QSO, we constructed the cross-correlation with the IGM transmission, revealing a tentative indication of a statistical 'proximity effect'. In this study, we aim at measuring a similar correlation of galaxies to the IGM transmission but using a different tracer. Triply-ionized carbon (CIV) is the most common metal absorbing species in QSO spectra (Becker et al. 2009; D'Odorico et al. 2013, thereafter DO13) and, at low redshifts, associated with the metal-enriched haloes of galaxies (e.g. Adelberger et al. 2003, 2005; Steidel et al. 2010; Ford et al. 2013; Turner et al. 2014). At higher redshift, little is known about the appropriate host galaxies, although some estimate these objects to have quite faint ultraviolet (UV) luminosities (Becker et al. 2015a). It is however expected that C IV absorbers lie ≤ 100 pkpc from their host (e.g. Oppenheimer, Davé & Finlator 2009; Bird et al. 2016; Keating et al. 2016). More recently, D'Odorico et al. (2018) reported the recent detection of a galaxy 40 pkpc away from a damped Lyman- α absorber (DLA) at $z \simeq 5.94$. Although there is no evidence for a consistent link between DLAs and CIV absorbers at that redshift. the authors also report the potential detection of a weak associated C IV absorption. This would support the idea that potential host galaxies can be found indeed very close to CIV absorbers. Metaltracing these faint sources should, in principle, allow us to probe the ionizing capability of intrinsically faint galaxies well beyond reach of current spectroscopic facilities. Moreover, because metal absorbers lie directly on the QSO sightline, we can probe the IGM transmission around their hosts on the scales of $0.1 \leq r \leq 1$ pMpc unattainable in the approach introduced in Paper I which uses nearby Lyman Break Galaxies (LBGs).

In this second paper in the series, we take advantage of a large sample of z > 5.4 QSO spectra to study the abundance and distribution of C IV absorbers. We then study the 1D correlation of these absorbers with the IGM transmission measured in the Lyman- α forest of the QSO to assess their impact on the IGM. Our study focuses on CIV absorbers at 4.3 < z < 6.2, but future possibilities include other metals and potentially studies of the redshift evolution of such correlations.

The plan for this paper is as follows. Section 2.1 introduces our observational sample of QSO spectra and the initial data reduction. Section 2.3 details our semi-automated search for C IV absorbers and the result of our search. We present in Section 3 the new con-

QSO name	z	Instrument	SNR	Ref.
J1148+0702	6.419	HIRES	29.7	(1)
J0100+2802	6.30	XShooter	85.2	(2)
J1030+0524	6.28	XShooter	28.0	(1)
J0050+3445	6.25	ESI	24.4	(3)
J1048+4637	6.198	HIRES	29.2	(4)
J1319+0950	6.132	XShooter	96.8	(3)
J1509-1749	6.12	XShooter	88.9	(1)
J2315-0023	6.117	ESI	29.8	(3)
J1602+4228	6.09	ESI	33.3	(2)
J0353+0104	6.072	ESI	80.7	(3)
J0842+1218	6.07	ESI	18.0	(6)
J2054-0005	6.062	ESI	39.5	(3)
J1306+0356	6.016	XShooter	55.8	(1)
J1137+3549	6.01	ESI	31.7	(2)
J0818+1722	6.00	XShooter	114.0	(6)
J1411+1217	5.927	ESI	15.9	(1)
J0148+0600	5.923	XShooter	128.0	(3)
J0005-0006	5.85	ESI	28.8	(5)
J0840+5624	5.844	ESI	17.6	(1)
J0836+0054	5.81	XShooter	93.4	(1)
J0002+2550	5.80	ESI	121.0	(6)
J1044-0125	5.782	ESI	49.2	(3)
J0927+2001	5.772	XShooter	73.7	(3)
J1022+2252	5.47	ESI	19.0	(6)
J0231-0728	5.42	XShooter	115.0	(6)

straints on the 4.3 < z < 6.2 C IV cosmic density derived from our large sample. We also present our measurement of the C IV–IGM transmission 1D correlation. Section 4 presents two models of the said correlation. In Section 5, we then discuss the nature of the C IV absorbers host galaxies, and our evidence for an enhanced transmission in the IGM surrounding C IV absorbers. We put a constraint on the product of the escape fraction and the LyC photon production efficiency. We conclude in Section 6 with a brief summary of our findings and future prospects for this new method measuring the escape fraction at the end of the reionization era.

Throughout this paper we adopt the Planck 2015 cosmology ($\Omega_{\rm m}$, Ω_{Λ} , $\Omega_{\rm b}$, h, σ_8 , $n_{\rm s}$) = (0.3089, 0.6911, 0.04860, 0.6774, 0.8159, 0.9667) (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016). We use pkpc and pMpc (ckpc and cMpc) to indicate distances in proper (comoving) units.

2 METHODS

2.1 Observations

Our sample consists of 25 optical spectra of quasars with $z_{\text{source}} > 5.4$ originating from the Echellette Spectrograph and Imager (ESI) on the Keck II telescope (Sheinis et al. 2002), the XShooter instrument on Cassegrain UT2 (Vernet et al. 2011), and the High-Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES; Vogt et al. 1994) as shown in Table 1. Out of these, 20 spectra are re-used from the quasar sample of Bosman et al. (2018). These spectra were selected for their high signal-to-noise ratios (SNR >17) measured over the 1265 < λ < 1275 Å range via

$$SNR = \left\langle \frac{F}{\epsilon} \right\rangle \cdot \sqrt{N_{60}}, \qquad (1)$$

QSO name	z	Instrument	t _{total} (s)	SNR	Ref.	PID	P.I.
J0842+1218	6.07	ESI	2400	18	De Rosa et al. (2011)	U085E	R. Becker
J0002+2550	5.80	ESI	22 200	121	Fan et al. (2004)	H31aE	A. Cowie
						H46aE	Kakazu
J1022+2252	5.47	ESI	6000	19	_	U130Ei	G. Becker
J0818+1722	6.00	XShooter	20750	114	Fan et al. (2006),	084A-0550	D'Odorico
					D'Odorico et al. (2011)	086A-0574	De Rosa
						088A-0897	De Rosa
J0231-0728	5.42	XShooter	21 600	115	Becker et al. (2012)	084A-0574	G. Becker

 Table 2. QSO sightlines in addition to those listed in Bosman et al. (2018). These spectra were reduced either from KOA data for the ESI spectrograph or from the XShooter archive.

where *F* is the flux, ε is the error, and N_{60} is the number of spectral bins covering 60 km s⁻¹.¹ An exception is the quasar J1411+1217 which is included despite its relatively poor SNR (=15.9) due to the presence of a particularly broad C IV absorber. Out of these objects, seven originate in a study from McGreer et al. (2015) (three of which were independently re-reduced), six from Becker et al. (2015b), one from Becker et al. (2006), one from Eilers et al. (2017) (re-reduced), and five from Bosman et al. (2018) (of which two are archival).

Together with these 20 sightlines, we reduced five additional spectra from the Keck Observatory Archive (KOA)² and the XShooter search tool for the ESO Science Archive Facility³ as summarized in Table 2. The spectra were extracted optimally making use of the calibration files (flat-fields and standard star exposures) available in the archives for each set of observations. After performing sky subtraction, different observations of the same sightline are combined when necessary. The implementations of optimal extraction, sky subtraction, and telluric correction used herein are outlined in more detail in Horne (1986), Kelson (2003), and Becker et al. (2012), respectively. Our final sample contains ESI spectra with either 55.9 or 74.6 km s⁻¹ resolution depending on the slit, while XShooter spectra have either a 28.0 or 34.1 km s⁻¹ resolution and HIRES spectra have 6 km s^{-1} resolution. We have re-binned the HIRES spectra by a factor 5 to match the XShooter resolution to facilitate the search for C IV absorbers redwards of Lyman- α .

To measure transmitted Lyman- α fluxes bluewards of the Lyman- α emission line, we fit each spectrum with a power-law continuum. This power law is fitted over the relatively featureless wavelength interval 1270–1450 Å in the rest frame. We exclude pixels affected by sky lines and use two rounds of σ -clipping with thresholds of $|F_{\rm PL} - F_{\rm obs}| < 2\varepsilon$ and 1.5ε , where ε is the observational error and $F_{\rm PL}$, $F_{\rm obs}$ are the values of the power-law fit and the quasar flux, respectively.

The Lyman- α forest of $z \gtrsim 5$ is characterized by high absorption at all wavelengths, making the continuum notoriously difficult to determine or model. Due to the sparsity of transmitted flux, we make no attempt at modelling the continuum bluewards of the Lyman- α emission line beyond a power law. At lower redshift, more advanced techniques have been used and include subtracting profiles of weak intrinsic absorption and emission lines (e.g. Crighton et al. 2011). When comparing to such studies, a potential worry is a bias in the Lyman- α forest self-correlation on the scales of such features that are not removed by a simple power law. We however verified that the self-correlation was not deviated from unity by more than 1σ on any scale (see Bosman et al. 2018).

2.2 QSO broad emission lines and continuum fitting

Previous systematic searches for CIV or metal absorptions in the continuum of $z \simeq 6$ QSO (e.g. Ryan-Weber et al. 2009; Becker et al. 2011; Simcoe et al. 2011; D'Odorico et al. 2013; Bosman et al. 2017; Codoreanu et al. 2018) proceed by removing first the power-law continuum of the QSO and secondly fitting the broad emission lines (BEL). The BEL fit is usually performed with splines in an iterative 'by-eye' process where the user supervises the selection of knot points. Since our sample contains 25 QSO spectra, we developed an automated QSO continuum spline fitting (redwards of Lyman- α only) further described below. The first step is to determine which part of the spectra is devoid of narrow emission lines or broad absorption lines. A 'fitby-eye' procedure would select such regions as representative of the QSO continuum. In fact, human users select regions where the pixel-to-pixel flux variation is consistent with the error array. Mathematically, we expect that for a slowly varying spectrum with high enough resolution, the pixel-to-pixel flux difference is distributed as

$$\Delta F_i = F_i - F_{i+1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, 2\sigma_i^2\right),\tag{2}$$

where F_i is the flux recorded at pixel *i*, σ_i is the corresponding error, and $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . We thus estimate the variance of the flux difference σ_{Λ} by simply computing a running variance on the flux variation ΔF_i . The running variance is taken as the square of the standard deviation of ΔF in a 40 pixel wide window centred on pixel *i*. We then take the ratio between the σ_{Δ} and the error array σ at each pixel *i*. The distribution of the resulting variable, $\Sigma_{\Delta} = \sigma_{\Delta}/\sigma$ is a Gaussian distribution of mean $\sqrt{2}$ with a tail of larger values, as expected (see upper right corner of Fig. 1). We fit a Gaussian to the low-value wing of the distribution of Σ_{Δ} and exclude all pixels at $>N\sigma$ from the mean of the fitted Gaussian from the 'continuum pixels'. N is a parameter chosen by the user, and $1 \le N \le 3$ is used for all our spectra. We note however that in large, completely absorbed features, the pixel-to-pixel variation differs from the noise distribution array only in the wings of the absorption. To remove pixels at the bottom of these absorption troughs, we run a matchedfilter with a Gaussian kernel with a width of 5 Å. Any pixel with $S/N_{matched-filter}$ greater than a chosen threshold (here >3) should be rejected from the continuum.

