

Selective Vulnerability in Neurodegenerative Diseases

Hongjun Fu¹, John Hardy², Karen E. Duff^{1,3}.

- 1) Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, USA; Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, USA.
- 2) Department of Molecular Neuroscience and Reta Lilla Weston Laboratories, Institute of Neurology, London, UK.
- 3) Department of Psychiatry, Division of Integrative Neuroscience, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY 10032, USA

Abstract

Neurodegenerative diseases have two general characteristics that are so fundamental we usually take them for granted. The first is that the pathology associated with the disease only affects particular neurons (“selective neuronal vulnerability”); the second is that the pathology worsens with time and impacts more regions in a stereotypical and predictable fashion. The mechanisms underpinning selective neuronal and regional vulnerability have been difficult to dissect, but the recent application of whole genome technologies, the development of mouse models that reproduce spatial and temporal features of the pathology and the identification of intrinsic morphological, electrophysiological and biochemical properties of vulnerable neurons are beginning to shed some light on these fundamental features of neurodegenerative diseases. Here, we detail our emerging understanding of the underlying biology of selective neuronal vulnerability, and outline some of the areas in which our understanding is incomplete.

The clinical manifestation of a particular neurodegenerative disease reflects the region of the brain, and the specific population of cells within it that are affected. Why proteins that usually show widespread expression should accumulate in one set of cells, but not in apparently similar, neighboring cells is a fundamental question for the field. Addressing the basis of this selective neuronal vulnerability will not only help explain the molecular underpinnings of neurodegenerative diseases, but it will inform on the basic biology and complexity of neuronal subtypes that we currently have little appreciation for. In this review, we will describe the neuropathology of several neurodegenerative diseases, focusing on cell

type impacted, and we will relate what is known about the cell type to pathways that may underlie their vulnerability. We propose that vulnerable neurons have a higher propensity to accumulate disease-related proteins and organelles due to their intrinsic anatomy and biochemistry. Because of this, vulnerable neurons can be considered to teeter on the brink of a “catastrophic cliff”. For many of the protein aggregation disorders, whether a neuron falls off the cliff is largely dependent on the solubility of the aggregation-prone protein, and the efficiency of clearance mechanisms that keep misfolded or aggregated proteins in check. Correspondingly, genetic variability in the expression level of the deposited protein is important in pathogenesis, and risk factors for disease are often related to intrinsic or extrinsic protein clearance pathways. Interacting with these variations in expression level and clearance mechanisms are the propagation properties of the deposited proteins which contribute to the spread of induced neuronal failure. Concepts related to the biochemistry and anatomy of different cell types will be discussed in terms of why a particular cell may be predisposed to accumulate toxic proteins and degenerate, which will be coupled with insight from genetics.

Types of neurons vulnerable to proteinopathy and degeneration

The major neurodegenerative diseases differ from each other not only in the type of pathological protein that accumulates, but in the regions impacted and the types of neurons that are vulnerable. Vulnerability usually refers to vulnerability to pathology, and in most cases, cells that accumulate pathology-associated proteins are also the cells that are lost as the result of some cytotoxic events (e.g. synaptic toxicity, cell death related signaling pathways or neuroinflammation). For the purpose of this review we refer to vulnerability as selective but in many cases it is differential, with cells showing varying degrees of susceptibility.

Alzheimer’s disease

The brain of patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by the presence of amyloid plaques composed of β -amyloid ($A\beta$), and neurofibrillary tangles composed of misfolded, hyperphosphorylated tau protein. Amyloid deposition typically starts in the terminal fields of neurons expressing high levels of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) gene¹. However, selective loss of vulnerable neurons in early AD is more closely linked to tau pathology. Neurons that are vulnerable to the accumulation of pathological forms of tau and that are lost early in the disease mainly include large pyramidal neurons in layer II of the entorhinal cortex (EC), the subiculum, the CA1 region of the hippocampus (HP)²⁻⁵, the corticopetal cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain^{6,7}, and noradrenergic neurons in the

locus coeruleus^{8,9}. Moreover, reelin-immunoreactive excitatory neurons in layer II of the EC^{5,10} and SMI32-immunoreactive (non-phosphorylated medium and heavy neurofilament proteins) pyramidal neurons^{11,12} are particularly vulnerable in AD, whereas inhibitory neurons expressing calcium binding proteins (parvalbumin, somatostatin, calbindin-D28k and calretinin) are less vulnerable in AD or AD animal models¹³⁻¹⁵. Dentate gyrus granule neurons, deeper parts of layer III and layers V and VI of EC, and cortical interneurons are relatively spared in early AD^{2,16,17}. Interestingly, a comparison between rostral neurons which express neuronal markers with forebrain cortical fates that are vulnerable in AD, and caudal neurons which express classical markers of hindbrain and spinal cord and are relatively spared in AD that have been derived from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) of patients harboring an APP mutation revealed that both the generation of A β and the responsiveness of tau to A β were affected by neuronal cell type. Rostral neurons (which represent a mix of excitatory and inhibitory cells) were more sensitive than caudal (predominantly inhibitory) neurons, suggesting that cell-autonomous factors may in part dictate the pattern of selective regional vulnerability in human neurons in AD¹⁸.

Parkinson's Disease

The motor manifestations of Parkinson's disease (PD) are primarily linked to the selective and progressive loss of substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) dopaminergic neurons¹⁹. Interestingly, even within the SNpc there is a significant loss (~ 90%) of dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tier, while dopaminergic neuron loss in the dorsal tier may be as little as 25%^{20,21}. In contrast, the very similar dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) demonstrate a much lower degree of degeneration¹⁹. Neuronal loss is apparent in a handful of other regions. For example, cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain²² and cholinergic neurons in the pedunculopontine nucleus are lost, but not glutamatergic or GABAergic pedunculopontine nucleus neurons²³. There is also a modest loss of glutamatergic neurons in the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus and the basolateral amygdala^{24,25}. Of note, GABAergic neurons, regardless of where they are, appear to be resistant to Lewy pathology^{20,26}. Although nigral dopaminergic neurons have been the primary focus of PD research, they are not the first affected. Instead, neurons in the dorsal motor nuclei of the medulla oblongata, raphe nucleus and locus coeruleus of the brainstem, and the anterior olfactory nucleus, succumb first^{27,28}. The loss of nigral dopaminergic neurons is followed by degeneration of neurons in the trans-entorhinal region, motor and sensory cortex and prefrontal cortex¹⁷.

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Motor neurons (MNs) in spinal cord and in brainstem as well as upper MNs in the motor cortex are selectively vulnerable in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Among MNs, fast-fatigable MNs are particularly vulnerable in ALS, while the slow MNs are least vulnerable^{19,29-31}. Furthermore, the motor neurons of Onuf 's nucleus, as well as the oculomotor, trochlear and abducens nerves remain largely unaffected by cell loss even at late disease stages^{19,32-34}. The degeneration of MNs in ALS is often initiated in, and progresses from, the lower to upper spinal cord, followed by loss of upper MNs in the cerebral cortex, although there is considerable variability among patients^{17,35}.

Frontotemporal lobar degeneration

Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) affects the pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), extending back to mid-cingulate cortex, whereas AD involves posterior cingulate regions and spares the ACC. ACC and frontal insula deficits best differentiate behavioral variant (bv) FTLD from AD^{36,37}. Large bipolar spindle-shaped von Economo neurons (VENs) in Layer Vb of those regions have been shown to represent an early target in bvFTLD but not in AD. In bvFTLD, a 69% reduction in VENs was found after controlling for neighboring layer 5 neuron loss³⁸. This VEN selectivity was seen even in patients with early-stage disease. In contrast to bvFTLD, late stage AD (Braak stage VI) showed no selective loss of ACC Von Economo neurons. Tangles have yet to be seen in VENs³⁹. Pick's disease is a rare cause of FTLD. Pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus and granular neurons in the dentate fascia are particularly vulnerable⁴⁰.

