# Little adaptive potential of a threatened passerine

Pierre de Villemereuil $^{1,2,4,*}$ , Alexis Rutschmann $^1$ , Kate D. Lee $^1$ , John G. Ewen $^3$ , Patricia Brekke $^{3,\dagger}$ , and Anna W. Santure $^{1,\dagger}$ 

 $^1$ School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, NZ  $^2$ Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, UMR 5175, 1919 Route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

 $^3$ Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regents Park, London, UK  $^4$ Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: Pierre de Villemereuil, E-mail: bonamy@horus.ens.fr

† These are joint last authors

Summary

Threatened species face numerous threats, including future challenges triggered by global change. A possible way to cope with these challenges is through adaptive evolution, which requires adaptive potential. Adaptive potential is defined as the genetic variance needed to respond to selection and can be assessed either on adaptive traits or fitness [1]. However, a lack of high quality data has made it difficult to rigorously test adaptive potential in threatened species, leading to controversy over its magnitude [1–3]. Here we assess the adaptive potential of a threatened New Zealand passerine (the hihi, Notiomystis cincta) based on two populations: (i) the sole remaining natural population, on the island of Te Hauturu-o-Toi and (ii) a reintroduced population with a long-term dataset (intensively monitored for 20 years) based on the island of Tiritiri Matangi. We use molecular information (reduced representation genome sequencing, on both populations), as well as long-term phenotypic and fitness data from the Tiritiri Matangi population to find: (i) a lack of molecular genetic diversity at a genome-wide level in both populations, (ii) low heritability of traits under selection and (iii) negligible additive genetic variance of fitness in the Tiritiri Matangi population. In combination, these results support a lack of

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

adaptive potential in this threatened species. We discuss our findings within the context of other passerines and methods for assessing adaptive potential; and the impact of these results on conservation practice, for the hihi and species of conservation concern in general.

Keywords: adaptation, *Notiomystis cincta*, heritability, quantitative genetics, conservation genetics, conservation biology

## Results

The hihi (Notiomystis cincta, Du Bus, 1839) is an endemic New Zealand bird and the sole representative of the Notiomystidae family [4]. Once common across the North Island, it is now naturally occurring only on the island of Te Hauturu-o-Toi (Little Barrier Island, population estimated around 1,000 - 3,000 individuals). As part of a concerted conservation effort, the hihi has been successfully reintroduced to six mammalian predator-free sanctuaries, one of which is situated on the island of Tiritiri Matangi (founded in 1995 by 53 reintroduced individuals and currently stable at around 100-175 breeding individuals). Since its establishment all breeding events have been intensively monitored, and every individual is systematically sampled and nestlings banded and morphological traits measured [5], making this one of the largest and most comprehensive long-term datasets of any threatened species. There is no dispersal in or out of either of the studied islands.

#### Molecular diversity

Genomic sequence data from restriction site associated DNA (RAD) sequencing, a form of reduced representation genomic sequencing, revealed low genetic diversity in hihi. Sequence data was derived from 26 individuals from Te Hauturu-o-Toi and five individuals from Tiritiri Matangi populations. The proportion of polymorphic sites within the RAD sequences was 0.36% (SE  $\pm$  0.0091%) using both populations. Polymorphic sites were scarcer in Tiritiri Matangi (0.22%, SE  $\pm$  0.0048%), when compared to the source population of Te Hauturu-o-Toi (0.34%, SE $\pm$ 0.0047%), which might originate from the smaller sample size in Tiritiri Matangi, and/or the bottleneck [6] when Tiritiri Matangi was established. The nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) was estimated at 0.00095 (SE  $\pm$  4.64  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup>) and was also lower in Tiritiri Matangi (0.00088, SE  $\pm$  4.35  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup>)

than in Te Hauturu-o-Toi  $(0.00095, SE \pm 1.40 \times 10^{-5})$ . Both of these molecular diversity metrics were lower than any comparable (i.e. 'sequence-based') estimates for passerine birds we have been able to identify in the literature [see ??; 7–11]. Note that while the only threatened species (the Florida scrub-jay) included in our comparison has the lowest nucleotide diversity of the other species, the hihi still has a diversity 2.6 times lower.

#### Quantitative genetics of traits

We performed a quantitative genetics analysis on eleven commonly measured avian traits that were either directly measured or derived from the pedigree data in Tiritiri Matangi: three 51 morphological traits (mass, tarsus length and head-to-bill length, all measured at 21 days of age, just before fledging), three life-history traits (longevity, probability of being recruited and 53 age at first reproduction) and five breeding traits (lay date, number of eggs laid, hatching and fledgling success and time from egg laying to fledgling). To identify the traits under selection, we 55 computed the linear and non-linear selective gradients [12, 13]. We then used 'animal models', 56 a type of generalised linear mixed model, and pedigree information, accounting for confounding 57 effects and using appropriate statistical distributions for each trait, to compute the additive 58 genetic variance  $(V_A)$  and heritability  $(h^2)$  of all the traits. Estimates are provided on the 59 observed data scale, sensu [14]. Finally, we tested the ability of the sample size of our dataset 60 to correctly estimate a small, but substantial signal for the adaptive traits and fitness with a 61 simulation analysis. Detailed results are available in Table S1, Table S2 and Figure S3 of the 62 Supplementary Information. 63

Selection gradients All traits but time to fledge and age at first reproduction were under selection, with a significant linear and/or non-linear standardised selection gradient (?? and Table S1). The linear selection gradient was positive for all traits except for lay date (and age at first reproduction, although the linear gradient was not significant) for which a negative selection gradient was estimated. Except for adult longevity, all non-linear selection gradients were negative, which suggests stabilising selection is operating, particularly when the parameter was significant.