The second step of the algorithm is based on the idea that the BEL that provide the complexity of the QSO continuum fitting

¹The scale of 60 km s^{-1} is a convention chosen in Bosman et al. (2018) as a suitable intermediate scale for their large range of QSO spectra.

a suitable intermediate scale for their farge range of QSO spectra.

²https://koa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/KOA/nph-KOAlogin

³http://archive.eso.org/wdb/wdb/eso/xshooter/form



Figure 1. Illustration of our automated search procedure for C IV absorbers as demonstrated for the QSO J0100+2802. Upper left panel: Measured (power-law normalized) flux in black, fitted continuum in cyan. The residuals present very little to no slow-varying residual trend and are consistent within the 2σ errors (red). Upper right panel: Histogram (black) of the ΔF variance σ_{Δ} divided by the 'error array' variance σ , and the associated threshold (red line) for non-continuum pixels, taken to be a 1.5 σ cut away from the mean of a Gaussian (cyan) fitted to the values lower than the expected mean of $\sqrt{2}$. Middle panel: The intrinsic continuum normalized flux (black) and noise (red) are overlaid with the algorithm-identified C IV absorbers (indicated by blue dotted lines) (see Section 2.3 for the search strategy). Lower panel: Zoom-in on some flagged C IV candidates from the middle panel. The algorithm computes the column density for both C IV 1548, 1550 Å at every pixel, and flags every pair with matching column density at the correct separation, with the tolerance indicated in red. False detections and misalignments due to σ -clipping can be easily removed by eye or when fitting with VPFIT.

are not a nuisance but rather a powerful indicator of where the splines knot points should be located. Based on a BEL rest-frame wavelength list given by the user, we assign a knot point on top of each BEL with some tolerance (provided by the user as well, but ~10 Å is a reasonable choice), and another intermediate knot point in between each BEL knots. We minimize the χ^2 of the spline fit on the previously selected continuum pixels by moving the knot points in the assigned tolerance regions to yield our final fit. An example of a resulting fit is shown in Fig. 1. The automated continuum method successfully fits the BEL features as well as avoiding the regions contaminated by skylines. The QUICFIT (QUasar Intrinsic Continuum FITter) code is publicly available at https://github.com/rameyer/QUICFit.

2.3 CIV identification

It is possible to search for many metal absorber species redwards of the QSO Lyman- α emission at high redshift, including amongst other OI, C II, Si II, N V, Si IV, Al II, Al III. However, C IV is the most useful due to its ubiquity and the fact that it is reliably identifiable as a doublet. Once the BEL and power-law continuum of the QSO are subtracted, the processed spectrum is searched for C IV doublets. We use a semi-automated identification algorithm.

Following Bosman et al. (2017), we fit an inverted Gaussian profile to the optical depth $\tau = -\log F$ every $\Delta v \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ interval, and apply three iterations of 2σ clipping to fit the most prominent feature only. We then estimate the column density for each C IV transition following the apparent optical depth method



Figure 2. Completeness of our C IV semi-automated retrieval computed by the insertion of 1000 C IV doublets at random redshifts in the ESI (squares), HIRES (triangles), and XShooter (dots) for different Doppler parameters $b = 10, 20, 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (green, blue, purple). The thin lines represent the average values per instrument, whereas the bold lines represent the weighted average values for our sample.

(Savage & Sembach 1991)

$$N_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}} = \frac{m_{\rm e}c}{\pi e^2 f \lambda} \int \ln G(v) {\rm d}v, \tag{3}$$

where G(v) is the fitted inverted Gaussian profile, f = 0.095 or 0.19 is the oscillator strength for the $\lambda = 1548.2$, 1550.8 Å transitions, respectively.

We select all pairs of Gaussian absorption profiles with log N_{CIV} > 12.5 and with a discrepancy in redshift $\Delta z < R/c$, where R is the resolution in km s⁻¹ and a discrepancy in column density $\Delta \log N_{CIV}$ within 2σ of the Gaussian fitting errors. We demonstrate in Fig. 1 the full fitting and search procedure on the sightline towards J0100+2802. The discrete nature of the search in wavelength space and the σ -clipping operations sometimes produces false detections or unreliable estimates of the column density of our absorbers. In order to account for these issues, these flagged candidates are then fitted with VPFIT (Carswell & Webb 2014) to confirm their nature and derive precise column densities.

To estimate the completeness of our search, we insert 1000 mock C IV absorbers for each of $b = 10, 20, 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ Doppler parameters and 0.2 dex increments in column density from $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{12} - 10^{14}$ cm⁻². We achieve a 90 per cent completeness level around log $N_{\text{CIV}} \simeq 14.0$ for all three instruments, assuming a Doppler parameter of $b = 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 2). This completeness is in good agreement with previous C IV searches cited beforehand given the resolution and SNR of the QSO spectra at hand.

An important issue is the possibility of false positives which would weaken the sought-after correlation. To assess the fraction of false positives in the candidates flagged by our algorithm, we first run the algorithm to search for doublet emission lines instead of absorption lines. This procedure should record no detections, but we sometimes recorded one or two detections per sightline due to glitches in the spectra or large residuals from continuum correction. Both kind of false positives were rejected when fitting with a Voigt profile with VPFIT as they do not show the characteristic shape of genuine C IV absorbers. We also insert mock absorbers with incorrect velocity spacing to assess the sensitivity of our algorithm to spuriously aligned absorption lines. We show in Fig. 3 the false



Figure 3. False positive rate of the algorithmic detection rate of C IV candidates for various velocity displacements, instruments, and column densities (coloured dots according to the right-hand scale bar). The higher densities are more contaminated due to the tolerance on the optical fitting and the broad absorption wings of these systems. The weighted mean false positive rate for our particular sample is indicated in dotted black, whereas the allowed values of the final false positive rate in our sample after visual inspection is shown in hatched red.

positive rate for different velocity offsets of the two C IV transitions from 25 per cent to 150 per cent relative error on the correct velocity spacing Δv of the doublet. We find that the mean false positive rate is $\simeq 22$ per cent for the algorithm search. We compute the mean false positive rate by weighting the false positive rates of each instrument by the number of QSO observed. Given that the candidates are inspected by eye while being fitted with VPFIT, we expect the final false positive rate to be lower because some false positives are discarded. However, assessing the efficiency of a visual inspection is difficult. We compare our search with DO13 on 6 matching sightlines where we found 56 C IV absorbers detections (see Section 2.4). Although we were more cautious than DO13 and rejected some of their absorbers, the fact that we found only two additional detections also argues against our technique generating false positives. Thus, if the remainder of the 150 C IV sample is pure at the algorithm level (< 22 per cent), then the false positive rate of our final sample is expected to be ≤ 8 per cent.

Finally, our search results in 150 C IV absorber detections at 4.5 < z < 6.3. Fig. 4 shows a graphical representation of the C IV absorbers found. Appendix A presents a complete list of our detected C IV absorbers.

2.4 Comparison with previous C IV searches

We briefly compare our results for those QSO sightlines already searched for C IV by previous authors to assess the purity of our method. All other detections on other sightlines are new detections and are listed in Table A1 as well as velocity plots in Appendix B for the reduced sample lying in the Lyman- α forest. Based on this comparison, we estimate our search to be in agreement, if somewhat more conservative, than previous CIV searches. We note that small differences in the column densities (up to ~0.2–0.3) are easily explained by the continuum fitting differences. We also sometimes fit fewer components than previous searches. The excellent agreement in the total cosmic density of C IV between previous studies and



Figure 4. Redshift distribution of C IV absorbers for 25 QSO sightlines. The black lines show the full range of the Lyman- α forest for each QSO and the style of the line indicates the instrument. The red bars indicate the redshift range of our CIV search. The absorbers are shown as coloured dots whose size and colour coding reflect their Doppler *b* parameter and column density, respectively.

our measurement shows these are minor issues driven by noise and different spectra.

3.1 Cosmic mass density of C IV

J0818+1722:We retrieve all C IV absorbers found previously by DO13 between 4.5 < z < 5.3, with $\Delta z < 0.01$. We note however that we fitted one component less to the C IV system at $z \simeq 4.726$. This however has no impact on our analysis as we cluster systems with $\Delta z < 0.01$.

J0836+0054:We detect the same systems at z = 4.68, 4.99, z = 5.12 and z = 5.32 as DO13. We fit two components less for the z = 4.99 to keep only the clear detections.

J0840+5624: We report three new systems at z = 4.49, 4.53, 4.55, which were not in the redshift range searched by Ryan-Weber et al. (2009).

J1030+0524/J1319+0950:We detect the same systems between 4.65 < z < 5.6 as DO13, to which we add new detections at z = 5.11 in the sightline of J1030+0524 and at z = 5.34 in the sightline of J1319+0950. We believe the detections were made possible by the quality of our XShooter spectra.

J1306+0356. We detect the same systems between 4.5 < z < 5, with the exception of z = 4.723 and $z \simeq 4.885$, which were both blended with sky lines in DO13 and that were not retained here.

J1509–1749:We recover the same systems between 4.6 < z < 6, with the exception of z = 5.7690 that we consider to be blended with a sky line in DO13's analysis. We also find that the z = 5.915 absorber is probably due a spurious alignment of lines.