Huntington's Disease

Huntington's disease (HD) selectively affects medium spiny GABA neurons (MSNs) in the striatum, whereas large aspiny cholinergic interneurons and other striatal interneurons that express parvalbumin, calretinin or nitric oxide synthase (NOS) are relatively spared from degeneration in HD^{31,41,42}. Even within MSNs, those neurons expressing D2-type DA receptors, metenkephalin or neurotensin are particularly vulnerable in HD, whereas MSNs expressing predominantly D1-receptors, substance P and dynorphin are relatively spared in the early stages of the disease^{31,41,43,44}.

Primary and secondary selective neuronal vulnerability

There are potentially two types of selectively vulnerable cell types; those affected in the initial stage of the disease (primary vulnerable cells), and those affected later, in regions where the pathology has spread to (secondary vulnerable cells) For AD, PD and ALS, the distribution of pathology at different stages of the disease has been mapped out using cross-sectional, post-mortem immunohistochemistry analysis^{27,45-48}. Pathology mapping studies suggest that disease proteins accumulate in regions of primary vulnerability and spread (propagate) to regions of secondary vulnerability along anatomical connections^{48,49}. Numerous studies suggest that the propagation of pathology, especially for AD and PD, occurs through a protein templating mechanism whereby conformational ‘seeds’ of a pathological protein are transmitted from cell to cell in a prion-like manner⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴. Whether prion-like proteins propagate in the same way in the human brain has been difficult to validate, but the fact that affected regions are connected supports the idea. It will be interesting to determine whether the physiological factors that make a cell vulnerable to accumulate pathological proteins in primary areas are the same as those in cells in secondary areas that develop pathology later, as a result of propagation.

Conformational strains and selective vulnerability

One of the intriguing features of neurodegenerative diseases that are caused by the same protein is the observation of clinical diversity. For example, the “4R tauopathies” (which include progressive supranuclear palsy, corticobasal degeneration and argyrophilic grain disease) are all caused by the accumulation of a form of the tau protein that contains four microtubule binding domain repeats (4R tau). The tau protein in the 4R tauopathies can take on different conformations, impact different neuronal and non-neuronal cell types, accumulate in different areas of the brain and cause different clinical manifestations⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷. Thus the conformation of a given protein has been postulated to dictate the patterns of cell pathology, progression rate, and regional and neuronal vulnerability, but the basis of this structure-driven cellular vulnerability remains unknown. Recently, sophisticated techniques such as cryo-electron microscopy have been applied to elucidate the ultra-structure of both A β ₁₋₄₂ and tau filaments⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ which, when combined with cellular vulnerability studies, may help explain why diseases such as the 4R tauopathies are so clinically diverse. Identifying whether the formation of particular strains is determined by genetic variants that are associated with particular cell types may help explain how conformational strains originate in the first place.

Potential mechanisms of selective neuronal vulnerability

Insight from genomics

With whole genome expression studies and the development of tissue and cell type specific expression databases, the pathogenic loci identified by genetic analysis can be used as seeds to identify other genes which show similar expression patterns, and these can then be cross referenced with gene ontology databases to pull out networks of genes with related functions and expression profiles^{61,62}. These networks can be systematically investigated to identify other loci genetically involved in disease pathogenesis. As examples, the Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) module of co-expression in response to A β deposition in transgenic mice includes many other AD genetic risk loci which are largely microglial expressed⁶³. Similarly, PD mendelian and risk loci are involved in mitophagy (Table 1)⁶⁴. For several neurodegenerative syndromes, many loci map to particular biochemical pathways and are expressed in particular cell types (Tables 1 and 2). The identification of genetic loci can identify critical and intrinsic pathways which are close to failure in the normal brain, that fail in the diseased brain, for example, the endosome/lysosome system in diseases in which pyramidal neurons are lost, and RNA metabolism in motor neurons (Table 1).

Mechanisms that have been linked to vulnerable cell populations

In the aging brain, and in the context of external stressors (for example peripherally produced cytokines), several pathways can fail leading to neurodegeneration⁶⁵. Why specific cells (Table 2 and Figure 1) are selectively vulnerable to the breakdown of pathways that are critical in both vulnerable and resistant cells is not known. Explanation as to why will only be possible once neuronal subtypes have been better defined at a molecular level, and we have a deeper understanding of their physiology.

Protein supersaturation/metastable subproteome

Cells go to great lengths to maintain proteins in a soluble state as protein aggregation is associated with a wide variety of human diseases. The proteins most prone to aggregation are those whose cellular concentrations are high relative to their solubilities, i.e. proteins that are supersaturated. During stress, aging and neurodegenerative disorders, cellular homeostasis of intrinsically supersaturated proteins becomes dysfunctional⁶⁶⁻⁷⁰. Proteins impacted in AD, PD, ALS and HD are collectively known as the “metastable subproteome” and they aggregate as plaques, tangles, Lewy bodies and intracellular inclusions. Metastable proteins are inherently supersaturated, especially in neurons compared to astrocytes and microglia, which may contribute to neuronal-specific vulnerability. Consistent with this, gene duplication

events and haplotypes that lead to increased gene expression are associated with increased risk of disease⁷¹. While it is not known if particular cell types within a population express different levels of a metastable protein, expression levels do differ between primary and secondary affected regions of the AD brain suggesting a causal link between vulnerable cell populations, and protein supersaturation⁷⁰. It is notable that many risk alleles include genes involved in either lysosomal or ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) function which can directly affect the levels of supersaturated proteins. Thus genetic risk data is consistent with the view that protein concentrations are critical, and being close to saturation puts a neuron at risk.

Protein homeostasis

As mentioned previously, it seems likely that for each protein prone to misfolding, certain types of neurons are more affected by how that protein disrupts cellular protein homeostasis networks, and this may contribute to their vulnerability to a particular neurodegenerative disease²⁹. Protein homeostasis genes are altered in pretangle-bearing neurons as neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) pathology spreads through the brain^{72,73}, indicating disrupted protein homeostasis before clinical symptoms in AD. A gene coexpression analysis revealed that protein trafficking and clearance mechanisms, including specific branches of the endosomal-lysosomal and UPS, play a particular role in maintaining the homeostasis of the metastable subproteome associated with AD⁷⁴. Moreover, a transcriptome-wide microarray analysis across more than 500 healthy brain tissues from the Allen Brain Atlas revealed a quantitative correlation between the histopathological staging of the disease and the expression patterns of the proteins that coaggregate in amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, together with those of the protein homeostasis components that regulate A β and tau. Because this expression signature was evident in healthy brains, the analysis provided an explanatory link between a tissue-specific environmental risk of protein aggregation and a corresponding vulnerability to AD⁷⁰. Although these human tissue based findings are inherently correlative and cannot address causality, they can point the way for more detailed mechanistic approaches for understanding selective neuronal vulnerability in preclinical models.

In ALS/FTD, motor neurons and pyramidal neurons are vulnerable to overload of the UPS. Pyramidal neurons appear to be vulnerable to lysosomal failure in Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) as well as in FTD. It is interesting that cortical pyramidal neurons are susceptible to failure in either the lysosome or the UPS. Both of these very general pathways are protein homeostasis mechanisms. Pyramidal cell death is associated with amyloid

deposition and either tau tangle pathology (in AD) or with Lewy body (α -synuclein) pathology (in DLB). It is tempting to speculate that tangle pathology occurs when the UPS fails, and that Lewy body pathology occurs when the lysosome system fails in the context of A β /amyloid accumulation⁶⁵. In HD, cell type-specific differences in the ability to maintain proteostasis (e.g. lower autophagic degradation capacity in vulnerable striatal neurons than resistant cortical and even striatal interneurons) may contribute to selective vulnerability to toxic huntingtin protein⁷⁵⁻⁷⁸. Vulnerability may also involve neuron specific combinations of dysfunction in cellular stress and proteostasis pathways, aggravated by advancing age, gene predisposition and environmental factors.

