THILO REHREN, Science and Technology in Archaeology and Culture, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia; UCL Institute of Archaeology, University College London, UK ANASTASIA CHOLAKOVA, National Institute of Archaeology with Museum, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia SONJA JOVANOVIĆ, Institute of Archaeology Belgrade

# COMPOSITION AND TEXTURE OF A SET OF MARVERED GLASS VESSELS FROM 12<sup>th</sup> CENTURY AD BRANIČEVO, SERBIA

e-mail: th.rehren@ucl.ac.uk

*Abstract* – Strongly coloured glass vessels decorated with marvered threads of white glass are a wide-spread and popular, but rarely studied group of high-quality glassware of medieval Islamic origin. Relatively little is known about the composition and production places of these vessels, and their chronological range is not very well defined, as many of the published finds lack contextual evidence. Here, we present detailed chemical and microstructural data on a set of well-dated purple glass vessels decorated with white threads, excavated at the Mali Grad site in Braničevo, Serbia, in an archaeological context dated to the middle/second half of the 12<sup>th</sup> century AD. The set comprises at least sixteen different vessels, manufactured from two different batches of probably Levantine plant-ash glass coloured by manganese oxide. Significantly, the results demonstrate that these batches are correlated to particular vessel shapes. The base glass of the white threads is comparable to that of the purple vessel glass, but instead of being coloured by added manganese oxide, it contains considerable amounts of tin and lead oxides which provide the effect of opacity and white colour. No difference in composition can be seen between the white glass threads used to decorate the vessels from the two different manganese-coloured batches, thus indicating a likely common production origin of the whole set.

Key words - Islamic glass, Marvering, Braničevo, Serbia, 12th century, LA-ICPMS analysis, SEM imaging, pXRF.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Islamic glass vessels of the High Middle Ages are renowned for their artistic and aesthetic quality. Among the easily recognisable decorated glass objects are vessels consisting of an intensely coloured body trailed with threads of opaque glass, most often of white colour, typically dragged in a festooned fashion (i.e. the so-called combed decoration) when the glass was still soft and often marvered flat into the surface of the vessel walls<sup>1</sup>. The first comprehensive survey of these marvered Islamic glasses was published by James Allan<sup>2</sup>, who surveyed and summarised the earlier literature on the subject as well as detailing his own considerable knowledge of the material based mostly on the Ashmolean Collection, followed by Stefano Carboni's review of the material in the al-Sabah Collection<sup>3</sup>. Since then, further studies reported more finds, for instance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pinder-Wilson 1999, 129–130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Allan 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Carboni 2001, 291–321.

The article results from the *Glass from Byzantium to Baghdad – Trade and Technology from the Byzantine Empire to the Abbasid Caliphate* project of UCL Qatar, funded by NPRP grant 7-776-6-024 from the Qatar National Research Fund (a member of Qatar Foundation), the project of the National Museum in Požarevac *Archaeological investigations of Medieval Braničevo* (No. 1201-P13), funded by the Municipality of Požarevac, and the project of the Institute of Archaeology in Belgrade *Urbanization Processes and Development of Medieval Society* (No. 177021), funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.



*Fig. 1. Examples of the analysed purple glass vessels with marvered decoration from Braničevo (Cat. Nos 1, 2, 6 from Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović in this volume; photo: Institute of Archaeology, Belgrade)* 

Сл. 1. Примерци анализираної *йурйурної сшакла из Браничева украшени айлицираним нишима* (Cat. Nos 1, 2, 6 из Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović у овом броју Старинара; фошо: Археолошки инсшишуш, Беоїрад)

from Bet Shean in Israel<sup>4</sup>, the Wadi al-Tūr in Sinai<sup>5</sup>, and Jerusalem<sup>6</sup>. Beyond this, numerous articles and catalogue entries also depict fragments of such vessels from various sites or museum collections, attesting the wide popularity of this group. Despite this popularity, however, few papers discuss the chemical composition of these vessels, the main one being Julian Henderson's companion piece to the survey by James Allan<sup>7</sup>.

Recent excavations at House No 4 from Braničevo in eastern Serbia have yielded a highly remarkable assemblage of glass vessel fragments (Fig. 1). They were found in a closed context formed with the destruction of the building and dated, on the basis of the numismatic evidence, to the middle/second half of the 12<sup>th</sup> c. AD<sup>8</sup>. The main finds form a set of at least 16 individual vessels, including bowls, bottles and flasks made from translucent purple glass with opaque white festooned decoration. They have few published parallels from the Balkans<sup>9</sup>, and form the topic of this chemical study. A full presentation of the vessel set, its context and archaeological interpretation is provided in the preceding paper by Dragana Spasić-Đurić and Sonja Jovanović<sup>10</sup>. Here, we report the results of compositional and microstructural analyses done on this assemblage.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The fragments were recovered during regular excavations in 2011 and visually sorted and assigned to at least 16 different vessels, tentatively identified as six

<sup>9</sup> E.g. vessels from Kotor, Montenegro in Križanac 2001, and from Corinth, Greece in Davidson 1952, 115–116, Nos 755–758.

<sup>10</sup> Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović in this volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Hadad 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Shindo 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Brosh 2014; Brosh 2017, 300–301, 303–304.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Henderson 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Spasić-Đurić 2016, 113–114, Fig. 56b; Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović in this volume.

small and two large bowls, two flasks, and three large bottles; other fragments indicate the presence of a further three unidentified, highly fragmented vessels, potentially also flasks. From the much larger total number of sherds excavated, 15 fragments representing at least nine of the different vessels were analysed by Bernard Gratuze<sup>11</sup> using Laser Ablation – Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICPMS). On each fragment three spots in the purple glass base and, where possible, a further three spots in the white glass were analysed using well-published conditions and protocols<sup>12</sup>. The Appendix reports the average values of the three measurements per sample, separate for the purple and the white glass, together with the results for the reference glass Corning A, whose composition is assumed known<sup>13</sup> and which was analysed as part of the same analytical sequence as the compositionally unknown glass fragments<sup>14</sup>. From the analysis by LA-ICPMS we identified the presence of two different chemical compositions among the purple glasses (see below); this separation was further supported by portable X-Ray Fluorescence (pXRF) analyses done at the National Museum in Požarevac using a hand-held Olympus Delta InnovX instrument with modified calibrations based on the instrument's Soil and Mining Plus modes (see below).

To better understand the manufacturing technology of the opaque white decoration, and to explore the reason for the observed internal heterogeneity of the triplicate LA-ICPMS analyses (see below), six of the 15 fragments analysed by LA-ICPMS were selected for further study by optical and scanning electron microscopy. In preparation for this, the samples were embedded in cold-setting transparent resin in such a way that the cross section of the glass would be exposed after grinding and polishing the flat surface of the resin disc, revealing both the purple glass matrix and the white decoration. They were then investigated using a Leica DM2700 Optical Microscope (OM) and a JEOL Scanning Electron Microscope with Energy-Dispersive Spectrometer (SEM-EDS) at the Archaeological Materials Science Laboratories of UCL Qatar.

# RESULTS

There are two main characteristics to report regarding the results of the scientific analysis of these glass fragments. Firstly, there is the chemical composition of the glass, separately for the purple bodies and the white threads, as determined by LA-ICPMS. Secondly, there are the textures of the two glass parts on a microscopic level, as visualised and determined by SEM Back-Scatter Electron (BSE) images and EDS analyses for six fragments<sup>15</sup> out of the 15 analysed by LA-ICPMS. Knowing the composition enables us to discuss the production technology and the likely origin of this glass, and whether the different vessels were made all at the same workshop during the same production event, or whether they are from different sources. The texture, in addition, provides us some information regarding the working and colouring processes used to make and decorate the glass vessels.

### Composition

The purple glass fragments are a typical sodalime-silica glass, with around 67 wt% silica, 12 to 13 wt% soda, and c 9 wt% lime (Table 1). The presence of 2.5 to 3 wt% magnesia and 1.9 to 2.3 wt% potash indicates the use of plant ash as the flux. The purple colour is due to a manganese oxide content of, on average, 2 to 2.2 wt%, clearly added as a deliberate ingredient of the colouring recipe. Around 2 wt% alumina and around 1 wt% iron oxide are the only other compounds present at the percentage level. This composition matches typical Islamic plant-ash glass compositions known from extensive literature, and is in accordance with the stylistic affiliation of the vessel types and decoration to an Islamic origin.

Within this compositional range we identify two subgroups. Purple 1, with eight analysed samples, has slightly higher concentrations of potash, magnesia (Fig. 2), and lime, while Purple 2, with seven analysed samples, has slightly higher concentrations of iron and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> At the laboratory of the Institute de Recherche sur les Archéomatériaux (IRAMAT) – Centre Ernest Babelon, UMR 5060 CNRS/ Université d'Orléans, France.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  Cf. Gratuze 2016. Three separate spots were placed in the cross sections of the fragments, analysing a volume of glass c 0.06 mm wide and c 0.2 mm deep. An exception is sample BRN 1-09 on which, due to the strong glass heterogeneity of the decoration, nine individual spots were measured, spread over three different areas, and then averaged as three separate results; see Fig. 6a, b and the Appendix – samples BRN 1-09.w(i), BRN 1-09.w(ii), and BRN 1-09.w(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Brill 1972; Adlington 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The comparison of the measured oxide concentration to values published by Brill (1972), Vicenzi et al. (2002), Wagner et al. (2012), and Adlington (2017, Table 3) shows an overall good consistency; the main discrepancy was seen in the determined lime concentration, which was analysed c 13% higher than in the published values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Fragments BRN 1-04, 1-05, 1-07, 1-09, 1-13 and 1-14b.

Thilo REHREN, Anastasia CHOLAKOVA, Sonja JOVANOVIĆ

Composition and texture of a set of marvered glass vessels from 12th century AD Braničevo, Serbia (125-149)

wt%	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	MgO	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Cl	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
Purple 1	67.4	1.75	12.2	2.25	9.1	2.87	0.30	0.71	0.09	0.73	
Purple 2	66.7	1.90	12.9	1.91	8.7	2.60	0.26	0.77	0.10	1.06	
White (all)	57.8	1.08	9.0	1.81	6.9	2.35	0.24	0.66	0.06	0.44	
ppm	Li <sub>2</sub> O	B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Rb <sub>2</sub> O	SrO	BaO	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	ZrO <sub>2</sub>			
Purple 1	20	315	16	682	1473	27	21	59			
Purple 2	25	414	14	677	1547	31	23	67			
White (all)	4	225	11	456	195	18	11	39			
	MnO	CoO	NiO	CuO	ZnO	As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Ag	SnO <sub>2</sub>	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	PbO	Bi
	wt%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	wt%	ppm	wt%	ppm
Purple 1	2.02	7	17	518	67	19	3	0.04	74	0.13	2
Purple 2	2.21	13	17	478	86	24	2	0.10	292	0.33	5
White (all)	0.60	8	33	352	39	44	25	6.6	21	12.3	288
Base glass White (all)	SiO <sub>2</sub> *	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *	Na <sub>2</sub> O*	K <sub>2</sub> O*	CaO*	MgO*	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> *	Cl*	TiO <sub>2</sub> *	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *	MnO*
(wt%)	71.4	1.33	11.1	2.24	8.5	2.91	0.30	0.81	0.07	0.54	0.74

Table 1. Average values for the main oxides and selected trace compounds of the compositional glass groups Purple 1, Purple 2 and White from Braničevo, as determined by LA-ICPMS. The lower section reports the base glass composition of the white glass as recalculated after removing the added oxides (SnO<sub>2</sub> and PbO), and recalculating the listed eleven compounds (marked with an asterix) to 100%, see footnote 32. Full data is in the Appendix

Табела 1. Просечне вредности їлавних оксида и издвојених једињења у траїовима који улазе у састав стиакла Пурйур 1, Пурйур 2 и белої стиакла из Браничева, установљених методом LA-ICPMS. При дну табеле йриказан је основни састав белої стиакла добијен након искључивања додатих оксида (SnO<sub>2</sub> и PbO) и поновної прерачунавања вредности за преосталих једанаест једињења (означених звездицом) до 100%, види нап. 32. Комплетни подаци дати су у прилоїу

manganese oxide (Fig. 3), and higher levels of some trace elements such as lead, tin, antimony, boron and lithium (Fig. 4).

The differences in trace element levels between the two subgroups, identified by the LA-ICPMS analyses, were sufficiently clear to be recognised even in the much less accurate and precise pXRF analyses, particularly for antimony, but also tin, lead, and even manganese at the level of major oxide. This enabled us to assign, within the space of a few minutes, specific fragments to either of the two subgroups, as a fast in-situ method without the need for full analysis in a specialist laboratory<sup>16</sup>. In order to confirm the feasibility of such an approach, a few fragments already analysed by LA-ICPMS were measured by pXRF, providing an idea of the extent of credibility of the data<sup>17</sup>. Screening an additional set of c 30 fragments from the assemblage in this manner indicated that all were compatible with either of the two purple glass subgroups, within the

limitations of the method (Fig. 5a, b)<sup>18</sup>. This not only confirmed the consistency of the overall assemblage but also helped in assigning some individual fragments to specific vessels.