3 RESULTS

The first physical result that can be readily derived from any sample of metal absorbers line is the comoving cosmic mass density as a function of redshift. This measurement provides valuable insight into the history of the metal enrichment of the Universe. Our large sample of C IV absorbers is used to place new constraints on the cosmic density of C IV at 5 < z < 6. The comoving mass density of C IV is computed as

$\Omega_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}} = \frac{H_0 m_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}}{c\rho_{\rm crit}} \int N_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}} f(N_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}) \mathrm{d}N_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}} \approx \frac{H_0 m_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}}{c\rho_{\rm crit}} \frac{\sum N_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}}{\Delta X}, \quad (4)$

where $f(N_{\text{CIV}})$ is the C IV column density function, m_{CIV} is the mass of C IV ion, $\rho_{\text{crit}} = 1.88 \times 10^{-29} h^2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ is the critical density of the Universe, and ΔX is the total absorption path-length searched by our survey. The summation runs over all C IV absorbers in the range of column densities of interest. The error is estimated as the fractional variance (Storrie-Lombardi et al. 1996)

$$\left(\frac{\delta\Omega_{\rm C\,IV}}{\Omega_{\rm C\,IV}}\right) = \frac{\sum (N_{\rm C\,IV})^2}{(\sum N_{\rm C\,IV})^2}.$$
(5)

We present our measurement on Fig. 5 alongside previous results in the literature. We chose a C IV absorber sample with selection criteria $13.8 \le \log N_{C IV} < 15.0$ at the two redshift intervals, 4.3 < z< 5.3 and 5.3 < z < 6.2, to facilitate a fair comparison with DO13's extensive data set across all redshifts. We note the agreement with their evolution which indicates a general decline in cosmic density with redshift. We note that although different observations and recovery pipelines differ on the exact list of absorbers between studies, the cosmic density measurements are very similar. We list the values with and without complete correction (Table 3) for different



Figure 5. Evolution of the cosmic density of CIV at $1.5 \leq z \leq 6.2$ (D'Odorico et al. 2010, 2013, black triangles, black pentagons) and the corresponding power-law fit $\Omega_{CIV} = (2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-8} [(1 + z)/4]^{-3.1 \pm 0.1}$ (black dotted line). Our measurements (filled and empty red squares, with and without completeness correction) are in good agreement with D'Odorico et al. (2013) as well as other previous studies: Ryan-Weber et al. (2009, blue dots), Becker et al. (2009, green 95 per cent confidence interval), Simcoe et al. (2011, dark green stars), and Bosman et al. (2017, magenta upper limit). The density error bars indicate 1σ confidence intervals.

Table 3. Average completeness for the C IV search. The completeness is a weighted average of the completeness values of Fig. 2 with $b = 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for our specific set of QSO sightlines (HIRES:2, ESI:12, XShooter:11).

$\log N_{\rm C{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}/({\rm cm}^{-2})$	13.0	13.2	13.4	13.6	13.8	14
Completeness	0.18	0.27	0.36	0.49	0.60	0.70
$\log N_{\rm CIV}/({\rm cm}^{-2})$	14.2	14.4	14.6	14.8	15.0	
Completeness	0.78	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.87	

redshift intervals in Table 4. The completeness correction does not significantly change the overall decline of the cosmic density in our redshift interval.

This decline of Ω_{CIV} reflects both (i) the build-up of total carbon budget at decreasing redshifts, as more metal is ejected into the circum-/intergalactic medium around star-forming galaxies by outflows and (ii) the changing ionization state of carbon due to the evolving spectral shape of the UV background (see e.g. Becker et al. 2015a, for a review and references therein). We will discuss the chemical enrichment and other properties of C IV-host in Section 5 after presenting their 1D correlation with the IGM transmission.

3.2 The observed 1D correlation of C IV with IGM transmission

The main result of this paper is the 1D correlation between our C IV absorbers and the IGM transmission shown in Fig. 7. We compute the correlation using Davis & Peebles (1983) estimator

$$\hat{\xi}_{\text{CIV-Ly}\alpha}(r) = \frac{D_{\text{CIV}}D_{\text{T}}}{R_{\text{CIV}}D_{\text{T}}} - 1 = \frac{\langle T(r)\rangle_{\text{CIV}}}{\overline{T}} - 1, \qquad (6)$$

where the D_{CIV} is the pixel corresponding to the HI Lyman- α absorption at the redshift of a detected CIV absorber, and D_{T} =

 $\langle T(r) \rangle_{\Delta r}$, is the transmission in the forest of the QSOs at a distance r from the C IV absorber. To make the comparison with previous studies easier, we provide an equivalent formulation where $\langle T(r) \rangle_{C_{\text{IV}}}$ is the average Lyman- α transmission T at a distance r of the redshift C IV absorbers, and \overline{T} is the average transmission at the redshift z in the QSO line-of-sight (LOS) studied. Operationally, for each $D_{C_{IV}}$, we take the transmission in neighbouring pixels $D_{\rm T}$ and compute the LOS Hubble distance. Similarly, the average transmission is estimated from a random distribution of absorbers with redshifts $R_{\rm CIV}$. The random redshifts $R_{\rm CIV}$ are generated by oversampling 50 times the redshift interval $z_{\rm CIV} \pm 0.1$ around each observed C IV absorber detected at z_{CIV} in each LOS, so as to reproduce the observed redshift distribution and sightline-to-sightline transmission variance. We note that the conversion from velocity space to Hubble distance is subject to a caveat due to peculiar velocities on small scales further discussed in Section 5.3. We weight the pixels with the inverse variance as we perform the mean to bin the correlation function linearly or logarithmically depending on the analysis, and we bin the observed and random absorbers in a consistent manner. In order to have sensible values of the transmission and correct for any misfits of the power-law continuum (see Section 2.1), we remove pixel artefacts by excluding those with T < -2e and T e > 1, where T is the transmission and e the corresponding measurement error. We also only use pixels between 1045 and 1176 Å in the QSO rest frame to avoid the QSO Lyman- β and $-\alpha$ intrinsic emission. To estimate the error we choose a Jackknife test given our modest sample of sightlines. We draw 500 subsets of half of the CIV sample, generate accordingly the random samples and compute the correlation for these subsets. The variance of the 500 draws is then used as an estimate of our errors. We note that this method is more conservative than Poisson or bootstrap errors, and converges with an increasing sample size.

Not all C IV absorbers detected in the sightline of the QSOs are suitable for this measurement. We define here the sample of CIV absorbers redshifts used for the correlation with the IGM transmission, named Sample α . First and foremost, the corresponding HI Lyman- α should be between 1045 and 1176 Å in the QSO rest frame to avoid the QSO Lyman- β and - α intrinsic emission. Secondly, as we are using CIV as a tracer of galaxies we take only the redshift of the strongest absorber for systems with multiple components when they are $\delta v < 100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ apart. This avoids systems with multiple components multiply sampling the same part of the QSO forest and thus biasing the measurement. Finally, as our estimator requires a proper measurement of the transmission in the Lyman- α , it cannot produce a sensible measurement where the average flux in the Lyman- α forest falls below the sensitivity of the spectrograph, producing lower limits on the correlation that are not easily interpreted. We hence remove CIVabsorbers lying in saturated end regions of the Lyman- α forest where the average transmission over $\Delta z = 0.1$ is less than the average 1σ error level of the flux measurement. We emphasize that this last step only removes the end part of two QSO forests in which C IV absorbers sit, and exclude only ~ 10 per cent of Sample α .

The selection described above leaves 37 C IV systems absorbers suitable for the correlation measurement to which we add the z = 5.738 absorber on the LOS of J1148+3549 from Ryan-Weber et al. (2009), which was detected in an NIRSPEC spectra in wavelengths unaccessible to our ESI spectra. The average redshift of Sample α is $\langle z_{\rm CIV} \rangle = 5.18$ and the average column density log $\langle N_{\rm CIV} \rangle \simeq 13.8$. We show the six lower redshift detections of Sample α on Fig. 6. The whole sample is presented in Appendix B.

Table 4. C IV number line densities and corresponding cosmic densities for the 2 redshift-selected samples. Columns refer to: (1) redshift interval of sample (2) C IV column density (3) Comoving path-length (4) incidence rate per path-length (5) cosmic mass density with completeness correction (see Fig. 2 and Table 3).

Redshift	$\log N_{\rm CIV}$	ΔX	$d\mathcal{N}/dX^a$	$\Omega_{ m C{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}~(imes~10^{-8})^a$
4.3–5.3	13.8–15.0	76.3	0.60 (0.39)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 \pm 0.18 \; (0.75 \pm 0.16) \\ 0.72 \pm 0.32 \; (0.62 \pm 0.31) \end{array}$
5.3–6.2	13.8–15.0	21.6	0.34 (0.28)	

^aThe bracketed values are without completeness correction.



Figure 6. First lower redshift detections of our C IV sample detected with a corresponding H I Lyman- α absorption in the QSO Lyman- α forest. The entire sample of 37 such absorbers is presented in Fig. B1.



Figure 7. The measured 1D correlation between C IV absorbers and the IGM transmission (black) is compared to previous studies on LBG–IGM correlation: Adelberger et al. (2003, 2005, yellow, orange crosses), studies at $z \sim 2.5$ and $z \sim 3$ and the VLT LBG survey at $z \sim 3$ (Bielby et al. 2017, red crosses). The central trough is enhanced at high redshift and the excess on the large scales is in contrast to the flat profile seen in low-redshift data.

The 1D correlation signal reveals an excess absorption at $r_c \lesssim 5 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}$ around C IV absorbers at 4.5 < z < 6. This excess absorption is also found around $z \sim 3$ LBGs (Adelberger et al. 2003, 2005; Bielby et al. 2017) (see Fig. 7 for a comparison). The excess absorption is detected on the same scales ($\sim 5 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}$) for both $z \sim 3$ LBGs and $z \sim 5.2$ C IVs, but the excess absorption

seems much stronger in the latter objects. The absorption excess is perhaps emerging more clearly due the overall opaqueness Lyman- α forest at $z \sim 5.2$. The trough also shows the expected co-spatiality of C IVand Lyman- α absorption. There is also an excess of transmission at $10 \lesssim r \lesssim 30$ cMpc h^{-1} around C IV . This excess on large scales was detected around spectroscopically confirmed LBGs in Paper I. The significance of the excess on 10-30 cMpc h^{-1} scales is 2.7σ for the average transmission $T\simeq 0.14$ versus a null mean flux. We note that the signal goes to zero at large distances, indicating that the excess is unlikely to be caused by the wrong normalization of the 1D C IV–IGM correlation. We discuss the physical implications in Section 5.

4 MODELLING THE CIV-IGM CORRELATION

In order to interpret the data, we use the linearized version of the model introduced and discussed in detail in Paper I. The precepts for the LBG–IGM cross-correlation discussed in Paper I can be easily modified for *any* tracer of galaxies, and the dense, ionized C IV gas is an ideal candidate.