Calcium homeostasis

The lack of calcium buffering proteins (e.g. parvalbumin and calbindin D-28k) and disturbed cellular Ca²⁺ regulation is thought to play an important role in the vulnerability of neurons in AD, PD, and ALS. Neurons expressing calcium buffering proteins are resistant, or less vulnerable, in the neocortex of AD patients^{3,13,14}, the spinal cord and brainstem of ALS patients, the spinal cord of a mouse model of ALS³, and the striatum of HD⁴². Gene expression profiling studies in rats and mice demonstrated that calbindin transcripts are enriched in VTA dopaminergic neurons as compared to SNpc dopaminergic neurons^{79,80}. A similar expression pattern of calbindin was observed in human midbrain^{19,81}. The lack of calcium buffering proteins parvalbumin and calbindin D28k may render human motor neurons particularly vulnerable to calcium toxicity following glutamate receptor activation⁸². CA1 neurons are selectively vulnerable to degeneration in AD, whereas CA3 neurons are less vulnerable, and dentate granule neurons do not degenerate^{17,83}. Studies in transgenic mice (line 3xTg) suggest that excessive Ca²⁺ influx through L-type voltage-gated calcium channel (L-VGCC) may be one possible explanation for the selective vulnerability of CA1 neurons⁸⁴. SNpc dopaminergic neurons, selectively affected in PD, share a set of traits that may underlie their vulnerability. They have a long and highly branched axon with an extraordinary number of transmitter release sites. This combination of features - broad spikes, pacemaking, low intrinsic Ca²⁺ buffering, and cytosolic Ca²⁺ oscillations is what appears to distinguish vulnerable neurons, and these features lead to Ca²⁺ overloading and mitochondrial oxidant stress and damage^{20,85}. L-type Cav1.3 calcium channels, Kir6.2, SUR1 subunit-containing ATP-sensitive potassium (K-ATP) channels, and SK3, the small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channel member are molecular determinants for the electrophysiological

differences between SNpc and VTA dopaminergic neurons, resulting in the selective vulnerability of SNpc neurons in PD¹⁹.

Among MNs, fast-fatigable MNs are particularly vulnerable in ALS. They exhibit the highest thresholds for excitation, firing rarely, and in bursts²⁹. Vulnerable MNs express low levels of cytosolic calcium buffering proteins and are subjected to large and fast calcium fluxes across intracellular organelles such as mitochondria and smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER)⁸⁶. Large intracellular calcium fluxes and large numbers of neuromuscular junctions lead to particularly high energetic demands for function in these neurons²⁹. Consistent with this notion, calcium dyshomeostasis and/or mitochondrial dysfunction have been implicated in many pathogenic processes in neurodegenerative diseases^{31,87-89}.

Mitochondria and energy demand

Large and long projection neurons in the EC and hippocampal CA1 are characterized by particular high energy consumption and are vulnerable to decreased glucose and oxygen delivery through the vasculature and thus to energy deprivation⁹⁰. Similarly, SNpc dopaminergic neurons are estimated to form much larger axonal arbors and a higher number of synapses than VTA dopaminergic neurons, which may result in the pronounced redistribution of mitochondria to their axonal terminals and the tremendous elevation of their demand for energy as well as their susceptibility to insults jeopardizing the neuronal energy supply^{91,92}. Also, SNpc dopaminergic neurons were found to have a lower mitochondrial mass (i.e. higher level of mitochondrial DNA deletions) than VTA dopaminergic neurons, which might contribute to the selective vulnerability of SNpc over VTA⁹³⁻⁹⁵. In addition, SNpc neurons in PD appear to be close to a catastrophic failure in mitochondrial function. Why this should be so is not clear, but one possibility is that dopamine synthesis and metabolism lead to oxidative damage to mitochondria, and this contributes to their sensitivity and the reason that genes involved in mitophagy lead to their selective loss^{65,96}.

Moreover, it has been suggested that projection neurons with sparsely myelinated axons would require prodigious energy expenditure to maintain axonal function and transport⁵¹. Such high energy demands would result in continuously high levels of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction that could increase neuron vulnerability to α -synuclein aggregation in PD. Consistent with this, projection neurons with long, thin axons that were only sparsely myelinated or unmyelinated were vulnerable to α -synuclein aggregates, whereas neurons with long, but thickly myelinated axons with large diameters were resistant to the formation of such aggregates⁵¹. Intriguingly, a highly similar pattern can be noted in

AD: sparsely myelinated temporal mesocortex exhibits tau aggregates first, whereas such pathology is the last to appear in the heavily myelinated primary cortical fields⁹⁷.

ALS-vulnerable motor neurons are large cells with long axonal processes which lead to requirements for a high level of mitochondrial activity compared to other neuronal groups⁹⁸. Motor neurons also have a high perisomatic expression of the glutamate transporter protein (excitatory amino acid transporter 2/EAAT2) and a very high expression of the cytosolic free radical scavenging enzyme Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1) which may render this cell group vulnerable in the face of genetic or post-translational alterations interfering with the function of these proteins⁸².

Striatal MSNs require high amounts of energy to maintain a hyperpolarized state, i.e. electrophysiologically silent. This unique energy requirement of MSNs may contribute to their susceptibility to mitochondrial damage⁴². Another possibility for why MSNs are vulnerable to mitochondrial dysfunction may be associated with their low expression of the superoxide free radical scavengers superoxide dismutase 1 and 2 (SOD1 and SOD2), which are enriched in resistant cholinergic interneurons⁴².

Neurotransmitters/neurotransmitter receptors

The difference in several types of neurotransmitters/neurotransmitter receptors between subtypes of neurons has been proposed to explain selective neuronal vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. The expression profile and subunit composition of ionotropic glutamate receptors, especially NMDA receptors, may confer vulnerability in AD^{9,99,100}. Previous studies demonstrate that GluN1 and GluN2B subunits of NMDA receptors are more susceptible to the effects of aging¹⁰¹ and the progression of AD pathology¹⁰², suggesting that there might be intrinsic and differential expression profiles of NMDA receptor subunits between vulnerable and resistant neurons. Further characterization of the expression profile of ionotropic glutamate receptor subunits will help us better understand the selective neuronal vulnerability in AD. The expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 (mGluR2) is increased in a similar pattern to both the regional and cellular subtype of neuronal vulnerability to degeneration and neurofibrillary alterations¹⁰³, while the differential expression of mGluRs (groups I and II) on specific neuronal populations might be responsible for selective neuronal degeneration in ALS^{104,105}. SNpc neurons may be more vulnerable due to their production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) from dopamine and its metabolites, that eventually kill neurons¹⁰⁶. Vulnerable neurons in the ventral SNpc exhibit increased expression of factors such as D2 dopamine autoreceptors, G protein-coupled inwardly-rectifying potassium channel 2 (GIRK-2), lactotransferrin and the dopamine

transporter, which is coupled with a relative lack of neuroprotective elements, such as the dopamine vesicle transport protein and a number of trophic and growth factors²¹. Differential expression of GABAA and glycine receptors^{29,107} as well as glutamate ionotropic receptor AMPA type subunit 2 (GluR2)⁸² in ALS-resistant vs. ALS-vulnerable motor neurons may render human motor neurons particularly vulnerable to hyperexcitation. The function of VENs in FTLN are as yet unknown but the finding that VENs express dopamine (D3), serotonin (1b/2b) and vasopressin receptors is of interest because the neurotransmitters involved with these receptors are related to the behavior problems observed in patients with bvFTLN^{108,109}, suggesting those neurotransmitter receptors might be responsible for the selective vulnerability of VENs in FTLN.

Similarly, glutamate excitotoxicity induced by activation of NMDA and AMPA receptors in the striatum is considered as one cause of the selective vulnerability of MSNs in HD^{42,110}. The NR2B subunits of NMDA receptors are highly expressed in vulnerable MSNs, while the NR2D subunits are highly expressed in resistant striatal interneurons^{111,112}. This differential expression pattern may explain the increased vulnerability of MSNs¹¹³. Moreover, increased expression of NR2B subunit containing extrasynaptic NMDA receptor in HD mouse striatum alters the balance between synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors activity, which may determine the vulnerability in HD^{42,114,115}.

Synaptic transmission happens when a neurotransmitter activates its receptors on the postsynaptic neuron. Synapse-related markers (e.g., Synaptophysin/SYP and Synaptotagmin-1/SYT1) are significantly reduced in NFT-bearing hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in AD patients, compared to normal non-NFT-bearing neurons in aged-matched controls¹¹⁶. Altered expression of genes related to synaptic transmission and synaptic vesicle transport is found in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus of AD brains, which suggest that there are dramatic synaptic changes in vulnerable neurons affected early in AD¹¹⁷.