The opaque white glass has a similar base composition as the purple glass, in that it is also a plant-ash based soda-lime-silica glass. The main difference is that the white glass contains an additional c 5 to 9 wt% tin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The full pXRF data is on file at the National Museum in Požarevac; the details of this work will be published elsewhere in a more technical paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The purple areas of fragments BRN 1-03, 1-05 (Purple 1) and BRN 1-04, 1-10 (Purple 2) were re-analysed using pXRF (see Fig. 5). Based on this internal comparison, we considered the numerical values reported by the pXRF instrument as indicative (labelled as wt% ind. and ppm ind. in the graph) rather than fully quantitative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> For methodology and limitations of the pXRF technique in glass analyses see Adlington, Freestone 2017.



Fig. 2. The magnesia (MgO) and potash ( $K_2O$ ) contents of Purple 1 and Purple 2 both fall in the range associated with plant ash glass, and differ from each other to show that they form two separate compositional subgroups. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Fig. 3. The manganese oxide (MnO) and iron oxide ( $Fe_2O_3$ ) contents of Purple 1 and Purple 2 differ from each other, confirming that they form two separate compositional subgroups. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Сл. 2. Вредносии оксида маїнезијума (MgO) и оксида калијума (K<sub>2</sub>O) у обе йодіруйе сшакла, издвојене као Пурйур 1 и Пурйур 2, улазе у ойсеї који се везује за сшакло на бази биљної йейела, док разлике између ових вредносии указују на йосиюјање две йодіруйе са различийим сасшавом. LA-ICPMS йодаци добијени од Б. Грайуза, IRAMAT

Сл. 3. Вредносии маніан-оксида (MnO) и оксида ївожђа (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) у силаклу издвојеном као Пуриур 1 и Пуриур 2 се разликују, шио иошврђују две иодіруйе силакла различишої сасилава. LA-ICPMS иодаци добијени од Б. Грашуза, IRAMAT



Fig. 4. The tin oxide  $(SnO_2)$  and lead oxide (PbO) contents of Purple 1 and Purple 2 fall on the same correlation line as in the white trails, but at different concentrations. Note the logarithmic scale of the two axes. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Сл. 4. Вредности оксида калаја (SnO<sub>2</sub>) и олова (PbO) у стаклу издвојеном као Пурџур 1 и Пурџур 2 прате исту линију корелације као код белог стакла, али са другачијом концентрацијом. Види логаритамску скалу две осе. LA-ICPMS подаци добијени од Б. Гратуза, IRAMAT



Fig. 5. Purple 1 and Purple 2 can be clearly distinguished by their manganese oxide (MnO) and antimony oxide  $(Sb_2O_3)$  contents in the LA-ICPMS data (a). These oxides can successfully separate the two compositional subgroups even in the less accurate and precise pXRF data (b; numerical values on the axes only indicative; uncorrected Soil mode measurements). The pXRF data allow recognition of bottle Cat. No 11 as Purple 2 and three more vessels as Purple 1 – bowl Cat. No 4, flasks Cat. Nos 13, 15 (see Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović in this volume). A loose handle fragment of bottle Cat. No 9, not analysed by LA-ICPMS, is confirmed to belong to Purple 2. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Сл. 5. Пурйур 1 и Пурйур 2 јасно се разликују йо вредносшима мантан-оксида (MnO) и оксида антимона (Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) йрема LA-ICPMS йодацима (a). Ови оксиди моѓу јасно да раздвоје две хемијски различите йодтруће стиакла, што се чак може добити и у мање прецизним мерењима pXRF-от (b; нумеричке вредности на осама су само индикативне; некоритована "Soil mode" мерења). Подаци добијени методом pXRF опредељују боцу Cat. No 11 као Пурйур 2 и три друѓе посуде као Пурйур 1 – здела Cat. No 4, мале боце ("flasks") Cat. Nos 13, 15 (види: Спасић-Ђурић, Јовановић у овом броју Старинара). Фратмент дрике боце Cat. No 9 који није анализиран методом LA-ICPMS, потврђено је да припада подтрући Пурпур 2. LA-ICPMS подаци добијени од Б. Гратуза, IRAMAT

oxide and around 10 to 17 wt% lead oxide; accordingly, the other components of the initial glass melt are reduced by about 18 to 22 % of their original concentration due to the dilution from the added metal oxides. An exception is sample BRN 1-13.w which has significantly lower levels of tin and lead oxides (adding up to only c 8 wt%) compared to the rest of the opaque white glasses. This compositional peculiarity is possibly a result of insufficient homogenization of the glass melt during the introduction of the tin- and lead-rich additive, when this particular portion of the batch absorbed lower amounts of opacifying substance<sup>19</sup>.

When considering the compositional results of the white glass samples, one has to bear in mind that these

are data from LA-ICPMS, which means that they represent only a microscopically small volume of material<sup>20</sup>. Since the white glass is compositionally heterogeneous (see below), this can result in highly variable measured concentrations, especially of lead and tin oxides, depending on which parts of the heterogeneous glass was ablated by the laser. This is particularly evident in the white decoration of fragment BRN 1-09 analysed in three separate areas (cf. Fig. 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Cf. Fig. 8a, b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See footnote 12.

Remarkably, the levels of antimony and copper are significantly lower in the white glass than in the purple glass (Fig. 7); the same is true for other trace elements such as lithium, boron, zinc, strontium and barium. In contrast, some elements, such as arsenic, silver and bismuth, commonly associated in terms of their geological occurrence with lead, are significantly increased in the white glass.



Fig. 6. Close-up photograph of the decorative trails on a fragment of bottle Cat. No 10 showing streaks of purple glass in the white thread (a; width of image c 1.7 mm). Mixing of white and purple glass in the cross section of sample BRN 1-09 (sampled from the same vessel) as seen in the SEM-BSE image as different grey shades and in the OM micrograph in colour (b; scale bar is 0.2 mm). The mixing is potentially due to contamination of the tool during the dragging of the trails, and also explains the differences between the three analyses of the white glass in this sample (BRN 1-09.w(i), (ii), (iii))

Сл. 6. Дешаљ декорације на фраїменшу боце Cat. No 10 приказује траїове пурпурної стакла прожете кроз беле нити (a; ширина слике с. 1,7 mm). Мешање белої и пурпурної стакла у пресеку узорка BRN 1-09 (узорак истие посуде), што се на SEM-BSE слици препознаје у виду различитих сивих сенки, а на OM микроїрафу у боји (b; размера је 0,2 mm). Мешање је можда резултат контаминације изазване алатком којом су се наносиле стаклене нити, што такође објашњава и разлике у три мерења белої стакла у овом узорку (BRN 1-09.w(i), (ii))



Fig. 7. The antimony oxide  $(Sb_2O_3)$  content in the purple glasses is significantly higher than in the white glass, particularly in Purple 2, while copper oxide (CuO) is only elevated in some of the analysed samples compared to the white glass. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Сл. 7. Садржај оксида аншимона (Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) у *џурџурном сшаклу је у значајној мери виши* не*іо у белом сшаклу, нарочишо код узорака џодџруџе Пурџур 2, док је бакар-оксид (CuO),* у *џоређењу с белим сшаклом,* 

йовишен само у неким исйийаним узорцима. LA-ICPMS йодаци добијени од Б. Грайуза, IRAMAT



Fig. 8. Cross section through fragment BRN 1-13. Note the homogenous body (mid grey) and the white trail marvered into the body (white, upper part, with discrete bright white particles and clusters of tin oxide). SEM-BSE image (a; scale bar is 0.5 mm). Close-up of the left corner of the trail as OM micrograph and as SEM-BSE image showing that the glass is not fully opacified and only the external layer of the trail is rich in tin and lead oxides (b; scale bar is 0.2 mm)

Сл. 8. Пресек кроз фраїмент BRN 1-13. Уочавају се хомоїено тело (средње сива) и бела нит стойљена са телом посуде (бела, їорьи део, са дискретним светлим белим честицама и кластерима оксида калаја). SEM-BSE слика (а; размера је 0,5 mm). Детаљ левої уїла беле нити као ОМ микроїраф слика и као SEM-BSE слика показује да стакло није поттуно непрозирно и да је само спољашњи слој нити боїат оксидима олова и калаја (b; размера је 0,2 mm)

# Texture of the purple glass

Vessel glass is often considered very homogenous, due to the nature of glass as a melt which solidified without crystallisation. The purple area of fragment BRN 1-13 is an example of such a very homogenous glass (Fig. 8a, b). However, all other sampled purple glasses are not entirely homogenous in their texture, but in cross section appear striated with slightly lighter stripes or layers within the predominantly mid-grey<sup>21</sup> matrix (Fig. 9a, b). These stripes are due to different concentrations of metal oxides in the different layers, with increased lead and tin content in the lighter parts. At higher magnification, discrete particles rich in lead and tin oxide become visible within some of the light striations (Fig. 9b). Among the six fragments studied microscopically, this is particularly pronounced in the purple areas of BRN 1-04, 1-09, and 1-14b. BRN 1-05 and 1-07 are less clearly layered, while only BRN 1-13 appears entirely homogenous in the purple glass. There is no correlation between the presence and intensity of layering and the compositional subgrouping; two of the striped fragments belong to Purple 1 subgroup (i.e. samples BRN 1-14b.p, 1-05.p), and three to Purple 2 subgroup (i.e. samples BRN 1-05.p).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The grey shade refers to the appearance in the SEM-BSE images, which highlight compositional differences. Lighter shades represent higher concentrations of elements with a higher atomic number, such as heavy metals.



Fig. 9. Partial cross section through fragment BRN 1-04, showing the striation in the purple body. Different shades of grey represent different compositions, with higher levels of lead and tin oxide showing as lighter tones. Air bubbles appear black (lower left corner), while the upper edge of the image shows the beginning of the bright white layer on top of the purple body. SEM-BSE image (a; scale bar is 0.1 mm). Close-up of the same fragment, showing details of the striation in the purple body. The bright white particles are very rich in lead. SEM-BSE image (b; scale bar is 0.02 mm)

Сл. 9. Делимичан йресек кроз фраїмент BRN 1-04 йоказује йруїастие траїове на йурйурној йозадини. Различите сенке сиве боје йредстављају различит хемијски састав, са вишим вредностима оксида олова и калаја који су йриказани у светлијим тоновима. Ваздушни мехурићи се йојављују у црној боји (доњи леви уїао), док їорња ивица слике йриказује йочетак светлої белої слоја на йовршини йурйурне основе. SEM-BSE слика (а; размера је 0,1 mm). Круйан йлан истої фраїмента йоказује детаље йруїастих елемената у йурйурној основи. Светле беле честице су веома боїате оловом. SEM-BSE слика (b; размера је 0,02)

The triplicate LA-ICPMS analyses of almost all analysed fragments also show this strong and relatively unusual pattern of compositional heterogeneity, indicating that the molten glass was not very well mixed, and contaminated with some white glass, as demonstrated by the elevated tin and lead content (see below).

### The white decoration

The trails of opaque white glass were clearly applied on the outer surface of the purple vessels, as is common for this type of decoration which goes back to the first core-formed glass vessels dating to the Late Bronze Age, and continued to be used through the Hellenistic and Roman to Late Antique periods, into the Islamic period and even into early modern Western Europe<sup>22</sup>. Typically, the trailed decoration was then combed and marvered into the body glass, making a flush and smooth overall surface and feathered design<sup>23</sup>.

While the vessels studied here fall into the group of vessels commonly referred to as 'marvered', it is noteworthy that in several of the Braničevo fragments this marvering appears not to have been done fully in each case, with some of the white trails left standing proud of the purple glass surface (Fig. 10a, b; Fig. 11).

In other areas, however, the white glass appears fully pressed into the purple glass, as one would expect from properly marvered glass. The marvered working is recognisable in some cross sections, from the sloping boundary between the two glass types, and the dislocation of the striations in the purple glass (Fig. 12; see also Fig. 8).

As already mentioned, the LA-ICPMS data suggests that the white glass is compositionally quite heterogeneous (see Appendix), and this is also evident from the SEM imaging, in particular if the contrast is adjusted to reveal these differences (Fig. 13a, b, c). In SEM-BSE images, the white glass also appears white due to its high lead and tin content, in a similar contrast to the optical appearance (cf. Fig. 6b). Closer inspection

 $<sup>^{22}\,</sup>$  An extraordinary example of marvered combed decoration from Western Europe, late  $16^{th}$  – beginning of the  $17^{th}\,c.$  in Patin et al. 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> For instance, see kohl bottles from the al-Sabah Collection, dated to the 12<sup>th</sup>–13<sup>th</sup> c. in Carboni 2001, 304–305, Cat. No 80.