Supposing that galaxies hosted by dark matter haloes eject their material by galactic winds, chemically enriching the surrounding IGM environment. C IV absorbers act as a tracer of galaxies. At $z \gtrsim 5$, patchy reionization can produce the fluctuations in the UV background affecting the Ly α forest transmission around galaxies. Therefore, the C IV–IGM correlation will reflect both (i) the correlation between matter and galaxies and (ii) the enhanced UV background around the C IV-host galaxies. Following appendix B of Paper I, we showed the expected flux transmission at a comoving distance *r* from the position of a C IV absorber is

$$T(r) = \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r)) = \overline{T}(1 + \xi_{C \text{ IV-Ly}\alpha}(r)), \tag{7}$$

where \overline{T} is the average transmitted flux in the Lyman- α forest at the redshift of interest, and the CIV–IGM correlation along the LOS is then given by

$$\xi_{\text{CIV-Ly}\alpha}(r) \approx b_{\text{CIV}} b_{\alpha} \xi_{\text{m}}^{\text{lin}}(r, \mu = 1) + b_{\text{CIV}} b_{\Gamma} \xi_{\Gamma}^{\text{lin}}(r).$$
(8)

The contribution of the matter correlation around galaxies is quantified with the two bias factors of C IV-host galaxies b_{CIV} and the Lyman- α forest b_{α} . The redshift-space sightline linear matter correlation function $\xi_m^{\text{lin}}(r, \mu = 1)$ follows from the real-space matter correlation function (Hamilton 1992),

$$\xi_m^{\rm lin}(r,\mu=1) = \xi_0(r)P_0(\mu) + \xi_2(r)P_2(\mu) + \xi_4(r)P_4(\mu), \tag{9}$$

with $\xi_0(r) = [1 + (\beta_{CIV} + \beta_{\alpha})/3 + \beta_{CIV}\beta_{\alpha}/5]\xi(r),$ $\xi_2(r) = [(2/3)(\beta_{CIV} + \beta_{\alpha}) + (4/7)\beta_{CIV}\beta_{\alpha}][\xi(r) - \bar{\xi}(r)],$ and $\xi_4(r) = (8/35)\beta_{CIV}\beta_{\alpha}[\xi(r) + (5/2)\bar{\xi}(r) - (7/2)\bar{\xi}(r)]$ where $\xi(r)$ is the linear matter correlation function in real space, and β_{CIV} and β_{α} are the redshift-space distortion (RSD) parameters (Kaiser 1987). The RSD parameter of C IV is set to $\beta_{CIV} \approx \Omega_m^{0.6}(z)/b_{CIV} \approx 1/b_{CIV}$. The Lyman- α forest RSD parameter β_{α} is found to be relatively constant at lower redshift from observations in the range $\beta \simeq$ 1.2–1.7 (Slosar et al. 2011; Blomqvist et al. 2015; Bautista et al. 2018). We set $\beta_{\alpha} = 1.5$ as a fiducial value. The Ly α forest bias is chosen such that the model 1D Ly α forest power spectrum is consistent with observations from Viel et al. (2013), which leads to the fiducial value of $b_{\alpha} = -1.3$.

On large scales, the contribution of the enhanced UV background becomes increasingly important. The mean photoionization rate $\bar{\Gamma}$ of the IGM from star-forming galaxies depends on the population average of the product of LyC escape fraction and LyC photon production efficiency $\langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle$,

$$\bar{\Gamma} \propto \langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle \lambda_{\rm mfp} \int_{-\infty}^{M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}} L_{\rm UV}(M_{\rm UV}) \frac{{\rm d}n}{{\rm d}M_{\rm UV}} {\rm d}M_{\rm UV}, \tag{10}$$

where $dn/dM_{\rm UV}$ is the UV luminosity function (Bouwens et al. 2015) and $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}$ is the limiting UV magnitude of galaxies that contribute to the UV background. We adopt the value of mean free path $\lambda_{\rm mfp}$ of Worseck et al. (2014). The effect of the UV background is then modelled through the bias factor b_{Γ} defined by

$$b_{\Gamma} = \frac{\int \Delta_{\rm b} P_V(\Delta_{\rm b}) \tau_{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\rm b}) e^{-\tau_{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\rm b})}}{\int \Delta_{\rm b} P_V(\Delta_{\rm b}) e^{-\tau_{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\rm b})}},$$
(11)

where $\tau_{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}, \Delta_b) \simeq 5.5 \Delta_b^2 (\bar{\Gamma}/10^{-12} \text{s}^{-1})^{-1} (T/10^4 \text{K})^{-0.72} [(1 + z)/6]^{9/2}$ is the Lyman- α optical depth at the mean photoionization rate (we assume a uniform temperature of $T = 10^4$ K), $P_V(\Delta_b)$ is the volume-weighted probability distribution function of baryon overdensity Δ_b (Pawlik, Schaye & van Scherpenzeel 2009). The correlation function of the UV background with galaxies is

$$\xi_{\Gamma}^{\rm lin}(r) = \langle b_{\rm g}(< M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}) \rangle_{\rm L} \int \frac{k^2 {\rm d}k}{2\pi^2} R(k\lambda_{\rm mfp}) P_{\rm m}^{\rm lin}(k) \frac{\sin kr}{kr} , \qquad (12)$$

where $R(k\lambda_{\rm mfp}) = \arctan(k\lambda_{\rm mfp})/(k\lambda_{\rm mfp})$, $P_{\rm m}^{\rm lin}(k)$ is the linear matter power spectrum, and $\langle b_{\rm g}(\langle M_{\rm UV}) \rangle_{\rm L}$ is the luminosity-weighted bias of ionizing sources above $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lin}$, which is evaluated with the same procedure as in Paper I. We use the halo occupation number framework with the conditional luminosity function which parameters are fixed by simultaneously fitting the Bouwens et al. (2015) $z \sim 5$ luminosity function and the Harikane et al. (2016) LBG correlation functions, resulting in the best-fitting parameters ($M_{\rm UV,0}, \log M_{\rm h}^{\rm h}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \sigma_{\rm c}, \log \phi_0, \alpha_{\rm s}, \beta_{\rm s}$) =

(-22.29, 11.90, 2.39, -0.06, 0.2(fixed), -1.49, -1.26, 0.85) (see Paper I for more details).

Overall, the linear model used to describe the observed C IV– IGM correlation contains five parameters; two to describe the UV background ($\langle f_{\rm esc}\xi_{\rm ion}\rangle$, $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}$), one to describe the halo bias of C IV-host galaxies $b_{\rm CIV}$, and two to describe the matter fluctuations in the Lyman- α forest (b_{α} , β_{α}). Our fiducial linear model leaves the first three parameters free ($\langle f_{\rm esc}\xi_{\rm ion}\rangle$, $M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim}$, $b_{\rm CIV}$), but the full five parameter model including (b_{α} , β_{α}) is examined in Appendix C.

We fit the linear model to the linearly binned correlation using the Markov chain Monte Carlo affine sampler from the EMCEE package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). In doing so, we assume a flat prior in all three parameters in the following ranges: 23 < $\log \langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle / [\rm erg^{-1} \ Hz] < 27, -20 < M_{\rm UV}^{\rm lim} < -8, 0 < b_{\rm C\,{\scriptscriptstyle IV}} < 10^3.$ The priors are quite broad and encompass all plausible physical values. We run the sampler for 5000 steps with 24 walkers, discarding 500 steps for burn-in and fixing the scale parameter to ensure the acceptance rate stays within 0.3 < r < 0.5. The walkers are initialized in a Gaussian sphere with variance $\sigma = 0.1$ at different locations in the allowed parameter space, without any noticeable change to our results. We exclude the first data point at $r = 2.5 \pm 2.5$ cMpc h^{-1} from the fit and we cap the linear model at -1 because it does not hold on very small scales, predicting unphysical correlation values $\xi(r \lesssim 2.5 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}) < -1$. The model is evaluated at $\langle z_{CIV} \rangle = 5.18$. The resulting fit and the possible inference on the three parameters is discussed in the next section.

5 PHYSICAL IMPLICATIONS

We discuss the physical implications of our measurements of the C IV–IGM 1D correlation at $z \simeq 5$. The two main features of the correlation are (i) an excess Lyman- α forest absorption on small scales r < 5 cMpc h^{-1} suggestive of the gas overdensity around C IV absorbers and indicative evidence of the outskirt of the CGM around the z > 5 galaxies and (ii) an excess IGM transmission on large scale ($r \gtrsim 10 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}$) which is consistent with an enhanced UV background around C IV powered by galaxy clustering with a large ionizing photon budget as predicted in Paper I. In Fig. 8, we show the observed CIV-IGM correlation overlaid with our linear model presented before. The large-scale excess transmission of the correlation is reasonably well captured by the model despite its simplicity, confirming the foregoing interpretation. Clearly any interpretation, and subsequent inference on the exact escape fraction and spectral hardness, will be subjected to the uncertainties due to our modest sample size and theoretical model. These are addressed in Section 5.3.

We show the posterior probability distribution of the parameters for our likelihood in Fig. 9. We find that the observed largescale IGM transmission excess requires a large population-averaged product of LyC escape fraction and spectral hardness parameter, $\log \langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle / (erg^{-1}Hz) = 25.01^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$ (for the fiducial model fit) where the quoted error is the 1σ credibility interval. Even using a conservative modelling approach using the full five parameters with flat priors, the observed level of the large-scale excess seems to indicate a large value $\log \langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle / [erg^{-1} Hz] \gtrsim 24.7$ (1σ limit) (see Appendix C for full details). The limiting UV magnitude of the ionizing sources is unconstrained in the prior range. At face value, the best-fitting value of C IV bias $b_{CIV} = 7.09^{+3.29}_{-2.89}$ appears



Figure 8. Upper panel: The measured correlation between C IV and the IGM transmission, linearly binned, compared to our models. We show various linear models of the correlation for illustrative purposes. Blue line (maximum likelihood model, see Fig. 9): $(\log \langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle/(erg^{-1}Hz) = 25.01, M_{UV}^{lim} = -10.82, b_{C IV}, b_{\alpha} = -1.3, \beta_{\alpha} = 1.5)$. We then change the parameter of interest, the photon production product to show the impact on the correlation. Orange semidotted line: $\log \langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle/[erg^{-1} Hz] = 26.01$. Green semidotted line: $\log \langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle/[erg^{-1} Hz] = 24.01$. The limitation of the linear model on the scale where it produces unphysical values of $\xi_{C IV-Ly\alpha} (\lesssim 3 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}) < -1$. In order to limit the impact on the fit, we capped the value of the linear model at -1 and excluded the first point from the fit. Lower panel: Number of C IV absorbers in Sample α contributing to the measure at different scales.