Aging

Age is the major risk factor for both AD and PD, the two most prevalent neurodegenerative diseases. It is also a major interactor and the second most important determinant (after the CAG-repeat length) of HD onset. Selective neuronal vulnerability may in part be a consequence of mature or aged neurons being close to different catastrophic cliffs, depending on their function, history of stress exposure and genetic predisposition, and this may explain why certain inclusions and aggregates preferentially injure certain types of neurons⁹⁰. Aging has been shown to be associated with metabolic impairment, oxidative stress, perturbed

neuronal calcium handling, and proteostasis dysfunction^{17,118-122}, which could trigger and accelerate A β , Tau, and α -synuclein pathologies thereby setting the stage for disease-specific neuronal vulnerabilities and trans-neuronal propagation of the proteinopathies.

Several other cellular and molecular changes that occur during normal aging could render neurons vulnerable to degeneration. Age-related reduction in calbindin expression has been implicated in the selective vulnerability of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons and entorhinal cortex layer II neurons in AD, dopaminergic neurons in PD, and MSNs in HD¹⁷, suggesting that alterations in cellular Ca²⁺ homeostasis, especially the expression of calcium binding proteins, might play an important role in selective vulnerability during aging. Alterations in numerous neurotransmitter (e.g. dopamine) and neurotrophic factor (e.g. brain-derived neurotrophic factor/BDNF) signaling pathways occur during normal aging, and many such changes are amplified in neurodegenerative disease¹⁷.

It is increasingly appreciated that synapses are the most vulnerable compartments of neurons. Differences among synapses in terms of structure, metabolism and signaling mechanisms might therefore be determinants of selective vulnerability. Analysis of cell-type-specific aging-altered genes revealed enrichment of pathways associated with synaptosomes in downregulated neuron-specific genes¹²³. Synaptic changes have also been identified in a study that examined the initial cell-type-specific transcriptional changes in a mouse model of ALS¹²⁴.

Other factors

Several other factors have been implicated in selective neuronal vulnerability, including neurotrophins/neurotrophin receptors and protein kinases and phosphatases. For example, a significant down-regulation of neurotrophin receptors (e.g. Tropomyosin receptor kinase A/TrkA, Tropomyosin receptor kinase B/TrkB, and Tropomyosin receptor kinase C/TrkC) and neurotrophin genes (e.g., Glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor/Gdnf, Nerve growth factor, beta subunit/Ngfb, and Neurotrophic factor 4/Ntf4) in CA1 pyramidal neurons and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons associated with tau pathology has been reported in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or early stage AD compared to controls^{116,125}. Moreover, the expression of pan-neurotrophin receptor p75(NTR) in basal forebrain cholinergic neurons has also been implicated as a vulnerability risk factor, probably due to the interaction with A β and proapoptotic ligands that induce neuronal cytoskeletal abnormalities leading to NFT formation in the basal forebrain cholinergic neurons of AD^{126,127}. NFTs are also impacted by the activity of a wide range of protein kinases and phosphatases that are differentially expressed. For example, protein kinase C beta (PRKCB) and mitogen activated protein kinase

1 (MAPK1) levels have been shown to be higher in highly vulnerable CA1 pyramidal neurons¹²⁸, while death-associated protein kinase 1 (DAPK1) is selectively activated in excitatory pyramidal neurons in the entorhinal cortical layer II of AD mice¹²⁹. In addition, down-regulation of protein phosphatase 1 and protein phosphatase 2 subunit mRNAs was observed in AD compared with normal control basal forebrain cholinergic neurons and CA1 neurons¹¹⁶. Although the exact role of these factors in selective vulnerability is unclear, tau aggregation and downstream death-related signaling pathways would be likely targets. Developmental factors have also been implicated in selective vulnerability. For example, some of the transcription factors expressed during neurogenesis continue to be expressed in dopaminergic neurons during adulthood, but the expression pattern is different between SNpc and VTA dopaminergic neurons, which may mediate the relative vulnerability of the former in PD. Other transcription factors that seem to be differentially regulated between SNpc and VTA neurons include pituitary homeobox 3 (PITX3)^{130,131}, homeobox protein Orthodenticle homeobox 2 (OTX2)¹³⁰ and Deleted in colorectal cancer (DCC)¹³². In addition, Achaete-scute homolog 1 (ASCL1) and LIM homeobox transcription factor 1-beta (LMX1B), seem to be required for specification of many brainstem regions that are susceptible to degeneration in early PD¹³¹. The role of these transcription factors in selective vulnerability, however, needs to be validated in the future.

Taken together, determinants of selective neuronal vulnerability might include intrinsic morphological, electrophysiological and biochemical properties, as well as exposure to stressors which includes aging. It should be noted that these determinants are not likely to be independent and they may play a synergistic role in the selective loss of particular neurons in vulnerable regions of the brain.

Future directions.

The majority of the studies that define selective vulnerability are based on end-stage pathology, and assessed by neuronal loss, structural or visible changes from immunostaining or histochemistry in case series. While critically important, this is a crude assessment of cell function and vulnerability, as remaining neurons that appear normal could have vastly altered electrical properties, synaptic connections, gene expression patterns, or other changes which are poorly measured using histology-based techniques. The recent development of novel techniques such as single-cell profiling and the development of cell-type specific human

iPSC-derived neurons will significantly help elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying selective cellular vulnerability, including at early stages of the disease.

Single cell profiling.

Our ability to discriminate between neuronal sub-types is currently rudimentary therefore defining the gene signature of different neurons (especially at the single-cell level) will be critical for understanding why particular subtypes of neurons are vulnerable in neurodegenerative diseases. Techniques such as laser-capture microdissection (LCM) and the bacterial artificial chromosome translating ribosome affinity purification (bacTRAP) methodology combined with Microarray^{19,116} or bulk RNA-seq^{124,133,134} have been employed to create the gene expression profiles of different types of neurons in various brain regions that are vulnerable or resistant in AD, or other neurodegenerative diseases. Moreover, single-cell RNA-seq^{135,136} and single-nuclei RNA-seq techniques^{137,138} have been successfully applied to identify microglia, and to distinguish between different subtypes of excitatory and inhibitory neurons in human postmortem brain tissues based on their unique transcriptomics. One caveat of using transcriptomics in post-mortem tissue to identify key vulnerability factors is that changes in mid- or late-stage disease may be an attempt at compensation and not reflect the signaling milieu that underlies the initial cell type-specific vulnerability in early-stage disease. Thus, comparison to early affected regions with much less pathology would be beneficial.

Of note, a novel single-cell RNA-seq method - fluorescent in situ RNA sequencing (FISSEQ)¹³⁹ - has been developed to consider the cellular and spatial context of each RNA within a biological sample, which is absent in other single-cell or single-nucleus RNA-seq methods. If this method can be optimized for human fresh-frozen brain tissue or ideally, fixed brain tissue, it will be a very powerful tool to identify the gene expression signature of vulnerable and resistant neurons in neurodegenerative diseases and it will provide important insights for elucidating the mechanisms underlying selective vulnerability.

Exploring selective vulnerability using cell-type specific human iPSCs-derived models.

Human induced neurons have been extensively used to examine disease-relevant cellular and molecular phenotypes in a physiologically and genetically relevant context, potentially recapitulating the early stages of disease and allowing for the testing of therapeutic targets and small molecules in a human neuronal environment^{18,140-142}. With the advent of approaches to differentiate human iPSCs into specific cell types such as dopaminergic¹⁴³⁻¹⁴⁵, glutamatergic¹⁴⁶, and GABAergic neurons^{147,148}, we will be better able to explore which

subtypes of neurons are vulnerable, and why they are vulnerable to different proteins, or different forms of the same protein especially when these studies are combined with single-cell RNA-seq analysis and systems biology approaches. However, a critical issue for these human iPSCs-derived models is that without further manipulation (for example, overexpressing the progerin gene)¹⁴⁹ they do not represent the mature or aged state in which neurodegeneration takes place, and therefore they likely need further technological development to be informative about differential vulnerability.