Quantitative genetics of the studied traits Estimated posterior modes for heritabilities and additive genetic coefficients of variation of the three morphological traits were small (??, see also 72 Table S2 and Figure S2, fledgling mass:  $h^2 = 0.0329$ , additive genetic coefficient of variation 73  $(CV_{\rm A})=3.5\%$ ; tarsus length:  $h^2=0.123,~CV_{\rm A}=1.7\%$  and head-bill length:  $h^2=0.0581,$  $CV_{\rm A}=1.2\%$ ). In contrast to the morphological traits, all but one (lay date) life-history and breeding traits had an estimated posterior mode for the heritability below  $10^{-3}$  (see ??, Table S2 76 and Figure S2). These extremely low estimates of heritability were driven by very low estimated 77 values of the additive genetic variance, with all lower bounds of the 95% credible interval below 78  $10^{-7}$ . Although the signal for a non-zero additive genetic variance for lay date was stronger, the 79 point estimate (posterior mode  $h^2 = 0.0289$ ) was still extremely low and the 95% credible interval 80 lower bound close to zero (see Table S2). Our simulation analysis (Figure S3) demonstrated our data structure would be able to detect heritabilities as low as 0.1 with confidence for these 82 traits. 83

#### **Additive genetic variance of fitness**

The most direct measure of adaptive potential is the additive genetic variance of fitness. Here, we used an animal model with a zero-inflated Poisson distribution to compute the additive genetic variance of lifetime reproductive success of the individuals. This analysis suggests a 87 very low adaptive potential in hihi, with extremely small additive genetic variances of both the zero-inflated and Poisson component of our model, resulting in extremely small heritabilities and 89 evolvabilities (see Table 1). The zero-inflated component had a relatively large population mean 90 of 0.759, which agrees well with the observed probability of not being recruited into the breeding 91 population (0.724). Our simulation analysis (see Figure S3) placed these estimates rather below 92 what would be considered a *small*, but substantial signal for additive genetic variance of fitness. 93 They also demonstrated an upward bias in the posterior median estimation for the evolvability of the Poisson part, meaning that the true value of  $I_A$  is likely to lie between the posterior mode (0.000587) and posterior median (0.01) shown in Table 1.

## 97 Discussion

Lack of adaptive potential in the hihi in comparison to other species The three measures of adaptive potential we employed here all support a lack of general and adaptive genetic diversity in the hihi. The levels of molecular genetic diversity found in this study are consistent 100 with a depleted diversity compared to other passerine species (??). Comparing individual 101 heterozygosity in pairs of threatened and non-threatened bird species, [15] similarly found a 102 diversity roughly two to five times lower in threatened birds. Our average level of individual 103 heterozygosity (0.00069, see Figure S1) falls well within the range observed by [15] for threatened 104 bird species (0.0004 - 0.00091). More generally, when compared to estimates available in 105 the database from [16], our nucleotide diversity seems typical of threatened species (average 106 nucleotide diversity of 0.00115 for the 33 species classified as vulnerable, endangered or critically 107 endangered), although the majority of such species are not passerines and might differ due to 108 other features (such as overall fecundity) given the larger phylogenetic divergence. All of the 109 traits studied here displayed small to inconsequential levels of additive genetic variance and 110 heritability. The analysis of two morphological traits (tarsus length and head-bill length) yielded 111 small heritabilities, with two other traits (body mass and lay date) having at best ambiguous 112 support for heritabilities away from zero. The life-history and breeding traits (except lay date) 113 were all characterised by extremely low posterior heritabilities, with all below a value of 0.05. 114 Our simulation analysis confirms that our sample size is sufficient to accurately estimate low 115 heritabilities, and that the majority of our heritabilities are well below what might be considered small, but substantial ( $h^2 = 0.1$ ), and hence that adaptive potential is very limited. Furthermore, 117 these estimates remain extremely low in the context of typical heritability values for other 118 passerines found in the current literature. For example, using bird species data available from 119 [17] to compute an average heritability value, we found estimates larger than those found in 120 this study for body mass ( $h^2 = 0.42$ , 98% of the values above ours), tarsus length ( $h^2 = 0.59$ , 121 96%) and lay date ( $h^2 = 0.149, 90\%$ ). The additive genetic variance and related standardised 122 measures were also very small for fitness. Only two other studies [18] used non-Gaussian animal 123 models to measure and report the additive genetic variance of fitness [19, 20]. While they report 124 variances on the latent scale, this is equivalent to our evolvability estimate [14]: the estimates

for song sparrow (Melospiza melodia) of [20] are considerably greater than ours (1.72 for males 126 and 2.01 for females), while the estimates for American red squirrel (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus) 127 of [19] are more comparable to ours (from 0.004 to 0.017, but note that their credible intervals 128 have much higher lower and upper bounds). While the additive genetic variance of relative 129 fitness is more related to an *instantaneous* rate of adaptation, rather than a potential for future 130 adaptation, it is one of the best proxies to predict the ability of a species to face environmental 131 challenges [18, 21], especially for threatened species such as hihi that are already shifted away 132 from their optimal habitat [22]. The lack of adaptive potential and reduced genetic diversity are 133 likely to be as a result of the bottleneck experienced during the collapse of the species, as well as an extended period where the species consisted of a single population. The hihi was widespread 135 across the North Island of New Zealand before its rapid decline following European colonisation 136 with extirpation from the "mainland" by 1885 [6]. The range of the species was then restricted 137 to a sole surviving population on Te Hauturu-o-Toi (3083 Ha) for more than a century. Despite 138 changes in population size on Te Hauturu-o-Toi, hihi are thought to have retained a relatively 139 stable level of genetic diversity. This is primarily due to the largely promiscuous mode of mating of the hihi, with an extremely high rate of extra-pair paternity [23, 24] and high natal dispersal 141 [25]. The remnant population is also currently estimated to be large and stable [26] and no trace of a recent bottleneck was found using microsatellite data [6]. However, information about past 143 hihi diversity and the composition of Te Hauturu-o-Toi at the time of collapse are unfortunately harder to infer. 145