Figure 9. Posterior distribution of the parameters in our linear model of the correlation. The quoted numbers of the 1D histogram give the 1σ credibility interval. Fitting the data with our linear model put constraints on the product $\log \langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle / (erg^{-1}Hz) = 25.01^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$ and the bias of the C IV haloes $b_{CIV} = 7.09^{+3.29}_{-2.86}$. The limiting UV magnitude of UV contributors is left unconstrained. It is indeed the parameter that affects least the correlation.

somewhat large corresponding to a host halo mass of 11.3 $\lesssim \log M_{\rm h}/{\rm M}_{\odot} \lesssim 12.6$. However, this value is degenerate with other Lyman- α forest parameters unknown at z > 5.0, permitting values as small as $b_{\rm CIV} = 3.5^{+2.0}_{-1.0}$. The corresponding host halo mass of $10.4 \lesssim \log M_{\rm h}/{\rm M}_{\odot} \lesssim 11.6$ is then consistent with the data. Therefore, the host halo mass of C IV absorbers is loosely constrained to lie between $10.4 \lesssim \log M_{\rm h}/{\rm M}_{\odot} \lesssim 12.6$.

5.1 The properties of C IV hosts, faint galaxies, and feedback

We can use abundance matching to compute the halo mass of the C IV hosts. We find that the sightline number density of our sample of C IV absorbers with $\log N_{C IV} > 13.0$ is $d\mathcal{N}/dX = 4.38 \pm 0.42(4.42 \pm 0.85)$ for absorbers at 4.3 < z < 5.3 (5.3 < z < 6.2), where the quoted errors are Poisson and we have applied a completeness correction.

We then compute the comoving density of the absorbers. As galactic outflows enrich the gas around galaxies out to a distance R_{CIV} and with a CIV covering fraction f_c , assuming a one-to-one relation between CIV absorbers and dark matter haloes, the incidence rate is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{d}X} = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_{M_\mathrm{h}}^{\infty} \langle f_\mathrm{c} \pi R_{\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{IV}}^2 \rangle \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}M_\mathrm{h}'} \mathrm{d}M_\mathrm{h}',\tag{13}$$

where $\langle f_c \pi R_{C_{IV}}^2 \rangle$ is the population-averaged physical cross-section of metal enriched gas, dn/dM_h is a halo mass function in comoving units, and the comoving density is given by $\phi \equiv \int_{M_h}^{\infty} \frac{dn}{dM'_h} dM'_h$. A conservative maximal enrichment radius for C IV is $r \lesssim 100$ pkpc, which has been derived from simulations of high-redshift galaxies by Oppenheimer et al. (2009). Keating et al. (2016) have found that the maximal enrichment radius could be twice as small, with most metal-rich outflows travelling less than 50 pkpc from their host galaxy. Assuming a single physical cross-section for the whole sample, we derive likely UV luminosities and masses for C IV hosts with a conservative enrichment radius $25 < R_{CIV} < 100$ pkpc with $f_c = 1$. For our fiducial choice of 5.3 < z < 6.2 absorbers, $d\mathcal{N}/dX = 4.42$, and a physical cross-enrichment radius of $R_{CIV} \simeq 100$ pkpc, we find $\phi \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{-2}$ cMpc⁻³.

This translates to likely halo masses of $M_{\rm h} \gtrsim 10^{10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ (Murray, Power & Robotham 2013). We can also translate the abundance to luminosities of $M_{\rm UV} \lesssim -16$ (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2015). Clearly a smaller enrichment radius by weaker outflow and/or clumpy distribution of metal enriched gas ($f_{\rm c} < 1$) requires lower mass, more abundant haloes as the hosts of C IV. With improved measurements and analysis, in the future we hope to invert this argument such that, given an independent measure of C IV-host halo mass from the correlation, it will be possible to infer the cross-section of metal enriched gas, i.e. the properties of galactic outflows around near reionization-era galaxies.

Our study offers an interesting new insight on C IV absorbers as we view them in absorption with respect to the Lyman- α forest at $z \sim 5$. We note that all C IV absorbers in our Sample α always fall into highly opaque troughs with no Lyman- α transmission, which is surprising given that velocity shifts can occur between the CIV and the expected associated Lyman- α line, and thus in principle flux could be detected in some cases (see Figs 6 and B1). When multiple C IV absorbers are detected in the same trough, the separation is at least $0.002 < \Delta z < 0.005$. Pairs of C IV absorbers sharing the same trough could be two distinct C IV-enriched clouds, corresponding to separations of 200–400 ckpc h^{-1} . Alternatively this might imply outflows with speeds around $\sim 25-100 \,\mathrm{km \ s^{-1}}$. The absence of Lyman- α transmission at the redshift of every CIV absorber and the average separation between absorbers within the same opaque region could potentially serve as a test for models which aim at reproducing the distribution and velocity of metals in the early Universe.

5.2 Escape fraction, spectral hardness, and UV background

Our inference on the mean ionizing photon production rate and escape fraction product of the galaxies clustered around CIV and likely contributing to reionization is $\log \langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle / (erg^{-1}Hz) =$ $25.01^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$. This would imply a $\gtrsim 50$ per cent escape fraction if adopting the 'canonical' values of the LyC production efficiency of $\log \xi_{ion} / [erg^{-1} Hz] = 25.2 - 25.4$ found for z = 4 - 5 LBGs (Bouwens et al. 2016). However, recent studies of intermediate redshift Lyman- α Emitters (LAEs) (Nakajima et al. 2016, 2018) and C III] emitters (Stark et al. 2015, 2017) have found higher LyC production rates in the range at $\log \xi_{ion} / [erg^{-1} Hz] \simeq 25.5 - 25.8$. Our estimated value for the product would still then imply a high mean escape fraction e.g. $\langle f_{esc} \rangle \simeq 0.32 \, (0.16)$ for a fiducial log $\langle \xi_{ion} \rangle / [erg^{-1}]$ Hz] = 25.5 (25.8). Conceivably, our sub-luminous sources clustered around CIV absorbers may have an even harder ionizing spectrum if these sources are expected to have an average escape fraction of $\lesssim 10$ per cent as is found at lower redshift.

In Paper I we found an escape fraction $\langle f_{esc} \rangle \gtrsim 10$ per cent with a fiducial log $\langle \xi_{ion} \rangle / [erg^{-1} \text{ Hz}] = 25.2$ for faint galaxies clustered

around LBGs, with a log $\langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle$ product 1 dex lower than our inference from the C IV–IGM 1D correlation. At face value both the escape fraction and the spectral hardness of the galaxies probed by C IV absorbers seems to be increased with respect to the population probed by LBGs in Paper I. Meanwhile, we found several O1 absorbers (Becker et al. 2006) in the vicinity of a confirmed LBGs, while none of our detected C IV absorbers had a confirmed bright counterpart in the LOS towards J1148+5251. These two clues likely suggest that the population traced by LBGs and C IV absorbers is different.

If C IV systems correspond to $M_{\rm UV} \lesssim -16$ galaxies, then by using them as tracers we are likely selecting overdensities less massive and fainter than those traced by LBGs and LAEs. A harder spectrum might then be attributed to a faint clustered population, consistent with the trend of a harder ionizing spectrum $\xi_{\rm ion}$ in fainter galaxies recently reported by Nakajima et al. (2016, 2018). We can however not exclude that a high average escape fraction is solely driving our high value of log $\langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle$.

Finally, our results have interesting implications for the observability of galaxies associated with CIV-hosting haloes. CIV is a highly ionized ion, indicating the presence of radiation at the ~ 4 Ryd level in the immediate vicinity of the hosts. While at low redshift this radiation is provided by the mean UVB, at z = 5 the large-scale excess transmission seems to indicate that the collective radiation by large-scale galaxy overdensities around C IV absorbers becomes important. Together with our relatively large halo masses for C IV hosts (10.4 $\leq \log M_h/M_{\odot} < 12.6$), this seems to indicate that C IV absorption should be tracing galaxy overdensities. However, the independent evidence from searches for emission counterparts to metal absorbers at high redshift is sparse and conflicting (Díaz et al. 2011; Cai et al. 2017). To date, no direct emission counterparts of C IV absorbers have been found at z > 5.0. In spite of this, Díaz et al. (2014, 2015) found an overdensity of LAEs within 10 cMpc h^{-1} of two quasar sightlines containing C IV absorbers. This is broadly consistent with the picture in which the strongest ionization takes place in small galaxies, implying the likely hosts of CIV would be fainter LAEs within overdensities of brighter objects.

5.3 Alternative interpretations and caveats

We have assumed for our analysis that CIV absorbers are good tracers of galaxies. Due to the CIV wind velocity and the spatial distance between the gas and the host galaxy, this assumption is however only true on somewhat large scales. The typical outflow speed (e.g. Steidel et al. 2010) is $\sim 200 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$, meaning that at z \sim 5.5, the maximum distance a metal can travel over the age of the Universe is about ~ 0.2 pMpc. In simulations where a more careful modelling of the distribution of metals is done, C IV is expected to travel on average ≤ 100 pkpc away from the progenitor galaxy (Oppenheimer et al. 2009; Bird et al. 2016; Keating et al. 2016). The recent detection of a galaxy at ~ 40 pkpc from a C IV absorber in the sightline of J2310+1855 further strengthen this point (D'Odorico et al. 2018). Given the expected spatial offsets ($\leq 0.2 \text{ pMpc}$), and the wind speeds involved ($\sim 200 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$), it is fair to argue that the redshift of C IV is a proxy for the redshift of the host galaxy with an error $<400 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. We note that this is comparable with the typical difference between the systemic redshift and the one derived from Lyman- α emission for galaxies in Paper I. Thus, C IV reasonably traces galaxies on scales ≥ 0.6 pMpc at redshift $z \sim 5.5$. This impacts only the two innermost bins of the correlation in Fig. 8, but the innermost bin is excluded from the fit for reasons exposed above. Hence, we conclude C IV is therefore a suitable tracer of galaxies for the purpose of the 1D correlation with the IGM transmission where a transmission excess is expected to show a positive signal on scales much greater than the redshift-space offset between C IV and its host (10-30 cMpc).

An alternative interpretation of the transmission excess seen at $r \gtrsim 10 \text{ cMpc } h^{-1}$ in Fig. 8 is shifted Lyman- α flux from an associated galaxies. This, however, would imply a mean velocity shift of $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha} \gtrsim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is a very high value considering the results of previous studies (e.g. Adelberger et al. 2003; Steidel et al. 2010; Erb et al. 2014; Stark et al. 2017). The addition of the physical offset between C IV gas and the progenitor galaxy could potentially add to this shift, but we have no reason to believe that spatial offsets of C IV and velocity offsets of Lyman- α should conspire to influence significantly the observed flux in the Lyman- α forests of QSOs.