Fig. 10. Examples of white decoration trailed onto the wall surface but not marvered into the body – wall fragment of a bottle (a) and rim fragment of a flask (?) Cat. No 14 (b); width of each image c 3–4 cm

Сл. 10. Примерци беле декорације на површини посуде који нису спопљени са телом посуде – фраїменти тела боце (а) и фраїменти обода мале боце? Саt. No 14 (b); ширина сваке слике износи око 3–4 ст



Fig. 11. Cross section through fragment BRN 1-07, with an incompletely marvered white thread overlaying the purple glass body (mid-grey). Air bubbles appear black. Note the internal heterogeneity of the white glass, and the elongated air bubbles trapped between the white and purple glass showing the incomplete bonding of the two glasses. SEM-BSE image; scale bar is 0.5 mm

Fig. 12. Cross section through fragment BRN 1-05. The tapering of the white colour shows how the white glass has been marvered into the purple body. SEM-BSE image; scale bar is 0.5 mm

Сл. 11. Пресек кроз фраїмент BRN 1-07, са нейотиўно стойљеном и утиснутом белом нити која йокрива йурйурно тело йосуде (средње сива). Ваздушни мехурићи су црни. Обратити йажњу на унутрашњу хетероїеност белої стакла и издужене мехуриће "заробљене" између белої и йурйурної стакла који указују на нейотиуно стайање ове две врсте стакла; SEM-BSE слика; размера је 0,5 mm

Сл. 12. Пресек кроз фраїмент BRN 1-05. Истаниване беле боје показује како је бело стакло утайано у пурпуну основу; SEM-BSE слика; размера је 0,5 mm



Fig. 13. Cross section through fragment BRN 1-04, at normal contrast setting showing the lead-rich white layer on top of purple (mid-grey, striated). Note the equally elongated air bubbles (black) in the white and purple glass, indicating that the vessel was possibly further inflated after the white decoration had been applied. SEM-BSE image (a; scale bar is 0.5 mm). The same area with the contrast setting to show the heterogeneity of the lead-rich opaque white layer on top of the purple glass (almost black, striation faintly visible). SEM-BSE image (b; scale bar is 0.5 mm). Close-up of the same area showing the internal structure within the white layer with parts of the glass having a much lower lead content (dark grey), and discrete particles and clusters of tin oxide (white) acting as an opacifier. SEM-BSE image (c; scale bar is 0.1 mm)

Сл. 13. Пресек кроз фраїмент BRN 1-04. При нормалном подешавању контраста уочава се слој белої стакла боїат оловом на пурпурној основи (средње сива, пруїасти траїови). Уочавају се једнако издужени ваздушни мехурићи (црна боја) и у белом и у пурпурном стаклу, који наводе на помисао да је посуда поново дувана након што је бела декорација аплицирана; SEM-BSE слика (а; размера је 0,5 mm). Исти део са контрастним подешавањем показује хетероїеност непрозирної белої слоја болато і оловом на пурпурној основи (скоро црна, пруїасти елемент једва видљив); SEM-BSE слика (b; размера је 0,5 mm). Увећање ової дела показује структуру белої слоја са деловима стакла који имају знатно нижи ниво олова (тамносива), и дискретне честице и кластере оксида калаја (бело), који се користи да би се постила непрозирност; SEM-BSE слика (с; размера је 0,1 mm)

and respective SEM-EDS measurements demonstrate that the white glass has variable quantities of tin and lead oxides resulting in a swirled or *schlieren* appearance typical of incomplete mixing of two viscous liquids. Higher magnification shows the individual discrete particles and bigger clusters of particles of tin oxide which give opacity to the glass, and their uneven distribution in a matrix which is also very variably saturated with lead oxide.

The white thread in fragment BRN 1-13 is unusual in that it seems to consist mostly of a thin lead-rich outer layer surrounding a core of weakly coloured glass which is only partly opacified with relatively big particles of tin oxide and, according to the EDS data, contains hardly any lead oxide (see Fig. 8b). Thus, the texture of the white area of fragment BRN 1-13 provides further evidence of the heterogeneity of the opaque white glass.

### DISCUSSION

Several aspects of the results presented here can be discussed that are informative for the understanding and archaeological interpretation of this unique assemblage. Firstly, there is the question of how the different glass compositions relate to each other, and what this tells us about the working practices of the producers of these vessels. Secondly, there is the question of the origin of the base glass and the nature of the colorants used to produce these colourful objects. Finally, we will briefly discuss how this assemblage compares compositionally to other analysed marvered vessels with white trails.

#### The working practices of the vessel producers

The assemblage consists of three chemically distinct glasses, namely the two subgroups of the translucent purple – Purple 1 and 2 – and the opaque white glass. The compositional difference between Purple 1 and 2 is only small, but for several oxides and trace elements it is clearly bigger than the variability within each subgroup, indicating that they are indeed two separate subdivisions of the purple glass. On balance, the base chemical makeup of Purple 1 and Purple 2, their colourations, as well as the technique of vessel decoration bind the two subgroups together. Importantly, the opaque white glass on the two purple glasses does not separate into two clearly distinct subgroups, but appears to be chemically consistent, regardless of whether it is applied on Purple 1 or Purple 2. Thus, the white glass was taken from one and the same stock, even if the purple glass is slightly different. One can, therefore, argue that all vessels were probably made in the same workshop, with Purple 1 and Purple 2 representing two different stocks, batches or pots of molten glass, while the opaque white trails, used much more sparingly and in smaller amounts than the purple, were from the same batch for both production series of purple vessels.

Important differences between the two purple subgroups are observed in their trace element patterns, particularly in the levels of tin and lead oxide contamination (see Fig. 4), where Purple 2 has noticeably higher levels. We argue that the presence of these elements indicates some contamination of the batch by the white glass, possibly by incidental inclusion of white glass pieces (wasters?), or/and perhaps during the working process when the same tools were used to retrieve hot glass and combine it with the purple paraison when forming the vessels. Such a hypothetical explanation is further corroborated by the contamination of the opaque white glass of fragment BRN 1-09. Its adulteration with stripes of purple glass (see Fig. 6) represents the opposite effect of the same working practice, i.e. a certain amount of purple glass was accidentally admixed into the white batch. As mentioned above, Purple 2 subgroup features higher SnO<sub>2</sub> and PbO values than Purple 1, and this peculiarity once again confirms the differentiation of the two subgroups, possibly caused by variations of the secondary glass working operations. Nevertheless, the seemingly different trends for the Sn/Pb ratios in the two subgroups (see Fig. 4) should be taken with caution since the calculated higher average lead oxide levels in Purple 1 samples may well result from the internal heterogeneity of some of them<sup>24</sup>.

At the same time, Purple 2 has an intriguing pattern of relatively high contamination with antimony oxide (around 290 ppm on average compared to only c 75 ppm in Purple 1); the white glass, in contrast, has even less antimony, with only about 15 ppm Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (see Fig. 7), close to the natural background concentration of this oxide in glassmaking raw materials<sup>25</sup>. The copper content is variable but also higher (at trace oxide level) in the analysed purple fragments than in the white glass, and this pattern is overall indicative of a further source of contamination of the purple batches. Increased levels of elements which can affect the colour of the glass (e.g. copper, cobalt, antimony, etc.), which are, however, significantly lower than those of purposely used additives, have long been recognised to denote contamination of raw glass with cullet containing small amounts of coloured fragments<sup>26</sup>. Accordingly, we interpret the current data as evidence of the addition of certain amounts of cullet glass, particularly in the purple melts. Such mixing could have taken place either at the stage of primary glass making, or in the secondary glass workshop where the actual vessel manufacture took place, or possibly even at both stages of production<sup>27</sup>.

An even more detailed insight into this practice of cullet re-melting can be inferred from the differences at trace element level between Purple 1 and Purple 2. Samples of the Purple 2 subgroup feature the highest boron concentrations in this assemblage which set them apart from the other purple glass and the white glass (Fig. 14). Traces of boron are usually present in the raw materials used in glass making but such an abrupt increase of B2O3 concentrations as seen in Purple 2 (even if still being at trace oxide level) could indicate an additional source of this element in the glass melt. High-boron glasses of the Middle Byzantine period, approximately contemporaneous with the vessel assemblage from Braničevo, form a well distinguishable category of various chemical compositions, which is likely related to specific raw materials from Western Anatolia<sup>28</sup>. Recently, a particular link between these compositions and manufacture of 10<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> c. Byzantine glass bracelets has been proposed<sup>29</sup>. As suggested above, the cullet inclusion in the purple batches seems quite probable, and the increased B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> levels in Purple 2 allow further hypothesizing that at least a certain part of that reused glass was of high-boron composition. This, in turn, could be interpreted as evidence for an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The heterogeneity of Purple 1 subgroup is particularly pronounced for the PbO content of samples BRN 1-05 and BRN 1-11. The coefficient of variation between the triple individual PbO measurements for the Purple 1 samples typically ranges between c 6% and 27%, while for samples BRN 1-05 and BRN 1-11 it is 57% and 103%, respectively. The highest PbO level is nearly 8000 ppm, measured on BRN 1-05, and the lowest individual measurement on the same sample is c 1000 ppm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Brems, Degryse 2014, 79; Jackson 2005, 764; Rehren, Brüggler 2015, and literature therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Jackson 1996; Freestone 2015, 34–36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Recycling of cullet and discarded glass is considered an intrinsic feature of Islamic glass production – cf. Jenkins 1986, 3; Freestone 2002, 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Brill 2005, 215–219; Rehren et al. 2015, 276–277.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Swan et al. 2018, 228 and literature therein.



unselective and possibly ad hoc recycling practice which could have taken place in the workshop of the vessel manufacturers, causing minor compositional variations between the different batches of purple glass.

Overall, we interpret the chemical composition of the Braničevo assemblage to indicate that the analysed vessels were made in two separate production events, but most likely in the same workshop which used slightly different batches of purple glass. This could have been either on two different days, or even at the same time, using two separate pots of molten glass from which glass blowers worked side-by-side. It is noteworthy that it seems that a single stock of white glass was used during both production episodes. From the trace element concentrations we recognise the incorporation of some cullet containing certain amounts of copper in the purple batches, and antimony and boron in the Purple 2 batch in particular. Such an indiscriminate recycling could indicate relatively unsophisticated production practices, as is further corroborated by the reciprocal contamination of the purple and white batches, possibly resulting from not too attentive or skilful tool manipulation by the craftsmen when applying the vessel decoration.

Finally, when comparing the purple compositional subgroups and vessel shapes, it is evident that the bottles and the analysed bigger bowl are made of Purple 2 glass, while the smaller bowls and flasks are Purple 1 (see Appendix). The correlation between glass compositions and vessel shapes within a single set, likely manufactured in a single workshop, provides an Fig. 14. The rubidium oxide  $(Rb_2O)$  and boron oxide  $(B_2O_3)$  contents of the purple glasses are higher than those of the opaque white glass, with a further increase of  $B_2O_3$  in the Purple 2 subgroup which is tentatively explained as resulting from the addition of cullet of high-boron composition in the Purple 2 batch. LA-ICPMS data from B. Gratuze, IRAMAT

Сл. 14. Вредносши оксида рубидијума (Rb<sub>2</sub>O) и борона (B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) више су у џурџурном сшаклу не*i*о у неџрозирном белом сшаклу, са даљим увећањем B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> у џод*їруџи Пурџур 2,* ишо је условно објашњено као резулшаш додавања сшаклено*i* ошџада са високом конценшрацијом борона у џрешаџању џод*їруџе Пурџур 2.* LA-ICPMS џодаци од Б. Грашуза, IRAMAT

intriguing glimpse at the organisation of the craftsmen. It seems possible that relatively standard series of uniform vessels were made from a single batch – e.g. bottles with handles made of Purple 2 glass only – either by a single (specialised?) glassblower, or by several craftsmen simultaneously implementing identical production tasks.

### The origin of the glass, and its colouration

The composition and provenance of Islamic glass from the late 1<sup>st</sup> and early 2<sup>nd</sup> millennium AD has been the subject of numerous studies<sup>30</sup>, which provide a sound body of comparative data against which to juxtapose the composition of the vessels from Braničevo. In this way, it is possible to broadly specify the production region from which the glass used for the manufacture of the set originated. Such an attribution, however, would only locate the production of the raw glass itself, and does not imply that the vessels as objects were necessarily manufactured in the same region. This is due to the organisation of the medieval Near Eastern glass industry which was likely divided into technologically, and possibly also spatially, separate stages of glass making and glass working<sup>31</sup>.

As mentioned earlier, the analysed purple and white samples generally match the common Islamic soda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Most recently Phelps 2017; Henderson et al. 2016; and Swan et al. 2017, 110–114, and references therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Whitehouse 2009, 506–507.