Relationship between molecular genetic diversity and adaptive potential The lack of molecular genetic diversity in the hihi differs from previous conclusions of [6], who found a 147 high genetic diversity, based on microsatellites, in the reintroduced populations and a small 148 reduction in genetic diversity following reintroduction events. This difference is likely because 149 [6] report relative rather than absolute diversity, i.e. here we include monomorphic sites. Our 150 approach allows for a direct between-species comparison of the levels of polymorphism and 151 related *per-site* nucleotide diversity. Nucleotide diversity will also be relatively slow to recover 152 from erosion due to a low per-nucleotide mutation rate compared to microsatellite markers. In 153 contrast, microsatellites provide information on the distribution of polymorphism (i.e. population 154 structure) rather than its actual level (although number of alleles can be used for this, genotyped 155

microsatellites are usually chosen to be polymorphic in the first place, leading to ascertainment 156 bias, [27]). Average per-site nucleotide diversity is also a more convincing molecular proxy of 157 adaptive potential, being closer to the definition of the per-locus additive genetic variance [28]. 158 A meta-analysis [29] demonstrated little connection between adaptive potential and diversity 159 at molecular markers, which we suggest may be an artifact of ascertainment bias (e.g. using 160 relative, rather than absolute, diversity). While relative diversity might still be a useful measure 161 to study e.g. inbreeding issues [30–32], we thus advocate for the use of diversity estimates based 162 on sequence polymorphism as these are more closely related to adaptive potential. 163

**Consequences for conservation** Our results suggest that hihi currently do not have the adaptive potential to evolve in response to the environmental threats the species is exposed 165 to (and, possibly, will be exposed to in the future), despite strong signs of selection detected for all but two studied traits (see ?? and [22] for a detailed analysis of lay date). Despite 167 this, most hihi populations are currently showing good demographic health with supportive management (including predator control and supplementary feeding). In particular, the hihi 169 population on Tiritiri Matangi is one of the most productive and currently being used as a 170 source of birds for translocation to other populations [5]. However, our results raise a number 171 of questions about the future management of threatened populations. A fundamental objective 172 of conservation management is to maximize the number and size of populations as quickly as 173 possible, thus avoiding heightened extinction risk stemming from stochastic processes in small 174 populations. Recovering species whose populations have become chronically small will likely 175 face similar problems of low adaptive potential, as we have reported for the hihi. However, the 176 management objective of increasing population size and numbers will ultimately also create 177 conditions which will allow regeneration of adaptive potential, albeit over a long period of 178 time. Theoretical models indicate that the level of genetic variance recovered over time will 179 depend on the population effective size  $N_e$ , although the time to equilibrium is also of  $N_e$ 180 generations [33, 34]. A reassuring property of the regeneration of genetic variation, however, 181 is that it is gradual (what is gained is gained, as long as conditions are stable) and quicker 182 at the beginning of the process [33]. Nonetheless, when managing rapid recovery from small 183 population sizes, conservation managers should be cautious of the unintentional genetic legacy 184 effects that may ultimately reduce population viability; i.e. increased inbreeding and genetic 185

drift. Both inbreeding accumulation and drift may be promoted, for example, by prioritising a few prolific breeders in a chronically small population in contrast to managing for wider founder representation [35, 36]. The costs and benefits of alternative recovery strategies (combining genetic and non-genetic elements) should be projected as probabilistic extinction risks at suitably long time scales to optimize management effort. Once populations have been recovered to a larger size they will still likely require management support to protect or mitigate environmental pressure in until such time as adaptive potential has been restored.

Acknowledgements We extend many thanks to the volunteers, past students and Department 193 of Conservation staff who have contributed to monitoring the Tiritiri Matangi hihi population 194 and to the Hihi Recovery Group, Department of Conservation, and Supporters of Tiritiri Matangi 195 for maintaining such a long term vision in monitoring and management of this population. We acknowledge Ngati Manuhiri as Mana Whenua and Kaitiaki of Te Hauturu-o-Toi and its 197 taonga, including hihi. We thank three anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments that improved the manuscript. We are very grateful to Nancy Chen and Charles Perrier 199 for calculating and providing diversity estimates for Florida scrub-jay and for the tit species 200 respectively. Finally, many thanks to Rachel Tucker and Jon Slate at the NERC Biomolecular 201 Analysis Facility, University of Sheffield, for completing the DNA extractions, and to Johanna 202 Nielsen and Kang-Wook Kim for help with microsatellite genotyping. PdV, AWS, KDL, PB 203 and JGE were supported by a Marsden Grant (UOA1408) awarded to AWS from the New 204 Zealand Royal Society Te Aparangi. AWS was also supported by a New Zealand National 205 Science Challenge Biological Heritage Project Grant, Project 1.4, and PB and the genotyping 206 were also supported by an AXA Fellowship. AR was supported by a grant from the Faculty 207 Research Development Fund of the University of Auckland. 208

Author contributions AWS and PB conceived of the study. JGE developed the demographic dataset and supervised the data collection. PB developed the microsatellite dataset, supervised the genotyping and performed the pedigree reconstruction. KDL performed the genome assembly. PdV designed and conducted the analysis of the data, with advice from all other authors. PdV wrote the paper, with input from all other authors.