In conclusion, recent efforts to use genetics to identify cell type-specific genetic risk factors and the cell populations affected coupled with molecular approaches that can better define afflicted cells in the diseased brain have advanced our understanding of the pathogenic processes associated with selective cellular and regional vulnerability. Considerable effort, however, is now needed to develop new techniques that can identify cell signatures in post-mortem human brain tissue, and identify relevant disease-associated pathways in complex biological systems.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr. Dennis Dickson for critical reading of the manuscript. K.E.D. acknowledges the support of NIH (NS074874 and AG056151), Cure Alzheimer's Fund, the Tau Consortium and the Brightfocus Foundation. This work was also supported by a grant from the NIH (AG056673) and the Alzheimer's Association (AARF-17-505009) to H.J.F.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Regions and neurons that are vulnerable in neurodegenerative diseases. Early affected regions in different neurodegenerative diseases are indicated by different colors. AD, Alzheimer's disease; PD, Parkinson's disease; ALS, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis; bvFTLD, behavior variant Frontotemporal lobar degeneration; HD, Huntington's disease; LC, locus coeruleus; EC, entorhinal cortex; HP, hippocampus; OB, olfactory bulb; DMV, dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus; SNpc, substantia nigra pars compacta; MNC, motor neocortex; SP, spinal cord; BS, brainstem; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; FI, frontal insula; DG, Fascia dentata of the dentate gyrus; ST, striatum.

References:

- 1 Roberts, G. W., Nash, M., Ince, P. G., Royston, M. C. & Gentleman, S. M. On the origin of Alzheimer's disease: a hypothesis. *Neuroreport* **4**, 7-9 (1993).

- 2 Hyman, B. T., Van Hoesen, G. W., Damasio, A. R. & Barnes, C. L. Alzheimer's
disease: cell-specific pathology isolates the hippocampal formation. *Science* **225**,
1168-1170 (1984).
- 3 Morrison, B. M., Hof, P. R. & Morrison, J. H. Determinants of neuronal
vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. *Ann Neurol* **44**, S32-44 (1998).
- 4 Morrison, J. H. & Hof, P. R. Selective vulnerability of corticocortical and
hippocampal circuits in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Prog Brain Res* **136**, 467-
486 (2002).
- 5 Stranahan, A. M. & Mattson, M. P. Selective vulnerability of neurons in layer II of
the entorhinal cortex during aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neural Plast* **2010**,
108190, doi:10.1155/2010/108190 (2010).
- 6 Whitehouse, P. J. *et al.* Alzheimer's disease and senile dementia: loss of neurons
in the basal forebrain. *Science* **215**, 1237-1239 (1982).
- 7 Davies, P. & Maloney, A. J. Selective loss of central cholinergic neurons in
Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* **2**, 1403 (1976).
- 8 Bondareff, W., Mountjoy, C. Q. & Roth, M. Loss of neurons of origin of the
adrenergic projection to cerebral cortex (nucleus locus ceruleus) in senile
dementia. *Neurology* **32**, 164-168 (1982).
- 9 Greenamyre, J. T. & Young, A. B. Excitatory amino acids and Alzheimer's disease.
Neurobiol Aging **10**, 593-602 (1989).
- 10 Chin, J. *et al.* Reelin depletion in the entorhinal cortex of human amyloid
precursor protein transgenic mice and humans with Alzheimer's disease. *J*
Neurosci **27**, 2727-2733, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3758-06.2007 (2007).
- 11 Morrison, J. H. *et al.* A monoclonal antibody to non-phosphorylated
neurofilament protein marks the vulnerable cortical neurons in Alzheimer's
disease. *Brain Res* **416**, 331-336 (1987).
- 12 Bussiere, T. *et al.* Progressive degeneration of nonphosphorylated neurofilament
protein-enriched pyramidal neurons predicts cognitive impairment in
Alzheimer's disease: stereologic analysis of prefrontal cortex area 9. *J Comp*
Neurol **463**, 281-302, doi:10.1002/cne.10760 (2003).
- 13 Hof, P. R., Nimchinsky, E. A., Celio, M. R., Bouras, C. & Morrison, J. H. Calretinin-
immunoreactive neocortical interneurons are unaffected in Alzheimer's disease.
Neurosci Lett **152**, 145-148 (1993).
- 14 Iwamoto, N. & Emson, P. C. Demonstration of neurofibrillary tangles in
parvalbumin-immunoreactive interneurons in the cerebral cortex of Alzheimer-
type dementia brain. *Neurosci Lett* **128**, 81-84 (1991).
- 15 Fu, H. *et al.* Tau Pathology Induces Excitatory Neuron Loss, Grid Cell Dysfunction,
and Spatial Memory Deficits Reminiscent of Early Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuron*
93, 533-541 e535, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.023 (2017).
- 16 Sarter, M. & Bruno, J. P. The neglected constituent of the basal forebrain
corticopetal projection system: GABAergic projections. *Eur J Neurosci* **15**, 1867-
1873 (2002).
- 17 Mattson, M. P. & Magnus, T. Ageing and neuronal vulnerability. *Nat Rev Neurosci*
7, 278-294, doi:10.1038/nrn1886 (2006).
- 18 Muratore, C. R. *et al.* Cell-type Dependent Alzheimer's Disease Phenotypes:
Probing the Biology of Selective Neuronal Vulnerability. *Stem Cell Reports* **9**,
1868-1884, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.10.015 (2017).

- 19 Brichta, L. & Greengard, P. Molecular determinants of selective dopaminergic vulnerability in Parkinson's disease: an update. *Front Neuroanat* **8**, 152, doi:10.3389/fnana.2014.00152 (2014).
- 20 Surmeier, D. J., Obeso, J. A. & Halliday, G. M. Parkinson's Disease Is Not Simply a Prion Disorder. *J Neurosci* **37**, 9799-9807, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1787-16.2017 (2017).
- 21 Double, K. L. Neuronal vulnerability in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* **18 Suppl 1**, S52-54, doi:10.1016/S1353-8020(11)70018-9 (2012).
- 22 Jellinger, K. A. Post mortem studies in Parkinson's disease--is it possible to detect brain areas for specific symptoms? *J Neural Transm Suppl* **56**, 1-29 (1999).
- 23 Halliday, G. M. *et al.* Neuropathology of immunohistochemically identified brainstem neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* **27**, 373-385, doi:10.1002/ana.410270405 (1990).
- 24 Henderson, J. M., Carpenter, K., Cartwright, H. & Halliday, G. M. Degeneration of the centre median-parafascicular complex in Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* **47**, 345-352 (2000).
- 25 Harding, A. J., Stimson, E., Henderson, J. M. & Halliday, G. M. Clinical correlates of selective pathology in the amygdala of patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain* **125**, 2431-2445 (2002).
- 26 Kingsbury, A. E. *et al.* Brain stem pathology in Parkinson's disease: an evaluation of the Braak staging model. *Mov Disord* **25**, 2508-2515, doi:10.1002/mds.23305 (2010).
- 27 Braak, H. *et al.* Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **24**, 197-211 (2003).
- 28 Walker, D. G. *et al.* Changes in properties of serine 129 phosphorylated alpha-synuclein with progression of Lewy-type histopathology in human brains. *Exp Neurol* **240**, 190-204, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.11.020 (2013).
- 29 Saxena, S. & Caroni, P. Selective neuronal vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases: from stressor thresholds to degeneration. *Neuron* **71**, 35-48, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.06.031 (2011).
- 30 Boillee, S., Vande Velde, C. & Cleveland, D. W. ALS: a disease of motor neurons and their nonneuronal neighbors. *Neuron* **52**, 39-59, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.018 (2006).
- 31 Roselli, F. & Caroni, P. From intrinsic firing properties to selective neuronal vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. *Neuron* **85**, 901-910, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.063 (2015).
- 32 Alexianu, M. E. *et al.* The role of calcium-binding proteins in selective motoneuron vulnerability in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* **36**, 846-858, doi:10.1002/ana.410360608 (1994).
- 33 Kihira, T., Yoshida, S., Yoshimasu, F., Wakayama, I. & Yase, Y. Involvement of Onuf's nucleus in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* **147**, 81-88 (1997).
- 34 von Lewinski, F. & Keller, B. U. Ca²⁺, mitochondria and selective motoneuron vulnerability: implications for ALS. *Trends Neurosci* **28**, 494-500, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2005.07.001 (2005).
- 35 Mills, K. R. The natural history of central motor abnormalities in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* **126**, 2558-2566, doi:10.1093/brain/awg260 (2003).
- 36 Varrone, A. *et al.* Voxel-based comparison of rCBF SPET images in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease highlights the involvement of