Fig. 15. The alumina  $(Al_2O_3)$  and magnesia (MgO) contents of the Braničevo glasses (the opaque white glass recalculated to represent the base composition – see footnote 32) compared to published analytical data for selected glass groups from the Levant. The samples of purple glass decorated with marvered threads in the Ashmolean Museum (data from Henderson 1995) have generally higher magnesia and do not seem to form a defined cluster

Сл. 15. Вредности оксида алуминијума (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) и маїнезијума (MgO) у стаклу из Браничева (вредности за нейрозирно бело стакло су модификоване – види най. 32) у йоређењу са йубликованим аналитичким подацима за издвојене їруйе стакла са Леванта. Узорци йурйурної стакла украшени айлицираним нитима у музеју Ешмолијен (йодаци из: Henderson 1995) имају їенерално виши ниво маїнезијум-оксида и не йредстављају дефинисану їруйу

plant-ash glass composition, as could also be expected on the basis of the stylistic features of the vessels. Among the several major regions known to have produced glass in the early 2<sup>nd</sup> millennium AD Byzantine and Near Eastern Islamic worlds<sup>32</sup>, the Levantine coast and the adjacent territories seem to provide the closest compositional parallels of the Braničevo assemblage. It is beyond the scope of this paper to present a thorough comparison of the Braničevo samples with the known glass makeup from this region, not least because of the variable extent of compatibility between the available literature data. Nevertheless, some general compositional affiliations are outlined below, based on two diagnostic major oxides.

A scatter graph of the alumina and magnesia levels in the present samples shows how they relate to some of the published analytical sets from the Levantine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> These regions include Egypt, the Levantine coast, Mesopotamia and Iran, and Western Anatolia, with the latter two being ruled out based on their specific trace element pattern. The differentiation between glass of Egyptian and Levantine origin is less clear-cut, and for now better understood for earlier mineral natron glasses than for medieval plant-ash glasses.

region, broadly dated to c 10<sup>th</sup>–12<sup>th</sup>/14<sup>th</sup> c. AD (Fig. 15). Since the added tin and lead oxides in the opaque Braničevo samples significantly distort the original composition of the raw glass, the values for all the white glass in this plot are recalculated in order to make them consistent with the rest of the data (see Table 1)<sup>33</sup>.

As expected, the Purple 1 and Purple 2 subgroups form a tight single cluster featuring relatively high alumina concentrations. As mentioned above, we tentatively interpret the internal differentiation of this cluster as a result of minor variations between the two batches and glass mixing which have no significance in regard to glass provenancing. Remarkably, the recalculated base composition of the opaque white samples has lower alumina and, on average, slightly higher magnesia than the purple glass. Such  $Al_2O_3$  values (mean c 1.3 wt%) associate the base glass of the Braničevo decoration with the composition found in chunk glass samples from a secondary production site in Banias (Northern Israel) dated to the 10<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> c. AD<sup>34</sup>. Samples of 12th-14th c. vessel glass from Damascus (Syria), broadly from the same inland Levantine region, also display comparable alumina and magnesia levels<sup>35</sup>.

In contrast, the purple samples from Braničevo fall into the area of the Serce Limani glass - a vast shipwreck assemblage of vessels, cullet and raw chunks of Levantine/Syrian provenance, found off the South-Western Anatolian coast of Turkey, near Rhodes, and dated to the third decade of the 11th c. AD<sup>36</sup>. These glasses have generally higher alumina and also more variable magnesia levels. A similar trend of negative correlation of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MgO is seen in a late 10<sup>th</sup> – early 12th c. assemblage of glass bracelets from Hisn al-Tīnāt (Turkey), at the North-Western edge of the Levantine coast<sup>37</sup>. The samples from the large primary glass production furnaces excavated in Tyre (Lebanon) on the Levantine coast and tentatively dated to the 10<sup>th</sup>-11<sup>th</sup> c. should also be mentioned here, despite their broader range of MgO, since a common regional origin of the Tyre composition and the Serçe Limanı glass can possibly be recognised<sup>38</sup>.

Such a summarised overview of the compositional analogies of the Braničevo samples confirms the differentiation of the opaque white and the purple glasses in terms of their base chemical makeup, and may suggest that they possibly come from different production zones within the broader Levantine region. This would imply that the workshop where the vessel set was manufactured procured its raw materials from more than one source. Nevertheless, provenancing plant-ash glass on the basis of major oxides only may not be entirely straightforward or conclusive because of the variability of the plant ash component<sup>39</sup> as well as due to the dynamic connections and exchange of raw materials which likely existed between the different production centres within the Levant and beyond<sup>40</sup>. Some isotope studies, for example, suggested that the Banias glass was probably produced, like the Tyre glass, on the Mediterranean coast instead of in the inland Levant, but these two littoral production centres used plant ashes procured from different areas<sup>41</sup>. Furthermore, on the basis of isotope data, a common origin of the plant ash used in Tyre and the North Syrian production centre at al-Raqqa has been proposed<sup>42</sup>.

Apparently, complex phenomena of technological and economic interactions developed between the glass production regions in the medieval Levant and on a wider geographical scale. In this context, a secondary workshop, such as the one that manufactured the Braničevo vessels, could probably have been supplied with raw glass, cullet and possibly even prefabricated opacified glass (see below) from various sources. Therefore, associating its production with a particular primary production centre within the Levant may not be plausible at the present stage of research.

Regarding the colouration of the analysed samples, it was previously mentioned that the purple colour is

<sup>37</sup> Swan et al. 2018, plant-ash glass of Group 1, 222–223.

<sup>38</sup> Freestone 2002, 73–77 and the references therein; Brill 2009,
480. Note that Sample 5 from Tyre in Freestone 2002 is not included in Fig. 15 as it is supposed to be an outlier.

- <sup>39</sup> Freestone 2006, 205, 212; Degryse et al. 2010, 83.
- <sup>40</sup> Henderson et al. 2009, 426.
- <sup>41</sup> Degryse et al. 2010, 89.

<sup>42</sup> Freestone et al. 2009, 44–45; for al-Raqqa glass see Henderson et al. 2004. The plant-ash glass of subtype 1 found at the Tell Fukhkhar site in 11<sup>th</sup> c. AD al-Raqqa has, on average, slightly higher MgO than the Braničevo samples (Fig. 15).

 $<sup>^{33}</sup>$  This recalculation excluded SnO<sub>2</sub> and PbO, and the remaining composition was re-cast to 100% to represent the base glass used to produce the opaque white composition, similar to the approach of 'reduced composition' in Brill 2009, 482 and the references therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Freestone et al. 2000, 69, Table 2; Freestone 2006, 203. Note that Samples 49 and 54 from Freestone et al. 2000 are not included in Fig. 15 as they do not match the main cluster of Banias glass.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Henderson et al. 2016, 144.

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$  Brill 2009, 479–492. The outlined area in Fig. 15 includes the samples of the main group of Serçe Limanı glass, without the samples with extreme  $\rm Al_2O_3$  and MgO values.

caused by higher levels of manganese oxide<sup>43</sup>. Besides the intentionally added MnO, there are higher strontium, barium and iron contents in the purple compositions, with  $Fe_2O_3$  particularly higher in Purple 2. Rather than weakening our argument for a close chemical similarity to the Levantine glasses which typically have lower levels of these elements, we argue that these differences are a direct consequence of the added manganese mineral. This is most clearly argued for barium, the concentration of which in the purple glass is closely correlated with the manganese concentrations, reflecting the known geological correlation of the two elements in barium-containing manganese minerals. However, the added Mn-bearing material was possibly a mixture of different minerals, some rich in manganese, others rich in iron (and possibly also titanium and aluminium), which accordingly increased the iron oxide content of the purple glasses even though no direct Mn–Fe correlation can be identified<sup>44</sup>.

The opaque white glass of the Braničevo vessels is produced by adding tin and lead oxide to common transparent and, most probably, decolourised or slightly tinted base glass. The opacity and the white colour result from the minute tin oxide particles dispersed in the glass, while lead is typically dissolved in the glass matrix, helping to lower the range of temperatures at which glass is sufficiently soft to be shaped<sup>45</sup>. Such particular working properties of the opaque white glass, even if probably not deliberately sought, were certainly necessary when the soft threads were applied onto the vessel walls and dragged up to form the festoon pattern, while at the same time the purple vessel itself had to be kept stable and not deformed by the contact with the viscous white glass.

The analytical study of Islamic marvered vessels by J. Henderson found the same additives in the opaque white thread decorations<sup>46</sup>. Furthermore, an identical production technology of mixing base plant-ash sodalime-silica glass with tin and lead oxides is recognised in pre-fritted tin white enamels of the later Islamic glasses of the  $13^{\text{th}}-14^{\text{th}} \text{ c}^{47}$ . A comparison of these data and the Braničevo samples demonstrates that all opaque white decorations have similar levels of SnO<sub>2</sub> and PbO, with the Braničevo samples featuring generally lower tin oxide concentrations (Fig. 16). Interestingly, the trend of a pronounced heterogeneity of the glass and incomplete mixing of the additives mentioned above is also noticed in some tin white enamel samples<sup>48</sup>.

Previous research suggested that opaque glass production possibly formed a more specialised section

of the Islamic glass industry because of the particular knowledge and skills required regarding the opacifying technology <sup>49</sup>. Hypothetically, this includes the distribution of prefabricated coloured glass as raw material to secondary workshops. The differences between the base composition of the purple and the opaque white samples from Braničevo could be interpreted as an indirect corroboration of such a hypothesis. At the least, these differences rule out the possibility that both colours were produced in the glass blowers' atelier using one and the same starting batch of common base glass. It seems plausible that the white glass was brought as readymade raw material to the glass blowers' workshop and was used there without further compositional modifications (apart from the contamination with purple glass) in the two separate production events of Purple 1 and Purple 2 vessel manufacture.

# Comparison with other Islamic marvered vessels

The extent of published analytical data on Islamic marvered glasses available from the literature is relatively limited. The most comprehensive research is the already mentioned study by J. Henderson<sup>50</sup>, who presents compositional analyses of 26 different objects

<sup>43</sup> It is suggested that manganese was added in Islamic plantash glasses already at the primary stage of production in order to improve their colour and texture, and this addition may not have been according to a strictly controlled recipe (Freestone 2002, 76). However, it is not clear whether higher manganese concentrations used to obtain purple glass colour were added during the primary glass making, or at the stage of secondary glass working. Significantly, the dark purple colour of marvered vessels is presumed to be characteristic of Syrian workshops (Carboni 2001, 305), further supporting the proposed Levantine origin of the glass of the Braničevo vessels.

<sup>44</sup> Cf. Henderson 1995, 37.

<sup>45</sup> Previous studies on Islamic white enamels have demonstrated that the significant levels of lead oxide facilitate lower softening temperatures of the enamel, while also providing an increased opacity effect due to the complex processes of tin particles recrystallisation – see Freestone, Stapleton 1998, 126; Salvant et al. 2016, 10.

<sup>46</sup> Henderson 1995, Table 2.

<sup>47</sup> Freestone, Stapleton 1998, 125, 126–127, Table 3; Wypyski 2010, 113. However, certain compositional differences between opaque white marvered decoration and white enamels are also noted – Henderson 1995, 40–41.

<sup>48</sup> Freestone, Stapleton 1998, 125.

- <sup>49</sup> Henderson 1995, 40.
- <sup>50</sup> Henderson 1995.

Thilo REHREN, Anastasia CHOLAKOVA, Sonja JOVANOVIĆ

Composition and texture of a set of marvered glass vessels from 12th century AD Braničevo, Serbia (125-149)



Fig. 16. The lead oxide (PbO) and tin oxide  $(SnO_2)$  levels in the opaque white glass are similar to the values found in the marvered white threads of the Islamic glass in the Ashmolean Museum (data from Henderson 1995) and in the tin white enamel decoration of the Islamic vessels in the British Museum (data from Freestone, Stapleton 1998). Note the lower  $SnO_2$  content of the present samples; the tight positive correlation of the two additives in the Braničevo glasses strongly suggests that they come from a single batch, as opposed to the more dispersed pattern of the Ashmolean and British Museum datasets obtained from vessels of diverse origins

Сл. 16. Вредносши оксида олова (PbO) и калаја (SnO<sub>2</sub>) у непрозирном белом сшаклу сличне су вредносшима нађеним у аплицираним белим нишима у исламском сшаклу у музеју Еимолијен (подаци из: Henderson 1995) и у калајнобелој емајлираној декорацији исламских посуда у Бришанском музеју (подаци из: Freestone, Stapleton 1998). Обрашиши пажњу на нижи ниво SnO<sub>2</sub> у присушним узорцима; чврсша позишивна корелација два додаша оксида у сшаклу из Браничева доказ је да пошичу из јединсшвене смесе, насупрош другачијем обрасцу у сешовима подашака добијених код посуда које имају различишо порекло

from the Ashmolean Museum's collection, including 13 opaque white trails and 16 transparent purple bodies. The majority of these finds come from Fustat (Egypt) but unfortunately lack clear archaeological context and dating<sup>51</sup>. Five samples of white-marvered and threaded glass fragments of brown colour are published among the analysed finds from the Monastery of Wadi al-Tūr in Sinai (Egypt), typically of a post 10<sup>th</sup> c. AD date<sup>52</sup>. Finally, a fragmented white-marvered vessel of reddish brown colour excavated at Pergamon (Turkey) is dated to the 12<sup>th</sup>–13<sup>th</sup> c. AD<sup>53</sup>.