- 214 Ethical statement Permissions to conduct research and collect blood samples on Tiritiri
- Matangi and Te Hauturu-o-Toi were granted by the New Zealand Department of Conservation,
- <sub>216</sub> permit numbers 36186-FAU, 15073-RES, 24128-FAU, 13939-RES and 44300-FAU.
- Declaration of Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- Hoffmann, A. A., Sgr, C. M., and Kristensen, T. N. (2017). Revisiting adaptive potential, population size, and conservation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32.7, 506–517.
- Willi, Y., Buskirk, J. V., and Hoffmann, A. A. (2006). Limits to the adaptive potential of small populations. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 37.1, 433–458.
- Wood, J. L. A., Yates, M. C., and Fraser, D. J. (2016). Are heritability and selection related to population size in nature? Meta-analysis and conservation implications. *Evol. Appl.* 9.5, 640–657.
- <sup>225</sup> 4 Driskell, A., Christidis, L., Gill, B. J., Boles, W. E., Barker, F. K., and Longmore, N. W. (2007).
- A new endemic family of New Zealand passerine birds: adding heat to a biodiversity hotspot. Aust.
- 227 J. Zool. 55.2, 73.
- Armstrong, D. P. and Ewen, J. G. (2013). Consistency, continuity and creativity: long-term studies of population dynamics on Tiritiri Matangi Island. N. Z. J. Ecol. 37.3, 288.
- Brekke, P., Bennett, P. M., Santure, A. W., and Ewen, J. G. (2011). High genetic diversity in the remnant island population of hihi and the genetic consequences of re-introduction. *Mol. Ecol.* 20.1, 29–45.
- <sup>233</sup> 7 Chen, N., Hout, C. V. V., Gottipati, S., and Clark, A. G. (2014). Using Mendelian inheritance to <sup>234</sup> improve high-throughput SNP discovery. *Genetics* 198.3, 847–857.
- Perrier, C., Campo, A. L. del, Szulkin, M., Demeyrier, V., Gregoire, A., and Charmantier, A. (2018a). Great tits and the city: Distribution of genomic diversity and geneenvironment associations along an urbanization gradient. *Evol. Appl.* 11.5, 593–613.
- Perrier, C., Delahaie, B., and Charmantier, A. (2018b). Heritability estimates from genomewide relatedness matrices in wild populations: Application to a passerine, using a small sample size. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 18.4, 838–853.

- <sup>241</sup> 10 Shultz, A. J., Baker, A. J., Hill, G. E., Nolan, P. M., and Edwards, S. V. (2016). SNPs across time
- and space: population genomic signatures of founder events and epizootics in the House Finch
- (Haemorhous mexicanus). Ecol. Evol. 6.20, 7475–7489.
- 244 11 Dutoit, L., Burri, R., Nater, A., Mugal, C. F., and Ellegren, H. (2017). Genomic distribution and
- estimation of nucleotide diversity in natural populations: perspectives from the collared flycatcher
- (Ficedula albicollis) genome. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17.4, 586–597.
- <sup>247</sup> 12 Lande, R. and Arnold, S. J. (1983). The measurement of selection on correlated characters.
- Evolution 37.6, 1210–1226.
- <sup>249</sup> 13 Morrissey, M. and Goudie, I. B. J. (2016). Analytical results for directional and quadratic selection
- gradients for log-linear models of fitness functions. bioRxiv, 040618.
- <sup>251</sup> 14 de Villemereuil, P., Schielzeth, H., Nakagawa, S., and Morrissey, M. B. (2016). General methods
- for evolutionary quantitative genetic inference from generalised mixed models. Genetics 204.3,
- 253 1281–1294.
- <sup>254</sup> 15 Li, S. et al. (2014). Genomic signatures of near-extinction and rebirth of the crested ibis and other
- endangered bird species. Genome Biology 15.12, 557.
- 256 16 Robinson, J. A., Ortega-Del Vecchyo, D., Fan, Z., Kim, B. Y., vonHoldt, B. M., Marsden, C. D.,
- Lohmueller, K. E., and Wayne, R. K. (2016). Genomic flatlining in the endangered island fox.
- 258 Current Biology 26.9, 1183–1189.
- <sup>259</sup> 17 Postma, E. (2014). Four decades of estimating heritabilities in wild vertebrate populations:
- Improved methods, more data, better estimates. Quantitative Genetics in the Wild. Ed. by A.
- Charmantier, D. Garant, and L. E. B. Kruuk. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press, pp. 16–33.
- <sup>262</sup> 18 Hendry, A. P., Schoen, D. J., Wolak, M. E., and Reid, J. M. (2018). The contemporary evolution
- of fitness. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 49.1, 457–476.
- <sup>264</sup> 19 McFarlane, S. E., Gorrell, J. C., Coltman, D. W., Humphries, M. M., Boutin, S., and McAdam,
- A. G. (2014). Very low levels of direct additive genetic variance in fitness and fitness components
- in a red squirrel population. Ecology and Evolution 4.10, 1729–1738.
- 267 20 Wolak, M. E., Arcese, P., Keller, L. F., Nietlisbach, P., and Reid, J. M. (2018). Sex-specific additive
- genetic variances and correlations for fitness in a song sparrow (Melospiza melodia) population
- subject to natural immigration and inbreeding. Evolution 72.10, 2057–2075.
- 270 21 Shaw, R. G. (2018). From the past to the future: considering the value and limits of evolutionary
- prediction. The American Naturalist.