- different cortical networks. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* **29**, 1447-1454, doi:10.1007/s00259-002-0883-5 (2002).
- 37 Rabinovici, G. D. *et al.* Distinct MRI atrophy patterns in autopsy-proven Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen* **22**, 474-488, doi:10.1177/1533317507308779 (2007).
- 38 Seeley, W. W. *et al.* Early frontotemporal dementia targets neurons unique to apes and humans. *Ann Neurol* **60**, 660-667, doi:10.1002/ana.21055 (2006).
- 39 Seeley, W. W. Selective functional, regional, and neuronal vulnerability in frontotemporal dementia. *Curr Opin Neurol* **21**, 701-707, doi:10.1097/WCO.0b013e3283168e2d (2008).
- 40 Dickson, D. W., Kouri, N., Murray, M. E. & Josephs, K. A. Neuropathology of frontotemporal lobar degeneration-tau (FTLD-tau). *J Mol Neurosci* **45**, 384-389, doi:10.1007/s12031-011-9589-0 (2011).
- 41 Galvan, L., Andre, V. M., Wang, E. A., Cepeda, C. & Levine, M. S. Functional Differences Between Direct and Indirect Striatal Output Pathways in Huntington's Disease. *J Huntingtons Dis* **1**, 17-25, doi:10.3233/JHD-2012-120009 (2012).
- 42 Morigaki, R. & Goto, S. Striatal Vulnerability in Huntington's Disease: Neuroprotection Versus Neurotoxicity. *Brain Sci* **7**, doi:10.3390/brainsci7060063 (2017).
- 43 Reiner, A. *et al.* Differential loss of striatal projection neurons in Huntington disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **85**, 5733-5737 (1988).
- 44 Richfield, E. K., Maguire-Zeiss, K. A., Vonkeman, H. E. & Voorn, P. Preferential loss of preproenkephalin versus preprotachykinin neurons from the striatum of Huntington's disease patients. *Ann Neurol* **38**, 852-861, doi:10.1002/ana.410380605 (1995).
- 45 Braak, H. & Braak, E. Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol* **82**, 239-259 (1991).
- 46 Brettschneider, J. *et al.* Stages of pTDP-43 pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* **74**, 20-38, doi:10.1002/ana.23937 (2013).
- 47 Josephs, K. A. *et al.* Staging TDP-43 pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* **127**, 441-450, doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1211-9 (2014).
- 48 Braak, H. & Del Tredici, K. Neuropathological Staging of Brain Pathology in Sporadic Parkinson's disease: Separating the Wheat from the Chaff. *J Parkinsons Dis* **7**, S73-S87, doi:10.3233/JPD-179001 (2017).
- 49 Braak, H. *et al.* Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis--a model of corticofugal axonal spread. *Nat Rev Neurol* **9**, 708-714, doi:10.1038/nrneuro.2013.221 (2013).
- 50 Walker, L. C. & Jucker, M. Neurodegenerative diseases: expanding the prion concept. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **38**, 87-103, doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-033828 (2015).
- 51 Brettschneider, J., Del Tredici, K., Lee, V. M. & Trojanowski, J. Q. Spreading of pathology in neurodegenerative diseases: a focus on human studies. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 109-120, doi:10.1038/nrn3887 (2015).
- 52 Goedert, M., Eisenberg, D. S. & Crowther, R. A. Propagation of Tau Aggregates and Neurodegeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **40**, 189-210, doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-072116-031153 (2017).
- 53 Mudher, A. *et al.* What is the evidence that tau pathology spreads through prion-like propagation? *Acta Neuropathol Commun* **5**, 99, doi:10.1186/s40478-017-0488-7 (2017).

- 54 Jucker, M. & Walker, L. C. Self-propagation of pathogenic protein aggregates in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature* **501**, 45-51, doi:10.1038/nature12481 (2013).
- 55 Spina, S. *et al.* The tauopathy associated with mutation +3 in intron 10 of Tau: characterization of the MSTD family. *Brain* **131**, 72-89, doi:10.1093/brain/awm280 (2008).
- 56 Ghetti, B. *et al.* Invited review: Frontotemporal dementia caused by microtubule-associated protein tau gene (MAPT) mutations: a chameleon for neuropathology and neuroimaging. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **41**, 24-46, doi:10.1111/nan.12213 (2015).
- 57 Walker, L. C. Proteopathic Strains and the Heterogeneity of Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Annu Rev Genet* **50**, 329-346, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-034943 (2016).
- 58 Schmidt, M. *et al.* Peptide dimer structure in an Abeta(1-42) fibril visualized with cryo-EM. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 11858-11863, doi:10.1073/pnas.1503455112 (2015).
- 59 Gremer, L. *et al.* Fibril structure of amyloid-beta(1-42) by cryo-electron microscopy. *Science* **358**, 116-119, doi:10.1126/science.aao2825 (2017).
- 60 Fitzpatrick, A. W. P. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* **547**, 185-190, doi:10.1038/nature23002 (2017).
- 61 Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 559, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559 (2008).
- 62 Gamazon, E. R. *et al.* A gene-based association method for mapping traits using reference transcriptome data. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1091-1098, doi:10.1038/ng.3367 (2015).
- 63 Matarin, M. *et al.* A genome-wide gene-expression analysis and database in transgenic mice during development of amyloid or tau pathology. *Cell Rep* **10**, 633-644, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.041 (2015).
- 64 Ryan, B. J., Hoek, S., Fon, E. A. & Wade-Martins, R. Mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy in Parkinson's: from familial to sporadic disease. *Trends Biochem Sci* **40**, 200-210, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2015.02.003 (2015).
- 65 Hardy, J. Catastrophic cliffs: a partial suggestion for selective vulnerability in neurodegenerative diseases. *Biochem Soc Trans* **44**, 659-661, doi:10.1042/BST20150287 (2016).
- 66 Ciryam, P., Tartaglia, G. G., Morimoto, R. I., Dobson, C. M. & Vendruscolo, M. Widespread aggregation and neurodegenerative diseases are associated with supersaturated proteins. *Cell Rep* **5**, 781-790, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.043 (2013).
- 67 Ciryam, P., Kundra, R., Morimoto, R. I., Dobson, C. M. & Vendruscolo, M. Supersaturation is a major driving force for protein aggregation in neurodegenerative diseases. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **36**, 72-77, doi:10.1016/j.tips.2014.12.004 (2015).
- 68 Ciryam, P. *et al.* A transcriptional signature of Alzheimer's disease is associated with a metastable subproteome at risk for aggregation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 4753-4758, doi:10.1073/pnas.1516604113 (2016).
- 69 Ciryam, P. *et al.* Spinal motor neuron protein supersaturation patterns are associated with inclusion body formation in ALS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E3935-E3943, doi:10.1073/pnas.1613854114 (2017).