Significantly, there is no compositional match between these published samples and the glass of the vessel bodies from Braničevo, even though the decoration and stylistic features of the objects define all of them as belonging to the group of marvered Islamic glass. The vessels in the Ashmolean collection have generally higher magnesia levels which are comparable, for instance, to the 11<sup>th</sup> c. AD plant-ash glass found in Northern Syria (Fig. 15)<sup>54</sup>. Furthermore, the Ashmolean samples of purple vessel glass demonstrate a wide compositional range, not forming a clearly defined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Allan 1995, 7–9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Kato et al. 2010, minor type III, 1393, Figs 2q, 2r.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Rehren et al. 2015, sample PER062, Fig. 2f (the label in the figure wrongly attributes this sample to the plant ash glass group of Pergamon; it in fact belongs to the HBAI / High Boron Alumina group, see Table 2, p. 270).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Cf. Henderson et al. 2004, Table 3, Fig. 3 – the 11<sup>th</sup> c. AD Tell Fukhkhar plant-ash glass of subtype 1.

cluster, as opposed to the tight grouping of the Braničevo purple glasses. On the other hand, the samples from Sinai have a particular chemical pattern which resembles glasses of Egyptian provenance (e.g. elevated titania associated with a combination of higher alumina values and relatively low concentrations of lime), which distinctly sets them apart from the common Levantine glass makeup. In this respect, the Pergamon example is similar to the samples from Sinai but also features considerably elevated alumina ( $Al_2O_3 > 8wt\%$ ) and high boron levels, indicating yet another production origin of the raw glass used in Islamic marvered vessel production.

This diversity of chemical glass compositions found across a fairly small and unsystematic database of available analytical results is not surprising, given that the manufacture of vessels with marvered trails is associated with different wider regions (e.g. Egypt and Syria<sup>55</sup>) and particular cities (e.g. Jerusalem<sup>56</sup>). It seems possible that, in fact, the Islamic marvered glass represents an inter-regional phenomenon of shared and replicated aesthetic trends and fashion, rather than production of a specific artisanal centre which could be characterised by a distinct chemical glass composition.

Such a complex and non-centralised model, probably with its own dynamics over time, tentatively reconstructed on the basis of glass chemistry, is also confirmed by the diversity of techniques of applying the trails. As previously mentioned, the decoration of the Braničevo vessels does not seem to be fully marvered in all areas, as usually seen with such objects<sup>57</sup>. The smear-like strokes of some of the festoons are not compatible with the typical technique of marvered trails<sup>58</sup>. This suggests that the glass blowers probably tried to imitate, with their supplies of raw materials and at their level of proficiency, the appearance of the fashionable ornamentation without being closely familiar with the genuine ways of creating combed patterns.

# CONCLUSION

The studied set from Braničevo is unique in terms of the extent of the assemblage and the securely-dated archaeological context, and for the first time offering the opportunity for a detailed chemical characterisation. Even if stylistically homogenous, the assemblage forms two distinct though compositionally closely related groups of purple glass, linked further by the opaque white glass, indicating their origin from the same workshop but from different batches and production events. Both batches of purple glass have evidence of recycling in the base glass, and differ in the amount of added manganese, the purple colorant. They also have clear evidence of contamination with traces of the opaque white glass. The base compositions of the purple and the opaque white glasses are likely of Levantine origin. Nevertheless, such a provenancing does not necessarily indicate that the vessels themselves were manufactured in the Levant because of the division of the glass industry into primary glass making and secondary glass working stages.

For now, there are still not sufficiently large numbers of samples analysed for firm conclusions to be drawn, but apparently the marvered glasses do not form a consistent production group. The diversity of the glass compositions attested so far should not be unexpected if the popularity of the fashion had triggered the spread of this ornamental style and its further imitations in numerous workshops across the Islamic Near East – workshops which could have procured glass from various regions, hence with different chemical compositions. Nevertheless, the possibility of a more standardised production of the opaque white glass and its distribution as prefabricated raw material for the secondary glass workshops should not be ignored.

Further data from analytical research and thorough artefact studies would help to identify possible correlations between the chronologies, regions of distribution, vessel shapes, decoration techniques and glass chemistry within the apparently broader and diverse group of Islamic marvered vessels.

# Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dragana Spasić-Đurić (Museum Advisor at the National Museum in Požarevac and head of archaeological excavations at Braničevo) for the close collaboration and her help in this study, and to Dr Gordan Bojković (Director of the National Museum in Požarevac) for facilitating our access to the assemblage. We are indebted to Dr V. Ivanišević (Institute of Archaeology, Belgrade) for his support. Dr B. Gratuze kindly conducted the LA-ICPMS analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Carboni 2001, 305, 309, Cat. No 82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Brosh 2017, 305.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See footnote 23.

<sup>58</sup> Cf. Carboni 2001, 291.

at the laboratory of IRAMAT, a joint research unit of CNRS and the University of Orleans, France. We thank Prof. Ian Freestone for the helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. This paper was made possible by NPRP grant 7-776-6-024 from the Qatar National Research Fund (a member of Qatar Foundation). The statements made herein are solely the responsibility of the authors.

*Starinar* is an Open Access Journal. All articles can be downloaded free of charge and used in accordance with the licence Creative Commons – Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Serbia (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/rs/).

Часопис *Сшаринар* је доступан у режиму отвореног приступа. Чланци објављени у часопису могу се бесплатно преузети са сајта часописа и користити у складу са лиценцом Creative Commons – Ауторство-Некомерцијално-Без прерада 3.0 Србија (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/rs/).

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY:**

Adlington 2017 – L.W. Adlington, The Corning archaeological reference glasses: New values for "old" compositions, *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* 27 (1), Art. 2, 2017, 1–8.

Adlington, Freestone 2017 – L.W. Adlington, I.C. Freestone, Using handheld pXRF to study medieval stained glass: A methodology using trace elements, *Material Research Society Advances*, vol. 2, Issue 33–34 (Materials Issues in Art and Archaeology XI), 2017, 1785–1800.

Allan 1995 – J.W. Allan, Investigations into marvered glass: 1, in: J.W. Allan, (ed.) *Islamic Art in the Ashmolean Museum*, vol. 1 (Oxford Studies in Islamic Art 10), Oxford: Oxford University Press 1995, 1–30.

**Brems, Degryse 2014** – D. Brems, P. Degryse, Western Mediterranean sands for ancient glass making, in: P. Degryse (ed.) *Glass Making in the Greco-Roman World. Results of the ARCHGLASS Project* (Studies in Archaeological Sciences 4), Leuven: Leuven University Press 2014, 27–49.

**Brill 1972** – R.H. Brill, A chemical analytical round-robin on four synthetic ancient glasses, in: *IX Congrès International du Verre, Versailles, 27 septembre – 2 octobre 1971: Artistic and Historical Communications*, Paris: L'Institute du Verre 1972, 93–110.

**Brill 2005** – R.H. Brill, Chemical analyses of the Zeyrek Camii and Kariye Camii glass, *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 59, 2005, 213–230.

Brill 2009 – R.H. Brill, Chemical analyses, in: G.F. Bass,
R.H. Brill, B. Lledó, S.D. Matthews (eds) *Serçe Limani*, vol.
2: *The Glass of an Eleventh-Century Shipwreck*, College Station, TX: Texas A&M University Press 2009, 459–496.

**Brosh 2014** – N. Brosh, Mamluk glass workshops in Jerusalem – marvered glass, in: R.A. Stucky, O. Kaelin, H.-P. Mathys (eds) *Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Congress on the Archaeology of the Ancient Near East, 9–13 June 2014, Basel*, vol. 2, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz 2014, 357–369.

**Brosh 2017** – N. Brosh, Reexamination of a Mamluk glass collection from Jerusalem, in: S. Wolf, A. de Pury-Gysel (eds) *Annales du 20<sup>e</sup> Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Fribourg/Romont, 7–11 Septembre 2015*, Rahden: Marie Leidorf 2017, 300–306.

**Carboni 2001** – S. Carboni, *Glass from Islamic Lands. The Al-Sabah Collection*, New York: Thames & Hudson 2001.

**Davidson 1952** – G.R. Davidson, *Corinth XII: The Minor Objects. Results of Excavations by the American School of Classical Studies at Athens*, Princeton: American School of Classical Studies at Athens 1952.

**Degryse et al. 2010** – P. Degryse, I.C. Freestone, J. Schneider, S. Jennings, Technology and provenance of Levantine plant

ash glass using Sr-Nd isotope analysis, in: J. Drauschke, D. Keller (eds) *Glass in Byzantium – Production, Usage, Analyses* (RGZM – Tagungen 8), Mainz: RGZM 2010, 83–91.

**Freestone 2002** – I.C. Freestone, Composition and affinities of glass from the furnaces on the Island site, Tyre, *Journal of Glass Studies* 44, 2002, 67–77.

**Freestone 2006** – I.C. Freestone, Glass production in late antiquity and the early Islamic period: a geochemical perspective, in: M. Maggetti, B. Messiga (eds) *Geomaterials in Cultural Heritage* (Geological Society London Special Publications 257), London: Geological Society of London 2006, 201–216.

**Freestone 2015** – I.C. Freestone, The recycling and reuse of Roman glass: analytical approaches, *Journal of Glass Studies* 57, 2015, 29–40.

**Freestone, Stapleton 1998** – I.C. Freestone, C.P. Stapleton, Composition and technology of Islamic enamelled glass of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, in: R. Ward (ed.) *Enamelled Glass from the Middle East*, London: British Museum Press 1998, 122–128.

**Freestone et al. 2000** – I.C. Freesone, Y. Gorin-Rosen, M.J. Hughes, Primary glass from Israel and the production of glass in late antiquity and the early Islamic period, in: M.-D. Nenna (ed.) *La Route du Verre: Ateliers primaires et secondaires de verriers du second millénaire av. J.-C. au Moyen Age* (Travaux de la Maison de l'Orient Méditerranéen 33), Lyon: Maison de l'Orient Méditerranéen 2000, 65–83.

**Freestone et al. 2009** – I.C. Freestone, S. Wolf, M. Thirwall, Isotopic composition of glass from the Levant and the southeastern Mediterranean region, in: P. Degryse, J. Henderson, G. Hodgins (eds) *Isotopes in Vitreous Materials*, Leuven: Leuven University Press 2009, 32–52.

**Gratuze 2016** – B. Gratuze, Glass Characterisation Using Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Methods, in: L. Dussubieux, M. Golitko, B. Gratuze (eds) *Recent Advances in Laser Ablation ICP-MS for Archaeology* (Natural Science in Archaeology), Berlin: Springer 2016, 179–196.

**Hadad 2002** – S. Hadad, Marvered glass vessels from the Umayyad through Mamluk Periods at Bet Shean, Israel, *Levant* 34, 2002, 151–158.

Henderson 1995 – J. Henderson, Investigations into marvered glass: 2, in: J.W. Allan, (ed.) *Islamic Art in the Ashmolean Museum*, vol. 1 (Oxford Studies in Islamic Art 10), Oxford: Oxford University Press 1995, 31–50.

**Henderson et al. 2016** – J. Henderson, S. Chenery, E. Faber, J. Kröger, The use of electron probe microanalysis and laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-pass spectrometry for

the investigation of 8<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> century plant ash glasses from the Middle East, *Microchemical Journal* 128, 2016, 134–152.

**Henderson et al. 2009** – J. Henderson, J. Evans, Y. Barkoudah, The roots of provenance: glass, plants and isotopes in the Islamic Middle East, *Antiquity* 83, 2009, 414–429.

Henderson et al. 2004 – J. Henderson, S.D. McLoughlin, D.S. McPhail, Radical changes in Islamic glass technology: Evidence for conservatism and experimentation with new glass recipes from early and middle Islamic Raqqa, Syria, *Archaeometry* 46, 2004, 439–468.

**Jackson 1996** – C.M. Jackson, From Roman to early medieval glasses – many happy returns or a new birth?, in: *Annales du 13<sup>e</sup> Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Pays Bas, 1995*, Lochem: AIHV, 1996, 289–302.