- 272 22 de Villemereuil, P., Rutschmann, A., Ewen, J. G., Santure, A. W., and Brekke, P. (2018a). Can
- threatened species adapt in a restored habitat? No expected evolutionary response in lay date for
- the New Zealand hihi. Evol. Appl.
- 275 23 Ewen, J. G., Armstrong, D., and Lambert, D. M. (1999). Floater males gain reproductive success
- through extrapair fertilizations in the stitchbird. Anim. Behav. 58.2, 321–328.
- 277 24 Brekke, P., Ewen, J. G., Clucas, G., and Santure, A. W. (2015). Determinants of male floating
- behaviour and floater reproduction in a threatened population of the hihi ( *Notiomystis cincta* ).
- Evol. Appl. 8.8, 796–806.
- 280 25 Richardson, K. M. and Ewen, J. G. (2016). Habitat selection in a reintroduced population: social
- effects differ between natal and post-release dispersal. Anim. Conserv. 19.5, 413–421.
- 282 26 IUCN (2016). Notiomystis Cincta: BirdLife International: The IUCN Red List of Threatened
- 283 Species 2017: E. T22704154A118814893.
- <sup>284</sup> 27 Hutter, C. M., Schug, M. D., and Aquadro, C. F. (1998). Microsatellite variation in *Drosophila*
- melanogaster and Drosophila simulans: a reciprocal test of the ascertainment bias hypothesis.
- 286 Mol. Biol. Evol. 15.12, 1620–1636.
- 28 Lynch, M. and Walsh, B. (1998). Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sunderland,
- Massachussets (US): Sinauer Associates.
- 289 29 Mittell, E. A., Nakagawa, S., and Hadfield, J. D. (2015). Are molecular markers useful predictors
- of adaptive potential? Ecol. Lett. 18.8, 772–778.
- 291 30 Keller, L. F. and Waller, D. M. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. Trends Ecol. Evol.
- 17.5, 230–241.
- 293 31 Frankham, R. (2015). Genetic rescue of small inbred populations: meta-analysis reveals large and
- consistent benefits of gene flow. Mol. Ecol. 24.11, 2610–2618.
- <sup>295</sup> 32 Chen, N., Cosgrove, E. J., Bowman, R., Fitzpatrick, J. W., and Clark, A. G. (2016). Genomic
- consequences of population decline in the endangered Florida scrub-jay. Current Biology 26.21,
- 2974-2979.
- <sup>298</sup> 33 Lynch, M. and Hill, W. G. (1986). Phenotypic evolution by neutral mutation. Evolution 40.5,
- 299 915–935.
- 300 34 Houle, D. (1989). The maintenance of polygenic variation in finite populations. Evolution 43.8,
- 301 1767–1780.
- 302 35 Lacy, R. C. (1989). Analysis of founder representation in pedigrees: Founder equivalents and
- founder genome equivalents. Zoo Biol. 8.2, 111–123.

- 36 Haig, S. M., Ballou, J. D., and Derrickson, S. R. (1990). Management options for preserving genetic diversity: reintroduction of Guam rails to the wild. *Conserv. Biol.* 4.3, 290–300.
- 37 Castro, I., Minot, E. O., and Alley, J. C. (1994). Feeding and breeding behaviour of hihi or
- stitchbirds Notiomystis cincta recently transferred to Kapiti Island, New Zealand, and possible
- management alternatives. Reintroduction Biology of Australian and New Zealand Fauna. Ed. by
- M. Serena. Chipping Norton, NSW: Surrey Beatty & Sons Pty Ltd, pp. 121–128.
- Ewen, J. G. and Armstrong, D. P. (2000). Male provisioning is negatively correlated with attempted
- extrapair copulation frequency in the stitchbird (or hihi). Anim. Behav. 60.4, 429–433.
- 312 39 Brekke, P., Cassey, P., Ariani, C., and Ewen, J. G. (2013). Evolution of extreme-mating behaviour:
- patterns of extrapair paternity in a species with forced extrapair copulation. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.
- 67.6, 963–972.
- 315 40 Richardson, D. S., Jury, F. L., Blaakmeer, K., Komdeur, J., and Burke, T. (2001). Parentage as-
- signment and extra-group paternity in a cooperative breeder: the Seychelles warbler (Acrocephalus
- sechellensis). Mol. Ecol. 10.9, 2263–2273.
- 318 41 Brekke, P., Dawson, D. A., Horsburgh, G. J., and Ewen, J. G. (2009). Characterization of
- microsatellite loci in the hihi Notiomystis cincta (Notiomystidae, Aves). Mol. Ecol. Resour. 9.4,
- 320 1255–1258.
- Brekke, P., Wang, J., Bennett, P. M., Cassey, P., Dawson, D. A., Horsburgh, G. J., and Ewen, J. G.
- (2012). Postcopulatory mechanisms of inbreeding avoidance in the island endemic hihi (Notiomystis
- *cincta*). Behav. Ecol. 23.2, 278–284.
- 324 43 Andrews, S. (2014). FASTQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
- <sup>325</sup> 44 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
- sequence data. Bioinformatics 30.15, 2114–2120.
- 45 Luo, R. et al. (2012). SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo
- assembler. GigaScience 1.1, 18.
- 329 46 Baird, N. A., Etter, P. D., Atwood, T. S., Currey, M. C., Shiver, A. L., Lewis, Z. A., Selker, E. U.,
- cresko, W. A., and Johnson, E. A. (2008). Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping using
- sequenced RAD markers. *PLoS ONE* 3.10, e3376.
- <sup>332</sup> 47 Catchen, J. M., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Cresko, W., and Postlethwait, J. H. (2011). Stacks:
- Building and Genotyping Loci De Novo From Short-Read Sequences. G3 1.3, 171–182.
- 48 Li, H. and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
- transform. *Bioinformatics* 25.14, 1754–1760.