Measuring the correlation of any population with the IGM transmission is subject to uncertainties. First of all, the sample is subject to cosmic variance even with a size of 38 objects. Indeed, some sightlines present up to ~5 C IV absorbers with $\log N_{\rm C IV} > 13$ where some are devoid of them in the redshift range searched (see Fig. 4). This, in conjunction with the fact that the Lyman- α forest at 5 < z < 6 can show large deviations from the mean opacity (Bosman et al. 2018), yields a noisy correlation even with our sample.

Two other sources of errors are the possible contamination of the Lyman- α forest of the QSO by weak emission and/or metal absorption lines from CIV host galaxies or nearby galaxies. The first should only contribute at most in a few bins of Fig. 8, given the 200–250 km s⁻¹ winds of C IV clouds. As all our observations were carried out with $0.5-1 \operatorname{arcsec} \times 11 \operatorname{arcsec}$ slits (XShooter) and $0.5-1 \operatorname{arcsec} \times 20 \operatorname{arcsec}$ slits (ESI), the C IV hosts most of the time do not fall in the slit if they are believed to be within <100pkpc of the CIV cloud. We hence expect no significant contamination from an associated Lyman- α emitting galaxy in the QSO Lyman- α forest. Metal absorption lines (e.g. SiIII 1206) can only reduce the signal observed and thus would not affect our claimed excess transmission on large scales. The large redshift interval sampling is likely to smear the signal as there may be a rapid evolution in the population of C IV at $z \sim 5$. If C IV traces many distinct populations at once, the signal could be indeed mixed across species and redshifts, but the detection of an excess transmission still holds.

Although surprisingly effective for a first interpretation of the C IV-IGM correlation, our model has a number of shortcomings. It is firstly a linear model and thus the small scales may contain in large modelling uncertainties due to non-linear effects. This shortcoming on the small scales is probably best illustrated by the unphysical values derived in the $r \leq 2.5$ cMpc h^{-1} region. This model also requires a measurement of the bias and RSD parameter of the Lyman- α forest at $z \gtrsim 5$. To illustrate this, we have left b_{α} , β_{α} as a free parameter with flat prior in $-3 < b_{\alpha} < 0$, $3 < \beta_{\alpha} < 0$ to see the effect on the inferred parameters (see Appendix C). We notice that b_{α} is in near perfect degeneracy with $b_{C IV}$ and $\log \langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle$. Although the inferred log $\langle f_{esc} \xi_{ion} \rangle$ using a flat prior is consistent within 1σ of our result presented above, a substantial uncertainty still remains. This linear model would hence benefit from a reliable measurement of the Lyman- α bias parameters at z > 5. Clearly one possible way to circumvent this issue is to directly compare the 1D correlation measurement with hydrodynamical (radiative transfer) simulations calibrated against Lyman- α forest observables at the same redshift. We are planning to investigate such approach in future work, but we here limit ourselves to the linear model for the sake of brevity.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The 1D correlation of metals with the IGM transmission offers a promising tool to test different models of reionization and requires high-resolution spectroscopy of a fair number of bright sources at the redshift of interest. This measurement enables the indirect study of objects aligned with and hence outshined by $z \sim 6$ QSO. We have therefore conducted a semi-automated search for C IV absorbers in order to study how these absorbers can trace potential sources of ionizing photons and gathered the largest sample of C IV absorbers at 4.3 < z < 6.2. We have updated the measurements of C IV cosmic density, confirming its rapid decline with redshift. Through abundance-matching arguments, we have identified C IV as being associated with $M_{\rm UV} \lesssim -16$ faint galaxies in $\log M_{\rm h}/M_{\odot} \gtrsim 10$ haloes.

We have detected excess H I absorption in the Lyman- α forest at the redshift of $z \sim 5-6$ C IV absorbers, at a similar scale to that of the IGM absorption around lower redshift LBGs. We have also detected an excess transmission at 2.7 σ on larger scales in the correlation of C IV with the IGM transmission. We interpret this excess as a signal of the reionization process driven by galaxies clustered around C IV absorbers. Using the model developed in Paper I, we have put constraints on the product of the escape fraction and the LyC photon production efficiency $\log \langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle = 25.01^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$. Although caveats about the observation and the modelling remain, we have shown that C IV absorbers trace different galaxies than the ones clustered around LAEs (Paper I), with either higher spectral hardness or possibly larger escape fractions.

More QSO sightlines are needed to fully sample cosmic variance and provide a better measurement of the correlation. Larger numbers of sightlines and absorbers would not only improve the statistics but also allow a study of the redshift evolution of the escape fraction and LyC production efficiency of the probed galaxies. We point out that a decrease of the cosmic density of C IV makes it more difficult to trace the same objects at all redshifts. However, at higher redshift different metal absorbers such as Mg II or Si IV could be used to trace galaxies. In doing so, we would probe as well different ionizing environments and possibly different galaxy populations. Eventually, the degeneracy with the spectral hardness of our measurement can be broken by harvesting our large sample of aligned metal absorbers to probe their ionization state using forward modelling. Radiative transfer simulations with non-uniform UVB including the tracking and modelling of the different metal gas phases could reproduce the correlation, provided large enough boxes and sightlines can be produced in a reasonable amount of time. This opens new avenues into the question driving this series: the nature of the sources of reionization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for comments that have significantly improved the manuscript. RAM, SEIB, KK, RSE acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 669253). We thank R. Bielby for kindly sharing the measurements of the $z \sim 3$ LBG–IGM correlation. We thank G. Kulkarni, L. Weinberger, A. Font-Ribera for useful discussions. Based on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern hemisphere under ESO programme(s) 084A-0550, 084A-0574, 086A-0574, 087A-0890, and 088A-0897. This research has made use of the KOA, which is operated by the W. M. Keck Observatory and the NASA Exoplanet Science Institute (NExScI), under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Some of the data used in this work was taken with the W.M. Keck Observatory on Maunakea, Hawaii, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation. The authors wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Maunakea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. The authors acknowledge the use of the UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL), and associated support services, in the completion of this work.

REFERENCES

- Adelberger K. L. Steidel C. C., Shapley A. E., Pettini M. , 2003, ApJ, 584, 45
- Adelberger K. L., Shapley A. E., Steidel C. C., Pettini M., Erb D. K., Reddy N. A., 2005, ApJ, 629, 636
- Atek H. et al., 2015, ApJ, 814, 69
- Atek H., Richard J., Kneib J. P., Schaerer D., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 5184
- Bañados E. et al., 2016, ApJS, 227, 11
- Bañados E. et al., 2018, Nature, 553, 473
- Bautista J. E. et al., 2018, ApJ, 863, 110
- Becker G. D., Sargent W. L. W., Rauch M., Simcoe R. A., 2006, ApJ, 640, 69
- Becker G. D., Rauch M., Sargent W. L. W., 2009, ApJ, 698, 1010
- Becker G. D., Sargent W. L. W., Rauch M., Calverley A. P., 2011, ApJ, 735, 93
- Becker G. D., Sargent W. L. W., Rauch M., Carswell R. F., 2012, ApJ, 744, 91
- Becker G. D., Bolton J. S., Lidz A., 2015a, PASA, 32, e045
- Becker G. D., Bolton J. S., Madau P., Pettini M., Ryan-Weber E. V., Venemans B. P., 2015b, MNRAS, 447, 3402
- Becker G. D., Davies F. B., Furlanetto S. R., Malkan M. A., Boera E., Douglass C., 2018, ApJ, 863, 92
- Bielby R. M. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 471, 2174
- Bird S., Rubin K. H. R., Suresh J., Hernquist L., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 307
- Blomqvist M. et al., 2015, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 11, 034
- Bosman S. E. I., Becker G. D., Haehnelt M. G., Hewett P. C., McMahon R. G., Mortlock D. J., Simpson C., Venemans B. P., 2017, MNRAS, 470, 1919
- Bosman S. E. I., Fan X., Jiang L., Reed S., Matsuoka Y., Becker G., Haehnelt M., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 1055
- Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G. D., Franx M., Ford H., 2007, ApJ, 670, 928
- Bouwens R. J. et al., 2015, ApJ, 803, 34
- Bouwens R. J., Smit R., Labbé I., Franx M., Caruana J., Oesch P., Stefanon M., Rasappu N., 2016, ApJ, 831, 176
- Cai Z., Fan X., Dave R., Finlator K., Oppenheimer B., 2017, ApJ, 849, L18 Carnall A. C. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 451, L16
- Carswell R. F., Webb J. K., 2014, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1408.015
- Chardin J., Puchwein E., Haehnelt M. G., 2017, MNRAS, 465, 3429