**Jackson 2005** – C.M. Jackson, Making colourless glass in the Roman period, *Archaeometry* 47, 2005, 763–780.

**Jenkins 1986** – M. Jenkins, *Islamic Glass. A Brief History* (The Metropolitan Museum of Art Bulletin 44, No. 2), New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art 1986.

**Kato et al. 2010** – N. Kato, I. Nakai, Y. Shindo, Transition in Islamic plat-ash glass vessels: on-site chemical analyses conducted at the Raya/al-Tur area on the Sinai Peninsula in Egypt, *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37, 2010, 1381–1395.

**Križanac 2001** – M. Križanac, *Srednjovekovno staklo iz katedrale svetog Trifuna u Kotoru* (Centar za Arheološka Istraživanja knjiga 21), Beograd: Filozovski Fakultet Beograd 2001.

**Patin et al. 2017** – M. Patin, W. Meulebroeck, P. Cosyns, A 16<sup>th</sup>–17<sup>th</sup> century glass horn from Oudenburg-Bellerochelaan, Belgium, *Journal of Glass Studies* 59, 2017, 413–418.

**Pinder-Wilson 1999** – R. Pinder-Wilson, Chapter Four. The Islamic lands and China, in: H. Tait (ed.) *Five Thousand Years of Glass*, London: British Museum Press 1999, 112–143.

**Phelps 2017** – M.R.O. Phelps, An investigation into technological change and organisational developments in glass production between the Byzantine and Early Islamic periods (7th–12th centuries) focussing on evidence from Israel, Doctoral thesis, University College London 2017.

**Rehren, Brüggler 2015** – Th. Rehren, M. Brüggler, Composition and production of late antique glass bowls type Helle, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 3, 2015, 171–180.

**Rehren et al. 2015** – Th. Rehren, P. Connolly, N. Schibille, H. Schwarzer, Changes in glass consumption in Pergamon (Turkey) from Hellenistic to late Byzantine and Islamic times, *Journal of Archaeological Science* 55, 2015, 266–279. **Salvant et al. 2016** – J. Salvant, V. Schussler, C. McKenna, L. Bruno, M. Ganio, M. Walton, Investigation of an enameled glass mosque lamp: a 13<sup>th</sup>–14<sup>th</sup>-century Mamluk example or 19<sup>th</sup>-century European version?, *Heritage Science* 4:5, 2016.

**Shindo 1993** – Y. Shindo, The Islamic marvered glass from al-Tūr, South Sinai, in: *Annales du 12<sup>e</sup> Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, Vienne 1991*, Amsterdam: AIHV 1993, 297–305.

**Spasić-Đurić 2016** – D. Spasić-Djurić, A note on new archaeological explorations in Byzantine Braničevo, in: V. Bikić (ed.) *Byzantine Heritage and Serbian Art.* I, *Processes of Byzantinisation and Serbian Archaeology*, Belgrade: The Serbian National Committee of Byzantine Studies: Institute for Byzantine Studies: Službeni Glasnik 2016, 109–117.

**Spasić-Đurić, Jovanović in this volume** – D. Spasić-Djurić, S. Jovanović, A 12<sup>th</sup> century set of marvered purple glass vessels from Braničevo (Serbia), *Starinar* 68, 2018, 151–173.

**Swan et al. 2017** – C.M. Swan, Th. Rehren, J. Lankton, B. Gratuze, R.H. Brill, Compositional observations for Islamic glass from Sīrāf, Iran, in the Corning Museum of Glass collection, *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 16, 2017, 102–116.

**Swan et al. 2018** – C.M. Swan, Th. Rehren, L. Dussubieux, A.A. Egger, High-boron and high-alumina Middle Byzantine (10<sup>th</sup>–12<sup>th</sup> century CE) glass bracelets: A Western Anatolian glass industry, *Archaeometry*, 2018, 185–206.

**Vicenzi et al. 2002** – E. Vicenzi, S. Eggins, A. Logan, R. Wysoczanski, Microbeam characterization of Corning archaeological reference glasses: new additions to the Smithsonian Microbeam Standard Collection, *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology* 107, 2002, 719–727.

**Wagner et al. 2012** – B. Wagner, A. Nowak, E. Bulska, K. Hametner, D. Günther, Critical assessment of the elemental composition of Corning archaeological reference glasses by LA-ICP-MS, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 402, 2012, 1667–1677.

Whitehouse 2009 – D. Whitehouse, Afterword, in: G.F. Bass, R.H. Brill, B. Lledó, S.D. Matthews (eds) *Serçe Limanı*, vol. 2: *The Glass of an Eleventh-Century Shipwreck*, College Station, TX: Texas A&M University Press, 2009, 504–508.

Wypyski 2010 – M.T. Wypyski, Compositional study of medieval Islamic enameled glass from the Metropolitan Museum of Art, in: N. Grubb (ed.) *Metropolitan Museum Studies in Art, Science and Technology* 1, New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art, 2010, 109–132.

Резиме: ТИЛО РЕРЕН, Наука и технологија у археологији и култури, Кипарски институт, Никозија;
 УКЛ Археолошки институт, Лондон
 АНАСТАСИЈА ЧОЛАКОВА, Национални археолошки институт са музејем,
 Бугарска академија наука, Софија
 СОЊА ЈОВАНОВИЋ, Археолошки институт, Београд

# ХЕМИЈСКИ САСТАВ И ТЕКСТУРА СЕТА СТАКЛЕНИХ ПОСУДА УКРАШЕНИХ АПЛИЦИРАНИМ НИТИМА (12. ВЕК) ИЗ БРАНИЧЕВА (СРБИЈА)

*Кључне речи.* – исламско стакло, декорација аплицираним стакленим нитима, Браничево, Србија, 12. век, LA-ICPMS, SEM-EDS, pXRF.

Стаклене посуде интензивних боја, украшене аплицираним нитима, представљају широко распрострањену и популарну, али слабо изучавану групу висококвалитетног стакленог посуђа средњовековног исламског порекла. У раду су дати детаљни хемијски и микроструктурни подаци за пурпурне стаклене посуде украшене непрозирним белим нитима, које су откривене у сету током ископавања на локалитету Браничево – Мали град. Фрагментоване посуде потичу из затвореног контекста, из слоја рушења Куће 4, који је, захваљујући осталим археолошким налазима, пре свега примерцима новца, датован у средину / другу половину 12. века.

Међу фрагментима је издвојено најмање 16 различитих посуда, и то шест малих здела, две велике зделе, три веће и две мале боце, и три посуде које су са резервом опредељене као мале боце. Петнаест фрагмената од најмање 9 различитих посуда анализирано је методом масене спектрометрије са индукованом куплованом плазмом путем ласерске аблације (LA-ICPMS). Неколико уломака из ове групе издвојено је за анализу оптичким микроскопом и скенирајућим електронским микроскопом са енергетски дисперзивном спектрометријом (SEM-EDS), коришћењем детектора повратно расутих електрона (SEM-BSE) за снимање. Осим мерења у оквиру поменутог сета посуда, вршена су и мерења на око 30 других уломака пурпурног стакла, и то преносним рендгенским флуоресцентним спектрометром (pXRF), како би се потврдило издвајање хемијских подгрупа у читавом сету.

Резултати показују да је пурпурна основа посуда израђена од стакла на бази  $Na_2O - CaO - SiO_2$  са биљним пепелом. Пурпурна боја добијена је захваљујући високим садржајима манган-оксида, који је намерно додат за потребе бојења стакла. Овакав став одговара типично исламском стаклу са биљним пепелом, а стилски типовима и декорацији посуда исламског порекла. У сету из Браничева идентификоване су две хемијске подгрупе – Пурпур 1 и Пурпур 2, са благим разликама у садржају главних оксида и елемената у траговима. Непрозирно бело стакло, од којег су израђене аплициране нити, има сличну основну композицију као и пурпурно стакло. Међутим, додавање оксида калаја и олова основном саставу овог стакла обезбедило је његову непрозирност и белу боју. У ове две подгрупе пурпурног, манганом бојеног стакла није уочена разлика у саставу белог стакла које је коришћено за израду декоративних нити на свим посудама у сету, што значи да оно вероватно има јединствено порекло.

У оба случаја (пурпурна основа, бела декорација) стакло је хетерогено и у микроструктури већине узорака видљиве су линије или слојеви који представљају различиту концентрацију оксида метала, као и дискретне честице богате оксидима олова и калаја. Оваква хетерогеност означава непотпуно мешање растопљеног стакла, вероватно рециклирање отпада, и по свој прилици контаминацију стакла алаткама коришћеним приликом израде посуда.

Хемијски састав посуда из браничевског сета показује да су оне израђене током две производна процеса, највероватније у истој радионици, у којој су коришћене незнатно различите смесе пурпурног стакла (Пурпур 1 и Пурпур 2). Када је реч о белом стаклу, очигледно је да је једна залиха коришћена током оба процеса. Поређењем подгрупа пурпурног стакла са формама посуда, утврђено је да су велике боце и већа здела израђене од стакла подгрупе Пурпур 2, док мање зделе и мале боце припадају подгрупи Пурпур 1.

Основна композиција пурпурног и непрозирног белог стакла вероватно је левантског порекла. То нужно не значи да су и саме посуде израђене на Леванту, будући да је познато да је производња стакла обухватала две гране – примарну израду сировог стакла и секундарну израду финалних производа. Поређењем састава наших примерака са објављеним подацима о хемијском саставу исламског левантског стакла потврђена је разлика у основној композицији пурпурног и белог непрозирног стакла, што указује на то да сировина потиче из две различите продукцијске зоне унутар левантске области. Наши резултати показују да је радионица у којој су посуде прављене набављала сировину из више од једног изворишта и да је могла бити снабдевена готовим непрозирним стаклом и, вероватно, разноврсним стакленим отпадом. Thilo REHREN, Anastasia CHOLAKOVA, Sonja JOVANOVIĆ

Composition and texture of a set of marvered glass vessels from 12th century AD Braničevo, Serbia (125-149)

Appendix. Average values for the main oxides and trace compounds of the individual Braničevo glass samples, as determined by LA-ICPMS, and the average of eight separate analyses of Corning A compared to the published values for this reference glass, for references see footnote 14. Vessel shapes and catalogue numbers from Spasić-Durić, Jovanović in this volume

Прилої. Просечне вредности ілавних оксида и једињења у траїовима у узорцима из Браничева, установљене методом LA-ICPMS, и просечне вредности осам различитих анализа Corning A, које су упоређене са публикованим вредностима за ово референтно стакло; за референце види нап. 14. Форме посуда и каталошки бројеви преузети су из: Спасић-Ђурић, Јовановић у овом броју Старинара