- <sup>336</sup> 49 Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping
- and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.
- 27.21, 2987–2993.
- 50 Danecek, P. et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27.15, 2156–2158.
- 340 51 Hadfield, J. D. (2010). MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models: the
- MCMCglmm R package. J. Stat. Softw. 33.2, 1–22.
- Heidelberger, P. and Welch, P. D. (1981). A spectral method for confidence interval generation
- and run length control in simulations. Commun. ACM 24.4, 233–245.
- <sup>344</sup> 53 Plummer, M., Best, N., Cowles, K., and Vines, K. (2006). CODA: Convergence diagnosis and
- output analysis for MCMC. R News 6.1, 7–11.
- Low, M. and Prt, T. (2009). Patterns of mortality for each life-history stage in a population of
- the endangered New Zealand stitchbird. J. Anim. Ecol. 78.4, 761–771.
- <sup>348</sup> 55 de Villemereuil, P., Morrissey, M. B., Nakagawa, S., and Schielzeth, H. (2018b). Fixed effect
- variance and the estimation of repeatabilities and heritabilities: Issues and solutions. J. Evol. Biol.
- 31.4, 621–632.
- <sup>351</sup> 56 Houle, D. (1992). Comparing evolvability and variability of quantitative traits. Genetics 130.1,
- 352 195–204.
- 57 Hansen, T. F., Plabon, C., and Houle, D. (2011). Heritability is not evolvability. Evol. Biol. 38.3,
- 354 258.

Figure 1: Nucleotide diversity  $(\pi, \text{ red})$  and proportion of polymorphic sites (blue) for the hihi populations (Both pop: Both populations; Tiri. Mat.: Tiritiri Matangi; Hau.-o-Toi: Te Hauturu-o-Toi) along with estimates for other species (Florida scrub-jay *Aphelocoma coerulescens*, blue tit *Cyanistes caeruleus*, great tit *Parus major*, collared flycatcher *Ficedula albicollis*, house finch *Haemorhous mexicanus*, purple finch *Haemorhous purpureus*, Cassin's finch *Haemorhous cassinii*) identified in the literature [N. Chen, pers. comm. for the Florida Scrub-Jay data, C. Perrier, pers. comm. for the tit data, 7–11]. Nucleotide diversity for the collared flycatcher is a weighted average of autosomes and the Z-chromosome estimates from [11], considering the latter represents 6% of the genome. Error bars symbolising the standard error (computed using leave-one-out jackknife on the individuals) are provided for the hihi only. See more information on individual heterozygosity in Figure S1.

**Figure 2:** Point estimate and 95% credible/confidence intervals (CI) of the heritability (top-panel, point estimate is the posterior mode), linear (middle-panel) and non-linear standardised selection gradients (bottom-panel) for each of the studied traits. The selection gradients for the probability of recruitment are not calculated, as the fact that all non-recruits have a fitness of 0 creates numerical complications. Sample sizes are (from left to right) 2,098, 2,098, 2,098, 2,288, 2,183, 1,371, 581, 1,259, 1,383, 1,358 and 1,244. More details are available in Figure S2, Figure S3, Table S1, Table S2 and Table S3.

355 356

**Table 1:** Quantitative genetic analysis of fitness (lifetime reproductive success) using a zero-inflated Poisson. The results are shown separately for the zero-inflated (binomial) and the Poisson part of the model. All statistics (Pop. Mean: population mean,  $V_P$ : phenotypic variance,  $V_A$ : additive genetic variance,  $h^2$ : heritability and  $I_A$ : evolvability) were computed on the observed data scale. Estimates are reported as posterior mode (posterior median) [95% credible interval].

Model part	Pop. Mean	$V_{P}$	$V_{A}$	$h^2$	$I_{A}$
Zero-infl. part	0.759 (0.76)	$0.183 \ (0.18)$	1.29e-5 $(6$ e-4 $)$	0.00011 (0.0033)	3.21E-5 $(0.001)$
	[0.68 - 0.82]	[0.15 - 0.22]	[1.4E-11 - 0.0038]	[7.8 E-11 - 0.022]	[2.3 E-11 - 0.0065]
Poisson part	8.14 (8.4)	151 (146)	$0.0078 \ (0.73)$	4.97E-5 $(0.0045)$	0.000587 (0.01)
	[5.9 - 12]	[49 - 479]	$[2.3 \mathrm{E} ext{-}10 - 5.7]$	$[1.3 \mathrm{E}\text{-}12 - 0.034]$	[3E-12 - 0.077]

## STAR∗Methods

#### SSS Contact for reagent and resource sharing

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Pierre de Villemereuil (bonamy@horus.ens.fr).

#### Experimental model and subject details

The remnant hihi population on Te Hauturu-o-Toi (Little Barrier Island; 3612'S, 17505'E) is largely 363 left unmanaged beyond ensuring the island stays mammalian predator free. Monitoring is limited. In 364 contrast, the hihi population on Tiritiri Matangi (3636'S, 17453'E) is intensively managed and has been 365 closely monitored since its establishment in 1995. All birds are individually identifiable (colour rings 366 added almost exclusively as nestlings) and all nesting attempts known, as hihi nest almost exclusively 367 in nest boxes. Dispersal is not possible between the populations. Hihi feed on a mix of fruits, nectar 368 and small invertebrates [37], but are also provided with supplementary food (20% by mass sugar water) 369 on Tiritiri Matangi. Hihi usually reproduce in their first year, during the austral spring and summer 370 [September to February, 37]. Females lay clutches ranging from three to five eggs and can produce 371 multiple clutches within a season although normally only one or two are successful. Despite males 372 providing around 30% of the care during rearing [38], extra-pair paternity in this species is widespread. 373 Around 60% of chicks within a broad are sired by extra-pair males [39]. Prior to whole genome and 374 RAD sequencing, hihi blood samples stored in ethanol were extracted at the Natural Environmental 375 Research Council Biomolecular Analysis Facility (Sheffield, United Kingdom) using an ammonium 376 acetate protocol [40], and quantified using a DNA fluorometer (Hoefer DynaQuant200) after being 377 assessed for quality on an agarose gel. 378

#### 379 Method details

Pedigree reconstruction Systematic blood-sampling and genotyping at 18 microsatellite markers and two sex-specific loci for Tiritiri Matangi individuals began in 2004 [41], allowing us to reconstruct the paternities accounting for possible extra-pair copulations, following [42]. Because blood sampling was only initiated in the 2003/2004 breeding season, information relating to the genetic sire of individuals born previously is missing. For these individuals, we considered the information as missing, rather

than using the social sire.