- 70 Freer, R. *et al.* A protein homeostasis signature in healthy brains recapitulates tissue vulnerability to Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Adv* **2**, e1600947, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600947 (2016).
- 71 Hardy, J. Expression of normal sequence pathogenic proteins for neurodegenerative disease contributes to disease risk: 'permissive templating' as a general mechanism underlying neurodegeneration. *Biochem Soc Trans* **33**, 578-581, doi:10.1042/BST0330578 (2005).
- 72 Tiernan, C. T. *et al.* Protein homeostasis gene dysregulation in pretangle-bearing nucleus basalis neurons during the progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **42**, 80-90, doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.02.031 (2016).
- 73 Johnson, N. R. *et al.* Evidence for sortilin modulating regional accumulation of human tau prions in transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E11029-E11036, doi:10.1073/pnas.1717193114 (2017).
- 74 Kundra, R., Ciryam, P., Morimoto, R. I., Dobson, C. M. & Vendruscolo, M. Protein homeostasis of a metastable subproteome associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E5703-E5711, doi:10.1073/pnas.1618417114 (2017).
- 75 Tsvetkov, A. S. *et al.* Proteostasis of polyglutamine varies among neurons and predicts neurodegeneration. *Nat Chem Biol* **9**, 586-592, doi:10.1038/nchembio.1308 (2013).
- 76 Guedes-Dias, P. *et al.* Mitochondrial dynamics and quality control in Huntington's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **90**, 51-57, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2015.09.008 (2016).
- 77 Le Grand, J. N. *et al.* Specific distribution of the autophagic protein GABARAPL1/GEC1 in the developing and adult mouse brain and identification of neuronal populations expressing GABARAPL1/GEC1. *PLoS One* **8**, e63133, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063133 (2013).
- 78 Fimia, G. M. *et al.* Ambra1 regulates autophagy and development of the nervous system. *Nature* **447**, 1121-1125, doi:10.1038/nature05925 (2007).
- 79 Chung, Y. H. *et al.* Decreased expression of calretinin in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus of SOD1G93A transgenic mice. *Brain Res* **1035**, 105-109, doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2004.12.022 (2005).
- 80 Greene, J. G., Dingledine, R. & Greenamyre, J. T. Gene expression profiling of rat midbrain dopamine neurons: implications for selective vulnerability in parkinsonism. *Neurobiol Dis* **18**, 19-31, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2004.10.003 (2005).
- 81 Mendez, I. *et al.* Cell type analysis of functional fetal dopamine cell suspension transplants in the striatum and substantia nigra of patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain* **128**, 1498-1510, doi:10.1093/brain/awh510 (2005).
- 82 Shaw, P. J. & Eggett, C. J. Molecular factors underlying selective vulnerability of motor neurons to neurodegeneration in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol* **247 Suppl 1**, I17-27 (2000).
- 83 Simonian, N. A. & Hyman, B. T. Functional alterations in neural circuits in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **16**, 305-309 (1995).
- 84 Wang, Y. & Mattson, M. P. L-type Ca²⁺ currents at CA1 synapses, but not CA3 or dentate granule neuron synapses, are increased in 3xTgAD mice in an age-dependent manner. *Neurobiol Aging* **35**, 88-95, doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.07.007 (2014).
- 85 Sulzer, D. Multiple hit hypotheses for dopamine neuron loss in Parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci* **30**, 244-250, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2007.03.009 (2007).

- 86 Kanning, K. C., Kaplan, A. & Henderson, C. E. Motor neuron diversity in development and disease. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **33**, 409-440, doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135722 (2010).
- 87 Lin, M. T. & Beal, M. F. Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature* **443**, 787-795, doi:10.1038/nature05292 (2006).
- 88 Chan, C. S., Gertler, T. S. & Surmeier, D. J. Calcium homeostasis, selective vulnerability and Parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci* **32**, 249-256, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2009.01.006 (2009).
- 89 Hirsch, E. C., Jenner, P. & Przedborski, S. Pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* **28**, 24-30, doi:10.1002/mds.25032 (2013).
- 90 Mattsson, N., Schott, J. M., Hardy, J., Turner, M. R. & Zetterberg, H. Selective vulnerability in neurodegeneration: insights from clinical variants of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **87**, 1000-1004, doi:10.1136/jnnp-2015-311321 (2016).
- 91 Surmeier, D. J., Guzman, J. N. & Sanchez-Padilla, J. Calcium, cellular aging, and selective neuronal vulnerability in Parkinson's disease. *Cell Calcium* **47**, 175-182, doi:10.1016/j.ceca.2009.12.003 (2010).
- 92 Bolam, J. P. & Pissadaki, E. K. Living on the edge with too many mouths to feed: why dopamine neurons die. *Mov Disord* **27**, 1478-1483, doi:10.1002/mds.25135 (2012).
- 93 Bender, A. *et al.* High levels of mitochondrial DNA deletions in substantia nigra neurons in aging and Parkinson disease. *Nat Genet* **38**, 515-517, doi:10.1038/ng1769 (2006).
- 94 Bender, A. *et al.* Dopaminergic midbrain neurons are the prime target for mitochondrial DNA deletions. *J Neurol* **255**, 1231-1235, doi:10.1007/s00415-008-0892-9 (2008).
- 95 Kraytsberg, Y. *et al.* Mitochondrial DNA deletions are abundant and cause functional impairment in aged human substantia nigra neurons. *Nat Genet* **38**, 518-520, doi:10.1038/ng1778 (2006).
- 96 Schapira, A. H. *et al.* Mitochondrial function in Parkinson's disease. The Royal Kings and Queens Parkinson's Disease Research Group. *Ann Neurol* **32 Suppl**, S116-124 (1992).
- 97 Braak, H. & Braak, E. Development of Alzheimer-related neurofibrillary changes in the neocortex inversely recapitulates cortical myelogenesis. *Acta Neuropathol* **92**, 197-201 (1996).
- 98 Richter, C., Park, J. W. & Ames, B. N. Normal oxidative damage to mitochondrial and nuclear DNA is extensive. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **85**, 6465-6467 (1988).
- 99 Choi, D. W. Ionic dependence of glutamate neurotoxicity. *J Neurosci* **7**, 369-379 (1987).
- 100 Morrison, J. H. Differential vulnerability, connectivity, and cell typology. *Neurobiol Aging* **14**, 51-54; discussion 55-56 (1993).
- 101 Magnusson, K. R., Brim, B. L. & Das, S. R. Selective Vulnerabilities of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) Receptors During Brain Aging. *Front Aging Neurosci* **2**, 11, doi:10.3389/fnagi.2010.00011 (2010).
- 102 Mishizen-Eberz, A. J. *et al.* Biochemical and molecular studies of NMDA receptor subunits NR1/2A/2B in hippocampal subregions throughout progression of Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Neurobiol Dis* **15**, 80-92 (2004).

- 103 Lee, H. G. *et al.* Aberrant expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 in the vulnerable neurons of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* **107**, 365-371, doi:10.1007/s00401-004-0820-8 (2004).
- 104 Laslo, P., Lipski, J. & Funk, G. D. Differential expression of Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors in motoneurons at low and high risk for degeneration in ALS. *Neuroreport* **12**, 1903-1908 (2001).
- 105 Tomiyama, M. *et al.* Expression of metabotropic glutamate receptor mRNAs in the human spinal cord: implications for selective vulnerability of spinal motor neurons in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* **189**, 65-69 (2001).
- 106 Gotz, J., Schonrock, N., Vissel, B. & Ittner, L. M. Alzheimer's disease selective vulnerability and modeling in transgenic mice. *J Alzheimers Dis* **18**, 243-251, doi:10.3233/JAD-2009-1143 (2009).
- 107 Lorenzo, L. E., Barbe, A., Portalier, P., Fritschy, J. M. & Bras, H. Differential expression of GABAA and glycine receptors in ALS-resistant vs. ALS-vulnerable motoneurons: possible implications for selective vulnerability of motoneurons. *Eur J Neurosci* **23**, 3161-3170, doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.04863.x (2006).
- 108 Nitrini, R. Selective vulnerability of von Economo neurons in frontotemporal dementia. *Dement Neuropsychol* **2**, 164 (2008).
- 109 Seeley, W. W. *et al.* Divergent social functioning in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease: reciprocal networks and neuronal evolution. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **21**, S50-57, doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e31815c0f14 (2007).
- 110 Zeron, M. M. *et al.* Increased sensitivity to N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-mediated excitotoxicity in a mouse model of Huntington's disease. *Neuron* **33**, 849-860 (2002).
- 111 Landwehrmeyer, G. B., Standaert, D. G., Testa, C. M., Penney, J. B., Jr. & Young, A. B. NMDA receptor subunit mRNA expression by projection neurons and interneurons in rat striatum. *J Neurosci* **15**, 5297-5307 (1995).
- 112 Kuppenbender, K. D. *et al.* Expression of NMDA receptor subunit mRNAs in neurochemically identified projection and interneurons in the human striatum. *J Comp Neurol* **419**, 407-421 (2000).
- 113 Han, I., You, Y., Kordower, J. H., Brady, S. T. & Morfini, G. A. Differential vulnerability of neurons in Huntington's disease: the role of cell type-specific features. *J Neurochem* **113**, 1073-1091, doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.06672.x (2010).
- 114 Okamoto, S. *et al.* Balance between synaptic versus extrasynaptic NMDA receptor activity influences inclusions and neurotoxicity of mutant huntingtin. *Nat Med* **15**, 1407-1413, doi:10.1038/nm.2056 (2009).
- 115 Milnerwood, A. J. *et al.* Early increase in extrasynaptic NMDA receptor signaling and expression contributes to phenotype onset in Huntington's disease mice. *Neuron* **65**, 178-190, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.008 (2010).
- 116 Ginsberg, S. D., Che, S., Counts, S. E. & Mufson, E. J. Single cell gene expression profiling in Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroRx* **3**, 302-318, doi:10.1016/j.nurx.2006.05.007 (2006).
- 117 Liang, W. S. *et al.* Altered neuronal gene expression in brain regions differentially affected by Alzheimer's disease: a reference data set. *Physiol Genomics* **33**, 240-256, doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.00242.2007 (2008).
- 118 Taylor, R. C. & Dillin, A. Aging as an event of proteostasis collapse. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **3**, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a004440 (2011).