		SiO.	Al.O.	Na.O	K.O	CaO	MgO	P.O.	Cl
sample	vessel / Cat. No	wt%	3 	wt%	2 - wt%	wt%	wt%	2 - 5 wt%	wt%
Purple 1	1		<u>I</u>						
BRN 1-03	bowl Cat. No 1 - rim fragment	67.1	1.68	12.6	2.35	9.1	2.94	0.31	0.69
BRN 1-01.p	bowl Cat. No 2 - wall fragment	67.3	1.71	12.5	2.24	9.2	2.94	0.31	0.70
BRN 1-15.p	bowl Cat. No 3 - rim fragment	67.8	1.65	12.2	2.31	9.2	2.83	0.31	0.64
BRN 1-05.p	cup Cat. No 6 - wall fragment	67.0	1.86	12.1	2.15	9.2	2.92	0.29	0.73
BRN 1-11.p	flask Cat. No 12 - wall fragment	67.3	1.90	12.0	2.23	9.2	2.87	0.30	0.70
BRN 1-12	flask Cat. No 12 - wall fragment	67.5	1.84	12.0	2.27	9.1	2.84	0.31	0.68
BRN 1-14b.p	? flask Cat. No 14 - wall fragment	67.7	1.69	12.3	2.23	9.1	2.82	0.30	0.79
BRN 1-14a	? flask Cat. No 14 - wall fragment	67.6	1.67	12.3	2.18	9.1	2.80	0.29	0.77
Purple 2	I								
BRN 1-13.p	bowl Cat. No 8 - wall fragment	67.0	1.88	12.8	1.95	8.8	2.58	0.27	0.77
BRN 1-06	bottle Cat. No 9 - loose handle fragment	66.8	1.91	13.0	1.95	8.7	2.63	0.27	0.76
BRN 1-08	bottle Cat. No 9 - wall fragment	66.7	1.91	13.0	1.89	8.7	2.61	0.26	0.76
BRN 1-07.p	bottle Cat. No 9 - wall fragment	66.5	1.84	12.9	1.89	8.8	2.72	0.27	0.76
BRN 1-09.p	bottle Cat. No 10 - wall fragment	66.8	1.90	13.0	1.93	8.7	2.60	0.26	0.77
BRN 1-10	bottle - neck fragment	66.6	1.97	12.8	1.93	8.6	2.48	0.26	0.79
BRN 1-04.p	? bowl - wall fragment	66.5	1.90	13.1	1.85	8.8	2.60	0.26	0.78
White on Purpl									
BRN 1-01.w	Cat. No 2	55.1	1.04	8.6	1.80	6.7	2.23	0.21	0.56
BRN 1-15.w	Cat. No 3	57.8	1.26	9.5	1.66	6.1	2.19	0.25	0.71
BRN 1-05.w	Cat. No 6	59.0	1.19	8.8	1.77	6.3	2.35	0.22	0.66
BRN 1-11.w	Cat. No 12	59.4	0.98	9.9	1.87	7.9	2.60	0.26	0.71
BRN 1-14b.w	Cat. No 14	58.2	0.93	8.9	1.74	7.0	2.33	0.23	0.65
White on Purple	e 2								
BRN 1-13.w	Cat. No 8	64.8	1.54	10.5	2.03	7.9	2.50	0.31	0.82
BRN 1-07.w	Cat. No 9	55.0	1.04	8.6	1.69	6.7	2.23	0.21	0.58
BRN 1-09.w(i)	Cat. No 10 - white area	53.9	1.03	7.9	1.63	6.0	2.00	0.24	0.55
BRN 1-09.w(ii)	Cat. No 10 - pink area	58.3	0.92	8.9	2.01	7.2	2.64	0.25	0.77
BRN 1-09.w(iii)	Cat. No 10 - pink-purple area	58.5	0.87	8.8	2.01	7.1	2.54	0.26	0.70
BRN 1-04.w	? bowl	55.5	1.07	8.5	1.75	6.8	2.27	0.21	0.55
Braničevo set -	means								
Purple 1		67.4	1.75	12.2	2.25	9.1	2.87	0.30	0.71
Purple 2			1.90	12.9	1.91	8.7	2.60	0.26	0.77
White	57.8	1.08	9.0	1.81	6.9	2.35	0.24	0.66	
Corning A meas	sured		1						
mean (n=8)		66.9	0.91	13.9	2.84	5.67	2.46	0.11	0.13
standard deviation	(st dev)	0.21	0.03	0.10	0.02	0.05	0.06	42.52	0.01
difference checket	Isnea	00.50	1.00	14.3	2.8/	5.03	2.66	161	0.09
difference relative		0.4	-8.9%	-0.4	-0.05	13%	-0.20	-12%	43%

Number         wet%         wet%         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         ppm         wet%         ppm           Purple           0.085         0.690         18         327         15         671         1506         26         22         55         1.09         6           BRN 1-01.p         0.086         0.68         21         324         15         671         1460         26         12         58         1.95         6           BRN 1-15.p         0.087         0.67         16         307         15         671         126         28         24         60         2.10         7           BRN 1-12         0.097         0.77         23         315         17         682         1615         28         22         62         2.11         7           BRN 1-14.a         0.088         0.69         171         308         15         662         1314         22         67         2.18         15           BRN 1-14         0.088         0.69         1.71         308         14         670         150         31         22	complo	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Li <sub>2</sub> O	B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Rb <sub>2</sub> O	SrO	BaO	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	CoO
Purple 1           BRN 10.30         0.69         1.8         327         15         671         150         2         2         55         2.09         6           BRN 10.150         0.087         0.67         16         324         15         671         150         2         2         56         1.05         6           BRN 10.150         0.087         0.67         16         307         15         697         1400         26         17         58         1.05         6           BRN 10.150         0.007         0.77         23         315         17         682         1314         26         19         58         1.98         1.75           BRN 1.140         0.089         0.71         18         305         14         680         142         2         19         58         1.98         1.76           BRN 1.140         0.089         0.71         18         305         14         673         1514         31         22         67         2.28         144           BRN 1.06         0.099         1.04         23         425         145         670         131         22         67         2.25 </th <th>sample</th> <th>wt%</th> <th>wt%</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>ppm</th> <th>wt%</th> <th>ppm</th>	sample	wt%	wt%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	wt%	ppm
BRN 1-030.0850.69183271567115062622552.096BRN 1-01-p0.0860.68213241568114602617581.976BRN 1-05-p0.0950.80213221766915122824602.107BRN 1-11-p0.1000.81253091868215562923642.117BRN 1-140.0900.77233151768216152822662.181.78BRN 1-140.0900.71183051468014022720581.881.78BRN 1-140.0901.032540614467115933122652.181.78BRN 1-050.0991.032540614467115933122652.181.78BRN 1-050.0991.042342315566115033122652.181.78BRN 1-050.0991.042342315566115033122652.181.78BRN 1-050.0991.042342315566115033121662.16BRN 1-050.0991.032425377146791743021632.46 <tr< th=""><th>Purple 1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr<>	Purple 1						-						
BRN 1-0.p0.0860.68213241568113622622561.9768BRN 1-15.p0.0870.67163071569714602617581.9567BRN 1-19.0.1000.812231716868215562923642.117BRN 1-140.0070.77233151768216152822622.117BRN 1-14.p0.0880.691730815673154120581.8566BRN 1-14.p0.0980.0712342315567315443122672.18115BRN 1-13.p0.0991.03254061.469115933122672.18115BRN 1-000.0991.042342315567315643122672.18115BRN 1-000.091.04234231.567315643122672.18115BRN 1-000.091.04234251.1467014603121661.251.14BRN 1-000.091.082.51.146701.651.231.24671.241.251.25BRN 1-000.090.082.72.51.146701.552.71.81.23.0	BRN 1-03	0.085	0.69	18	327	15	671	1506	26	22	55	2.09	6
BRN 1-15.p0.0870.67163071569714602617581.956BRN 1-15.p0.0950.80213221766915122824602.107BRN 1-14.p0.000.812530918682155629236262622117BRN 1-14.p0.0880.07230.077381569213142619581.8566BRN 1-140.0890.71183051468014622720581.981.78BRN 1-140.0890.71183051468014622720581.8567BRN 1-600.0991.04234231567315643122672.1817BRN 1-600.0991.04234231567316053122672.2514BRN 1-600.0981.07234251467017643022632.46100BRN 1-600.0981.07234251467017643022632.46100BRN 1-600.0981.07234251467017643022632.46100BRN 1-600.091.082210456601273120<	BRN 1-01.p	0.086	0.68	21	324	15	681	1362	26	22	56	1.97	6
BRN 1-05.p0.0950.80213221766915122824602.107.10BRN 1-1.p0.0000.81253091868215562923642.117BRN 1-120.0870.072331517682161528222622.117BRN 1-14.p0.0880.091.091.083151468014622720581.981.73BRN 1-14.p0.0890.071.83051.46901.59331122672.181.78BRN 1-05.0.0991.03254001.4691159331122672.181.78BRN 1-05.0.0991.0422433154675160531122672.181.78BRN 1-05.0.0991.04234251.44675160531122632.461.78BRN 1-05.0.0950.07234251.4467014803112116602.161.78BRN 1-05.0.0950.07234251.446701.65031322632.641.78BRN 1-05.0.0950.07234251.446701.6503132146330.2.651.66BRN 1-05.0.090.050.17231.5566<	BRN 1-15.p	0.087	0.67	16	307	15	697	1460	26	17	58	1.95	6
BRN 1-1.p0.1000.812.53091868215562.92.36.42.117BRN 1-120.0970.772.3315176.8216152.82.26.22.117BRN 1-1400.0880.091.71183051.46.921.312.01.95.81.856BRN 1-1400.0890.091.032.54061.46911.933.12.26.72.181.5BRN 1-1300.0991.042.540.61.46.911.533.12.26.72.181.5BRN 1-060.0991.042.540.61.46.911.533.12.26.72.181.5BRN 1-060.0981.012.53.771.46.791.603.12.26.72.161.6BRN 1-0200.0981.072.53.771.46.791.603.12.16.61.611.1BRN 1-0200.0981.072.53.771.46.791.603.12.16.61.1 <t< th=""><th>BRN 1-05.p</th><th>0.095</th><th>0.80</th><th>21</th><th>322</th><th>17</th><th>669</th><th>1512</th><th>28</th><th>24</th><th>60</th><th>2.10</th><th>7</th></t<>	BRN 1-05.p	0.095	0.80	21	322	17	669	1512	28	24	60	2.10	7
BRN 1-120.0970.772.33151768216152.82.26.22.117BRN 1-14.00.0880.60173081569213142.619581.856BRN 1-13.00.0890.0718305146901402.72.02.81.857BRN 1-040.0991.032.5406146911593312.2672.181.5BRN 1-050.0981.042.3423156731564312.2672.181.6BRN 1-060.0981.062.24301.46751605312.2672.151.6BRN 1-070.0981.072.34231.56.441.503.32.26.72.161.16BRN 1-080.0981.072.34231.56.661.273.32.26.72.161.16BRN 1-090.0981.072.74.231.56.661.273.32.67.12.251.14BRN 1-040.090.4792.34.251.146.661.273.132.16.73.02.6BRN 1-040.090.4792.32.01.14.651.661.271.81.79.73.63.6BRN 1-1040.050.472.22.011.67	BRN 1-11.p	0.100	0.81	25	309	18	682	1556	29	23	64	2.11	7
BRN 1-14h.0.0880.69173081569213142619581.856BRN 1-14a0.0890.71183051468014622720581.987Purpe 1BRN 1-13.00.0991.032540314691159331122672.1815BRN 1-00.0991.04224231567316643122672.2514BRN 1-00.0981.072.242314670148031216662.1614BRN 1-0.00.0981.072.342514670148031216662.1614BRN 1-0.00.0981.072.342514670148031216662.1614BRN 1-0.00.0991.082.74231566415703326712.2514BRN 1-0.00.091.082.64151.0466172332.6712.2514BRN 1-0.00.091.082.74231.56641331.81.7370.5613BRN 1-0.00.000.4792.151.0462183181813360.66BRN 1-0.00.050.390.4122.23113962.271813	BRN 1-12	0.097	0.77	23	315	17	682	1615	28	22	62	2.11	7
BRN 1-14a         0.089         0.71         18         305         14         680         1462         27         20         58         1.98         7           Purple 2	BRN 1-14b.p	0.088	0.69	17	308	15	692	1314	26	19	58	1.85	6
Purple 2         Image: Control of the second state of	BRN 1-14a	0.089	0.71	18	305	14	680	1462	27	20	58	1.98	7
BRN 1-13.p         0.099         1.03         25         406         14         691         1593         31         22         67         2.18         15           BRN 1-06         0.099         1.04         23         423         15         673         1564         31         22         65         2.20         14           BRN 1-08         0.098         1.06         22         430         14         675         1605         31         22         67         2.25         14           BRN 1-07.p         0.095         0.97         25         377         14         679         1764         30         22         63         2.46         10           BRN 1-07.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         150         33         26         71         2.25         14           BRN 1-04.p         0.099         1.08         26         151         156         666         122         31         24         67         2.00         12           BRN 1-3.p         0.600         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56 </th <th>Purple 2</th> <th></th>	Purple 2												
BRN 1-06         0.099         1.04         23         423         15         673         1564         31         22         655         2.20         14           BRN 1-08         0.098         1.06         22         430         14         675         1605         31         22         67         2.25         14           BRN 1-07.p         0.095         0.97         25         377         14         679         1764         30         22         63         2.46         10           BRN 1-09.p         0.098         1.07         23         425         14         670         1480         31         21         66         2.16         14           BRN 1-09.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           BRN 1-04.p         0.060         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-15.w         0.060         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.52<	BRN 1-13.p	0.099	1.03	25	406	14	691	1593	31	22	67	2.18	15
BRN 1-08         0.098         1.06         22         430         14         675         1605         31         22         67         2.25         14           BRN 1-07.p         0.095         0.97         25         377         14         679         1764         30         22         63         2.46         10           BRN 1-09.p         0.098         1.07         23         425         14         670         1480         31         21         66         2.16         14           BRN 1-09.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           White on Purple 1           7         423         11         56         167         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-15.w         0.060         0.42         2         201         10         375         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-1.5.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66	BRN 1-06	0.099	1.04	23	423	15	673	1564	31	22	65	2.20	14
BRN 1-07.p         0.095         0.97         25         377         14         679         1764         30         22         63         2.46         10           BRN 1-09.p         0.098         1.07         23         425         14         670         1480         31         21         66         2.16         14           BRN 1-09.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           White on Purple 1            566         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           BRN 1-04.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           BRN 1-04.p         0.099         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-05.w         0.050         0.41         2         223         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6      B	BRN 1-08	0.098	1.06	22	430	14	675	1605	31	22	67	2.25	14
BRN 1-09.p         0.098         1.07         23         425         14         670         1480         31         21         66         2.16         14           BRN 1-10         0.103         1.18         27         423         15         684         1550         33         26         71         2.25         14           BRN 1-04.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           White on Purple 1           9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-01.w         0.069         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.58         66           BRN 1-10.w         0.060         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-10.w         0.050         0.41         222         10         481         189         18         13         30         0.52         6	BRN 1-07.p	0.095	0.97	25	377	14	679	1764	30	22	63	2.46	10
BRN 1-10         0.103         1.18         27         423         15         684         1550         33         26         71         2.25         14           BRN 1-04.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           White on Purple 1         0.060         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-01.w         0.060         0.44         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-5.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-1.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         13         30         0.51	BRN 1-09.p	0.098	1.07	23	425	14	670	1480	31	21	66	2.16	14
BRN 1-04.p         0.099         1.08         26         415         15         666         1272         31         24         67         2.00         12           White on Purple 1         0.060         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-01.w         0.069         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-05.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-11.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54 <th>BRN 1-10</th> <th>0.103</th> <th>1.18</th> <th>27</th> <th>423</th> <th>15</th> <th>684</th> <th>1550</th> <th>33</th> <th>26</th> <th>71</th> <th>2.25</th> <th>14</th>	BRN 1-10	0.103	1.18	27	423	15	684	1550	33	26	71	2.25	14
White on Purple 1         0.060         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-01.w         0.069         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-15.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-16.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2          0.052         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-03.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41 <th>BRN 1-04.p</th> <th>0.099</th> <th>1.08</th> <th>26</th> <th>415</th> <th>15</th> <th>666</th> <th>1272</th> <th>31</th> <th>24</th> <th>67</th> <th>2.00</th> <th>12</th>	BRN 1-04.p	0.099	1.08	26	415	15	666	1272	31	24	67	2.00	12
BRN 1-01.w         0.060         0.47         9         215         10         462         183         18         17         37         0.56         13           BRN 1-15.w         0.069         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-15.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-10.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2         U         U         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-03.w(i)         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)	White on Purple 1												
BRN 1-15.w         0.069         0.46         2         201         10         375         207         19         9         39         0.78         6           BRN 1-05.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-11.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2         U         U         U         U         U         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-03.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-03.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)	BRN 1-01.w	0.060	0.47	9	215	10	462	183	18	17	37	0.56	13
BRN 1-05.w         0.059         0.41         2         223         11         396         227         18         13         36         0.80         6           BRN 1-11.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2         BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10	BRN 1-15.w	0.069	0.46	2	201	10	375	207	19	9	39	0.78	6
BRN 1-11.w         0.060         0.42         11         238         9         551         196         19         14         43         0.66         6           BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2         BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13	BRN 1-05.w	0.059	0.41	2	223	11	396	227	18	13	36	0.80	6
BRN 1-14b.w         0.057         0.39         4         222         10         481         189         18         8         39         0.52         6           White on Purple 2         BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13	BRN 1-11.w	0.060	0.42	11	238	9	551	196	19	14	43	0.66	6
White on Purple 2           BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         2	BRN 1-14b.w	0.057	0.39	4	222	10	481	189	18	8	39	0.52	6
BRN 1-13.w         0.082         0.52         5         234         12         505         286         20         11         47         0.70         6           BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-07.w         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means         O.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59 <t< th=""><th>White on Purple 2</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	White on Purple 2												
BRN 1-07.w         0.058         0.51         0         223         10         450         188         18         11         39         0.54         10           BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means         V         V         V         S <th>BRN 1-13.w</th> <th>0.082</th> <th>0.52</th> <th>5</th> <th>234</th> <th>12</th> <th>505</th> <th>286</th> <th>20</th> <th>11</th> <th>47</th> <th>0.70</th> <th>6</th>	BRN 1-13.w	0.082	0.52	5	234	12	505	286	20	11	47	0.70	6
BRN 1-09.w(i)         0.050         0.40         0         207         10         389         136         17         9         33         0.41         7           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means         Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59         2.02         7           Purple 2         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11	BRN 1-07.w	0.058	0.51	0	223	10	450	188	18	11	39	0.54	10
BRN 1-09.w(ii)         0.054         0.37         0         257         11         474         178         17         9         37         0.55         5           BRN 1-09.w(iii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-09.w(iii)         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means   <	BRN 1-09.w(i)	0.050	0.40	0	207	10	389	136	17	9	33	0.41	7
BRN 1-09.w(iii)         0.055         0.38         0         241         11         468         177         17         10         36         0.54         5           BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means         Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59         2.02         7           Purple 1         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured         mean (n=8)         0.76         1.13         110         2091         96         1028         4518         65         30         51         1.02         1717           st dev         0.01         0.02         2         44         0.8         6         61         0.	BRN 1-09.w(ii)	0.054	0.37	0	257	11	474	178	17	9	37	0.55	5
BRN 1-04.w         0.061         0.47         6         212         11         465         181         18         13         38         0.56         12           Braničevo set - means         Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59         2.02         7           Purple 2         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured	BRN 1-09.w(iii)	0.055	0.38	0	241	11	468	177	17	10	36	0.54	5
Braničevo set - means           Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59         2.02         7           Purple 1         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured           mean (n=8)         0.76         1.13         110         2091         96         1028         4518         65         30         51         1.02         1717           st dev         0.01         0.02         2         44         0.8         6         61         0.9         0.7         0.8         0.02         23           Corn A publ         0.79         1.09         100         2000         100         1000         4600         60         30         50         1.00         1700           difference abs.         -0.03         0.04         10 <t< th=""><th>BRN 1-04.w</th><th>0.061</th><th>0.47</th><th>6</th><th>212</th><th>11</th><th>465</th><th>181</th><th>18</th><th>13</th><th>38</th><th>0.56</th><th>12</th></t<>	BRN 1-04.w	0.061	0.47	6	212	11	465	181	18	13	38	0.56	12
Purple 1         0.091         0.73         20         315         16         682         1473         27         21         59         2.02         7           Purple 2         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured	Braničevo set - mea	ns											
Purple 2         0.099         1.06         25         414         14         677         1547         31         23         67         2.21         13           White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured           mean (n=8)         0.76         1.13         110         2091         96         1028         4518         65         30         51         1.02         1717           st dev         0.01         0.02         2         44         0.8         6         61         0.9         0.7         0.8         0.02         23           Corn A publ         0.79         1.09         100         2000         100         1000         4600         60         30         50         1.00         1700           difference abs.         -0.03         0.04         10         91         -4         28         -82         5         0         1         0.02         17	Purple 1	0.091	0.73	20	315	16	682	1473	27	21	59	2.02	7
White         0.060         0.44         4         225         11         456         195         18         11         39         0.60         8           Corning A measured           mean (n=8)         0.76         1.13         110         2091         96         1028         4518         65         30         51         1.02         1717           st dev         0.01         0.02         2         44         0.8         6         61         0.9         0.7         0.8         0.02         23           Corn A publ         0.79         1.09         100         2000         100         1000         4600         60         30         50         1.00         1700           difference abs.         -0.03         0.04         10         91         -4         28         -82         5         0         1         0.02         17	Purple 2	0.099	1.06	25	414	14	677	1547	31	23	67	2.21	13
Corning A measured           mean (n=8)         0.76         1.13         110         2091         96         1028         4518         65         30         51         1.02         1717           st dev         0.01         0.02         2         44         0.8         6         61         0.9         0.7         0.8         0.02         23           Corn A publ         0.79         1.09         100         2000         100         1000         4600         60         30         50         1.00         1700           difference abs.         -0.03         0.04         10         91         -4         28         -82         5         0         1         0.02         17	White	0.060	0.44	4	225	11	456	195	18	11	39	0.60	8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Corning A measured												
st dev $0.01$ $0.02$ $2$ $44$ $0.8$ $6$ $61$ $0.9$ $0.7$ $0.8$ $0.02$ $23$ Corn A publ $0.79$ $1.09$ $100$ $2000$ $100$ $1000$ $4600$ $60$ $30$ $50$ $1.00$ $1700$ difference abs. $-0.03$ $0.04$ $10$ $91$ $-4$ $28$ $-82$ $5$ $0$ $1$ $0.02$ $17$ Via $-2.76$ $0.96$ $-4.56$ $2.96$ $-1.96$ $1.56$ $1.26$	mean (n=8)	0.76	1.13	110	2091	96	1028	4518	65	30	51	1.02	1717
Corn A publ         0.79         1.09         100         2000         100         1000         4600         60         30         50         1.00         1700           difference abs.         -0.03         0.04         10         91         -4         28         -82         5         0         1         0.02         17	st dev	0.01	0.02	2	44	0.8	6	61	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.02	23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Corn A publ	0.79	1.09	100	2000	100	1000	4600	60	30	50	1.00	1700
-3 T = 1 + 3 T = 1 + 3 T = 1 + 3 T = 1 + 3 T = 1 + 3	difference rel	-0.03	0.04 3.2%	10 0.0%	91 4.5%	-4	28	-82	5 8.0%	15%	1 20%	0.02	1.0%