- Codoreanu A., Ryan-Weber E. V., García L. Á., Crighton N. H. M., Becker G., Pettini M., Madau P., Venemans B., 2018, MNRAS, 481, 4940 Crighton N. H. M. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 28
- D'Aloisio A., Upton Sanderbeck P. R., McQuinn M., Trac H., Shapiro P. R., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4691
- D'Odorico V., Calura F., Cristiani S., Viel M., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 2715
- D'Odorico V. et al., 2011, Astron. Nachr., 332, 315
- D'Odorico V. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 1198 (DO13)
- D'Odorico V. et al., 2018, ApJ, 863, L29
- Davis M., Peebles P. J. E., 1983, ApJ, 267, 465
- De Rosa G., Decarli R., Walter F., Fan X., Jiang L., Kurk J., Pasquali A., Rix H. W., 2011, ApJ, 739, 56
- Díaz C. G., Ryan-Weber E. V., Cooke J., Pettini M., Madau P., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 820
- Díaz C. G., Koyama Y., Ryan-Weber E. V., Cooke J., Ouchi M., Shimasaku K., Nakata F., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 946
- Díaz C. G., Ryan-Weber E. V., Cooke J., Koyama Y., Ouchi M., 2015, MNRAS, 448, 1240
- du Mas des Bourboux H. et al., 2017, A&A, 608, A130
- Eilers A. C., Davies F. B., Hennawi J. F., Prochaska J. X., Lukić Z., Mazzucchelli C., 2017, ApJ, 840, 24
- Ellis R. S. et al., 2013, ApJ, 763, L7
- Erb D. K. et al., 2014, ApJ, 795, 33
- Fan X. et al., 2004, AJ, 128, 515
- Fan X. et al., 2006, AJ, 132, 117
- Ford A. B., Oppenheimer B. D., Davé R., Katz N., Kollmeier J. A., Weinberg D. H., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 89
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Giallongo E. et al., 2015, A&A, 578, A83
- Hamilton A. J. S., 1992, ApJ, 385, L5
- Harikane Y. et al., 2016, ApJ, 821, 123
- Horne K., 1986, PASP, 98, 609
- Ishigaki M., Kawamata R., Ouchi M., Oguri M., Shimasaku K., Ono Y., 2015, ApJ, 799, 12
- Ishigaki M., Kawamata R., Ouchi M., Oguri M., Shimasaku K., Ono Y., 2018, ApJ, 854, 73
- Jiang L. et al., 2016, ApJ, 833, 222
- Kaiser N., 1987, MNRAS, 227, 1
- Kaiser N. et al., 2010, in Stepp L. M., Gilmozzi R., Hall H. J., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 7733, Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes III. SPIE, p. 77330E
- Kakiichi K. et al., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 43 (Paper I)
- Keating L. C., Puchwein E., Haehnelt M. G., Bird S., Bolton J. S., 2016, MNRAS, 461, 606
- Kelson D. D., 2003, PASP, 115, 688
- Kulkarni G., Keating L. C., Haehnelt M. G., Bosman S. E. I., Puchwein E., Chardin J., Aubert D., 2018, preprint (arXiv:1809.06374)
- Laporte N. et al., 2015, A&A, 575, A92
- Laporte N. et al., 2017a, ApJ, 837, L21
- Laporte N. et al., 2017b, ApJ, 851, 40
- Livermore R. C., Finkelstein S. L., Lotz J. M., 2017, ApJ, 835, 113
- Matsuoka Y. et al., 2016, ApJ, 828, 26
- McDonald P. et al., 2006, ApJS, 163, 80
- McGreer I. D., Mesinger A., D'Odorico V., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 499
- McLeod D. J., McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., Robertson B. E., Ellis R. S., Targett T. A., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 3032
- Mortlock D. J. et al., 2009, A&A, 505, 97
- Mortlock D. J. et al., 2011, Nature, 474, 616
- Murray S. G., Power C., Robotham A. S. G., 2013, Astron. Comput., 3, 23
- Nakajima K., Ellis R. S., Iwata I., Inoue A. K., Kusakabe H., Ouchi M., Robertson B. E., 2016, ApJ, 831, L9
- Nakajima K., Fletcher T., Ellis R. S., Robertson B. E., Iwata I., 2018, MNRAS, 477, 2098
- Oppenheimer B. D., Davé R., Finlator K., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 729
- Parsa S., Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 2904

Pawlik A. H., Schaye J., van Scherpenzeel E., 2009, MNRAS, 394,
1812 Planck Collaboration XIII 2016 $\triangle \& \triangle$ 594 $\triangle 13$
Puchwein E., Haardt F., Haehnelt M. G., Madau P., 2018, preprint (arXiv:
1801.04931)
Reed S. L. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 3952
Roberts-Borsani G. W. et al., 2016, ApJ, 823, 143
Robertson B. E. et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, 71
Robertson B. E., Ellis R. S., Furlanetto S. R., Dunlop J. S., 2015, ApJ, 802, L19
Ryan-Weber E. V., Pettini M., Madau P., Zych B. J., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1476
Savage B. D., Sembach K. R., 1991, ApJ, 379, 245
Sheinis A. I., Bolte M., Epps H. W., Kibrick R. I., Miller J. S., Radovan M.
V., Bigelow B. C., Sutin B. M., 2002, PASP, 114, 851
Simcoe R. A. et al., 2011, ApJ, 743, 21
Slosar A. et al., 2011, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 9, 001
Stark D. P. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 1393
Stark D. P. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 469
Steidel C. C., Erb D. K., Shapley A. E., Pettini M., Reddy N., Bogosavljević
M., Rudie G. C., Rakic O., 2010, ApJ, 717, 289
468, 121
Turner M. L., Schaye J., Steidel C. C., Rudie G. C., Strom A. L., 2014,
MNRAS, 445, 794
Venemans B. P., McMahon R. G., Warren S. J., Gonzalez- Solares E. A.,
Hewett P. C., Mortlock D. J., Dye S., Sharp R. G., 2007, MNRAS, 376,
L76
Venemans B. P. et al., 2013, ApJ, 779, 24
Vernet J. et al., 2011, A&A, 536, A105
Viel M., Becker G. D., Bolton J. S., Haehnelt M. G., 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 88, 043502
Vogt S. S. Allen S. L. Bigelow B. C. et al. 1994 in Crawford D. L.
Craine E. R., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 2198, Instrumentation in Astronomy VIII SPIE Bellingham p. 362
Worseck G. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 1745

APPENDIX A: PROPERTIES OF ALL RETRIEVED C IV SYSTEMS

We hereby give the tabulated fitted redshift, column density, Doppler parameter, and the corresponding errors of all our detected C IV absorbers in each QSO sightline studied.

Table A1. Complete list of C IV absorbers with redshift, Doppler parameter *b* (km s⁻¹), column density log *N* (cm⁻²) and associated errors. Fixed parameters in VPFIT are indicated with a '[†]'.

QSO	z	Δz	b	Δb	$\log N$	$\Delta \log N$
J0002+2550						
	4.434586	0.000101	43.31	10.31	13.317	0.054
	4.440465	0.000091	31.22	8.24	13.696	0.049
	4.441937	0.000077	21.93	7.62	13.708	0.042
	4.675162	0.000042	8.22	7.25	13.184	0.099
	4.870726	0.000066	21.65	7.59	13.579	0.031
	4.872056	0.000097	5.74	3.19	13.498	0.208
	4.873713	0.000102	6.00^{+}	-	13.109	0.080
	4.941568†	-	10.87	9.71	13.387	0.117
	4.943826	0.000090	5.00	4.16	13.356	0.267
	4.945804†	-	43.39	10.10	13.417	0.051
	5.282356	0.000070	19.67	8.62	13.709	0.069

Table A1 – continued

QSO	z	Δz	b	Δb	log N	$\Delta \log N$
10005-0006						
00000 00000						
	4.735463	0.000114	83.32	8.75	13.944	0.035
10050 - 2445	4.812924	0.000088	6.64	3.67	13.832	0.400
10050+3445						
	4.724040	0.000057	9.78	5.43	13.664	0.178
	4.726409	0.000043	38.82	4.01	13.979	0.024
	4.824044	0.000090	51.15	7.91	13.763	0.040
	4.908403	0.000140	24.06	16.53	13.559	0.087
	5.221126	0.000196	31.09	19.24	13.935	0.132
10100+2802						
	4.875143	0.000119	44.59	9.08	13.218	0.065
	5.109066	0.000122	73.39	5.95	14.321	0.037
	5.111580	0.000412	49.73	30.36	13.530	0.255
	5.113629	0.000050	18.18	4.71	13.639	0.046
	5.338458	0.000059	42.39	3.94	13.955	0.033
	5.797501	0.000184	58.14	13.37	13.118	0.072
	5.975669	0.000158	20.63	13.10	12.742	0.120
	6.011654	0.000268	73.94	16.94	13.488	0.078
	6.184454	0.000362	51.55	22.12	12.001	0.256
10148-0600	0.187093	0.000121	04.42	1.37	13.971	0.039
10140-0000						
	4.515751	0.000067	17.57	7.02	12.982	0.078
	4.571214	0.000090	23.70	8.34	13.060	0.087
	4.932220	0.000915	40.41	28.81	13.614	1.591
	5.023249	0.000056	6.001	-	13.811	0.189
10221 0728	5.124792	0.000042	27.09	3.02	14.084	0.039
0231-0728						
	4.138342	0.000017	9.32	2.88	13.315	0.037
	4.225519	0.000069	40.31	6.24	13.283	0.046
	4.267255	0.000063	28.12	6.14	13.200	0.053
	4.506147	0.000067	18.27	7.42	13.093	0.068
	4.569167	0.000224	93.48	16.61	13.407	0.066
	4.744957	0.000130	/8./0	9.93	13.552	0.044
	4.885091	0.000203	56.00	14.74	13.300	0.048
	5 357714	0.000240	28.37	3 55	13.299	0.031
	5 345812	0.000088	25.35	6.99	13 361	0.068
	5.348184	0.000043	21.49	3.75	13.647	0.038
J0353+0104						
	4 (75011	0.000070	21 (1	10.10	12 (20	0.072
	4.675911	0.000078	21.61	12.12	13.638	0.063
	4.977485	0.000124	10.81	0.33	14.158	0.481
	5.030105	0.000434	15.00 ⁺	_	13.403	0.232
10818 ± 1722	5.057774	0.000222	15.00		15.755	0.150
,0010 1722	4.552517	0.000102	24.98	10.16	12.812	0.090
	4.620602	0.000073	25.99	6.99	13.048	0.062
	4.627338	0.000104	38.92	8.89	13.057	0.067
	4.727010	0.000068	31.70	3.07	14.005	0.065
	4.725780	0.000182	42.07	8.27	13.763	0.112
	4.731844	0.000033	41.16	2.57	13.672	0.020
	4.877661	0.000070	38.94	5.70	13.215	0.043
	4.936947	0.000107	32.24	9.12	12.666	0.075
	4.940176	0.000056	14.41	7.43	12.773	0.057
	4.941255	0.000071	10.001	-	12.783	0.071
	4.942578	0.000060	40.13	5.22	13.188	0.036
	5.004643	0.000207	08.13	10.13	13.484	0.081
	5.070455	0.000082	37.51	5 00	13.303	0.030
	5 308823	0.000078	16.45	6 50	13.040	0.047
	5.322429	0.000121	29 38	9,91	13.341	0.084
	5,789525	0.000111	38.43	7.49	13.339	0.062
	5.843998	0.000110	44.91	7.41	13.345	0.051
	5 877228	0.000106	39.41	7.26	13 315	0.055

Table A1	- continued
----------	-------------

Metal-tracing	the faint	sources	of reionization	33
---------------	-----------	---------	-----------------	----