- 119 Labbadia, J. & Morimoto, R. I. The biology of proteostasis in aging and disease. *Annu Rev Biochem* **84**, 435-464, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-033955 (2015).
- 120 Kaushik, S. & Cuervo, A. M. Proteostasis and aging. *Nat Med* **21**, 1406-1415, doi:10.1038/nm.4001 (2015).
- 121 Wojda, U., Salinska, E. & Kuznicki, J. Calcium ions in neuronal degeneration. *IUBMB Life* **60**, 575-590, doi:10.1002/iub.91 (2008).
- 122 Squier, T. C. Oxidative stress and protein aggregation during biological aging. *Exp Gerontol* **36**, 1539-1550 (2001).
- 123 Soreq, L. *et al.* Major Shifts in Glial Regional Identity Are a Transcriptional Hallmark of Human Brain Aging. *Cell Rep* **18**, 557-570, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.011 (2017).
- 124 Sun, S. *et al.* Translational profiling identifies a cascade of damage initiated in motor neurons and spreading to glia in mutant SOD1-mediated ALS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, E6993-7002, doi:10.1073/pnas.1520639112 (2015).
- 125 Ginsberg, S. D. *et al.* Selective decline of neurotrophin and neurotrophin receptor genes within CA1 pyramidal neurons and hippocampus proper: Correlation with cognitive performance and neuropathology in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Hippocampus*, doi:10.1002/hipo.22802 (2017).
- 126 Fombonne, J., Rabizadeh, S., Banwait, S., Mehlen, P. & Bredesen, D. E. Selective vulnerability in Alzheimer's disease: amyloid precursor protein and p75(NTR) interaction. *Ann Neurol* **65**, 294-303, doi:10.1002/ana.21578 (2009).
- 127 Perez, S. E. *et al.* Rac1b increases with progressive tau pathology within cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons in Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Pathol* **180**, 526-540, doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.10.027 (2012).
- 128 Gerschutz, A. *et al.* Neuron-specific alterations in signal transduction pathways associated with Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **40**, 135-142, doi:10.3233/JAD-131280 (2014).
- 129 Shu, S. *et al.* Selective Degeneration of Entorhinal-CA1 Synapses in Alzheimer's Disease via Activation of DAPK1. *J Neurosci* **36**, 10843-10852, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2258-16.2016 (2016).
- 130 Di Giovannantonio, L. G. *et al.* Otx2 selectively controls the neurogenesis of specific neuronal subtypes of the ventral tegmental area and compensates En1-dependent neuronal loss and MPTP vulnerability. *Dev Biol* **373**, 176-183, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.10.022 (2013).
- 131 Oliveira, M. A. P., Balling, R., Smidt, M. P. & Fleming, R. M. T. Embryonic development of selectively vulnerable neurons in Parkinson's disease. *NPJ Parkinsons Dis* **3**, 21, doi:10.1038/s41531-017-0022-4 (2017).
- 132 Osborne, P. B., Halliday, G. M., Cooper, H. M. & Keast, J. R. Localization of immunoreactivity for deleted in colorectal cancer (DCC), the receptor for the guidance factor netrin-1, in ventral tier dopamine projection pathways in adult rodents. *Neuroscience* **131**, 671-681, doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.11.043 (2005).
- 133 Nichterwitz, S. *et al.* Laser capture microscopy coupled with Smart-seq2 for precise spatial transcriptomic profiling. *Nat Commun* **7**, 12139, doi:10.1038/ncomms12139 (2016).
- 134 McKeever, P. M. *et al.* Cholinergic neuron gene expression differences captured by translational profiling in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **57**, 104-119, doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.05.014 (2017).

- 135 Keren-Shaul, H. *et al.* A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* **169**, 1276-1290 e1217, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.018 (2017).
- 136 Gosselin, D. *et al.* An environment-dependent transcriptional network specifies human microglia identity. *Science* **356**, doi:10.1126/science.aal3222 (2017).
- 137 Lake, B. B. *et al.* Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science* **352**, 1586-1590, doi:10.1126/science.aaf1204 (2016).
- 138 Habib, N. *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nat Methods* **14**, 955-958, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4407 (2017).
- 139 Lee, J. H. *et al.* Highly multiplexed subcellular RNA sequencing in situ. *Science* **343**, 1360-1363, doi:10.1126/science.1250212 (2014).
- 140 Silva, M. C. *et al.* Human iPSC-Derived Neuronal Model of Tau-A152T Frontotemporal Dementia Reveals Tau-Mediated Mechanisms of Neuronal Vulnerability. *Stem Cell Reports* **7**, 325-340, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.08.001 (2016).
- 141 Wang, C. *et al.* Scalable Production of iPSC-Derived Human Neurons to Identify Tau-Lowering Compounds by High-Content Screening. *Stem Cell Reports* **9**, 1221-1233, doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.08.019 (2017).
- 142 Imamura, K. *et al.* Calcium dysregulation contributes to neurodegeneration in FTLD patient iPSC-derived neurons. *Sci Rep* **6**, 34904, doi:10.1038/srep34904 (2016).
- 143 Swistowski, A. *et al.* Efficient generation of functional dopaminergic neurons from human induced pluripotent stem cells under defined conditions. *Stem Cells* **28**, 1893-1904, doi:10.1002/stem.499 (2010).
- 144 Roessler, R., Boddeke, E. & Copray, S. Induced pluripotent stem cell technology and direct conversion: new possibilities to study and treat Parkinson's disease. *Stem Cell Rev* **9**, 505-513, doi:10.1007/s12015-012-9369-4 (2013).
- 145 Sundberg, M. *et al.* Improved cell therapy protocols for Parkinson's disease based on differentiation efficiency and safety of hESC-, hiPSC-, and non-human primate iPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons. *Stem Cells* **31**, 1548-1562, doi:10.1002/stem.1415 (2013).
- 146 Zhang, Y. *et al.* Rapid single-step induction of functional neurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Neuron* **78**, 785-798, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.029 (2013).
- 147 Sun, A. X. *et al.* Direct Induction and Functional Maturation of Forebrain GABAergic Neurons from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Rep* **16**, 1942-1953, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.07.035 (2016).
- 148 Yang, N. *et al.* Generation of pure GABAergic neurons by transcription factor programming. *Nat Methods* **14**, 621-628, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4291 (2017).
- 149 Miller, J. D. *et al.* Human iPSC-based modeling of late-onset disease via progerin-induced aging. *Cell Stem Cell* **13**, 691-705, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2013.11.006 (2013).