	NiO	CuO	ZnO	As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Ag	SnO <sub>2</sub>	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	PbO	Bi	Y,0,	La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CeO,	Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
sample	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
Purple 1													
BRN 1-03	16	203	62	18	1	342	69	719	1	7.3	6.9	12.4	6.2
BRN 1-01.p	16	304	63	17	3	330	67	716	1	7.5	7.0	12.6	6.4
BRN 1-15.p	16	200	62	16	1	324	68	670	1	7.7	7.8	13.9	6.8
BRN 1-05.p	17	852	70	20	8	552	85	3113	2	7.8	7.6	13.7	6.9
BRN 1-11.p	18	630	77	21	2	405	76	1779	2	8.4	8.3	15.0	7.2
BRN 1-12	17	466	66	20	3	353	75	1074	1	8.1	8.0	14.5	7.1
BRN 1-14b.p	16	419	74	17	2	352	68	1011	1	7.8	7.6	13.7	6.8
BRN 1-14a	15	1070	65	19	3	468	83	1584	2	7.6	7.6	13.7	6.5
Purple 2													
BRN 1-13.p	16	366	82	24	2	724	271	2254	3	8.1	7.9	14.1	6.9
BRN 1-06	17	365	82	23	2	765	268	2264	4	7.8	7.5	13.6	6.8
BRN 1-08	16	396	84	24	2	860	293	2549	4	8.0	7.5	13.5	6.7
BRN 1-07.p	16	446	79	25	2	909	225	2838	5	7.9	7.3	13.5	6.7
BRN 1-09.p	16	421	84	23	2	1048	294	3204	5	7.9	7.4	13.4	6.5
BRN 1-10	17	535	92	27	2	1589	383	4372	7	8.2	7.7	14.0	6.9
BRN 1-04.p	17	819	98	21	3	1410	311	5450	7	7.9	7.4	13.3	6.7
White on Purpl	e 1												
BRN 1-01.w	39	304	45	62	25	78620	24	145338	253	4.5	4.6	8.3	4.2
BRN 1-15.w	29	238	31	50	23	64650	14	126428	212	6.0	5.7	10.1	5.2
BRN 1-05.w	31	336	33	48	27	66486	3	114960	494	5.1	5.3	9.2	4.8
BRN 1-11.w	31	217	44	34	16	53328	8	97529	260	5.3	5.7	9.8	4.7
BRN 1-14b.w	35	193	40	60	29	69259	7	119492	276	4.8	5.6	9.6	4.5
White on Purpl	le 2		-										
BRN 1-13.w	21	152	37	15	7	33942	17	47127	108	6.7	6.8	11.7	6.0
BRN 1-07.w	39	380	42	47	29	80655	21	146235	324	4.9	5.3	8.9	4.4
BRN 1-09.w(i)	40	358	39	36	33	88142	21	168402	400	4.1	5.3	9.2	4.2
BRN 1-09.w(ii)	29	692	37	40	31	52277	41	125452	290	4.4	5.6	9.6	4.5
BRN 1-09.w(iii)	31	683	38	37	29	56055	50	124235	290	4.3	5.6	9.9	4.4
BRN 1-04.w	37	319	44	57	29	78135	21	142784	263	4.8	4.8	8.5	4.3
Braničevo set -	means												
Purple 1	17	518	67	19	3	391	74	1333	2	7.8	7.6	13.7	6.8
Purple 2	17	478	86	24	2	1043	292	3276	5	7.9	7.5	13.6	6.8
White	33	352	39	44	25	65595	21	123453	288	5.0	5.5	9.5	4.7
Corning A mea	sured	1						, ,					
mean (n=8)	229	11559	542	32	15	1681	16350	615	8.65	0.72	0.41	0.30	0.15
st dev	3	143	8	1.2	0.5	13	184	9	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01
Corn A publ	200	11700	440	—	19	1900	15770	730	_	—	—		—
difference rel	29 15%	-141	23%		-4	-219	37%	-115 -16%					
	10/0	1 1.4 /0	2070		1 1 / / /	1 12/0	5.170	1 10/0		1	1		1