**Genome assembly** Low coverage whole genome sequencing of ten birds (a subset of the samples used 386 in RAD sequencing below) was used to assemble a draft hihi genome, with seven of the samples sourced 387 from Te Hauturu-o-Toi and the remainder from Tiritiri Matangi. Samples were multiplexed and two 388 PCR-free DNA libraries were prepared by New Zealand Genomics Limited and used to generate 100bp 389 paired-end illumina reads over two lanes of Illumina HiSeq sequencing. This resulted in a total of 390 879,894,554 reads with a median of 89,508,541 per sample. Sequence quality was assessed using FastQC 391 [43]. Adapters and poor quality reads were removed with Trimmomatic-0.33 [44] under strict conditions 392 (ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE-2.fa:2:30:10, LEADING:3, TRAILING:3, SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20, 393 MINLEN:70, CROP:110); over-represented reads identified in FastQC were also removed by appending 394 them to the TruSeq3-PE-2.fa file. Sample 10, an individual from Te Hauturu-o-Toi and the sample 395 with the most reads (126,397,278), was used to run SOAPdenovo2 version 1.5.14 [45] at kmer sizes 396 ranging from 25 - 95. The optimum kmer length of 36 bases was determined by N50 value and 397 length of assembly and was used in subsequent assemblies. Each of the samples was assembled using 398 SOAPdenovo2 with kmer 36 and insert size 210. Following assembly, sample 10 was also assessed to 399 have the highest quality assembly, with a total genome size of 1,002,019,675 bases, an N50 of 1928, 400 and 3,024,992 contigs ranging in length from 32 to 24,819 bases, and consequently this assembly was 401 chosen as the draft hihi reference genome. 402

We used RAD sequencing [46] to obtain sequences from 26 individuals from Te 403 Hauturu-o-Toi and 5 individuals from Tiritiri Matangi. The unbalanced sampling is explained by the 404 fact that the RAD sequencing was initially used to detect SNPs to design a SNP chip, targetting the 405 diversity in the Te Hauturu-o-Toi source population. Samples were standardised to  $\simeq 50 \text{ng/uL}$  and 406 then sent to Floragenex Inc. for RAD sequencing following Baird et al. [46]. Extracted DNA was 407 digested with SbfI, and barcodes and adaptors ligated. One sample was replicated, and a Saccharomyces 408 bayanus (yeast) control sample included, for a total of 33 independently indexed libraries. Libraries 409 were pooled for sequencing across two lanes of Illumina HiSeq 2000, generating 257,833,998 reads of 410 101 bases. The reads were processed and cleaned using the STACKS software [47] (process\_radtags 411 with options -e sbfI -c -q -s 15). They were then mapped on the reference genome (Sample 10 412 above) using the mem procedure of the Burrows-Wheeler alignment tool [bwa with default options, 48]. 413 Alignments were filtered for being mapped with a quality of 60. They were then stacked using the 414 mpileup of the bcftools suite [again using default parameters 49]. Sites with phred-scale quality 415

scores below 20 were discarded. Individual genotypes with read depth below 10 or allelic depth below
to swere considered as missing. Only sites without missing values were kept for each of the sub-samples
(total: 753,920 sites; only Te Hauturu-o-Toi: 855,845 sites; or only Tiritiri Matangi: 2,793,318). Our
results however are unchanged when using only sites with no missing values or allowing 30% of missing
values (maximum relative change of 5%).

**Phenotypic information** During the breeding season, all active nest boxes are inspected every few 421 days. The identity of the occupying male and female are recorded, along with the date of egg laying, 422 hatching and fledging, with the corresponding number of eggs/chicks each time. We used these data 423 to derive the number of eggs laid, lay date, hatching success (proportion of eggs hatched), fledging 424 success (proportion of fledged individuals) and time to fledge (time elapsed between lay date and 425 fledging date). Nestling morphological measures are taken (mass, tarsus length and head-to-bill length) 426 and individuals colour-ringed at 21 days of age. We used the pedigree information to derive the 427 following life-history traits: probability of being recruited into the breeding population, adult longevity 428 (number of years survived after the first breeding season post-fledging), and age at first reproduction. 429 Fitness was measured as the total number of offspring fledged over an individual's lifetime (lifetime 430 reproductive success, 0 for individuals that never bred) or from each clutch (number of fledglings) for 431 the breeding data. We removed individuals that were still alive and breeding, and therefore without a 432 complete lifetime reproductive success measure, from the individual fitness measures. Individual and 433 breeding data were collected from the 1995/1996 to 2014/2015, and from the 1997/1998 to 2014/2015 434 breeding seasons respectively. 435

#### Quantification and statistical analysis

Genetic analysis From the RAD sequencing data, the average *per-site* nucleotide diversity and proportion of polymorphic sites were computed using VCFtools [50].

Quantitative genetics models To estimate quantitative genetic parameters on the Tiritiri Matangi population, we used the phenotypic and pedigree information to run generalised linear mixed models, known as animal models, using the R package MCMCglmm [51]. For all traits, the fixed effects of sex, fledgling mass (for individual data, i.e. morphological and life-history traits), clutch number in the season, dam age and laying date (for breeding data) and clutch size (for both) were, when relevant, tested for significance (using the pMCMC value inferred by MCMCglmm) and included in the