Table	A1	- continued
		001111110000

QSO	z	Δz	b	Δb	log N	$\Delta \log N$
J0836+0054						
	4 682130	0.000003	50.02	7 82	13 406	0.049
	4.684465	0.000095	40.42	1 38	13.400	0.049
	4.084403	0.000040	22.65	4.30	12.690	0.033
	4.080303	0.000029	06.42	2.33	12 125	0.022
	4.775175	0.000389	90.45	2.50	12.135	0.115
	4.990702	0.000042	27.12	5.50	12,209	0.055
	5.125071	0.000126	44.80	7.84	13.729	0.067
	5.12/266	0.000066	13.81	7.10	13.246	0.072
10840 + 5624	5.322763	0.000070	23.54	5.46	13.400	0.059
10840+3024						
	4.486724	0.000060	16.99	9.88	13.674	0.096
	4.525773	0.000144	52.80	14.54	13.519	0.058
	4.546597	0.000021	30.20	4.36	15.395	0.384
0927+2001						
	4.471037	0.000031	13.02	3.60	13.369	0.042
	4.623510	0.000149	61.15	5.56	13.592	0.076
	4.624132	0.000066	20.94	8.47	13.154	0.190
	5.014445	0.000110	19.23	9.63	13.560	0.114
	5.016426	0.000216	46.85	16.71	13.449	0.116
	5,149170	0.000799	75.01	39.93	13,377	0.271
	5.151154	0.000204	41.88	13 54	13.498	0.219
	5.671001	0.000187	57.11	12 31	13,540	0.073
11022 ± 2252	5.671001	0.000107	57.11	12.31	15.540	0.075
1022 2232	5 264200	0.000152	52.66	12 47	14 410	0.078
11030+0524	3.204277	0.000152	52.00	12.4/	17.410	0.078
1050 0521						
	4.890614	0.000164	14.31	20.24	13.081	0.162
	4.947967	0.000222	82.22	15.03	13.738	0.075
	4.948468	0.000068	7.89	7.27	13.589	0.245
	5.110703	0.000664	117.36	34.50	14.023	0.143
	5.517461	0.000102	53.31	6.76	13.904	0.045
	5.724374	0.000044	51.29	2.53	14.543	0.029
	5.741183	0.000102	50.90	6.96	13.748	0.044
	5.744256	0.000062	39.49	4.31	13.853	0.033
	5.966889	0.000076	16.27	7.02	13.569	0.074
11044-0125						
	4 450487	0.000027	14 28	3 52	13 456	0.035
	4.898284	0.000188	78.05	9.81	13,759	0.060
	4 899596	0.000035	13.63	5 40	13 612	0.060
	5 075408	0.000093	14 12	9.40	13.433	0.107
	5 077315	0.0000000	26.74	3 42	13.847	0.033
	5 167313	0.000042	10.50	6.40	13/03	0.000
	5 285046	0.000033	36.47	11 42	13.495	0.099
	5 481678	0.000147	22.20	6.70	13.477	0.089
I1048+4637	J.4010/0	0.000080	22.20	0.70	13.773	0.070
10101001	4 700025	0.000055	25.00	5.00	12 520	0.050
	4.709835	0.000057	25.08	5.33	13.520	0.050
	4.888006	0.000062	20.29	6.25	13.401	0.058
	4.889219	0.000058	10.29	8.04	13.318	0.083
11137+3549						
	4.781802	0.000182	50.43	17.36	13.622	0.072
	4.841312	0.000108	53.00	10.08	14.005	0.042
	4.874166	0.000134	74.27	10.78	14.033	0.044
J1148+5251						
	4.919028	0.000058	24 72	4.66	13,192	0.049
	4 944227	0.000058	8.91	1.00	17 656	0 123
	4 945228	0.000053	9.85	4 14	14 480	0.526
	5 152112	0.000121	5/ 16	9.04	13 605	0.520
	5.152115	0.000121	J4.10	0.94	13.093	0.055

QSO	z	Δz	b	Δb	log N	$\Delta \log N$
J1306+0356						
	4.613924	0.000790	103.30	31.35	13.250	0.225
	4.614603	0.000033	29.33	3.21	13.722	0.050
	4.615828	0.000045	23.36	4.28	13.465	0.075
	4.668109	0.000020	8.71	1.87	13.993	0.115
	4.668741	0.000081	56.99	4.05	13.892	0.035
	4.711108	0.000135	35.60	11.23	13.129	0.096
	4.860508	0.000044	35.43	3.46	13.952	0.031
	4.862256	0.000055	28.31	5.49	13.933	0.051
	4.863239	0.000043	10.18	3.83	13.881	0.091
	4.864687	0.000053	56.14	5.04	14.327	0.026
	4.866813	0.000025	20.73	2.13	14.009	0.029
	4.868951	0.000102	51.40	8.62	13.670	0.055
	4.880126	0.000061	27.59	4.35	13.873	0.047
	4.881271	0.000058	17.01	4.23	13.690	0.061
J1319+0950						
	4.653406	0.000076	33.18	6.20	12.989	0.058
	4.660650	0.000108	42.92	7.70	13.310	0.088
	4.663610	0.000734	86.53	46.22	13.625	0.283
	4.665107	0.000104	38.60	17.21	13.328	0.456
	4.716591	0.000030	27.60	2.58	13.554	0.026
	5.264302	0.000095	25.20	7.48	13.181	0.079
	5.335365	0.000056	3.30	2.64	13.333	0.367
	5.374931	0.000054	16.59	4.79	13.447	0.057
	5.570341	0.000425	51.95	27.03	13.793	0.183
	5.573751	0.000240	49.18	14.23	14.139	0.111
J1411+1217						
	4.930500	0.000439	211.93	26.04	13.982	0.048
	4.960547	0.000100	6.41	4.33	13.715	0.364
	5.250460	0.000063	73.55	4.62	14.442	0.025
J1509-1749						
	4.641643	0.000051	26.96	4.46	13.514	0.042
	4.791660	0.000166	51.38	12.64	13.439	0.082
	4.815429	0.000055	44.63	3.79	14.050	0.037
J2054-0005						
	4.868746	0.000079	15.81	8.89	13.804	0.143
	5.213101	0.000147	8.67	5.96	13.828	0.368
J2315-0023						
	4.897117	0.001163	55.02	64.70	13.598	0.478
	4.898876	0.000354	31.61	22.67	13.854	0.257

APPENDIX B: VELOCITY PLOTS OF C IV ABSORBERS USED IN THE CORRELATION MEASUREMENT

We present in Fig. B1 the velocity for the 37 C IV systems of Sample α . Note the consistent presence of at least of a few completely opaque pixels at the location where the Lyman- α absorption at the redshift of CIV is expected. Note that we have not plotted individual detections of systems with less than $\lesssim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ separation as only one redshift for the whole system was retained for the correlation measurement. However, multiple absorbers forming a system but can be easily spotted on some plots.



Figure B1. Velocity plots of C IV absorbers in Sample α . For simplicity, absorbers with $\Delta v \lesssim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ were not plotted twice. They can be however easily spotted in the lower C IV panels. All C IV absorbers land in a Lyman- α opaque region, often enclosed by high transmission spikes.



Figure B1 – continued

APPENDIX C: RELAXING THE BIAS PARAMETERS OF THE LYMAN-α FOREST

We present the posterior probability distribution of the parameters of our linear model including the bias of the Lyman- α and the associated RSD parameter as free parameters with flat priors in $-3 < b_{\alpha} < 0$ and $-3 < \beta_{\rm f} < 0$, respectively. The result is presented in Fig. C1. The bias is in a degenerate state with all other parameters, parameters can be tuned to compensate the bias parameter changes and still produce the same fit to the data. We note that higher values of the bias than the one extrapolated from low-redshift measurements would yield in turn lower values of the host halo mass, and lower values of the escape fractions and LyC photon production product (see Table C1).

Table C1. Inferred model parameters for different choices of Lyman- α bias parameters (b_{α} , β_{α}). Notably, the choice of $b_{\alpha} = -1.3$ corresponds to our fiducial choice on the Lyman- α power spectrum measurement whereas $b_{\alpha} = -0.75$ corresponds the extrapolation of the evolution of the bias from low-redshift values (McDonald et al. 2006; du Mas des Bourboux et al. 2017).

b_{lpha}	β_{lpha}	$\log \langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle$	$M_{ m UV}^{ m lim}$	$b_{ m C{\scriptscriptstyle IV}}$
-0.5	1.0	$25.55^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	$-11.66^{+3.47}_{-8.16}$	$22.15^{+7.26}_{-8.29}$
-0.5	1.5	$25.66^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$	$-9.98^{+1.92}_{-9.96}$	$18.48^{+7.67}_{-5.75}$
-0.5	2.0	$25.66^{+0.32}_{-0.14}$	$-8.90^{+0.84}_{-10.92}$	$16.65_{-5.92}^{+6.38}$
-0.75	1.0	$25.25_{-0.09}^{+0.19}$	$-19.94^{+11.87}_{-0.00}$	$11.89_{-4.96}^{+7.80}$
-0.75	1.5	$25.35_{-0.11}^{+0.23}$	$-19.58^{+11.40}_{-0.36}$	$15.96^{+2.25}_{-8.04}$
-0.75	2.0	$25.54_{-0.22}^{+0.22}$	$-8.78^{+0.72}_{-11.16}$	$8.97^{+7.95}_{-2.84}$
-1.3	1.0	$24.92_{-0.15}^{+0.23}$	$-10.22_{-9.72}^{+2.16}$	$8.01^{+2.84}_{-3.72}$
-1.3	1.5	$25.01\substack{+0.30\\-0.19}$	$-10.82^{+2.76}_{-9.12}$	$7.09^{+3.29}_{-2.86}$
-1.3	2.0	$25.17\substack{+0.28 \\ -0.22}$	$-8.06\substack{+0.00\\-11.88}$	$6.40^{+2.69}_{-2.15}$
-2.0	1.0	$24.63_{-0.15}^{+0.15}$	$-8.06\substack{+0.00\\-11.87}$	$4.46^{+2.85}_{-2.07}$
-2.0	1.5	$24.80^{+0.22}_{-0.26}$	$-8.78^{+0.72}_{-11.16}$	$4.33^{+1.95}_{-2.20}$
-2.0	2.0	$24.74_{-0.10}^{+0.55}$	$-11.90\substack{+3.84\\-8.04}$	$4.02^{+1.69}_{-1.58}$



Figure C1. Posterior distribution for the three original parameters of the linear model to which have been added the Lyman- α bias parameters (b_{α} , β_{α}) with appropriate priors. The quoted numbers give the best fit (maximum likelihood) and the 1σ credibility intervals on the marginalized distributions. The constraints on $\langle f_{esc}\xi_{ion} \rangle$ and b_{CV} are in good agreement with the more restricted fit presented in the main text (Fig. 9). There is a clear degeneracy between these two parameters and b_{α} . A wide variety of combinations of the four parameters (excluding M_{UV}^{lim}) can produce a similar fit the data. Hence, a choice of (b_{α} , β_{α}) must be made in order to infer the remaining parameters.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.