mixed model if significant (see Table S2). For the individual traits, random effects included additive 445 genetic effect (using the pedigree information), identity of the dam, identity of the social sire, year 446 (i.e. year corresponding to the start of breeding season) and month of birth. For the breeding traits, 447 random effects included additive genetic effect, identity of the breeding female (accounting for repeated 448 measures), identity of the male mate and year (see above) of the breeding event. The error distributions 449 (Gaussian, Poisson with a log link, or binomial with a probit link) were chosen to fit each trait (see 450 Table S2). The number of iterations and the thinning interval were chosen for each model so as to 451 ensure that the MCMC effective sample sizes for all parameters of the model were above 1,000; and 452 were increased if this was not the case. As a result, our minimum MCMC effective sample size is 2,130. 453 Burn-in was set to a minimum of 3,000 iterations and convergence was checked using the Heidelberger 454 and Welch [52] convergence test as implemented in the coda R package [53]. Burn-in was increased 455 until convergence was reached. Whenever possible, we performed father-son, mother-daughter and 456 parent-offspring regressions. For breeding traits, only mother-daughter regressions were possible. Such 457 regressions were not possible for the probability of recruitment and hatching and fledgling success, as 458 there was no variation in the parent population since, by definition, all were recruited. Finally, we 459 performed a quantitative genetic analysis of fitness (measured as lifetime reproductive success as stated 460 above), in order to measure the adaptive potential in its strictest sense (i.e. standardised measure of 461 additive genetic variance of fitness). To do so, we used a zero-inflated Poisson model with independent 462 parameters on the latent traits corresponding to the zero-inflated binomial and Poisson processes. 463 The zero-inflation is mainly due to low survival to reproduction [54]. A model including genetic and 464 environmental correlations between the two latent traits did not yield significant correlations. 465

**Computation of the quantitative genetic parameters** From the output of the animal models, quan-466 titative genetic parameters (population mean, phenotypic variance  $V_{\rm P}$ , additive genetic variance  $V_{\rm A}$  and 467 heritability  $h^2$ ) were computed using the QGglmm R package [14], using the relevant error distribution 468 for each trait and integrating over the posterior distribution of each parameter. The variances from all 469 random effects were used in the computation of the total phenotypic variance. Note also that the values 470 computed for  $V_{\rm P}$  and thus  $h^2$  account for the variance explained by fixed effects [55]. Where relevant 471 for the trait (i.e. not for non-Gaussian traits nor for laying date, for which the population mean is too 472 arbitrary for  $CV_A$  to be meaningful), the coefficients of variation of the additive genetic variance  $(CV_A)$ 473 were computed as the square-root of the additive genetic variance divided by the population mean, 474 multiplied by 100. For fitness (lifetime reproductive success), we also estimated the evolvability  $I_{\rm A}$  ( $V_{\rm A}$ 475 divided by the squared population mean) as a standardised measure of adaptive potential [56, 57]. 476

Estimation of selection Selection gradients were computed for each trait, centred to a mean of 0 and scaled to a variance of 1. We used a Poisson generalised linear model (as implemented in the glm R function of the stats package) to account for the skewed and discrete nature of fitness and computed the gradients and their standard-errors based on [13].

Simulation analysis In order to assess the ability of our sampling design (i.e., sample size, along with 481 the pedigree data structure) to estimate small, but substantial heritabilities, we performed a simulation 482 analysis. We used the pedigree of the Tiritiri Matangi hihi population to simulate traits according to 483 five different scenarios: (i) the trait is individual-based (all fledglings are measured once) and normally 484 distributed, typical of e.g. tarsus length; (ii) the trait is breeding-based (only breeding females are 485 measured, but with 3 measures per female) and normally distributed, typical of e.g. laying date; (iii) 486 the trait is individual-based (all individuals are measured once) and binary (typical of e.g. recruitment 487 status); (iv) the trait is breeding-based and binary (i.e. composed of zeros and ones, with 3 measures 488 per female), typical of e.g. hatching success and (v) a zero-inflated Poisson trait with latent mean 489 and variance comparable to our fitness trait. Because heritability below an arbitrary threshold of 0.1 490 would be regarded as small (close to 0.1) to inconsequential (close to 0), we decided to use 0.1 as 491 the simulated heritability. For the binary trait, parameters were set so that the heritability on the 492 observed data scale (rather than the latent scale or liability) was 0.1. Since scenario (v) was about 493 detecting additive genetic variance of fitness and the heritability is not the best measure for this trait 494 [57], we used an evolvability (or additive genetic variance of relative fitness) of 0.01 for the Poisson 495 process, which corresponds to a heritability of 0.04. Since the meaning of evolvability for binomial 496 traits is less obvious, we kept a heritability of 0.1 for this (part of the) trait in scenario (v), which 497 would correspond to an evolvability of 0.03. As a result of using the pedigree from our study, the 498 sample sizes of each simulated scenario closely followed ours (see simulation code hosted in Dryad). 499 Additionally, in order to follow the structure of our fitted models and account for the precision lost by 500 adding random effects, we simulated the effects of social sire, dam and year of birth for scenario (i), 501 (iii) & (v) and of individual ID (permanent environment), mate ID and year of breeding for scenarios 502 (ii) & (iv). All of the simulated effects were added as random effects in the fitted models. The variance 503 of those random effects were set equal to 1, with a residual variance of 1.5 for the Gaussian trait and a 504 "residual" variance of 1 (as it is fixed for MCMCglmm) for binary traits. Each scenario consisted of 100 505 replicates, fitted in MCMCglmm, for which we computed the posterior mode, median and 95% credible 506 interval of the estimated heritability. 507

## Data and Software availability

Phenotypic data, the pedigree and R code is available online on the UCL Discovery repository (DOI: 10.14324/000.ds.10065966). Hihi are of cultural significance to the indigenous people of New Zealand, the Mori, and are considered a taonga (treasured) species. For this reason, the genotypes, raw reads, assembled genome and RAD-seq reads for hihi will be made available by request on the recommendation of the iwi (tribe) that affiliates as kaitiaki (guardians) for hihi. To obtain up-to-date contact details for the iwi, please contact Dr Anna Santure: a.santure@auckland.ac.nz